

**THE CORNWALL
PUBLIC INQUIRY**



**L'ENQUÊTE PUBLIQUE
SUR CORNWALL**

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner

The Honourable Justice /
L'honorable juge
G. Normand Glaude

Commissaire

VOLUME 118

Held at :

Hearings Room
709 Cotton Mill Street
Cornwall, Ontario
K6H 7K7

Wednesday, June 20, 2007

Tenue à:

Salle des audiences
709, rue de la Fabrique
Cornwall, Ontario
K6H 7K7

Mercredi, le 20 juin 2007

Appearances/Comparutions

| | |
|--|---|
| Mr. Peter Engelmann | Lead Commission Counsel |
| Ms. Julie Gauthier | Registrar |
| Mr. Mark Crane Mr. Peter Manderville | Cornwall Police Service Board |
| Mr. Neil Kozloff Ms. Suzanne Costom Ms. Diane Lahaie | Ontario Provincial Police |
| Mr. David Rose | Ontario Ministry of Community and Correctional Services and Adult Community Corrections |
| Mr. Darrell Kloeze | Attorney General for Ontario |
| Mr. Peter Chisholm | The Children's Aid Society of the United Counties |
| Mr. Steven Canto Ms. Helen Daley | Citizens for Community Renewal |
| Mr. Dallas Lee | Victims Group |
| Mr. David Sherriff-Scott | Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall and Bishop Eugene Larocque |
| Mr. William Carroll | Ontario Provincial Police Association |

Table of Contents / Table des matières

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| List of Exhibits : | v |
| Opening Remarks by/Remarques d'ouverture | 1 |
| GERALD RENSHAW, Resumed/Sous le même serment | 1 |
| Submissions by/Représentations par Mr. Darrell Kloeze | 2 |
| Submissions by/Représentations par Mr. Dallas Lee | 4 |
| Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Mr. Peter Engelmann(cont'd/suite) | 5 |
| Submissions by/Représentations par Mr. Peter Manderville | 88 |
| Submissions by/Représentations par Mr. David Sherriff-Scott | 89 |
| Submissions by/Représentations par Mr. Dallas Lee | 93 |
| Submissions by/Représentations par Mr. Peter Engelmann | 96 |
| GERALD RENSHAW, Resumed/Sous le même serment | 100 |
| Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Mr. Peter Engelmann(cont'd/suite) | 100 |
| Submissions by/Représentations par Mr. Peter Engelmann | 149 |
| Submissions by/Représentations par Mr. Dallas Lee | 150 |
| Ruling by/Décision par the Commissioner | 153 |
| GERALD RENSHAW, Resumed/Sous le même serment | 155 |
| Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Mr. Peter Engelmann(cont'd/suite) | 155 |
| Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Helen Daley | 187 |
| Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Darrell Kloeze | 217 |

Table of Contents / Table des matières

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. David Sherriff-Scott | 232 |
| Submissions by/Représentations par Mr. David Sherriff-Scott | 240 |
| Submissions by/Représentations par Mr. Dallas Lee | 246 |
| Submissions by/Représentations par Mr. Peter Chisholm | 248 |
| Submissions by/Représentations par Mr. David Rose | 250 |
| Submissions by/Représentations par Mr. Darrell Kloeze | 254 |
| Submissions by/Représentations par Mr. Peter Manderville | 257 |
| Submissions by/Représentations par Ms. Suzanne Costom | 261 |
| Submissions by/Représentations par Mr. William Carroll | 262 |
| Submissions by/Représentations par Mr. Peter Engelmann | 263 |
| Submissions by/Représentations par Mr. David Sherriff-Scott | 264 |
| Submissions by/Représentations par Mr. David Rose | 283 |
| Submissions by/Représentations par Mr. Dallas Lee | 284 |
| Ruling by/Décision par The Commissioner | 287 |
| GERALD RENSHAW, Resumed/Sous le même serment | 291 |
| Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. David Sherriff-Scott(cont'd/suite) | 292 |

LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

| NO. | DESCRIPTION | PAGE NO |
|------------|--|----------------|
| P-544 | (721883) Statement from G. W. Renshaw to Cornwall Police - Dated November 20th, 1996 | 33 |
| P-545 | (716138) Gerald Renshaw - Diagram - Main floor of Ken Seguin's cottage | 67 |
| P-546 | (716139) Gerald Renshaw - Diagram - Upper floor of Ken Seguin's cottage | 69 |
| P-547 | (716140) Gerald Renshaw - Diagram - Floorplan of Malcolm MacDonald's cottage | 71 |
| P-548 | (704055) Statement from G. W. Renshaw to Dt/Const. P. Dunlop - Dated December 5th, 1996 | 125 |
| P-549 | (721827) Series of pictures of individuals from Dt/Const P. Dunlop | 131 |
| P-550 | (705421) Project Truth website copy of G. W. Statement of December 5th, 1996 | 141 |
| P-551 | (716191) Audio Taped Interview Report of Gerald Renshaw with Dt/Const P. Dunlop | 144 |
| P-552 | (721881) Affidavit of G. W. Renshaw - Dated January 30th, 1997 | 165 |
| P-553 | (713227) OPP - Audio Taped Interview Report of G. W. Renshaw on November 5th, 1997 | 171 |
| P-554 | (713231) Authorization for the release of information of G. W. Renshaw by OPP - Dated July 4th, 1998 | 180 |
| P-555 | (709034) CPIC of G. W. Renshaw - Dated August 11th, 1998 | 313 |

1 --- Upon commencing at 9:05 a.m./

2 L'audience débute à 9h05

3 **THE REGISTRAR:** This hearing of the
4 Cornwall Public Inquiry is now in session. The Honourable
5 Mr. Justice Normand Glaude, Commissioner presiding.

6 Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.

7 **GERALD RENSRAW, Resumed/Sous le même serment:**

8 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Good morning all.

9 Good morning, Mr. Renshaw. How are you
10 doing today?

11 **MR. RENSRAW:** Good.

12 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Great.

13 Once again, if there is anything you need
14 during the hearing, let me know, a break or anything like
15 that, and if you feel uncomfortable about a question let me
16 know. Otherwise give me your best answer.

17 **MR. RENSRAW:** Okay.

18 **THE COMMISSIONER:** All right.

19 Thank you very much.

20 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Good morning, Mr.
21 Commissioner.

22 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Good morning.

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Good morning Mr. Renshaw.

24 **MR. RENSRAW:** Good morning.

25 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Just before we get started,

1 Mr. Kloeze wanted to address you, sir.

2 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Who?

3 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Mr. Kloeze from the Ministry
4 of the Attorney General.

5 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes, sir. Good morning.

6 --- SUBMISSIONS BY/REPRÉSENTATIONS PAR MR. DARRELL KLOEZE:

7 **MR. KLOEZE:** Thank you.

8 Good morning, Mr. Commissioner.

9 I just wanted to address you briefly this
10 morning. Yesterday afternoon you ordered that the Attorney
11 General produce to the other parties a copy of the
12 transcript of the examination for discovery of a certain
13 witness.

14 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

15 **MR. KLOEZE:** And in context, the litigation
16 brought by that witness against her Majesty the Queen.

17 We did make inquiries of private counsel for
18 the insurers yesterday afternoon and they did make
19 arrangements to scan the transcript and to provide it to me
20 through their client yesterday evening.

21 I forwarded that on to counsel for the
22 parties at 8:00 p.m. yesterday evening and at 10:00 p.m.,
23 after I was myself able to printout the transcript, I
24 discovered that it was incomplete. So I've again contacted
25 the insurers counsel and advised them that it was

1 incomplete and they've advised me that the remainder could
2 be provided to me this morning and I will again forward
3 that on to the parties as soon as I receive it.

4 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

5 **MR. KLOEZE:** I do wish to say for the record
6 that until yesterday neither the Ministry of Community
7 Safety and Correctional Services or as counsel to this
8 Inquiry, nor the Attorney General or its counsel had
9 possession or control of this transcript, this particular
10 transcript in question, nor even knew that it had been
11 ordered by insurers counsel until we were advised of this
12 on Monday afternoon.

13 Secondly, the transcript has been provided
14 by private counsel to the insurers to the Attorney General
15 and produced to the Commission and the parties in order to
16 comply with your order yesterday.

17 I wish to reiterate that there is an
18 outstanding publication ban or an anonymity order, as it's
19 titled, whereby the plaintiff's are to be identified in the
20 context of that litigation only by initials and the
21 publication ban is placed on the name and identity of the
22 plaintiffs and that this particular order has not yet been
23 lifted by the Superior Court.

24 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

25 **MR. KLOEZE:** And finally, I wish to state

1 that because of the anonymity order which was specifically
2 obtained by plaintiff's counsel on behalf of the
3 plaintiff's to protect their privacy interests, and out of
4 concern that we do not derogate from those privacy
5 interests, I would request confirmation on the record by
6 plaintiff's counsel that the plaintiff in question consents
7 to the production of this transcript to the parties.

8 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Fair enough.

9 **MR. KLOEZE:** Thank you.

10 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Mr. Lee.

11 **--- SUBMISSIONS BY/REPRÉSENTATIONS PAR MR. DALLAS LEE**

12 **MR. LEE:** As I think I stated yesterday, we
13 consent to the production of the transcript to the
14 Commission and disclosure by the Commission. There may be
15 some issues with what use can be made of the transcript and
16 we'll deal with that where we can. I'm obviously
17 especially concerned with the use that's going to be made
18 of it, given that we're now in the hearings and I still
19 haven't seen the transcript because we're missing
20 essentially the middle third. I haven't reviewed it.
21 Commission counsel has reviewed it. The witness certainly
22 hasn't reviewed it. So, in my submission, whether it comes
23 in this morning or not, it doesn't do us a whole lot of
24 good, but we can address that at ---

25 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Well, first of all, it's

1 a question of disclosure.

2 **MR. LEE:** Yes.

3 **THE COMMISSIONER:** We're not even at the
4 point where cross-examination has begun, and there may well
5 be issues as to what relevance the transcript has. People,
6 after reading it, might find that there's nothing to be put
7 to the witness. So we'll cross those bridges as we get to
8 them.

9 **MR. LEE:** Sounds good, sir.

10 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Thank you very much.

11 --- EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
12 ENGELMANN (Cont'd/suite):

13 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Mr. Renshaw, I think
14 yesterday when we left off we were looking at a statement
15 that's been marked as Exhibit 543 and it's some notes that
16 a police officer took when you met with two OPP officers on
17 February 9th, 1994.

18 Do you have that handy, sir?

19 **MR. RENSHAW:** Yes.

20 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Now, again, we don't have
21 the questions that were asked of you, we just have what the
22 officer wrote down as presumably your answers to questions
23 they were posing. We're just trying to recreate this.
24 This is not an audio-taped transcript or anything like
25 that. These are just the notes of one of the officers

1 about a meeting with you. You understand that?

2 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

3 MR. ENGELMANN: I just want to find a couple
4 of references, sir.

5 It appears -- and I'm looking on the first
6 page of the statement -- they may be asking you about how
7 he was as a probation officer. Do you see the reference,
8 "He was very understanding. If I couldn't make an
9 appointment I would call him at the office. He wouldn't
10 get mad. We'd schedule another appointment."?

11 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

12 MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall if there was
13 some discussion about his flexibility as a probation
14 officer?

15 MR. RENSCHAW: Probably was, yes.

16 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

17 Now, they appear to be asking you -- well,
18 you talk -- and I'm looking at the -- on the second page of
19 the statement about midway through you talk about meeting
20 Father Charlie at Ken's place. Do you see that?

21 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

22 MR. ENGELMANN: And on page 3, you talk
23 about -- and it's about five or six lines down -- Malcolm
24 MacDonald was there often. A little further down Ron
25 Leroux and the person we've described as C-8. Do you

1 recall whether the officers were asking you about people
2 who you might have seen at Ken's house?

3 MR. RENSRAW: They would have asked that,
4 yes.

5 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

6 And, again, I know this was a long time ago.
7 This is 1994. Do you know if they were trying to find out
8 who might have been there at or about the time of his
9 death?

10 MR. RENSRAW: That would make sense.

11 MR. ENGELMANN: You don't really remember
12 though?

13 MR. RENSRAW: No.

14 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Fair enough.

15 Those people though, Father Charlie
16 MacDonald, Malcolm MacDonald, Ron Leroux, how often would
17 they have been at Ken's house when you were living there?

18 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, maybe we should go
19 one at a time ---

20 MR. ENGELMANN: Sure.

21 THE COMMISSIONER: --- because it may be
22 different. So let's start ---

23 MR. ENGELMANN: Father Charles is the first
24 one that's mentioned here.

25 THE COMMISSIONER: Can you just make sure --

1 Father Charlie in your -- who does that refer to?

2 MR. RENSRAW: What do you mean by that?

3 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, Father Charlie,
4 there may be many Father Charlie's. Is this Father Charlie
5 MacDonald? Is that what you're ---

6 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

7 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank you.

8 MR. RENSRAW: Give us a sense as to how
9 often he would at visit Mr. Seguin's house.

10 MR. RENSRAW: Very often. At least once a
11 week, twice a week.

12 MR. ENGELMANN: Malcolm MacDonald.

13 MR. RENSRAW: At least that often.

14 MR. ENGELMANN: Ron Leroux.

15 MR. RENSRAW: More often.

16 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

17 MR. RENSRAW: Daily.

18 MR. ENGELMANN: So how would you describe
19 their relationships with Ken Seguin, perhaps starting with
20 Father Charles MacDonald?

21 MR. RENSRAW: From my knowledge they've
22 known each other for quite a few years.

23 MR. ENGELMANN: Would you describe them as
24 acquaintances, as friends, as very good friends?

25 MR. RENSRAW: Very good friends.

1 MR. ENGELMANN: What about Malcolm
2 MacDonald?

3 MR. RENSCHAW: The same.

4 MR. ENGELMANN: What about Ron Leroux?

5 MR. RENSCHAW: I think he only met Ron when
6 he moved to Summerstown so he wouldn't have known him as
7 well.

8 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

9 But Father Charlie and Malcolm MacDonald had
10 known him for years and were very good friends?

11 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

12 MR. ENGELMANN: Now, at the bottom of
13 Page 2 and onto Page 3 -- and I'm just going to try and
14 read it -- you say:

15 "I moved out because I never liked the
16 fact that Father Charlie would always
17 go over with guys. I never saw him
18 with a guy that whole time. I think he
19 was a homosexual, but I can't swear on
20 it."

21 Now, it says,

22 "I never saw him with a guy",

23 -- and I'm wondering whether that's a
24 mistake, because it follows up by saying,

25 "I think he was a homosexual",

1 and then a little later, it says,

2 "Malcolm MacDonald was there often. I
3 never saw him with a girl either."

4 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I didn't write this myself ---

5 **MR. ENGELMANN:** No, I know that.

6 **MR. RENSCHAW:** --- but it doesn't make sense
7 to me.

8 **MR. ENGELMANN:** I am wondering if the police
9 officer made a mistake. Would you have said that you never
10 saw Father Charlie with a guy or possibly never saw him
11 with a girl?

12 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Never saw him with a girl.

13 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right. So they seem to
14 be asking you -- well, let me ask you this: We are talking
15 about whether somebody is a homosexual, or their sexual
16 orientation, and you are being asked -- or you are talking
17 about that with respect to Father Charlie. You're talking
18 about that with respect to Malcolm MacDonald, and also with
19 respect to Ron Leroux. I am wondering why their sexual
20 orientation came up; the fact that they were homosexuals.
21 Do you remember if you were asked that by the police?

22 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I would only be guessing.

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right.

24 Again, on Page 3, near the bottom, there is
25 a reference to:

1 "Ken went to Florida with Malcolm
2 often, but not the last couple of
3 years".

4 Do you know how the discussion about Florida
5 came up? Do you know if the police were asking about
6 Florida?

7 **MR. RENSCHAW:** They would have been. Yes.

8 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. We'll come to that
9 later, but there were several people that you became aware
10 of that would go to Florida from time to time?

11 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

12 **MR. ENGELMANN:** You also mention, right
13 after that, about Ron Wilson met them down there. Who was
14 Ron Wilson?

15 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Another person they hung
16 around with from Cornwall here.

17 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Do you know what he did for
18 a living?

19 **MR. RENSCHAW:** He owns a funeral home.

20 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Do you know what he did
21 before he owned a funeral home?

22 **MR. RENSCHAW:** He was a Cornwall cop.

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** He was with the Cornwall
24 Police Service?

25 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

1 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And how would you describe
2 his relationship with Ken? Was he an acquaintance? Was he
3 a friend, a close friend?

4 **MR. RENSCHAW:** A good acquaintance. A good
5 acquaintance.

6 **MR. ENGELMANN:** In what sense?

7 **MR. RENSCHAW:** In between acquaintance and
8 friend, I guess you'd put it.

9 **MR. ENGELMANN:** So he wasn't a close friend?

10 **MR. RENSCHAW:** He wasn't as close as he was
11 with Malcolm.

12 **MR. ENGELMANN:** So he was closer to Malcolm
13 than he was to Ken?

14 **MR. RENSCHAW:** No, Ken was closer to Malcolm
15 than he was with Wilson.

16 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. Had they had a
17 relationship for a number of years, or do you know?

18 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes, I believe they did.

19 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Now, on Page 4 of the
20 statement, near the bottom, you say:

21 "The night before Ken killed himself,
22 Ken and Ron dropped in at my
23 girlfriend's house. I was there. We
24 had a coffee. Everything was normal.
25 He dropped in about 8:00 p.m. and left

1 around 10:00 p.m."

2 You told us yesterday that you thought he
3 was nervous the night before and you thought he was nervous
4 in the days or weeks before. It doesn't appear you --
5 well, that's not how it's written up by the police officer
6 here. Do you know whether you would have told them about -
7 --

8 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I wouldn't have given Chris
9 McDonnell any information unless he directly asked it.

10 **MR. ENGELMANN:** That's because of that trust
11 thing you told us about yesterday?

12 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

13 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right. Now, on Page 6
14 of the statement, there is something about a telephone
15 book.

16 "Whenever they first got into Ken's
17 house, Ron said he didn't think the
18 police needed the telephone book, so he
19 took it. Doug and Nancy left, and Ron
20 and I talked about the book. I
21 suggested I'll take the book and I'll
22 give it to Doug."

23 Who were Doug and Nancy?

24 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Doug is Doug Seguin,
25 Ken Seguin's brother, and his wife, Nancy.

1 **MR. ENGELMANN:** It says:

2 "The next day, I took it to Doug's
3 house and gave it to him."

4 Is this the telephone book you're talking
5 about?

6 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

7 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Now, was this just a
8 telephone book, or was it an address book, or what was it?

9 **MR. RENSCHAW:** An address book.

10 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right. And whose
11 address book was it?

12 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Ken Seguin's.

13 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Do you know what was in the
14 address book?

15 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Phone numbers.

16 **MR. ENGELMANN:** So names and phone numbers
17 of people he knew?

18 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

19 **THE COMMISSIONER:** So why was it -- is there
20 any importance to that?

21 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Well, I didn't think so
22 at first. Ron Leroux had given it to me.

23 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Right.

24 **MR. RENSCHAW:** And why would I want it?

25 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

1 **MR. RENSRAW:** So I gave it to Doug Seguin.

2 **THE COMMISSIONER:** All right. Did you look
3 into it? Did you look at it?

4 **MR. RENSRAW:** I used it periodically.

5 **THE COMMISSIONER:** When Mr. Seguin was still
6 alive?

7 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

8 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay.

9 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Do you know if there were
10 names of probationers or former probationers in that
11 telephone book?

12 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes, there was.

13 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Again, on Page 6, just after
14 the halfway mark:

15 "Ron seemed as surprised as I was that
16 Ken killed himself."

17 I guess what I want to ask you -- do you see
18 that, Mr. Renshaw?

19 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

20 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And a bit later on the next
21 page, while you talk about Silmsers, at the bottom of the
22 page:

23 "He said he never said the name
24 Silmsers, but I think he mentioned
25 Father Charlie. Ron mentioned that

1 after Ken was dead. On Thursday, Ron
2 said Ken must have killed himself
3 because the Silmsers guy called back.
4 He must have threatened him. That's
5 all I ever heard about Silmsers. I knew
6 somebody was giving him a hard time
7 because he asked me to get all my stuff
8 out. He said someone was calling him
9 lately, troubling him about his past.
10 That was about three years ago. I
11 heard nothing lately. That's why I
12 thought it was solved. Now that he
13 killed himself, I realized that this
14 year he complained about having no
15 money. I never heard him complaining
16 about that before. So he must have
17 been paying him off all year. I don't
18 know."

19 So I want to just ask you a few questions.
20 This is the notes that were written by one of the police
21 officers. Were you surprised that Ken killed himself at
22 that time?

23 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes and no.

24 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay.

25 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I knew he was capable of it.

1 MR. ENGELMANN: Why do you say yes and no?

2 MR. RENSCHAW: I didn't actually think he'd
3 do it.

4 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. You didn't think
5 he'd do it, but why did you think he might?

6 MR. RENSCHAW: He had tried before.

7 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Do you recall how
8 long before?

9 MR. RENSCHAW: The winter before, perhaps.

10 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Do you know if it was
11 before or after Christmas of the winter before?

12 MR. RENSCHAW: Not right now, no.

13 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And how did you
14 know he tried to kill himself the winter before? Did he
15 tell you that?

16 MR. RENSCHAW: Through him and Ron Leroux.

17 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. What were you told
18 about that? Do you remember how he was trying to do it?

19 MR. RENSCHAW: Something to do with him being
20 out on the ice. No, I don't remember all the details right
21 now.

22 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. But he told you that
23 and Ron Leroux told you that?

24 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

25 MR. ENGELMANN: What about this Silmsler guy

1 or DS, as you heard him being called, did you only find out
2 about him after Ken killed himself or did you know
3 something about him before Ken killed himself?

4 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Before.

5 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And do you recall around
6 when that you started to hear about him?

7 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I couldn't be exact, but I
8 know it was like months before Seguin killed himself.

9 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right. And did you hear
10 about him in the weeks or month or two before Ken killed
11 himself?

12 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

13 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And who would you have heard
14 about him from?

15 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Either Ken or Malcolm
16 MacDonald.

17 **THE COMMISSIONER:** And what was being said?

18 **MR. RENSCHAW:** They were discussing Silmsers
19 calling Seguin's place, and I knew it was irritating
20 Seguin. Malcolm was talking to Ken about it.

21 **MR. ENGELMANN:** In your presence?

22 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes. I know somewhere in
23 there there was money given to Silmsers from the church, but
24 he wasn't happy with that. So my gist of it is he was
25 trying to get more money out of Seguin.

1 **MR. ENGELMANN:** So there was some
2 discussions between Malcolm and Ken about the fact that the
3 church had already given him some money?

4 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

5 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And he wanted more?

6 **MR. RENSCHAW:** That's what it sounded like,
7 yes.

8 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. Did he talk about
9 what the money was for, why he wanted money?

10 **MR. RENSCHAW:** No.

11 **MR. ENGELMANN:** But it was clearly money
12 from Ken?

13 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

14 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And you say on page 8:

15 "I don't think Ron will tell you
16 anything because he's a homo himself
17 and he wouldn't want to be exposed.
18 Malcolm also knows a lot more, but he
19 won't say anything either."

20 So you do -- do you know if the officers
21 were asking you about talking with both Malcolm and Ron?

22 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes, they would have.

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** On the very last page you
24 talk about a loan, and just right near the end you say:

25 "Ken asked me to pay it off when he

1 started getting those phone calls."
2 Which phone calls are you talking about
3 there?

4 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Silmser.

5 **MR. ENGELMANN:** "That was a couple of
6 years ago at least. He didn't want a
7 paper trail, so I continued doing the
8 payments because I couldn't clear it.
9 When I got hurt, my insurance paid the
10 loan."

11 What's the deal with the paper trail, or do
12 you remember any comment like that? Do you remember what
13 that's about?

14 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I do know that he co-signed
15 for that loan.

16 **MR. ENGELMANN:** I'm sorry?

17 **MR. RENSCHAW:** He co-signed for that loan.

18 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Yes, he did.

19 **MR. RENSCHAW:** So his name would have been on
20 it.

21 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And so he wanted that
22 cleared up?

23 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Looking back at this now,
24 yeah, that's what I would assume.

25 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. Just a couple other

1 questions about that statement, if I can.

2 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

3 (SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)

4 **MR. ENGELMANN:** That's fine.

5 Do you know why you didn't raise the -- I
6 don't know if you did or didn't, but it doesn't appear from
7 these notes to have been raised, the fact that Ken had
8 tried to commit suicide earlier?

9 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I don't think they would have
10 known.

11 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right. And they didn't
12 ask you?

13 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Apparently. If there's no
14 answer from me.

15 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. You mention this guy
16 DS or David Silmsen. Had you ever met him?

17 **MR. RENSCHAW:** No.

18 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And you never did?

19 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I have since, yes.

20 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. When was that?

21 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Through one of the meetings
22 with Ledroit Beckett, I believe, like at a hotel where they
23 had a conference kind of thing. He was at one -- at least
24 one of them.

25 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. And he introduced

1 himself to you?

2 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Someone else introduced me to
3 him.

