THE CORNWALL PUBLIC INQUIRY



L'ENQUÊTE PUBLIQUE SUR CORNWALL

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner

The Honourable Justice / L'honorable juge G. Normand Glaude

Commissaire

VOLUME 306

Held at: Tenue à:

Hearings Room 709 Cotton Mill Street Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7 Salle des audiences 709, rue de la Fabrique Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7

Tuesday, November 18, 2008

Mardi, le 18 novembre 2008

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC. www.irri.net (800) 899-0006

ERRATA Volume 305 November 17, 2008

Transcript

Page 222, line 24

MR. MacLENNAN: --- I want to be positive, and I guess in my application of withdrawal, in effect I said in my view you made me a victim and not an offender of some of these -- whatever I feel may have been missteps.

Should have read:

MR. MacLENNAN: --- I want to be positive, and I guess in my application of withdrawal, in effect I said in my view you may be a victim and not an offender of some of these -- whatever I feel may have been missteps.

ii

Appearances/Comparutions

Ms. Brigitte Beaulne	Registrar
Ms. Karen Jones Ms. Kelly Doctor	Commission Counsel
Mr. Mark Crane	Cornwall Community Police Service and Cornwall Police Service Board
Mr. Neil Kozloff Ms. Diane Lahaie	Ontario Provincial Police
Mr. David Rose	Ontario Ministry of Community and Correctional Services and Adult Community Corrections
Mr. Christopher Thompson	Attorney General for Ontario
Mr. Peter Chisholm	The Children's Aid Society of the United Counties
Ms. Helen Daley	Citizens for Community Renewal
Mr. Dallas Lee	Victims' Group
Mr. Michael Neville	The Estate of Ken Seguin and Doug Seguin and Father Charles MacDougald
Mr. Mark Wallace	Ontario Provincial Police Association
Mr. Ian Paul	Coalition for Action
Mr. Larry O'Brien	D/Insp. Randy Millar
D/Insp. Randy Millar	

Table of Contents / Table des matières

	Page
List of Exhibits :	iv
D/INSP RANDY MILLAR, Resumed/Sous le même serment	1
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Ms. Karen Jones(cont'd/suite)	1
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Helen Daley	101
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Ian Paul	158
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Dallas Lee	185
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Michael Neville	227
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Peter Chisholm	258
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. David Rose	260
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Christopher Thompson	272
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Mark Crane	278
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Larry O'Brien	285

iv

LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
P-2597	(733050) - Notes of Randy Millar dated 22 Apr 96 & 23 Apr 96 and 30 Dec 04	37
P-2598	(714184) - Interview Report of Randy Millar re: C-91 dated 15 Feb 94	56
P-2599	(714173) - Interview Report of Murray MacDonald re: C-91 dated 16 Feb 94	61
P-2600	(714159) - Interview Report of the mother of C-80 dated 09 May 949)	68
P-2601	(736432) -General Occurrence Report of Jean Luc Leblanc dated 07 Aug 98	72
P-2602	(736431) - Supplementary Occurrence Report dated 11 Sept 98	75
P-2603	(733047) - Notes of Randy Millar dated 10 Sep 98	84
P-2604	(738882) - Information of charges on Jean Luc Leblanc by Steve Seguin various Dates	99
P-2605	(126413) - Ontario Superior Court of	192
	Justice Examination for Discovery dated 20 Jan 04	
P-2606	(113745) - Interview Report of C-92 dated 27 Apr 94	253

1	Upon commencing at 9:42 a.m./
2	L'audience débute à 9h42
3	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
4	veuillez vous lever.
5	This hearing of the Cornwall Public Inquiry
6	is now in session. The Honourable Mr. Justice Normand
7	Glaude, Commissioner, presiding.
8	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Good morning,
10	all.
11	RANDOLPH MILLAR, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning, sir.
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Good morning.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you understand you're
15	still under oath?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I do.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
18	Ms Jones?
19	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MS.
20	JONES (Cont'd/Suite):
21	MS. JONES: Thank you.
22	Yesterday, when we left, we were just
23	starting questions about the Ken Seguin suicide in
24	November, 1993 and I believe the last area of my question
25	had to do with your contact with Staff Sergeant McWade. Do

1	you recall that?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
3	MS. JONES: And I'm wondering if you're able
4	to advise us, besides the two notations that you had in
5	your notes of when you talked to Staff Sergeant McWade, can
6	you recall having any other discussion with him discussing
7	your finding?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
9	MS. JONES: Can you recall if you had made
10	the decision that this was a suicide rather than something
11	else? When was it you came to that decision? Was it on
12	November 25 th or was it in the days after?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Formally, it would have
14	been after the post mortem.
15	MS. JONES: Informally though you
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Informally, I would say
17	after the examination of the scene by ident and just what
18	the scene showed. It didn't it was it suggested
19	suicide.
20	MS. JONES: Do you recall telling Staff
21	Sergeant McWade, at any time, that it appears that Ken
22	Seguin committed suicide?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No. It's possible I
24	did, but I just don't remember that and I don't believe
25	it's in my notes. No, I don't

1	MS. JONES: No, it's not in your notes.
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
3	MS. JONES: Could we please put Document
4	715637
5	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
6	MS. JONES: Two-five-two-nine (2529), thank
7	you.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Two-five-two-nine (2529)?
9	MS. JONES: Officer Millar, I understand
10	that this is a document that you would have prepared?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
12	MS. JONES: And that's your handwriting?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: It is.
14	MS. JONES: And it appears to be a
15	handwritten summary of your investigation into the death of
16	Ken Seguin?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
18	MS. JONES: And the date and time of report
19	is up in the right-hand side of the document, $25^{\rm th}$ November,
20	'93. The time is 15:10. Do you see that, sir?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MS. JONES: And we clearly have already seen
23	your handwritten notes. I'm just wondering if you could
24	describe the chronology of when you would have compiled
25	this particular document. Is it at the same time that

1	you're doing your handwritten notes?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, it would have been
3	after.
4	MS. JONES: So do you finish your
5	handwritten notes completely and then hand write this
6	occurrence report?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
8	MS. JONES: There are just a couple of dates
9	in here. Presumably, the very first few pages are devoted
10	to November $25^{\rm th}$, 1993 and what your findings were and, you
11	describe what you've described already; the scene, who you
12	talked to.
13	And if we go to Bates page 8232, the date
14	changes there to the 26 th of November '93?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
16	MS. JONES: Do you see that, sir?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
18	MS. JONES: And then it describes what you
19	did the next day following the suicide. I'm wondering,
20	again, were these relatively contemporaneous to the $26^{\rm th}$ of
21	November, 1993?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I believe so, yes.
23	MS. JONES: And if we flip over to the next
24	pages, Bates pages 8234 and 8235, it appears on 8235
25	this is page number 4 and it appears to be the last page?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
2	MS. JONES: Now, at the bottom right-hand, I
3	believe that's your signature?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
5	MS. JONES: And there's a handwritten date
6	there, 26 th November, 1993?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
8	MS. JONES: Is that the date that the
9	majority of this document then would have been completed by
10	the 26 th of November, '93?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
12	MS. JONES: There's one other entry on that
13	last page; it's actually dated the 29^{th} of November, '93.
14	All it says is:
15	"DL and letter of transmittal forwarded
16	to MTO."
17	I believe?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
19	MS. JONES: There's no other entry after
20	that. That's the last thing?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MS. JONES: So is it fair to say that you
23	had completed this document completely on the $26^{\rm th}$ of
24	November, save or but for that last entry?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.

1	MS. JONES: So your decisions that you made
2	or the findings that you made then on the 26^{th} of November
3	are consistent with the date that you've put on the bottom
4	right-hand corner?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
6	MS. JONES: Okay, thank you.
7	Now, there's a typewritten version of this
8	that might be a bit easier to read. It's Document 704418
9	which is Exhibit 972.
10	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
11	MS. JONES: Now, I understand this is just a
12	typewritten version of what we just saw a moment ago?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
14	MS. JONES: That there really are not
15	substantive additions or deletions between the handwritten
16	version and this version?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I didn't actually
18	compare them word-for-word in preparation for this Inquiry,
19	but I would say that they would agree.
20	MS. JONES: All right.
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Be accurate.
22	MS. JONES: And, again, if we could just go
23	to the last page of the document; it's Bates page 3763. It
24	actually says page number 5 which is actually more accurate
25	than saying page number 4 because the other one, I believe,

1	was page number 5.
2	At the bottom, there's half of a signature
3	and a portion of the date, but I believe that is still your
4	signature?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
6	MS. JONES: And would it be fair to say that
7	the date there is probably still the 26^{th} of November, 1993?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
9	MS. JONES: Okay.
10	And, again, just to be clear, the only other
11	entry after the 26^{th} of November, again, is the 29^{th}
12	November, '93 and, again, it says:
13	"Driver's licence and letter of
14	transmittal forwarded to MTC"?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
16	MS. JONES: Okay.
17	Now, we talked yesterday about the process
18	you went through on November 25 th . I just wanted to have a
19	couple of additional questions on that.
20	On the 25^{th} of November, the coroner, Dr.
21	Conway, was there. Do you recall any discussion with the
22	coroner on the scene about whether this was a suicide or a
23	homicide?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, I do not.
25	MS. JONES: Do you recall when Dr. Conway

1	ruled that this was actually a sulcide?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
3	MS. JONES: So just to clarify things then,
4	when the coroner is doing his work there on the scene,
5	that's what he does and he just takes care of that himself
6	and you don't really interact with him to discuss findings
7	at that point?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Sometimes we do, but
9	when he initially attends, he a) declares death and then he
10	orders an autopsy and gives us authority to search for
11	items that would help explain what caused the death, and
12	then we do the investigation for him and, in addition to
13	the autopsy results, he draws his conclusions and puts them
14	in writing.
15	MS. JONES: And at the scene, do you recall
16	having any discussions with Dr. Conway?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, I don't remember
18	having any discussions with him.
19	MS. JONES: Do you recall seeing or hearing
20	Dr. Conway having discussions with the Seguin family?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
22	MS. JONES: Do you recall hearing anything
23	inappropriate being said to the Seguin family either by Dr.
24	Conway or anyone else at the scene?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.

1	MS. JONES: Had you ever met Ken Seguin
2	personally before this investigation?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't believe so.
4	MS. JONES: You were aware obviously though
5	that he was a probation officer
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MS. JONES: given the last item we
8	talked about yesterday
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
10	MS. JONES: with regards to the letter
11	and such.
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: And I don't recall
13	having any cases with Ken Seguin.
14	MS. JONES: And prior to this investigation
15	of the sudden death, did you have any information that Mr.
16	Seguin had had allegations of a sexual nature against him
17	specifically from David Silmser?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
19	MS. JONES: If we could please go to Bates
20	page 3761 of the exhibit in front of you, which is Exhibit
21	972; that's your death investigation.
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Sorry, what was the
23	Bates page again?
24	MS. JONES: Three-seven-six-one (3761), page
25	3.

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
2	MS. JONES: It appears that on the 25 th you
3	finished your investigation for that day; this is now the
4	next day on the 26^{th} of November, and it appears that you
5	attended Cornwall Police. Do you see that
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MS. JONES: where I am, halfway down the
8	page?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
10	MS. JONES: And apparently you spoke with
11	Staff Sergeant Lucien Brunet and Staff Sergeant Garry
12	Durochie?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
14	MS. JONES: And this I would then understand
15	is the first time that you've heard about any allegations
16	levied against Ken Seguin?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
18	MS. JONES: And is this the first time
19	you've heard of David Silmser?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Formally, yes.
21	MS. JONES: Formally?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I think it would it
23	was obvious that the word was out about this \$32,000
24	settlement and but I didn't pay much attention to it to
25	be honest with you.

1	MS. JONES: Okay. But it's the first time
2	you connected those that there was
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
4	MS. JONES: some sort of a linkage
5	between those two people?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Exactly.
7	MS. JONES: And it appears that Brunet and
8	Derochie provided you, according to your notes here:
9	"Details of an ongoing investigation
10	relating to Ken Seguin and Father
11	Charles MacDonald of St. Andrew's.
12	Statements and reports were obtained,
13	originals to be retained by Staff
14	Sergeant Derochie."
15	Do you see that?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
17	MS. JONES: And presumably those were
18	statements of David Silmser, some of the investigation
19	between Silmser and Seguin. Is that what you were looking
20	at?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I believe so, yes.
22	MS. JONES: Now, it appears that Brunet and
23	Derochie were describing in a nutshell what the allegations
24	were as proposed by David Silmser. And, again, partway
25	down the page it said:

1	"Silmser provided a written statement
2	which was subsequently analyzed by
3	Cornwall Police Department as being
4	truthful."
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
6	MS. JONES: So again that was just part of
7	your note-taking at the time
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
9	MS. JONES: when you were meeting with
10	the police?
11	And the very last sentence had to do with
12	the fact that Mr. Silmser said he was going to deal with
13	the Father Charles MacDonald investigation first and not
14	the Ken Seguin on the initial report, as he couldn't deal
15	with both at the same time?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
17	MS. JONES: You recall that? If we just
18	turn the page, please?
19	It would appear too that Brunet and Derochie
20	also said to you:
21	"Cornwall Police Department
22	investigators felt corroboration was
23	required on the statement"
24	Presumably of David Silmser:
25	"due to the lengthy criminal record

1	of Silmser, which included crimes of
2	deceit."
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
4	MS. JONES: Do you see that?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
6	MS. JONES: Did you actually get out a CPIC
7	check of David Silmser when you were picking up materials
8	from Cornwall Police?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't recall.
10	MS. JONES: You also learned at that meeting
11	that on the 24^{th} of November, the evening prior to the
12	suicide:
13	"Staff Sergeant Dupuis of the Cornwall
14	Police Department had received a call
15	from David Silmser who stated that if
16	anything happened to him that Charlie
17	MacDonald or Ken Seguin could be
18	considered suspects."
19	Do you see that?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
21	MS. JONES: Was this the first time that
22	you'd heard of any allegations against Father Charles
23	MacDonald?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MS. JONES: And it's probably fair to say

1	then it's the first time you've heard of any linkage
2	between Father Charles MacDonald, Ken Seguin and David
3	Silmser?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. I had like I
5	say, I had heard about this \$32,000 that involved Father
6	MacDonald and Silmser just through the grapevine. Like I
7	say, I didn't pay much attention to it.
8	MS. JONES: Now, also on the 26 th of November
9	after you had spoken to Cornwall Police then, you met with
10	David Silmser?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
12	MS. JONES: If we could please go to
13	Document 715498, Exhibit 271.
14	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
15	MS. JONES: This interview here appears to
16	be conducted by yourself and Officer McDonell at the same
17	time. Is that
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
19	MS. JONES: your recollection as well?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
21	MS. JONES: And the date of the interview is
22	the 26 th of November, '93?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
24	MS. JONES: Is this your handwriting,
25	Inspector?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, it is.
2	MS. JONES: And is this the typical sort of
3	format that you do you sit there and write while the
4	person talks?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
6	MS. JONES: And so these are
7	contemporaneously made at the time of the interview?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. What we do is
9	initially upon making contact with the witness, he or she
10	will give us his or her version of the story or what they
11	have to say and often they're bouncing all over the place.
12	So when we get to this point, they're giving it to us in an
13	understandable and logical format so it has a flow to it.
14	MS. JONES: And I notice that there's
15	signatures on the side of each page. You have the person
16	you're interviewing sign the page and any officer that's
17	present as a witness?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, that's my normal
19	practice, yes.
20	MS. JONES: Now, it appears from the time,
21	1:50 to 3:57, that you were with him a little over two
22	hours?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
24	MS. JONES: Can you recall his demeanour at
25	the time? Was he cooperative? Was he calm?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: He seemed cooperative,
2	yes. I remember that.
3	MS. JONES: He was not unwilling to talk to
4	you?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
6	MS. JONES: Now, I was wondering if I could
7	please turn to Bates page 7873 and about the paragraph
8	that starts, "I phoned Malcolm MacDonald".
9	Thank you, Madam Clerk.
10	Looking at that particular portion it's
11	the paragraph starting, "I phoned Malcolm MacDonald" that
12	I'm looking at the next sentence states:
13	"I phoned Ken Seguin around a week or
14	so ago at work. I told him I wanted a
15	settlement from him also for what he
16	had done for me. He told me to talk to
17	Malcolm MacDonald, his lawyer. I
18	called Malcolm. He asked me if I had a
19	lawyer. I said 'No'. He said he would
20	not be involved in the case if I had a
21	lawyer."
22	This is after he's called it's Malcolm
23	he's talking to now at this point:
24	"He said he would not be involved in
25	the case if I had a lawyer. Then he

I	asked me how much I wanted. I didn't
2	tell him till the next day I wanted
3	\$100,000. Malcolm said that was a lot
4	of money. I said that if he didn't
5	have the money I was going to sue the
6	Ministry of Probations and Parole.
7	That was it for that conversation."
8	So those are words that you're writing down
9	as David Silmser is pretty well saying them?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. Not pretty well,
11	that's what he's saying.
12	MS. JONES: Pardon me?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Not pretty well, that is
14	what he's saying.
15	MS. JONES: That is what he was saying at
16	the time?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
18	MS. JONES: It's closest to a quote as you
19	can get?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah.
21	MS. JONES: So if we go back to your report,
22	which is Exhibit 972, if you can just keep that handy
23	because I'm going to be referring to that a couple of
24	times.
25	Go to the last page of your report. Right

1	at the top, there seems to be a couple of very significant
2	conclusions that you draw after talking to Cornwall Police
3	and David Silmser. And, again, this is still November $26^{\rm th}$,
4	1993.
5	And these I believe would be your words, and
6	you state:
7	"There is no doubt David Silmser was
8	accusing Ken Seguin of sexually
9	assaulting him in years previous.
10	David Silmser telephoned Ken Seguin the
11	night prior to finding Seguin deceased,
12	and threatened to sue him if he did not
13	a make settlement by Friday, November
14	26, '93. After investigation and
15	autopsy, there is no foul play
16	suspected in Seguin's death. Extortion
17	does not exist against Silmser as per
18	Section 346(2) of the Criminal Code of
19	Canada."
20	At that particular time, I'd say those are
21	quite significant findings. Do you still stand by those
22	findings today?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
24	MS. JONES: I'm wondering if you could
25	explain specifically the extortion finding that you made.

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: The fact that he was
2	going to sue Ken does not amount to extortion, from what I
3	if you read the section of the Code. It says
4	threatening to institute civil action or liability does not
5	amount to extortion. That's a conclusion I drew, based
6	solely on David Silmser's statement.
7	MS. JONES: And you found him credible on
8	that issue?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: On that issue, yes. He
10	seemed to be telling the truth about his dealings with Ken
11	Seguin.
12	MS. JONES: Okay. So just to be clear, at
13	no time did he say he was going to go to the press
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Not that
15	MS. JONES: if Ken Seguin didn't pay?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Not that I recall.
17	MS. JONES: At no time did he say he was
18	just going to tell his boss about it if he didn't pay?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't recall that
20	either.
21	MS. JONES: And at no time did he say, "If
22	you don't pay I'm going to go to the police and make a
23	complaint"?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't recall that.
25	MS. JONES: So on November 26 th , 1993 you

1	have made the decision that there was no extortion at that
2	time.
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
4	MS. JONES: Now, as far as you're concerned,
5	you've made the finding that you don't think that there was
6	any foul play and you've made the finding you don't think
7	there's an extortion. From your point of view, maybe
8	technically from November $29^{\rm th}$, 1993, is this investigation
9	complete?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't believe it was
11	complete. I think that District Headquarters heard about
12	the case, and I have in my notes going to speak to Staff
13	Sergeant Duhamel and I don't know what it was about.
14	That's a problem; I can't remember what it's about. I'm
15	just guessing that it's about this case because I see in my
16	notes that we Chris McDonell and I go and interview
17	Malcolm MacDonald quite a bit after November 26 th .
18	MS. JONES: Okay. We'll get to that in a
19	moment though. But at that particular point had certainly
20	the majority of your investigation been completed
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MS. JONES: from your standpoint and you
23	were satisfied with that finding?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MS. JONES: Do you recall if anyone was

1	dissatisfied with that report or that finding?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
3	MS. JONES: At the time that you made it, I
4	mean.
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
6	MS. JONES: Now, I want to talk about an
7	issue that came up again around the same timeframe
8	concerning Perry Dunlop, and I want, please, to refer you
9	to Document 728943, Exhibit 579, excerpt 4931.
10	Did Madam Clerk get all that? Yes?
11	THE COMMISSIONER: So it's an exhibit, Madam
12	Clerk.
13	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
14	MS. JONES: Now, I'm specifically looking at
15	paragraph 30, Inspector, and what you have in front of you
16	is a
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Just a second. Just a
18	second.
19	MS. JONES: I'm sorry.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: You want to go to
21	paragraph 30?
22	MS. JONES: Yes, Bates page 4931.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
24	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
25	MS. JONES: Thank you, Madam Clerk.

1	This is a Will-State of Constable Perry
2	Dunlop dated April $7^{\rm th}$, 2000, and in paragraph 30 on that
3	page Mr. Dunlop describes an event that he states happened
4	on November 25^{th} , 1993, which is the date of Mr. Seguin's
5	suicide.
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MS. JONES: Now, according to Mr. Dunlop, on
8	the date of Ken Seguin's suicide you and Officer McDonell
9	came to a restaurant in Cornwall and Mr. Dunlop was eating
10	dinner with a Crown attorney, an Ontario Provincial Police
11	officer and a professional engineer. And according to Mr.
12	Dunlop, you came over to him and told him that Ken Seguin
13	had committed suicide and the body had been located at his
14	residence on that particular date, and that you also had
15	asked him if he had a copy of David Silmser's statement.
16	Do you recall this event ever happening?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
18	MS. JONES: Did it happen?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
20	MS. JONES: Did you know Officer MacDonald,
21	as he then was, the serving police officer?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'm sorry, who?
23	MS. JONES: Did you ever know Officer
24	sorry, I misspoke a word there Officer Perry Dunlop when
25	he was serving as a police officer?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. I worked with him
2	in the drug unit.
3	MS. JONES: How long did you work with him?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't recall.
5	Approximately a year.
6	MS. JONES: And do you recall when you would
7	have worked with him?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I believe it was in
9	1991. If you look at my
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Profile.
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: my profile, you'll
12	see when I worked jointly with Cornwall Police Service. I
13	think it was two years I worked with them. The first year
14	was not with him, 1989, and in '91 and '92 I would have
15	worked with him for one of those years, as I recall.
16	MS. JONES: So you do know each other by
17	sight?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Absolutely, yes.
19	MS. JONES: And you state that you did not
20	go to a restaurant and see Perry Dunlop?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't remember going
22	to a restaurant, no.
23	MS. JONES: Is it possible it happened and
24	you don't recall it?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't see why I would.

1	I can tell you this; I do recall either him calling me or
2	me calling him about this statement okay, about a
3	statement or a file that he had taken from the Cornwall
4	Police Service, and it made no sense for me to call him
5	because we were en route to Cornwall to get the statement.
6	So we never we didn't need his statement that he took
7	from Cornwall P.D.
8	MS. JONES: Do you recall discussing the
9	suicide with Mr. Dunlop
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
11	MS. JONES: around the time of the
12	suicide?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, I don't remember
14	that. I would have no reason to discuss it with him.
15	MS. JONES: And just to be clear the
16	David Silmser's statement that seems to be referred to in
17	Mr. Dunlop's Will-State is the statement you did get from
18	Cornwall Police on November $26^{\rm th}$ when you met with Brunet
19	and Derochie. Is that right?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: As far as I'm concerned,
21	when we went to Cornwall Police Service they gave us
22	everything a copy of everything they had that would
23	relate to our investigation
24	MS. JONES: At this
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: and David Silmser.

1	MS. JONES: I'm sorry?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: And David Silmser.
3	MS. JONES: At this particular time period
4	were you aware of Mr. Dunlop's own efforts, personal
5	efforts, to do investigation in this area?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I was just starting to
7	get aware of that.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, you were
9	you were just what?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I was just starting to
11	get aware of that. I was starting to have some concerns
12	about him.
13	MS. JONES: What do you mean by "concerns"?
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: He was totally what's
15	the right word I'm looking for here infatuated with this
16	\$32,000 settlement, and he was believing there was cover-
17	ups and so on and so forth.
18	MS. JONES: Could I please go to Document
19	721672? It's Exhibit 2324 and I'm specifically looking at
20	excerpts 1873 I'm sorry, 1983.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry?
22	MS. JONES: Excerpt 1983
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, we're not there
24	yet.
25	MS. JONES: and 1984.

l	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit number again?
2	MS. JONES: Exhibit Number 2324.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
4	MS. JONES: Bates page 1983 and 1984.
5	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
6	THE COMMISSIONER: So these are Mr. Bell's
7	notes from the Children's Aid Society?
8	MS. JONES: Correct. And I only need 1983
9	for the date actually. It appears that the date of this
10	particular reference that I'm making here is the 29^{th} of
11	November, 1993 and the time is 1405. Do you see that at
12	the bottom of Bates page 1983, sir?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
14	MS. JONES: Okay. And it appears from Mr.
15	Bell's notes that the topic is a conversation with Perry
16	Dunlop and it appears too that there's another worker
17	there, Pina DeBellis, that appears to be perhaps, present
18	for that conversation. Can you see that, sir?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
20	MS. JONES: Okay. I'm actually going to be
21	referring to the notes made on the following page at the
22	top, the first four bullet points.
23	Again this is the 29^{th} of November. It seems
24	to be the same conversation and it appears that the way
25	that Greg Bell writes his notes, he recounts because

1	we've seen many of Mr. Bell's notes. He recounts exactly
2	what he is being told on the other side of the
3	conversation. That's the way that he writes the notes. So
4	it's kind of in the third person. And it appears on Bates
5	page 1984, that Mr. Bell is recounting the conversation he
6	had with Mr. Dunlop. It says at point three:
7	"He indicated"
8	And he would be Perry Dunlop:
9	"He indicated that Randy Millar and
10	Chris McDonell of OPP Lancaster are
11	investigating the matter of Ken
12	Seguin's suicide and possibly the issue
13	of whether there is an extortion.
14	4. That Randy Millar will want to
15	speak with Pina and I and he indicated
16	we are investigating this case and that
17	David Silmser has given them a
18	statement which they will give us.
19	5. That there are other perpetrators
20	involved in a ring and we will be
21	heavily hearing them."
22	I'm not sure about that.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: "we will be hearing
24	about them."
25	MS. JONES: About them?

PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	"we will be hearing about them.
2	That this includes Malcolm MacDonald.
3	6. He will still come in to review his
4	notes with us but suggested we wait a
5	couple of weeks until we've spoken to
6	Randy Millar, who can tell us more
7	about what we need to know."
8	So this is Perry Dunlop's viewpoint and sort
9	of his side of the conversation and Mr. Bell has
10	transcribed what apparently Mr. Dunlop is telling him over
11	the phone.
12	Do you recall having any sort of a
13	conversation such as this with Mr. Dunlop?
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
15	MS. JONES: Do you recall if Mr. Dunlop ever
16	told you about directly told you about that there's
17	other perpetrators, there's a ring, that there's going to
18	be more investigation into this on your part?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Not on my part, no. He
20	did tell me about this ring. That's why I'm saying, I had
21	started to get concerns about him because he was totally
22	infatuated with this notion that he had about this cover-up
23	and this ring. And you can see it right there.
24	MS. JONES: Okay.
25	

1	particular conversation appears to be the 29 to November
2	′93.
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
4	MS. JONES: And it appears that Mr. Dunlop
5	is stating that Malcolm MacDonald is alleged to be a
6	perpetrator at this particular point. Were you aware of
7	that at this time?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
9	MS. JONES: If we could please stay with the
10	same exhibit but go to Bates page 2029, please?
11	Is the entry the 13 th of December? Thank
12	you, Madam Clerk.
13	So it appears on the $13^{\rm th}$ of December, 1993
14	at 8:38, a call from Randy Millar, OPP Lancaster. And
15	again, the way that Greg Bell writes his notes, he does it
16	in the third person again so when he says "he," I believe
17	he's referring to you.
18	So it appears that this is his side of
19	recounting the conversation with you:
20	"1. That he became involved over the
21	death of Ken Seguin, which was clearly
22	suicide, and that the connection to
23	Father Charlie MacDonald came out of
24	this.
25	2. That the case has now gone to Ron

1	Wilson at OPP Lancaster.
2	3. That David Silmser gave him, Randy
3	Millar, another statement but that when
4	Ken Seguin killed himself he didn't
5	want to go further with it.
6	4. That there is another name in
7	Silmser's statement of an alleged perp
8	and that Ron Wilson has the statement
9	now and is working on it.
10	5. That he knows from CPS there are
11	two other alleged victims of Father
12	Charles but CPS will not give them the
13	names."
14	Do you recall having this conversation with
15	Mr. Bell?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, I don't. Obviously
17	I did but I don't remember that.
18	MS. JONES: Can you possibly think of why
19	you would be contacting the CAS?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
21	MS. JONES: Because it appears it's a call
22	from Randy Millar
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
24	MS. JONES: so it appears you were the
25	one who made the contact.

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'm surprised I don't
2	if I did this, I'm surprised I don't have it in my notes.
3	But obviously I've spoken to him.
4	MS. JONES: But there's no explanation you
5	can give for why you would be contacting Greg Bell with
6	this information?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
8	MS. JONES: Do you recall the fact that
9	Cornwall Police had in fact given you two other alleged
10	victims of Father Charles?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, I don't.
12	MS. JONES: Alerted you to that
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't remember that
14	either.
15	MS. JONES: didn't give the names.
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
17	MS. JONES: That doesn't appear to be in the
18	notes of your conversation with him on the 26^{th} of November.
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
20	MS. JONES: Was there any other conversation
21	you had with Cornwall Police concerning this, besides the
22	26 th of November?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, I have in my notes,
24	"attending Cornwall Police Service" but I don't have in
25	there why.

1	MS. JONES: So that's the reference you made
2	to earlier about Duhamel?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: And Duhamel.
4	MS. JONES: And Duhamel?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: As well, yes. From the
6	it would have been from the 26^{th} of November until around
7	the end of December. I have in there, "going to Long
8	Sault" a couple of times and I don't have in there why.
9	And I have in my notes, "going to Cornwall Police Service"
10	but I don't have in there why.
11	And now that I think about this, what we
12	have up on the screen here now, that could have been Greg
13	Bell calling the office looking for me and me getting a
14	message to call him and I called him. That's what it could
15	have been.
16	MS. JONES: Do you recall
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Then this would be as a
18	result of him asking me the questions, not me volunteering
19	this information, because I can't imagine why I would call
20	him.
21	MS. JONES: Okay.
22	It appears from Mr. Bell's notes anyway,
23	that Ron Wilson had been given anything to do with the
24	Father Charlie matter.
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, I would but I

don't recall that either.