4 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right. So this was
5 recently then, the last couple of years?

6 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yeah.

7 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. Did you discuss in
8 any way any of the evidence you've given here?

9 **MR. RENSCHAW:** No.

10 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Did he discuss anything with
11 you?

12 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I didn't think he knew who I
13 was.

14 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. So you didn't even
15 meet him really?

16 **MR. RENSCHAW:** No. It was just pointed out
17 who he was.

18 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. Mr. Renschaw, there's
19 nothing in Exhibit 543, this first statement, about Ken
20 sexually abusing you. You would have given this statement
21 in February of 1994. You would have had a discussion with
22 the police in February of '94.

23 You told us yesterday that you didn't
24 disclose the sexual abuse from Mr. Seguin until sometime
25 late in '96 after meeting Perry Dunlop; did I get that

1 right?

2 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

3 MR. ENGELMANN: And he was the first person
4 you actually admitted that to?

5 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

6 MR. ENGELMANN: So do you know -- there is
7 nothing in here about this -- do you know whether the
8 officers asked you if Ken Seguin had ever done anything to
9 you?

10 MR. RENSCHAW: I don't believe they did, but
11 I wouldn't have given him an answer anyway.

12 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So, even if they
13 had asked, you wouldn't have disclosed that?

14 MR. RENSCHAW: That's right.

15 MR. ENGELMANN: It's that for the same
16 reasons you've mentioned already about the officer?

17 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

18 MR. ENGELMANN: And you hadn't told anybody
19 at that point?

20 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

21 MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall these two
22 officers met with you in February of '94, and do you recall
23 if they followed up with you in any way about this
24 interview later in 1994?

25 MR. RENSCHAW: I never saw them again.

1 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So you never saw
2 Chris McDonell again?

3 MR. RENSCHAW: Well, driving around, yes.

4 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

5 MR. RENSCHAW: No, not speaking to me.

6 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Nor the other
7 fellow that you didn't know?

8 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

9 MR. ENGELMANN: Did anyone else from the OPP
10 follow-up with you in 1994?

11 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

12 MR. ENGELMANN: You have a conversation with
13 OPP officers several years later though; correct?

14 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

15 MR. ENGELMANN: In late 1997?

16 MR. RENSCHAW: I believe so.

17 MR. ENGELMANN: And that has something to do
18 with Project Truth at that time?

19 MR. RENSCHAW: I guess that's, yeah, what
20 they called Project Truth 2, or ---

21 MR. ENGELMANN: I am sorry?

22 MR. RENSCHAW: Yeah, the second part of
23 Project Truth.

24 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. You mentioned Project
25 Truth earlier. What was your understanding about Project

1 Truth, or did you have an understanding at the time from
2 the media or from somewhere else?

3 MR. RENSRAW: I didn't have any faith in it
4 from the beginning.

5 MR. ENGELMANN: Well, you mentioned
6 something about it in 1994. Did you know about what the
7 OPP was doing in 1994 here in the Cornwall area?

8 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

9 MR. ENGELMANN: And what did you know, or
10 what did you think they were doing at that time in 1994?

11 MR. RENSRAW: Supposed to be getting to the
12 bottom of allegations of child abuse in this area.

13 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. You heard about that
14 from the media or ---

15 MR. RENSRAW: Them; those two cops would
16 have mentioned it.

17 MR. ENGELMANN: The two that met you,
18 McDonnell and the other fellow ---

19 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

20 MR. ENGELMANN: Genier? Okay. So they were
21 looking at child sexual abuse here in Cornwall; it was your
22 understanding?

23 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

24 MR. ENGELMANN: You said you didn't have any
25 faith in that?

1 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: Why is that?

3 MR. RENSCHAW: Because McDonell was there for
4 one thing.

5 MR. ENGELMANN: But there were other
6 officers involved.

7 MR. RENSCHAW: Yeah.

8 MR. ENGELMANN: And did you know whether or
9 not they were investigating the Cornwall police or anyone
10 else?

11 MR. RENSCHAW: I assumed it was a package
12 deal. They were investigating anyone who might have had
13 anything to do with it.

14 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Did you think
15 they working together with the Cornwall police on that, or
16 did you know?

17 MR. RENSCHAW: Yeah, I would assume so.

18 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So when they met you
19 in 1997 -- you said Project Truth 2, is that ---

20 MR. RENSCHAW: I believe that is what it was
21 called at the time.

22 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Well it was ---

23 MR. RENSCHAW: Or known to me that way
24 anyway.

25 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And were they

1 doing anything differently the second time around, or did
2 you ---

3 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I didn't think so.

4 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. You know that a
5 number of people were charged.

6 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yeah.

7 **MR. ENGELMANN:** You still didn't have any
8 faith in that process?

9 **MR. RENSCHAW:** No.

10 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Why is that?

11 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Because most of them ended up
12 getting off anyway.

13 **MR. ENGELMANN:** You were never called as a
14 witness in any of those prosecutions, were you?

15 **MR. RENSCHAW:** No.

16 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Let's talk about Perry
17 Dunlop. When did you first -- okay, you said you had an
18 encounter with him sometime when you were in your youth,
19 shall I say, as he was a police officer here in Cornwall?

20 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

21 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Had you had encounters with
22 other police officers here in Cornwall?

23 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Several.

24 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. Were some of them
25 familiar to you?

1 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And Perry Dunlop was
3 one of them?

4 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

5 MR. ENGELMANN: Would this have been in the
6 mid-'80s approximately when you might have had some run-ins
7 with Cornwall police officers?

8 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

9 MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember some of the
10 other ones that you might have met from time to time?

11 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

12 MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Dunlop? Do you remember
13 any of the other names?

14 MR. RENSCHAW: Rick Carter ---

15 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. He was ---

16 MR. RENSCHAW: --- especially.

17 MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry?

18 MR. RENSCHAW: Rick Carter, especially.

19 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So you had a few run-
20 ins with him?

21 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

22 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Anyone else?

23 MR. RENSCHAW: Danny Aikman.

24 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And would they have
25 arrested you sir, or ---

1 MR. RENSCHAW: Carter did.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Mr. Aikman or
3 Mr. Dunlop didn't arrest you?

4 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

5 MR. ENGELMANN: Anyone else that you
6 remember?

7 MR. RENSCHAW: Not at this time, no.

8 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And this is when you
9 were hanging out with other kids of your age?

10 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

11 MR. ENGELMANN: Was this similar to the
12 experience with McDonell, or was it different?

13 MR. RENSCHAW: Pretty much the same lines.

14 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. You'd met
15 Mr. Dunlop in around mid-'80s. What did you hear about
16 him, if anything, in 1994-1995?

17 MR. RENSCHAW: At the time, he was a Cornwall
18 cop. He went behind his superior's back to Children's Aid
19 with documents of child abuse; lost his job over it.

20 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. How did you hear
21 about that?

22 MR. RENSCHAW: Newspapers, I believe.

23 MR. ENGELMANN: Newspapers. Okay. And
24 would this have been in 1994 or thereabouts, or do you
25 know?

1 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yeah, it would have been that
2 time area, yes.

3 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. Didn't you go out
4 west in or around late '94-'95?

5 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

6 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right. So when -- I
7 think you told us that you met Mr. Dunlop for the first
8 time in the fall of 1996. Had you moved back to Cornwall,
9 or were you just here temporarily?

10 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Oh, no. I didn't go out west
11 until after my interviews with him.

12 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. All right. So we
13 know you had some interviews with him or some meetings with
14 him in late '96 and early '97.

15 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Then I would have left in
16 March '97.

17 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. We also know that you
18 had an interview with the OPP in Walkerton in late '97.

19 **MR. RENSCHAW:** That was coming back from B.C.

20 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. So you weren't there
21 very long or ---

22 **MR. RENSCHAW:** No.

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right. Just trying to
24 place the timeline; I know this is a long time ago; this is
25 10-11 years ago.

1 So, do you remember the first time that you
2 met Perry Dunlop? No, sorry, not the first time you met
3 him, but the first time you met him after the encounter you
4 had in your youth.

5 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Presumably, it was to
6 talk about allegations, I guess.

7 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

8 **THE COMMISSIONER:** So, do you remember that
9 first meeting with him?

10 **MR. RENSRAW:** Vaguely, yes.

11 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay.

12 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Do you remember -- did he
13 call you before he saw you, or how did you come to meet
14 him?

15 **MR. RENSRAW:** Couldn't have called me, at
16 the time, I didn't have a phone.

17 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right.

18 **MR. RENSRAW:** So, he would have pounded on
19 my door.

20 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right. So you wouldn't
21 have known he was coming?

22 **MR. RENSRAW:** No.

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And do you recall, at that
24 time when he introduced himself, if he introduced himself
25 as a police officer or not?

1 MR. RENSRAW: Just Perry Dunlop.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

3 MR. RENSRAW: He wasn't a cop at the time.

4 MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?

5 MR. RENSRAW: He was not a cop at the time.

6 MR. ENGELMANN: That's what he would have
7 told you?

8 MR. RENSRAW: No, he just introduced himself
9 as Perry Dunlop.

10 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And he was not
11 wearing a uniform?

12 THE COMMISSIONER: Do you know how he came
13 about going to your place; how he found about you?

14 MR. RENSRAW: Talking to other people I knew
15 from Cornwall, ex-probationers.

16 MR. ENGELMANN: So he told you he got your
17 name from others?

18 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

19 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

20 And if we could have the witness shown
21 document number 721883.

22 This is a document that has the title
23 "Statement of Gerald Wesley Renshaw". It's dated the 20th
24 day of November 1996.

25 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

1 Exhibit 544.

2 ---EXHIBIT NO./PIÉCE NO. P-544:

3 (721883) Statement from G. W. Renshaw to
4 Cornwall Police - Dated November 20th, 1996

5 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Mr. Renshaw, you've seen
6 this document before?

7 **MR. RENSRAW:** I believe so.

8 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Could you turn to the last
9 page, sir. Is that your signature?

10 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

11 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Do you know if the other
12 signature is that of Mr. Dunlop?

13 **MR. RENSRAW:** It is.

14 **MR. ENGELMANN:** So it would appear that --
15 and is this your handwriting, sir, or is it his?

16 **MR. RENSRAW:** It's not mine.

17 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right.

18 Do you recall if he wrote this out in your
19 presence?

20 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes, he would have.

21 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right.

22 And do you recall if that was during your
23 first meeting, or if you had a meeting before and then you
24 had a meeting to do this?

25 **MR. RENSRAW:** I believe this was a first

1 one.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: Now, do you recall if he
3 told you why he wanted to interview you?

4 MR. RENSCHAW: Because my name kept popping
5 up, I believe.

6 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. In this particular
7 statement, and I want you to have a look at it, you don't
8 talk to him -- or at least in this statement -- about being
9 sexually abused by Ken Seguin. Just have a look at it.

10 Do you recall when it was you might have
11 disclosed that fact to him?

12 MR. RENSCHAW: Shortly before I left to go
13 out west.

14 MR. ENGELMANN: So, sometime in 1997?

15 MR. RENSCHAW: Early; yes.

16 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

17 Why is it that you didn't disclose to him
18 right away?

19 MR. RENSCHAW: I figured if I told him before
20 I left then it didn't give him time to question me; I was
21 already gone.

22 MR. ENGELMANN: So you ---

23 MR. RENSCHAW: I wouldn't keep getting
24 hassled about it.

25 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So you'd never talked

1 to anybody about it, and you apparently didn't want to talk
2 to him about it either?

3 MR. RENSRAW: That's right.

4 MR. ENGELMANN: Now, many of these questions
5 seem to be -- or many of your answers are about who you saw
6 at Ken Seguin's house. Like, if you'll look at the fourth
7 page, it talks about,

8 "I have also observed Stuart McDonald,
9 a police officer at Ken Seguin's home."

10 Do you see that?

11 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

12 MR. ENGELMANN: Next paragraph:

13 "I have observed Ron Wilson, owner of
14 Wilson's Funeral Home, at Ken Seguin's
15 home numerous times. I have observed
16 Claude Shaver, ex-chief of police of
17 Cornwall, Ontario, at least three times
18 at Ken Seguin's home."

19 Do you see those paragraphs?

20 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

21 MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know -- it seems to
22 be an awful lot of questions about who you saw -- or it
23 appears your answers are about who you saw at Ken Seguin's
24 home.

25 Do you recall if -- do you recall what the

1 focus of Mr. Dunlop's questions of you were?

2 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I believe he had shown me
3 pictures of these people; and when looking at the pictures
4 point out who you recognize, and where you recognize them
5 from.

6 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Well, there's another
7 statement. In fact, it's a statement in December where
8 there's a reference to photos and photos with numbers. Do
9 you recall if there were photos already this first time, or
10 whether that happened the second time, or do you remember?

11 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I couldn't tell you for sure.

12 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right.

13 Do you know why Mr. Dunlop would have been
14 asking you about who you observed at Ken Seguin's house?

15 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I would assume it was
16 partially because of allegations of child abuse and the
17 fact that he lost his job because he went to the Children's
18 Aid. So it's a combination, I guess, of both.

19 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. Do you know why he
20 was interested in Ken Seguin, and who ---

21 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Because his name would have
22 kept popping up.

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** I'm sorry?

24 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Because Seguin's name would
25 have kept popping up.

1 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Did he know that you had
2 lived at Ken Seguin's house in the late '80s?

3 **MR. RENSCHAW:** At some time -- point, yes.

4 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Well, it's in the first
5 two paragraphs of the statement.

6 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Yes.

7 **THE COMMISSIONER:** All right.

8 **MR. ENGELMANN:** No, I know; but I'm
9 wondering if he knew that beforehand, before the -- because
10 you say in paragraph two that you lived on the St. Lawrence
11 River, in Ken Seguin's home, for approximately two years.
12 In here you say late '87 to early 1990. And I think you
13 told us yesterday you thought it was probably late '88.

14 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

15 **MR. ENGELMANN:** But you lived there for a
16 year and a half; two years?

17 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

18 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Did Perry Dunlop ever tell
19 you he was looking at links between alleged perpetrators of
20 child sexual abuse?

21 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes, at some point; yes.

22 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Do you recall -- did he ever
23 mention -- were you aware that there was a -- at this time
24 in late '96, that Father Charles MacDonald was being
25 prosecuted for some charges?

1 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: Did he ever mention that
3 investigation or prosecution to you?

4 MR. RENSCHAW: Bits and pieces of it, I
5 believe; yes.

6 MR. ENGELMANN: And when he took this
7 statement, or when he wrote this statement up from your
8 answers, was he alone or was he with someone at that time?

9 MR. RENSCHAW: I believe he was by himself
10 that time.

11 MR. ENGELMANN: And you met him on other
12 occasions, as well?

13 MR. RENSCHAW: Several; yes.

14 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And those meetings
15 would have taken place from November of '96 until when?

16 MR. RENSCHAW: Late February, I guess, of
17 '97.

18 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So, for about a three
19 month period?

20 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

21 MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember
22 approximately how many times you would have met with him,
23 or him and someone else?

24 MR. RENSCHAW: Quite often.

25 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Give me an idea of once,
2 twice, 10, 15.

3 **MR. RENSCHAW:** More than 15 times.

4 **MR. ENGELMANN:** So it would have been more
5 than once a week if we're talking about a three month
6 period. Is that fair?

7 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

8 **MR. ENGELMANN:** When you met with him -- I'm
9 just trying to figure out who was there. Was he alone some
10 of the time when he met with you?

11 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

12 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right.

13 And was he ever with other people when he
14 met with you?

15 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

16 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And can you tell us who
17 those people might have been?

18 **MR. RENSCHAW:** His wife, Helen.

19 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right.

20 So now and then Helen was with him when he
21 met with you?

22 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And do you recall on how
24 many occasions?

25 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Again, I'll say several, but -

1 --

2 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Anyone else who might
3 have been with him when he met with you?

4 MR. RENSCHAW: Carson Chisholm.

5 MR. ENGELMANN: Did he explain who that was?

6 MR. RENSCHAW: His brother-in-law.

7 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And did you know any
8 of these people before this?

9 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

10 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

11 And, again, would Carson Chisholm have been
12 with him on one occasion, or more than one occasion?

13 MR. RENSCHAW: More than one.

14 MR. ENGELMANN: Anyone else?

15 MR. RENSCHAW: A lawyer he had from
16 Newmarket, Charlie something.

17 MR. ENGELMANN: Would that have been Charles
18 Bourgeois?

19 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

20 MR. ENGELMANN: And do you remember how many
21 times he might have been with Mr. Dunlop when you met with
22 him?

23 MR. RENSCHAW: I'm guessing three or four
24 times.

25 MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember anybody else

1 who might have been with him when he met with you?

2 MR. RENSCHAW: Not off the top of my head,
3 no.

4 MR. ENGELMANN: These other people you've
5 mentioned, I'll just go through them one at a time and ask
6 you if you ever met with them alone. Helen Dunlop?

7 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

8 MR. ENGELMANN: Carson Chisholm?

9 MR. RENSCHAW: He may have come by and asked
10 me some follow-up questions or something about something,
11 yes.

12 MR. ENGELMANN: What kind of stuff would he
13 have been asking about; do you remember?

14 MR. RENSCHAW: Well, they seemed really
15 interested in Seguin and the rest of them going to Fort
16 Lauderdale.

17 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

18 MR. RENSCHAW: He seemed to have a lot of
19 interest in Malcolm MacDonald's cottage, Alfred Reform
20 School.

21 MR. ENGELMANN: Alfred Reform School?

22 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

23 MR. ENGELMANN: Were they asking you about
24 names and addresses and phone numbers, stuff like that?

25 MR. RENSCHAW: Just trying to contact other

1 people.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

3 What about Charles Bourgeois; did you ever
4 meet with him alone?

5 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

6 MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember how many
7 times?

8 MR. RENSCHAW: Just the once, I believe.

9 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. We're going to come
10 to a document which is an affidavit that he commissioned.
11 I don't know if you know what that means, but that means
12 you had to sign it in front of someone.

13 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

14 MR. ENGELMANN: Was that the time you met
15 with him alone?

16 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

17 MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember where that
18 was?

19 MR. RENSCHAW: Newmarket.

20 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

21 Was Perry there also? I mean, when you say
22 alone, can you tell us what you mean?

23 MR. RENSCHAW: It was me and Charlie in
24 Charlie's office, but Perry Dunlop was in the building.

25 MR. ENGELMANN: He was in the building?

1 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So you signed the
3 affidavit in front of him?

4 MR. RENSRAW: I believe so, yes.

5 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

6 Do you know who prepared the affidavit?
7 We'll get to it later, but do you know who prepared it?

8 MR. RENSRAW: No.

9 MR. ENGELMANN: Did you know what he was
10 doing for Perry Dunlop, Mr. Bourgeois?

11 MR. RENSRAW: I knew that Perry Dunlop had a
12 lawsuit against the City of Cornwall or Police Services of
13 Cornwall or a combination, or somewhere in there.

14 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

15 Did you ever hire Mr. Bourgeois to help you
16 with anything?

17 MR. RENSRAW: No.

18 MR. ENGELMANN: Did you ever ask him for any
19 legal advice of any sort?

20 MR. RENSRAW: No.

21 MR. ENGELMANN: So you met with Mr. Dunlop
22 or Mr. Dunlop and one of Helen, Carson or Charles
23 Bourgeois?

24 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

25 MR. ENGELMANN: And you would have met with

1 them at least 15 times?

2 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

3 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Over a period of around
4 three months?

5 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

6 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And on some of those
7 occasions, there was a statement or an interview note
8 written up. We have three of them.

9 Do you remember if there were any more, to
10 your knowledge?

11 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Quite possibly.

12 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. We have, I believe,
13 three that you were asked to sign, two statements and one -
14 - sorry, two statements, an audio taped interview and then
15 an affidavit. Do you remember if there's anything else you
16 might have signed?

17 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Not looking back now, no.

18 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Why did you continue to meet
19 with Mr. Dunlop?

20 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I figured what he was doing
21 was something good for Cornwall. So I figured before I
22 left, I would help him as much as I could because I wasn't
23 planning on coming back here.

24 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Why did you think he was
25 doing something that was good for Cornwall?

1 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Well, for one thing, he took
2 allegations which I believe the Cornwall Police were trying
3 to hide and brought them to Children's Aid, where they
4 should have went in the first place.

5 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And this is, again,
6 information you would have gained from the media?

7 **MR. RENSCHAW:** And from Dunlop himself.

8 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And what did he tell you he
9 was trying to do?

10 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I couldn't pick words for that
11 right at this time.

12 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. But you thought he
13 was going to do something good for the community?

14 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

15 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Did he ever pay you to help
16 him?

17 **MR. RENSCHAW:** No.

18 **MR. ENGELMANN:** I'm sorry?

19 **MR. RENSCHAW:** No.

20 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Did he cover the cost of
21 transportation and meals for you ---

22 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

23 **THE COMMISSIONER:** --- when you went down to
24 Newmarket, something like that?

25 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes, and the trip to Alfred.

1 **THE COMMISSIONER:** And the trip to?

2 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Alfred.

3 **MR. ENGELMANN:** You went to Alfred with Mr.
4 Dunlop?

5 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

6 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Was that to meet with
7 others?

8 **MR. RENSCHAW:** No, he didn't know the reform
9 school there at all, so he wanted kind of a layout of the
10 place which, at the time we went, was in a college.

11 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Now, you said that you were
12 helping him because you thought he could do something good
13 for the community.

14 Did your impression of him change at all
15 over those three months?

16 **MR. RENSCHAW:** No.

17 **MR. ENGELMANN:** At some point, did he ask
18 you to look at some pictures?

19 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

20 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And when he asked you to
21 look at those pictures, what did he ask you to do?

22 **MR. RENSCHAW:** He would have been taking
23 notes and he would have asked me if I recognized anyone
24 from those pictures, where I would know them from, how well
25 I knew them.

1 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

2 And did he just hand you pictures one at a
3 time, or do you remember how that happened?

4 MR. RENSCHAW: It was a binder full of them.

5 MR. ENGELMANN: There was a binder?

6 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

7 MR. ENGELMANN: And were the pictures --
8 were the names of the people written on the picture?

9 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

10 MR. ENGELMANN: Was there a number written
11 on the picture?

12 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

13 MR. ENGELMANN: And if you knew the person's
14 name, what would you do?

15 MR. RENSCHAW: Tell him that.

16 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

17 Were there times when you didn't know the
18 person's name but you knew the person's face?

19 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

20 MR. ENGELMANN: Would you tell him that as
21 well?

22 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

23 MR. ENGELMANN: Did you ever draw sketches
24 or diagrams for him?

25 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

1 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And do you remember what you
2 drew them of?

3 **MR. RENSRAW:** I recall that now. It would
4 have been Seguin's house and Malcolm's house -- Malcolm's
5 cottage.

6 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Mr. Commissioner, Exhibit
7 544 has a couple of individuals who have monikers. I just
8 wanted to make that clear, C-8 and C-5.

9 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Thank you. That should
10 be marked.

11 **MR. ENGELMANN:** So, Mr. Renshaw, just
12 turning to Exhibit 544, the handwritten statement of Gerald
13 Wesley Renshaw, you believe this was Mr. Dunlop who would
14 have written this out?

15 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

16 **MR. ENGELMANN:** In your presence?

17 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

18 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And did he give you a list
19 of questions in advance or did he just ask you questions,
20 or do you remember?

21 **MR. RENSRAW:** Question, answer, question,
22 answer.

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. Again, we don't have
24 his questions, so it's hard to know exactly what they were.
25 Did he tell you the purpose of this particular statement?

1 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes, he probably would have,
2 yes.

3 MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember at this
4 time?

5 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

6 MR. ENGELMANN: You told us you were -- you
7 had some trouble coming forward with some information that
8 first time with Constable MacDonnell and the other
9 constable.

10 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

11 MR. ENGELMANN: Aside from talking about
12 your own child sexual abuse, which you didn't tell Mr.
13 Dunlop about until much later, did you have trouble coming
14 forward with answers to his questions?

15 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

16 MR. ENGELMANN: You had trouble being
17 forthcoming with Mr. Dunlop as well?

18 MR. RENSCHAW: In the beginning, yes.

19 THE COMMISSIONER: And why was that?

20 MR. RENSCHAW: Well, he was still an ex-cop
21 to me.

22 MR. ENGELMANN: Did you give him more or
23 less information, do you think, now looking back, than you
24 gave the OPP the first time?

25 MR. RENSCHAW: I would have given him more

1 because he was an ex-cop.

2 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay.

3 Now this statement, or this -- these answers
4 to questions -- this is about three years after Ken's
5 death. This is November 20th 1996 and it's -- well, two
6 years and ten months or so after you talked to the OPP on
7 that first occasion. I'm just trying to situate you time
8 wise.

9 As I said, a large focus appears to have
10 been on who you see at Ken's house. And did Mr. Dunlop
11 tell you why he was interested in that?

12 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I am assuming he's trying to
13 place them, and when I say them I mean Malcolm and Ron
14 Wilson and those -- and Charlie all together. Well, that's
15 where I would have seen them all together.

16 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Did he tell you why he
17 wanted to place them all together?

18 **MR. RENSCHAW:** No.

19 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Now, in the first paragraph
20 you seem to set out some background information, and in the
21 second paragraph you talk about having lived with Ken;
22 correct?

23 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

24 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And in the third paragraph
25 you talk about neighbours while you were there. You've

1 told us about them; correct?

2 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

3 MR. ENGELMANN: And in the fourth paragraph
4 you describe a meeting at his home -- or you describe
5 seeing Malcolm MacDonald, Claude Shaver, and Stuart
6 McDonald at his home in Summerstown; do you see that?

7 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

8 MR. ENGELMANN: Do you today have a
9 recollection of this particular incident?

10 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

11 MR. ENGELMANN: And you say all three of
12 them were standing at the northwest corner of Ken's front
13 yard in front of Malcolm MacDonald's car, and you describe
14 the car. You say it was a nice summer afternoon, you were
15 returning from work at Richmond Die Casting. And you told
16 us earlier that's where you were working when you were
17 living at Mr. Seguin's?

18 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

19 MR. ENGELMANN: So do you know how this came
20 up? Did Mr. Dunlop say to you, "I understand these three
21 men were at this place." Or did he ask you if you saw
22 people at Ken Seguin's? Do you know how this came up?

23 MR. RENSCHAW: I believe in going through the
24 pictures -- after I'd identify a person he would ask me
25 where would I have seen them.

1 Well, this particular meeting would have
2 been mentioned because of the fact that Claude Shaver was
3 there. If I looked at Claude Shaver's picture that's where
4 I would identify him from.

5 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay.

6 I'm just again wondering. There's no
7 reference to photos here. There is reference to photos in
8 the next statement. Do you remember whether there were
9 photos shown to you both times, or not?

10 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I am not sure.

11 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right. All right.

12 Well, would he have asked you, "Did you see
13 Claude Shaver at Ken Seguin's?", or would he have asked
14 you, "Who did you see at Ken Seguin's"?

15 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Who I saw there.

16 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And do you remember who
17 Stuart McDonald is or was?

18 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

19 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Who is he?

20 **MR. RENSCHAW:** A Cornwall cop.

21 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And you say:

22 "Claude Shaver, ex-Chief."

23 Was he the Chief then when you saw him at
24 Malcolm's in '89/'90; to your knowledge?

25 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I don't remember now.

1 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

2 But in 1996, when you give this answer to
3 Perry Dunlop, was he the ex-Chief then?

4 MR. RENSRAW: No, not if I'm saying ex-
5 Chief in here.

6 MR. ENGELMANN: I'm just trying to -- when
7 did you understand that Claude Shaver was no longer the
8 Chief of police in Cornwall?

9 MR. RENSRAW: I believe that was by '96.

10 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

11 So by 1996 he was no longer the Chief?

12 MR. RENSRAW: I believe so, yes.

13 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

14 But when you saw him in or about '89/'90 at
15 Ken Seguin's ---

16 MR. RENSRAW: I believe he was, but I could
17 be wrong.

18 MR. ENGELMANN: He was the Chief then?

19 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

20 MR. ENGELMANN: And you mention something
21 about seeing one of them holding duffle bags. Do you know
22 why you talked about duffle bags?

23 MR. RENSRAW: Just in the conversation as to
24 where they were, what were they doing.

25 MR. ENGELMANN: Just I'm curious because

1 there's a lot of detail here. There's a lot more detail
2 then when you talk about observing other people. I'm
3 wondering why that was.

4 If you can't remember, that's fine.

5 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I'm assuming he's trying to
6 place people at Seguin's house.

7 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Well he's asking a lot of
8 questions about who you saw there, that's for sure.

9 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes. And since this was
10 written I have learned a lot about how they're placing
11 different parts of the investigation together, so I would
12 know more now than I would have when I wrote this.

13 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Who is they?

14 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Everybody involved, like
15 Dunlop and this Inquiry, the police.

16 **MR. ENGELMANN:** So back then when you're
17 talking to Perry Dunlop in November of '96, you're just
18 telling him who you saw at Ken Seguin's house.

19 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

20 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And he's interested in that,
21 and you're not sure why.

22 **MR. RENSCHAW:** At that time, yes.

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** At that time you would have
24 known?

25 **MR. RENSCHAW:** No, at that time he would have

1 been asking without me knowing ---

2 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right.

3 **MR. RENSCHAW:** --- why.

4 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right.

5 Now, you say in a separate paragraph,
6 paragraph 5, that you saw Stuart McDonald, a police
7 officer, at Ken Seguin's home on other occasions, at least
8 twice.

9 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

10 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Do you see that?

11 And was that accurate, sir, at that time?

12 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

13 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And when you said something
14 like that to Mr. Dunlop did he ask you for a description of
15 the two occasions, or do you remember?

16 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I'm sure he would have tried
17 to have me place where it was that I saw him.

18 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Again in the next paragraph,
19 it says you've observed Ron Wilson, owner of Wilson Funeral
20 Home at Ken Seguin's home numerous times.

21 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Perry would have probably
22 added the owner of Wilson Funeral Home.

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay.

24 You just gave him the name Ron Wilson.

25 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I'm sure I did.

1 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right.

2 And Mr. Wilson was no longer a police
3 officer at this time when you saw him there?

4 **MR. RENSCHAW:** No.

5 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And then you say you
6 observed Claude Shaver, ex-Chief of Police at least three
7 times at Ken Seguin's home.

8 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

9 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. So there were other
10 occasions when you saw Chief Shaver at Ken Seguin's home
11 other than the one time in the driveway?

12 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

13 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. And would he have
14 asked you some specific questions about what he was doing
15 or where he was, or do you remember?

16 **MR. RENSCHAW:** He would have, yes.

17 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And then you say you
18 observed Charles MacDonald, the Catholic Priest, at Ken
19 Seguin's home once or twice a week?

20 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

21 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Is that accurate, sir, as
22 far as you know?

23 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

24 **MR. ENGELMANN:** You say you observed Bishop
25 Eugene LaRocque at Ken Seguin's home at least a half dozen

1 times?

2 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

3 MR. ENGELMANN: Was that accurate, sir?

4 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

5 MR. ENGELMANN: The two men in paragraphs 8
6 and 9 are priests; correct?

7 MR. RENSCHAW: In 8 and 9?

8 MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.

9 MR. RENSCHAW: Charles MacDonald ---

10 MR. ENGELMANN: Charles MacDonald and Bishop
11 Eugene LaRocque.

12 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

13 MR. ENGELMANN: And, in fact, so was the
14 fellow in Paragraph 10, Kevin Maloney?

15 MR. RENSCHAW: I'm sorry; I'm not Catholic,
16 but he was a Bishop, a priest.

17 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. It's my
18 understanding, yes.

19 MR. RENSCHAW: Okay.

20 MR. ENGELMANN: Perhaps a more important
21 priest.

22 (LAUGHTER/RIRES)

23 I'm not Catholic either. Maybe that's
24 obvious.

25 But you talk about seeing these three men at

1 Ken Seguin's home; correct?

2 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

3 MR. ENGELMANN: And you would have seen them
4 there -- well, Charles MacDonald, you would have seen there
5 frequently?

6 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

7 MR. ENGELMANN: Bishop LaRocque -- at least
8 a half a dozen times; Kevin Maloney, at least twice?

9 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

10 MR. ENGELMANN: I guess what I'm going --
11 when you saw these men at Ken Seguin's home do you remember
12 what they were wearing? Were they dressed like priests
13 when you saw them?

14 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

15 MR. ENGELMANN: How were they dressed?

16 MR. RENSCHAW: Casually.

17 MR. ENGELMANN: All three of them?

18 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

19 MR. ENGELMANN: So they weren't wearing a
20 collar?

21 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

22 MR. ENGELMANN: Or dark clothing?

23 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

24 MR. ENGELMANN: You actually can remember
25 what they were wearing on occasion?

1 MR. RENSCHAW: These three?

2 MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.

3 MR. RENSCHAW: No, I wouldn't; not right now.

4 MR. ENGELMANN: But it was always casual
5 clothes?

6 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

7 MR. ENGELMANN: And, again, do you recall if
8 Mr. Dunlop would have asked you for specifics as to when
9 you would have seen, for example, Bishop Eugene LaRocque?

10 MR. RENSCHAW: Well, I do know when it comes
11 to him that I would have answered LaRocque getting boat
12 rides over to Malcolm's cottage, from Ken Seguin's. That's
13 how I mostly would have met him there.

14 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

15 MR. RENSCHAW: He was there more to visit
16 Malcolm then he was to visit Ken. That's the way I saw it.

17 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

18 And was that the same for the other two, or
19 were they more to visit Ken; or do you know?

20 MR. RENSCHAW: Malcolm.

21 MR. ENGELMANN: So Kevin Maloney more to
22 visit Malcolm?

23 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

24 MR. ENGELMANN: And Charles MacDonald?

25 MR. RENSCHAW: Charles got along with both of

1 them. It's the same.

2 **MR. ENGELMANN:** There aren't really
3 questions here, or don't appear to be questions here about
4 whether you saw these men -- and I'm talking about all of
5 these men here now in this statement -- at Malcolm
6 MacDonald's house. There's just one fellow where -- I'm
7 just looking here ---

8 **MR. RENSRAW:** I believe he would have been
9 asking about people at Ken Seguin's.

10 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. So did you see some
11 of these individuals at Malcolm MacDonald's cottage as
12 well?

13 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

14 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. So I'll go through
15 that with you in a moment, if I can.

16 **MR. RENSRAW:** But, we could take 10 minutes,
17 if you don't mind.

18 **THE COMMISSIONER:** And I think that's an
19 appropriate time.

20 **MR. RENSRAW:** Thank you.

21 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Thank you very much.

22 **THE REGISTRAR:** Order. All rise. À
23 l'ordre. Veuillez vous lever.

24 --- Upon recessing at 10:20 a.m./L'audience est suspendue à
25 10h20

1 --- Upon resuming at 10:35 a.m./L'audience est reprise à
2 10h35

3 **THE REGISTRAR:** The hearing is now in
4 session.

5 Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.

6 **GERALD RENSHAW, Resumed/Sous le même serment**

7 **---EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR**

8 **MR. PETER ENGELMANN (cont'd/Suite)**

9 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Mr. Renshaw, we were looking
10 at Exhibit 544. This I -- the notes that
11 Mr. Dunlop wrote up and had you then sign as a statement,
12 November 20th, '96.

13 You had mentioned, just before the break,
14 about taking some individuals over to Malcolm MacDonald's
15 cottage in a boat.

16 **MR. RENSHAW:** Yes.

17 **MR. ENGELMANN:** You told us that you -- for
18 example, one of the people you took over was Bishop
19 LaRocque ---

20 **MR. RENSHAW:** Yes.

21 **MR. ENGELMANN:** --- in the boat.

22 **MR. RENSHAW:** Yes.

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** You had told us that Malcolm
24 MacDonald's cottage was on an island.

25 **MR. RENSHAW:** Stanley Island.

1 MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. Can you give us a
2 sense of just about how far that would have been from
3 Ken Seguin's home?

4 MR. RENSCHAW: A five minute boat ride.

5 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So here at the weave
6 shed ---

7 MR. RENSCHAW: About from across this section
8 of river. About that ---

9 MR. ENGELMANN: Over to Cornwall Island?

10 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

11 MR. ENGELMANN: It would be about that
12 distance?

13 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

14 MR. ENGELMANN: So you could see houses or
15 cottages?

16 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

17 MR. ENGELMANN: But to actually see who
18 people were, would you need a pair of binoculars?

19 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

20 MR. ENGELMANN: Could you, with a pair of
21 binoculars, see who people were?

22 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

23 MR. ENGELMANN: And how is it that you would
24 drive people over on a boat?

25 MR. RENSCHAW: For instance, if Malcolm would

1 have came with a group of people he would have packed his
2 boat and went over there, and then if somebody else would
3 have showed up I'd take them over with Seguin's boat.

4 **MR. ENGELMANN:** So help me out here. Where
5 did Malcolm MacDonald keep his boat on the mainland?

6 **MR. RENSCHAW:** At Ken Seguin's.

7 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right.

8 So at Ken Seguin's house Malcolm had a boat,
9 and Ken had a boat?

10 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

11 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And you mentioned that one
12 of the neighbours was Ron Leroux.

13 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

14 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Did he have a boat?

15 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

16 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right.

17 So how would people get over to Malcolm's
18 cottage?

19 **MR. RENSCHAW:** By boat only.

20 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right.

21 And whose boat would they go over in?

22 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Malcolm's, Ken's and Ron's.

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And would you have given ---

24 **MR. RENSCHAW:** To be specific, it would be C-
25 8's boat, not Ron's.

1 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. The fellow that was
2 at Ron's home?

3 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

4 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

5 And would you have driven just one of those
6 boats, or more than one of those boats to take people over
7 to Malcolm MacDonald's cottage?

8 MR. RENSCHAW: More than one.

9 MR. ENGELMANN: And how many times would you
10 have driven people across?

11 MR. RENSCHAW: Numerous times.

12 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

13 And would you ever go to Malcolm MacDonald's
14 cottage, as well?

15 MR. RENSCHAW: I've been there; yes.

16 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. You didn't just take
17 people over on the boat; on occasion you were there?

18 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

19 MR. ENGELMANN: And why would you be there?

20 MR. RENSCHAW: Cut grass, put his dock in in
21 the spring, turn his water on in the spring.

22 MR. ENGELMANN: Did you --

23 THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry. Have you ever
24 been inside the cottage?

25 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

1 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And would you do other work
2 for -- did you do work for Malcolm MacDonald?

3 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

4 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Did you do work both inside
5 and outside his house -- or cottage?

6 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I believe the only work I did
7 inside was to remove and replace a hot water tank.

8 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right.

9 But you would have been in his cottage from
10 time to time?

11 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

12 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. And did he have
13 people over to his cottage from time to time?

14 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

15 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Did he have people over
16 frequently or infrequently?

17 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Frequently.

18 **MR. ENGELMANN:** So during the summer months
19 did he live there, or did he just visit occasionally?

20 **MR. RENSCHAW:** In the summer that was his
21 primary address.

22 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right.

23 And how often would people go to visit?

24 **MR. RENSCHAW:** By-daily; three, four times a
25 week ---

1 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And would he have social
2 functions or parties?

3 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

4 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And when he did, how would
5 people get there?

6 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Boat.

7 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And those would be the boats
8 from either -- the two boats you mentioned that were moored
9 at Ken Seguin's?

10 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

11 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Or the boat at Ron Leroux's?

12 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

13 **MR. ENGELMANN:** So would you have taken
14 people over to those parties on a number of occasions?

15 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

16 **MR. ENGELMANN:** If the witness could be
17 shown document number 716138.

18 I'll be coming back to the statement in a
19 bit -- Mr. Renschaw, that's Exhibit 544 -- but I want to
20 just show you a few diagrams. So, what I'll need is
21 716138, then 716139, and 716140. We'll just do them one at
22 a time.

23 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

24 **MR. ENGELMANN:** It's 716138.

25 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes, that's Exhibit 545,

1 which it says "Number 2 Highway. Ken Seguin's Adolphus".
2 So it's a diagram.

3 **---** EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-545:

4 (716138) Gerald Renshaw - Diagram -
5 Main floor of Ken Seguin's cottage

6 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. Can you just tell us
7 what this is a diagram of, so that we can ---

8 **MR. RENSRAW:** The main floor of Seguin's
9 home in Summerstown.

10 **MR. ENGELMANN:** In Summerstown. Okay, so
11 diagram of main floor of Ken Seguin's home in Summerstown.

12 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Thank you.

13 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Exhibit 545.

14 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

15 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And who would have drawn
16 that diagram?

17 **MR. RENSRAW:** I believe I did.

18 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Right. Did you do this --
19 you've told us you did your year of architecture or a bit
20 more. Did you draw diagrams from time to time?

21 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

22 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right. Who asked you to
23 draw this diagram?

24 **MR. RENSRAW:** Perry Dunlop, I believe.

25 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right.

1 Do you remember which of the meetings that
2 you had with him when he would have asked you to draw this
3 diagram?

4 MR. RENSCHAW: Not to be exact, no.

5 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, so we don't have the
6 right one up on the screen I don't think.

7 THE COMMISSIONER: No. That's not Exhibit
8 545. That's the one, yes. That's Exhibit 545.

9 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

10 So this is the main floor of Ken Seguin's
11 home in Summerstown?

12 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

13 MR. ENGELMANN: Are there any bedrooms on
14 this floor?

15 MR. RENSCHAW: None.

16 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

17 And in the top left portion, we see sort of
18 an oval. What is that?

19 MR. RENSCHAW: Dining-room table.

20 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And then just below
21 that, is that the sort of living-room area?

22 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes, round coffee table.

23 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And then you
24 have some stairs going upstairs?

25 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

1 MR. ENGELMANN: So if we could look at
2 716139.

3 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
4 That would be Exhibit 546, which presumably
5 is the upstairs diagram of Mr. Seguin's home?

6 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

7 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
8 Thank you.

9 --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-546:

10 (716139) Gerald Renshaw - Diagram -
11 Upper floor of Ken Seguin's cottage

12 MR. ENGELMANN: Again, sir, did you draw
13 this?

14 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

15 MR. ENGELMANN: So we see the stairs in the
16 middle.

17 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

18 THE COMMISSIONER: And then to the left of
19 the stairs, you've got Ken's bed. So that's his bedroom?

20 MR. RENSCHAW: No, I ---

21 MR. ENGELMANN: Or not?

22 MR. RENSCHAW: If I turned it upside down, it
23 would be.

24 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

25 So how many bedrooms are there upstairs?

1 MR. RENSCHAW: Two.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: There is one on either side
3 of the stairs?

4 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

5 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

6 And which side of the stairs is his bedroom?

7 MR. RENSCHAW: Looking on the computer, it
8 would be on the right side.

9 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, where it says
10 "Ken's bed".

11 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

12 MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, I see. Yes, I'm looking
13 at it differently on my paper. All right.

14 So there's a fairly large bedroom on the
15 right and then, similarly, there's a fairly similar sized
16 bedroom on the other side?

17 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

18 MR. ENGELMANN: It's was a guest bedroom?

19 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

20 MR. ENGELMANN: And was that the bedroom
21 that you had when you lived at his home?

22 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

23 MR. ENGELMANN: Is that a balcony or a deck
24 that we see at the bottom of the screen?

25 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

1 MR. ENGELMANN: One looks out over the river
2 from there?

3 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

4 MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, if you could look at
5 716140.

6 THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 547. It says,
7 "Malcolm's cottage" on it. Is that Malcolm MacDonald's
8 cottage, a diagram of that?

9 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

10 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

11 Thank you.

12 --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-547:

13 (716140) Gerald Renshaw - Diagram -
14 Floor plan of Malcolm MacDonald's
15 cottage

16 MR. ENGELMANN: Now, again, you would have
17 drawn this diagram?

18 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

19 MR. ENGELMANN: And I note you have an arrow
20 pointing north. Maybe we just have a ---

21 MR. RENSCHAW: That's the way I'm holding it.

22 MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?

23 MR. RENSCHAW: That's the way I'm holding it.

24 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And can you tell us
25 where the water would be in relation to the cottage?

1 MR. RENSCHAW: It would be to the west of the
2 cottage. West, southwest.

3 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4 So to the left and then to the bottom of the
5 diagram?

6 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

7 MR. ENGELMANN: Was there an area outside
8 where people could congregate?

9 MR. RENSCHAW: That same area.

10 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11 Would you see people congregating there from
12 Ken's home?

13 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

14 MR. ENGELMANN: And it's a one-floor
15 cottage?

16 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

17 MR. ENGELMANN: There are three bedrooms
18 that are listed on the right?

19 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

20 MR. ENGELMANN: And they run off a large
21 common area?

22 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

23 MR. ENGELMANN: And when you were there in
24 his cottage, were doors generally open or shut? Do you
25 remember?

1 MR. RENSCHAW: Open.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: Open?

3 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

4 MR. ENGELMANN: Could you see into these
5 bedrooms?

6 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

7 MR. ENGELMANN: And were these full walls,
8 sir, or not?

9 MR. RENSCHAW: Eight-foot wall but open above
10 that to the peak of the ceiling.

11 MR. ENGELMANN: So they weren't fully walled
12 bedrooms.

MR. RENSCHAW: That's right.

13 MR. ENGELMANN: How much open space would
14 there be above the wall?

15 MR. RENSCHAW: Roughly four feet in the
16 centre of the house.

17 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, so you could see into
18 these bedrooms but only the top part of ---

19 MR. RENSCHAW: You could see the ceiling
20 above the bedroom. You couldn't see down into them
21 obviously.

22 MR. ENGELMANN: Could you see the walls at
23 all, sir?

24 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

25 MR. ENGELMANN: And do you remember what, if

1 anything, was on the walls of these bedrooms?

2 MR. RENSCHAW: The centre bedroom, the
3 bedroom that's marked number two ---

4 MR. ENGELMANN: Yes?

5 MR. RENSCHAW: --- was wallpapered with male
6 porn, gay porn.

7 MR. ENGELMANN: What do you mean by male
8 porn or gay porn?

9 MR. RENSCHAW: Nude male pictures.

10 MR. ENGELMANN: Were they just naked men or
11 were they naked men having sex or do you remember?

12 MR. RENSCHAW: Both.

13 MR. ENGELMANN: Both. And when you say --
14 how was it on the wall? Was it --

15 MR. RENSCHAW: Stuck on there.

16 MR. ENGELMANN: --- just one or two ---

17 MR. RENSCHAW: No, it was like wallpaper.

18 MR. ENGELMANN: Across all of the walls?

19 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

20 MR. ENGELMANN: Could you see that from the
21 common area?

22 MR. RENSCHAW: If the door is open, yes.

23 MR. ENGELMANN: Could you see it at all over
24 the wall?

25 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

1 MR. ENGELMANN: Was there anything else in
2 the cottage of that nature, naked men, gay porn?

3 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes, all over.

4 MR. ENGELMANN: What kinds of things all
5 over?

6 MR. RENSCHAW: Magazines.

7 MR. ENGELMANN: Where would they be located
8 in the cottage?

9 MR. RENSCHAW: On the coffee table mostly, I
10 believe.

11 MR. ENGELMANN: Mostly on the coffee table?

12 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

13 MR. ENGELMANN: And was there more than one
14 coffee table?

15 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

16 MR. ENGELMANN: Where were those coffee
17 tables?

18 MR. RENSCHAW: There would be one between the
19 two couches and one by the front door, which is on the west
20 side.

21 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, so between the two
22 couches at the bottom of the screen?

23 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

24 MR. ENGELMANN: And the front door is just
25 next to where you have got the symbol saying "north"?

1 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: So there were gay porn
3 magazines?

4 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

5 MR. ENGELMANN: Were there anything else in
6 the way of paraphernalia?

7 MR. RENSCHAW: You wouldn't be able to tell
8 what's on tapes.

9 MR. ENGELMANN: There were videotapes?

10 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

11 MR. ENGELMANN: But they weren't -- were
12 they in cases or do you remember?

13 MR. RENSCHAW: Unlabelled. I know that.

14 MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?

15 MR. RENSCHAW: Unlabelled.

16 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

17 MR. RENSCHAW: Or labelled by number or it
18 wasn't ---

19 MR. ENGELMANN: So what you've described,
20 sir, if the door is open, anybody walking into that
21 cottage, would they see this wallpaper?

22 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Commissioner?

23 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

24 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Sir, I need to rise and
25 make an observation?

1 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes.

2 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** An objection.

3 None of this is in any disclosure and the
4 obvious inference is being urged on the witness that anyone
5 who went to this place would have seen all of this and thus
6 had some sort of wrongdoing or be stigmatized with some
7 sort of wrongdoing.

8 And I object to this. It's not in any
9 disclosure that we've seen to date.

10 **THE COMMISSIONER:** All right.

11 Well, first of all, the stigma I don't
12 necessarily see, but okay, there is no disclosure.

13 Mr. Engelmann?

14 **MR. ENGELMANN:** I'll come to where it is in
15 one of the statements.

16 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** It's not in his
17 anticipated evidence.

18 **MR. ENGELMANN:** It's in one of his
19 statements.

20 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay. So you will be
21 getting to it?

22 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Yeah and there -- I think
23 there is an obvious inference to draw, but anyway -- there
24 may be. I'll let other counsel speak to that.

25 All right. So we've got wallpaper in

1 bedroom two, and you say there was magazines and tapes, but
2 the tapes wouldn't be obvious.

3 MR. RENSCHAW: That's right.

4 MR. ENGELMANN: Was there anything similar
5 to that at Ken Seguin's cottage do you remember?

6 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

7 MR. ENGELMANN: Nothing on the walls?

8 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

9 MR. ENGELMANN: No porn magazines ---

10 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

11 MR. ENGELMANN: --- that you knew of?

12 Okay. Do you know if there were video
13 tapes?

14 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

15 MR. ENGELMANN: You don't know or there
16 weren't?

17 MR. RENSCHAW: I don't know.

18 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

19 So let's go back to exhibit 544.

20 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

21 (SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)

22 MR. ENGELMANN: Maybe I can just run through
23 the list, here. In paragraph 5, you talk about a fellow by
24 the name of Stuart McDonald, police officer. Do you see
25 that?

1 **MR. RENSRAW:** I'm looking for it now.