1	don t recarr that erther.
2	MS. JONES: Do you recall at any time ever
3	speaking to Helen Dunlop about this matter?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Not about this matter;
5	no.
6	MS. JONES: No. And what about Carson
7	Chisholm?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No. I've never spoken
9	to Carson Chisholm that I can remember.
10	MS. JONES: Now you said you didn't speak to
11	Helen Dunlop about this matter. Was there anything related
12	to any of these proceedings we've heard about in the
13	Inquiry
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
15	MS. JONES: that you talked to her
16	about?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
18	MS. JONES: Did you know Helen Dunlop?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
20	MS. JONES: And how was that?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Through working with
22	Perry.
23	MS. JONES: So what sort of relationship
24	could you describe with Helen Dunlop?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Sometimes after work

1	he was very talented on the guitar and sometimes after
2	work, we would go to his house for a beer and he would play
3	the guitar. So I got to know Helen that way.
4	MS. JONES: So it was purely on a personal
5	level, rather nothing to do with
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, nothing to do with
7	any of this.
8	MS. JONES: investigations, or
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
10	MS. JONES: I just want to talk now about
11	the contact that you had with the Seguin family; and I
12	understand that you met with the Seguin family on
13	approximately December $15^{\rm th}$, 1993 to talk about the sudden
14	death. Do you recall that?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
16	MS. JONES: And besides seeing the Seguin
17	family at the death scene in November and this meeting on
18	December 15 th , had you had any other contact or conversation
19	with the Seguin family?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't recall having
21	any contact with them, no.
22	MS. JONES: Now by December 15 th , presumably
23	your investigation is well over. Do you recall why it is
24	that you were talking with them that date?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: If I recall correctly,

1	they asked for the meeting.
2	MS. JONES: And do you recall why they asked
3	for the meeting and what
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: They wanted
5	MS. JONES: they wanted to talk about?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: They wanted an update
7	about the investigation. They wanted to know what we
8	learned from the investigation. I guess they had been
9	hearing rumours.
10	MS. JONES: Can you recall specifically what
11	sort of things they wanted to talk to you you said they
12	heard rumours did they want to talk about those rumours
13	with you?
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: As I remember, they just
11	
15	wanted to know what we found.
15	wanted to know what we found.
15 16	wanted to know what we found. MS. JONES: So what was it you told them
15 16 17	wanted to know what we found. MS. JONES: So what was it you told them then?
15 16 17 18	wanted to know what we found. MS. JONES: So what was it you told them then? DET. INSP. MILLAR: I told them that Ken
15 16 17 18 19	wanted to know what we found. MS. JONES: So what was it you told them then? DET. INSP. MILLAR: I told them that Ken Seguin committed suicide and now, this is in response to
15 16 17 18 19 20	wanted to know what we found. MS. JONES: So what was it you told them then? DET. INSP. MILLAR: I told them that Ken Seguin committed suicide and now, this is in response to their questions.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	wanted to know what we found. MS. JONES: So what was it you told them then? DET. INSP. MILLAR: I told them that Ken Seguin committed suicide and now, this is in response to their questions. I told them that I was told that Ken Seguin
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	wanted to know what we found. MS. JONES: So what was it you told them then? DET. INSP. MILLAR: I told them that Ken Seguin committed suicide and now, this is in response to their questions. I told them that I was told that Ken Seguin was gay, or I think the word I used was "homosexual," and I

1	MS. JONES: And can you recall their
2	reaction to that?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: They were floored,
4	absolutely. They were upset, very upset. I don't think
5	they knew any of this.
6	MS. JONES: I think you understand or know
7	now anyway that Doug Seguin wrote a document that outlined
8	the meeting from his perspective, and one of the things he
9	said was that you had said, to use his word, "atrocious"
10	things to him on that day, and that he was very upset by
11	that?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: He was upset with what I
13	had to say, yes. Now, if you'd want to call those it's
14	the truth, is what I told him. I wasn't going to hide it;
15	I wasn't going to tone it down. I told him the truth.
16	They asked, I told them the truth.
17	MS. JONES: Were you aware that Doug Seguin
18	had met with Superintendent Fougère in February, 1994, and
19	made a complaint about your comments to them on that
20	December 15 th meeting?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, I was not aware of
22	that.
23	MS. JONES: If I could just take you,
24	please, to Document 733050, specifically Bates page 7408;
25	733050?

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
2	Exhibit 2597 is these are your notes,
3	sir?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. So these are
6	Officer Millar's notes and the first date on the first page
7	is the 22^{nd} of April, 1996.
8	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2597:
9	(733050) - Notes of Randy Millar dated April
10	22, 1996 & April 23, 1996 and December 30,
11	2004
12	MS. JONES: And if I could specifically go
13	to Bates page 7408, please?
14	Officer Millar, these appear to be your
15	notes again, handwritten notes?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
17	MS. JONES: And I'm specifically looking at
18	the entry that you have dated 30^{th} of December, '04.
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
20	MS. JONES: And it appears that a message
21	was left on your answering machine, on your home phone, by
22	Doug Seguin?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
24	MS. JONES: You see that? And then you
25	returned the call it appears at 13:26?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
2	MS. JONES: And I wonder if you'd just
3	describe that conversation in your own words?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Doug Seguin I guess
5	it came on TV, through Charlie Greenwell I'm only
6	guessing after this Inquiry was announced, and he had he
7	talked about well, just read my notes.
8	He talked about Charlie Greenwell and Guzzo.
9	He talked about some OPP tapes being destroyed, that these
10	tapes came out of Ken's house, that the tapes were
11	destroyed illegally.
12	He questioned me as to whether there were
13	tapes taken from Ken's house when we did the death
14	investigation and I couldn't recall seizing any tapes, and
15	that Ken's death was a suicide.
16	Doug wanted me to issue a press release
17	telling the public that there were no tapes in the house,
18	and I didn't feel that was obviously wasn't appropriate
19	for me to do that when there's when I think Colleen
20	McQuade had carriage well, I notified Colleen, so she
21	had carriage of this inquiry so I directed him to her, or I
22	think I called her and she called him, one or the other,
23	and that was the end of my involvement. And I also
24	notified Don Genier, one of the investigators.
25	MS. JONES: Now, this is relatively

1	recently, compared to all the other events
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
3	MS. JONES: it was at 2004. Can you
4	recall if there was any other contact with the Seguin
5	family between December $15^{\rm th}$, 1993, and this phone call, the
6	30 th of December, 2004?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Not that I can recall,
8	no. This was I was surprised by this call.
9	MS. JONES: Do you have any
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't even know how he
11	got my number.
12	MS. JONES: That was my next question
13	because it was a home number
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
15	MS. JONES: that he'd called.
16	Now, just to be clear too for your own mind,
17	I want to put the summary of what Doug Seguin actually told
18	Superintendent Fougère about
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
20	MS. JONES: the meeting because I think
21	that would be useful for you. It's Document 124187,
22	Exhibit 1051.
23	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
24	MS. JONES: I'm looking at Bates page 1473,
25	please.

1	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
2	MS. JONES: Bates page 1473 at the bottom.
3	This is the document I'd referred to a bit
4	earlier that Doug Seguin had written because of the civil
5	lawsuit, but it's a summary from his perspective of that
6	conversation that he had with you in December, 1993
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
8	MS. JONES: and at the very bottom, it
9	describes that particular meeting.
10	And he says that he met with yourself and
11	officer McDonell at Lancaster:
12	"And then gave an atrocious description
13	of what they said my brother and others
14	had been doing to young boys. When
15	questioned, their suspicions turned out
16	to be nothing more than innuendo, with
17	the notion that Ken was homosexual and
18	could not back up any of their
19	accusations, and made some vague
20	references to other investigations
21	going on in the area at the time."
22	Is that a fair description from your
23	perspective as to what
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't
25	MS. JONES: the conversation entailed?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: remember him I
2	don't remember saying anything about young boys. I think I
3	made specific to David Silmser.
4	MS. JONES: So at that particular point on
5	December 15 th , your only knowledge of any accusations
6	levelled against Ken Seguin had to do with David Silmser?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's all I can recall,
8	yes.
9	MS. JONES: Okay.
10	And then at the very bottom of that same
11	page, Bates page 1474, the very last paragraph, it states
12	that the Seguin family although it may have been just
13	Doug Seguin, I'm not certain this happened about
14	February 2 nd , 1994:
15	"The Seguin family made a complaint to
16	Superintendent Fougère at Long Sault of
17	the disreputable conduct of his
18	officers. He immediately called the
19	East Regional Headquarters in Orillia
20	and started a formal investigation of
21	Silmser for extortion. Unfortunately,
22	they used Chris McDonell, one of the
23	same officers who we had complained
24	about and who was also involved in
25	Inspector Tim Smith's investigation of

1	Father Charles MacDonald."
2	Again, just to be very clear, when did you
3	become aware that any sort of a complaint, even not a
4	public complaint, necessarily, but just any sort of a
5	complaint had been made against you by the Seguin family to
6	Superintendent Fougère?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I think it was in
8	preparing for this Inquiry. That's I was never aware of
9	that. If he would have made a complaint, we would have
10	been notified of it, for certain.
11	MS. JONES: So you were never contacted by
12	Professional
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
14	MS. JONES: Standards, or anyone
15	else
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
17	MS. JONES: with regards to that
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
19	MS. JONES: specific incident?
20	Now, going next in time then, we have the
21	Sequin meeting on December 15 th . I want to now go, please,
22	to Document 100558, which is Exhibit 1083.
23	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
24	MS. JONES: I'm specifically looking at
25	Bates page 1859.

1	Now, these notes are actually handwritten
2	notes of a person called Lenna Bradburn, and it would
3	appear that she had a conversation with you on the 20^{th} of
4	December at 8:35. Do you recall this conversation?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
6	MS. JONES: Do you know who Lenna Bradburn
7	is?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I do now.
9	MS. JONES: And what's your understanding of
10	who she is?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: She's with the
12	Children's Aid Society, CAS.
13	MS. JONES: Well, she's with the Ministry of
14	the Solicitor General and Ministry of Correctional
15	Services, I believe.
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm
17	getting her mixed up with is it Ms. Stanley with CAS?
18	MS. JONES: Okay. Now, it appears on the
19	20^{th} of December that she had a conversation with you about
20	the Ken Seguin situation.
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't recall that.
22	MS. JONES: Don't recall that? Just looking
23	at the notes that she made here, it seems to be consistent
24	with what your findings were in any event.
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.

1	MS. JONES: First of all:
2	"Death by hanging. Have concluded it
3	was suicide."
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
5	MS. JONES: So that's consistent.
6	"Ron Wilson in Long Sault is looking
7	into sexual abuse by priest."
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
9	MS. JONES: That's consistent with what you
10	said before. And lastly:
11	"Have looked at issues of extortion by
12	Silmser but have been discounted."
13	And that's consistent with what you said
14	before as well.
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
16	MS. JONES: So certainly by December 20 th , it
17	appears the suicide issue has been resolved, and it also
18	appears the extortion issue has been resolved I'd
19	suggest for some time actually on the extortion issue?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. As far as I'm
21	concerned, yes.
22	MS. JONES: Do you recall at that time
23	anyone asking you to keep investigating anything to do with
24	extortion?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I must have been asked

1	to go and speak to Malcolm MacDonald because when Chris and
2	I went and interviewed him that was quite a while after the
3	26 th , if I remember right.
4	MS. JONES: It was actually
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I think it was in
6	December.
7	MS. JONES: Yes, the 21st of December.
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
9	MS. JONES: When you go there next,
10	actually.
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay.
12	$MS. JONES:$ But on the 20^{th} of December, it
13	seems clear that there's no issue on extortion and yet
14	I'm going to take you now to the statement of Malcolm
15	MacDonald which is dated the exact next day.
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay.
17	MS. JONES: And that is Document 715456,
18	Exhibit 973.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Nine-seven-three (973).
20	MS. JONES: Just look at the first page for
21	now.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Nine-seventy-three (973).
23	Yes, okay.
24	MS. JONES: So this particular document
25	seems to be the statement of Malcolm MacDonald dated the

1	21° of December, 1993. And it appears that yourself and
2	McDonell did the interview?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
4	MS. JONES: And the interview was a little
5	over two hours long?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MS. JONES: And, again, it's the same
8	format, I think, as what we discussed last time with the
9	Silmser statement; that it seems to be your handwriting and
10	you're writing as things are going along, and then
11	everybody signs the pages to be sure it's
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
13	MS. JONES: correct.
14	Now, if you recall the notes of Greg Bell,
15	those notes that I put to you are dated the the Perry
16	Dunlop conversation is actually dated November 29^{th} and the
17	conversation that apparently you had with Greg Bell was
18	dated December 13 th .
19	Were you aware at that time that Malcolm
20	MacDonald was a possible perpetrator?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No. We were
22	interviewing him regarding David Silmser.
23	MS. JONES: So when you're meeting with him
24	on the $21^{\rm st}$ of December, '93, what is the purpose then of
25	this particular statement?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: He was ne received a
2	phone call from David Silmser.
3	MS. JONES: Okay. But what is the purpose
4	of the statement? If your extortion investigation is over
5	and he's, according to you, not an alleged perp in your
6	mind, what is the purpose of the statement?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'm just the only
8	thing that would make sense is that somebody in above me
9	has said, "It's not over. Go and interview Malcolm
10	MacDonald and see what he has to say about this case."
11	MS. JONES: Do you recall who said that?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
13	MS. JONES: Now, in this particular
14	statement, we've looked at this statement through other
15	witnesses, and he clearly has a different version of what
16	David Silmser said at the end of the phone when he had a
17	conversation with Malcolm MacDonald. Probably the key
18	thing is that according to Malcolm MacDonald's statement,
19	if we go to Bates page 7742, about halfway down it says,
20	"In the afternoon of"?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MS. JONES: "In the afternoon of" this is
23	Malcolm MacDonald's words, talking about the conversation
24	that I think David Silmser also described from his
25	perspective. And from Malcolm MacDonald's perspective,

PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	he's stating:
2	"In the afternoon of November 15^{th} ,
3	1993, I telephoned Silmser at a number
4	that Ken had given me. Silmser wanted
5	money and told me if he didn't get any
6	money he was going to the Ministry with
7	a complaint."
8	And then later on Malcolm says, "I told Mr.
9	Silmser I'd get to him by the end of the week", or words to
10	that effect.
11	You'd agree with me that there's a
12	difference between this version of that conversation, which
13	is, "I'll go to the Ministry with a complaint" and David
14	Silmser's version, which was, "I'll sue the Ministry"?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
16	MS. JONES: Did you not want to clarify that
17	at that point in the interview?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't know. I don't
19	remember.
20	MS. JONES: The paragraph above that states:
21	"I was trying to convince Ken to lay
22	charges against Silmser for extortion
23	and if he didn't want to go that far,
24	to tell his boss at work."
25	Do you see that paragraph there?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
2	MS. JONES: Again, did you ask any questions
3	to sort of flesh that out, why Malcolm MacDonald would have
4	thought it was extortion?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
6	MS. JONES: Now, typically you may know
7	this, I'm sure you do lawyers take notes of phone calls.
8	When they're on the phone with somebody they're writing
9	notes as they go along?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
11	MS. JONES: Did you ask Malcolm MacDonald if
12	he had any notes of those conversations made at the time?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't remember. I
14	think he told us he had writings or did I not see that
15	on the first page or somewhere?
16	MS. JONES: And Malcolm MacDonald also
17	stated that he had a statement prepared by Ken Seguin?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
19	MS. JONES: Did you get a copy of that
20	statement?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't recall.
22	MS. JONES: Do you recall if you asked him
23	why these statements had not been provided sooner to the
24	police; for instance, when they were investigating the
25	suicide?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't remember.
2	MS. JONES: Could I please go to Document
3	733045, Exhibit 2515.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Two-five-one-five (2515)?
5	MS. JONES: Two-five-one-five (2515),
6	Document 733045.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: When you went over to
8	interview Malcolm MacDonald, did you know of the phone call
9	that Silmser had made to the Cornwall Police about,
10	"Anything happens to me the suspects should be"
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, I believe we were
12	made aware of that on the day of the day that we went to
13	the Cornwall Police Service. It was the $26^{\rm th}$ of November I
14	think we were made aware of that.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Sir, did you have any
16	conversations about that with Malcolm MacDonald?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Sir, any conversations
18	that I had with Malcolm MacDonald I could only go by the
19	statement. It's too long ago.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah, okay.
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I can't remember.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, fair enough.
23	What exhibit again, 23? No.
24	MS. JONES: Exhibit 2515.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Two-five-one-five (2525),

1	yes.
2	MS. JONES: I'm specifically looking at
3	Bates page 7371.
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
5	MS. JONES: And this is an excerpt from a
6	Will State that you provided to the Project Truth people on
7	the 27^{th} of June, 2005?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
9	MS. JONES: And just to refresh your memory,
10	on Bates page 7371, on the $21^{\rm st}$ of December, 1993 that's
11	it. It states there:
12	"Malcolm turns over copies of statement
13	given to him by Seguin prior to death,
14	initialled."
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. So
16	MS. JONES: So
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I guess we did get
18	copies of the statement given to him by Seguin.
19	MS. JONES: Now that you had this statement
20	from Malcolm MacDonald and you had something from Ken
21	Seguin, what did you do with those documents?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't remember.
23	MS. JONES: Were you
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: At this point, I'm
25	guessing that somebody above me is in control of this

1	investigation, but I just don't remember who it was.
2	MS. JONES: Did you provide any of this
3	information to Ron Wilson who was looking after the Father
4	Charlie part?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't remember.
6	MS. JONES: Were you aware that on February
7	3 rd , 1994, which is a couple of months after this or a
8	month-and-a-half after this, that there was actually an
9	extortion investigation launched at that time against David
10	Silmser?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, either Tim Smith
12	did that one or Tim Smith and Fred Hamelink were doing -
13	- one was investigating Father Charlie and one was
14	investigating the extortion. I can't remember who was
15	doing what.
16	MS. JONES: Do you recall when you were made
17	aware of that?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
19	MS. JONES: Was it at the time of the
20	extortion investigation, i.e. around February, 1994 or was
21	it much later?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: On the 15 th of or the
23	17^{th} of January, I went to Akwesasne for a homicide and I
24	was there until April as we came in upon another homicide.
25	So I was over there for two homicides.

1	So I had nothing to do with what was
2	happening in Lancaster or Long Sault. I was strictly in
3	Akwesasne on the $17^{\rm th}$ of January.
4	MS. JONES: If we go to your notes, still on
5	the same page that we have there in front of us, the entry
6	dated the 22^{nd} of April, 1996 remember this is 1996 not
7	1994 there's a mention of a briefing with Fred Hamelink?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
9	MS. JONES: And that has to do re. the Ken
10	Seguin suicide. As I say, this is April '96
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
12	MS. JONES: not '94.
13	There's no other reference to Inspector
14	Hamelink other than that reference in 1996.
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
16	MS. JONES: Is it fair to say you did not
17	for the reasons that you also just talked about that you
18	did not have any conversation with Inspector Hamelink at
19	the time about the extortion investigation?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, I don't remember
21	having any conversation with Hamelink about that.
22	MS. JONES: Were you aware that Chris
23	McDonell was tasked as the lead investigator on this
24	extortion investigation?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I know he was now but I

don't -- like I say, I was totally occupied on Akwesasne. 1

- 2 I would have had -- I wasn't paying attention at all to
- 3 what was happening here.
- MS. JONES: What about when you came back in 4
- 5 April '94?
- 6 **DET. INSP. MILLAR:** I'd have to go to my
- 7 notes; April '94.
- 8 MS. JONES: But you don't recall having any
- 9 sort of discussion about it certainly at the time ---
- 10 DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
- 11 MS. JONES: --- but you learned about it
- 12 after?
- DET. INSP. MILLAR: No. After meeting with 13
- 14 Dr. Bouchard, it would have been a courtesy call to Fred
- 15 Hamelink, who I would have known was in charge of the
- 16 extortion investigation as I see it now.
- 17 MS. JONES: And when was that? When would
- 18 that have been?
- **DET. INSP. MILLAR:** The 22nd of April, which 19
- 20 you have up on the screen.
- 21 MS. JONES: In 1996 though?
- 22 DET. INSP. MILLAR: In 1996, yes.
- 23 MS. JONES: Okay. Right. I'm asking more
- 24 specifically though about 1994.
- 25 DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't -- like I say, I

1	don't remember. I'd have to go to my notes.
2	I don't remember having anything to do with
3	this case after the $17^{\rm th}$ of January or after the last entry
4	in my notebook which is December. Actually, I think I have
5	one in January going to Long Sault. I don't remember
6	having anything to do with this case again until having to
7	go and see Dr. Bouchard, the regional coroner.
8	MS. JONES: And were you ever aware that
9	Superintendent Fougère had actually he testified to this
10	in the Inquiry as well that he had actually at one point
11	asked for a reinvestigation into the suicide. Were you
12	aware of that?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, I don't remember
14	that.
15	MS. JONES: Did you ever hear about that
16	before preparation for the Inquiry?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't remember that,
18	no.
19	MS. JONES: We're going to move on now to a
20	different topic.
21	I'd like to take you please to Document
22	714184.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 2598 is an
24	interview report of Officer Millar, 15 th of February, '94,
25	statement taken by Hurlbut and Beatty. Is that yeah,

1	Hurlbut and Beatty thank you Exhibit 2598.
2	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2598:
3	(714184) - Interview Report of Randy Millar
4	re. C-91 dated February 15, 1994
5	MS. JONES: Thank you very much.
6	Mr. Commissioner, there should be a
7	publication ban on this document. I would also be asking
8	for a moniker given the nature of this particular statement
9	and what it discusses.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Just a second.
11	Okay.
12	MS. JONES: I can refer you to the actual
13	name that I would wish to have monikered. It's on the
14	second page, I believe.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, there's an alleged
16	victim on the first page.
17	MS. JONES: Is there, on the first page?
18	Yes, that's actually that's correct. It's in the first
19	paragraph. It's a description of the allegation's on
20	the second page in more detail.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: So there will be a ban on
22	publication of the name that is found on the fifth line of
23	7054011 which will be confirmed in the in camera hearing.
24	MS. JONES: Thank you.
25	And what's the moniker number please, Madam

1	Clerk?
2	THE REGISTRAR: C-91.
3	MS. JONES: Six-nine-one?
4	THE COMMISSIONER: C-91.
5	MS. JONES: C-91.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: So do you see that, sir,
7	the person that we're referring to on the fifth line?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: That will be C-91.
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: C-91.
11	MS. JONES: Now, there's also reference to
12	C-91's father.
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
14	MS. JONES: And because they have the same
15	surname, could you please refer to that person as C-91's
16	father rather than using
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay.
18	MS. JONES: the surname because there
19	can't be any identifying feature as well.
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay.
21	MS. JONES: Now, this statement was made by
22	you on the $15^{\rm th}$ of February, 1994, and this was a police
23	statement given to police officers because apparently on
24	the previous Friday, February 11 th , 1994, you had learned
25	from your brother-in-law, Crown attorney Murray MacDonald,

1	that your lather-in-law, Milton MacDonald, had received a
2	phone call from a person alleging sexual misconduct on
3	Milton MacDonald's part.
4	Is that correct?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
6	MS. JONES: It appears from your statement
7	that obviously this is very upsetting for the family?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: It was indeed, yes.
9	MS. JONES: And a family meeting was
10	arranged for the Saturday morning?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
12	MS. JONES: And this is when all of you had
13	discussed Milton's previous dealings with the criminal
14	justice system in a similar sort of a situation. Again,
15	this obviously upsetting to everyone involved, including
16	yourself?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
18	MS. JONES: And on the Sunday then, you met
19	again with your brother-in-law, Murray MacDonald, and I'd
20	just like to refer you to the second page of your
21	statement, Bates page 4012, and it's the second last
22	paragraph that I'm looking at starting with the words, "I
23	told them". And this is again your statement, saying:
24	"I told them that I thought we should
25	get hold of the victim's father and ask

1	him if he had any knowledge of any
2	wrongdoing by Milton towards C-91. I
3	called C-91's father and he came to my
4	house. I asked C-91's father if he was
5	aware of any problems between C-91 and
6	Milton. C-91's father replied, 'My
7	wife had a problem with him once', but
8	that he wasn't aware of any problems
9	between C-91 and Milton."
10	It appears that he then went on to tell you
11	that one time his wife found C-91 and Milton in the house
12	and she was suspicious and told Milton to stay away from
13	her son. And as far as C-91's father knew, nothing had
14	happened between C-91 and Milton of a sexual nature.
15	"I told C-91's father that I was
16	concerned that maybe something had
17	happened and that I wanted to get to
18	the truth to find out if this was a
19	criminal or moral issue. I told him
20	that it hinged on the age of C-91 at
21	the time; that if they were adults, it
22	would be a moral issue, but if C-91 was
23	younger that perhaps it would be a
24	criminal issue. C-91's father asked
25	what I wanted him to do. I told him

1	that I wanted to get to the bottom of
2	it so he would know whether it had
3	happened or not. I told him that if it
4	was criminal that I would request that
5	someone from outside come in to conduct
6	the investigation. C-91's father left.
7	I was feeling very bad about the
8	situation so I called Superintendent
9	Carson Fougère and advised him what had
10	occurred to date. Carson said it would
11	have to be investigated, but no
12	arrangements were made."
13	And a little while later down, it says:
14	"C-91's father told me that C-91 told
15	him that Milton had molested him when
16	he was 14 years old. I told C-91's
17	father that I shouldn't hear any more
18	and that I would arrange for some
19	outside investigators to interview C-
20	91. I called Superintendent Fougère
21	back to tell him what C-91's father had
22	told me."
23	Is that an accurate reflection of what
24	happened in those days?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.

1	MS. JONES: And I would like to refer you
2	now to Document 714173 together with Document 714177.
3	There's two documents, but it's actually one
4	statement. If the whole statement could be made one
5	exhibit, please?
6	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
8	Exhibit 2599 is a statement from Murray
9	Gerard MacDonald taken the $16^{\rm th}$ of February, 1994.
10	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2599:
11	(714173) Interview Report of Murray
12	MacDonald re. C-91 dated February 16, 1994
13	MS. JONES: Thank you. I'd ask for a
14	publication ban on this for the same reasons the previous
15	statement, Mr. Commissioner.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, yes.
17	MS. JONES: Now, on the first page of Mr.
18	MacDonald's statement, the last paragraph talks about when
19	he had first learned of this particular allegation and it
20	appears what his initial thoughts were on it. And in his
21	statement, he stated:
22	"I hung up and tried to make sense of
23	the information that I had received. I
24	wondered if the man may be trying to
25	extort money. I wondered why anyone

1	would say something like this and I was
2	trying to think what motive C-91 would
3	have."
4	Do you see that?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
6	MS. JONES: Then at the bottom of the second
7	page of his statement, which is Bates page 3998, there's
8	actually a recounting of the conversation he had with you,
9	now from his perspective, in the last paragraph. It starts
10	with the words, "I told them" and it states:
11	"I told them there was a previous
12	incident in the late sixties. I asked
13	Randy if he knew about this. Randy
14	replied yes, that he had and that he
15	presumed that everyone in the family
16	knew about it. He said that he
17	remembered a rumour at that time, but
18	he didn't believe that there had been
19	anything to it. We didn't think that
20	it had ever progressed past the police
21	investigation."
22	I'm just a bit confused. Was it you who
23	thought it hadn't proceeded past the police investigation
24	or
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.

1	MS. JONES: was it Mr. MacDonald?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Me.
3	MS. JONES: Then at the top of the next
4	page, which is Bates page 4003, it states:
5	"Randy tried to calm me down by saying
6	that a complaint may not be made at
7	this point and that it may indeed be an
8	extortion attempt. Randy and I talked
9	about the precarious position that he
10	and I would be in being a police
11	officer and a Crown Attorney, so I told
12	him to be sure to keep his distance."
13	So in these two conversations and even in
14	your own notes, the issue of whether this was actually an
15	extortion had come up; from your side and from Mr.
16	MacDonald's side?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, it was a
18	possibility.
19	MS. JONES: Is it fair to say that that was
20	one of the motivations that caused you to want to talk to
21	the victim's father the alleged victim's?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I wanted to talk to the
23	victim's father to get to the truth.
24	MS. JONES: It further states in Mr.
25	MacDonald's statement later on in that same paragraph:

1	"If Randy found there wasn't a
2	complaint then I told him he should
3	still keep his distance. I told Randy
4	that I had already instructed Dad to
5	stay away from C-91 and not to try to
6	take the law into his own hands."
7	It further states at the bottom of that
8	page:
9	"Randy and I talked about the events.
10	Randy felt the matter should be brought
11	to a head and be dealt with. I told
12	him to be careful and not jeopardize
13	his position. He said that he felt he
14	could contact the father of C-91
15	without compromising his position. I
16	told him to be careful."
17	Do you recall this conversation?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
19	MS. JONES: Now, when you did meet with C-
20	91's father, he knew you were a police officer or serving
21	police officer?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: We were neighbours for a
23	number of years. He lived behind me.
24	MS. JONES: So he had known for some time
25	that you were a police officer?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Oh, yes.
2	MS. JONES: On the last page of Mr.
3	MacDonald's statement, about halfway down the page, it's
4	stated:
5	"Randy indicated that he was going to
6	see the boy's father. I told him that
7	I trusted his judgment and that was his
8	call."
9	Again, is that how you remember it, that you
10	made the decision not to go and that Mr. MacDonald did not
11	have any input into that?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, I made the decision.
13	MS. JONES: Now, it appears that later on we
14	learned that Mr. MacDonald was actually Milton MacDonald
15	was actually convicted of this particular offence.
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
17	MS. JONES: Is that your recollection as
18	well?
19	And would you agree that by your contacting
20	of C-91 C-91's father, it could be perceived as perhaps
21	using your position as a police officer in an inappropriate
22	way?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, I don't see that at
24	all. I saw it as me trying to get to the truth of whether
25	this actually happened or not and it did happen and we

1	turned him in.
2	MS. JONES: Just a moment, please.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you know what the
4	sentence was when Mr. MacDonald
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't remember
6	exactly, sir, but he went he went to jail.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
8	MS. JONES: My last question before we take
9	a break.
10	I'm wondering if you can be given the
11	moniker list and I just want to ask you if you are familiar
12	with this particular person; C-4?
13	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
15	MS. JONES: You don't know this person?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I know of the last name.
17	There's not too many families around with that last name,
18	but I don't know that first name. I know his brother if
19	it's the same family.
20	MS. JONES: I wonder if maybe you could look
21	at the moniker list to see if you recognize if there's I
22	think there's one other person no.
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Can you tell me what he
24	does for a living?
25	THE COMMISSIONER: No.

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No?
2	MS. JONES: I'll leave it at that. Thank
3	you very much. This might be a good time
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Let's take a break.
5	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
6	veuillez vous lever.
7	This hearing will resume at 11:15 a.m.
8	Upon recessing at 11:01 a.m./
9	L'audience est suspendue à 11h01
10	Upon resuming at 11:23 a.m./
11	L'audience est reprise à 11h23
12	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
13	veuillez vous lever.
14	This hearing is now resumed. Please be
15	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
16	RANDOLPH MILLAR, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
17	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR
18	MS. JONES (cont'd/suite):
19	MS. JONES: Can we please go to Document
20	714159?
21	Before you describe whose statement this is,
22	Mr. Commissioner, this refers to a monikered person, C-80,
23	and they would probably share the same surname.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. So we're going to
25	say that this is an interview report of

1	MS. JONES: It's the mother of C-80.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: mother of C-80. It's
3	a statement taken on May 9^{th} , 1994 by Hurlbut and Beatty.
4	MS. JONES: Thank you.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: It should be a
6	publication ban.
7	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2600:
8	(714159) - Interview Report of the mother of
9	C-80 dated 09 May 94
10	MS. JONES: Thank you.
11	Do you have that in front of you, sir?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
13	MS. JONES: Thank you.
14	Now, the incident involving yourself and
15	your father-in-law date from February 1994. This statement
16	is dated May 1994 so presumably this is and these are
17	the same officers that interviewed you and Mr. Murray
18	MacDonald, so presumably this is part of that ongoing
19	investigation. And this is a person that's the mother of
20	C-80. Can you recognize who C-80 would be from this
21	document?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
23	MS. JONES: Okay. And I just want to refer
24	you just generally speaking, the statement refers to the
25	contact between C-80 and Milton MacDonald. Would you

1	agree?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
3	MS. JONES: And on the very last paragraph
4	it states, "Terry Seguin" if you can see that sentence,
5	yes.
6	"Terry Seguin and Al Millar of the
7	local OPP came to see me. They were
8	investigating Milton MacDonald, who had
9	apparently been molesting young boys."
10	I'm wondering, the "Al Millar" that they're
11	talking about, that's not you, is it?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, that's my father.
13	MS. JONES: That's your father?
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. I would have been
15	12 or 13 years old at the time.
16	MS. JONES: My next question was the
17	relationship, but you say it's your father.
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
19	MS. JONES: He was a member of the OPP as
20	well?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MS. JONES: And did you know Terry Seguin as
23	well?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: So at that time you

21 **DET. INSP. MILLAR:** No.

about Milton at some point?

20

23

24

25

THE COMMISSIONER: Never?