2 **MR. ENGELMANN:** It's on the fourth page.

3 **MR. RENSRAW:** That would be why I didn't see
4 it. Yes.

5 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Just as a digression, I just
6 - Mr. Sherriff-Scott had interjected and - we'll come to an
7 exhibit or document later. It's the statement that Mr.
8 Renshaw gives to the OPP on November 5th, 1997 which talks
9 about things you'd see at Mr. MacDonald's cottage.

10 **MR. RENSRAW:** Okay.

11 **MR. ENGELMANN:** You say that you saw Stuart
12 McDonald at Ken Seguin's home?

13 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

14 **MR. ENGELMANN:** On other - and you say at
15 Ken Seguin's home on other occasions, at least twice.

16 Would you have seen him at Malcolm
17 MacDonald's cottage?

18 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

19 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And do you recall whether
20 you would have transported him there?

21 **Mr. RENSRAW:** Unsure.

22 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right.

23 **THE COMMISSIONER:** I'm sorry, you're unsure?

24 **MR. RENSRAW:** Unsure.

25 **THE COMMISSIONER:** All right.

1 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Did -- you talked about
2 Malcolm MacDonald, Charles MacDonald and Ken Seguin being
3 close friends.

4 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

5 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Would they get together at
6 both Ken's home and at Malcolm's home?

7 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

8 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Do you know if they got
9 together at other places as well?

10 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

11 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Right. And were you with
12 them when they got together at other places in the Cornwall
13 area?

14 **MR. RENSCHAW:** St. Andrews Parish.

15 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Yes?

16 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Ron Leroux' home.

17 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Yes.

18 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Williamstown Parish.

19 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And do you know who the
20 priests were at those parishes?

21 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Charlie.

22 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And did you ever see them
23 together at restaurants or bars?

24 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Harv's Diner.

25 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And where's Harv's Diner?

1 MR. RENSRAW: Pitt Street, Cornwall.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: So that's in the City of
3 Cornwall?

4 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

5 MR. ENGELMANN: So, you would have seen Ken,
6 Charlie and Malcolm at all four of those places?

7 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

8 MR. ENGELMANN: And now and then, would you
9 see them with other individuals at those places?

10 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

11 MR. ENGELMANN: So, Stuart McDonald you saw
12 at Ken and at Malcolm's homes?

13 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

14 MR. ENGELMANN: Did you see him with this
15 group of people at any of the other places you mentioned?

16 MR. RENSRAW: No.

17 MR. ENGELMANN: So not at Ron Leroux' or at
18 the Parishes or at Harv's Diner?

19 MR. RENSRAW: No.

20 MR. ENGELMANN: What about Ron Wilson?
21 Would you have seen him at Malcolm's cottage?

22 MR. RENSRAW: Yes, and Harv's Diner.

23 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Would you have also
24 seen him at the parishes or at Ron Leroux?

25 MR. RENSRAW: No.

1 **MR. ENGELMANN:** What about Claude Shaver?
2 Would you have seen him at Malcolm's cottage?

3 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

4 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And would you have taken him
5 over to Malcolm's cottage or do you remember?

6 **MR. RENSRAW:** I believe I have.

7 **Mr. MANDERVILLE:** Excuse me, sorry. This
8 has not been disclosed at all. If this is going to be an
9 ambush, let's know about it.

10 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Well, okay ---

11 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** I object strongly to this;
12 this is all new.

13 **THE COMMISSIONER:** What do you mean it's all
14 new?

15 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** It's coming out for the
16 first time now, it's not on any statement, it's not on the
17 AE. The AE is actually supposed to be a guideline to know
18 what's coming.

19 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Easy, easy now. Easy
20 now.

21 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** If we're making it up as
22 we go along, that's a different case.

23 **THE COMMISSIONER:** No, just a minute -- come
24 back here. Come back here. Mr. Engelmann, sit down
25 please.

1 **MR. ENGELMANN:** That's an outrageous
2 comment.

3 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Sit down.

4 Okay, if you're going to make an objection -
5 - so you're saying that you know nothing of this evidence?

6 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** Nothing.

7 **THE COMMISSIONER:** It's not in any
8 statements that have been disclosed to you or anything like
9 that?

10 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** Not at all, sir.

11 **THE COMMISSIONER:** All right, that's fine.
12 Thank you, you may sit down.

13 Mr. Engelmann?

14 **MR. ENGELMANN:** The anticipated evidence
15 summary says -- it doesn't refer to people's names.

16 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

17 **MR. ENGELMANN:** It says:

18 "He listed a number of people,
19 including some prominent Cornwall
20 community members. We'd observed that
21 either Ken ..."

22 Sorry,

23 "...Seguin's home and other locations,
24 including St. Andrews parish,
25 Williamstown Parish, Malcolm

1 MacDonald's cottage ..."

2 Is also referenced to that later as well.

3 **THE COMMISSIONER:** To that?

4 **MR. ENGELMANN:** We talked about other
5 statements and listing people who are prominent people.

6 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay.

7 **MR. ENGELMANN:** I agree that the original
8 statement that Mr. Dunlop takes only refers to Claude
9 Shaver at Ken Seguin's home.

10 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Right.

11 **MR. ENGELMANN:** So I'm now asking him if he
12 saw him elsewhere as well.

13 **THE COMMISSIONER:** All right. So, what
14 you're telling me, then, is what is new and not in the
15 document is the allegation that Mr. Shaver -- Chief Shaver
16 would have been at other places other than what's listed in
17 this statement here.

18 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Yes. What's listed in this
19 statement is simply that he was at Ken Seguin's home.

20 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Right. And nowhere else
21 is there in the documents, some indication that this
22 witness had seen him elsewhere?

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** This witness? I don't
24 believe so. I believe the statements -- well, I could
25 check. We'll come to the December 5th statement.

1 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay. So my question, I
2 suppose, is, is this something that's coming out new now?

3 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Well, Claude Shaver
4 specifically?

5 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yeah.

6 **MR. ENGELMANN:** From this witness, it may
7 be. It just talks about prominent people.

8 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Right.

9 **MR. ENGELMANN:** There wasn't a full listing
10 in the AE.

11 **THE COMMISSIONER:** No, but -- so, that I can
12 understand; it's just an anticipated evidence, yes.

13 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Yes.

14 **THE COMMISSIONER:** If there's nowhere in any
15 of the documents that alleges that Claude Shaver was at
16 different places -- if I understand, the objection is that
17 you would have had to tell these -- the parties about this
18 extra bit of evidence. That -- namely, that -- Claude
19 Shaver was seen at other places.

20 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Well, we get into how much
21 you put in an anticipated evidence summary, I guess.

22 **THE COMMISSIONER:** No, no -- there's --
23 that's a different story. Fact of the matter is, it seems
24 -- if I understand this correctly -- that this witness is
25 coming now and telling us more than what's even in all of

1 the documents.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: In his statements?

3 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. And that's okay if
4 he's got more information ---

5 MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah.

6 THE COMMISSIONER: --- but if you knew about
7 it, would you not have had to disclose this to the parties?

8 MR. ENGELMANN: Well, we disclosed in a
9 general way sir.

10 THE COMMISSIONER: No, no. I understand
11 that, but the general way -- and I can understand you
12 disclose in general ways things that are in the statements;
13 right. And so, obviously, you have to read the anticipated
14 evidence and all of the related documents and then you get
15 the full story.

16 But if I have this clear, what he is saying
17 is that, I've looked at the anticipated evidence. I've
18 looked at all of the documents, and there's nothing in
19 there that says that Chief Shaver was at other places. And
20 so if you knew that, you should have given them a heads-up
21 about that.

22 MR. ENGELMANN: That would be fair.

23 THE COMMISSIONER: Is that -- just a second.
24 Come on up. Is that the basis of your objection sir?

25 MR. MANDERVILLE: It certainly is, Mr.

1 Commissioner.

2 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay. But that's it?

3 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** Yes, this is from our
4 perspective, this is new and obviously, it is significant.

5 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay. So what do you
6 want me to do about it? I mean you're obviously going to
7 have a right to cross-examine this man about whether or not
8 he did see Chief Shaver at different places.

9 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** Correct.

10 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Is there any -- okay. So
11 all this witness has done so far is added a couple other
12 places where the Chief was seen in company of these people.

13 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** Given this witness'
14 evidence a few moments ago, which is also new ---

15 **THE COMMISSIONER:** No, what part is also
16 new?

17 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** Ms. Daley makes a very
18 good suggestion that perhaps Mr. Renshaw should step
19 outside for a few minutes.

20 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Right. Mr. Renshaw,
21 we're going to talk about administrative matters at this
22 point. So if the witness support person -- if you could
23 just go outside and -- Madam Clerk? Could you make sure
24 there is a witness support person there?

25 **(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)**

1 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay. Mr. Manderville?

2 **--- SUBMISSIONS BY/REPRÉSENTATIONS PAR MR. MANDERVILLE:**

3 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** Mr. Sherriff-Scott's point
4 a few moments ago is very well taken. The obvious
5 inference to be drawn, certainly in the public eye perhaps,
6 is that anyone attending Mr. MacDonald's cottage would have
7 seen the quote "wallpaper" unquote ---

8 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

9 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** --- and would have drawn
10 some conclusions from it perhaps, given the other rumour
11 and innuendo that's been circulated around not by this
12 witness but by others.

13 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

14 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** This allegation that my
15 client attended at Mr. MacDonald's cottage is new.

16 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Is new? You mean it's
17 not in any documentation at all?

18 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** Nothing from this witness
19 ever.

20 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay.

21 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** Next week's witness is a
22 different story and that can be explored with that witness.

23 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

24 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** Nothing from this witness
25 ever.

1 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

2 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** We are taken by surprise
3 with it. It is of considerable concern.

4 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

5 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** I will have to consider my
6 options in terms of cross-examination whether I ask you for
7 and adjournment of my cross to prepare for this different
8 aspect of things. Short of the adjournment request, I am
9 uncertain as to what other remedies I might have. I
10 believe that may be the primary one.

11 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay. We'll get to that
12 in a minute, but just so I understand it very clearly,
13 you're telling me that, in this client's evidence -- this
14 client, I mean, this witness' evidence -- in all of the
15 statements and everything else, he has never said that he
16 has been over at -- that Chief Shaver was over at Malcolm
17 MacDonald's home.

18 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** That is correct.

19 **THE COMMISSIONER:** All right. Thank you.

20 Yes, sir?

21 **--- SUBMISSIONS BY/REPRÉSENTATIONS PAR MR. DAVID SHERRIFF-**
22 **SCOTT:**

23 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** The reason I was so
24 exercised about my preliminary point on the descriptor of
25 Mr. MacDonald's cottage is that the same applies to the

1 individuals who are described as attending by the witness
2 at the cottage.

3 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

4 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** In other words, Bishop
5 LaRocque and the other two individual priests that this
6 witness has said were not at Ken Seguin's, well may have
7 been there to touch off to go to Malcolm MacDonald's, but
8 he said, "Primarily going to Malcolm MacDonald's cottage".
9 These are not in any of his statements.

10 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

11 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Nowhere. And the
12 reason I rose is because I am exercised about this -- what
13 obvious inference Mr. Engelmann says can be drawn. Because
14 when I sat down, he said there is an inference, with
15 respect.

16 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes.

17 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** So the same applies to
18 the three individuals that the witness named. It's not in
19 his statements ---

20 **THE COMMISSIONER:** I'm sorry. Say that
21 again?

22 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** It is not alleged in
23 Mr. Renshaw's statements that the three individual priests
24 named in the Perry Dunlop statement were at Malcolm
25 MacDonald's cottage. It is not. It's not in the audiotape

1 interview with Mr. Dunlop.

2 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

3 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** It's not in the
4 handwritten statement. It's not in the OPP statements of
5 '94 or '97. It is not there. And it's -- the descriptor
6 of generality, which says that some people were over there,
7 doesn't name the individuals.

8 And there's detailed identification of
9 people in these statements. And it's not here, and I'm
10 taken by surprise on these individuals. I have to check
11 with them before I cross-examine this man and -- you know,
12 I was over there listening, busily typing an email onto my
13 Blackberry to try and have people check these things.

14 **THE COMMISSIONER:** You were what?

15 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Well, my Blackberry
16 doesn't ring when I have the ringer off, but I can email.

17 And so I have a concern that I need time to
18 consult with these individuals for the purpose of cross-
19 examination.

20 Thank you.

21 **THE COMMISSIONER:** All right. No, no. Come
22 back. Just before you go, I thought I should say this --
23 and that's for the benefit of the public and those
24 listening -- it is that inferences and deductions and all
25 of those things are things that are going to be done at the

1 end.

2 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** True enough sir.

3 **THE COMMISSIONER:** And so if this witness
4 comes in and says, "I saw this wallpapered in this bedroom"
5 and that you could see it if the door was opened, that's
6 about all he can say. And I will be making the inferences,
7 and the public has embarked in this journey with me in a
8 public inquiry. And I have discussed with them, as I will
9 next week, about keeping an open mind, not coming to any
10 conclusions until it's time to make conclusions.

11 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Well, I thank you very
12 much for making that clarification on the record, sir.

13 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Well, it's not a
14 clarification ---

15 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** No, no.

16 **THE COMMISSIONER:** --- it's an ongoing
17 process, and I will repeat it either when it comes to
18 objections or when it comes at my summer's ---

19 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Break.

20 **THE COMMISSIONER:** --- break to the public.

21 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** No, when I say I
22 appreciate you saying that and repeating it at this
23 juncture, because we both know this is a very public
24 process ---

25 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Absolutely.

1 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** --- and inferences may
2 be drawn and appear in the newspaper the next day, and so
3 it's helpful to have you say that. Thank you.

4 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Thank you.

5 Mr. Lee?

6 **--- SUBMISSIONS BY/REPRÉSENTATIONS PAR MR. DALLAS LEE:**

7 **MR. LEE:** I'm not going to take issue with
8 Mr. Manderville or Mr. Sherriff-Scott in terms of this
9 specific information appearing in a statement. I agree
10 with them. It doesn't appear in a statement.

11 The concern I have and again public
12 perception is that this is being labelled as new
13 information. It's new to my friends perhaps, but I don't
14 think it's fair to suggest that it's new to the witness or
15 that it's being invented on the witness stand or that this
16 is the first time it's ever popped in his head and he's
17 decided to say it.

18 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yeah.

19 **MR. LEE:** If witnesses at this Inquiry are
20 limited to only discussing exactly what they discussed in
21 prior statements, we're not going to get very far.

22 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes ---

23 **MR. LEE:** We have statements from Perry
24 Dunlop. We have statements -- given to Perry Dunlop
25 rather, and statements given to the OPP, and while the

1 answers he just gave aren't in those statements, I should
2 point out that the question isn't in those statements
3 either.

4 Mr. Engelmann, during the course of his
5 examination in-chief hears something, and he thinks a
6 follow-up question is well, hold on, you've told us that
7 they were at Ken Seguin's, and a bunch of people from Ken
8 Seguin's went to Malcolm MacDonald's. How about those
9 people? I'd say he is entitled to ask the question. The
10 witness is entitled to answer it.

11 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

12 **MR. LEE:** And I am not sure that it needs to
13 be put in an AE, and I don't intend to argue with anybody
14 over Commission counsel's role in preparing statements of
15 anticipated evidence.

16 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

17 **MR. LEE:** But this is new information
18 because this is the first time that we have a record that
19 the witness has been asked the question. And that's fair
20 in my mind.

21 And whether or not Mr. Manderville or Mr.
22 Sherriff-Scott or anybody else needs to seek instructions
23 to cross-examine this witness on these issues, I don't put
24 a lot of weight in that frankly.

25 I don't see for a second how this could not

1 have been broached with their clients beforehand. Where
2 they were; who they were involved with? It seems to me
3 that my friends know precisely what their clients have told
4 them they did and didn't do. And this shouldn't come as
5 any huge roadblock in their attempts to cross-examine him.

6 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Well, we're not there
7 yet. They've put on the record their concerns. An AE, an
8 anticipated evidence, is that; an anticipated evidence.

9 I think the narrow issue here is this; is
10 you're absolutely right, in a hearing, when you're
11 examining a witness, there are some things that come up
12 that no one could have anticipated. The issue really here
13 is whether Mr. Engelmann knew of this, through his
14 discussions with this witness beforehand, and if he did,
15 whether or not he should have disclosed this specific piece
16 of evidence. That's where we're at.

17 **MR. LEE:** Sure. I mean the obvious thought
18 that pops into my mind from that is we're going to end up,
19 if that's the case and Mr. Engelmann has a duty or
20 something, maybe slightly less than that to advise of
21 anything he thinks may be new that may relate to any party,
22 we're going to end up with witnesses providing a statement
23 -- yet another statement to the parties before they get in
24 the box in order to -- I mean I don't know where it ends.
25 It's a tough line.

1 **THE COMMISSIONER:** No, no. It is a tough
2 line and ---

3 **MR. LEE:** Yes.

4 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay. Anything else?

5 **MR. LEE:** No, thank you.

6 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Thank you.

7 Anyone else there before --

8 Thank you.

9 Mr. Engelmann, can you advise me of the
10 situation, please?

11 --- **SUBMISSIONS BY/REPRÉSENTATIONS PAR MR. PETER ENGELMANN:**

12 **MR. ENGELMANN:** A lot of information comes
13 up when you meet a witness shortly before they come on the
14 witness stand.

15 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

16 **MR. ENGELMANN:** There's certainly all sorts
17 of information in this file about the relationships between
18 prominent members of the community and Malcolm MacDonald,
19 Charles MacDonald and Ken Seguin; a number of things.

20 My friend, Mr. Manderville, is right.
21 There's no specific reference to the former Chief of Police
22 being at Malcolm MacDonald's cottage. There's the first
23 statement that says he's seen -- I can't remember how many
24 times now ---

25 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

1 **MR. ENGELMANN:** --- at Ken Seguin's.

2 Then the second statement, I think it's
3 December 5th '96, says he observed him on three occasions
4 and it doesn't say where.

5 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

6 **MR. ENGELMANN:** But if it's consistent with
7 the first, you would anticipate that would be at Ken
8 Seguin's house.

9 But the fact of the matter is, I mean
10 clearly it's not rocket science to put the relationship of
11 prominent individuals in the community together with --
12 their interactions with Malcolm MacDonald, Ken Seguin and
13 Charles MacDonald.

14 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

15 **MR. ENGELMANN:** In meetings I've just had
16 with this witness, I asked him whether he would have seen
17 these people at other places, and if so where.

18 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

19 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And, quite frankly, I don't
20 know if he's ever been asked that before.

21 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay, but you asked it?

22 **MR. ENGELMANN:** I did.

23 **THE COMMISSIONER:** And when? Before ---

24 **MR. ENGELMANN:** I've just -- I haven't met
25 with this client -- this witness that much and it's been

1 recent and we've prepared an anticipated evidence summary.
2 We try and give a general sense of what's happening.
3 Perhaps there should have been a specific reference to
4 seeing Bishop LaRocque and Claude Shaver at Malcolm
5 MacDonald's cottage. We talked about prominent persons and
6 perhaps given the fact that they weren't specifically named
7 in one of those three statements as being at that place ---

8 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

9 **MR. ENGELMANN:** --- just at another place
10 with these individuals, that should have been added in
11 hindsight. But as I said it's information that one gleans
12 and then whether you amend the anticipated evidence summary
13 at the very last minute or not, that's always an issue as
14 well.

15 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

16 Okay.

17 **MR. ENGELMANN:** I just know, sir, from
18 discussions I've had with counsels at other inquiries that
19 the anticipated evidence summaries we have been giving are
20 in general far more detailed than ones that are received
21 elsewhere. We try and give them as early as we can; there
22 have been issues about that as well.

23 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay. Thank you.

24 So let me just say this that, yes, an
25 anticipated evidence, and I don't think anyone is arguing

1 that an anticipated evidence is etched in stone, that
2 people have to look at all of the documents, and there
3 certainly is some leeway with respect to that.

4 With respect to this issue, I suppose in
5 retrospect it might have been advisable to advise the
6 parties, and I don't know that we have to go through
7 amending an anticipated evidence, but to give everyone a
8 heads-up that certain issues are coming up.

9 Having said that, an inquiry is full of
10 twists and turns and witnesses say things on the stand --
11 and again I think I hearken back to the issue of
12 communications, that it may well be that we have to work on
13 our communication and helping each other out in
14 communicating these types of things to avoid any
15 unpleasantness.

16 In any event, the point has been made. We
17 will continue with the witness and when we get to cross-
18 examination we'll see where we go with respect to timing
19 and how we deal with that issue.

20 Can we have the witness back in, please?

21 **(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)**

22 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Mr. Renshaw, could you
23 come forward, please?

24 Mr. Renshaw, it's a normal procedure
25 sometimes when there is a witness here and we need to

1 discuss matters that really don't affect you, to ask you to
2 leave. So, please, I want to make it very clear to you
3 that you have done absolutely nothing wrong; that this is a
4 question of disclosure of documents to all of the parties.

5 All right?

6 So it was best that we asked you to leave so
7 that we could discuss what was given to the lawyers and
8 what wasn't. All right? So, again, your testimony, you're
9 doing fine just answering the questions as you are and you
10 should take nothing away from the fact that we've asked you
11 to leave for this discussion.

12 Now do you have any questions about that?

13 **MR. RENSHAW:** No.

14 **THE COMMISSIONER:** All right.

15 Thank you.

16 Mr. Engelmann.

17 **GERALD RENSHAW, Resumed/Sous le même serment:**

18 **---EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.**

19 **PETER ENGELMANN(CONT'D/SUITE) :**

20 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Mr. Renshaw, I asked you --
21 we were looking at Exhibit 544 and I asked you whether or
22 not, for example, you had seen Claude Shaver at other
23 places with Malcolm MacDonald, Charles MacDonald, or Ken
24 Seguin. We described some other places. I think you
25 mentioned you saw him at Malcolm MacDonald's cottage as

1 well?

2 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

3 MR. ENGELMANN: I can't remember if you said
4 whether you took him over there or not?

5 MR. RENSCHAW: I'm pretty sure I have at
6 least once.

7 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. But I guess I
8 want to ask you before this Inquiry and before I've asked
9 you these questions about some of these individuals and
10 whether you saw them at other places, other than Ken
11 Seguin's home, do you remember if anybody ever asked you if
12 you saw specific people at the parish; if you saw specific
13 people at Harv's Diner; if you saw specific people at
14 Malcolm MacDonald's?

15 MR. RENSCHAW: I'm not sure, but I think
16 there is reference to that in the last statement I give
17 Perry Dunlop as to where I've seen certain people.

18 MR. ENGELMANN: Because there's certainly
19 some reference to seeing people at Ken Seguin's house. I
20 was just wondering if you were asked about all of the
21 places you had seen ---

22 MR. RENSCHAW: I had to have been somewhere.

23 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Well, we'll look
24 at that last statement and see what's there.

25 That one has questions and answers, I

1 believe.

2 MR. RENSCHAW: Okay.

3 MR. ENGELMANN: Because it's an audio taped
4 interview.

5 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

6 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So where were we
7 at the objection? You were going through the list and
8 seeing where people were?

9 MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.

10 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

11 MR. ENGELMANN: So Charles MacDonald, you
12 talked about his long-term relationship with Ken Seguin.

13 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

14 MR. ENGELMANN: And they would have been
15 together presumably at a number of places?

16 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

17 MR. ENGELMANN: What about Bishop LaRocque?
18 You say that you saw him at Ken Seguin's home at least a
19 half-dozen times. Would you have seen him with Mr. Seguin,
20 Malcolm MacDonald, or Charles MacDonald at other places?

21 MR. RENSCHAW: The bishop seemed closer to
22 Malcolm and Charlie than he was to Ken. So if he would
23 have been at Ken's, I'm assuming it would be to get a boat
24 ride?

25 MR. ENGELMANN: And did you ever give him a

1 boat ride over to Malcolm's cottage?

2 MR. RENSCHAW: I couldn't say for sure.

3 MR. ENGELMANN: Did you actually see him
4 over there, or are you just assuming that he ---

5 MR. RENSCHAW: No, I have seen him there.

6 THE COMMISSIONER: Would that mean that you
7 would have been at Malcolm MacDonald's island as well.

8 MR. RENSCHAW: Either on it -- I was on the
9 island or going by the island, or you could see through
10 binoculars from Ken Seguin's property.

11 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So I guess that
12 begs the question, did you ever look through binoculars to
13 see who was there?

14 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

15 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. And why would
16 you have been doing that?

17 MR. RENSCHAW: Somebody is looking for
18 somebody.

19 THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.

20 MR. RENSCHAW: Or wondering if a certain
21 buddy's over there, or ---

22 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

23 MR. ENGELMANN: Was there a phone at
24 Malcolm's cottage?

25 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

1 **MR. ENGELMANN:** How would you communicate
2 between the cottage and the mainland?

3 **MR. RENSCHAW:** A cell phone.

4 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay.

5 Kevin Maloney, you mentioned that he was at
6 Ken Seguin's home at least twice?

7 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

8 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Before I go there, Bishop
9 LaRocque, would you have seen him at some of those other
10 places you talked about, with this group of individuals?

11 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Malcolm's cottage.

12 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. What about the diner
13 or the parish?

14 **MR. RENSCHAW:** No, I don't believe so.

15 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay.

16 **THE COMMISSIONER:** I'm sorry. And how many
17 times would you have seen him at Malcolm MacDonald's?

18 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Less than half a dozen times.

19 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay.

20 **MR. ENGELMANN:** But do you have a specific
21 recollection of seeing him there?

22 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Kevin Maloney?

24 **MR. RENSCHAW:** At Ken's and at Malcolm's.

25 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Anywhere else?

1 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: David Osler? Paragraph 12
3 says:

4 "I have observed David Osler at least
5 once at Ken Seguin's home. I was later
6 informed that David Osler was a
7 Catholic priest."

8 Did you know David Osler?

9 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

10 MR. ENGELMANN: Did you know Kevin Maloney?

11 MR. RENSCHAW: Very little.

12 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So were you actually
13 introduced to David Osler?

14 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

15 MR. ENGELMANN: And did you see him anywhere
16 but at Ken's home?

17 MR. RENSCHAW: Malcolm's cottage.

18 MR. ENGELMANN: What about David Latrelle or
19 Latreille?

20 MR. RENSCHAW: Latreille.

21 MR. ENGELMANN: Who is that?

22 MR. RENSCHAW: It's slipping my mind right
23 now. It wouldn't be someone I knew very well.

24 MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall -- do you have
25 a ---

1 **MR. RENSRAW:** David Latreille, I believe,
2 was a person about my age that hung around with Charlie.

3 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. And do you know
4 whether you would have seen him at other places other than
5 at Ken's?

6 **MR. RENSRAW:** He'd be at Malcolm's. I
7 believe he used to have pictures of him.

8 **THE COMMISSIONER:** I'm sorry?

9 **MR. RENSRAW:** I believe they have pictures
10 of him.

11 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Who did?

12 **MR. RENSRAW:** You do actually in one of your
13 pictures, he's in one of them.

14 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay, the pictures from the
15 disclosure?

16 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

17 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Ron Leroux?

18 **MR. RENSRAW:** All the time.

19 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. So not just at Ken's
20 but at other places?

21 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

22 **MR. ENGELMANN:** That would include ---

23 **MR. RENSRAW:** At both parishes, Malcolm's
24 cottage, Herv's Diner.

25 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay.

1 We've got a fellow by the name of Ronald or
2 Rorey MacDonald. Do you know who that is? Paragraph 16.

3 **MR. RENSCHAW:** He's another priest, I
4 believe.

5 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Was he ever introduced to
6 you or can you recall?

7 **MR. RENSCHAW:** No. Not at this time.

8 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. And you said you saw
9 him at Ken's home at least twice. Do you recall if you
10 would have seen him anywhere else?

11 **MR. RENSCHAW:** They say, if these people were
12 at Ken's place, I would have also seen them at Malcolm's at
13 least; maybe not anywhere else, but if they were at Ken's,
14 they were at Malcolm's.

15 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Why do you say that?

16 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Because they would use Ken's
17 place to get to Malcolm's.

18 **MR. ENGELMANN:** What about Joss Van Diepen?
19 He was a colleague of Ken's. Right?

20 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes. I would have seen him at
21 Herv's Diner, Ken Seguin's and Malcolm's.

22 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Paragraph 20. Who is Al
23 Laplante?

24 **MR. RENSCHAW:** That's a friend of Ken
25 Seguin's.

1 MR. ENGELMANN: Did he associate with Ken
2 and Charlie and Malcolm?

3 MR. RENSCHAW: Mostly Ken.

4 MR. ENGELMANN: Would you have seen him at
5 other places with those three?

6 MR. RENSCHAW: Herv's Diner.

7 MR. ENGELMANN: A fellow named Dale Crowder.
8 Paragraph 21.

9 MR. RENSCHAW: I would have seen him at
10 Ken's, Ron Leroux', Malcolm's, Herv's Diner.

11 MR. ENGELMANN: Was he a friend of Ken's?

12 MR. RENSCHAW: Okay, yeah.

13 MR. ENGELMANN: What do you mean?

14 MR. RENSCHAW: Well, they were -- yeah,
15 friends I guess.

16 MR. ENGELMANN: How old would he have been?

17 MR. RENSCHAW: My age.

18 MR. ENGELMANN: Norm Robertson?

19 MR. RENSCHAW: Also my age; an ex-
20 probationer.

21 MR. ENGELMANN: Would he have been with this
22 group of people at Ken's or elsewhere?

23 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

24 MR. ENGELMANN: Where else besides Ken's?

25 MR. RENSCHAW: I believe that's the only

1 place I've seen him.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

3 You reference a guy named Gino, paragraph
4 26?

5 MR. RENSCHAW: He's a fellow who hung around
6 with Charlie.

7 MR. ENGELMANN: Is he about your age?

8 MR. RENSCHAW: A couple of years older maybe,
9 but not much.

10 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

11 Paragraph 27, there is a fellow who's got a
12 moniker here, C-5. Does he mean anything to you? Do you
13 remember who that was?

14 MR. RENSCHAW: I do know him.

15 MR. ENGELMANN: Would you have seen him at
16 more places than Ken's?

17 MR. RENSCHAW: Just Ken's, I believe.

18 MR. ENGELMANN: And the person in paragraph
19 28?

20 MR. RENSCHAW: I know him as well.

21 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Again, is he around
22 your age or older?

23 MR. RENSCHAW: My age; he's a year older than
24 me.

25 MR. ENGELMANN: Did you know him?

1 MR. RENSCHAW: Very well.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: Was he at Ken's with this
3 group or was he elsewhere as well?

4 MR. RENSCHAW: At Malcolm's, Malcolm's
5 office, Herv's Diner.

6 MR. ENGELMANN: He would be closer to
7 Malcolm or Ken or ---

8 MR. RENSCHAW: Malcolm.

9 MR. ENGELMANN: Paragraph 29; another fellow
10 by the name of MacDonald. Do you remember who that is?

11 MR. RENSCHAW: Not off the top of my head.

12 MR. ENGELMANN: You mentioned a fellow by
13 the name of Pommier?

14 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

15 MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know, sir, if that
16 was the father or the son?

17 MR. RENSCHAW: The son.

18 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Here you say that you
19 saw him at both Ken Seguin's and you mention Malcolm's
20 cottage.

21 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

22 MR. ENGELMANN: Would he have been more at
23 one than the other?

24 MR. RENSCHAW: More -- well, it's
25 contradictory ---

1 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

2 MR. RENSCHAW: --- because of the fact that
3 you have to go to Ken's to get to Malcolm's.

4 MR. ENGELMANN: So he would just be at Ken's
5 briefly to go to Malcolm's?

6 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

7 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

8 And you mention a couple of people in
9 paragraph 32 that you met through Charles MacDonald?

10 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

11 MR. ENGELMANN: And you say that you were
12 introduced to them at the Williamstown parish?

13 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

14 MR. ENGELMANN: Would you have seen them at
15 other places with either Charles or Malcolm or Ken?

16 MR. RENSCHAW: I would have seen them at
17 Malcolm's and Ken's.

18 MR. ENGELMANN: And did you see them on
19 their own or were they always with Charles MacDonald?

20 MR. RENSCHAW: Always with Charlie.

21 MR. ENGELMANN: In paragraph 33, you refer
22 to a suitcase, and I'm just wondering where this comes
23 from. Why are you referring to a suitcase? Is Mr. Dunlop
24 asking you specifically about a suitcase?

25 MR. RENSCHAW: I believe he was, yes.

1 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Do you remember what the
2 significance of this was to him?

3 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Not at the time. I do now
4 because I've learned more since then.

5 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay.

6 I'm not sure how this works, but you say --
7 you talk about it -- you say you observed it in a closet in
8 Ken's bedroom. Then you talk about DS. You say:

9 "He states that he was terrified of a
10 criminal investigation, of losing his
11 career and family name."

12 Who are you talking about there?

13 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Could you repeat that please?

14 **MR. ENGELMANN:** In paragraph 33, it says:

15 "He states that he was terrified of a
16 criminal investigation, of losing his
17 career and family name."

18 Who are you talking about there?

19 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Seguin.

20 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And how did you know that or
21 did you know that?

22 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Through conversations with
23 him.

24 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Ken Seguin would have told
25 you that himself?

1 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: What about the comment:

3 "He advised me that he had to get rid
4 of some videotapes as they would
5 implicate him"?

6 MR. RENSCHAW: That's when I would have
7 learned that they were in that suitcase.

8 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, but did Ken Seguin
9 actually tell you that; that he had to get rid of some
10 videotapes or did someone else tell you that?

11 MR. RENSCHAW: You know, right now I couldn't
12 tell you for sure where it came from.

13 MR. ENGELMANN: You've heard that from
14 several sources perhaps?

15 MR. RENSCHAW: There's two possible options,
16 yes.

17 THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry. What are the
18 two possible options?

19 MR. RENSCHAW: Either Ken or Ron Leroux.

20 MR. ENGELMANN: What about the comment:

21 "He also advised that he had my
22 probation records and that he had to
23 destroy these as well"?

24 MR. RENSCHAW: I knew that before I had to --
25 before I heard about the suitcase.

1 MR. ENGELMANN: And who would have told you
2 that?

3 MR. RENSCHAW: Seguin.

4 MR. ENGELMANN: And did he tell you why he
5 had your probation records?

6 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

7 MR. ENGELMANN: When he said he had them;
8 what did that mean? Had them where?

9 MR. RENSCHAW: In a filing cabinet that I
10 knew of.

11 MR. ENGELMANN: Where was the filing cabinet
12 kept?

13 MR. RENSCHAW: Off his bedroom.

14 MR. ENGELMANN: In his home?

15 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

16 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

17 MR. RENSCHAW: I believe the filing cabinet
18 is labeled in there.

19 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, it is.

20 MR. ENGELMANN: It says:

21 "Approximately two days later, Ken
22 advised me that my probation records
23 were missing. Ken should not have had
24 these probation records in the first
25 place."

1 So did he actually tell you that they'd gone
2 missing?

3 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

4 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Can you tell us a little bit
5 about what we see in paragraph 34.

6 Just have a look at it.

7 **MR. RENSRAW:** I remember this.

8 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right. Do you actually
9 remember this incident?

10 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

11 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Just tell us how it comes
12 about?

13 **MR. RENSRAW:** These fellows are in the
14 living room ---

15 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Who are these guys?

16 **MR. RENSRAW:** Would be Charles, Malcolm, and
17 Ken. And I believe Ron Leroux might have been there.

18 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay.

19 **MR. RENSRAW:** I don't remember exactly.
20 They were talking, generalizing it, as I think, priests
21 from Alfred at the time. But, later on I felt it was meant
22 more towards Charlie than it was Alfred. But at that time,
23 I thought it was Alfred. Generally what it says, the
24 unfairness of going after a priest years down the road for
25 molesting and my opinion of it.

1 **MR. ENGELMANN:** So what's in quotes there is
2 what you said or approximately what you said?

3 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

4 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Your comment after that:
5 "You could have heard the light bulbs."
6 What did you mean?

7 **MR. RENSCHAW:** They just went silent-like.
8 My opinion now is that Charlie, Malcolm didn't want to
9 discuss this anymore with me around.

10 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right. So you expressed
11 an opinion that the authorities should go after these
12 priests?

13 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

14 **MR. ENGELMANN:** So there was dead silence.
15 Was there any further discussion after that between you and
16 them about this issue?

17 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I don't think so.

18 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Did that change your
19 relationship in any way with any of them.

20 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yeah, probably.

21 **MR. ENGELMANN:** How so and with whom?

22 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Charlie and Malcolm.

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** In what sense?

24 **MR. RENSCHAW:** They wouldn't discuss certain
25 things around me anymore.

1 MR. ENGELMANN: What about paragraph 35?

2 THE COMMISSIONER: Before we leave that, I
3 think ---

4 MR. ENGELMANN: Yes?

5 THE COMMISSIONER: In 1992, you were no
6 longer living there; is that fair?

7 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

8 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. So do you
9 recall what you were doing there that day? Like how did it
10 come about that these people and you were in the cottage at
11 the same time?

12 MR. RENSRAW: I don't know why I was there
13 that day.

14 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.
15 Mr. Engelmann?

16 MR. ENGELMANN: Paragraph 35.

17 MR. RENSRAW: That would have been discussed
18 around the same time.

19 MR. ENGELMANN: Same time as the previous
20 paragraph?

21 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

22 MR. ENGELMANN: So some time in late '92?

23 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

24 THE COMMISSIONER: So this is a discussion
25 or this is Ken speaking. Do you know who was there when he

1 was speaking about this?

2 MR. RENSCHAW: Ron Leroux would have been
3 there.

4 THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.

5 MR. RENSCHAW: And Malcolm would have been
6 there.

7 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

8 And so they're discussing or Ken is talking
9 about the discussion -- about Perry Dunlop's involvement
10 with -- I guess the Silmsers file?

11 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

12 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank you.

13 MR. ENGELMANN: We've heard that Mr. Dunlop
14 had some involvement with the CAS in '93.

15 MR. RENSCHAW: I'm not sure of the dates.

16 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Do you actually
17 remember the comments that you've put in quotes or that are
18 put in quotes for you?

19 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

20 MR. ENGELMANN: And who would have made
21 those comments?

22 MR. RENSCHAW: Seguin.

23 MR. ENGELMANN: That he was "Red in the face
24 mad".

25 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

1 MR. ENGELMANN: You know what that means?

2 MR. RENSCHAW: I would have said that about
3 Seguin.

4 MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, you said that about
5 Seguin? What about the other comment, was that you or him?

6 MR. RENSCHAW: That was him.

7 MR. ENGELMANN: Now, in paragraph 36, you
8 talk about giving a statement to Chris McDonell and an
9 Ottawa police officer. And you say it's on or about
10 December '93.

11 Now, we've gone through a statement that you
12 gave in February of '94 to Chris McDonell and another
13 officer. Did you have two meetings with them or was there
14 just one?

15 MR. RENSCHAW: Just one.

16 MR. ENGELMANN: And the Ottawa officer,
17 could that have been the other OPP officer, Mr. Genier?

18 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

19 MR. ENGELMANN: It says here, they -- that
20 they talked to you about a call between Seguin and Silmsler.

21 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

22 MR. ENGELMANN: You remember that from that
23 conversation you had with the OPP?

24 MR. RENSCHAW: No, not really.

25 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. It also says you

1 thought the interview lasted from no more than 15 minutes
2 "Short and sweet."

3 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

4 MR. ENGELMANN: We looked at that yesterday
5 and the notes indicated it was about an hour and a half.

6 MR. RENSCHAW: They weren't in my house for
7 an hour and a half.

8 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Now, on paragraph 37,
9 you talk about some people meeting in Florida.

10 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

11 MR. ENGELMANN: In a city called Fort
12 Lauderdale. And you say "On different occasions."

13 And you list Malcolm MacDonald, Ken Seguin,
14 Ron Leroux, C-8, Ron Wilson, Bishop LaRocque, Charles
15 MacDonald, David Latreille.

16 Do you see that?

17 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

18 MR. ENGELMANN: Let me ask you this, were
19 you actually ever in Florida with these people?

20 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

21 MR. ENGELMANN: So, you didn't actually
22 witness any of these people in Florida?

23 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

24 MR. ENGELMANN: Were you ever invited to go
25 to Florida with these people?

1 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes, I believe I was once.

2 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. Did you -- you didn't
3 go?

4 **MR. RENSRAW:** No.

5 **MR. ENGELMANN:** So, how is it you're able to
6 make, well, this statement? That you're aware that they
7 would meet in Florida?

8 **MR. RENSRAW:** They would make plans for
9 instance, at Harv's Diner as to who was catching what
10 flight or who was driving with Malcolm, or ---

11 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Who would make plans or who
12 would talk about this?

13 **MR. RENSRAW:** A combination of some of these
14 different people that might have been at Harv's or at Ken's
15 was also discussed there.

16 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right.

17 **MR. RENSRAW:** Or Ken would come back and
18 inform me as to who was there.

19 **MR. ENGELMANN:** So how often would a group
20 of people go to Florida?

21 **MR. RENSRAW:** Some of them went twice a
22 year; some of them went once a year.

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And some of them flew and
24 some of them got there by other means?

25 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

1 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And who was it -- who can
2 you actually remember talking about this, other than Ken
3 Seguin?

4 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Ron Leroux, Malcolm, Ron
5 Wilson.

6 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Never heard the bishop or
7 Charles MacDonald talking about going to Florida?

8 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I don't believe so.

9 **MR. ENGELMANN:** So if you heard about them
10 it was other people talking about them going?

11 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

12 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And were you ever told what
13 was going to happen in Florida, or what they were doing in
14 Florida?

15 **MR. RENSCHAW:** No, just an educated guess. I
16 don't know how it came up, but there was a conversation at
17 one time about Malcolm picking up a young male black
18 prostitute down there and taking him back to their -- I
19 don't call it a motel because I believe it was an apartment
20 in a motel. So it wasn't just a room.

21 **MR. ENGELMANN:** So you recall on one
22 occasion Malcolm talking about this or someone else?

23 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I think it was Ken.

24 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Were other trips talked
25 about at all? Other trips to other places?

1 **MR. RENSRAW:** Ken's told me about going to
2 Atlantic City, Montreal. I've heard from -- Montreal I've
3 heard from Malcolm, Ken, Ron Leroux, and your C-8.

4 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Did they talk to you about
5 why they'd go?

6 **MR. RENSRAW:** Peep shows, male prostitutes.

7 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Did you ever go with them?

8 **MR. RENSRAW:** No.

9 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And do you know where they
10 were going in Fort Lauderdale?

11 **MR. RENSRAW:** Not at the time, no. I knew
12 they had a motel that they got every time they went.

13 **MR. ENGELMANN:** So they always stayed at the
14 same place?

15 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

16 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Let's look at another
17 document, Mr. Renshaw.

18 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Perhaps, Mr. Engelmann,
19 if you could take a short break, maybe ten minutes?

20 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Sure.

21 **THE COMMISSIONER:** And then we'll come back
22 and work till the lunch hour.

23 **MR. RENSRAW:** Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

24 **THE REGISTRAR:** Order, all rise. A l'ordre,
25 veuillez vous lever.

1 ---Upon recessing at 11:45 a.m. /

2 L'audience est suspendue à 11h45

3 ---Upon resuming at 12:07 p.m. /

4 L'audience est reprise à 12h07

5 **THE REGISTRAR:** The hearing is now resumed,
6 please be seated. Veuillez vous assoire.

7 **Gerald Renshaw, Resumed/Sous le même serment**

8 --- **EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.**

9 **ENGELMANN (Continued/Suite):**

10 **THE COMMISSIONER:** All right.

11 So, Mr. Engelmann, if you could canvass the
12 parties at the break, at the lunch break, to find out how
13 we're running for time so we can figure out what we're
14 going to do today and Monday.

15 **MR. ENGELMANN:** I apologize for being a few
16 minutes late. There were a couple of matters that came up
17 that were unexpected.

18 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Always, always unexpected
19 matters.

20 **MR. ENGELMANN:** I'll just try to get my
21 focus.

22 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay, so ---

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Sir, we had finished dealing
24 with a statement -- if I could just have a minute?

25 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

1 (SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)

2 MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, we had finished with
3 your statement that Mr. Dunlop wrote up, Exhibit 544.

4 I'd like to then show the witness a
5 document, it's document 704055, and it's a document, Mr.
6 Commissioner, it says:

7 "Statement Gerald Wesley Renshaw 06
8 December 1965"

9 It's in typed form.

10 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Exhibit 548.

11 ---EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-548:

12 (704055) Statement from G. W. Renshaw to
13 Dt/Const. P. Dunlop - dated December 5th,
14 1996

15 MR. ENGELMANN: Now, Mr. Renshaw, you
16 already indicated to us that you saw Mr. Dunlop on a number
17 of occasions, at least 15 times over the course of 3
18 months, from I believe late November, mid-November 1996, to
19 the end of February of 1997 or thereabouts.

20 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

21 MR. ENGELMANN: Did I have that right?

22 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

23 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And this appears
24 to be a second statement that you're giving to Mr. Dunlop.

25 Do you have -- and just if I could, if you

1 could turn to the last page of the document. Is that your
2 signature on page 5?

3 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

4 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Do you know if that's Mr.
5 Dunlop's signature below it?

6 **MR. RENSCHAW:** It is.

7 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And it appears you've
8 initialled the pages and so has he?

9 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

10 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And, sir, I've reviewed this
11 document with your previous statement. Much of the first
12 three-and-a-half pages appear to be the same.

13 You want to just have a really quick look at
14 it. If you have Exhibit 544 next to you as well that might
15 be helpful.

16 There's some minor changes, but in the main
17 it seems to be very similar.

18 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I remember this.

19 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. So do you remember if
20 this statement was typed while you were with Mr. Dunlop or
21 whether it had been typed, or at least part of it had been
22 typed before you met with him, or do you remember the
23 circumstances?

24 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I believe it was handwritten
25 and then typed.

1 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. And I don't know if I
2 asked you this before but that first statement, Exhibit
3 544, do you remember where that meeting was?

4 **MR. RENSRAW:** Perry's home, I believe.

5 **MR. ENGELMANN:** What about the second one
6 here?

7 **MR. RENSRAW:** Also.

8 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Perry's home. And did he
9 live in or around Cornwall?

10 **MR. RENSRAW:** Amelia Street, Cornwall.

11 **MR. ENGELMANN:** So do you recall if anybody
12 else was there on December 5th 1996, when you would have
13 signed this with Perry Dunlop?

14 **MR. RENSRAW:** Not positively, no.

15 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay.

16 **THE COMMISSIONER:** So excuse me, can I just
17 -- you went over to Mr. Dunlop's home. Was this already
18 ready or was he ---

19 **MR. RENSRAW:** No, I believe when I went
20 there he hand wrote it and then had it typed later.

21 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay. I'm sorry.

22 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Did he have a typewriter or
23 a computer, do you know?

24 **MR. RENSRAW:** I believe he did.

25 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay.

1 **MR. RENSCHAW:** And then I would have went
2 back, obviously, and read it and initialled it.

3 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Before you signed it you
4 would have read it?

5 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

6 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Now on page 4 of this
7 exhibit, Exhibit 548, the fourth paragraph says:

8 "I recognize and identify the following
9 people from pictures that Perry Dunlop
10 showed me."

11 Do you remember earlier I said that I
12 thought there was a reference in his statement to being
13 shown pictures?

14 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

15 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And you've told us that at
16 one of your meetings with Perry Dunlop there was a binder?

17 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

18 **MR. ENGELMANN:** You may have even told us
19 the colour of the binder, I can't remember now.

20 **MR. RENSCHAW:** It was blue.

21 **MR. ENGELMANN:** A blue binder and in the
22 blue binder there were a number of photographs?

23 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

24 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And were these -- do you
25 remember if they were black and white pictures or whether

1 they were coloured pictures?

2 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Both.

3 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Both.

4 Okay. And you had nothing to do with the
5 taking of those pictures?

6 **MR. RENSCHAW:** No.

7 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right. And do you know
8 where the list of names and the numbers come from --- that
9 we see in Exhibit 548?

10 **MR. RENSCHAW:** The numbers would have come
11 from the pictures, and Perry has added the name of whoever
12 is in that picture with the number.

13 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right. So for example I
14 see -- I just want to ask you -- I see a number 8 that says
15 "Bishop Eugene LaRocque" and I see a number 12 that says
16 "Bishop Eugene LaRocque"?

17 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Two separate pictures of the
18 same person.

19 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay.

20 **THE COMMISSIONER** So did he show you a
21 picture and ask you to identify this person?

22 **MR. RENSCHAW:** He gave me a binder to go
23 through ---

24 **THE COMMISSIONER** Right.

25 **MR. RENSCHAW:** --- "identify who you know

1 from that".

2 THE COMMISSIONER Right, and that's what you
3 did?

4 MR. RENSRAW: Like for instance, Eugene
5 LaRocque would have been a number 8 beside one of his
6 pictures. There's obviously more than one picture of him.

7 THE COMMISSIONER M'hm.

8 MR. RENSRAW: And then number 12 we would
9 have said, well, it's the same person.

10 THE COMMISSIONER Okay.

11 MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, with respect to
12 Bishop LaRocque, were you introduced to him either at Ken's
13 or at Malcolm's?

14 MR. RENSRAW: Ken's.

15 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And when you were
16 introduced, how was he introduced to you?

17 MR. RENSRAW: As a bishop.

18 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And did you refer to
19 him by his last name or his first name, or do you remember?

20 MR. RENSRAW: Eugene.

21 MR. ENGELMANN: And what about others who
22 were there? How did they refer to him?

23 MR. RENSRAW: I believe it's only Malcolm
24 that would call him "the bishop". Everyone else will be
25 Eugene LaRocque.

1 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And did you in fact
2 identify the Bishop Eugene LaRocque from some pictures that
3 Perry Dunlop would have shown you?

4 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

5 MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, if you could -- if the
6 witness could be shown document 721827?