DET. INSP. MILLAR: No. There was rumours circulating, and I can remember playing hockey and being in the dressing room, and the talk going on between myself and

1	my friends, "Did you hear what happened with the Boy Scout
2	leader, Milton?"
3	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: And that was it; that
5	was the end of it.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's how I knew about
8	it. I didn't I don't remember him being charged but I
9	do know now that he was.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm. Okay.
11	MS. JONES: We're now going to move on to
12	the Jean-Luc Leblanc investigation, and I'd like to please
13	start off by looking at Document 736432.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
15	Exhibit 2601 is a General Occurrence Report
16	from the Cornwall Police Service. The author is Cameron S.
17	MS. JONES: Yes.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: And the report time was
19	in 1998/08/07.
20	MS. JONES: August 7 th , 1998. Is that what
21	you said, Mr. Commissioner?
22	THE COMMISSIONER: No. I said 1998/08/07.
23	I didn't know which one was the month or the day.
24	MS. JONES: Okay. I believe it's August 7 th

is the way that it's interpreted.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Probably is.
2	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2601:
3	(736432) General Occurrence Report of Jean
4	Luc Leblanc dated 07 Aug 98
5	MS. JONES: It appears from this General
6	Occurrence Report that a complainant, who was a mother of
7	two children at the time that had been Jean-Luc Leblanc
8	victims, contacted the Cornwall police and the gist of the
9	complaint was that she and her daughters had seen Jean-Luc
10	Leblanc in the company of young boys and they had estimated
11	the boys to be between the ages of eight and 12.
12	And it appears that the sightings one of
13	the sightings was in Wal-Mart, where Mr. Leblanc was buying
14	the boys running shoes. Another sighting was at the
15	Cornwall speedway, where there were three young boys
16	between eight and 12, and he was paying their way into it,
17	and apparently the complainant's other daughter had seen
18	him, to use the words, "on various occasions in and around
19	town," apparently always with young boys.
20	The complainant was very concerned because
21	the suspect, Mr. Leblanc, may be continuing his previous
22	behaviour of sexually abusing young boys. So that was the
23	gist of the complaint there. Would you agree with that?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MS. JONES: Now, this General Occurrence

1	Report also says that when the person who received this
2	incident did checks there was no information on OMPPAC or
3	CPIC to confirm the date of birth or address of Leblanc,
4	and the complainant said that she would check her old court
5	papers to see if she could get a date of birth, at least to
6	help out.
7	Now, does this General Occurrence Report get
8	filed into the OMPPAC system, and is this something, if it
9	does get put into OMPPAC, that you would have access to?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'd have to use the
11	Cornwall's org number, they call it.
12	MS. JONES: The I'm
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: It's a different org
14	number. Organization number I guess is what it stands for.
15	MS. JONES: But
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: So certainly I could
17	access it, yes.
18	MS. JONES: All right. I'm saying this is a
19	Cornwall police thing, you're an OPP
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
21	MS. JONES: thing, but you can actually
22	access these each other's reports
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
24	MS. JONES: if you request to look at
25	the Cornwall document?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you need a permission
3	or do you have
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, no, it's if
5	you're on the OMPPAC system I think you can even go "all
6	organizations," if I remember right.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
8	MS. JONES: And at this particular time
9	period, 1998, the OPP was on the OMPPAC system?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, I believe so.
11	MS. JONES: Would you agree with me by the
12	general tenor of this complaint that, to summarize, this
13	mother is very upset about what she has seen with Jean-Luc
14	Leblanc and wants the police to do something about him?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: She's certainly upset
16	enough to notify them of what she's seen. She's concerned
17	obviously.
18	MS. JONES: And would you agree with me that
19	some of the descriptions of what she's describing happening
20	between Leblanc and these children is consistent with
21	possible inappropriate behaviour?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Possibly.
23	MS. JONES: It could be possible legitimate
24	behaviour as well but

74

DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, yes.

1	MS. JONES: also could be possible
2	inappropriate
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah.
4	MS. JONES: If we could please go to
5	Document 736431, please.
6	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
8	Exhibit 2602 is a supplementary occurrence
9	report. The author is G. Tyo. The report time is the $11^{\rm th}$
10	of August, 1998.
11	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2602:
12	(736431) - Supplementary Occurrence Report
13	dated 11 Sep 98
14	MS. JONES: Now, it would appear that this
15	is the next dealing, shall we say, of Cornwall Police with
16	this Leblanc issue, and it appears Constable Tyo of the
17	Cornwall Police commenced a follow-up investigation into
18	the report that was initially taken on the $11^{ m th}$ of August.
19	Efforts were made to contact the complainant
20	to get the date of birth but that was unsuccessful, so
21	Officer Tyo got a copy of the criminal information and he
22	was able, it would appear, to find more information on Mr.
23	Leblanc, such as an address, and also had confirmed that
24	Mr. Leblanc was convicted of two counts of gross indecency
25	on November 6 th , 1986, and that he was convicted and

1	sentenced on those offences.
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
3	MS. JONES: The other thing that was
4	revealed, that there weren't any conditions concerning his
5	activities.
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
7	MS. JONES: Of course, the conviction was
8	1986. This is now 1998, so it's some 12 years later.
9	Once the information had been received, it
10	was noted that the address was actually in your
11	jurisdiction, the OPP, and it appears that Officer Tyo then
12	contacted you?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
14	MS. JONES: Could you just describe what
15	was your job at the time when this call would have come
16	through?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I was an area crime
18	supervisor, so I was supervising detectives that
19	investigated crimes such as murder, attempt murder,
20	robbery, if it came to the top of the pile, break-and-
21	enter, which didn't happen very often, that sort of thing.
22	So I was supervising them.
23	MS. JONES: And how many detectives did you
24	have at the time?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I can tell you this,

1	five detectives were seconded to other projects and, as I
2	recall, I had three full-time and three that were seconded
3	in from uniform.
4	MS. JONES: So making a total of six
5	detectives?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MS. JONES: And, as you said, three of them
8	were seconded in, so that
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Five.
10	MS. JONES: they're not experienced
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's right, yes.
12	MS. JONES: is that right?
13	And I'm wondering if you could describe what
14	is the OPP policy with regards to deciding what priority
15	some particular item will take over another?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't think it's
17	actually policy; it's common sense.
18	First of all, crimes against persons will be
19	dealt with before crimes against property, and then you
20	just go in priority, like homicide gets number one, attempt
21	murder would get number two, and robbery, and so on and so
22	forth.
23	MS. JONES: And what about possible
24	pedophile activity, on-going at the time? What sort of
25	priority would that be given?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: You mean historical
2	sexual cases?
3	MS. JONES: No, current; on-going at the
4	moment.
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Any fresh sexual
6	assaults are given high priority.
7	MS. JONES: Okay.
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Obviously not as high as
9	murder and not as high as attempt murder, but any fresh
10	sexual assault, for sure.
11	MS. JONES: What about sexual assaults
12	against children?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: The same thing.
14	MS. JONES: So it would appear from Officer
15	Tyo's notes that you were contacted on September $10^{\rm th}$, 1998
16	and it says, according to Officer Tyo:
17	"Relayed all information to him so that
18	they can conduct the appropriate checks
19	on Leblanc in their jurisdiction."
20	Do you see that?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MS. JONES: Do you recall that conversation
23	with Officer Tyo?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Not to that degree. I
25	remember him calling me and telling me that this man was

1	living in our area; gave us the address.
2	He told me that he had been seen with young
3	boys, that there but there was no offence and he wasn't
4	under any conditions. That's it.
5	MS. JONES: Okay.
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: So I was not made aware
7	of any offence.
8	MS. JONES: But you were given the
9	information that a complaint had been made?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
11	MS. JONES: And I believe your evidence is
12	that you would have had access to Exhibit 2601, which is
13	the initial general occurrence report where the initial
14	complaint would have come in?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, I would have, but I
16	did not query it.
17	MS. JONES: Okay. That was my next
18	question. Did you, yourself, or did you direct anyone to
19	look up the details, a more detailed
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
21	MS. JONES: description on
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
23	MS. JONES: Leblanc, specifically,
24	Exhibit 2601
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.

1	MS. JONES: the general occurrence
2	report?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Ideally, if we would
4	have had the manpower and this there would have been a
5	number of other cases that took priority over this it
6	would be ideal to go up to Newington and set-up
7	surveillance on Leblanc and see if he if he is in the
8	company of boys. If he is, identify the boys and interview
9	them.
10	MS. JONES: M'hm?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: It just never made it to
12	the top of the list.
13	MS. JONES: Do you agree though that as a
14	starting point, it could have been useful to look at that
15	original general occurrence report that we just looked at,
16	which is Exhibit 2601?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't think it would
18	have given me any more information than what he told me.
19	MS. JONES: So
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I could have, yes, I
21	could have.
22	MS. JONES: Okay. So from your
23	understanding when you read that general occurrence report
24	then, is it your recollection that Officer Tyo told you
25	everything that was in that original complaint?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Just what I told you, is
2	that he told me that it's Leblanc, right?
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
4	MS. JONES: Yes.
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Leblanc was seen with
6	young boys in the City of Cornwall and in our area, and
7	that he had done his investigation and there's no offence.
8	There's no offence, and there's no conditions on him.
9	That's the end of it, unless you have time
10	to go and do surveillance on him, sure. I mean, Cornwall
11	could be doing surveillance on him as well. I mean, it
12	just never got done.
13	MS. JONES: Now, I'd like to take you,
14	please, to Document 115409 which is Exhibit 2302.
15	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
16	MS. JONES: At the bottom of Officer Tyo's
17	notation, the phone call to you, the last sort of excerpt
18	that he wrote there, was that he contacted the CAS?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
20	MS. JONES: So what we're looking at here
21	appears to be the CAS perspective of the Leblanc file that
22	was opened, and the worker is Lise Stanley.
23	I just want to refer you to the third page,
24	which is Bates page 4131, and it would appear that Ms.
25	Stanley's handwritten notes are at the bottom there and the

1	description there is consistent with what Officer Tyo
2	had
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
4	MS. JONES: talked about when he when
5	the complaint was originally made, about what he had seen,
6	that the sisters of the victim had recently seen Mr.
7	Leblanc in the company of young boys, once at a shopping
8	mall and the other time at the speedway.
9	It appears the other times were not actually
10	listed there, but the last sentence:
11	"Unknown if Mr. Leblanc is currently
12	living with children."
13	Do you agree that perhaps finding that, just
14	that one point out might have been useful to seeing if Mr.
15	Leblanc was having legitimate access to children?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't know if that
17	would have changed anything.
18	MS. JONES: Without even having to do
19	surveillance on him, just going up and knocking on the door
20	and talking to him to find out if there are children that
21	live in the house, could that have been something that
22	could have been done?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't remember ever
24	doing anything like that. We respond to offences and we
25	prioritize offences, but to go and knock on a guy's door to

1	see if he's living with children, I mean, we don't I
2	don't remember doing that.
3	MS. JONES: Okay. And
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Doesn't the Children's
5	Aid do that?
6	THE COMMISSIONER: We'll call that a
7	rhetorical question.
8	MS. JONES: And on Bates page 4133, the next
9	page, Madam Clerk. The typewritten paragraph there, the
10	last sentence says it's obviously talking about the
11	contact that they had with Officer Tyo and the last
12	sentence is:
13	"Officer Tyo further stated that since
14	Mr. Leblanc resides in the Newington
15	area that they have also passed on this
16	information to the Long Sault OPP,
17	Randy Millar."
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
19	MS. JONES: Do you see that?
20	In previous dealings that you've had with
21	CAS, is it typical that you will contact them if your
22	investigation is ongoing on a certain individual, rather
23	than there being continual phone calls, say from the CAS,
24	saying "Has anything happened yet"?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, that doesn't happen.

1	MS. JONES: Okay. So do you contact CAS if
2	there is a concern on your part?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't, the detectives
4	do; whoever's assigned the case is quite familiar with the
5	process and they would contact CAS.
6	MS. JONES: All right. So that contact with
7	CAS would occur
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Through the detectives.
9	MS. JONES: presumably from your
10	detectives
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
12	MS. JONES: if they felt that there was
13	some sort of a situation they were concerned with?
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
15	MS. JONES: Okay.
16	Just a moment, please.
17	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
18	MS. JONES: Can we please go to Document
19	733047?
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit 2603
21	are notes of Randy Millar, first date September 10 th
22	don't know the year at 9:43.
23	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2603:
24	(733047) Notes of Randy Millar dated 10
25	Sep 98

1	MS. JONES: Now these appear to be your
2	handwritten notes, Inspector?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
4	MS. JONES: And it says 10 th of September,
5	but assuming it's the phone call with Tyo, which is written
6	there, it would be September 10 th , 1998?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
8	MS. JONES: Okay. And these appear to be
9	the only handwritten notes you have with regards to the
10	initial complaint that you got with Tyo?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's right.
12	MS. JONES: And it appears the only
13	information you're writing down here is that the
14	complainant was the mother of two boys, twelve years old,
15	seen at speedway. There's not a lot of detail
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
17	MS. JONES: in other words.
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
19	MS. JONES: And it would appear that there
20	was nothing done with that information after September $10^{\rm th}$,
21	′98.
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
23	MS. JONES: Is that fair to say?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's fair to say.
25	MS. JONES: And so what happens then to

1	that, if the file is already open on OMPPAC, do you not
2	open up a file yourself
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
4	MS. JONES: on this person? How does
5	that work?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, there'd be
7	there's no offence, like the only other thing I could
8	have done and this was subject to a PSB investigation,
9	internal investigation is they recommended that I could
10	have put, made a report in other words, put out a zone
11	alert telling the other officers in the area that this man
12	is living in our area and if he's seen in the company of
13	young boys, identify them.
14	MS. JONES: And what is a zone alert?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: It's just a it's
16	something that goes out that they can all read when they
17	come in to do their shift. It's printed out. I think we
18	had an eight-day board then. It's printed out and all the
19	officers in Long Sault area would read that or should read
20	it.
21	MS. JONES: Is there a board up in the
2122	
	MS. JONES: Is there a board up in the
22	MS. JONES: Is there a board up in the police station or something that you can post that people

l	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
2	MS. JONES: Is that another possibility?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: It's the same sort of
4	thing, yes. Some way of notifying the officers that he's
5	living there.
6	MS. JONES: But that was not done?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
8	MS. JONES: In hindsight, do you think that
9	could have been done or should have been done?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: If I had to do it over
11	again, I would have done that, yes.
12	MS. JONES: Okay. And just for the sake of
13	completeness, why would you not have referred this to
14	Project Truth, for example, for them to investigate?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Again, there's no
16	offence. I mean, what am I going to tell them?
17	MS. JONES: Now if we could please go to
18	Document 738883? It's already Exhibit 2510.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: You'd have that one, sir,
20	in the book with the 25s; 2510.
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Two-five-one-zero
22	(2510).
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Got to watch that
24	microphone.
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Sorry about that.

1	MS. JONES: And I'm specifically looking at
2	Bates page 4338.
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
4	MS. JONES: Now this particular document,
5	we've had entered before into the Inquiry here. It's the
6	Professional Standards Bureau investigation report.
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
8	MS. JONES: And the investigation was done
9	in September 2005. And the complainant was actually
10	Detective Inspector Colleen McQuade and it was
11	investigating essentially the Leblanc situation
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
13	MS. JONES: and, specifically, you.
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
15	MS. JONES: And on the page that I've
16	referred you to, this is a portion of your position or your
17	statement about what, from your perspective had happened
18	-
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
20	MS. JONES: on that particular time.
21	And I just want to refer you just to the
22	middle portion there, where it states your vacation and
23	training dates.
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MS. JONES: I'm presuming that you would

1	have gotten those dates from your own employment records at
2	the time, because this is talking historically in 1998
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
4	MS. JONES: so there would be some
5	documentation to verify when you
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: In my notes.
7	MS. JONES: were on vacation.
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: My notes.
9	MS. JONES: On your notes; okay. So when
10	you're away on a seminar something documentary anyway,
11	to verify that. And so those were the dates that you were
12	on vacation and on training?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
14	MS. JONES: There's also times where you
15	were out of the office because you were investigating other
16	larger matters, such as homicides and such?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. In another
18	MS. JONES: In other jurisdictions.
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: area, other than my
20	own.
21	MS. JONES: Correct; okay. And that's still
22	an accurate reflection, though, of that?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
24	MS. JONES: The only other phrase I just
25	wanted to draw your attention to is right underneath your

1	last vacation notation. It states:
2	"Cannot recall advising Chris McDonell
3	about the Leblanc report."
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
5	MS. JONES: Do you see that?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: M'hm.
7	MS. JONES: And I believe your testimony
8	here today is you didn't tell anyone about Leblanc on
9	September 10 th or September 11 th ?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't recall telling
11	anybody, no.
12	MS. JONES: Now when you were out of the
13	office and on vacation in the months following, in October,
14	November, December, is it also fair to say that you didn't
15	tell anybody before you left, "Oh, by the way keep an eye
16	on this situation"?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
18	MS. JONES: Okay. And I also understand
19	that when you're on vacation or seminars, it is Officer
20	McDonell that's the OIC?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MS. JONES: There's another phrase just
23	after the one I just read out, that in your words, even
24	if you had told Officer McDonell about Leblanc, there was
25	nothing that you could do with it anyway.

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Not given
2	MS. JONES: That was your position.
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Not given the caseload
4	that we had. That would not have hit a priority we
5	would have had to put actual sexual assaults aside to go
6	and do surveillance on Leblanc when there's no offence. We
7	couldn't do that.
8	MS. JONES: Now I want to refer you please
9	to the same document and it's Bates page 4531.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Four-five-three-one
11	(4531)?
12	MS. JONES: Four I'm sorry; 4351.
13	And this particular excerpt of the
14	investigation report is actually statements taken from Pat
15	Hall.
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
17	MS. JONES: So this is from his perspective,
18	as to what happened?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
20	MS. JONES: And I just want to put a couple
21	of his statements to you, for your comments.
22	It would appear that both Smith and Hall
23	were informed that there was something going on, on
24	December 16 th , 1998 because they were interviewing a
25	potential victim of another perpetrator when this potential

1	victim also brought up the name of Jean-Luc Leblanc.
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I read a statement that
3	was given to them on December $16^{\rm th}$.
4	MS. JONES: That's the one.
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Initials J.B. I read
6	that statement.
7	MS. JONES: Yes.
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: It's a very lengthy
9	MS. JONES: That's right. And that
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: audiotaped
11	interview. And that's they didn't learn about Leblanc
12	at this time.
13	MS. JONES: All right.
14	And if the witness could please be shown the
15	moniker attached to C-21, please?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay.
17	MS. JONES: And that person had contacted
18	Project Truth or had spoken to Project Truth people on
19	December 16^{th} of that year, and that's when the name of
20	Jean-Luc Leblanc came up. Is that your understanding as
21	well?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's what I've learned
23	in preparation for this Inquiry, yes.
24	MS. JONES: Okay. And it would appear that
25	on this Bates page 4531, that the following day Leblanc was

1	run on CPIC and OMPPAC and that's when they came up with
2	the occurrence reports and such from Tyo, and the other
3	data that had been inputted by Cornwall Police?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
5	MS. JONES: And that's your understanding as
6	well?
7	Now, if we continue further on down that
8	page I'm assuming it's still December 17 th about
9	halfway down the page it starts with, "Both Smith and
10	Hall". Yes, Madam Clerk has it on the screen. Thank you.
11	"Both Smith and Hall were surprised
12	that we had not heard about Leblanc
13	before, as both Detective Constables
14	Dupuis and Genier were part of the
15	crime unit and under Millar's
16	supervision while at their detachments.
17	They were aware that Millar had at
18	least six other detective constables
19	that he could assign to investigate
20	Leblanc."
21	You say those aren't your words, those are -
22	
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Those aren't my words.
24	MS. JONES: Officer Hall's.
25	What is your comment then in response to

1	that, please?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Genier and Dupuis worked
3	strictly for Project Truth. I had nothing to do with
4	supervising them. And, yes, I had six detectives. And,
5	yes, my workload was such that I couldn't do surveillance
6	on Leblanc.
7	MS. JONES: Now, further down, about three
8	bullet points down later, it states, "Smith" presumably
9	Tim Smith by the way:
10	"Smith called Millar the same day that
11	they received the information. Hall
12	could only hear Smith's side of the
13	conversation. It was obvious from the
14	conversation that Millar took no action
15	apparently because officers were tied
16	up on other investigations and they,
17	Project Truth, had two of his
18	officers."
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
20	MS. JONES: Comments on that? You agree
21	with that?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's our workload
23	was such that we couldn't take do any surveillance on
24	Leblanc.
25	MS. JONES: Do you recall talking to Smith -

25

	AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE III-CII(UUIIes	<i>5)</i>
1		
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No	
3	MS. JONES: on or about the 16^{th} or 17^{th}	
4	of December?	
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't remember that	
6	now, no.	
7	MS. JONES: You don't remember that?	
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.	
9	MS. JONES: And	
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'm not saying it didn't	-
11	happen. I'm just saying I don't remember.	
12	MS. JONES: Okay. You don't have to have	
13	any you don't happen to have any notes of that	
14	conversation, so	
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.	
16	MS. JONES: The next bullet point:	
17	"Millar assigned two officers to assist	-
18	the surveillance of Leblanc."	
19	Do you recall assigning them?	
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.	
21	MS. JONES: I believe it was Julie Cyr and	
22	Charlene Davidson were the two officers?	
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.	

95

of what that surveillance was going to entail, from your

MS. JONES: And what was the understanding

1	perspective?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Exactly what we wanted
3	to do, go and sit on Leblanc's house and see if he's in the
4	company of young boys. If he is, then identify the boys
5	and move ahead.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: So what prompted this
7	change of heart that you were going to do some
8	surveillance?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: The statement given by
10	whatever C number he is.
11	MS. JONES: C-21.
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: C-21.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: All right, okay.
14	MS. JONES: Had you trained or learned if
15	there was training done with regards to Officers Cyr or
16	Davidson on how to conduct surveillance?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No. I don't believe
18	they they didn't have any training formal training.
19	We did surveillance, I guess learn-as-you-go type thing.
20	MS. JONES: Were there any specific
21	instructions that you gave them before they went off?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No. I don't even think
23	I sent them. I know they went and I I guess I do
24	remember that because I gave I was going on holidays and
25	I gave Julie Cyr my car and I remember the Julie Cyr

1	incident because she, while doing surveillance, ended up in
2	Ottawa and subsequently was off for quite a while as a
3	result of a threat against her that has nothing to do with
4	this. That's the only reason I remember that.
5	MS. JONES: Okay. And
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: And other than that, I
7	was on holidays.
8	MS. JONES: The next entry said:
9	"By December 23 rd , Leblanc had not been
10	observed with any young boys and it was
11	decided to do surveillance on him
12	between Christmas and New Year's."
13	By this point, according to your notes, you
14	were on holidays in any event. You started your holidays
15	on the $23^{\rm rd}$ of December and you were gone until the $3^{\rm rd}$ of
16	January?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
18	MS. JONES: So between Christmas and New
19	Year's you would have been on holidays?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
21	MS. JONES: "On December 29 th , 1998,
22	Constable Dupuis saw Leblanc leave his
23	residence with a young boy in his
24	vehicle."
25	And this boy was subsequently located. Were

1	you aware of this particular person? It's the moniker
2	is C-82.
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'm sorry, what was the
4	question?
5	MS. JONES: Were you aware that there was an
6	interview with C-82?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Only after I came back
8	from holidays and Pat Hall told me of the success.
9	MS. JONES: All right, so that was the
10	that was a result of the surveillance on December 29 th ?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
12	MS. JONES: Okay. So you were made aware of
13	that after you came back?
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
15	MS. JONES: And do you recall how you were
16	made aware of that?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Pat Hall told me. I
18	think it was just prior to the arrest. They arrested him
19	the next day if I remember right.
20	MS. JONES: Yeah, Mr. Leblanc was arrested
21	on January 5 th , 1999 for numerous sexual offences on the two
22	most recent victims that we just talked about, C-21 and C-
23	82.
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MS. JONES: He was again arrested and

I	charged on March 11 ^{cm} , 1999 with a further 16 sex-related
2	counts involving 6 additional victims. He was again
3	arrested and charged on June 27^{th} , 1999 with 13 additional
4	sex-related charges involving 4 victims. So it came to a
5	total of 51 charges and 13 victims?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MS. JONES: Is that consistent with your
8	recollection?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't recall that. I
10	recall him I recall seeing all that when I was preparing
11	for this Inquiry, but all he made me aware of was the
12	whatever the C number was that Dupuis saw on the 28^{th} and
13	interviewed.
14	MS. JONES: Okay.
15	Can I please refer you to Document 738882?
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
17	Exhibit Number 2604 is an information
18	charging Jean-Luc Leblanc with a number of offences;
19	Document Number 738882.
20	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2604:
21	(738882) Information of charges on Jean-Luc
22	Leblanc by Steve Seguin - various dates
23	MS. JONES: This is just a compilation of
24	the different offences that Mr. Leblanc was eventually
25	charged with.

1	It's actually very difficult to read because
2	some of it is highlighted or blocked out, but it would
3	appear that when you look at this information there are
4	other informations as well with regard to this case but, in
5	this particular information, it appears that there were
6	three victims, 1 aged 13, 2 aged 14, where they're making
7	allegations that happened between April, 1998 and December
8	$31^{\rm st}$, 1998. These are the ones that are actually
9	highlighted in the information.
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
11	MS. JONES: Were you aware at the time,
12	around the 1998-1999 timeframe I'm talking about, that
13	after Leblanc had been arrested and was actually convicted
14	of some of these offences that Pat Hall was not happy with
15	the way you had handled the case between September and
16	December?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
18	MS. JONES: Had you had any conversations
19	with him about that?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
21	MS. JONES: It would appear from the report,
22	the investigative report, that he was very unhappy with the
23	fact that you had not done something between September and
24	December 1998. Can you comment on the relationship that
25	you had with Inspector I think it was Inspector Hall

1	at the time? Did the two of you get along fine together or
2	was there a problem?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: There was no problem
4	that I was aware of. And he worked in the city here and I
5	was working out of Long Sault and we had very little
6	contact, actually. And he was from Perth, I barely knew
7	him when he got here.
8	MS. JONES: Those are actually all my
9	questions for you, Inspector Millar.
10	At this particular stage I'd like to ask you
11	if you have any recommendations for the Commissioner to
12	consider and if you wish to share a sort of impact, any
13	issues surrounding this Inquiry have had on you; if you
14	wish to share that this is your opportunity to do so.
15	Thank you.
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I have no
17	recommendation, Your Honour.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Thank you.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
21	Ms. Daley.
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS.
23	DALEY:
24	MS. DALEY: Sir, my name is Helen Daley.
25	I'm here as counsel to a citizens group called The Citizens

1	for Community Renewal whose principal mandate is the reform
2	of institutions. There are a few areas I want to canvass
3	with you.
4	Firstly, I wanted you to direct your mind
5	back to the timeframe 1992-1993, and that was prior to your
6	promotion as to the position of Detective Inspector. Is
7	that correct?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
9	MS. DALEY: You gave some evidence about
10	being involved in both the Varley shooting and Mr. Seguin's
11	death. And I take it, sir, those were viewed as major
12	crimes because they involved violence and death in both
13	cases?
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
15	MS. DALEY: And I just wondered if you could
16	expand on something. I thought that what you said was in
17	both of those cases or in any major crime your expectation
18	was that the responsible detective inspector, whoever that
19	might be, would receive and review the fruits of your
20	investigation; in other words, your statements. Is that
21	correct, sir?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
23	MS. DALEY: And using the Varley shooting
24	and the Seguin death as examples, in both of those cases
25	your expectation was that the responsible detective

1	inspector would, for instance, read your statements when
2	you took witness statements?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Actually the Seguin
4	death did not meet the CIB mandate.
5	MS. DALEY: Did it not?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: It would have had if
7	it was a homicide
8	MS. DALEY: Yes.
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: we would have had a
10	detective inspector.
11	MS. DALEY: Oh, I see. So for Seguin there
12	was no detective inspector who sort of oversaw that work?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, the next rank up
14	would have been the detective sergeant out of district
15	headquarters and he actually attended the scene.
16	MS. DALEY: Is that the name that starts
17	with a "V", Vander
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, he's a detachment
19	sergeant.
20	MS. DALEY: Yes.
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: This would have been
22	Detective Sergeant Wes Lackey.
23	MS. DALEY: Oh, I see. So was he the
24	officer superior to you on the Seguin death?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.

1	MS. DALEY: And again in that instance was
2	it your expectation as to how things worked that he would
3	be reviewing any statements that you received or any
4	evidence that you developed?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Not necessarily. The
6	reason they read the statements is they it sends them in
7	the direction that the statement is sending. So if it
8	brings up names of people that did something then you're
9	obviously going to make an assignment to go and interview
10	those people. That's why and often the statements are
11	gone through as a team.
12	MS. JONES: One question I have about the
13	statements because we've heard some evidence that often
14	times there's a delay between taking the statement and
15	having a transcript available were the handwritten
16	statements in those cases the ones that either the
17	detective inspector or any supervisor would have seen?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
19	MS. JONES: And just to help us then, if a
20	detective inspector is playing a role in supervising an
21	investigation part of what he is to do, I take it, is to
22	analyze the statements and determine if the statements
23	disclose any other investigative leads that should be
24	pursued?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.

1	MS. JONES: And then he communicates that to
2	persons such as yourself who are conducting the
3	investigation?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. Actually they make
5	assignments. They're called assignments. It's written out
6	on a piece of paper.
7	MS. JONES: All right. So as a detective
8	you would be expecting to be told, for instance, by a
9	detective inspector who to see next
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
11	MS. JONES: as a person of relevance to
12	an investigation?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah.
14	MS. JONES: Just help me with this if you
15	could. And you did give some testimony this morning. In
16	relation to the Seguin death
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
18	MS. JONES: and the possibility that
19	extortion might have been associated with that death, were
20	you did you become aware that Officer McDonell
21	essentially reworked that issue under Detective Inspector
22	Hamelink as his superior? Did you know that that had
23	occurred?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, I'm sure I did.
25	MS. JONES: Were you consulted at all by

1	either of those individuals about the so-called
2	reinvestigation of extortion? Did they come to you at all
3	for any information?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't recall that, but
5	it was all in the report.
6	MS. JONES: All right.
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: And actually McDonell
8	worked with me on the case so
9	MS. JONES: During that same timeframe?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Well, when we went and
11	interviewed Silmser, which was the basis for my conclusions
12	of extortion. So he would already know that.
13	MS. JONES: He was present for that
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah.
15	MS. JONES: interview?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: He was there for the
17	interview.
18	MS. JONES: All right.
19	Is there any other way in relation to a
20	major case that a detective inspector provides his
21	supervision to the folks who are actually doing the
22	investigation, apart from looking at statements? Do you
23	have supervision sessions?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: We have briefing and
25	debriefing sessions, yes.

1	MS. DALEY: So that's another vehicle for
2	supervision?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
4	MS. DALEY: All right.
5	Let me direct some questions to you
6	specifically then about the Varley shooting if
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
8	MS. DALEY: we can go back there for a
9	moment. Firstly, you recall that ultimately you wrote a
10	letter to Mr. Seguin's supervisor
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
12	MS. DALEY: about that; correct?
13	And just to refresh your mind, you also had
14	a face-to-face interview with Mr. Seguin about that?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. Well, we were
16	interviewing him about his visitors coming to his house.
17	MS. DALEY: Correct. Correct. That's what
18	I want to focus on here.
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah.
20	MS. DALEY: In the course of that activity,
21	sir, was it your understanding that Mr. Seguin's supervisor
22	at the Cornwall Probation and Parole firstly I'll give
23	you his name. His name was Emile Robert; you remember him?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MS. DALEY: Was it your understanding that

1	Mr. Robert knew prior to your September $3^{\rm rd}$, '92 letter that
2	Kenneth had some involvement in the occurrence? Do you
3	have any information about that?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I believe that Ken told
5	us he was going to notify his supervisor.
6	MS. DALEY: And that's something he said to
7	you in the interview that you conducted?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I can't remember if it
9	was in the no, it would have been after the interview.
10	MS. DALEY: All right. So I take it you
11	learned some further information from Mr. Seguin after your
12	formal interview was finished?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: We were talking about
14	his providing beer to the boys and how it was not right.
15	MS. DALEY: Just refresh my mind. It was
16	you and who else that was present; was that Officer
17	McDonell?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
19	MS. DALEY: So you had a bit of a
20	conversation with him afterwards and you gave him your view
21	that
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Well, he knew it. He
23	was embarrassed.
24	MS. DALEY: Right. Right. But I take it
25	you reemphasized that providing beer to those people had

24

25

the event.