7 THE COMMISSIONER I'm sorry, are you leaving
8 this document now?

9 MR. ENGELMANN: For a minute.

10 THE COMMISSIONER Okay, you are coming back
11 to it?

12 MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. 721827.

13 And we have some of the pictures from the
14 disclosure that we've obtained. I just call it a series of
15 copies of photographs.

16 THE COMMISSIONER Right.

17 MR. ENGELMANN: That could be the next
18 exhibit.

19 THE COMMISSIONER I'm sorry, Exhibit 549.
20 I'm sorry.

21 MR. ENGELMANN: Five-four-nine, sir?

22 THE COMMISSIONER Yes, sir.

23 ---EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-549:

24 (721827) Series of pictures of individuals
25 from Dt/Const P. Dunlop.

1 MR. ENGELMANN: So do you recall, Mr.
2 Renshaw, if you just have a quick look through. Were the
3 pictures approximately this size that you would have seen?

4 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes, these look like
5 photocopies of the proper size.

6 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And were the
7 pictures this quality or were they ---

8 MR. RENSCHAW: No, they were actual pictures.

9 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And is there a photo
10 of the bishop in this package, or someone you believe to be
11 Bishop LaRocque?

12 MR. RENSCHAW: Number 8.

13 MR. RENSCHAW: It's the Bates page 7084111?

14 MR. RENSCHAW: And also on the back of that
15 page.

16 MR. ENGELMANN: Bates page 7084112. So
17 that's one of the two pictures you've identified?

18 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

19 MR. ENGELMANN: As the bishop?

20 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

21 MR. ENGELMANN: If we could look at 7084111,
22 previous page. Is that the other one?

23 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

24 MR. ENGELMANN: And he wasn't dressed like
25 that when you saw him?

1 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: Is there a photo in this
3 package, Exhibit 549, of Chief Shaver?

4 MR. RENSCHAW: Number 2.

5 MR. ENGELMANN: I's Bates page 7084103?
6 Again, when you saw him would he have been dressed like
7 this?

8 MR. RENSCHAW: No, casually.

9 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And did you know
10 these men before meeting them at Ken or Malcolm's?

11 MR. RENSCHAW: I've had the odd run-in with
12 Shaver through the police services. Nothing -- he's never
13 charged me or anything.

14 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Had you seen their
15 photos elsewhere?

16 MR. RENSCHAW: You mean before Dunlop's?

17 MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. Had you ever seen
18 pictures of them in the newspaper or elsewhere?

19 MR. RENSCHAW: Oh, I'm sure I have.

20 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Have you ever been
21 introduced to them -- other than at Ken's or at Malcolm's?

22 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

23 MR. ENGELMANN: Now on page 5 of Exhibit 548
24 there appears to be additional information that was'nt in
25 the previous statement, which is Exhibit 544, other than of

1 course references to people in pictures?

2 MR. RENSCHAW: Page 5?

3 MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. It's Bates page
4 7014264.

5 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

6 MR. ENGELMANN: Could you read the first
7 paragraph to yourself, sir? It starts, "I remember one
8 time".

9 MR. RENSCHAW: I remember that.

10 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So you remember
11 hearing that statement?

12 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

13 MR. ENGELMANN: Malcolm saying:
14 "I've been talking to Shaver about
15 Dunlop and you're worrying for
16 nothing".

17 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

18 MR. ENGELMANN: That was said in your
19 presence?

20 MR. RENSCHAW: At Seguin's home.

21 MR. ENGELMANN: But you recall that Ken was
22 still worried, despite that?

23 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

24 MR. ENGELMANN: And this is something you
25 would have told Perry Dunlop?

1 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: What about the next
3 paragraph? I just want to ask you a couple of questions if
4 I can. All right?

5 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

6 MR. ENGELMANN: Did -- is this accurate?

7 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

8 MR. ENGELMANN: So did Ken Seguin actually
9 use the words that Malcolm was obstructing justice?

10 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

11 MR. ENGELMANN: Or words to that effect?

12 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

13 MR. ENGELMANN: Did you know what that
14 meant?

15 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

16 MR. ENGELMANN: What did it mean to you?

17 MR. RENSCHAW: That Malcolm was using his
18 power to conceal something.

19 MR. ENGELMANN: Did you know what?

20 MR. RENSCHAW: Not in any detail.

21 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

22 THE COMMISSIONER So maybe, can we help set
23 this up a little better? Where were you when this
24 conversation was taking place?

25 MR. RENSCHAW: In Seguin's living-room.

1 **THE COMMISSIONER** In the living-room. Okay.

2 And who was there?

3 **MR. RENSRAW:** Malcolm, Ken, possibly Ron
4 Leroux, and myself.

5 **THE COMMISSIONER:** All right. And did this
6 come out of the blue or was there any discussion leading up
7 to this?

8 **MR. RENSRAW:** There would have been
9 something leading up to it.

10 **THE COMMISSIONER** M'hm. Do you recall what
11 it was or anything?

12 **MR. RENSRAW:** Well, it probably had to do
13 with Silmser calling Seguin and ---

14 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Do you know, in relation to
15 Mr. Seguin's death, when this would have taken place? How
16 soon before?

17 **MR. RENSRAW:** I'd only be guessing.

18 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Now in the third paragraph,
19 you talk about why you quit living at Ken's, but you don't
20 talk about sexual abuse. You sort of skirt about the
21 possibility of it, but you don't talk about it.

22 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Are you not there yet with
24 Mr. Dunlop? Because you told us ---

25 **MR. RENSRAW:** Like I said previously, I

1 planned on telling Dunlop everything just before I left for
2 B.C.

3 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Everything about the sexual
4 abuse?

5 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

6 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Your own.

7 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Pardon?

8 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Your own sexual abuse.

9 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

10 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And this is additional
11 information. You seem to -- you tell Mr. Dunlop that --
12 in this next paragraph you saw a lot of people stop by
13 Ken's home. And would this have been during the time you
14 were living there, or at other times as well?

15 **MR. RENSCHAW:** At other times as well.

16 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay.

17 It says:

18 "I look back now, I knew things were
19 coming to a boil. I thought Ken would
20 do something drastic like leave."

21 So are we talking about -- again just to
22 situate this, the time shortly before he killed himself?

23 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

24 **MR. ENGELMANN:** You said:

25 "I knew why he killed himself before I

1 even talked to Ron Leroux."

2 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

3 MR. ENGELMANN: What are you referring to
4 there? Or when?

5 MR. RENSCHAW: My knowledge of his
6 inappropriate behaviour with probationers.

7 MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.

8 MR. RENSCHAW: To me was what I meant when I
9 say it was coming to a boil.

10 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

11 MR. RENSCHAW: They were investigating
12 Seguin, investigating Malcolm; obviously that made him
13 pretty nervous.

14 MR. ENGELMANN: When you say:

15 "I knew why he killed himself even
16 before -- before I even talked to Ron
17 Leroux."

18 It's because of that issue?

19 MR. RENSCHAW: I knew there was more than
20 just me that was abused by him.

21 MR. ENGELMANN: You knew or you suspected?

22 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes, I suspected.

23 MR. ENGELMANN: You now know of others, but
24 I'm just -- at that time?

25 MR. RENSCHAW: At that time I only knew for

1 sure of myself.

2 **MR. ENGELMANN:** You make a reference in this
3 last page:

4 "I would have to say that Ken Seguin
5 approached several of his probationers
6 sexually. He would have had thousands
7 of contacts over the years. I'm
8 surprised that probation never
9 investigated this entire mess."

10 Now, you're talking from late '96 going
11 back, but why did you say that? Or did you say that?

12 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

13 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And why did you say that?

14 **MR. RENSCHAW:** That would be -- if you put it
15 this way, it's like if I was chumming with you on the
16 weekend, going boating or something, people hear what --
17 wonder what the hell's going on.

18 **MR. ENGELMANN:** M'hm.

19 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Well it's the same thing with
20 probation and parole. Why would he be chumming with
21 probationers on his off time, lending them his car, lending
22 money?

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Well would his colleagues
24 have known though, sir?

25 **MR. RENSCHAW:** They would have seen me leave

1 his office with his car. Joss Van Diepen, most of all,
2 because him and Seguin kind of chummed around a bit.

3 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay.

4 This comment that you say in the last
5 paragraph:

6 "He never got into detail because he
7 knew how I felt about people who
8 touched kids or pedophiles."

9 What are you referring to there by that comment?

10 **MR. RENSRAW:** Because I didn't know his
11 entire history.

12 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay.

13 **MR. RENSRAW:** Which obviously he did; I
14 didn't.

15 **MR. ENGELMANN:** But what about this:

16 " --- he knew how I felt."

17 What are you referring to there?

18 **MR. RENSRAW:** He knew how I felt about
19 people touching kids because even at that time I had my own
20 son.

21 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right.

22 Let's take a look at another document then,
23 sir.

24 Document number 705421.

25 **THE COMMISSIONER:** So that's Exhibit 550.

1 It's a document entitled "Project Truth, July 2000." It's
2 a statement by Gerald Renshaw.

3 ---EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-550:

4 (705421) Project Truth website copy of
5 G. W. Statement of December 5th, 1996

6 MR. ENGELMANN: Mr.Renshaw, have you seen
7 this before?

8 MR. RENSRAW: I'm sure I have.

9 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

10 Do you have the previous exhibit handy?

11 MR. RENSRAW: The second statement?

12 MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.

13 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

14 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. I just want to
15 do a very quick comparison, but it's my understanding that
16 these documents are the same with the exception that your
17 name is taken off the first page?

18 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

19 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Sir ---

20 MR. RENSRAW: It appears that way.

21 MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry?

22 MR. RENSRAW: It appears that way.

23 MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.

24 Sir, were you aware of a website that was
25 operated by a man named Dick Nadeau?

1 MR. RENSCHAW: I discovered that when I was
2 in British Columbia.

3 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

4 Had you ever met Mr. Nadeau?

5 MR. RENSCHAW: Not at that time.

6 MR. ENGELMANN: And did you know how your
7 statement from December -- your second statement got on his
8 website?

9 MR. RENSCHAW: I still don't.

10 MR. ENGELMANN: Did you give anybody
11 permission to put your statement on his website?

12 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

13 MR. ENGELMANN: And do you recall when you
14 would have become aware that this had been done.

15 MR. RENSCHAW: Somebody had called me in
16 British Columbia from Cornwall.

17 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

18 Did you try and have something done about
19 that, or do you recall?

20 MR. RENSCHAW: I did have a short
21 conversation with Nadeau.

22 MR. ENGELMANN: This is after you found out
23 it was on his website?

24 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

25 MR. ENGELMANN: And did you ask that

1 something be done about the statement?

2 MR. RENSRAW: Yes, and he refused.

3 MR. ENGELMANN: What did you ask him to do?

4 MR. RENSRAW: To take it off.

5 MR. ENGELMANN: And to your knowledge you
6 never gave anyone permission to give it to him?

7 MR. RENSRAW: Not to my knowledge; no.

8 MR. ENGELMANN: That would include Perry
9 Dunlop?

10 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

11 MR. ENGELMANN: Or anybody who you might
12 have met Perry Dunlop with, like his wife, Mr. Bourgeois,
13 others?

14 MR. RENSRAW: Correct.

15 THE COMMISSIONER: So just to be clear,
16 Exhibit 550 is what? Is something taken off the internet?

17 MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. It's again part of the
18 disclosure, because we understand it's what was found on
19 the website of Mr. Nadeau.

20 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. As opposed to an
21 OPP Project Truth document?

22 MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.

23 MR. RENSRAW: The website, I believe, was
24 also called Project Truth.

25 THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.

1 **MR. ENGELMANN:** If the witness could be
2 shown document number 716191?

3 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Thank you.

4 Exhibit number 551 is an audiotaped
5 interview report conversation between Perry Dunlop and
6 Gerald Renshaw on January 26th, 1997.

7 **---EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-551:**

8 (716191) Audio Taped Interview Report of
9 Gerald Renshaw with Dt/Const P. Dunlop

10 **MR. ENGELMANN:** It's exhibit 551?

11 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes.

12 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Thank you.

13 Sir, this is the last -- well, there's an
14 affidavit as well, but this is the last statement or
15 interview report that we've found that you might have given
16 to Mr. Dunlop?

17 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

18 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Do you have some
19 recollection of meeting him again in late January '97?

20 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes, sir. I remember where it
21 was.

22 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right. Do you know why
23 you were meeting at the McConnell Medical Centre?

24 **MR. RENSRAW:** For privacy. It was after
25 hours. It was in the evening.

1 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And who made the
2 arrangement?

3 MR. RENSCHAW: Dunlop.

4 MR. ENGELMANN: Who was at the meeting?

5 MR. RENSCHAW: Just me and him.

6 MR. ENGELMANN: And he had a tape recorder?

7 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

8 MR. ENGELMANN: And he'd already taken a
9 couple of statements from you. We've looked at those?

10 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

11 MR. ENGELMANN: Did he tell you why he
12 wanted to have a third one?

13 MR. RENSCHAW: He believed there was more to
14 it then what I had previously told him.

15 MR. ENGELMANN: He starts out saying -- and
16 I'm looking at that first full paragraph:

17 "I just want to go back, a little bit
18 of history when you first met Ken,
19 briefly the charges. Kind of go from
20 there and follow through that part."

21 So he appears to want some more background?

22 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

23 MR. ENGELMANN: And you talk again about the
24 couple times you were arrested.

25 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

1 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Or I guess really you only
2 talk about the first time. No, no, sorry, I'm looking at
3 the bottom paragraph.

4 Now, I just want to ask you this. At the
5 bottom paragraph of that first page you say:

6 "Possession of stolen property. Went
7 to court. Got probation. Ended up
8 with Ken Seguin as probation officer.
9 Did my time with Ken Seguin as a
10 probation officer. Nothing happened at
11 that time."

12 Then you say:

13 "Later on we got caught for break and
14 enter with intent. Ended up with 89
15 days with probation again. At that
16 time, even on probation, he would take
17 me to bars, take me out to eat. Cara
18 (phonetic) could probably confirm
19 that."

20 What did you mean, "nothing happened at that
21 time"?

22 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I'm not sure.

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Had you talked to him about
24 sexual abuse at this point in time?

25 **MR. RENSCHAW:** This is when I would have.

1 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right. So this would be
2 the first time that you're talking about it?

3 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

4 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And are you saying that it
5 didn't happen the first time you were on probation, only
6 the second time, or do you know?

7 **MR. RENSCHAW:** No, it did happen the first
8 time, because he was still on Alguire at the time.

9 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. And that's what
10 you've said today and that's what you remembered today, but
11 back then were you saying something differently?

12 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I was wrong.

13 **MR. ENGELMANN:** I'm sorry?

14 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I was wrong here.

15 **MR. ENGELMANN:** If that's what you were
16 referring to?

17 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

18 **MR. ENGELMANN:** If you were referring to
19 "nothing happened this time" meaning sexual assault, you
20 were wrong this time. Is that what you're saying?

21 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

22 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Now, he doesn't appear to be
23 saying -- or asking you about who you observed at Ken
24 Seguin's house, but a little bit more about your
25 relationship with Ken Seguin?

1 **MR. RENSRAW:** Where are you looking?

2 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Well, just generally in the
3 statement.

4 Do you want -- I don't know if you've had a
5 chance to look at this in any detail, sir?

6 **MR. RENSRAW:** Not recently.

7 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay.

8 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Well, it's 12:40. Maybe
9 it's a good time for lunch.

10 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay.

11 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Would that be fair?
12 Come back at 2:00, sir.

13 **MR. RENSRAW:** Thank you.

14 **THE REGISTRAR:** Order. All rise. À
15 l'ordre. Veuillez vous lever.

16 --- Upon recessing at 12:39 p.m./

17 L'audience est suspendue à 12h39

18 --- Upon resuming at 2:04 p.m. /

19 L'audience est reprise à 14h04

20 **THE REGISTRAR:** Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
21 veuillez vous lever.

22 The hearing is now resumed. Please be
23 seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.

24 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Thank you.

25 Yes, Mr. Engelmann?

1 --- SUBMISSIONS BY/REPRÉSENTATIONS PAR MR. PETER ENGELMANN:

2 MR. ENGELMANN: You might note, sir, the
3 witness isn't present.

4 THE COMMISSIONER: Right.

5 MR. ENGELMANN: He is just outside of the
6 courtroom for a minute ---

7 THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.

8 MR. ENGELMANN: --- or of the hearing room.
9 The witness approached me about the name of
10 an individual in at least one of the documents and he's
11 concerned about publication of that name.

12 THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.

13 MR. ENGELMANN: I advised his counsel of
14 this issue.

15 THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.

16 MR. ENGELMANN: This just arose at break, so
17 I asked Mr. Lee if he could speak to it briefly. I am not
18 sure if he can do that.

19 Can you do that on the record? Yes.

20 And if, in fact, if you agree that this
21 should be done, perhaps we could just go in camera later in
22 the day to deal with it in that fashion.

23 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

24 MR. ENGELMANN: I'll just turn it over to
25 Mr. Lee.

1 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, sir.

2 --- SUBMISSIONS BY/REPRÉSENTATINS PAR MR. DALLAS LEE:

3 MR. LEE: As Mr. Engelmann has said, my
4 client advised him over the lunch hour, and Mr. Engelmann
5 advised me.

6 I have identified two documents that have
7 already been entered as exhibits in which the name appears.
8 I have had very brief conversations with the local media
9 present and advised them of what is going on and neither of
10 them intends to object. And I've very informally announced
11 to my friends before you came in the room of what was going
12 on and none of them indicated they would object, but I'll
13 leave that to them.

14 The issue that Mr. Renshaw has identified
15 with Commission counsel is that a family member of his, who
16 is not in any way related to any of this, is not one of his
17 brothers, as an example, is not anybody that was a witness
18 to anything, appears in the statements. He is at least a
19 little bit concerned that without a publication ban on that
20 person's name that he could be identified as somehow being
21 involved in this entire thing when that's not the case.

22 I can point you specifically in the
23 documents to where these names are, although I'm not going
24 to say it aloud.

25 THE COMMISSIONER: No.

1 **MR. LEE:** The first is at Exhibit 544. This
2 is the first interview of Mr. Renshaw by Mr. Dunlop; so one
3 that Mr. Dunlop wrote out in paragraph form.

4 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

5 **MR. LEE:** Specifically, I'm looking at the
6 seventh page of that; paragraph 18.

7 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Right.

8 **MR. LEE:** And if you look at the last two
9 words of that paragraph, that's the name we are concerned
10 about.

11 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes.

12 **MR. LEE:** That name appears also a number of
13 times on two different pages in Exhibit 551, being the
14 typewritten audio transcripts. Five-five-one (551) is the
15 transcript Mr. Engelmann was with the witness before the
16 break. It's the January, 1997 interview of Mr. Renshaw by
17 Perry Dunlop.

18 On pages 15 and 19 of that document, the
19 name appears as well. For the record, I can give you the
20 Bates numbers as ---

21 **THE COMMISSIONER:** No, it won't be necessary
22 for me. What I'm going to do is, depending on the outcome
23 of -- if I accede to your request, we will go in camera at
24 the end of the day.

25 **MR. LEE:** Yes.

1 **THE COMMISSIONER:** And what we will do is
2 we'll just name that name in camera.

3 **MR. LEE:** Sure.

4 **THE COMMISSIONER:** So what we are going to
5 -- so let me hear -- so basically you're telling me this is
6 a family man or not involved in this at all?

7 **MR. LEE:** Yes, not involved in any way in
8 this; has no information; has never been interviewed by any
9 police force or Perry Dunlop or anybody else in relation to
10 this. He has no information; isn't going to be called as a
11 witness here; is really not involved in any way, and I
12 think quite fairly doesn't appreciate the full scope of
13 what Mr. Renshaw is here to talk about. It is not
14 something that he understands. He has not been identified
15 in the media or elsewhere as somebody having anything to do
16 with this. And Mr. Renshaw's concerns is that this person
17 would not at all be comfortable being named in relation to
18 these matters, and Mr. Renshaw has, therefore, asked on
19 that person's behalf for me to make this pitch to you.

20 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Right.

21 **MR. LEE:** As I said, I've notified the
22 media. They have no problem -- the local media that's here
23 has no problem with this. It's not somebody that's
24 unheralded their ability to tell the story, and it's not
25 somebody that's going to arise in the course of Mr.

1 Renshaw's testimony.

2 **THE COMMISSIONER:** All right. Thank you.

3 **MR. LEE:** Thank you.

4 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Anyone objecting to this
5 request? No.

6 --- RULING BY/DÉCISION PAR THE COMMISSIONER

7 I am satisfied that the person named in
8 paragraph 18, the last two words in paragraph 18, is what I
9 could call an innocent bystander in all of this and should
10 be afforded a ban on publication.

11 So for purposes of the media, and they're
12 about the only ones who are going to have access to this
13 until we get to the end of the day when we can go in
14 camera, the ban on publication will be for the name found
15 in Exhibit 544, paragraph 18, last two words. And we will
16 confirm that in camera tonight.

17 **MR. ENGELMANN:** I believe Mr. Lee maybe I
18 misheard you, but also Exhibit 551.

19 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Oh, right. It will apply
20 to 551 and it will also apply for any other exhibits.

21 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Yes.

22 **THE COMMISSIONER:** It's just a question of
23 putting that name with those names for which a ban of
24 publication applies.

25 All right. Can we call in the witness now?

1 (SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)

2 THE COMMISSIONER: So while there is lull in
3 the action, what I propose today depending on the -- well,
4 no, I guess it will depend on how much time will be set
5 aside to finish your in-chief and the cross-examination of
6 others.

7 MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I will try and have it
8 finished by three o'clock.

9 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Do we have a
10 sense yet of how long the cross-examination will take?

11 MR. ENGELMANN: I have canvassed some of the
12 counsel and some were more sure than others about the time.

13 THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.

14 MR. ENGELMANN: From the partial list I have
15 of those who were available when I canvassed, I have four
16 hours plus, and I didn't canvass everybody.

17 I just canvassed those who were available,
18 so I'm thinking it may be more like five to seven hours.

19 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So if we work
20 until six or six-thirty, and then we'll complete on Monday
21 then.

22 Okay. Mr. Renshaw, how was lunch?

23 MR. RENSHAW: Good.

24 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

25 A couple of things. First of all, there has

1 been a request made that a name of one of your family
2 members, which we will not be mentioned now, there be a ban
3 on the publication of that name, and I have granted that.
4 We will take care of that later on this afternoon, but it
5 has been granted.

6 **MR. RENSHAW:** Thank you.

7 **THE COMMISSIONER:** So you can rest assured
8 of that.

9 Second of all, what I am suggesting is it
10 seems that we won't be completing your testimony today.
11 What I would like to do is work until around 6:30 tonight
12 and then have you come back Monday afternoon to complete
13 your testimony. All right?

14 **MR. RENSHAW:** Not like I have a choice.

15 **THE COMMISSIONER:** And it's not like I have
16 a choice either, sir. So are you set to work later
17 tonight?

18 **MR. RENSHAW:** Yeah.

19 **THE COMMISSIONER:** All right. Thank you.

20 **GERALD RENSHAW, Resumed/Sous le même serment**

21 **--- EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.**

22 **ENGELMANN (Continued/Suite):**

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Mr. Renshaw, I was looking
24 at Exhibit 551, and it is the audio-taped interview report.

25 I have already asked you a few questions

1 about it. I want to ask you just a few more, if I may.
2 This is the -- you've told us about a meeting with Perry
3 Dunlop at the McConnell Medical Centre I believe?

4 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

5 MR. ENGELMANN: It was just the two of you?
6 He had a tape recorder. He was asking you some questions?

7 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

8 MR. ENGELMANN: Did he give you a copy of
9 this afterwards? Do you remember?

10 MR. RENSCHAW: I don't remember.

11 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. But you have
12 seen it at least in passing recently?

13 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

14 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So I don't know
15 if you had a look at it or probably you enjoyed a lunch, so
16 you didn't look at it. But I want to ask you just a few
17 questions about it and I'll turn you to the page that I
18 want you to look at. Is that all right?

19 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

20 MR. ENGELMANN: I'd like you to turn to the
21 tenth page, if you could.

22 So near the top of that page, and it's Bates
23 page 7060032, the first time the word "Dunlop" is
24 mentioned, it says:

25 "okay, and we talked a little about

1 this again. And we will talk about it
2 again. Right now, how do you feel
3 about children?"

4 And your answer is:

5 "I said that was the original reason I
6 went to see you."

7 Do you see that?

8 **MR. RENSCHAW:** M'hm.

9 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And I am just wondering now,
10 thinking back. You told us, he knocked on your door. Did
11 he knock on your door or did you go and see him?

12 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I'm sure he came to see me.

13 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right. Then on page 12,
14 which is Bates page 7060034, you've got a reference to --
15 it's about halfway down the page:

16 "Mr. Dunlop, what do you see as justice
17 for you at the end of the day
18 yourself?"

19 -- yourself, speaking for yourself.

20 You say then:

21 "To find Ken Seguin guilty, all of his
22 friends that [inaudible] were just as
23 much to blame as he was."

24 And then it says:

25 "Do you believe there was a large group

1 of high profile pedophiles operating
2 here?"

3 And you say:

4 "Very much so."