1	been a very bad judgment call on his part?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, and like I say, he
3	knew it.
4	MS. DALEY: Now, let me ask you this
5	question, sir. And I don't particularly need to refer to
6	it but if you feel comfortable having the statement handy,
7	just for your reference it's 1199. That's Mr. Seguin's
8	statement. So you look there if you need to.
9	But did his statement about exactly what had
10	occurred at his home
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Can I just get the
12	statement, please?
13	MS. DALEY: Sure.
14	It's 1199 it should be, Madam Clerk.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no. I have it. I'm
16	sorry.
17	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
18	MS. DALEY: My question is this, because in
19	this statement, sir, he gives you some information about
20	how much alcohol he provided to
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MS. DALEY: kids who were involved in

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

information check out with the other witnesses who you

My question was simply this. Did that

1	interviewed concerning this occurrence? I guess that would
2	be Mr. Varley and potentially Mr. Woods.
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, it was Bob Varley.
4	MS. DALEY: Yes.
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Mark Woods.
6	MS. DALEY: Yes.
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: They that's how we
8	ended up at Ken Seguin's. They told us they went there.
9	MS. DALEY: I understand that, but Ken is
10	now giving you some specific information about
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: About the beer.
12	MS. DALEY: "I gave them two beer."
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah.
14	MS. DALEY: Did that check out with what the
15	other witnesses said or do you recall?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I can't remember. I'd
17	have to look at their statements.
18	MS. JONES: All right.
19	And did Ken's account of their visit at his
20	house generally check out with what other witnesses told
21	you?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
23	MS. DALEY: I have a question specific to
24	this occurrence, sir, and I take it, in general terms,
25	this shooting was, in my words, fuelled by alcohol in the

1	sense that alcohol played a huge factor in what happened,
2	right?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
4	MS. DALEY: And, indeed, in Exhibit 929 and
5	that is the letter you wrote to Mr. Robert?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MS. DALEY: So have a look if you need to,
8	but I'm going to quote from that letter to the effect that:
9	"The people involved had been drinking
10	liquor and beer heavily for 17 hours
11	before the shooting."
12	Do you recall that detail?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
14	MS. DALEY: So I'm doing some math in my
15	mind. The shooting happened at about 4:00 a.m. on January
16	9 th ?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
18	
	MS. DALEY: And they were therefore at Ken's
19	MS. DALEY: And they were therefore at Ken's house eight hours prior to that shooting because they were
19 20	
	house eight hours prior to that shooting because they were
20	house eight hours prior to that shooting because they were there at 8:00 p.m., right?
20 21	house eight hours prior to that shooting because they were there at 8:00 p.m., right? DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
202122	house eight hours prior to that shooting because they were there at 8:00 p.m., right? DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. MS. DALEY: And according to the known

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'd have to do the math.
2	I I know they were drinking heavily all day.
3	MS. DALEY: Right and so
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: And right through until
5	the shooting occurred.
6	MS. DALEY: Correct.
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah.
8	MS. DALEY: So all day the prior day, and
9	that's the day where they come to Ken's place at about 8:00
10	p.m.?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah.
12	MS. DALEY: So if I just stop the clock
13	there, obviously those people had been drinking
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay.
15	MS. DALEY: the majority of that day
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Heavy.
17	MS. DALEY: before they arrived at
18	Ken's, right?
19	And you would have known or I take it you
20	would have discovered that they must have arrived at Ken's
21	and left Ken's by car because of his location and where
22	they came from?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I would think so, yes.
24	MS. DALEY: All right.
2.5	_

DET. INSP. MILLAR: But I can't remember.

1	MS. DALEY: And I guess I'm just wondering,
2	sir. Ken had nonetheless given you the impression when you
3	interviewed him that he didn't think they were drunk, he
4	saw no signs of impairment. Do you recall that?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's what he said,
6	yes.
7	MS. DALEY: Were you not somewhat sceptical
8	of that report?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's what he saw.
10	That's what he said, you know.
11	MS. DALEY: Fair enough.
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: He didn't he didn't
13	have the information that they'd been drinking all day and
14	and you say that, but what were they drinking and how
15	much?
16	MS. DALEY: I take it that's information you
17	eventually
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I I don't know.
19	MS. DALEY: obtained in the
20	investigation?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I know we tracked them
22	for the entire day right up until 4:00 a.m.
23	MS. DALEY: In any event, you didn't
24	question Mr. Seguin's observation?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, I did not question

1	him about that, no.
2	MS. DALEY: All right.
3	Now, in terms of, sir, the letter that gets
4	written to Emile Robert, I'm going to refer you to some
5	evidence that Tim Smith gave about that here just to see if
6	it helps your recollection at all.
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah.
8	MS. DALEY: I'd understood from his evidence
9	that essentially what happened is the matter was in court
10	on according to Exhibit 2593 and those are your notes
11	it looks like the matter is in court for sentencing August
12	$26^{\rm th}$, '97, and it seems Smith recalled that he, you and the
13	Crown talked about sending a letter to Ken's employer at
14	that time. So do you recollect a Crown Attorney being
15	involved in that conversation?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
17	MS. DALEY: And have you
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'm not saying it didn't
19	happen, I'm just saying I don't remember that. I'm going
20	strictly by my notes.
21	MS. DALEY: That fine, sir.
22	Do you have a recollection who the Crown was
23	who dealt with the Varley sentencing matter?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't remember, but I
25	know now. I believe it was Guy Simard.

1	MS. DALEY: Simard?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
3	MS. DALEY: And I take it what happened is
4	when Varley was sentenced, it was and do you recollect
5	that the judge was Desmarais?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
7	MS. DALEY: No?
8	Do you recollect that when Varley was
9	sentenced, part of the agreed statement of facts that was
10	the foundation for his sentence included reference to their
11	visit to Ken and to Ken's role in the occurrence? Do you
12	have a recollection of that?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, no.
14	MS. DALEY: You have no recollection that
15	Ken's involvement found its way into the public record in a
16	court proceeding?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
18	MS. DALEY: I'm just wondering whether, sir,
19	the fact that the matter was exposed in a on the public
20	record played a factor in the decision that was made to
21	have you write to his boss. Do you know anything about
22	that?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't know. I don't
24	know.
25	MS. DALEY: All right. Just one or two more

1	questions on this whole subject and then I'm going to move
2	to the next subject.
3	These are in the nature of hindsight
4	questions so deal with them as you choose. When you
5	interviewed Mr. Seguin, one of the things that you would
6	have learned was he was a 47 year-old socializing with
7	he calls them boys, but I think they are fellows who are
8	about 18 years of age, right?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: M'hm.
10	MS. DALEY: That's a fact that was brought
11	home to you, that there would be roughly a 30-year age
12	difference between them?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
14	MS. DALEY: And I guess the other factor
15	that you did focus on and it troubled you was that one of
16	them was a probationer a person for whom Ken was
17	preparing a report; correct?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
19	MS. DALEY: And it troubled you that a
20	person in that situation would be attending at Ken's home
21	for any purpose. Is that fair?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah, that's fair. He
23	shouldn't be socializing with somebody that he has on
24	probation for sure.
25	MS. DALEY: Precisely. So here he is, he's

1	socializing generally with fellows of the age of about 18,
2	one of whom he has a professional responsibility for, and I
3	take it that bothered you?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
5	MS. DALEY: And clearly the fact he's giving
6	out alcohol to at least the probationer who's prohibited
7	from drinking alcohol; that bothered you very much, I take
8	it?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
10	MS. DALEY: And, in your mind, those were
11	the salient features that you learned about the occurrence
12	at Ken's home; the things that troubled you?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: The death of Andrew
14	MacDonald troubled me more than that.
15	MS. DALEY: I understand.
16	Focussing simply on the role that Seguin
17	played?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
19	MS. DALEY: Those were the troubling aspects
20	of his role?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MS. DALEY: Had you at any time, sir, before
23	or after this, heard any community rumours about Ken
24	inappropriately socializing with probationers?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.

1	MS. DALEY: Had you at any time heard any
2	information in the community about another probation
3	officer socializing or having sexual relationships with
4	probationers? I'm referring to Nelson Barque.
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
6	MS. DALEY: All right.
7	Let's talk briefly then about your next
8	encounter with Mr. Seguin. Unfortunately, that's the death
9	investigation.
10	Sir, you might like to have Exhibit 972
11	handy. Nine-seven-two (972)
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Before
13	MS. DALEY: should be the typewritten
14	occurrence report.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: So before we go to his
16	death, were you aware that he gave his he reported this
17	to his employer?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, I don't believe I
19	was.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. And were you
21	did you ever become aware that and I'm going from memory
22	now that in his report to his employer, he didn't
23	mention the matter of alcohol?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, I wasn't aware of
25	that.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
2	MS. DALEY: We're just going to have 972
3	handy for his convenience if he needs it.
4	Now, sir, I'm trying to understand and maybe
5	help you if I can step us through how the Seguin death
6	investigation continues past your conclusion that it was
7	suicide. You recall you said you know it continued past to
8	some degree. You thought you were being directed by
9	someone to do further work, but it's not clear to you who
10	or what the directions were. That's
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, I would I'm
12	guessing it was Staff Sergeant Duhamel.
13	MS. DALEY: Duhamel?
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: You're talking about the
15	in the December time period when we're
16	MS. DALEY: Yeah, for an example, yes, the
17	work that you do subsequent to, say, November 26 when
18	you've got the coroner's report and you're clear in your
19	mind this is a death by suicide.
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
21	MS. DALEY: The work subsequent to that you
22	think was likely directed by Duhamel?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Someone from
24	headquarters. That's the only reason I can figure that we
25	went to interview Malcolm MacDonald, and that's the only

1	work that I did subsequent that I can recall. And that's
2	only because it's in my notes.
3	MS. DALEY: Do you have any recollection as
4	to what direction was given? I take it the answer is no.
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Other than to interview
6	Malcolm MacDonald.
7	MS. DALEY: Is there anything that you can
8	tell us as to what you thought you were trying to do? In
9	other words, what you thought you were looking for; what
10	you thought needed further investigation as a subject
11	matter.
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: In Silmser's statement
13	he refers to Malcolm MacDonald, and it would have been wise
14	to interview Malcolm MacDonald.
15	MS. DALEY: All right. I guess though in
16	terms of my question is to what end, what are we looking
17	for? And I take it it's not really clear to you, as you
18	sit here today
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
20	MS. DALEY: what you were looking for.
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
22	MS. DALEY: Is that fair?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
24	MS. DALEY: Did you feel
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Wait a minute. No, no.

1	It is fair that you didn't really know?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'm sorry?
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, for the record you
4	were answering, "No." She asked you is it fair that you
5	really didn't know exactly what you were going after there,
6	and is that true is that fair? Is that a fair comment?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank you.
9	MS. DALEY: You're obviously a very
10	experienced investigator. Did it trouble you at all that
11	you were on a quest but you weren't sure what the purpose
12	was?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I can see it I'm
14	saying I don't know now, and the only thing that would make
15	any sense at all would be the extortion part of it
16	MS. DALEY: Right, okay. That's fair.
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: does Malcolm
18	MacDonald can he offer any evidence to support extortion
19	on behalf of David Silmser?
20	MS. DALEY: All right. On that point I
21	wanted to take you just to one item within 972, sir, and if
22	you look at Bates page 762, roughly in the centre of that
23	page. My friend Ms. Jones took you to the passage that
24	starts it says, "On November 23, evening prior to death"
25	I don't know if you see that, about the eighth line

1	down. If you could
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Oh, I see it there.
3	Okay, sorry.
4	MS. DALEY: Do you see that?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah, I see it now.
6	MS. DALEY: Just take a second and refresh
7	your mind as to the next few lines there, what that is all
8	about.
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay.
10	MS. DALEY: Do you see that, sir?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah, yeah.
12	MS. DALEY: I take it that information would
13	potentially be relevant to an extortion investigation?
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: How do you figure that?
15	MS. DALEY: Would you agree?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Would it be relevant to
17	an extortion investigation?
18	MS. DALEY: Yes.
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I think it would be
20	relevant if Silmser was murdered, they would be suspects.
21	That's the way I take it; if anything happened
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, couldn't you take
23	it that
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: to him.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: the rest of the

1	statement talks about him getting money from Seguin and
2	there was going to be a big settlement and things like
3	that?
4	MS. DALEY: I'm focusing on the last line.
5	It says:
6	"Silmser stated if they don't pay in
7	the next 48 hours he'll be going to the
8	press with his story. There's a lot of
9	money at stake."
10	Just looking at that little item of
11	information
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
13	MS. DALEY: as you see it now, would
14	that be potentially relevant to extortion?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
16	MS. DALEY: All right.
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I see what you're saying
18	there now, yes.
19	MS. DALEY: Do you recall, sir, at the time
20	and I appreciate this is long ago. Do you recall at the
21	time whether that item of information factored in your
22	thinking about extortion?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
24	MS. DALEY: And
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: All I based my final

1	result on extortion on, whatever day it was in the report,
2	was simply Silmser's statement.
3	MS. DALEY: Right. And I take it, sir, it
4	follows that this information is coming from a staff
5	sergeant in Cornwall
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MS. DALEY: whose name is D'Arcy Dupuis.
8	Did you know D'Arcy?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
10	MS. DALEY: I take it you didn't, at this
11	point, follow up with D'Arcy and ask him for more
12	information about this contact he's had with Silmser
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
14	MS. DALEY: to try and develop this
15	information?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
17	MS. DALEY: Okay.
18	Now, one other topic I can finish before the
19	break, sir, and we've talked about the statement that you
20	received from Mr. Silmser. That's Exhibit 271. There's
21	just one aspect of that I want to review with you.
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay.
23	MS. DALEY: I'm going to ask you to look,
24	when you find sorry, Madam Clerk is going to help you
25	with the exhibit.

1	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
2	MS. DALEY: I'm going to ask you please to
3	look at page 3 of the statement. The Bates number is 872,
4	and just to refresh your mind, this is Silmser talking and
5	you're recording what Silmser is telling you; right?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MS. DALEY: And at the very bottom of that
8	page let me just tell you how I read this.
9	Silmser has been talking about the \$32,000
10	settlement, you see, that he gets from the Church. And
11	then he says:
12	"In the agreement I had to go to the
13	police station and sign some papers
14	saying I wanted all charges and
15	investigations dropped."
16	You see that?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
18	MS. DALEY: So that's an item of information
19	he gave you?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
21	MS. DALEY: Now
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: But that's in relation
23	to Father Charlie MacDonald; right?
24	MS. DALEY: I understand.
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.

Think about it.

1	MS. DALEY: And what he is communicating to
2	you is that part of his settlement was that he had to
3	discontinue any police complaint about Father Charlie;
4	right?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's what
6	MS. DALEY: You understood that's what he
7	meant?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
9	MS. DALEY: So sir, I guess my question is
10	this; when you heard that information from him did it occur
11	to you that that might be evidence of a wrongful or an
12	illegal settlement or a crime in and of itself?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I didn't give it any
14	thought. It's Cornwall's problem, not mine.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: I know, but in those
16	quiet moments it didn't flow through your mind and
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Oh, absolutely.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Pardon me?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I say it's not right.
20	In my opinion it's not right.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. So in those
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: But as far as a criminal
23	aspect of it?
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, think about it.

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Think about it now but
2	I'm talking about
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Pardon me?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I say I'm thinking about
5	it then.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Right, and what I want to
7	know is back then did you make that connection that a guy
8	gets money then goes to the police station, says, "I have
9	to do this because I got some money. I don't want the
10	criminal thing to go on." So that rang a bell in your head
11	in the sense that that's not right, but it didn't ring a
12	bell that that might be illegal?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I never heard of it
16	happening before.
17	MS. DALEY: You'd never heard of a situation
18	where a potential crime victim had received money to
19	withdraw a criminal complaint?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah, I never heard of
21	it.
22	MS. DALEY: All right.
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Until then, and it just
24	obviously didn't seem right.
25	MS. DALEY: It strikes me, based on the

1	evidence you gave about learning about Ken's role with the
2	boys, you have a pretty strong sense in your gut about
3	what's right and what's wrong in matters of this nature.
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: M'hm.
5	MS. DALEY: Is that a fair
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MS. DALEY: depiction of you?
8	So this information obviously from Silmser,
9	whether it's criminal I don't need that label. It just
10	seemed wrong to you, did it not?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
12	MS. DALEY: Now, did you ask at all to see a
13	copy of the document, the settlement document that Silmser
14	was referring to?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, I didn't ask him to
16	see it.
17	MS. DALEY: At any time, sir, did you share
18	your feelings about this with anyone at Cornwall in the
19	Cornwall Police Service? For instance, might you have said
20	to them, "Listen, Silmser tells me he was paid money to
21	terminate a police complaint and I think you guys should
22	look at it"?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I think
24	MS. DALEY: Did you communicate that to
25	anyone?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I think they already
2	knew that.
3	MS. DALEY: And what's that thought based
4	on? Had you heard that they knew about this already, or
5	can you elaborate on that?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I can't remember. I
7	know that we went to Cornwall Police Service and got
8	documents and they gave us all the documents that they had,
9	but I can't remember what they are.
10	MS. DALEY: Fair enough. Can you remember
11	that a settlement agreement between Silmser and
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I think
13	MS. DALEY: whoever was part of what you
14	received or not?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I think it was out
16	there, yeah.
17	MS. DALEY: All right.
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Whether it was in those
19	documents I can't remember, but I think it was known at
20	that time that this \$32,000 settlement had been made.
21	MS. DALEY: If I could just have a little
22	bit of indulgence, there's one final aspect of this I
23	wanted to talk to you about, and it's in your notes. It's
24	in Exhibit 2596, if you could have that handy.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: That's why we have lunch

1	preaks and preaks, so we can clear the desks of all the
2	books.
3	MS. DALEY: Do you think you have enough
4	books there? Would you like some more?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'm sorry, what was the
6	
7	MS. DALEY: You're looking for 2596, and I'm
8	just going to take you to the passage in your notes where
9	the Silmser statement is taken, and then you make a note
10	subsequent to that that I want to ask you about. So just
11	to help you, sir, if you look at Bates 427 what you'll see
12	is your entry for Friday, November 26 th and the taking of
13	the Silmser statement. Bates 427; do you have that?
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'm just waiting for it
15	to come up. Okay.
16	MS. DALEY: And then just go to the page and
17	you see the statement has ended at 1557 and your notes
18	says, "Contact Crown"
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah.
20	MS. DALEY: "re policy on sexual assault
21	victims."
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
23	MS. DALEY: Do you remember that instance
24	and can you describe it to us, please?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Victims of sexual

1	assault in that time there was new policy coming out
2	pretty much daily, and I wasn't aware of any policy
3	regarding sexual assault victims at that time. So there's
4	no doubt David Silmser was telling us that he was sexually
5	assaulted, so that makes him a victim of sexual assault.
6	MS. DALEY: Right.
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: So I called the Crown's
8	office to see if they had any policy on sex assault
9	victims, can I send them somewhere to get counselling or
10	whatever?
11	MS. DALEY: So you were looking for that
12	information with a view to providing it to Mr. Silmser for
13	his benefit?
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah. I don't think I
15	got any, if I remember right.
16	MS. DALEY: Those are my questions up to
17	this point. I have a few more areas to canvass but we can
18	do that after lunch.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah. So Ms. Jones,
20	could you canvass the parties to see what the estimated
21	time will be? Thank you.
22	Thank you. Have a good lunch.
23	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
24	veuillez vous lever.
25	This hearing will resume at 2:00 p.m.

I	Upon recessing at 12:36 p.m./
2	L'audience est suspendue à 12h36
3	Upon resuming at 2:07 p.m./
4	L'audience est reprise à 14h07
5	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre
6	veuillez vous lever.
7	This hearing is now resumed. Please be
8	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
9	RANDOLPH MILLAR, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
11	MS. DALEY (cont'd/suite):
12	MS. DALEY: Carrying on, sir, moving to a
13	different topic, just a few questions for you about your
14	role on February 9 sorry, February $10^{\rm th}$, '93 when the
15	search warrant is executed at Mr. Leroux's home.
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
17	MS. DALEY: Right? You said in your
18	testimony in-chief and I'm not sure in response to what
19	question, but you did say that you were aware that C-8 and
20	Ron Leroux had been an item at one time.
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MS. DALEY: Do you recall that? And I take
23	it what you meant by that was you came to learn that they
24	had a sexual relationship and they'd lived together?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That they'd lived

1	together, yes.
2	MS. DALEY: All right. And I take it did
3	you have that information in your mind at the time of the
4	search of Leroux's home?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't remember but I
6	probably did, yes.
7	MS. DALEY: And the source of that
8	information or the source of that knowledge, would that
9	come from Steve McDougald?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, probably.
11	MS. DALEY: All right. So again that's
12	information that Mr. McDougald had developed in his
13	previous investigation and that he made you aware of at
14	about the time of the search?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't
16	MS. DALEY: Is that the gist?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Well, I don't remember
18	him making me aware of that, but it's possible. It could
19	have happened, yes.
20	MS. DALEY: All right. But whatever the
21	source, you're reasonable confident that when you walked
22	into Leroux's home on February 10 th you knew that he and C-
23	8, who was also present, had been in a homosexual
24	relationship together?
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, that is contested,

warrant?

1	I think.
2	MS. DALEY: All right, had been in a
3	relationship together.
4	That was your frame of mind? That's what
5	you believed?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah, C-8 is it? He
7	let us in.
8	MS. DALEY: That's right.
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: So he had a key,
10	obviously; right?
11	MS. DALEY: All right. And you went there
12	knowing in your mind or believing that there'd been some
13	relationship between them previously in the past?
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
15	MS. DALEY: Now, understanding that and I
16	take it, as you said, this was a very small home.
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, it was.
18	MS. DALEY: Small footprint.
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah.
20	MS. DALEY: Was there any conversation that
21	you had with C-8 at any point during the execution of the

DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't remember having any conversation with C-8.

MS. DALEY: Do you remember hearing any

1	conversation that C-8 had with Officer McDougald?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
3	MS. DALEY: Now, with respect to the tapes
4	which you found in the suitcase, if we could talk about the
5	tapes for a second, sir?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MS. DALEY: Are you able to confirm that the
8	tapes, as you saw them, all had commercial labels with
9	commercial titles on them?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't remember if they
11	all had labels.
12	MS. DALEY: I missed
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: If they all had labels.
14	I know some of them did.
15	MS. DALEY: All right.
16	And can you help me with this, sir, when, in
17	relation to the finding of the suitcase and the opening of
18	the suitcase, did the search terminate? In other words
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: You'd have to look at my
20	notes.
21	MS. DALEY: Do your notes indicate what time
22	the search was terminated?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah, when we left the
24	house
25	MS. DALEY: One second.

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I believe.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: The search warrant
3	permitted you to look at the garage and the boathouse. Did
4	you do that as well?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't recall, sir.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
7	MS. DALEY: Your counsel kindly lent me his
8	copy. Just give me one second. I think I can help with
9	this question I've asked.
10	MR. O'BRIEN: That's Exhibit 2594.
11	MS. DALEY: Would you like to have a look at
12	2594, sir?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Please.
14	MS. DALEY: Those are your notes. Do you
15	have that handy?
16	THE COMMISSIONER: He should.
17	MS. DALEY: Two five nine four (2594).
18	So looking at Bates page 413 of that
19	document, sir, it looks like you locate the tapes and you
20	contact Project P at 1442?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MS. DALEY: And by 1540, you're leaving the
23	residence, so an hour later?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MS. DALEY: All right. Sir, was it your

1	decision to terminate the search at that time or was it
2	McDougald's or do you recall?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't recall.
4	MS. DALEY: And there's been some evidence
5	from McDougald that his warrant encompassed both the
6	boathouse and the garage in relation to the weapon search.
7	Is it your recollection that that was the case?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I've read that document
9	preparing for this Inquiry and yes, it does.
10	MS. DALEY: Can you confirm, sir, that you
11	didn't search either of those other locations; either the
12	boathouse or the garage for weapons?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I have no notes of
14	searching those locations and I don't remember if I did or
15	not.
16	MS. DALEY: All right. Have you a
17	recollection that McDougald did or not?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
19	MS. DALEY: Now I want to just understand
20	something from you concerning the seizure of the tapes and
21	can you help me at all? What were you hoping for from
22	Project P, when you called them; what type of information
23	or guidance were you looking for?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: What would the offence
25	be, if there was child pornography in the tapes. That's

1	and/or is there any intelligence information on Ron Leroux?
2	MS. DALEY: So had you connected, you would
3	have asked both those questions?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I can't remember why,
5	but I'm just saying logically that's what I would have been
6	looking for.
7	MS. DALEY: All right. So in your mind
8	then, the tapes were seized because there was potentially
9	evidence of child pornography on them?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
11	MS. DALEY: And if that was the rationale
12	for the seizure, I take it it would be necessary to view
13	the contents of those tapes in full to ascertain one way or
14	the other whether that was the case. Do you know?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No. You'd have to sit
16	there and watch 24 tapes. Is that right?
17	MS. DALEY: Yes. That's my understanding.
18	If the concern was that potentially there is child
19	pornography there somewhere, one would have to view all of
20	the tapes in their entirety to determine that one way or
21	the other. Do you agree?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
23	MS. DALEY: All right.
24	I have a few questions for you now on
25	Constable Dunlop. You gave some testimony

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
2	MS. DALEY: in-chief about him. I just
3	wonder if you could elaborate on something that I heard you
4	say. I understood you to say that towards by the time
5	you had investigated Mr. Seguin's death and you'd come to
6	your conclusions about extortion, you'd talked to the
7	Cornwall Police. I thought you said that by that point of
8	time, you were beginning to have a little bit of a concern
9	about Officer Dunlop and in particular what you said was
10	his total infatuation with the notion of a pedophile ring
11	that had been covered up by law enforcement. Is that
12	correct, sir?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. He was or he
14	believed that there was a cover-up within the Cornwall
15	Police Service.
16	MS. DALEY: Yes.
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: And I just don't
18	remember if he was at the pedophile ring at that point. I
19	don't know.
20	MS. DALEY: How is it you came to have
21	knowledge about Officer Dunlop's belief? Was that
22	something that was being discussed?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's me talking to
24	him.
25	MS. DALEY: That's you talking to him?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
2	MS. DALEY: All right. So he expressed that
3	directly to you.
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
5	MS. DALEY: And why were you concerned about
6	that? What concern did that cause you?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I couldn't believe that
8	there'd be such a cover-up. And he was, like I say, he was
9	infatuated. It was time for me to separate myself from him
10	and move on.
11	MS. DALEY: By using the word "infatuated,"
12	are you suggesting that he was very emotional about the
13	issue?
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, he was emotional.
15	MS. DALEY: And did you understand that he
16	had no direct professional involvement in any of these
17	matters? In other words, he wasn't an assigned officer to
18	investigate anything.
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't know that.
20	MS. DALEY: You don't know that?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
22	MS. DALEY: Was it something about the
23	emotionality of his presentation to you that disturbed you
24	or caused your concern?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: It's just what he was

1	saying and his I use the word "infatuation." He was
2	completely engulfed in this belief.
3	MS. DALEY: I take it from your perspective,
4	rightly or wrongly, you thought that that wasn't a
5	reasonable belief?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
7	MS. DALEY: Did you ever express that
8	thought to Officer Dunlop?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
10	MS. DALEY: On this topic, sir, did you have
11	any conversations with your superiors about the concern
12	that you had around this officer?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No. No, that's a
14	Cornwall P.D. problem, that wasn't
15	MS. DALEY: On that point, did you have any
16	conversation with any of your Cornwall counterparts about
17	this concern?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No. I think they had
19	their own thoughts.
20	MS. DALEY: All right.
21	I appreciate that as you said earlier, you
22	were very occupied with investigations in Akwesasne, the
23	beginning of '94. Were you nonetheless aware though, that
24	this matter had become presented in the media in January of
25	194?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't recall that or
2	would I have known that? I don't know. It didn't interest
3	me, let's put it that way.
4	MS. DALEY: Fair enough.
5	Did you have knowledge at any time in '94 or
6	subsequent years that Officer Dunlop's belief, which is
7	that there had been a pedophile ring covered up, was
8	getting a lot of attention in local media?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Well, yes.
10	MS. DALEY: I guess you knew that because in
11	part it touched upon some of your family members
12	ultimately.
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
14	MS. DALEY: All right. Let me
15	notwithstanding that it was in the media, I take it nobody
16	ever came back to you for your impressions or for
17	information about your involvement in the story back in the
18	latter months of '93?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
20	MS. DALEY: I want to move on to my next
21	topic which is your father-in-law, Milton, and just a few
22	questions about that.
23	Sir, I take it that the community where your
24	in-laws live, Lancaster, was a very small village?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.

1	MS. DALEY: And did you live there also?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
3	MS. DALEY: Would the population be in the
4	hundreds? Is it that
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Six hundred (600).
6	MS. DALEY: Six hundred (600).
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Roughly.
8	MS. DALEY: And your father-in-law, Milton,
9	was he in fact a former Reeve of that village?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That is correct.
11	MS. DALEY: Was that in the '70s or do you
12	recollect?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I can't recall. It
14	wasn't the `70s. I think it was I can't recall. I
15	don't know.
16	MS. DALEY: If I could just help you a bit.
17	We know it's 1994 that Milton is eventually investigated
18	again for these matters. In relation to '94, had he
19	recently been the Reeve?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, just prior to that.
21	MS. DALEY: Thank you. And he'd also been a
22	boy scout leader in that village, as well?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
24	MS. DALEY: And can you help us with the

time frames on that activity? When was he a boy scout

1	leader?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'm just guessing I was
3	about well, it was when he was being investigated.
4	MS. DALEY: So again, it was
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Late '60s, as I recall.
6	MS. DALEY: So in that instance, you're
7	referring to the first set of charges that
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
9	MS. DALEY: were brought against your
10	father-in-law?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
12	MS. DALEY: Okay. Now I just want to try to
13	understand your knowledge or otherwise about his history.
14	I take it you were aware that he was convicted of sexual
15	offences involving young boys in either the late '60s or
16	sometime in the early `70s?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I can't really say too
18	much about the '60s. I just remember it being talk in a
19	hockey dressing room and whether he was convicted or not, I
20	can't remember that. In other words, when I entered into
21	the MacDonald family it's not something that was ever
22	talked about and it's nothing like
23	MS. DALEY: You never raised it with him
24	obviously?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, exactly. It's a

1	long time ago.
2	MS. DALEY: And you never they never
3	spoke about it amongst themselves?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No. I don't think they
5	knew. In fact I know they didn't know.
6	MS. DALEY: All right.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, the wife knew.
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, the wife knew and
9	Milton knew obviously.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
11	MS. DALEY: As far as you're aware, the
12	siblings including your spouse and Murray, they weren't
13	aware?
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'm positive they
15	weren't aware.
16	MS. DALEY: But would I be right to say
17	this, sir; obviously Lancaster, a very small village and we
18	have heard evidence here from a resident that suggested
19	that there were rumours about your step-father sorry,
20	your father-in-law in relation to these offences current in
21	the community, would you agree with that?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I never
23	MS. DALEY: You never heard them?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.

145

MS. DALEY: Let me use a different

1	timeframe. Let's say in the five or more years before
2	Milton is investigated again in 1994 and charged, so back
3	up five years from that, did you ever hear any rumour or
4	any talk in the community that he might be involved with
5	young boys?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
7	MS. DALEY: Does it follow that you were
8	taken completely by surprise in 1994, in February, '94,
9	when the allegation came forward from, I believe it's C-91?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Absolutely.
11	MS. DALEY: It was shocking?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Shocking.
13	MS. DALEY: All right.
14	Moving to my last topic, sir, that's the
15	Jean-Luc Leblanc matter.
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
17	MS. DALEY: And I'm going to ask you to look
18	at Exhibit 2510. That's the investigation report that Ms.
19	Jones discussed with you this morning.
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
21	MS. DALEY: And, if you would, going by the
22	numbers on the lower right-hand side, would you look at
23	page 52 for me, please?
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Fifty-two (52)?
25	MS. DALEY: Yes, 5-2.

I	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
2	MS. DALEY: Do you have that passage, sir?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'm just waiting for it
4	to come up on the screen there.
5	MS. DALEY: Okay.
6	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
7	MS. DALEY: Madam Clerk, if you could just
8	expand for us that do you see where it says, "Neglect of
9	duty"? Just take that paragraph down to where the boldface
10	is and expand that if you would, please? It's 52 of the
11	document.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
13	MS. DALEY: So I'm going to ask you a
14	question sorry, take your time and review that.
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
16	MS. DALEY: All right. Some questions about
17	this, sir.
18	Firstly, you appreciate that the complaint
19	that gave rise to this report was a complaint pertaining to
20	neglect of duty in relation to your handling of the
21	information that came from Constable Tyo of Cornwall
22	Police; correct?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
24	MS. DALEY: Right. Now, I looked at this
25	and it struck me that what's being said here is that

1	although it might be accepted that you were beyond busy
2	with other matters at the same time, nonetheless, you were
3	not precluded from making a telephone call, either to a
4	supervisor or sharing the information with any of your
5	subordinates. And the author of this report says that it
6	might have had the desired effect you were looking for
7	because if a supervisor was aware that you weren't
8	being you weren't able to get to Leblanc, maybe a
9	supervisor would have taken a step.
10	In any event, just taking your attention to
11	this conclusion in the report, my question for you is, did
12	you accept that conclusion?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
14	MS. DALEY: And why not?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Because I had notified
16	my supervisors a number of times that I needed more
17	resources. I do accept that I could have put a zone alert
18	out.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, that you what?
20	MS. DALEY: I just missed
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I do accept that I could
22	have put out a zone alert.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: But I had been in
25	contact with my supervisors in towards the end of that

1	year a number of times, and they were very well aware of my
2	lack of resources.
3	MS. DALEY: Would that include Carson
4	Fougère?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
6	MS. DALEY: And who else, if anyone else?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Terry Bladon. Mike
8	Hopkins. I'd have to go to my notes to for the rest of
9	them.
10	MS. DALEY: Of those people you've just
11	named, which was your direct supervisor?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: It would have been Terry
13	Bladon filling in for I can't remember if it was Jeff
14	Bahm or Ian Grant one of them.
15	MS. DALEY: So my question for you, sir, is
16	this. In your contacts with either of those gentlemen
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
18	MS. DALEY: did you tell them that you
19	had information about Leblanc?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
21	MS. DALEY: Is there any particular reason
22	why you didn't mention that you hadn't been able to get to
23	Leblanc?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I was telling him that I

didn't have sufficient resources to deal with real

1	occurrences, actual offences. There is no offence. It
2	would have been a nicety to be able to do surveillance on
3	Leblanc.
4	MS. DALEY: But I take it I appreciate
5	what you've said you didn't say to any of your
6	supervisors, "Listen, I don't have an offence, I've got
7	some information"
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, I didn't say that.
9	MS. DALEY: "and I don't have the ability
10	to surveil this man"?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
12	MS. DALEY: That didn't come up?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No. We were talking
14	about actual offences murder, attempt murder, sexual
15	assaults, robberies, those types of offences.
16	MS. DALEY: I understand.
17	Just some questions then about Project Truth, and your
18	knowledge about that.
19	Again, if you stay with this exhibit, sir,
20	if you look at the page that's numbered I'm not using a
21	Bates number, I'm using a lower right-hand number, page 8?
22	Have a look there.
23	Just to help you out a little bit, sir, on
24	the prior page what you'll see is that this is a synopsis
25	of a statement that you gave to them, January 11 th , '06?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
2	MS. DALEY: All right. So the aspect of it
3	wanted to talk to you about was the seventh bullet and
4	eighth bullet on page 8, where you say, "Was aware of
5	Project Truth". And just all I'm interested in
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Oh, sorry, I'm on
7	page 9. Sorry.
8	MS. DALEY: Oh, sorry. Are you on page 8?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: There we go.
10	MS. DALEY: Do you have that?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
12	MS. DALEY: I'm going to ask you about your
13	understanding of what Project Truth was all about, and I
14	just brought this up for you because it seemed to bear on
15	it.
16	Was this generally your understanding that
17	Project Truth was meant to look into allegations that there
18	was a pedophile ring, historically, in the Cornwall area
19	involving high-profile people?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
21	MS. DALEY: Is that your understanding of
22	it, sir?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
24	MS. DALEY: And I take it having that
25	understanding then you would also understand that Leblanc

1	didn't fit Project Truth?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
3	MS. DALEY: And that was
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Unless he was I don't
5	know what he he was a school bus driver, but I didn't
6	know that at the time.
7	MS. DALEY: All right. He wasn't prominent?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
9	MS. DALEY: And he isn't historical either
10	in the sense that if he's a problem, he's a current
11	problem, right?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
13	MS. DALEY: Now, there's another statement
14	of the Project Truth mandate in this document I'd ask you
15	to look at and it's on the third page and, Madam Clerk,
16	this is the two paragraphs where it says, "The mandate of
17	Project Truth is as follows".
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
19	MS. DALEY: Directing you particularly to
20	the very first sentence of that definition, it suggests
21	that the Project Truth investigation is being conducted
22	into pedophile activity, both historic and on-going. So,
23	stressing that, was that part of your understanding of
24	Project Truth or not?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: What I understood

1	Project Truth to be is what we just read prior to that.
2	MS. DALEY: All right. So I take it in
3	respect to this definition, you did not understand that it
4	was any part of Project Truth's mandate to look into on-
5	going pedophile activity?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
7	MS. DALEY: And, again, that's the reason
8	why did didn't perceive Leblanc to be a Project Truth
9	issue?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
11	MS. DALEY: Now, in terms of the selection
12	of officers for Project Truth, we understand that Joe
13	Dupuis and Officer Genier were within your area
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
15	MS. DALEY: and they were taken away to
16	be on Project Truth. Is that correct?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
18	MS. DALEY: Did you have any input into the
19	selection of those officers for the Project Truth team?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, they were gone when
21	I got there.
22	MS. DALEY: Were they two of your more
23	senior, more reliable officers?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MS. DALEY: But obviously nobody asked you

1	whether you could spare them or
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I wasn't there.
3	MS. DALEY: You weren't there at the time?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
5	MS. DALEY: Who was your predecessor there?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: My brother.
7	MS. DALEY: Your brother?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah, Tim Millar.
9	MS. DALEY: Okay. All right.
10	Let me ask you this question, sir, about
11	Project Truth, as you perceived it. Obviously Project
12	Truth, as you understood it, was focussed on historic
13	crimes and in my language that would be crimes that are not
14	real time crimes. Are we in agreement?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
16	MS. DALEY: And you gave a hierarchy of
17	importance, if you will, to my friend Ms. Jones in your
18	testimony in-chief, with personal crimes being first,
19	depending on violence, then property crimes. And I take it
20	what you were referring to were real time crimes. In other
21	words, that's how you would prioritize resources to deal
22	with crimes that were occurring in the here and now;
23	correct?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Even an historical
25	sexual assault that came in. So if it came into me as a

1	historical sexual assault, it would not get it would get
2	a lower priority than a sexual assault that had just
3	occurred.
4	MS. DALEY: I understand. So an historic
5	crime of any sort would likely be lower ranking on the
6	scale of importance than a current crime?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
8	MS. DALEY: And an
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Against persons.
10	MS. DALEY: And an historic crime of a
11	sexual assault nature would be lower ranking than a current
12	sexual assault crime, right?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
14	MS. DALEY: Was there a bit of a culture or
15	a feeling about Project Truth that since it was not dealing
16	with real time crime it was of lesser importance or value?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, I wouldn't say that.
18	MS. DALEY: And did it ever seem to you that
19	officers were reluctant to be involved with Project Truth
20	for any reason?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No. I suppose I
22	wouldn't want to be involved with my brother-in-law being
23	the Crown Attorney.
24	MS. DALEY: But that's for a unique reason -
25	

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
2	MS. DALEY: to you.
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah.
4	MS. DALEY: Did you ever hear amongst
5	officers that Project Truth was not a desirable assignment,
6	not a good thing to be involved in?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, the subject never
8	really came up. They were doing their own thing in the
9	City of Cornwall here and we were very busy doing ours.
10	MS. DALEY: All right.
11	One final question concerning the Leblanc
12	matter.
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: M'hm.
14	MS. DALEY: Was it your thought that any
15	Leblanc offences, if there were any, were likely to be
16	within the Cornwall Police Services jurisdiction as opposed
17	to yours?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: You mean if
19	MS. DALEY: Yes, if.
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: If there were offences?
21	MS. DALEY: Right. Based on the information
22	that came to you from Tyo about Leblanc.
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
24	MS. DALEY: What he was telling you was he
25	was seen with children in Cornwall.

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
2	MS. DALEY: So it led me to ask you whether
3	or not you thought that Leblanc was principally a problem
4	for the Cornwall Police Service to investigate?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Well, they did
6	investigate it first, yes.
7	MS. DALEY: All right.
8	And I take it it was a surprise to you that
9	Project Truth would take a role in that because that was
10	contrary to what you thought Truth was meant to be dealing
11	with?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I thought it was good
13	when I heard they did surveillance and the outcome.
14	MS. DALEY: All right. A good outcome
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
16	MS. DALEY: because someone was charged,
17	but from the point-of-view simply of the process, who
18	undertook that investigation? I take it it would have
19	surprised you that it was Project Truth that did that?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Not necessarily, no.
21	MS. DALEY: Is there a reason why not?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Well, I didn't know Mr.
23	Leblanc. I didn't maybe they had a reason for doing it.
24	I don't know.
25	MS. DALEY: Let me ask a better question.

1	In terms of the mandate of Project Truth as we've described
2	it, there didn't seem to be a fit between Leblanc and that
3	mandate?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Not that I was aware of,
5	no.
6	MS. DALEY: Those are my questions. Thank
7	you.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
9	Mr. Paul?
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. PAUL:
11	MR. PAUL: Good afternoon, Inspector Millar.
12	My name is Ian Paul. I appear for the Coalition for
13	Action, which is a citizen's group.
14	I have a few brief questions on the Varley
15	topic which has previously been discussed. And I
16	understand that the Varley investigation, that homicide
17	investigation, Inspector Tim Smith would have been the
18	overall case manager?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
20	MR. PAUL: And I think in terms of the
21	suggested delay in terms of writing, the probation officer
22	indicated that it would have been primarily his
23	responsibility to take it up with Probation?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MR. PAUL: Because he was the overall case

1	manager who would have access to all the witness
2	statements?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
4	MR. PAUL: Now, Inspector Smith indicated in
5	his evidence at some point that he was under the impression
6	that the probation office early on, within a day or two of
7	the commencement of the homicide investigation, had
8	knowledge of the Ken Seguin association with that case.
9	And I was going to ask you was that your
10	belief back at the time that within a day or two the
11	Probation office had knowledge general knowledge of the
12	situation?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I believe Ken Seguin
14	told us he was going to tell his supervisor.
15	MR. PAUL: Now, apart from his self-
16	reporting to you, did you believe that there was a general
17	knowledge from any other sources like probation officers at
18	court or other officers?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't recall that, no.
20	MR. PAUL: Now, I think that when you did
21	write to the Probation office to the manager there, Mr.
22	Robert, you had some there was some aspects of sympathy
23	that you showed to Ken Seguin's situation?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: It was stating facts the
25	way I saw them.

1	MR. PAUL: Okay. And in terms of those you
2	viewed as sympathetic to him would be his expression of
3	feeling intimidated. You expressed that?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's what he said,
5	yes.
6	MR. PAUL: And you also expressed his almost
7	remorse about the incident?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: He was embarrassed, yes.
9	MR. PAUL: Embarrassment, yes.
10	Now, he was an individual I think that you
11	had little knowledge of at the time?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
13	MR. PAUL: And I assume that you had little
14	knowledge of his personal life?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
16	MR. PAUL: And you had also probably not a
17	great deal of knowledge of the inner workings of that
18	probation office, his interactions with other people, what
19	was going on there?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
21	MR. PAUL: So you were in some ways you
22	were writing to Mr. Robert about something that you had, in
23	terms of Mr. Seguin's situation, very limited knowledge
24	that was really limited to the Varley incident; correct?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, so I was told to

1	write a letter and I did
2	MR. PAUL: Right.
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: based on a statement
4	that Ken Seguin gave.
5	MR. PAUL: Do you think that given your
6	limited knowledge of Mr. Seguin's situation, that you were
7	maybe a bit too sympathetic to him in your correspondence?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I told him I put in
9	there the way it was; what he said and what came across as
10	he came across as embarrassed and he said he was
11	intimidated.
12	MR. PAUL: Did you consider suggesting to
13	Mr. Robert that given that you have limited knowledge of
14	him that they should consider conducting their own
15	appropriate investigations or did you assume he would do
16	that?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's that was his
18	business. It's his employee. He can do whatever he wants.
19	MR. PAUL: One aspect about Mr. Robert, I
20	wanted to show you one document. It's 100315 and I believe
21	it's Exhibit 931. I believe it's a one-page memorandum.
22	I wanted to ask you, I believe this is an
23	internal memorandum and I would assume that I don't see it
24	cc'd to you, so I would assume that he never sent this to
25	you?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
2	MR. PAUL: And he makes one comment that I'm
3	interested in getting your views whether you agree with his
4	comments so I'll get your side of it.
5	He makes the comment towards the end which I
6	find kind of unusual. It indicates:
7	"Constable Millar and I recommend that
8	no further action be taken."
9	I didn't see that in your letter. I didn't
10	see any specific reference to discipline action. And I
11	wanted to ask you do you agree with that comment that's
12	attributed to you?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
14	MR. PAUL: I understand that it doesn't seem
15	to surface in the letter. I wanted for clarification to
16	ask you whether that kind of comment if you have any
17	recollection that that kind of comment could have surfaced
18	in an earlier discussion, because I think in August there
19	was a verbal discussion with Mr. Robert.
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: The only thing I can see
21	him taking from that is me saying, "He's your man. You
22	discipline him as you see fit." I can't make
23	recommendations as a constable how to discipline him.
24	MR. PAUL: So you don't believe you would
25	have either you don't believe you would have in

1	verbal telephone discussions, Mr. Robert made a suggestion
2	along those lines that no action should be taken?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I wouldn't say that.
4	MR. PAUL: Right.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I say I would not say
7	that.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: You would not say that?
9	Right.
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
11	MR. PAUL: So would your interpretation be
12	that Mr. Robert is taking your letter, your correspondence,
13	and maybe reading too much into it or exaggerating?
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't know, sir.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, does that comment
16	sit well with you that Emile Robert is writing to Roy
17	Hawkins and saying, "Constable Millar and I recommend that
18	no further action be taken"?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I didn't recommend that,
20	sir.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no. So if you were
22	reading this letter
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: you would have said,
25	"Wait a minute, I didn't recommend this"?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Exactly.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
3	MR. PAUL: Going to another area, I want to
4	ask you a few clarifying questions in relation to the Jean-
5	Luc Leblanc investigation.
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MR. PAUL: Now, I heard you say a couple of
8	times make reference to there being no offence
9	originally, I believe, when you get this information that
10	Mr. Leblanc may have been seen with some minors.
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
12	MR. PAUL: But there's no obvious evidence
13	of an offence.
14	I want to clarify. Are you saying are
15	you saying that there is no offence? Is that significant
16	for the use and distribution of investigative
17	investigators in terms of
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
19	MR. PAUL: assigning work, or are you
20	saying that there's no offence so you would not investigate
21	that, period? I'm just not understanding your comment.
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: It would be in the

25 MR. PAUL: Right.

at the bottom.

23

24

prioritized category, so he -- and that would be way down

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: And there's no offence.
2	The only thing you could do was do surveillance on the
3	house, and if you happen to have him found with children,
4	ident exactly what they did.
5	MR. PAUL: Right.
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Identify the child and
7	interview him.
8	MR. PAUL: The fact that there's no offence
9	proven at that point
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah.
11	MR. PAUL: you're not saying that you're
12	barred from commencing an investigation, obviously?
13	Obviously you don't need proof of an offence to commence an
14	investigation.
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No. We would respond to
16	an offence though. You can't respond to information.
17	MR. PAUL: Would you agree that even in the
18	absence of reasonable probable grounds for an offence, as
19	long as you have some legitimate suspicion of an offence
20	that as a police officer you have the right or the
21	discretion to investigate if you want to?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. If you have the
23	resources, yeah.
24	MR. PAUL: So this was a situation where it
25	was an issue of prioritizing resources but you had once

1	you get that report from the Cornwall Police you had the
2	discretion to investigate, based on that level of
3	suspicion?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I guess we're when I
5	think about "investigate" you are responding to a criminal
6	offence and you're going to investigate it. Now, this is
7	information and if you want to call surveillance an
8	investigative technique, yes.
9	MR. PAUL: So based on the level of
10	suspicion you had, you felt you had authority to commence
11	an investigation if you wished?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I had no suspicion. It
13	was a he could have been could have been his
14	relatives with him. I don't know.
15	MR. PAUL: Right. But it's just this is not
16	a situation where you're randomly, for example, pulling a
17	name out of a phone book. You have an individual who has a
18	history of similar offences and they're seen with minors,
19	so the constellation of those two facts, I would suggest,
20	would in fact give you the suspicion to commence an
21	investigation in your discretion if you wish.
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Any investigation that
23	was done was already done by Constable Tyo.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Well and that's why he
25	phoned you.

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah.
2	MR. PAUL: But it gave you the discretion to
3	follow it up and conduct further investigation surveillance
4	if you wished.
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: What would I follow up
6	further on?
7	MR. PAUL: I'm just suggesting that you had
8	authority to conduct surveillance on him in the
9	circumstances.
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I would have liked to
11	have done that, yes, if we could have done that. I didn't
12	have the resources to do that.
13	MR. PAUL: Now, just on the resources, I
14	understand that you indicated there was a resource problem.
15	You had inquired about resources in the past?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. It was a very,
17	very busy year.
18	MR. PAUL: Did you have the impression,
19	based on those past requests, that if you made another
20	specific one to this case that it would be refused because
21	of your past history of requests? Is that
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I had made enough
23	requests and I would have to say that the wheels were in
24	motion to get me more resources, but they can't just pull
25	them out of a hat. You can't take uniformed officers off

1	the road and not be able to respond to car accidents
2	because they're doing surveillance up on Leblanc.
3	MR. PAUL: So the fact that you didn't make
4	another specific request was due to the fact that you
5	didn't feel that it would be approved, given the past
6	record?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I would have kept making
8	the requests until I got the resources. I got them in
9	January.
10	MR. PAUL: I have just a couple of questions
11	about the interview of Malcolm MacDonald.
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
13	MR. PAUL: Now, in terms of Malcolm
14	MacDonald, given you're the one conducting involved in
15	the interview, you're seeing him, are you tasked with
16	making some kind of assessment of his credibility that you
17	pass on to your to other officers? Or are you just
18	taking a statement?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, I just take the
20	statement. I mean, if he was a lawyer, I mean, I guess
21	that's such a credible thing.
22	MR. PAUL: I realize that.
23	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Sorry.
25	MR. PAUL: It certainly is.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: It's okay. In this room
2	it's okay.
3	MR. PAUL: I certainly wouldn't disagree
4	with that but the only comment I'd add is that he's also a
5	lawyer who well, I guess I'll ask you the question.
6	Was he a lawyer, in your mind, that was also
7	a friend of Ken Seguin? Did that affect the credibility
8	issue?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't
10	MR. PAUL: Or did you know that?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I didn't I don't
12	remember if I knew that or not.
13	MR. PAUL: Did you know at that point that
14	there was an issue perhaps of whether he might be
15	investigated in relation to obstruction in relation to the
16	settlement?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
18	MR. PAUL: I have a few questions in
19	relation to the search of the Leroux residence, and I
20	thought I heard you make reference to legal authorities
21	being Section 49 of the Criminal Code.
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
23	MR. PAUL: And I was going to ask you if you
24	meant 489 of the Criminal Code.
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Four eighty-nine (489)

1	yes.
2	MR. PAUL: Yes. And 489 in your
3	understanding 489 of the Criminal Code would be a section
4	that authorizes police officers to, in some cases, seize
5	items that are not named in a warrant?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, as long as you have
7	reasonable belief that they're evidence of a criminal
8	offence, yes.
9	MR. PAUL: So they'd have to be you have
10	reasonable grounds to believe that they're offence-related
11	property?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
13	MR. PAUL: And generally it would have been
14	your understanding that there wouldn't necessarily be
15	authority to specifically go out and search out for other
16	items, but if you come across them in the course of looking
17	for what you're supposed to be looking for
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
19	MR. PAUL: then you can take them,
20	basically?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MR. PAUL: Your understanding would be
23	something in the nature of a codification of what was
24	referred to as the "plain view" doctrine?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.

1	MR. PAUL: Were you aware of any and in
2	terms of preparing for the proceedings did you review the
3	Criminal Code? Or is the first time
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Oh yes. No, and I
5	mean that's pretty much known right from Aylmer.
6	MR. PAUL: Now, in terms of application in
7	this case, in terms of these tapes, obviously when the
8	suitcase or briefcase is seized and it's opened, the
9	officers are still looking for tapes because they don't
10	know what's inside it? I'm sorry, still looking for a gun
11	
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
13	MR. PAUL: because they don't know
14	what's inside. And then they come across the tapes.
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
16	MR. PAUL: Correct?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I came across the tapes.
18	MR. PAUL: Yes. Now, in terms of the tapes,
19	just seeing the labels, I'd suggest, wouldn't necessarily
20	identify it as criminal pornography.
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, but they were well
22	hidden and there had to be a reason for that.
23	MR. PAUL: So apart from the issue of being
24	hidden, you would have viewed them as possibly as legal
25	pornography if they were just lying about?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I thought it was
2	reasonable to believe that they contained evidence of a
3	criminal offence.
4	MR. PAUL: And that was not based on
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Based simply on the fact
6	that they were hidden.
7	MR. PAUL: Okay. And that was not based on
8	how they appeared
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
10	MR. PAUL: or the labelling? Okay.
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
12	MR. PAUL: And would you not have considered
13	that coming into a household, that the occupants of that
14	household, as normal reasonable people, might want to hide
15	any form of pornography from visitors coming into the
16	house; that that might be a usual thing to do?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Well, it's up in the
18	bedroom closet.
19	MR. PAUL: I'm just suggesting that hiding
20	something like pornography which by its nature whether it's
21	legal or not could be embarrassing, does not necessarily
22	indicate criminal pornography; the fact that it's hidden.
23	Would you not agree with that?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MR. PAUL: So in terms of the grounds, do

l	you not agree that the grounds for seizing tapes based on
2	the fact that they're hidden somewhere is shaky in terms of
3	the plain view doctrine?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I believed it. If we
5	would have went to trial, it would have been up to the
6	judge to decide.
7	MR. PAUL: Now, in terms of those tapes,
8	were you aware that any of the tapes were viewed at the
9	house by any officers?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
11	MR. PAUL: So you if Constable McDougald
12	viewed one, you weren't present or involved in that at all?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't remember that,
14	no.
15	MR. PAUL: Now, you did indicate that the
16	allegations that had been out there in the public about
17	whether there was any homemade tapes or child pornography,
18	you commented on that, and were you aware that the source
19	of some of that information would have been from statements
20	of Ron Leroux?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
22	MR. PAUL: In terms of the exact content, I
23	understand that you yourself never actually viewed any of
24	the tapes?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Actually, I never seized

25

1	them.
2	MR. PAUL: All right.
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Steve McDougald seized
4	the. It was his warrant. I never saw the tapes.
5	MR. PAUL: All right. So I understand what
6	you objected to in your evidence the other day was the
7	suggestion that you went in there deliberately to take
8	tapes?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's not true.
10	MR. PAUL: But in terms of what was on the
11	tapes, you never really had any idea what was on them?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I had no idea what was
13	on them.
14	MR. PAUL: There was a suggestion at one
15	point in Mr. Leroux's evidence that there was a large drum
16	or barrel, 45-gallon barrel, it was used for laundry, that
17	had ripped-up tapes in it and I want to ask you, did you
18	ever have any recollection of coming across ripped-up tapes
19	in a barrel?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
21	MR. PAUL: Do you know if the house would
22	have been exhaustively searched or would it have stopped
23	once the two handguns were located?
2.4	

have been searched completely. Not to the -- not to the

DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, I think it would

1	way we do things today. We would search it twice today
2	with another team.
3	MR. PAUL: Yes.
4	Now, I want to show the witness another
5	document in a moment. I believe it's Exhibit 2522.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Two-five-two-two (2522).
7	It's in your book. It's your statement to
8	MR. PAUL: I believe it's I'd like to
9	show him page 1 at first so he can identify it.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: from Hall's statement
11	
12	MR. PAUL: This would be a statement from
13	the 3 rd of December, 1998?
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
15	MR. PAUL: And is that Detective Sergeant
16	Hall conducting the interview?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
18	MR. PAUL: I wanted to ask you about a
19	portion of the second page. If you look at the second
20	page, there's a series of questions and answers in the
21	middle.
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Can you blow that up,
24	Madam Clerk? Thank you.
25	MR. PAUL: This question:

1	"Q. Describe the contents and number
2	of tapes.
3	A. I never saw the contents of the
4	suitcase, but believe the suitcase
5	contained videotapes"
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MR. PAUL: "and who viewed the
8	Videotapes, I don't know.
9	Q. What was recorded on the
10	videotapes?
11	A. I don't know."
12	You did confirm to me the last part of that,
13	that you never viewed the videotapes, but the first part of
14	that
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
16	MR. PAUL: about not seeing the contents
17	of the suitcase seems to me to be at odds with what you
18	said to me just a few moments ago and
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
20	MR. PAUL: what was said to the
21	Commission counsel.
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: It is.
23	MR. PAUL: I'm wondering if you can if
24	you've reviewed that statement if you can explain the
25	contradiction which I think is there?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: The only thing I can see
2	is I didn't make reference to my notes and it was a cold
3	interview, if you will have that. And in preparing for
4	this Inquiry, there's no doubt in my mind that I probably
5	popped that lock there's a and I obviously knew that
6	they contained tapes because I went out to the car and
7	called Project P.
8	MR. PAUL: Now, when Detective Sergeant Hall
9	was questioning you, was it specifically about the tapes
10	and what happened to the tapes? Was that your
11	understanding of what
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
13	MR. PAUL: Okay. So that was the specific
14	reason for the interview?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
16	MR. PAUL: And were you told in advance or
17	were you surprised that this is the purpose of
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't recall.
19	MR. PAUL: All right. And you were not
20	given the opportunity to have your notes available and
21	review them before?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'm just telling you why
23	I believe this contradiction is here, is that it was a cold
24	interview without my notes. Because it's pretty obvious
25	when you look at my notes, I've gone out to the car to call

1	Project P so I know there's tapes in there.
2	MR. PAUL: But are you speculating or are
3	you certain that you did not have your notes available?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't know.
5	MR. PAUL: Is it were you in any way
6	trying to distance yourself from the tapes
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
8	MR. PAUL: for some reason during the
9	interview?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
11	MR. PAUL: Were you
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, no.
13	MR. PAUL: In terms of the opening of the
14	suitcase, who else would have been present? Would Officer
15	McDougald been present?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't remember.
17	MR. PAUL: And you don't know if C-8 was
18	present?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't remember.
20	MR. PAUL: Now, C-8's presence and
21	involvement, he was present when you arrived?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
23	MR. PAUL: And had he already found one of
24	the handguns?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, I believe so. He

1	turned it over to McDougald.
2	MR. PAUL: And was it your understanding
3	that Officer McDougald contacted him at some point to try
4	to find a key?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't know. I know
6	now, but I didn't know then.
7	MR. PAUL: Was it your understanding that C-
8	8 was not a resident of that house at that time?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I didn't I don't
10	know.
11	MR. PAUL: You weren't aware of his
12	connection to the residents?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, I was simply there
14	to help him search.
15	MR. PAUL: Was there any concern by you or
16	Constable McDougald at the time about the idea of having a
17	civilian present with evidence during the execution of the
18	search?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't know about
20	McDougald, but obviously I didn't pay much attention to it.
21	And certainly if it's not his house, yeah, there's reason
22	for concern for a civilian to be in there without being
23	named in the warrant.
24	MR. PAUL: In terms of continuity of the
25	evidence that it's found with somebody else who's perhaps

1	almost in the nature of a complainant; that's perhaps of
2	concern?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
4	MR. PAUL: And then in terms of execution of
5	the search warrant with someone who is not named in the
6	warrant and
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
8	MR. PAUL: there would be an issue of
9	whether they lived there or not. That might be an issue?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Or whether they're a
11	peace officer or not, they're not.
12	MR. PAUL: Right. In terms of actually
13	executing the search, was there some discussion or a
14	meeting between you and Constable McDougald in terms of
15	who's going to be exhibit officer and who's going to
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
17	MR. PAUL: conduct the search?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
19	MR. PAUL: Just one did one and one did the
20	other?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Sorry?
22	MR. PAUL: He was the exhibit officer, I
23	take it?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, I I don't know
25	what he did.

1	MR. PAUL: Okay, well
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I know what I did. I
3	went upstairs and searched.
4	MR. PAUL: Okay.
5	In terms of search, was there any particular
6	pattern that was going to be used to conduct the search in
7	terms of, you know, the order of searching the residence?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, not that I can
9	remember.
10	MR. PAUL: Was the bathroom the first
11	location that was searched?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't know. I don't
13	remember.
14	MR. PAUL: In terms of searching rooms such
15	as the bathroom or other rooms, were there any particular
16	search techniques that were used to search the rooms?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
18	MR. PAUL: I mean, do you have do you
19	just search randomly or do you have particular methods such
20	as searching in a spiral or in a grid form or do you have
21	particular methods that you use to search rooms?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Not necessarily, no.
23	MR. PAUL: And it was your recollection that
24	the suitcase with the tapes was found within approximately
25	about 11 minutes of entry?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
2	MR. PAUL: I've got a couple of questions in
3	relation to Mr. Dunlop; you indicated some concerns with
4	Mr. Dunlop.
5	I just want to ask you, are you talking
6	primarily about I'm not sure the timeframe were you
7	talking about the fall of '93 as the contact you had with
8	Mr. Dunlop where he was explaining the situation?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: He didn't really come
10	out and explain it. It was more in contacts with him. He
11	was he was I had to start distancing myself from him.
12	MR. PAUL: Well, is it when you say you
13	discussed matters with him, is this around November of '93?
14	Is that what you're
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: We didn't I didn't
16	discuss matters with him. We were just we would just
17	bump into each other and, I'm telling you, he was
18	infatuated with this theory that he had of a cover-up.
19	MR. PAUL: A theory of conspiracy and cover-
20	up?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Cover-up as I recall.
22	MR. PAUL: All right.
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't know. I mean, I
24	distanced myself and he just carried on.
25	MR. PAUL: You would agree that some of

1	those theories were ultimately investigated by other
2	officers within the Ontario Provincial Police?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
4	MR. PAUL: Some of the officers involved in
5	the Project Truth investigations you've indicated, would
6	have come actually from your organization?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
8	MR. PAUL: And they would have been
9	subordinates to you?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. In 1998, yes.
11	MR. PAUL: In terms of your feelings about
12	Mr. Dunlop's view, did you keep those to yourself or did
13	you express those to the subordinates, such as Constable
14	Genier and Constable Dupuis?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: He wouldn't have been a
16	subordinate at that time and no, I don't remember
17	MR. PAUL: You don't remember expressing any
18	of those opinions?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, like I say, it
20	didn't interest me. It was a Cornwall problem.
21	MR. PAUL: Just a few other questions. I've
22	asked most witnesses about contact with some various
23	people, I just wanted to ask you in terms of associations.
24	The interview with Malcolm MacDonald; is he someone that
25	you had limited knowledge or interaction with at the time?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
2	MR. PAUL: So other than knowing he was a
3	lawyer, you didn't know much about him?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: He may have cross-
5	examined me on a few cases; I can't recall.
6	MR. PAUL: Some of the other individuals
7	that were prominent in terms of the situation, the civil
8	settlement involving Charles MacDonald; did you have any
9	relationship with either Charles MacDonald or Bishop
10	Laroque?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
12	MR. PAUL: And were you familiar with Chief
13	Shaver on a personal basis?
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
15	MR. PAUL: Those are my questions, Mr.
16	Commissioner.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
18	We'll take the break, please.
19	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
20	veuillez vous lever. This hearing will resume at 3:20 p.m.
21	Upon recessing at 3:04 p.m./
22	L'audience est suspendue à 15h04
23	Upon resuming at 3:24 p.m./
24	L'audience est reprise à 15h24
25	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;

veuillez vous lever.