5 Okay? This is January of 1997. Ken Seguin
6 is dead; has been for about three years.

7 Did you think that there was still some way
8 that the justice system or some other system could find him
9 guilty of something at this stage or do you know what you
10 were talking about there?

11 **MR. RENSCHAW:** No, not without reading it
12 through and thinking about it, no.

13 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. And did you believe
14 there was a high profile pedophile group operating?

15 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

16 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And who did you believe to
17 be part of that group?

18 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Malcolm.

19 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Malcolm MacDonald?

20 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes. The bishop. Charlie.
21 Ron Wilson. Pretty much the ones that hung around at
22 Malcolm's cottage.

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right. You never saw
24 any of those individuals performing sexual acts against
25 young people?

1 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: The only person that you had
3 personal knowledge of with respect to abuse was Ken Seguin.
4 Is that correct?

5 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

6 MR. ENGELMANN: The rest of people were just
7 -- things you heard or ---

8 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

9 MR. ENGELMANN: I'm looking at the bottom of
10 that same page right after that where you say, "Very much
11 so". It says:

12 "if something were to happen to you and
13 this tape is played, is there something
14 you want to say to your family and
15 friends?"

16 And do you know what he is talking about?

17 MR. RENSCHAW: No, not exactly.

18 MR. RENSCHAW: All right. On page 14, after
19 you have talked about some of your life being robbed or
20 words to that effect, you were asked:

21 "Do you feel Ken used his position of
22 authority to gain that?"

23 And you said:

24 "Obviously he wouldn't have been able
25 to."

1 What do you mean by, "his position of
2 authority"?

3 **MR. RENSRAW:** He knew as a probation
4 officer. Well, especially if you're on probation, he can
5 make your life a living hell or you could get away with a
6 lot that you wouldn't with another one.

7 **MR. RENSRAW:** I'm sorry?

8 **MR. RENSRAW:** Or you could get away with a
9 lot sometimes that you wouldn't get away with -- I'll call
10 a normal probation officer.

11 **MR. ENGELMANN:** So the position of authority
12 as a probation officer with people on probation?

13 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

14 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Just be a moment.

15 **MR. RENSRAW:** M'hm.

16 **MR. ENGELMANN:** You are asked at the top of
17 page 16, he says:

18 "It might just do your soul good to see
19 justice."

20 And he says:

21 "i.e. Father Charlie case, Marcel
22 Lalonde, potentially others."

23 And you say:

24 "I believe they are all part of the
25 same fucking group."

1 Do you see that?

2 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

3 **MR. ENGELMANN:** "Pedophiles which cover each
4 other's asses."

5 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Mr. Engelmann, there is a
6 comment.

7 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** My friend is content to
8 repeatedly engage the witness on his personal beliefs than
9 what will follow is cross-examination extensively on those
10 issues. We are here about facts, as you've repeatedly
11 said, not hypothesis and theory. So I would submit this is
12 not a permissible line of enquiry. Thank you.

13 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay. Mr. Engelmann?
14 Can you help me out here?

15 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Sorry, I was very briefly
16 going to cover a few comments in this affidavit and I am
17 almost done.

18 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay, but ---

19 **MR. ENGELMANN:** I understand the point and
20 that's why I asked the question to the witness after the
21 last comment.

22 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm. Where you said
23 that he has not seen any illegal acts ---

24 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Absolutely.

25 **THE COMMISSIONER:** --- he doesn't -- okay,

1 so why are you asking about this particular question? Are
2 you going to ask the same question in the end?

3 MR. ENGELMANN: It's fine. I'll let go.

4 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

5 First of all -- well, maybe not. Did you
6 know Marcel Lalonde?

7 MR. RENSCHAW: Not at the time.

8 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So he had never
9 been over at Mr. Seguin's or Mr. MacDonald's homes?

10 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

11 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.

12 MR. ENGELMANN: Did you find out something
13 about him later?

14 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

15 MR. ENGELMANN: Through others?

16 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

17 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

18 (SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)

19 MR. ENGELMANN: If you would just take a
20 look at the bottom of page 19? I am just looking at what
21 you are saying there. You say:

22 "I know that would never happened even
23 if he was alive. The big thing is to
24 get the person in front of you, have
25 them admit their guilt -- make me feel

1 more like I'm the victim. I'm not
2 somebody who did something wrong ---
3 more people here likely -- like that --
4 sorry, here, that this -- these things
5 happen. You look at you like you're
6 the criminal. He's dead, so that
7 doesn't even come into thought.

8 Do you have some sense, sir, as to what
9 you're saying there? Why it is that ---

10 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Okay, like I said, when you cut
11 it up like that it sounds like part sentences to me.

12 **MR. ENGELMANN:** M'hm.

13 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I have to know what they're
14 talking about that leads up to it.

15 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right. Did you feel
16 like a criminal at all, throughout this whole process?

17 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

18 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Why is that?

19 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I still don't feel like I'm
20 believed. There's been more effort put in trying to go
21 against me, than to go with me.

22 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay.

23 **(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)**

24 **MR. ENGELMANN:** I'll just be one moment.

25 I'm just wondering, on the last page, sir,

1 if you could just take a look at it. If you could just
2 read it over for a minute.

3 **THE COMMISSIONER:** The whole page, sir?

4 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Yes.

5 **SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE**

6 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Sir, what is it that you're
7 referring to when you're hoping to put a dent -- or, help
8 make a dent?

9 **MR. RENSCHAW:** In stopping what I believe has
10 been going on in Cornwall for a long time.

11 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay.

12 Sir, after you gave these three statements
13 to Mr. Dunlop you then, as well, swore an affidavit which
14 is fairly similar to one of your statements, and we'll go
15 to that in a minute.

16 What did you think he was going to do with
17 this information?

18 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I had no idea, at the time.

19 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right.

20 Okay. If the witness could be shown
21 document number 721881.

22 It's the affidavit of Gerald Wesley Renshaw,
23 sworn January 30th 1997.

24 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Thank you.

25 Exhibit number 552.

1 --- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-552:

2 (721881) Affidavit of G. W. Renshaw -

3 Dated January 30th, 1997

4 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Sir, do you have the
5 document?

6 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

7 **MR. ENGELMANN:** If you could just turn to
8 the last page. Is that your signature?

9 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

10 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Sir, do you have handy --
11 it's your December statement to Mr. Dunlop?

12 It's exhibit 548. Do you have both
13 documents?

14 **MR. RENSCHAW:** 548 (five, four, eight); yes.

15 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Sir, they seem to be almost
16 identical, except for the last paragraph. The affidavit
17 saying:

18 "I make this affidavit for no other
19 or improper purpose."

20 And the statements says:

21 "I make the statement of my own, free
22 will."

23 Do you see that?

24 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

25 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right.

1 Do you recall going to Newmarket, Ontario,
2 to -- do you recall going to Newmarket, Ontario, in late
3 January of 1997?

4 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

5 MR. ENGELMANN: And you said, sir, that you
6 talked to Mr. Dunlop for the first time about the sexual
7 abuse you've alleged you suffered just before you went out
8 west.

9 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

10 MR. ENGELMANN: Is this late January of
11 1997, just before you went out to western Canada?

12 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

13 MR. ENGELMANN: And, do you remember how you
14 got to Newmarket, Ontario?

15 MR. RENSCHAW: With Perry Dunlop.

16 MR. ENGELMANN: And do you recall swearing
17 this affidavit in front of his lawyer, Charles Bourgeois?

18 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

19 MR. ENGELMANN: And the initials -- are
20 those your initials on those pages? Or at least, one set?

21 MR. RENSCHAW: Looks like them, yes.

22 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

23 And, was this document prepared for you when
24 you went in to see Mr. Bourgeois? Or was it prepared while
25 you were there; or do you remember?

1 **MR. RENSRAW:** I believe it was prepared
2 ahead of time.

3 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Was your meeting with him
4 very long?

5 **MR. RENSRAW:** No, I don't believe so.

6 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay.
7 Did either he or Mr. Dunlop tell you what
8 the affidavit was for?

9 **MR. RENSRAW:** I don't think so.

10 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Mr. Sherriff-Scott, is
11 that yours?

12 **Mr. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** (Off mic) No sir, it is
13 not; I can say with certainty.

14 **(LAUGHTER/RIRES)**

15 **MR. CRANE:** That was mine; I do apologize for
16 that.

17 **THE COMMISSIONER:** That's fine.

18 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Sir, at or about this time,
19 would you or Mr. Dunlop have discussed the possibility of
20 involving or allowing him to interview one of your
21 brothers?

22 **MR. RENSRAW:** It would have been about that
23 time; yes.

24 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay.

25 And which of your brothers would that have

1 been?

2 **MR. RENSRAW:** Bob.

3 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And, did you -- how did his
4 name come up?

5 **MR. RENSRAW:** I don't know, it would have
6 come up a few times in the conversations I had with Perry.

7 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right. And did Perry ask
8 you to introduce your brother to him?

9 **MR. RENSRAW:** I believe so; yes.

10 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Do you recall where your
11 brother was living at that time?

12 **MR. RENSRAW:** Mildmay, Ontario.

13 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And where is that, sir?

14 **MR. RENSRAW:** South of Owen Sound.

15 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. And do you recall if,
16 at or about the time you swore this affidavit in Newmarket,
17 that you and Mr. Dunlop might have visited your brother?

18 **MR. RENSRAW:** I'm sure we did, at the same
19 time.

20 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay.

21 And would this have been the first time to
22 your knowledge that he would have met with Mr. Dunlop?

23 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

24 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Do you recall if you spoke
25 to your brother about meeting with Mr. Dunlop before you

1 introduced them?

2 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

3 MR. ENGELMANN: Would it have been much in
4 advance of their meeting?

5 MR. RENSCHAW: Not much; no.

6 MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall how your
7 brother felt about that at the time? Did he express any
8 views to you about whether he wanted to meet with
9 Mr. Dunlop?

10 MR. RENSCHAW: He was a cop. He didn't want
11 to talk to him.

12 MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry.

13 MR. RENSCHAW: As far as Bob was concerned,
14 he's a cop; he didn't want to talk to him.

15 MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if he did, in
16 fact, speak with Mr. Dunlop.

17 MR. RENSCHAW: He did.

18 MR. ENGELMANN: Had you ever talked to him
19 about his being abused before that meeting?

20 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

21 MR. ENGELMANN: And again, you've told us
22 that you never really talked to him about details.

23 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

24 MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know -- were you
25 present when he spoke with Mr. Dunlop?

1 MR. RENSCHAW: No, I wasn't.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

3 So he spoke to him separately?

4 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

5 MR. ENGELMANN: Were you there in Mildmay
6 when that happened?

7 MR. RENSCHAW: I was in Mildmay; yes.

8 MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, after that you went out
9 to western Canada?

10 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

11 MR. ENGELMANN: And then you moved back to
12 Ontario, but to a different part of Ontario?

13 MR. RENSCHAW: Different part meaning away
14 from Cornwall; yes.

15 MR. ENGELMANN: I understand that was
16 Walkerton?

17 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

18 MR. ENGELMANN: Another part of Ontario
19 that's had an inquiry.

20 MR. RENSCHAW: That was after I left.

21 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

22 MR. RENSCHAW: Again.

23 MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall, sir, whether
24 or not you were interviewed by any police officers when you
25 lived in Walkerton?

1 MR. RENSCHAW: Two of them.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And were they members
3 of the OPP?

4 MR. RENSCHAW: I believe so. They were
5 members of Project Truth.

6 MR. ENGELMANN: And they would have told you
7 that they were assigned to the Project Truth investigation?

8 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

9 MR. ENGELMANN: If the witness could be
10 shown document number 713227.

11 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit
12 number 553 is an audio taped interview report of Mr. Gerald
13 Wesley Renshaw. The date of the interview is the 5th of
14 November 1997.

15 ---EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-553:

16 (713227) OPP - Audio Taped Interview
17 Report of G. W. Renshaw on November
18 5th, 1997

19 MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have that document,
20 sir?

21 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

22 MR. ENGELMANN: It appears to have been
23 audio taped -- this interview you had with the OPP.

24 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

25 MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember that?

1 Would you have been provided with a copy of
2 the statement at that time?

3 **MR. RENSRAW:** Not at that time, no; later.

4 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay.

5 So you have seen this document at some
6 point?

7 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

8 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right.

9 And between the time that you swore that
10 affidavit, January 30th, and you introduced your brother to
11 Perry Dunlop, did you have any more dealings with Perry
12 Dunlop that you can remember from then until -- well
13 between then and this statement you have with the OPP in
14 November of '97?

15 **MR. RENSRAW:** None.

16 **MR. ENGELMANN:** What about other people from
17 Cornwall? Any other interaction between those few months
18 with other people that he would have interviewed you with
19 like Helen Dunlop, Carson Chisholm; people of that nature?

20 **MR. RENSRAW:** No; none of those.

21 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. Mr. Bourgeois?

22 **MR. RENSRAW:** Helen Dunlop has a brother out
23 west. I don't know if it's relevant to you or not, but I
24 went to see him when I was out there. Not about any of
25 this though.

1 MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?

2 MR. RENSCHAW: Not about any of this.

3 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

4 All right. So do you know how it is the OPP
5 come to meet you on November 5th, in Walkerton?

6 MR. RENSCHAW: It's probably because I was
7 brought up in their first investigation.

8 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Did they call you
9 before they came to meet with you?

10 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes, I believe they did.

11 MR. ENGELMANN: You had a phone when you
12 were in Walkerton?

13 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

14 MR. ENGELMANN: Were you following what was
15 going on in Cornwall with respect to Project Truth, at all
16 at that time?

17 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

18 MR. ENGELMANN: Do you -- were either of
19 these officers familiar to you: Officer Dupuis or Officer
20 Seguin?

21 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

22 MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember being
23 interviewed by two male officers?

24 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

25 MR. ENGELMANN: Did the officers explain to

1 you, sir, why they were interviewing you at this time?

2 **MR. RENSRAW:** They would have; yes.

3 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And they asked you for some
4 background about yourself? I'm just looking at the second
5 and third pages -- about your family background.

6 **MR. RENSRAW:** It's been awhile since I've
7 read this, but I'm assuming that; yes.

8 **MR. ENGELMANN:** All right.

9 And this time around on Page 3, and then
10 again on Page 4, you do tell them about alleged sexual
11 abuse. And I'm just -- sir, if you look about two-thirds
12 of the way down the page, Page 3. The question is:
13 "When you first became involved with Mr. Ken Seguin."

14 You say:

15 "When I was on probation; sixteen or seventeen years. My
16 first time on probation anyways."

17 And then at the bottom of the next page you
18 talk about the alleged sexual abuse; correct?

19 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

20 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. So by this point in
21 time you had disclosed to Mr. Dunlop?

22 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Had you disclosed to anybody
24 else after that, before you met with these officers, that
25 you can remember?

1 MR. RENSCHAW: My present girlfriend.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

3 This was still a new thing though.

4 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

5 MR. ENGELMANN: In the general scheme of
6 things.

7 Sir, do you know whether these officers had
8 previous statements that you had made or the affidavit?

9 MR. RENSCHAW: No idea.

10 MR. ENGELMANN: Did they have any of the
11 pictures or the diagrams that you had looked at or had
12 drawn?

13 MR. RENSCHAW: I don't think so; no.

14 MR. ENGELMANN: Did they show any of those
15 things to you?

16 MR. RENSCHAW: I don't remember any of that.

17 (SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)

18 MR. ENGELMANN: I'll just be a moment. I'm
19 just skipping some of the questions. A lot of questions
20 were asked of him about his opinions. I'll just avoid
21 those.

22 It appears you were asked questions about
23 trips by certain people to Florida. I'm looking at Page 7,
24 sir.

25 Is that correct?

1 MR. RENSCHAW: It looks that way; yes.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: And again on Page 8;
3 questions about Florida and Montreal.

4 And just to confirm, sir, you didn't go on
5 any of those trips?

6 MR. RENSCHAW: No, I didn't.

7 MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, at the top of page 8,
8 I'll just start at the bottom of page 7, you're asked by
9 Constable Dupuis:

10 "1990, was there anything else that you
11 could think of that would be of
12 assistance to us?"

13 Then turn at the top of the next page, it
14 says:

15 "They said it doesn't mean that Malcolm
16 MacDonald doesn't. What I am about to
17 say doesn't make him to be a child
18 molester or something, but at his
19 cottage, I would go over there to cut
20 the grass. I would have helped him to
21 put the dock in or something like that.
22 And he'd have posters or male skin
23 books laying around there and he wasn't
24 trying to hide anything."

25 So you would have had -- you would have been

1 asked -- you would have given that information to the OPP
2 in November of 1997?

3 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes, I guess so.

4 MR. ENGELMANN: And were you talking about
5 Malcolm's cottage or were you talking about his home in
6 Cornwall; what were you talking about there?

7 MR. RENSCHAW: Cottage.

8 MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember if they
9 asked you any questions about Harv's Diner, to your
10 knowledge?

11 MR. RENSCHAW: I think they did.

12 MR. ENGELMANN: Just didn't see any
13 reference to it in the statement. Let me ask you this. To
14 your knowledge, did they meet with you again?

15 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

16 MR. ENGELMANN: It was just the one ---

17 MR. RENSCHAW: Well, I met with, I believe,
18 it was Dupuis later to get a copy of this.

19 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Were you re-
20 interviewed?

21 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

22 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So this was the one
23 interview with Dupuis and Seguin?

24 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

25 MR. ENGELMANN: There was no follow-up

1 interview?

2 (SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)

3 MR. ENGELMANN: Now, at the bottom of page
4 9, you're asked by Officer Dupuis:

5 "There was some conversation before
6 about a suitcase full of photographs.
7 Do you know anything about that?"

8 And you said:

9 "I was also asked that by Chris
10 McDonell."

11 Is that a suitcase full of photographs or a
12 suitcase full of videos or ---

13 MR. RENSRAW: I believe it's the same one
14 you were speaking about earlier.

15 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So there was
16 only one suitcase?

17 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

18 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And there is
19 some reference to -- little further down the page, you
20 being told by C-8 that Malcolm had a collection. A
21 collection of what are you referring to there?

22 MR. RENSRAW: Malcolm's collection referring
23 to C-8 would be pictures.

24 MR. ENGELMANN: Pictures of what?

25 MR. RENSRAW: Him performing sexual acts,

1 meaning Malcolm.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And this was just
3 something you were told, that he had these pictures?

4 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes, by more than one person
5 eventually.

6 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Did you ever see
7 those photos?

8 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

9 MR. ENGELMANN: Now you said you had a
10 meeting later or you were given a copy of the statement
11 later at least by Officer Dupuis?

12 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

13 MR. ENGELMANN: And do you remember where
14 that was?

15 MR. RENSCHAW: Tim Horton's on Brookdale
16 Avenue in Cornwall.

17 MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, do you know
18 approximately when that would have been?

19 MR. RENSCHAW: No idea now.

20 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Could the witness be
21 shown Document Number 713231? It's a document that has
22 Ontario Provincial Police letterhead and it says
23 "Authorization for the Release of Information by the
24 Ontario Provincial Police".

25 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Exhibit 554,

1 it's an authorization for the release of information by the
2 Ontario Provincial Police.

3 ---EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-554:

4 (713231) Authorization for the release of
5 information of G.W. Renshaw by OPP - Dated
6 July 4th, 1998.

7 MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have the one page
8 document, sir?

9 MR. RENSRAW: Yes, I do.

10 MR. ENGELMANN: Is that your signature that
11 appears about two-thirds of the way down the page?

12 MR. RENSRAW: It appears that way, yes.

13 MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall, sir, if you
14 would have signed this form at or about the time you would
15 have received a copy of your statement?

16 MR. RENSRAW: At the same time, I believe.

17 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Was there anything
18 else discussed at that meeting that you can remember other
19 than signing the form and handing over the statement?

20 MR. RENSRAW: That was it.

21 MR. ENGELMANN: Were you alone at that time?

22 MR. RENSRAW: No, my brother, Bob, would
23 have been with me.

24 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Was he also
25 getting some information or statement?

1 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

2 MR. ENGELMANN: And was it just with
3 Detective Constable Dupuis or was there anyone else
4 present?

5 MR. RENSCHAW: Just Dupuis.

6 MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, you dealt with OPP
7 officers in November of '97, you also dealt with OPP
8 officers in February of 1994, and then you had the brief
9 meeting again in July of 1998

10 Do you recall, sir, if you were provided any
11 information for alleged victims of crime?

12 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

13 MR. ENGELMANN: Information like where you
14 might get some assistance if you wanted it or counselling,
15 things of that nature.

16 MR. RENSCHAW: I don't believe so.

17 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, 1994 he hadn't
18 disclosed, I don't believe.

19 MR. ENGELMANN: That's true. It would have
20 only been later, '97 or '98.

21 THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.

22 MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.

23 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

24 MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, might you have been
25 called by an officer from the OPP to suggest you go for

1 some form of counselling?

2 MR. RENSCHAW: Not that I remember, no.

3 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Do you remember
4 anything about the Men's Project here in Cornwall?

5 MR. RENSCHAW: I believe I heard of them
6 through Dallas' office.

7 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

8 THE COMMISSIONER: That's Dallas Lee, your
9 lawyer?

10 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes, sorry.

11 THE COMMISSIONER: No, that's fine.

12 MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I think I may have
13 asked you this before but you were never a witness in a
14 prosecution of Father Charles MacDonald or anyone else here
15 in Cornwall dealing with child sexual abuse?

16 MR. RENSCHAW: You did ask before and, no, I
17 wasn't.

18 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Sir, were you
19 ever interviewed by a Crown attorney in relation to any
20 investigations or prosecutions of child sexual abuse here
21 in Cornwall?

22 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

23 MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I'd just like to ask
24 you a couple more questions and I don't know if you've
25 given them any thought.

1 When we last met, I would have asked you if
2 there are questions about thinking back now on the alleged
3 abuse you've talked about. Just before I go there, there
4 was a couple of questions that I forgot about and I
5 apologize.

6 Sir, you spoke to Perry Dunlop on a number
7 of occasions between November of '96 and the end of
8 February of '97; correct?

9 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

10 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Since then, have you ever
11 had occasion to speak with him?

12 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Recently this spring when I
13 went back out west, I went to see him.

14 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Were you on a holiday?

15 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

16 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And can you tell us a little
17 bit about that?

18 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Spent the better part of an
19 afternoon with him; talked about all kinds of stuff
20 including this.

21 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Okay. Did he ask you
22 whether you were going to be a witness?

23 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I believe I volunteered that.

24 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Did you ---

25 **MR. RENSCHAW:** --- Without him asking.

1 MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?

2 MR. RENSCHAW: Without him asking.

3 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Did he ask you
4 about what you were going to say, or anything along those
5 lines?

6 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

7 MR. ENGELMANN: Did he attempt to encourage
8 or discourage you in any way from testifying?

9 MR. RENSCHAW: If anything, encourage.

10 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Have you had any
11 other contact with him, sir?

12 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

13 MR. ENGELMANN: What about some of the
14 people that he met you with? His wife, Helen?

15 MR. RENSCHAW: She was at the home when I
16 went there.

17 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Mr. Carson Chisholm?

18 MR. RENSCHAW: I met him through these
19 proceedings, early on.

20 MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Did you discuss in
21 any way your evidence?

22 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

23 MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Bourgeois?

24 MR. RENSCHAW: I haven't seen him since
25 Newmarket.

1 **MR. ENGELMANN:** I'm sorry?

2 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I haven't seen him since
3 Newmarket.

4 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Since you swore the
5 affidavit in front of him?

6 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Yes.

7 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Sir, I just wanted to ask
8 you in closing if you'd like to tell us, and it is
9 completely up to you, but we've asked a number of witnesses
10 sort of three questions at the end of their evidence. This
11 is from victims and alleged victims to comment briefly on
12 the effects of the alleged abuse; to comment on the
13 institutional response; the institutional response as they
14 see it from institutions they had some involvement with,
15 and also if they have any suggestions or recommendations
16 for institutions for future response. The Commissioner
17 will be writing a report and, in the report, he can make
18 recommendations.

19 That's not just for Cornwall but for other
20 citizens here in Ontario.

21 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Could I answer that on Monday?

22 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes. Can he not?

23 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Is that going to be okay
24 with the cross?

25 **THE COMMISSIONER:** How it's affected him?

1 **MR. ENGELMANN:** I suppose, if anything
2 arises, people can ask their questions.

3 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes. All right.
4 How about if we do that on Monday? All
5 right?

6 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Thank you.

7 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Good.

8 **MR. ENGELMANN:** Those are all my questions,
9 Mr. Renshaw. Thank you very much for coming and answering
10 the questions and a number of counsel here will have some
11 questions for you. They will identify themselves by name
12 and tell you who they represent before they ask you
13 questions.

14 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Right.

15 **MR. RENSCHAW:** Thank you.

16 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Ms. Daley.

17 **MS. DALEY:** I -- wonder if the witness would
18 now like a 10-minute break before we start the cross-
19 examination.

20 **MR. RENSCHAW:** I would love it.

21 **(LAUGHTER / RIRES)**

22 **THE COMMISSIONER:** In those circumstances,
23 yes, we were going to go to 3:15, but it's fine. Let's
24 take the afternoon break now, and we'll come back.

25 **MRS. DALEY:** Okay, thank you.