1

25

2	This hearing is now resumed. Please be
3	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Lee.
5	MR. LEE: Good afternoon, sir.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Good afternoon, sir. Go
7	ahead, Mr. Lee.
8	RANDOLPH MILLAR, Resumed/Sous le meme serment:
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. LEE:
10	MR. LEE: Officer Millar, good afternoon.
11	My name is Dallas Lee. I'm counsel for the
12	Victims Group. I have just a few areas to canvass with
13	you.
14	I'd like to start please, Madam Clerk. I
15	passed up Document 126413.
16	Mr. Commissioner, I'd like to address you
17	after you look at the document, before you describe it on
18	the record, please.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
20	MR. LEE: You'll recall, Mr. Commissioner,
21	we've looked a couple of times at discovery transcripts
22	arising from a large civil action launched against Her
23	Majesty the Queen in Right of Ontario relating to abuses
24	alleged against Nelson Barque and Ken Seguin.

THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.

MR. LEE: Robert Renshaw would have been a good example where we looked at some of the discovery transcript of that. This is another one of the plaintiffs from this Action. You'll notice in the Style of Cause that the plaintiffs are referred to by initial.

THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.

was an order at some point in that Action permitting the claim to be filed with the plaintiffs referred to by initials. I am not certain and wasn't able to find a document that tells me whether or not there was another order that accompanied that requiring a ban on identity or anything along those lines. The person whose discovery transcript this is, to the best of my knowledge, has never been publicly identified as a victim of abuse. I didn't appreciate that he was a victim of abuse until I came across this transcript and this was something I provided late notice on because I didn't know. My suggestion, sir, is that we provide a moniker for this person in the context of his role here as an alleged victim of abuse and as a litigant.

I believe I can ask my questions of Officer
Millar respecting the confidentiality of that person should
you grant a moniker, and it's a little bit tricky in the
sense that there are some areas that will make my

1	questioning a little bit touchy, I suppose. But I think I
2	can do it. And I think that we have an interest here in
3	protecting this person's identity as a victim of abuse.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Does anybody have
5	any comments?
6	MR. NEVILLE: Part of the problem,
7	Commissioner, having received notice I guess, last night or
8	this morning, of this document, I've not had throughout
9	today's hour, the chance to look at it.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
11	MR. NEVILLE: I don't know if you've seen
12	it, but the person we're talking about figures prominently
13	in one of the investigations testified to by Inspector
14	Millar.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
16	MR. NEVILLE: And in the light of what I've
17	now read in the content, I would certainly do some cross-
18	examination, particularly in comparison to earlier
19	statements sorry, as compared to earlier statements. So
20	how we finesse this, I'm not sure.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, that's a lot of
22	help.
23	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
24	THE COMMISSIONER: I know, you've hit the
25	the issue is right there. I don't know what folks think

- 1 about that. I really haven't -- I'm trying to think.
- 2 MR. NEVILLE: Well, I'm content if you give
- 3 him the moniker, it may be ignoring the elephant in the
- 4 corner, at this point, is the problem given the extensive
- 5 exploration of the particular investigation from 1992.
- 6 THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm. What do you mean,
- 7 the investigation in '92?
- 8 MR. NEVILLE: A certain homicide
- 9 investigation.
- 10 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Yes. Oh, that was
- 11 in '92, okay.
- 12 MR. NEVILLE: Yes.
- identifying exactly the dealings. My suggestion is that I
 can put to Officer Millar the fact that he has had some
 contact with this person in the past; that he's interviewed
 this person in the past and I have very few questions on
 this document. I essentially want to know whether Officer
 Millar received certain information from this person that
- 20 he relays to the examining lawyer in this discovery. And I
- 21 want to ask him whether or not he's ever heard anything
- 22 prior to testifying at the Inquiry about this. I suspect
- frankly, he may say no. And those will be the end of my
- questions. But this is not an easy thing to figure out how
- 25 to do this well. I'm sensitive to what Mr. Neville says.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm just trying to see
2	all of the ramifications here and whether or not the public
3	is entitled to know this.
4	MR. LEE: I mean, for what it's worth, sir,
5	I don't represent this person. I have no knowledge of what
6	his wishes are. I can only tell you as far as I know he's
7	never been identified publicly.
8	I don't know whether or not there might be
9	an order of the Superior Court that requires a ban on
10	publication. I genuinely have no information about that.
11	My suggestion of a moniker here is based
12	solely on the fact that just on the face of the document
13	he's an alleged victim and we've taken some protections
14	where we could.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: So if he's not your
16	client
17	MR. LEE: No.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: how'd you get this?
19	MR. LEE: I presume it's on the Inquiry
20	hard drive.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, okay.
22	MR. LEE: It was produced to us, I presume,
23	by the Ministry of the Attorney General.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, thank you.
25	Well, first of all, does anyone have any

25

1	comments or words of wisdom at this point?
2	MR. NEVILLE: Another practical problem,
3	Commissioner, is until this issue came to light through Mr.
4	Lee's notice there is a statement by this person in 1994
5	which is, to put it mildly, at odds with this transcript.
6	But Inspector Millar didn't take that statement and I don't
7	think it would I don't think it was even taken under his
8	supervision.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
10	MR. NEVILLE: It was taken by Officer
11	McDonell whose been and gone.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
13	MR. NEVILLE: And the significance of what
14	appears to have happened here, both civilly and in relation
15	to the '94 events, is quite considerable, and I of course
16	could not have anticipated this happening. And what may be
17	required is simply putting off what I would ask on this
18	topic for the possible return of Constable McDonell. I
19	don't want to waste Inspector Millar's time if it's
20	something he's never seen before, didn't take, or didn't
21	even supervise.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Well,
23	MR. NEVILLE: I just want to give you that
24	practical whatever it's worth, which is probably little.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

1	What I'll do, given the avalanche of words
2	of wisdom, I'll give this gentleman a moniker at this point
3	for this purpose. How's that?
4	MR. LEE: Yes. Thank you.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. So that's
6	did I say interim? Did I say for the time being? So it
7	will be an interim one well, we'll give a moniker for
8	this and I'm saying it's subject to coming back and talking
9	about it
10	MR. LEE: Certainly.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: at some later point
12	if it takes on life.
13	So the person who's named in Exhibit 2605 as
14	the person being examined for discovery will have moniker
15	number
16	THE REGISTRAR: Ninety-two (92).
17	THE COMMISSIONER: 92.
18	So now you can see this document, Mr.
19	Millar?
20	MR. LEE: Does the witness have the
21	document, Madam Clerk?
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Wait a minute. Wait a
23	minute now. Does that go in the public
24	MR. LEE: I presume the moniker would relate
25	to a publication ban only on name and identity

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
2	MR. LEE: in which case it could go on
3	public.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank you.
5	MR. LEE: And what was the exhibit number,
6	sir?
7	THE COMMISSIONER: C-92, yes. Yes, yes.
8	MR. LEE: And the exhibit was 26
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Two six zero five (2605).
10	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2605:
11	(126413) - Ontario Superior Court of
12	Justice Examination for Discovery dated
13	20 Jan 04
14	MR. LEE: Thank you, sir.
15	Officer Millar, you have this document in
16	front of you?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
18	MR. LEE: Just by way of brief explanation,
19	an examination for a discovery is a series of questions and
20	answers given under oath during the context of a civil
21	proceeding.
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
23	MR. LEE: Okay. So what's happened is that
24	in 2004, Mr. C-92 attended to be examined for discovery by
25	a lawyer for the agency defending a lawsuit in which he

MILLAR

25

probation officer.

1	alleged having been twice sexually abused by Mr. Seguin.
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay.
3	MR. LEE: Okay. Now, you know who C-92 is,
4	you have the moniker there?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
6	MR. LEE: And you would have met this person
7	in the past?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
9	MR. LEE: And you certainly would have met
10	this person before January 20 th of 2004 when this was taken?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
12	MR. LEE: And you had occasion to interview
13	this person on at least one occasion?
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
15	MR. LEE: And at any point during your
16	interactions with C-92 did he allege abuse by Mr. Seguin?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
18	MR. LEE: Did he allege any problems of any
19	nature with Mr. Seguin?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
21	MR. LEE: Can you take a look, please, at
22	transcript page 39? And that's Bates page ending 338. And
23	if you see if you look at question and answer number 305
24	the witness confirms that Mr. Seguin was never his

MILLAR

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
2	MR. LEE: Can you see that?
3	And there's a discussion between counsel and
4	in response to question 306 C-92 says, "But I did confront
5	Ken."
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MR. LEE: The question:
8	"You confronted Ken?"
9	Answer:
10	"One time that I was going to go to the
11	police about it."
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
13	MR. LEE: Question:
14	"When was that?"
15	Answer:
16	"That was when I was on parole."
17	Question:
18	"What year?"
19	Answer:
20	"I think in '92."
21	Question:
22	"Okay. And what did you tell him?"
23	Answer:
24	"He threatened me outside of his office
25	that he'd get my parole revoked."

1	Question:
2	"What did you tell him?"
3	Answer:
4	"I told him that I was going to go to
5	the police from what he did to me
6	because it was coming out that he'd
7	done this to other people. I thought
8	that I was the only one that this was
9	done to at the time, you know."
10	Question:
11	"Yes. So you said 'I'm going to tell
12	the police', and what did he say to
13	you?"
14	Answer:
15	"I said I was going to go to the
16	police."
17	Question:
18	"And what did he say to you?"
19	Answer:
20	"He told me that if I do anything about
21	it that he'll get my parole revoked and
22	I'll be back in jail."
23	Question:
24	"Did you ever report it to the police?"
25	Answer:

1	"No, I didn't. He hung himself the
2	next day."
3	Do you see that, sir?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
5	MR. LEE: Now, we have one fairly obvious
6	inconsistency on this page, given that at the top of the
7	page in answer 309 he says that he believes this occurred
8	in 1992 and below he says that it was the day before Mr.
9	Seguin's death, which we know was November of 1993.
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
11	MR. LEE: Okay. Leave that aside for a
12	minute, at the bottom of the page what he says is that he
13	threatened to expose Mr. Seguin; that Mr. Seguin returned
14	with a threat saying that if you do that I'll have your
15	parole revoked and then Mr. Seguin died the next day.
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
17	MR. LEE: Okay. So that's the gist of the
18	evidence there.
19	Is any of this familiar to you?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: This is all brand new to
21	me.
22	MR. LEE: You've never heard anything
23	relating to this?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
25	MR. LEE: Never heard any suggestion of

1	this?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
3	MR. LEE: At no point during your
4	investigation of Mr. Seguin's death was any of this brought
5	to your attention?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
7	MR. LEE: And at no point during that
8	investigation when you turned your mind to the question of
9	Mr. Silmser having possibly extorted Mr. Seguin did any of
10	this come to your mind?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
12	MR. LEE: And did you, during the course of
13	turning your mind to the extortion part of the
14	investigation, learn of anybody other than Mr. Silmser who
15	had made threats, I suppose, to Mr. Seguin?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
17	MR. LEE: At any time after 1993 when you
18	were conducting those investigations up to today, have you
19	ever been contacted by any police force in relation to
20	this?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
22	MR. LEE: You can put that document away,
23	sir.
24	Can you just very briefly turn up Exhibit
25	2598 please? That may be in a binder in front of you. I'm

1	not certain.
2	Should the witness have that document
3	already, Madam Clerk?
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Which
5	MR. LEE: Two five nine eight (2598).
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah.
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'll just go by the
8	screen, if that's all right.
9	MR. LEE: Okay. Sure.
10	This is your statement of February 15 th , 1994
11	given by Officers Hurlbut and Beatty, it looks like, in
12	relation to the Milton MacDonald matter.
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
14	MR. LEE: And if you can turn over to the
15	second page, Madam Clerk, to the middle paragraph.
16	On the screen there it speaks of a meeting
17	that the family had with Milton MacDonald. Do you see
18	that?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
20	MR. LEE: Who would that have included, when
21	you say "the family"?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'm sure it would have
23	included Murray, Margaret, Marvin, Moira, Mary I'm not -
24	
25	MR. LEE: Are these all Milton's children?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, and wife.
2	MR. LEE: And spouses?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
4	MR. LEE: And would that
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't know if the in-
6	laws were all there or not. I can't remember.
7	MR. LEE: Okay. And Murray MacDonald was
8	present?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
10	MR. LEE: And if we look down towards the
11	middle of that paragraph you say that:
12	"The feeling I got was that something
13	had happened with C-91 but Milton was
14	questioned specifically about that."
15	Should that read he was not questioned
16	specifically about that?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Where do you see that?
18	MR. LEE: If you look towards the end of the
19	seventh line down it begins
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Oh, yes. Okay.
21	MR. LEE: "The feeling I got"
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Gotcha. Yeah, I think
23	that should have read not.
24	MR. LEE: Should not?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah.

1	MR. LEE: And the last sentence in that
2	paragraph:
3	"I suggested that we had better go to
4	C-91"
5	C-91's father, I believe:
6	"and confront him to see what he was
7	going to do with his complaint, but
8	everyone else seemed to think that
9	since there had been no formal
10	complaint that it might be best to
11	leave it alone for now."
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
13	MR. LEE: Do you recall that discussion?
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, I don't recall the
15	discussion but I can see that this is my statement.
16	MR. LEE: Sorry, this is?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: My statement.
18	MR. LEE: And Murray MacDonald would have
19	been part of any discussions that were had that day?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
21	MR. LEE: The last area I want to discuss is
22	the Jean-Luc Leblanc matter.
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
24	MR. LEE: And can we take a look, please, at
25	Exhibit 2601? This is the general occurrence report coming

from the Cornwall Police Service.

1

1	TIOM the Colliwall Police Service.
2	Was it your understanding, based on your
3	conversation with Officer Tyo, that Vivian Burgess, who was
4	the mother of a couple of victims of Jean-Luc Leblanc in
5	the past, was it your understanding that Vivian Burgess was
6	expressing a clear concern that Jean-Luc Leblanc was
7	abusing children again?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
9	MR. LEE: That was her concern?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That was her concern,
11	yes.
12	MR. LEE: And you understood that?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
14	MR. LEE: And you would
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Not that she was that
16	she was worried that he was. She didn't have any knowledge
17	
18	MR. LEE: No, no.
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: that he was.
20	MR. LEE: She had no proof of anything.
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah.
22	MR. LEE: But it was clearly her concern and
23	that was her reason for contacting the police.
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MR. LEE: And did you appreciate at that

1	point-in-time that she was the mother of two earlier
2	victims?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, I believe so.
4	MR. LEE: So you knew that she had had some
5	experience in dealing with Jean-Luc Leblanc?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. That's why she was
7	calling.
8	MR. LEE: And you appreciated that Jean-Luc
9	Leblanc had been convicted for sexually abusing those kids?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. I believe it was
11	12 years prior.
12	MR. LEE: As a general principle, as a
13	police officer, is it fair to say that a convicted child
14	abuser would not receive the benefit of the doubt relating
15	to contact with kids down the road?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Twelve years?
17	MR. LEE: Yes.
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: With nothing in between?
19	MR. LEE: Yes.
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'd say it's fifty-
21	fifty. You're back to no offence but information. We
22	didn't know who the boys were.
23	MR. LEE: On its face, when you received the
24	information, the fact that he had prior convictions was at
25	least concerning?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
2	MR. LEE: And if you turn over to Exhibit
3	2602, this is the Cornwall Police supplementary occurrence
4	report that details Officer Tyo's conversation with you.
5	And we know from the last document that we looked at was
6	that the CPS received information on August the $5^{\rm th}$, '98.
7	And from this document we know that Officer Tyo first
8	follows up on August 11 th , 1998 and that he then notifies
9	you on September 10 th , '98?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
11	MR. LEE: Did you have any conversation with
12	Officer Tyo at any point about why there was approximately
13	a five-week delay between their receipt of the information
14	and your notification?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
16	MR. LEE: That's not something you discussed
17	with him?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
19	MR. LEE: You've suggested a couple of times
20	that the Cornwall Police had investigated the matter first
21	and then turned it over to you. What do you mean by
22	"Cornwall Police investigated"?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: There wasn't too much to
24	investigate by the looks of it. He found his criminal past
25	through the courts and I don't think there was too much

1	else done with that.
2	MR. LEE: And that was your understanding of
3	the extent of the CPS efforts?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah. What else can you
5	do? And he was notifying me that Mr. Leblanc was living in
6	our area.
7	MR. LEE: Right.
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: And the fact that this
9	lady had seen him with boys.
10	MR. LEE: Can we next, Madam Clerk, take a
11	look at Exhibit 2515?
12	So Officer Millar, this if we can blow up
13	just the top of the screen for Officer Millar, please?
14	You see that this is the Project Truth
15	Inquiry officer report that you filed on
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
17	MR. LEE: June 27^{th} , 2005. What was the
18	purpose of this document, as you understand it?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: They had sent out a
20	request for any possible contacts with anything to do
21	with Project Truth; to your notes, for example, or reports
22	or whatever you had in your possession, to forward them.
23	This was my officer report.
24	MR. LEE: So at this stage this had nothing
25	to do specifically with the Leblanc matter?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
2	MR. LEE: This was a general "an inquiry is
3	coming and the OPP team needs all the information you
4	have"?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah, yeah.
6	MR. LEE: "Send it over to us." And you
7	would have prepared this yourself?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
9	MR. LEE: And you would have reviewed your
10	notes and turned your mind to the various issues that might
11	be canvassed at this Inquiry?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: M'hm.
13	MR. LEE: And this, I take it, was done for
14	the benefit of the OPP team who would be representing the
15	OPP here?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
17	MR. LEE: Now, if you can turn, please, to
18	Bates page 373, and if we look at the second underlined
19	heading, Madam Clerk.
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
21	MR. LEE: And it reads the next entry
22	relates to Jean-Luc Leblanc. You see that?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
24	MR. LEE: And in the beginning parts of that
25	paragraph, you chronicle your contact from Officer Tyo and

1	generally the information you received?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
3	MR. LEE: And one of the things you note is
4	that Officer Tyo did not request any assistance to the
5	Cornwall Police Service?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. He didn't request
7	assistance from us.
8	MR. LEE: Meaning he wasn't asking for OPP
9	help in doing an investigation?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
11	MR. LEE: He was turning this matter over to
12	the OPP.
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: He was just telling me
14	that this guy was living in our area.
15	MR. LEE: Right. Was it your understanding
16	at the time you were contacted by Officer Tyo that CPS
17	wouldn't be involved any further in this?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
19	MR. LEE: And the last sentence of that
20	paragraph reads:
21	"My plan of action was to have
22	detectives set up surveillance on the
23	Leblanc residence and observe his
24	activities."
25	Do you see that?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
2	MR. LEE: And so I take it then at this
3	point, in June of 2005 when you're preparing the Will
4	State, your recollection of this matter was that upon
5	receiving the complaint you made a decision that you would
6	set up surveillance?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: In the ideal world
8	that's what I would like to have done, yes.
9	MR. LEE: And I take it from that you
10	recognized that there was at least some value in pursuing
11	this matter?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Setting up surveillance
13	would have been the ideal way to go.
14	MR. LEE: I take it implicit in that is the
15	fact that you recognize there was some cause for concern?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Oh, yes.
17	MR. LEE: And you've told us a number of
18	times that, in your words, you were you had real
19	offences
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
21	MR. LEE: to take care of, as opposed to
22	matters like this that hadn't gone to the offence stage
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's right.
24	MR. LEE: at this point. Is that right?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.

1	MR. LEE: And would you agree with me that a
2	police officer looking at the information that you had at
3	that time and applying some my term would be "healthy
4	scepticism" to it, would become reasonably concerned with
5	the information received from Mrs. Burgess?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Concerned enough to do
7	surveillance, yes.
8	MR. LEE: And you've told us that the
9	information you had did not amount to an offence?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
11	MR. LEE: Did you at any point contact Mrs.
12	Burgess to see if she had any additional information?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
14	MR. LEE: Would that have been possible?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I suppose it would have
16	been possible. George Tyo tried to get a hold of her and
17	couldn't.
18	MR. LEE: Sorry, say that again?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: The way I understood it,
20	George Tyo had tried to get a hold of her and couldn't
21	reach her.
22	MR. LEE: Did you discuss with Mr. Tyo at
23	any point what efforts he made to reach Ms. Burgess?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
25	MR. LEE: If we go forward, Madam Clerk, to

1	Exhibit sorry, back I guess to Exhibit 2510.
2	So what we've just looked at, Officer
3	Millar, is your general Project Truth officer report in
4	June of '05, and now we have the Professional Standards
5	Bureau Investigative Report, the date of the complaint
6	being September 26, '05 dealing specifically with the
7	Leblanc issue. So this is a
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
9	MR. LEE: By this point-in-time you
10	understand that there's been a complaint?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Seven years later, yes.
12	MR. LEE: And you understand that the OPP is
13	now looking
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
15	MR. LEE: into what happened in relation
16	to the Leblanc matter?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
18	MR. LEE: And you've had a chance, I take
19	it, to review the Phase 1 Report and the Phase 2 Report?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
21	MR. LEE: And you understand that throughout
22	the course of its investigation of this matter, the
23	Professional Standards Bureau comments on the Cornwall
24	Police response? Not in great detail, but it mentions
25	the

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
2	MR. LEE: the length of time
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
4	MR. LEE: it took to do something?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. I don't remember
6	that exactly, no.
7	MR. LEE: And it certainly focuses very
8	intently on what your role in this was?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay, yes.
10	MR. LEE: And it continues on past that to
11	what happens when Project Truth learns of Leblanc
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
13	MR. LEE: and it discusses, as an
14	example, the timing of charges being laid
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
16	MR. LEE: and whether or not that could
17	have been done earlier or later?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: M'hm.
19	MR. LEE: So, this, as you understood it,
20	was quite a full look at the OPP's interactions with Jean-
21	Luc Leblanc, in this time frame.
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
23	MR. LEE: Is that right?
24	And, if we go, Madam Clerk, to page 337,
25	this is a synopsis of an audio statement that you gave,

1	Officer Millar, on January 11th, 2006, at the old district
2	headquarters in Belleville.
3	And, if you look at page 337, Madam Clerk,
4	the third bullet, this again details the information you
5	received from Officer Tyo and what Vivian Burgess had
6	reported, and the bullet below that reads:
7	"They did not know who the boys were,
8	didn't recall George telling them that
9	Leblanc was befriending these boys.
10	There was no criminal offence here."
11	That being said, the plan of action was to
12	surveil Leblanc?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
14	MR. LEE: And that's consistent with what
15	you've told us, that in a perfect world that would have
16	been your action?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
18	MR. LEE: And if you go down, Madam Clerk,
19	to the second-last bullet on the page, and again this is a
20	summary of your statement, Tyo was telling him the guy was
21	living in his area, and he's seen with kids, certainly
22	"going to spin the guy," and find out that he was seen by
23	the mother with young boys?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MR. LEE: What did you mean by "going to

1	spin the guy?"
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Surveil him.
3	MR. LEE: Surveil him? That's just a
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
5	MR. LEE: cool field term for
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I guess so.
7	MR. LEE: And that doesn't suggest anything
8	more than surveillance?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
10	MR. LEE: It doesn't it doesn't suggest
11	approaching Jean-Luc Leblanc?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
13	MR. LEE: Were the police, and the OPP
14	specifically, in late 1997, prohibited in any way from
15	being proactive in fighting crime, rather than being
16	reactive?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: In 1997?
18	MR. LEE: Yes.
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'd have to go through
20	my notes to see what the workload demand was, because that
21	really, is what dictates whether you can become proactive.
22	MR. LEE: I take it, where possible, there
23	is recognized value in attempting to stop crime before it
24	occurs
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Well, yes.

MILLAR

1	MR. LEE: as opposed to investigating
2	crime after it occurs?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
4	MR. LEE: And you certainly would have been
5	cognizant of that in 1997?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MR. LEE: Ms. Daley asked you during her
8	cross-examination about requests for resources you made to
9	the OPP, and you've reviewed this document and, I take it,
10	you will agree there's quite an extensive list set out
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
12	MR. LEE: of contacts that you have with
13	supervisors? I'll let your counsel likely characterize
14	exactly what you were doing there, but you were not shy
15	about asking for resources?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
17	MR. LEE: And you recognized that there was
18	a real problem
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
20	MR. LEE: with staffing?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MR. LEE: And you told us you didn't make a
23	specific request for help on this matter
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
25	MR. LEE: but, rather, a general

1	request for help?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
3	MR. LEE: And, fairly consistently, is that
4	right?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
6	MR. LEE: Would it have been possible for
7	you, relating to this matter, to seek the assistance of the
8	Cornwall Police in surveilling Mr. Leblanc?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, that would have
10	been possible.
11	MR. LEE: Would it have been possible to
12	I don't know the exact geography around here, but we're
13	close enough to Ottawa would it have been possible to
14	ask the Ottawa Police for some assistance?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's not it's not
16	done, let's put it that way, but it's possible.
17	MR. LEE: Cornwall would have been the more
18	likely
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, and I doubt that
20	they would have done it; they've got their own stuff to
21	look after.
22	MR. LEE: Do you have any direct
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't I don't
24	remember them ever coming into our area to do surveillance
25	for us.

1	MR. LEE: Do you have any recollection of
2	ever requesting it?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
4	MR. LEE: And you've given us your thoughts
5	on the Project Truth mandate, and how you saw Leblanc not
6	fitting in there. That aside, would it have been possible
7	to request assistance from Project Truth, for surveillance?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, it would have been.
9	MR. LEE: And that didn't happen?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
11	MR. LEE: You've told us a couple of times
12	that Leblanc, in the grand scheme of things, simply wasn't
13	at the top of the list.
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: He was at the bottom of
15	the list.
16	MR. LEE: And can I take from that let me
17	put it this way; I'm not clear whether you're telling us
18	that you recognized the risk and decided it was less
19	important than other more pressing matters, or whether you
20	say the info you received didn't suggest a risk at all.
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: What I'm suggesting,
22	that the possibility was there, that there was risk.
23	MR. LEE: Can we look, Madam Clerk, at page
24	352 of this document?
25	And, sir, I can tell you that this is a

1	synopsis of a statement received from Pat Hall in March of
2	2006, okay?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
4	MR. LEE: And if we look, Madam Clerk, below
5	the centre of the page, the fifth bullet up the sixth
6	bullet up, rather we have just a little bit above
7	that, please, Madam Clerk. There is fine.
8	You see the second bullet on the screen
9	right now, on March $11^{\rm th}$? That just sets out that on March
10	11^{th} , '99, Leblanc was again arrested and charged with a
11	further 16 counts relating to six victims. Do you see
12	that?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
14	MR. LEE: And Mr. Hall goes on to say that
15	on that date he was:
16	"contacted by Crown Murray MacDonald
17	and advised that his office would no
18	longer be involved in the prosecution
19	of Leblanc. Hall felt this sudden
20	change was because Macdonald became
21	aware of the fact that his brother-in-
22	law, Randy Millar, took no action."
23	And, so, in fairness, this is Pat Hall's
24	read of the situation.
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.

1	MR. LEE: My question for you is whether Pat
2	Hall ever brought that to your attention.
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
4	MR. LEE: And, secondly, whether Murray
5	MacDonald ever discussed anything like that
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
7	MR. LEE: with you. Do you recall ever
8	having any discussion with Murray MacDonald, at any point,
9	about your action or inaction
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
11	MR. LEE: in relation to Leblanc? This
12	isn't something you've discussed with him?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
14	MR. LEE: And if we look, Madam Clerk, over
15	the page, please, the bullet at the top reads:
16	"The following is a chronological
17	order of who and when he"
18	Meaning Pat Hall:
19	"advised about Randy Millar."
20	It goes on to say:
21	"Some of the dates can't be certain"
22	But we have a rather long list of who
23	Officer Hall says he spoke to about his concerns, and we
24	have Mike Hopkins and it was determined he was not your
25	supervisor we have Larry Edgar, and it goes on.

1	I take it you've reviewed this list as part
2	of
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
4	MR. LEE: your preparation here? Do you
5	have any recollection of any of these people ever at any
6	point coming to you with concerns?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
8	MR. LEE: Did anybody ever suggest to you
9	that they weren't concerned, but they knew that somebody
10	else was?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, I nobody ever
12	talked about it.
13	MR. LEE: When did this issue, the fact that
14	there was any concern from anybody out there, in relation
15	to you, first become known to you?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: It was in 2005, I
17	believe, when I was served notice, and maybe just prior to
18	that, somebody telling me that it's coming down the pipe,
19	so get ready.
20	MR. LEE: What do you mean by
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I assume
22	MR. LEE: by "serve notice" under
23	the Police Services Act?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Well, when a complaint
25	goes in against you, PSB serves you notice that

1	there's this is there's a complaint filed against
2	you.
3	MR. LEE: And if we turn over to page 371,
4	Madam Clerk, the second paragraph at the top of the page?
5	I mentioned a little bit earlier that the
6	report seems to comment on the delay at the Cornwall Police
7	Service. Do you see that there?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
9	MR. LEE: And in the paragraph that begins
10	below the centre of the page, "The above information
11	therefore," Madam Clerk, at the bottom of your screen now?
12	It makes the first reference here to the
13	fact that the author of the report expresses some opinion
14	that charges perhaps should have been laid earlier by the
15	Project Truth team
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
17	MR. LEE: do you see that?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
19	MR. LEE: And you understand that, from
20	having read the report that that was a concern?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: M'hm.
22	MR. LEE: Did you at any point read the
23	statements taken from the victims that were ultimately
24	uncovered in this?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Have I ever?

1	MR. LEE: Yes.
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, I did.
3	MR. LEE: In preparation for the Inquiry
4	again?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I read I forget the
6	guy's C number there. Can I use the initials, Your Honour?
7	
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Of what now? Just a
9	second.
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Of the victim's.
11	MR. LEE: Likely C-21 I think, Madam Clerk.
12	Can you show him that name?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: The one that was taken
14	on December 16^{th} that was quite lengthy. I read that one in
15	preparation for this Inquiry
16	MR. LEE: Yes, C-21.
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: and I did not read
18	the other one.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Just show him just for
20	the record.
21	MR. LEE: Is that the person you were
22	thinking of?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
24	MR. LEE: And so that's the only statement
25	that you've read?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
2	MR. LEE: Madam Clerk, on page 381, the
3	final determination I suppose of the PSB is in the first
4	full paragraph on the page. Yeah, there.
5	And this is where Ms. Daley took you to
6	during her cross-examination?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
8	MR. LEE: And as I understood this, you do
9	not accept this final conclusion?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I do part of it; that I
11	could have sent a zone alert. There's no reason why I
12	couldn't.
13	MR. LEE: And
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: It only takes a few
15	minutes.
16	MR. LEE: The first part of this reads:
17	"No matter how busy Millar was at the
18	time that he received the information,
19	it cannot possibly preclude him from
20	making a telephone call to his
21	supervisors and reporting this
22	information to them and also sharing
23	the information with his subordinates."
24	Do you disagree with that sentence?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.

MILLAR

25

MR. LEE: Disagree, okay.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: So you're saying that
2	when they say Randy Millar that he failed to report a
3	matter that was his duty to report
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: you're saying you
6	didn't have any duty?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I should have put out a
8	zone alert. I agree with that.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah, but I think that's
10	
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, I would have sat
12	down with my supervisor after this was done
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: and that's the way
15	it was explained to me, because I'm saying what report.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: So it's to your
17	subordinates and not your zone report
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah, zone alert; to
19	make people in that area aware that this guy is living in
20	our area and has been seen with young boys.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. But this paragraph
22	fails to report the matter that it is his or her duty to
23	report.
24	In that paragraph, they're talking about you
25	should have they're saying that you your supervisors

1	you should have advised your okay, right, reporting
2	this information to your supervisors and also sharing it
3	with your subordinates.
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: "His supervisors may
6	have felt that this matter was
7	sufficiently important to provide the
8	resources."
9	Okay. Sorry. Thank you.
10	MR. LEE: Sir, what is your understanding of
11	the pertinent section here is the Neglected Duty section
12	of the Police Services Act. You understand that?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
14	MR. LEE: And one of the subsections
15	specifically being dealt with here is "Fails to report a
16	matter that it is his or her duty to report"?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
18	MR. LEE: What is your understanding of who
19	you are to report to under that section? What does that
20	refer to?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: The way it was explained
22	to me was that I should have put out a zone alert. That is
23	the report. Make my subordinates know that this man is
24	living in our area and has been seen with young children.
25	MR. LEE: So your understanding of the

1	section of the Police Services Act is that you can be found
2	to be in neglect of duty for failing to report to
3	subordinates and not just superiors?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
5	MR. LEE: Okay. And who was it that
6	explained that to you?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That was Mark Van Zant.
8	MR. LEE: Mark Van Zant?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, Superintendent.
10	MR. LEE: And who was he?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Detective
12	Superintendent. Director of Criminal Investigation Branch
13	at that time.
14	MR. LEE: So not a he's not somebody from
15	PSB, he's your
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: He's my boss, yes.
17	MR. LEE: Having had an opportunity to
18	review these documents and the Leblanc matter and to put
19	some thought into it, would you agree with me that in
20	hind sight the assaults that occurred between September $10^{\rm th}$
21	and December of 1999 were preventable?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Given the information
23	that I had?
24	MR. LEE: Yes.
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I can't see that. I

25

1	mean, I would need a crystal ball to know that they were
2	happening.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: No, but he's talking
4	about hindsight. So there you have your crystal ball.
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Well, sure. I'd set
6	surveillance up on the guy right away and I'm sure he would
7	have been seen in the presence of kids and then we would
8	have identified the children and interviewed them. Sure it
9	could have been prevented from the date that I got the
10	information.
11	MR. LEE: The issue I'm having here is that
12	some might say and I want to know whether you agree with
13	this or not some might say that based solely on the
14	information you had you should have concluded possibly that
15	it was even likely that Jean-Luc Leblanc was abusing these
16	kids?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I disagree with that.
18	MR. LEE: And at the bottom of this page,
19	just the very next paragraph actually the bottom three
20	paragraphs, Madam Clerk this is where the report gets
21	into the details of what they seem to identify as a failure
22	by Project Truth to lay charges early enough. And that's
23	not an issue I'm going to get into with you at all.
24	The only question I have for you is, are you

aware of any discipline or any Professional Standards

1	Bureau investigation into the Project Truth officers
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
3	MR. LEE: who are related to this?
4	So as far as you know out of the entire
5	Leblanc affair, you're the only officer who is subject to
6	proceedings?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'm it.
8	MR. LEE: Thank you, sir. Those are my
9	questions.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Lee.
11	Mr. Neville?
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
13	NEVILLE:
14	MR. NEVILLE: Thank you, Commissioner.
15	Afternoon, Inspector Millar. My name is
16	Michael Neville. We've met.
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
18	MR. NEVILLE: I represent Father Charles
19	MacDonald and the Estate of Ken Seguin, his brother, Doug,
20	and the Seguin family.
21	I just have a few short topic areas I'd like
22	to ask you some questions about.
23	Can we start, Inspector, with it will
24	make it easy if we start with I guess three exhibits,
25	Commissioner. One is 1199, which is Inspector Millar's

1	interview statement of Ken Seguin in the homicide matter
2	that I'll just refer to in that fashion for now. Also 929
3	and 931.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Nine-two-nine (929).
5	MR. NEVILLE: And 931.
6	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
7	MR. NEVILLE: Inspector, I'd like to refer
8	you if I could, please, to the second page of the actual
9	statement, Bates page 157. Do you have it there?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, I'm watching the
11	screen, sir.
12	MR. NEVILLE: All right. Very good.
13	And you can see about seven or eight lines
14	from the top, the narrative starts with the reference to
15	the 8 th of January at approximately 7:00 p.m. Have you
16	found my spot?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
18	MR. NEVILLE: All right. And Mr. Seguin
19	receives a telephone call that this person wishes to come
20	and speak to him?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MR. NEVILLE: All right. Do you agree with
23	me he doesn't say he's coming at that point, other than
24	himself.
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.

1	MR. NEVILLE: And he's told that Mr. Seguin
2	has to go out. About five lines down, Seguin returns at
3	about 7:45, gets a second call and this individual confirms
4	he's now home and says, "We are on our way"?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
6	MR. NEVILLE: Now, you know from the
7	interview that Mr. Seguin knew on a social basis, this
8	family?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
10	MR. NEVILLE: And had associated with them
11	socially on a number of occasions in the past?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
13	MR. NEVILLE: There's no suggestion on this
14	second phone call that other than this person and one other
15	is coming over?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
17	MR. NEVILLE: And when we look at the
18	statement, it's not entirely clear one way or the other but
19	if we look at the sentence at 8:00 p.m., "Four individuals
20	came through my door".
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MR. NEVILLE: It reads as if the person has
23	just walked in?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MR. NEVILLE: Right? And now Mr. Seguin

1	finds himself facing not the two persons he expected, but
2	four?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
4	MR. NEVILLE: Now, I guess can we agree
5	on this, sir? Then events happen over the next 30 or 40
6	minutes, including 1 in particular person requesting on a
7	couple of occasions some beer
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
9	MR. NEVILLE: four pints are put on the
10	counter and taken, right?
11	And then there's the discovery of a person
12	being on a curfew and they're instructed they better leave
13	to get that person home?
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
15	MR. NEVILLE: All right. And one of the
16	individuals returns or doesn't leave immediately and
17	basically helps himself to three more beer?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I thought it was when
19	they were all on their way out.
20	MR. NEVILLE: Yes. That's the way it seems
21	to say.
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
23	MR. NEVILLE: Now, I guess the perfect
24	reaction on Mr. Seguin's part, because you described this
25	as wrong and I'm not disagreeing

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
2	MR. NEVILLE: with you, is bad
3	judgement.
4	The best thing you should and could have
5	could and should have done was to say when four walked in
6	the door, "Hold it, this is not what I expected. You have
7	to leave"?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
9	MR. NEVILLE: Right?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah.
11	MR. NEVILLE: And a bit of an awkward
12	situation, right?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
14	MR. NEVILLE: Now, you're then able to
15	finish off the homicide case
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
17	MR. NEVILLE: in question and you're
18	then essentially instructed by Inspector Smith to bring
19	this incident to Mr. Robert's attention?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
21	MR. NEVILLE: Could we then look briefly at
22	your notes?
23	Commissioner, they're now Exhibit 2593.
24	They were a new document, sir. The Document Number is
25	200312. It was sent through the Commission by pdf and it's

1	now an exhibit, sir. There we are no
2	THE COMMISSIONER: No, 2593?
3	MR. NEVILLE: Two-five-nine-three (2593) is
4	what I have, sir.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: You have that exhibit,
6	sir. It's in the 25
7	MR. NEVILLE: It should be in the
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Neville said he would
9	refer to three exhibits, but
10	MR. NEVILLE: I left one out. Oh, sorry.
11	My bad.
12	Do you have your notes there, Inspector?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
14	MR. NEVILLE: If you'd look for me, I
15	believe the first relevant entry probably it being a new
16	document, we should just have it on the screen, but you've
17	got the hard copy version there?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, I do.
19	MR. NEVILLE: So you have an entry for
20	August 26 th ?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MR. NEVILLE: Is that when you're instructed
23	to do what you do?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
25	MR. NEVILLE: And what is it actually you

1	did that day?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: On that particular day,
3	I don't think I did anything about it.
4	MR. NEVILLE: Okay, let's go to the 27th of
5	August.
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MR. NEVILLE: On the 26^{th} , is there not an
8	attendance at Probation? I don't have my copy. It's not
9	on the screen.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: When you say
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: It says:
12	"Request Tim Smith to notify probation
13	supervisor of Ken Seguin's statement."
14	That's all I have here for my notes.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: No, there's another at
16	11:35, "Attend probation"?
17	MR. NEVILLE: Yes.
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Oh, okay.
19	MR. NEVILLE: That's the
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Oh, okay, you're right.
21	Yes. Yes.
22	MR. NEVILLE: That's the entry I was talking
23	about.
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MR. NEVILLE: Is it not likely it was on

1	this topic?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Oh, yes.
3	MR. NEVILLE: So is it possible you actually
4	may have spoken to Mr. Robert or someone on that date and
5	it's just not recorded?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I believe it's in my
7	notes and it's got cut off here that he wasn't there.
8	MR. NEVILLE: All right. So we're missing
9	something. Fair enough. So let's go to the 27 th .
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
11	MR. NEVILLE: You actually have a
12	conversation with Mr. Robert?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
14	MR. NEVILLE: How long did the conversation
15	take?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Not more than 10
17	minutes.
18	MR. NEVILLE: Did you record any of the
19	details of it?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
21	MR. NEVILLE: I take it you would have given
22	him a verbal briefing, if I can use the term, of why you
23	were calling him and what eventually became put in text
24	form in your memo to him, our Exhibit 929?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.

1	MR. NEVILLE: All right. So is it likely
2	you would have said to him, among other things because it's
3	not in your text, that Seguin was clearly embarrassed?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I put that in my
5	MR. NEVILLE: Yes, you did. Is it likely
6	you may have said things like that to him over the phone?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: It's possible, yes.
8	MR. NEVILLE: And that he felt somewhat
9	intimidated by the dynamics?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, that's what he told
11	me.
12	MR. NEVILLE: And is it possible, Inspector,
13	that at that point Robert may have said, "Well, what do you
14	think we should do here"?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't remember that.
16	MR. NEVILLE: Okay. Possible?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Possible.
18	MR. NEVILLE: So when we look at our Exhibit
19	931, which is Robert's memo to Hawkins
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
21	MR. NEVILLE: where he seems to be
22	saying you agree with the idea of no further action, is it
23	possible he interpreted what you were telling him and your
24	reaction to how Seguin felt as an agreement that he'd paid
25	enough?

235

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: It's possible he
2	interpreted that, yes, but I didn't say that.
3	MR. NEVILLE: Right. Fine.
4	Can we next look I want to just deal with
5	the extortion topic briefly, Inspector.
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay.
7	MR. NEVILLE: Can we start, Commissioner,
8	with Exhibit 1439? These are notes of Staff Sergeant
9	Brunet. The Document Number is 729601. You now have it
10	there?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
12	MR. NEVILLE: Now, if we look at the bottom
13	of that page, this is Officer Brunet Staff Sergeant
14	Brunet essentially recording in his notes what his
15	colleague, Staff Sergeant Dupuis, had been told by Mr.
16	Silmser over the phone?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
18	MR. NEVILLE: Are you with me?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
20	MR. NEVILLE: And Mr. Silmser and we have
21	actually a memo that I won't trouble taking the time with
22	of Dupuis's where he records exactly what we read here.
23	All right?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay.
25	MR. NEVILLE: That Silmser calls the

1	station, speaks to Dupuis and says to him:
2	"If they [presumably meaning Seguin]
3	did not pay within the next 48 hours,
4	he would be going to the press with his
5	story."
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MR. NEVILLE: Now that's not threatening to
8	sue?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, that's extortion.
10	MR. NEVILLE: Exactly.
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
12	MR. NEVILLE: Now, let's look further down.
13	This is happening on the 25 th of November.
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
15	MR. NEVILLE: If we look at the bottom of
16	the next page or that page, the word "press" at the top
17	there's a message left to have someone, possibly you,
18	contact Brunet?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
20	MR. NEVILLE: And then we move on the top of
21	the next page to the 26^{th} of November and in the mid-page
22	starting at 9:40, there's a briefing of yourself about
23	these circumstances?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MR. NEVILLE: And, in fact, that's the day,

1	if we look at Exhibit 271, that you and Detective Constable
2	McDonell take Mr. Silmser's statement at 1:50 p.m.?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
4	MR. NEVILLE: And I don't see anything in
5	the statement addressing the fact that his own words to the
6	Cornwall Police the night before were, "If I don't get the
7	money within 48 hours, I'm going to the press". And what
8	you told the Commissioner was, based on this statement of
9	Silmser, Exhibit 271, from your standpoint any issue of
10	extortion was over?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Based solely on his
12	statement, yes.
13	MR. NEVILLE: Right.
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: So that would suggest I
15	didn't have that information.
16	MR. NEVILLE: So when you were given a
17	briefing a few hours earlier that date
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
19	MR. NEVILLE: it didn't include what I
20	just read out?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't remember.
22	MR. NEVILLE: You don't remember?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
24	MR. NEVILLE: Well, did you have that
25	information by the $21^{\rm st}$ of December when you interviewed

1	Malcolm MacDonald?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't remember.
3	MR. NEVILLE: You don't remember?
4	Well, can we look next, Commissioner, at
5	Exhibits 973 and 960?
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Nine-seventy-three (973).
7	MR. NEVILLE: Actually, Commissioner, it
8	will be 973, 960 and 962.
9	And if you wouldn't mind, Inspector, we'll
10	start by putting does the witness, Commissioner, have
11	hard copy there? Because it's
12	THE COMMISSIONER: He should.
13	MR. NEVILLE: What I'd like you to do if you
14	could, Inspector, is put Malcolm MacDonald's statement,
15	Exhibit 973, side by side with you, so to speak, with
16	Exhibit 960.
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Which is what?
18	MR. NEVILLE: This is the written narrative
19	by Mr. Ken Seguin of his dealings with Silmser, which it
20	would appear from your notes you obtained at the time of
21	the interview.
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Oops. Okay. I'm having
23	an awful time with that mike. Go ahead.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: There's a sound guy over
25	there who's going to want to talk to you afterwards.

1	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
2	MR. NEVILLE: Do you have the two there,
3	Inspector?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
5	MR. NEVILLE: All right. And if we look at
6	the have you had an occasion recently, as part of your
7	preparation, to read through Exhibit 960, the Seguin
8	handwritten document?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
10	MR. NEVILLE: Okay. Have you ever read it?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't remember.
12	MR. NEVILLE: I suggest you
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I know what's in it.
14	MR. NEVILLE: Well, I suggest you must have
15	read it on or about the $21^{\rm st}$ of December.
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Could have been, yes.
17	MR. NEVILLE: Well, why don't we look
18	I'll save us time
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Is this the document
20	that was given
21	MR. NEVILLE: Yeah, yeah.
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: to us by Malcolm
23	MacDonald?
24	MR. NEVILLE: Yeah, that's right.
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay.

1	MR. NEVILLE: Which is reflected in two
2	places that you got it that day.
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
4	MR. NEVILLE: It's reflected in Exhibit
5	2515.
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MR. NEVILLE: And it's reflected in your
8	handwritten notes, Exhibit 692.
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
10	MR. NEVILLE: All right?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: So
12	MR. NEVILLE: You got the document.
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, and I'm certain I
14	would have read it, yeah.
15	MR. NEVILLE: Right. And we can all do the
16	comparison, but if we look at Mr. MacDonald's statement to
17	you, page 2 of the statement, it's actually the bottom of
18	page 1, Mr. MacDonald is able to describe for you, starting
19	in the second line from the bottom, contacts apparently by
20	Silmser to Seguin, starting in January of '93; right?
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Page 2.
22	MR. NEVILLE: And I'm looking at Malcolm
23	MacDonald's statement.
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Oh, sorry.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, it says page 2 but

1	really it's page 1, sir.
2	MR. NEVILLE: Nine seventy-three (973).
3	It's page 1 of the written document. The writing that's on
4	the document, is that your writing?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
6	MR. NEVILLE: Whose writing is it?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't know.
8	MR. NEVILLE: Okay. Is it Malcolm
9	MacDonald's or is it Chris McDonell?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: It's not Chris McDonell.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Wait a minute. Wait a
12	minute, wait a minute.
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Wouldn't it be
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, it looks like
15	Chris
16	MR. NEVILLE: Nine seventy-three (973), an
17	interview statement of Malcolm MacDonald.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: It's on the screen now.
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay, that's my writing.
20	MR. NEVILLE: Okay, so the text
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay, we're talking
22	about the interview report?
23	THE COMMISSIONER: The text is your writing?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MR. NEVILLE: Fair enough. All right.

1	So at the bottom of page 1 of that statement
2	
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay, got you.
4	MR. NEVILLE: the second-last line,
5	January '93
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MR. NEVILLE: there's an apparent of
8	Seguin by Silmser.
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
10	MR. NEVILLE: Right? Next page, please,
11	third line. Another one in February. Do you see that?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. That doesn't make
13	much sense, I don't think.
14	MR. NEVILLE: Well, it does in a minute.
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay.
16	MR. NEVILLE: Then six lines from the top:
17	"In August '93"
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay, got you.
19	MR. NEVILLE: "Ken advised me of
20	another phone call."
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MR. NEVILLE: And two lines below that:
23	"In September '93, Ken Seguin advised
24	me of another phone call."
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.

1	MR. NEVILLE: And then the middle of the
2	page:
3	"On November 15 th he approached me
4	around noon hour [et cetera]."
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
6	MR. NEVILLE: Now, I'm going to suggest if
7	we take a moment I don't want to use up unduly the time,
8	but if we look into Mr. Seguin's text, Exhibit 960
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
10	MR. NEVILLE: you will see those exact
11	references.
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay.
13	MR. NEVILLE: Okay? Now, let's look at
14	Exhibit 962.
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay.
16	MR. NEVILLE: Actually we can also see the
17	same event as well in Exhibit 960. Sorry to jump around a
18	bit on you. If you go to Roman numeral five at the top of
19	the 9 Exhibit 960.
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
21	MR. NEVILLE: Do you have it?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
23	MR. NEVILLE: Okay. So Mr. MacDonald in his
24	narrative talks about a contact in August '93 that Seguin
25	advises him of, and if we look at this one on August $21^{\rm st}$,

1	'93:
2	"David again called me at home at
3	11:30. He awoke me and I was
4	confronted with anger over the
5	Diocese's lack of response to him
6	regarding a financial settlement, and
7	it was up to him to lay charges or not
8	but he preferred to settle for money.
9	He stated, 'Consider this a threat'
10	that if they don't come across that he
11	would say harmful things to the police,
12	my employer and the newspapers."
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
14	MR. NEVILLE: That is extortion.
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
16	MR. NEVILLE: Now, this is the document you
17	left Mr. MacDonald's office with.
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
19	MR. NEVILLE: You also had, at some point,
20	the reports and material from the Cornwall Police Service
21	that at the very least, on the night before Seguin's death,
22	he tells the Cornwall Police the threat was, "I'm paid in
23	48 hours or I go to the press."
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MR. NEVILLE: Now, I don't see any part of

25

1	your investigation of the extortion where Mr. Silmser was
2	questioned or confronted with any of this.
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
4	MR. NEVILLE: Why is that?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: As far as I was
6	concerned that was done, the extortion.
7	MR. NEVILLE: My question was why was he not
8	brought in and questioned as a suspect, albeit under
9	caution or otherwise, and confronted with this material to
10	see what he would say? For example, "Did you contact Mr.
11	Seguin in January, February, August, November? Did you say
12	to him the following"
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
14	MR. NEVILLE: "you intervene and get me
15	my settlement or I'll turn on you." I'm paraphrasing.
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
17	MR. NEVILLE: All of that could have been
18	done. It wasn't done.
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
20	MR. NEVILLE: Right?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: It's very obvious, sir,
22	that after November 26^{th} this investigation was reviewed by
23	command staff, and obviously my thoughts on the 26^{th} were
24	changed. I was told to go and interview Malcolm MacDonald

1	MR. NEVILLE: I understand that.
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: so
3	MR. NEVILLE: And what came from the
4	interview of Malcolm MacDonald is Mr. Seguin's statement
5	_
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MR. NEVILLE: with very, very detailed
8	things that Silmser was saying to him, many of them in the
9	nature of extortion.
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
11	MR. NEVILLE: None of which was Silmser
12	confronted with ever.
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
14	MR. NEVILLE: Right?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah.
16	MR. NEVILLE: Okay.
17	Let's look next, if we could briefly, at
18	Exhibit 2594. These are your notes of the Leroux search.
19	Inspector, as I follow your evidence for us,
20	and your notes, C-8 is there on the premises when you and
21	Constable McDougald arrive.
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
23	MR. NEVILLE: He's there throughout the
24	search somewhere in the premises
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.

1	MR. NEVILLE: as far as you know.
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Far as I know.
3	MR. NEVILLE: And when you leave he's there
4	and indeed you describe him in your notes as securing the
5	premises.
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MR. NEVILLE: Okay. Now, can you tell us in
8	what condition you left Mr. Leroux's house after the
9	search?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't remember, sir.
11	MR. NEVILLE: Did you leave it in disarray?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't believe so. I
13	just don't remember. I can't imagine why we would.
14	MR. NEVILLE: Well, let's see what you were
15	looking for. You were looking for two guns.
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
17	MR. NEVILLE: C-8 hands you one when you get
18	there.
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
20	MR. NEVILLE: Fairly limited search
21	required.
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: M'hm.
23	MR. NEVILLE: Right? I'm not being
24	facetious but it's handed to you; right?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Wasn't handed to me.

1	MR. NEVILLE: Well, whatever.
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah.
3	MR. NEVILLE: It's handed to your brother
4	officer.
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
6	MR. NEVILLE: All right. He's waiting with
7	the gun.
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
9	MR. NEVILLE: Another one is found,
10	apparently by you
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
12	MR. NEVILLE: in a downstairs, near the
13	front door, closet.
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
15	MR. NEVILLE: Okay. I take it that didn't
16	require a great deal of disruption of the premises?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't believe so, no.
18	MR. NEVILLE: All right.
19	Now, can I ask you to look for me having
20	talked about the state of the premises as you recall them
21	
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't recall them, to
23	be
24	MR. NEVILLE: Well
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: You mean the size of it?

1	MR. NEVILLE: No, no, no. What condition
2	you left the place in, what
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I just don't remember.
4	MR. NEVILLE: Was it trashed, as far as
5	you're concerned?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: As far as I'm concerned,
7	it wasn't trashed, no.
8	MR. NEVILLE: All right. Well, let's look
9	then at Exhibit 567, Document Number 719664.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 567.
11	MR. NEVILLE: That would be Bates page,
12	Commissioner, ending in 1763.
13	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
14	MR. NEVILLE: The Bates page, Commissioner,
15	and for the witness's assistance, it ends in 1763,
16	Inspector. This is an affidavit by Mr. Leroux. If we can
17	just have it on the screen, sir? I don't have a hard copy.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure. So you're looking
19	at paragraph 20?
20	MR. NEVILLE: I believe it is, sir.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: The bottom one, Madam
22	Clerk?
23	MR. NEVILLE: Right at the bottom of the
24	page, sir. Can you read it well enough there, Inspector?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.

1	MR. NEVILLE: Is it big enough?
2	So this is Mr. Leroux's version of what
3	happens, all right?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
5	MR. NEVILLE: He says in paragraph 20 that
6	he went back to Fort Lauderdale on or about February $3^{\rm rd}$, so
7	shortly before you and Officer McDougald did the search:
8	"I returned to Canada on or about early
9	March '93, to find my home in a
10	complete mess, as if someone had
11	searched my home. I received a
12	telephone call from the OPP Lancaster
13	advising they had done a search of my
14	house and they found a leather suitcase
15	and weapons."
16	My only point to you is, did you
17	leave when you left that house, would you describe it as
18	a complete mess?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
20	MR. NEVILLE: Now, during the cross-
21	examination of Mr. Lee, he referred you to what is now
22	Exhibit 2605. It's a discovery transcript of a person that
23	we're now calling C-90, I believe?
24	THE COMMISSIONER: No.
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Ninety-two (92)?

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Ninety-two (92).
2	MR. NEVILLE: Ninety-two (92)? Sorry. Do
3	you have that there, sir?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
5	MR. NEVILLE: All right. And he's started
6	by referring you to page I'm using the numbers of the
7	transcript itself, in the top right, Commissioner, 39.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
9	MR. NEVILLE: Now, this individual whom you
10	obviously know quite a bit about is alleging in this
11	lawsuit, filed on or about 2004, two acts of sexual
12	touching by Mr. Seguin of him. All right?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay.
14	MR. NEVILLE: And he joins a lawsuit against
15	the Ministry, or for the Province of Ontario, the Ministry
16	representing probation officers, although he says on page
17	39, as you see it right in front of you, that Mr. Seguin
18	was never his probation officer.
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
20	MR. NEVILLE: Okay? And then he talks about
21	a passage referred to by Mr. Lee at the bottom of that
22	page, through to the next page, right to the bottom to
23	line question 314, that he confronted Seguin the day
24	before he committed suicide and told him he was going to go
25	to the police.

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
2	MR. NEVILLE: Right? Can we now look and
3	just for background information, when we turn to the final
4	document about this topic, if we turn to page 47 of this
5	volume is it in front of you?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Not yet.
7	MR. NEVILLE: Not yet? Here we go.
8	Starting at question 365 through to question 367
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
10	MR. NEVILLE: he claims he advised his
11	wife of these alleged abuses in 1992.
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
13	MR. NEVILLE: All right? Let's look, if we
14	can, at Exhibit Document Number 113745? And this will
15	require, Commissioner, a publication ban. It's by the same
16	person, C-92
17	THE COMMISSIONER: M-hm.
18	MR. NEVILLE: which I don't believe at
19	the moment is an exhibit.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit
21	Number 2606 is an interview report of C-92, taken on April
22	27^{th} , 1994 by Detective Constable McDonell.
23	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-2606:
24	(113745) - Interview Report of C-92 dated 27
25	Apr 94

1	MR. NEVILLE: He was your Detective
2	McDonell, Inspector, was your colleague at Lancaster?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
4	MR. NEVILLE: And we know from previous
5	testimony, if we look at the occurrence with the number
6	there, that it's the second branch of the extortion
7	investigation headed up by Inspector Hamelink
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
9	MR. NEVILLE: right? And he was being
10	assisted, we understood, by Officers Genier and McDonell?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
12	MR. NEVILLE: So, the redoing of what you
13	had closed off a few months earlier?
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I think somebody else
15	above me closed that off, in between
16	MR. NEVILLE: Whatever.
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: my closing it off.
18	MR. NEVILLE: All we know
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
20	MR. NEVILLE: Don't trouble yourself with
21	that. We just know that it got redone
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
23	MR. NEVILLE: under the supervision
24	all right, fair enough. And so this is the same person
25	we're talking about, that we've looked at a transcript and

1	the like, right?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
3	MR. NEVILLE: All right. Let's look at the
4	bottom of the first page.
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
6	MR. NEVILLE: "It was rumoured" and this
7	is in 1994, April 27 th , sir?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
9	MR. NEVILLE: Approximately two years after
10	he allegedly tells his wife about his abuse?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
12	MR. NEVILLE: This is in the context of an
13	extortion investigation where Seguin is supposed to be a
14	victim, right?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: M'hm.
16	MR. NEVILLE: "It was rumoured that Ken
17	Seguin was gay, and this was picked up
18	at the marina and the hotel. He never
19	approached myself or did I know anyone
20	else he made advances to."
21	Right?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
23	MR. NEVILLE: Let's look near the bottom of
24	the page.
25	In the middle of the page he talks about an

1	incident where Mr. Seguin had difficulty at a local bar, by
2	virtue of being allegedly gay, and was being intimidated by
3	people, and this man and others came to his aid, it would
4	appear, right?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
6	MR. NEVILLE: Right? Let's go about eight
7	lines from the bottom:
8	"After we left the house, we talked
9	about Ken being gay, but we all
10	remained friends with Ken. Ken never
11	approached me as a homosexual, or as
12	far as I know, he never made advances
13	to Brian or Robert. He was just a good
14	friend."
15	Right?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
17	MR. NEVILLE: Let's look at the last page.
18	Mr. Lee took you to a passage of the
19	discovery where this man confronted Mr. Seguin the day
20	before he committed suicide with a warning or threat to go
21	to the police and expose him, right?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
23	MR. NEVILLE: Let's look at the last

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

"I saw Ken about five days before he

paragraph on the last page:

24

1	died, and every time I went in to see
2	my probation officer I would stop and
3	talk to Ken. I was shocked when I
4	heard he hung himself, as I never
5	suspected that of him. I never saw any
6	women around Ken's house."
7	Any mention of the threat to go to the
8	police for the alleged abuse, in that statement?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Sorry?
10	MR. NEVILLE: Any suggestion of being an
11	abuse victim in that statement?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'm not familiar with
13	the statement.
14	MR. NEVILLE: No, this statement
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I didn't
16	MR. NEVILLE: we just looked at.
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I didn't take it.
18	MR. NEVILLE: I know you didn't. We just
19	looked at it together.
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
21	MR. NEVILLE: The paragraph I just read to
22	you last appears to be more or less the same event in the
23	transcript at the discovery that
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MR. NEVILLE: Does it appear to be different

1	here?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'd have to compare.
3	MR. NEVILLE: He says he confronted him and
4	said, "For what he did to me, I'm going to go to"
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Oh, okay, I see.
6	MR. NEVILLE: "go to the police?"
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, okay. There is a
8	difference, obviously.
9	MR. NEVILLE: Is there a difference?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
11	MR. NEVILLE: Yes. Thank you, that's all.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
13	Mr. Chisholm? Thank you.
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
15	MR. CHISHOLM:
16	MR. CHISHOLM: Detective Inspector, my name
17	is Peter Chisholm. I'm counsel for the CAS.
18	Ms. Jones took you to Exhibit 2324 this
19	morning. That's the that would be the notes of Greg
20	Bell.
21	We'll just wait for that to come up. Bates
22	page 2022.
23	Detective Inspector, Ms. Jones was speaking
24	to you of the phone call that you made to Greg Bell on
25	December the 13 th of 1993.

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
2	MR. CHISHOLM: Do you recall that?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
4	MR. CHISHOLM: And you had suggested that
5	you couldn't think of any reason why you would have called
6	him except for the fact that you may have been returning
7	Greg Bell's
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: His call, yes.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: phone calls.
10	If I could take you to 1126 entry on that
11	-
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
13	MR. CHISHOLM: on that page, which is
14	December the 8^{th} of 1993. That appears to be a note
15	indicating that Greg Bell called and left a message for you
16	
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: on that date. And is it
19	a Munroe is that a Sergeant Munroe? Do you know that
20	name Munroe?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
22	MR. CHISHOLM: Who relayed, "Randy Millar
23	off until 1500 hours today." Do you see that?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MR. CHISHOLM: Then if I can take you,

1	please, to Bates page 2024, the 1505 entry.
2	And you'll see there Mr. Bell has made a
3	note indicating he called for you at that time and was told
4	that you were off sick that afternoon. Do you see that?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
6	MR. CHISHOLM: Do those two passages that I
7	took you to fit within your theory that perhaps it was Mr.
8	Bell that called you?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
10	MR. CHISHOLM: Thank you, sir.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Mr. Rose.
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. ROSE:
13	MR. ROSE: Good evening, Inspector Millar.
14	My name is David Rose. I'm counsel for the Ministry of
15	Community Safety and Correctional Services.
16	I just have a few questions, narrow
17	questions about your contact with probation staff as a
18	result of the Travis Varley incident.
19	I've seen your notes and I take it that the
20	day after the murder after the homicide you attend at
21	the Probation office in Cornwall. Is that right?
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
23	MR. ROSE: Okay. I have your notes and it
24	says 2:23 p.m. Does that sound about right?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.

1	MR. ROSE: So in other words mid-afternoon?
2	Sir, you have to verbalize your answers.
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
4	MR. ROSE: It doesn't pick up the head nod.
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
6	MR. ROSE: And you're with your partner,
7	Chris McDonell.
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Is there any chance I
9	can get my notes?
10	MR. ROSE: I don't actually have the exhibit
11	number.
12	MR. O'BRIEN: Twenty-five ninety-two (2592).
13	MR. ROSE: Twenty-five ninety-two (2592).
14	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
15	MR. ROSE: Right. So it says:
16	"1423 attend Probation office, meet
17	Ken Seguin, interview in office."
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
19	MR. ROSE: I take it the 7^{th} of February '44
20	is his date of birth, right?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
22	MR. ROSE: Okay. So you're with Constable
23	McDonell at the time?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MR. ROSE: Okay. And would I be correct in

1	saying that at that time that the Probation office would
2	have been fully staffed?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I can't recall, sir.
4	MR. ROSE: Sense well, I don't have the
5	date but I take it it wasn't a Saturday or a Sunday.
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
7	MR. ROSE: Okay. So it's a weekday.
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah.
9	MR. ROSE: Okay. In other words, there's no
10	secret about you meeting Ken Seguin that day. You walk in
11	and it's an operational daytime office.
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
13	MR. ROSE: Okay. And can you give me a
14	sense do you have a recollection of how many people
15	would have been around?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
17	MR. ROSE: Fair enough.
18	And that I take it that it's at that time
19	that Ken Seguin says that he's going to notify his
20	superiors. Is that right?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MR. ROSE: Okay. And do you recall what you
23	would have said with talked with Mr. Seguin about that
24	issue?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.

1	MR. ROSE: In other words, did he say he was
2	going to file the specific report or
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
4	MR. ROSE: It was just left that he was
5	going to report it to his superior?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MR. ROSE: Okay. And at that time do you
8	recall that his superior was Emile Robert?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
10	MR. ROSE: Have you known Mr. Robert before
11	that time in other words, January 9 th of 1992?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's difficult to say.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: He wasn't your best of
14	friend?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: You didn't play cards
17	with him?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: You didn't see him
20	socially?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: If you would have seen
23	him would you have seen him professionally?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: And how often do you

1	think, if
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Not very often.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
4	MR. ROSE: Okay. But I'm simply
5	establishing that you would have known him to be the
6	manager of the Probation office in Cornwall at the time?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't know, sir.
8	MR. ROSE: Would you have at least
9	understood that there would be a manager
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
11	MR. ROSE: above Ken Seguin?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Well, yes.
13	MR. ROSE: Okay. And if we could put up on
14	the screen, then, Exhibit 929. And this is the letter that
15	you wrote or the memo to Emile Robert September $3^{\rm rd}$, 1992.
16	And the first paragraph, it's been covered earlier in some
17	of the questioning, it says:
18	"As you are aware I had occasion to
19	interview Ken Seguin as a result of a
20	fatal shooting at Summerstown on the $9^{\rm th}$
21	of January 1992."
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
23	MR. ROSE: Okay. And when it says "As you
24	are aware," are you referring to the conversation that
25	you've had I think you've given testimony now was it

1	on August 27 th ?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
3	MR. ROSE: Okay.
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, that would make
5	sense.
6	MR. ROSE: So was there any time prior to
7	August 27 th you would have had contact with Emile Robert?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: On the 26th. I think it
9	was on the 26 th , wasn't it?
10	MR. ROSE: Okay. But let's
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, sorry, it was the
12	27 th . You're right. No, I don't believe so.
13	MR. ROSE: Okay. So that's the first time
14	you get in contact with Emile Robert about this incident?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: As I recall, yes.
16	MR. ROSE: Okay. Now, do you recall when
17	you spoke with Mr. Robert did you have any discussions with
18	him about Ken Seguin reporting the incident as between Ken
19	Seguin and Emile Robert?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't recall it, sir.
21	I just have in my notes that I spoke with him and I'm just
22	guessing that I was given the heads up that this letter was
23	coming to him.
24	MR. ROSE: Okay.
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: But I don't recall what

1	the conversation that I had with him in 1992. I don't have
2	any notes of it.
3	MR. ROSE: Fair enough.
4	Do you recall when you first spoke with Mr.
5	Robert on August 27^{th} , would you have been satisfied that
6	Emile Robert knew something about this?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't recall.
8	MR. ROSE: In other words, let's look at it
9	another way. Do you recall, for instance, when you spoke
10	with Mr. Robert on August $27^{\rm th}$ that wow, he seems to be
11	finding out about this for the very first time, or was it
12	that Mr. Robert actually knew about this?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't I can't
14	remember, to be honest with you.
15	MR. ROSE: And you called Mr. Robert, I take
16	it, to state the obvious, because you knew that he was Ken
17	Seguin's superior?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
19	MR. ROSE: And in other words, what I want
20	to ask you about is it's really Ken Seguin's superior's
21	business, his boss' business as opposed to anyone else's
22	business, this idea that he is a probation officer, has
23	placed himself in this position.
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.

MR. ROSE: Right. So -- and I'm not being

1	critical.
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: M'hm.
3	MR. ROSE: I just want to establish that in
4	other words it's not your business, for instance, to go to
5	the staff of the Probation office and start talking with
6	them about it.
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
8	MR. ROSE: It's not their business at all.
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
10	MR. ROSE: It's Emile Robert's business.
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah.
12	MR. ROSE: And it's Emile Robert's business,
13	in other words, to decide what is appropriate to do with
14	Ken Seguin as a result of this incident. Would I be fair
15	about that?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. It's not my
17	business, let's put it that way.
18	MR. ROSE: Okay. Well, it's more than that,
19	it's I just want to make sure the chain of command that
20	you're reporting to is clear and you're going to his
21	superior
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Exactly.
23	MR. ROSE: for that reason?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MR. ROSE: Okay. Now, over and above that

1	there's been some discussion about how broadly understood
2	or what was out there in the community with respect to the
3	Travis Varley case or the MacDonald homicide, if we can
4	phrase it. Would that be fair?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: In relation to what?
6	MR. ROSE: Well, it's a small community,
7	isn't it? Homicides don't happen all the time, do they?
8	Thankfully.
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
10	MR. ROSE: Okay. And so if we go back, for
11	instance, to January the 9^{th} , 1992 I take it that you would
12	have fairly quickly understood that Ken Seguin had some
13	involvement or some knowledge about what was going on with
14	this Travis Varley case. Would that be fair?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Sorry?
16	MR. ROSE: Well, you came to see Mr. Seguin,
17	I take it, within what, 12 hours
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
19	MR. ROSE: of the death?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
21	MR. ROSE: And you would have understood
22	fairly rapidly that
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
24	MR. ROSE: he was a witness to this?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. I've already

1	testified that that came from some of the boys that were
2	with him Mark Woods and Bob Varley.
3	MR. ROSE: Right. And was Mr. Varley
4	appearing in court that morning, January 9 th , do you recall?
5	Was he arrested very quickly?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, he was arrested on
7	the spot.
8	MR. ROSE: Okay, so he would have been taken
9	and what to court in Cornwall literally that morning for
10	his first appearance?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'm not sure. We were
12	quite a while with him at the detachment.
13	MR. ROSE: And I just want to explore what
14	you recall at the time about how widely discussed this was
15	in the community, the fact that there was this terrible
16	incident. And judging by the look on your face, it would
17	have been the topic of conversation around town. Would
18	that be fair?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Certainly around
20	Summerstown.
21	MR. ROSE: Okay. And about Cornwall as
22	well?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
24	MR. ROSE: It would be reported in the
25	newspaper?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
2	MR. ROSE: And do you recall in the courts
3	was this discussed? In other words, there was this
4	homicide?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't recall, sir.
6	MR. ROSE: Okay.
7	If we go to the conclusion of the case
8	against Mr. Varley, you've talked about speaking to the
9	Crown Attorney, Mr. Simard, about the as the case is
10	winding to the position of sentencing. Do you recall that?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I didn't I don't
12	remember speaking to him, no.
13	MR. ROSE: Okay. Do you recall having
14	discussions as the matter is proceeding to sentencings
15	with, for instance, the person preparing a pre-sentence
16	report? Is that possible?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: It depends on who it
18	was.
19	MR. ROSE: Carole Cardinal.
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I don't recall
21	discussing it, no.
22	MR. ROSE: Because Carole Cardinal has
23	testified before this Inquiry that she recalls speaking
24	with your partner, Chris McDonell, and possibly you
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.

1	MR. ROSE: about the case for purposes
2	of preparing a pre-sentence report.
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. I have checked my
4	notes in regards to that and I have no notes of speaking to
5	Carole Cardinal in relation to a pre-sentence report.
6	MR. ROSE: Is it possible that she might
7	have had those discussions and it's simply nothing that you
8	considered noteworthy?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Sure, it's possible.
10	MR. ROSE: And is it common for you to speak
11	with a probation officer for the purposes of preparing a
12	pre-sentence report about someone that you've arrested?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: If you're the lead
14	investigator, yes.
15	MR. ROSE: And did you consider yourself
16	like that
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
18	MR. ROSE: in the MacDonald case?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Chris McDonell was.
20	MR. ROSE: He's your partner?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MR. ROSE: You often travelled around with
23	him from time-to-time?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MR. ROSE: So it's possible that if the lead

1	investigator was speaking to the probation officer, you
2	might very well have been there?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Might have been.
4	MR. ROSE: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.
5	Those are my questions.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
7	Mr. Thompson?
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
9	MR. THOMPSON:
10	MR. THOMPSON: Good afternoon. My name is
11	Christopher Thompson. I'm counsel for the Ministry of the
12	Attorney General.
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
14	MR. THOMPSON: And I have a few questions
15	for you just in relation to one subject matter, and that's
16	the subject matter of Milton MacDonald.
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
18	MR. THOMPSON: And I'd ask you to please
19	turn up Exhibits 2598 and 2599.
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'll just go by the
21	screen if that's all right.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: We'll do one at a time.
23	Which one do you want first, sir?
24	MR. THOMPSON: Two-five-nine-eight (2598).
25	So this is your interview report dated

1	February 20 sorry, February 15 th , 1994?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
3	MR. THOMPSON: And in it you discuss a
4	telephone conversation you had on February 11 th , '94 with
5	Murray MacDonald?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MR. THOMPSON: And he's the local Crown
8	Attorney?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
10	MR. THOMPSON: And your brother-in-law?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
12	MR. THOMPSON: And he called your residence.
13	Is that right?
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
15	MR. THOMPSON: And at the time he would have
16	of course known that you were a police officer?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
18	MR. THOMPSON: And he tells you that he
19	spoke with his father that same day?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
21	MR. THOMPSON: And that his father, that's
22	Milton MacDonald, told him that C-91 had made allegations
23	against his father of a sexual nature?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MR. THOMPSON: And that his understanding

1	was that C-91 was going to make a complaint to Constable
2	McClements
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
4	MR. THOMPSON: that evening; later that
5	same evening?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MR. THOMPSON: And he asked you whether you
8	had had any knowledge of any previous incidents, and I
9	think you've told us today that you had a bit of fuzzy
10	knowledge that there may have been something in the past.
11	That's correct, right?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: In the late sixties,
13	yes.
14	MR. THOMPSON: Right. And this was fair
15	to say that he was surprised that people knew about this?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Absolutely.
17	MR. THOMPSON: And I just want you to take a
18	look at the second paragraph of that exhibit. It says
19	here:
20	"Murray told me that he had questioned
21	Milton about the allegations that was
22	made and that Milton hadn't done very
23	well in his response to Murray's
24	questions."
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.

1	MR. THOMPSON: Do you see that? So when it
2	was suggested to you earlier that Milton MacDonald hadn't
3	been questioned, that was in respect of one other that
4	was another event, that family meeting?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
6	MR. THOMPSON: Right. You weren't saying
7	that he wasn't questioned at all. It was just at that one
8	occasion?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Well, yes.
10	MR. THOMPSON: Right.
11	So we know that you testified earlier that
12	there was the family meeting on the Saturday. I'm going to
13	skip to the Sunday
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay.
15	MR. THOMPSON: two days later, and
16	Murray MacDonald attended your residence on the Sunday. Do
17	you recall that?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: I'll have to go by this.
19	I don't know if it was Sunday or I don't know if he came to
20	my house. Whatever I said there is the way it happened.
21	MR. THOMPSON: If we could take a look at
22	it, it's on and I don't think it's controversial.
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No.
24	MR. THOMPSON: It's on page 2 of your
25	interview report that you've discussed

1	THE COMMISSIONER: You can lead him.
2	MR. THOMPSON: Pardon?
3	THE COMMISSIONER: You can lead.
4	MR. THOMPSON: Sure. It's on page 2 of your
5	report. We can go to it. It's at paragraph 1, 2, 3, 4.
6	And it says here:
7	"In the afternoon on Sunday, Margaret,
8	Murray and my wife"
9	Sorry, it says here:
10	"Murray and I got together with Marlene
11	before they left for Ottawa. I told
12	him that I thought we should get hold
13	of C-91's father and ask him if he had
14	knowledge of any wrongdoing."
15	All right?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
17	MR. THOMPSON: And can you please turn up
18	2599? And what I'm looking at is on page 4, which is Bates
19	4004. I'm looking at paragraph 4. And it states here:
20	"We felt that the matter should be
21	dealt with. Randy indicated that he
22	was going to see the boy's father. I
23	told him that I trusted his judgment
24	and that was his call."
25	And you spoke you were taken to that

1	passage earlier and I believe you said that that was an
2	accurate recollection of the discussion you had?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
4	MR. THOMPSON: And so you both agree at that
5	point that it needed to be dealt with?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Absolutely.
7	MR. THOMPSON: And at that point you still
8	didn't have a complaint, but you both felt it needed to be
9	
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
11	MR. THOMPSON: dealt with?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
13	MR. THOMPSON: I just want to clarify one
14	thing.
15	There's some discussion in these statements
16	about sort of being careful or keeping your distance and
17	compromising your position, and I take it that that was
18	expressed to you by Murray MacDonald. You understood that
19	that was out of concern because of your position and his
20	position, both as the son and the brother-in-law?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MR. THOMPSON: And you both agreed that were
23	there to be a criminal allegation, that would be something
24	that would need to be investigated by outside the
25	district?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Exactly.
2	MR. THOMPSON: And that is in fact what
3	happened?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
5	MR. THOMPSON: Is it fair to say that there
6	was that initial disclosure from Murray MacDonald that set
7	into motion these events that led to the investigation and
8	prosecution?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
10	MR. THOMPSON: Okay, thank you. Those are
11	all my questions.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
13	Mr. Crane?
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
15	MR. CRANE:
16	MR. CRANE: Detective Inspector Millar, my
17	name is Mark Crane and I'm counsel for the Cornwall
18	Community Police Service. I know you've had a long day,
19	sir, so I don't intend to be very long but I do have a few
20	questions about budget and resource requests.
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MR. CRANE: Following that vein, I'm going
23	to review with you some of your resource requests that
24	arose out of the Leblanc matter and compare these to some
25	other resource issues that were identified by members of

1	the Cornwall Police Service that testified at this Inquiry.
2	All right?
3	And you told us this morning that you worked
4	with the Cornwall Police Service during the early 1990s?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
6	MR. CRANE: And I take it that was in
7	regards to some joint force operations?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
9	MR. CRANE: And speaking broadly at a high
10	level, we know that police services, whether it's the OPP
11	or the Cornwall Police Service are publicly funded by the
12	taxpayers.
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
14	MR. CRANE: And they operate within a
15	budget.
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
17	MR. CRANE: And these budgets assist to
18	define the strength or the number of officers that can be
19	accommodated?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That is correct.
21	MR. CRANE: And if officers that are the
22	size of the complement that has been assigned may be
23	impacted by officers who are on sick leave
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MR. CRANE: or on secondment?

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
2	MR. CRANE: And the actual strength of a
3	detachment or a criminal investigation bureau may be less
4	than what's been authorized. Is that fair?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
6	MR. CRANE: And in your experience out of
7	the Leblanc matter, you testified this morning that five
8	officers were on secondment.
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
10	MR. CRANE: You were and looking at
11	Exhibit 2510 and you can just have that handy; I don't have
12	a specific question. But having reviewed that, you spoke
13	about in terms of some of the strains on your officers,
14	that there were a number of homicides ongoing at that time.
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
16	MR. CRANE: And if those homicides propel
17	forward that that will be a brief to be prepared?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
19	MR. CRANE: Preliminary inquiries to be
20	attended to?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MR. CRANE: Motions, potentially, for the
23	officers to attend to?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MR. CRANE: And in that respect, to the

1	extent the officers are tied up in those arenas, it will
2	limit their ability to progress forward their assignment
3	list?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Not just homicides.
5	There was attempt murders and or one attempt murder,
6	robberies and actual sexual assaults and so on.
7	MR. CRANE: Right. You were experiencing a
8	busy time?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, very busy time.
10	MR. CRANE: And it's not only going to
11	impact their ongoing assignment list but also incoming
12	assignments
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Exactly.
14	MR. CRANE: to be allocated?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
16	MR. CRANE: And ultimately you testified
17	that there were a high level of occurrences, right?
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
19	MR. CRANE: And this is similar to what we
20	heard from Staff Sergeant Brunet when he testified here
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay.
22	MR. CRANE: that when he first arrived
23	in CIB in 1993, that he was experiencing a high level of
24	occurrences and a limited number of resources and as a
25	result, he had choices to make and he prioritized.

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Hold on a minute. Hold
3	on a minute now.
4	MR. CRANE: Yes, sir.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Where's the question in
6	there?
7	MR. CRANE: I'm getting to my question, sir.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
9	MR. CRANE: Staff Sergeant Brunet testified
10	that he had to prioritize and you testified this morning
11	that you'd had to prioritize as well. Is that correct?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, that's correct.
13	MR. CRANE: And in fact you stated if we
14	turn to Bates page 340 of Exhibit 2510 with regards to
15	prioritization Madam Clerk, we're at the top of the
16	second bullet.
17	And this is in regards, just for the record,
18	Detective Inspector Millar, to your interview at the old
19	district headquarters in Belleville on January $11^{\rm th}$ of '06.
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
21	MR. CRANE: And you stated at that time,
22	"he" being yourself:
23	"could not possibly assign
24	investigators to investigate all
25	benchmark crimes as laid down in the

1	crime management plan. It was
2	impossible. So as a manager, he had to
3	prioritize; he had no choice."
4	And that's your recollection of what you
5	would have said?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MR. CRANE: And I want to touch on now,
8	Detective Inspector Millar, on how that impacts on some of
9	the officers subordinate to you, that you were overseeing.
10	I take it that those detectives who are available if
11	there's a depleted force, ultimately take on a longer
12	assignment list?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Absolutely.
14	MR. CRANE: And this can impact upon the
15	strain and the morale of these officers?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
17	MR. CRANE: And based upon a review of
18	Exhibit 2520 or 2510, we know of at least one detective
19	constable who asked to be reassigned because she was
20	feeling overworked and overstressed.
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That is correct.
22	MR. CRANE: And you identified her as being
23	burnt out and ready to snap according to this
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, she was tired.
25	MR. CRANE: Is it fair to say then that the

1	policing in this region of Ontario is challenging, given
2	its proximity to smuggling and organized crime?
3	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Absolutely.
4	MR. CRANE: But you still go out and do your
5	job as a professional as best you can with the resources
6	that are available?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
8	MR. CRANE: And when people seek to review
9	the conduct of the policing community, it needs to keep in
10	mind that this industry works within the framework of a
11	public budget?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That is correct.
13	MR. CRANE: Thank you, sir.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Is there anything else
15	you want to add after that cross-examination, Mr. Kozloff
16	or Ms. Lahaie?
17	MR. KOZLOFF: Do you want me to subject
18	myself to cross-examination by you or do you want me to
19	good afternoon, Mr. Commissioner.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Good afternoon, sir.
21	MR. KOZLOFF: Detective Inspector Millar,
22	you know who I am?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes, sir.
24	MR. KOZLOFF: Neil Kozloff; I represent the
25	Ontario Provincial Police. You will be delighted to know I

1	have no questions for you. Thank you for your evidence.
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Thank you, sir.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Wallace.
4	MR. WALLACE: Good afternoon, Mr.
5	Commissioner.
6	Inspector Millar, I'll take a pass as well.
7	Thank you.
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Thank you.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. O'Brien.
10	MR. O'BRIEN: I'll be brief, Your Honour.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: We have all night.
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
13	O'BRIEN:
14	MR. O'BRIEN: Can we have Exhibit 2594
15	brought up?
16	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
17	MR. O'BRIEN: That's Document Number 733051.
18	Detective Inspector, I want to take you
19	briefly to the Ron Leroux search.
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
21	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
22	MR. O'BRIEN: If we could go to the Bates
23	number 712 7412, namely page 2, the diagram?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MR. O'BRIEN: This is a diagram you prepared

25

1	during the search?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
3	MR. O'BRIEN: Just to give us some
4	indication of the size, I take it the upstairs area, that's
5	a one bedroom?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MR. O'BRIEN: You simply go up the stairs,
8	there's a bedroom, a tub and a closet.
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: As I recall from my
10	notes here, yes.
11	MR. O'BRIEN: Can you give us any indication
12	of the size of that bedroom; small, medium?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Small.
14	MR. O'BRIEN: And you've noted on this
15	diagram, there's tub and then to the right there's a north-
16	south line.
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
18	MR. O'BRIEN: Do you see that?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Well, actually
20	MR. O'BRIEN: Does that say tapes?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: it's an east-west
22	line.
23	MR. O'BRIEN: Well, I won't debate the
24	directions.
~ ~	

DET. INSP. MILLAR: So where it has tapes?

1	MR. O'BRIEN: Where it has tapes.
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
3	MR. O'BRIEN: All right. That's where the
4	tapes were indeed found?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
6	MR. O'BRIEN: And you made note of that in
7	the diagram?
8	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. Yes.
9	MR. O'BRIEN: So we heard evidence yesterday
10	about from various people about whether you had an
11	intention of looking for anything other than firearms when
12	you went into that house.
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: No, I did not.
14	MR. O'BRIEN: Right.
15	You found tapes and you noted tapes.
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
17	MR. O'BRIEN: Correct. And you've noted in
18	the diagram, "Tapes"?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
20	MR. O'BRIEN: It doesn't seem to make a lot
21	of sense that if you're trying to find and hide or destroy
22	tapes, that you're leaving a trail in your notes of tapes
23	being found and diagrams of tapes, does it?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MR. O'BRIEN: There's been questions about

1	the amount of time you were involved in doing this search -
2	- -
3	THE COMMISSIONER: The 11 minutes?
4	MR. O'BRIEN: I'm sorry?
5	THE COMMISSIONER: The 11 minutes, you mean,
6	in finding the
7	MR. O'BRIEN: Not only the 11 minutes but
8	the time from finding the tapes to the departure.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
10	MR. O'BRIEN: And if we go to the last page
11	of your notes, you're searching at 1435. You find the
12	tapes; correct?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
14	MR. O'BRIEN: Forty-two (42), you go
15	outside, you make a call to Project P.
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
17	MR. O'BRIEN: There's no answer. You
18	return. I take it that's relatively shortly, 1443 or
19	whatever.
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
21	MR. O'BRIEN: And you then search for the
22	next 25 minutes or so?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
24	MR. O'BRIEN: Is that correct?
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.

1	MR. O'BRIEN: And you then locate a handgun?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
3	MR. O'BRIEN: So we now have two handguns.
4	You continue searching to 15:40 when you leave the
5	premises?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
7	MR. O'BRIEN: You went in looking for two
8	handguns and you came out with two handguns?
9	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. Not exactly the
10	two that we were looking for, but we came out with two.
11	MR. O'BRIEN: And if I could take you
12	briefly to Exhibit 1144; that's the property report.
13	Again, it's conceded that this was not prepared by you?
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
15	MR. O'BRIEN: Correct? But it certainly
16	reveals the pornographic tapes were reduced to writing on a
17	report that was visible for others to see, as was the
18	suitcase?
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
20	MR. O'BRIEN: Correct?
21	THE COMMISSIONER: I think I've heard you
22	made the point on I don't need to hear any more about
23	that he did what he was what he intended to do when
24	getting the search. He brought it back and he didn't take
25	any steps with the destruction or anything like that. So I

1	think you're on safe ground now.
2	MR. O'BRIEN: The reference to the statement
3	that Pat Hall took of you in December '98.
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
5	MR. O'BRIEN: That was some five-and-a-half
6	years after the February 10, '93 search?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
8	MR. O'BRIEN: And your recollection is that
9	you didn't have the assistance of notes. It was, in
10	essence, a cold interview?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's the only thing I
12	can see. I mean, it's pretty obvious I knew the tapes were
13	there when I go and call Project P.
14	MR. O'BRIEN: All right.
15	If I can move on to the final area, and that
16	deals with Exhibit 2510.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Twenty-five-ten (2510).
18	MR. O'BRIEN: It's the PSB report. If you
19	could go to page 9 of the report, which is Bates 4338?
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Okay.
21	MR. O'BRIEN: And I just want to highlight
22	this briefly, Mr. Commissioner.
23	The predicament you found yourself in in the
24	fall of '98, if you go to the top, we have five of your
25	qualified detectives were seconded to other projects;

1	correct?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
3	MR. O'BRIEN: And that on the 15 th of
4	September, you were sent to Hawkesbury by your superior,
5	Bahm, to deal with a missing female and that ultimately
6	turned into a homicide; correct?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: That's correct.
8	MR. O'BRIEN: And you were full-time on that
9	until the 5 th of
10	THE COMMISSIONER: October.
11	MR. O'BRIEN: October?
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
13	MR. O'BRIEN: And then you were further
14	taken away on that particular investigation on October 8^{th} ,
15	15 th , 16 th , November 19 th ; correct?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Correct.
17	MR. O'BRIEN: We then have heard about your
18	vacation and seminar and training schedules from October
19	through
20	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
21	MR. O'BRIEN: There's also a reference
22	midway down that you had a priority of an attempt murder?
23	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
24	MR. O'BRIEN: There's an issue of an
25	individual fleeing to the Carolinas; you worked with the

1	FBI to apprehend. He was subsequently apprehended,
2	released, and you did a great deal of work in ensuring his
3	review and he was re-arrested again; correct? That was
4	occupying your time?
5	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes. Actually, he was
6	put up for a bail review.
7	MR. O'BRIEN: Then we had the regular
8	serious variety of matters involving sexual assaults,
9	organized crime, of kidnapped drivers
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
11	MR. O'BRIEN: that was occurring;
12	correct?
13	I want to take you now to your supervisors
14	and that's at page 12 of that report and it's at 74341
15	Bates, and this deals with Detective Inspector Jeff Bahm.
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Actually it's Bahm
17	(Bame).
18	MR. O'BRIEN: Sorry.
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
20	MR. O'BRIEN: And if we go down to the
21	second bullet that's in 1998, he was detective sergeant in
22	the east region and responsible for supervising yourself
23	during September to November of '98?
24	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
25	MR. O'BRIEN: See that?

1	He makes reference in the third bullet to
2	the homicides that were in the area and he characterized
3	the east region as being very busy. See that?
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
5	MR. O'BRIEN: And that you on the next
6	bullet that:
7	"Randy approached [him] on a continual
8	basis regarding resources, overworked
9	and undermanned."
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
11	MR. O'BRIEN: Do you agree with that
12	assessment of that?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
14	MR. O'BRIEN: Further on, that he was not
15	aware of the incident regarding Mr. Leblanc at the time but
16	learnt of it from Pat Hall prior to his retirement?
17	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
18	MR. O'BRIEN: I want to go to the next
19	supervisor that took over, and that's Terry Bladon, who's
20	at the bottom of that page.
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MR. O'BRIEN: If we go to the top of
23	page 13, third bullet, we indicate he came on board
24	essentially November 9^{th} to the crime unit.
25	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yeah.

1	MR. O'BRIEN: See that?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
3	MR. O'BRIEN: And the fourth bullet:
4	"He became aware of the complaint
5	against Randy Millar about one year to
6	a year-and-a-half ago and that Millar
7	had been"
8	I'm sorry, the next bullet is he had made
9	notes when he came into the unit that:
10	"Millar had been under severe strain
11	over staffing."
12	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
13	MR. O'BRIEN: Further, in the next bullet:
14	"That there were a continuous of number
15	of incidents in Millar's jurisdiction,
16	basically running from one call to the
17	other and asking for help."
18	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
19	MR. O'BRIEN: You agree with that
20	assessment?
21	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MR. O'BRIEN: Further on, there's a
23	reference on November 16 th about receiving a call from
24	yourself and that you were having staffing problems due to
25	four different homicides and attempt murders that you were

1	working on?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
3	MR. O'BRIEN: And you advised that you were
4	going to meet with Superintendent Fougère on the $21^{\rm st}$ about
5	the staffing issues?
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
7	MR. O'BRIEN: The next bullet talks about
8	November $25^{\rm th}$ and Bladon talking to you, and that you had a
9	number of cases and there were major concerns regarding the
10	high-profile cases and, again, staffing issues?
11	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
12	MR. O'BRIEN: Two more bullets down, that on
13	November 26 th he references that you had a lot of staffing
14	issues and concerns because of the number of detectives
15	that you had available?
16	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
17	MR. O'BRIEN: And he indicates various ones
18	who have been seconded to Project Toy, Project Truth; that
19	in essence you had two acting detectives.
20	And the last bullet at the bottom of the
21	page is that the contract at the time called for eight
22	detectives:
23	"On paper, Millar had seven detectives
24	but actually full-time detectives he
25	only had three and three were acting."

1	See that?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
3	MR. O'BRIEN: If we could go to the next
4	page, he references that those three latter detectives were
5	still trying to learn the job; you had four full-time
6	detectives seconded away from the unit?
7	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
8	MR. O'BRIEN: Do you agree with his
9	assessment of your situation?
10	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
11	MR. O'BRIEN: He then references again the
12	various homicides, motions, shootings, outstanding
13	homicides again, through these various bullets. Do you see
14	that?
15	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
16	MR. O'BRIEN: Including an attempt murder,
17	shootings. Midway down he indicates:
18	"Millar indicated that all the major
19	cases that were under investigation or
20	in court were under control but
21	barely."
22	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
23	MR. O'BRIEN: See that reference?
24	He further talks about the other shopping
25	list of cases that were going on: heavy equipment thefts,

1	various firearm offences, involvement with Hells Angels?
2	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
3	MR. O'BRIEN: Do you see that?
4	Second-last bullet, he notes that:
5	"Randy had several discussions with
6	both Carson Fougère and Ian Grant over
7	the issues of staffing. Fougère had
8	made it clear that he had no more
9	bodies available to put in the crime
10	unit and Bladon reiterated that
11	statement to Randy."
12	Do you agree with that assessment?
13	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
14	MR. O'BRIEN: On the last page,
15	Mr. Commissioner, page 15 of this report, 344 Bates, Bladon
16	in the first bullet explains some of the issues of the
17	region regarding budget and staffing, and that they would
18	try to get him some more officers for the next contract.
19	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
20	MR. O'BRIEN: The next bullet indicates that
21	people that you had been using various people where you
22	could to assist with surveillance:
23	"but there were so many cases on the
24	go, he didn't have any more resources
25	to assign anything."

1	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
2	MR. O'BRIEN: Do you agree with that
3	assessment
4	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
5	MR. O'BRIEN: of your predicament?
6	If we go down to the fifth bullet, it is
7	Bladon's observations that every time Bladon turned around:
8	"Millar had a death investigation in
9	the middle of the night. He was
10	running, literally, over time trying to
11	keep up with his unit, and very little
12	resources to do the job. He ran out of
13	people to assign things to."
14	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
15	MR. O'BRIEN: Two further bullets down,
16	Bladon indicates that they eventually got up to ten
17	detectives; around 2001.
18	They talk in the second last bulletin
19	(sic) this is after he reviews the OMPPAC and believes
20	there's no indication of criminal activity.
21	He also indicates that when he heard about
22	the OMPPAC incident, his observations of Randy Millar was
23	that:
24	"He has always been very conscientious,
25	involved in a number of investigations

1		that Bladon had experience with." He
2		was not one to shirk his duties. He
3		was quite concerned that he couldn't
4		keep a lid on things, that he needed
5		more resources, and that he had made
6		those efforts, talking to all the
7		people he could to try and find
8		resources." Bladon had a hard time
9		finding fault with Millar, as he had
10		worked in the crime units most of his
11		career. Detective sergeants have a lo
12		of pots on the stove at any given time
13		Resource issues are often the biggest
14		frustration."
15	And	, finally, he concludes:
16		"As far as Randy's abilities, he would
17		never make a conscious decision to
18		neglect something, particularly
19		something of this nature."
20	Wou	ld you agree with that assessment?
21	DET	. INSP. MILLAR: Yes.
22	MR.	O'BRIEN: Thank you, sir. Those are my
23	questions.	
24	THE	COMMISSIONER: Ms. Jones, do you have
25	any questions?	

1	MS. JONES: I have no questions, thank you.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Millar, thank you
3	very much for your attendance. I appreciated your
4	testimony and I certainly will consider it when writing up
5	my report.
6	DET. INSP. MILLAR: Thank you, sir.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much.
8	We can call it a night? Thank you.
9	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre
10	veuillez vous lever.
11	This hearing is adjourned until tomorrow
12	morning at 9:30.
13	Upon adjourning at 5:22 p.m./
14	L'audience est ajournée à 17h22
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	
4	CERTIFICATION
5	
6	I, Dale Waterman a certified court reporter in the Province
7	of Ontario, hereby certify the foregoing pages to be an
8	accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of
9	my skill and ability, and I so swear.
10	
11	Je, Dale Waterman, un sténographe officiel dans la province
12	de l'Ontario, certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une
13	transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au
14	meilleur de mes capacités, et je le jure.
15	
16	
17	ed a wd
18	
19	Dale Waterman, CVR-CM
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	