1 **THE REGISTRAR:** Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
2 veuillez vous lever.

3 --- Upon recessing at 2:57 p.m. /L'audience est suspendue à
4 14h57

5 --- Upon resuming at 3:17 p.m. /L'audience est reprise à
6 15h17

7 **THE REGISTRAR:** The hearing is now resumed.
8 Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.

9 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Thank you. Ms. Daley.

10 **GERALD RENSRAW, Resumed/ Sous le même serment**

11 --- **CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR**

12 **MS. HELEN DALEY:**

13 **MS. DALEY:** Thank you. Mr. Renshaw, my name
14 is Helen Daley. I am a lawyer for a Cornwall group called
15 the Citizens for Community Renewal. That is an
16 organization of people from this community who have
17 standing here. Their mandate is to make that institutional
18 reforms occur that are necessary, and that children are
19 protected.

20 So that is the nature of my client's
21 interests in this proceeding. All right?

22 Now, my client has an interest in some of
23 the topics that you spoke about in your evidence yesterday
24 and today. I am wondering if you could help us with a few
25 more details about some of those things you spoke about.

1 What I propose to do is just go through some of the topics
2 and see if you can add some details to what you've already
3 said to Mr. Engelmann. Is that all right?

4 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

5 **MS. DALEY:** And I will probably go through
6 in the same order in which you talked about these matters
7 with Mr. Engelmann. Okay?

8 **MR. RENSRAW:** Okay.

9 **MS. DALEY:** The first topic I want to deal
10 with you on has to do with the Probation Office here in
11 Cornwall, and you described to us yesterday that it was
12 situated in the same building as the RCMP, as Malcolm
13 MacDonald's law office. Do you recall that?

14 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

15 **MS. DALEY:** I wonder if you could give us a
16 bit of a more detailed description about the layout of that
17 building and where these various offices were in relation
18 to each other. Can you do that?

19 **MR. RENSRAW:** The address was 501 Pitt
20 Street. Malcolm's office was in the basement; RCMP on the
21 main floor; Probation on the second floor.

22 **MS. DALEY:** So to enter the building, I take
23 it that the RCMP and the Probation Office, you'd enter
24 through the same door?

25 **MR. RENSRAW:** No.

1 MS. DALEY: No?

2 MR. RENSRAW: Each floor had its own
3 entrance.

4 MS. DALEY: It did? Were the entrances on
5 different sides of the building?

6 MR. RENSRAW: No, one door beside each
7 other.

8 MS. DALEY: I see. All right. So if you
9 need to go to the Probation Office, you could walk through
10 a door that would take you up to the second storey, and
11 then you'd be at the Probation Office?

12 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

13 THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry. How did you
14 get to the basement?

15 MR. RENSRAW: From another doorway --
16 through a door.

17 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

18 MR. RENSRAW: I believe there were three
19 doors in the front of there.

20 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.

21 MS. DALEY: Do you remember if there was a
22 time when Ron Leroux, who we've talked about, had an office
23 in that building as well?

24 MR. RENSRAW: Your C-8 did. I know that.

25 MS. DALEY: And where was C-8's office in

1 relation to the other parts of the building you've told us
2 about?

3 MR. RENSRAW: In the basement, beside
4 Malcolm MacDonald.

5 MS. DALEY: Do you recall roughly when it
6 was that C-8 had an office beside Malcolm MacDonald's?

7 MR. RENSRAW: Early '90s, I'm guessing.

8 MS. DALEY: It was a business office?

9 MR. RENSRAW: I'm assuming. I was never in
10 it.

11 MS. DALEY: I wonder if you can give me a
12 bit more detail then about the layout of the probation
13 office itself. For example, did Ken Seguin have his own
14 private office, with a door that closed?

15 MR. RENSRAW: Yes, he did.

16 MS. DALEY: And was that the same for all
17 the Probation Officers who were there?

18 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

19 MS. DALEY: Do you remember roughly off-
20 hand how many Probation Officers were working there at the
21 time you were a probationer.

22 MR. RENSRAW: I'm going to guess about nine.

23 MS. DALEY: Was there a reception or a
24 waiting area where people would wait for their
25 appointments?

1 **MR. RENSRAW:** Both.

2 **MS. DALEY:** You told us yesterday that you
3 were fairly sure that other people could have heard you
4 making personal plans to meet with Mr. Seguin from the
5 Probation office. Would those be discussions you were
6 having in the reception area?

7 **MR. RENSRAW:** There was a locking door from
8 where I would wait to the reception, and then someone would
9 have to open that door from the inside for me to go in.
10 What I'm referring to about the conversation would have
11 been at that doorway.

12 **MS. DALEY:** Sorry. I just missed the last
13 part of what you said.

14 **MR. RENSRAW:** Any conversation I would have
15 had such as borrowing Seguin's car or something would have
16 happened at that doorway.

17 **MS. DALEY:** So the people who would have
18 been able to hear it were the other Probation Officers who
19 were past the locked door?

20 **MR. RENSRAW:** There wouldn't have been any
21 of -- if there was a probationer sitting out there with me,
22 they would have heard it, and so would reception.

23 **MS. DALEY:** Thank you.

24 You told Mr. Engelmann yesterday that during
25 your first term of probation, you were doing renovation and

1 gardening work for Mr. Seguin. Do you recall that?

2 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

3 MS. DALEY: You didn't say whether or not
4 you were being paid for that, but were you being paid?

5 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

6 MS. DALEY: And on what basis did he pay
7 you. Do you remember?

8 MR. RENSRAW: I think it was by the hour.

9 MS. DALEY: Do you recall how much per hour
10 he paid?

11 MR. RENSRAW: No.

12 MS. DALEY: Just to help my memory. You
13 were what, roughly 17 years old at that time?

14 MR. RENSRAW: I believe so. Yes.

15 MS. DALEY: Were you still in school?

16 MR. RENSRAW: Would have been about the same
17 time I dropped out.

18 MS. DALEY: Was the amount of money that you
19 earned from Mr. Seguin substantial from your point of view
20 at that time?

21 MR. RENSRAW: No.

22 MS. DALEY: Did you have any understanding
23 as to whether that arrangement by which you did work for
24 him and got paid had to be okayed by the Probation Office?

25 MR. RENSRAW: I don't believe they knew

1 anything about it.

2 MS. DALEY: Did Mr. Seguin ever tell you to
3 keep that a secret?

4 MR. RENSRAW: No.

5 MS. DALEY: Were you aware that he -- I
6 guess your answer will suggest the answer to this question.
7 But as far as you're aware, he didn't inform them or seek
8 any permission if that was necessary?

9 MR. RENSRAW: Not that I know of.

10 MS. DALEY: Thank you.

11 Again, during the time that you were on your
12 first probation and Mr. Seguin lived on Alguire Street, did
13 you see other teenagers on probation at his house?

14 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

15 MS. DALEY: Would you know the ages of those
16 other probationers?

17 MR. RENSRAW: Two that come to mind would be
18 my age.

19 MS. DALEY: So if you were 17 they were
20 probably about that age?

21 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

22 MS. DALEY: And, again, during the time of
23 your first probation when Seguin lived on Alguire, did you
24 see him out in the community socializing with any of these
25 other teenage probationers?

1 MR. RENSCHAW: I couldn't be positive, no.

2 MS. DALEY: You don't recall particularly?

3 MR. RENSCHAW: Not now.

4 MS. DALEY: During the time that Seguin
5 lived on Alguire, did you see any teenage probationers
6 socializing with him in his home?

7 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

8 MS. DALEY: And by socializing what do you
9 mean?

10 MR. RENSCHAW: Drinking.

11 MS. DALEY: To your knowledge, did he supply
12 beer to those other teenagers?

13 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

14 MS. DALEY: And to your knowledge, were
15 those other teenagers smoking pot in his home?

16 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes. Not in it, in his
17 backyard.

18 MS. DALEY: I'm sorry?

19 MR. RENSCHAW: Not in the home, in the
20 backyard.

21 MS. DALEY: All right. And did Mr. Seguin
22 know that the other teenagers were smoking pot in his
23 backyard?

24 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

25 MS. DALEY: Was he with them?

1 MR. RENSRAW: Sometimes.

2 MS. DALEY: Did he smoke with them?

3 MR. RENSRAW: No.

4 MS. DALEY: I take it from your testimony
5 that you never saw Mr. Seguin having sex or any other kind
6 of physical encounter with these teenagers?

7 MR. RENSRAW: No.

8 MS. DALEY: I want to ask you some further
9 questions then about the second term of probation that you
10 had with Mr. Seguin. And I had the impression from your
11 evidence that during this second probation you also did
12 work for him?

13 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

14 MS. DALEY: And you were also paid?

15 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

16 MS. DALEY: And, again, was that on a per-
17 hour basis for the work you did?

18 MR. RENSRAW: I would believe so.

19 MS. DALEY: At this point did you have
20 another job?

21 MR. RENSRAW: Most of it I would imagine,
22 yes.

23 MS. DALEY: All right.

24 MR. RENSRAW: Most of that time.

25 MS. DALEY: Do you remember whether the

1 money that you earned from Mr. Seguin was substantial from
2 your point of view?

3 MR. RENSRAW: Minimal. It was just once in
4 a while.

5 MS. DALEY: Ten bucks here and there, or was
6 it more formal than that?

7 MR. RENSRAW: It might have even been 50
8 bucks.

9 MS. DALEY: All right. But it wasn't
10 similar to the amount you were earning from your job, I
11 take it?

12 MR. RENSRAW: No.

13 MS. DALEY: Now, again, if you can sort of
14 think about the timeframe when you're on your second
15 probation with Mr. Seguin. Again, did you see teenage
16 probationers socializing with him at that time also?

17 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

18 MS. DALEY: Can you recall the names of any
19 of those teenagers?

20 MR. RENSRAW: I said earlier, the two that
21 come to my mind the most would be Norm Robertson and Dale
22 Crowder.

23 MS. DALEY: Dale Crowder? And did Norm
24 Robertson and Dale Crowder have a lot of social contact
25 with Mr. Seguin?

1 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

2 MS. DALEY: Did you see them socializing out
3 in the community in bars together?

4 MR. RENSRAW: With Dale I have. I don't
5 think I did with Norm.

6 MS. DALEY: And, again, you saw Norm and
7 Dale at Mr. Seguin's home also during this period of time?

8 MR. RENSRAW: During my second ---

9 MS. DALEY: Your second probation?

10 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

11 MS. DALEY: And were they drinking and
12 smoking pot?

13 MR. RENSRAW: I don't think Dale Crowder
14 smoked at the time.

15 MS. DALEY: All right.

16 MR. RENSRAW: But drinking beer, both of
17 them, yes, and Norm smoked.

18 MS. DALEY: And the beer was supplied by Mr.
19 Seguin?

20 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

21 MS. DALEY: Did you know if Mr. Seguin was
22 also paying either of those two other boys to work for him?

23 MR. RENSRAW: No idea.

24 MS. DALEY: I want to ask you a few further
25 questions about -- remember you told us yesterday that when

1 you went to live in Mr. Seguin's, home you and he had to
2 attend at the probation office and sign a document? Do you
3 recall that?

4 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

5 **MS. DALEY:** The permission?

6 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

7 **MS. DALEY:** And you indicated that you were
8 already living in the Seguin home at the time that
9 permission was sought? You recall?

10 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

11 **MS. DALEY:** I'm wondering if you can help me
12 with this. If you -- we know you were with Mr. Seguin in
13 his home for about 18 months. Do you know if this paper
14 was signed towards the beginning of that 18 months or
15 towards the end of that 18 months?

16 **MR. RENSRAW:** The beginning.

17 **MS. DALEY:** I also wanted to talk to you a
18 little bit about the personal loan -- the insurance
19 application that we saw yesterday. You remember you
20 borrowed \$9,700 and Mr. Seguin co-signed that loan? Do you
21 recall that?

22 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

23 **MS. DALEY:** Do you know whether Mr. Seguin
24 ever told anyone else in the probation office that he had
25 co-signed a loan for you?

1 MR. RENSRAW: I doubt it.

2 MS. DALEY: Did you have any understanding
3 as to whether he needed approval from his boss to do that?

4 MR. RENSRAW: No idea.

5 MS. DALEY: Did Mr. Seguin make payments on
6 that loan?

7 MR. RENSRAW: No.

8 MS. DALEY: Did he ever use that loan
9 against you in any fashion?

10 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

11 MS. DALEY: How so?

12 MR. RENSRAW: If you don't want to do what I
13 want you to do then I want the loan paid off now, which
14 obviously I couldn't do.

15 MS. DALEY: Did he say that to you more than
16 once?

17 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

18 MS. DALEY: What was it that you he wanted
19 you to do?

20 MR. RENSRAW: Let him do his thing to me I
21 guess.

22 MS. DALEY: All right. Meaning have sexual
23 contact with you?

24 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

25 MS. DALEY: And do you know at what

1 timeframe he said those things to you? Where did that fit
2 in the timeline? Do you recall? For example, to help you,
3 were you still living in his home at the time he said those
4 things?

5 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

6 **MS. DALEY:** I want to move to a different
7 subject now, and the subject has to do with what happened
8 immediately after Mr. Seguin died. All right?

9 Did you enter his home shortly after he had
10 died and his body been discovered?

11 **MR. RENSRAW:** That day.

12 **MS. DALEY:** Do you remember who was with
13 you?

14 **MR. RENSRAW:** An OPP officer.

15 **MS. DALEY:** Would you know the name?

16 **MR. RENSRAW:** No.

17 **MS. DALEY:** Not someone that you knew from
18 other dealings?

19 **MR. RENSRAW:** No.

20 **MS. DALEY:** Was Mr. Leroux also present?

21 **MR. RENSRAW:** He was on the property, yes.

22 **MS. DALEY:** What was your understanding --
23 sorry, let me ask a different question. Did the OPP
24 officer ask you to come to Seguin's property, or how did it
25 come about that you were there?

1 **MR. RENSRAW:** Ron Leroux called me.

2 **MS. DALEY:** And told you to go over?

3 **MR. RENSRAW:** Told me to come to his place.

4 **MS. DALEY:** What happened -- just walk us
5 forward from there then. How did you end up at Mr.
6 Seguin's home?

7 **MR. RENSRAW:** Well, we walked from Leroux's
8 to Seguin's place and I was talking to a cop at the back
9 door, which would be the water side of his house. And I
10 don't know how it came up, but he asked me if wanted to see
11 the body.

12 **MS. DALEY:** And that's why you went in?

13 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

14 **THE COMMISSIONER:** So let me get this
15 straight. You're at the door and the police officer asked
16 you whether you wanted to go and see the body?

17 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

18 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Under what circumstances
19 -- like how did that come about?

20 **MR. RENSRAW:** I don't know. I don't
21 remember.

22 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm. Interesting.

23 **MS. DALEY:** Was there anyone else in the
24 home when you went in?

25 **MR. RENSRAW:** Just that officer.

1 **MS. DALEY:** I want to understand better what
2 you told us about the telephone book, all right? And do I
3 understand that the telephone book was taken from the home
4 on that very day?

5 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes, I would believe so.

6 **MS. DALEY:** Did you have some understanding
7 as to why the telephone book was removed from the home?

8 **MR. RENSRAW:** Being that Ron was a friend of
9 Ken's, Ron knew -- also knew what was in that book.

10 **MS. DALEY:** Yes.

11 **MR. RENSRAW:** And because of a pending
12 investigation into Seguin, I believe Ron Leroux took it
13 because there's probationer's names and numbers and
14 addresses in that book.

15 **MS. DALEY:** And you saw with your own eyes
16 that that was true. That there were ---

17 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

18 **MS. DALEY:** --- probationer's names in
19 there?

20 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

21 **MS. DALEY:** You told us you gave that book
22 to Mr. Seguin's brother, Doug?

23 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

24 **MS. DALEY:** Do you know what happened to it
25 after that?

1 MR. RENSRAW: No.

2 MS. DALEY: I have some further questions
3 for you about that same subject, the fact that Mr. Seguin
4 was under investigation. And you recall telling Mr.
5 Engelmann yesterday that you knew something about that
6 because Mr. Seguin and Mr. MacDonald talked to you about it
7 occasionally? Do you recall that?

8 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

9 MS. DALEY: I have a few more questions
10 about that.

11 MR. RENSRAW: They didn't particularly talk
12 to me, but they talked in my presence.

13 MS. DALEY: So you overheard them talking
14 about an investigation?

15 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

16 MS. DALEY: All right. And first of all,
17 Malcolm MacDonald at this point he was a lawyer in private
18 practice. He wasn't a Crown attorney, was he?

19 MR. RENSRAW: No, this was after being a
20 Crown.

21 MS. DALEY: And was he Mr. Seguin's lawyer?

22 MR. RENSRAW: Yes, I guess so.

23 MS. DALEY: Do you recall any further
24 details of what was said between them about the
25 investigation?

1 MR. RENSRAW: No.

2 MS. DALEY: For example, did Mr. Seguin know
3 which police officer was investigating him?

4 MR. RENSRAW: Not that I believe, no.

5 MS. DALEY: Do you remember the name Heidi
6 coming up?

7 MR. RENSRAW: Pardon?

8 MS. DALEY: Heidi?

9 THE COMMISSIONER: Heidi Sebalj.

10 MR. RENSRAW: No.

11 MS. DALEY: Did it seem to you that Mr.
12 Seguin appeared to know what was happening in the
13 investigation against him?

14 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

15 MS. DALEY: Do you have any understanding as
16 to how he knew that?

17 MR. RENSRAW: I can only presume it was
18 through Malcolm.

19 MS. DALEY: Did you hear Malcolm give Ken
20 Seguin information about the investigation?

21 MR. RENSRAW: No, other than the fact that
22 he kept telling him not to worry about it and he's taking
23 care of it.

24 MS. DALEY: All right. I want to talk to
25 you a little bit more about the statement that Mr. Seguin

1 made to you about videotapes. Do you recall -- you said he
2 had some videotapes that he needed to get rid of?

3 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

4 MS. DALEY: Had you ever seen those
5 videotapes?

6 MR. RENSRAW: No.

7 MS. DALEY: Did you have any understanding
8 as to why Mr. Seguin wanted to get rid of those videotapes?

9 MR. RENSRAW: Not exact knowledge. I'd
10 presume that he was on some of them.

11 MS. DALEY: Was there recording equipment in
12 his home somewhere where he could record himself on video?

13 MR. RENSRAW: Not that I've ever seen.

14 THE COMMISSIONER: Did you ever see that
15 kind of equipment at Mr. MacDonald's?

16 MR. RENSRAW: No.

17 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

18 MS. DALEY: On the subject of videos, you
19 also gave evidence that there were video tapes in Malcolm
20 MacDonald's cottage. Do you recall that? And you said
21 they were unlabelled and some of them were labelled by
22 number?

23 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

24 MS. DALEY: Can you elaborate on that? What
25 does that mean?

1 MR. RENSRAW: Well ---

2 MS. DALEY: Were they in like a -- they were
3 in a cardboard sleeve, but there was no labelling on them?

4 MR. RENSRAW: Yes, or even out of the sleeve
5 there was no label. Identified by number, kind of like
6 those pictures were.

7 MS. DALEY: Right. Did you ever see those
8 videotapes or were they ever played when you were there?

9 MR. RENSRAW: No.

10 MS. DALEY: You talked to us about -- you
11 said some male porn magazines that were in Mr. Malcolm
12 MacDonald's cottage -- you didn't mean to suggest that
13 those involved children. Were those -- is that adult?

14 MR. RENSRAW: No, I never saw anything like
15 that.

16 MS. DALEY: Thank you. To your knowledge
17 did Mr. Seguin actually have your probation records in his
18 file cabinet at home?

19 MR. RENSRAW: I had seen them there.

20 MS. DALEY: When you looked in that cabinet,
21 did you see records from other probationers as well?

22 MR. RENSRAW: No.

23 MS. DALEY: Was it your original probation
24 record that he had?

25 MR. RENSRAW: To the best of my knowledge it

1 was, yes.

2 MS. DALEY: Do you have any understanding as
3 to why he had it in his home?

4 MR. RENSCHAW: No idea.

5 MS. DALEY: Were you bothered by that?

6 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes. I'm more bothered now,
7 because now nobody seems to be able to find it.

8 MS. DALEY: Did he destroy your probation
9 documents?

10 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

11 MS. DALEY: Do you know what happened to
12 them?

13 MR. RENSCHAW: They were destroyed by the OPP
14 as far as I know.

15 MS. DALEY: And do you know anything about
16 when and how that happened?

17 MR. RENSCHAW: Not details no. Lancaster
18 OPP.

19 MS. DALEY: Was it the Lancaster detachment
20 that came to the home when he committed suicide?

21 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

22 MS. DALEY: And do you know if they removed
23 materials from his home?

24 MR. RENSCHAW: No idea.

25 MS. DALEY: You don't know what became of

1 the filling cabinet?

2 MR. RENSRAW: No.

3 (SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)

4 MS. DALEY: Bear with me just one second,
5 sir.

6 You gave evidence that you were not called
7 as a witness in any of the prosecutions that occurred.
8 Were you expecting to be called?

9 MR. RENSRAW: I assumed I would have been.

10 MS. DALEY: For which prosecution?

11 MR. RENSRAW: Malcolm -- or not Malcolm, but
12 Charlie.

13 MS. DALEY: Just a few questions for you
14 about Ron Leroux.

15 Do you know if it was Mr. Leroux who put
16 Perry Dunlop onto you when Mr. Dunlop made contact with
17 you?

18 MR. RENSRAW: It could have been.

19 MS. DALEY: You're just not sure one way or
20 the other?

21 When you had your first meetings with Mr.
22 Dunlop in November and later in 1996, and before the time
23 you had disclosed the sexual abuse to him. Did he ask you
24 if you had been abused by Mr. Seguin, or anyone else?

25 MR. RENSRAW: Probably.

1 **MS. DALEY:** And if I understood what you
2 said, you put off telling him about that because you didn't
3 want to answer a lot of further questions about it.

4 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

5 **MS. DALEY:** Did it appear to you that
6 someone had already told him that you had been abused by
7 Mr. Seguin?

8 **MR. RENSRAW:** Not at that time.

9 **MS. DALEY:** When Mr. Dunlop came to talk to
10 you in November of '96, did he seem to already know that
11 you'd lived at Seguin's home for about 18 months?

12 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

13 **MS. DALEY:** Do you know where he'd learned
14 that from?

15 **MR. RENSRAW:** Not at the time.

16 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Do you know now?

17 **MR. RENSRAW:** I can only assume Ron Leroux,
18 because he saw Ron Leroux in Maine before he came and seen
19 me.

20 **MS. DALEY:** I see. Now you gave some
21 evidence about the affidavit that you eventually swore in
22 Mr. Dunlop's civil law suit; I just had a few questions for
23 you about Mr. Bourgeois and the role he played. I gather
24 you were only with Mr. Bourgeois very briefly.

25 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

1 **MS. DALEY:** And did he suggest or tell you
2 what should be in that affidavit, or was the affidavit
3 already there?

4 **MR. RENSRAW:** I believe when I went to sign
5 it, it was already made out; all I had to do was read it;
6 sign it.

7 **MS. DALEY:** So Mr. Bourgeois didn't suggest
8 that you needed to change anything; take anything out; put
9 anything else in?

10 **MR. RENSRAW:** I don't believe so.

11 **MS. DALEY:** This is probably a minor point,
12 but you told us that Perry Dunlop took you to Alfred on one
13 occasion to see the layout of the place; I was just
14 wondering why he brought you there?

15 **MR. RENSRAW:** Well, it's through his
16 investigations that he did on his own; I am sure he would
17 have heard a lot about Alfred.

18 **MS. DALEY:** But you'd never been in Alfred?

19 **MR. RENSRAW:** No, I had brother that was.

20 **MS. DALEY:** I see.

21 **THE COMMISSIONER:** So, did you tour around
22 the facility, or did you just ---

23 **MR. RENSRAW:** They didn't want us there, but
24 I did anyway. And that's what I found strange, because it
25 was now a college and they didn't want us there.

1 **THE COMMISSIONER:** So you walked through the
2 hallways and things?

3 **MR. RENSRAW:** Through the hallways, through
4 the graveyard, the barns.

5 **MS. DALEY:** Sir, you've spoken in detail
6 about a number of different statements that you gave to Mr.
7 Dunlop, and then eventually an affidavit. Did you ever
8 discuss the contents of those statements with
9 Ron Leroux?

10 **MR. RENSRAW:** Never.

11 **MS. DALEY:** And I take it before you gave
12 them and after you gave them the same answers, you never
13 told Leroux what was in your statements.

14 **MR. RENSRAW:** No.

15 **MS. DALEY:** Did Mr. Leroux ever tell you
16 about statements he had given to Dunlop?

17 **MR. RENSRAW:** He may have mentioned
18 something about something in a statement.

19 **MS. DALEY:** Did he give you much details of
20 what he had said?

21 **MR. RENSRAW:** Apparently not, if I can't
22 remember much of it now.

23 **MS. DALEY:** Okay. Fair enough. And I take
24 it Dunlop never told you, for example, what
25 Mr. Leroux had said.

1 **MR. RENSRAW:** No.

2 **MS. DALEY:** Forgive me if this is
3 repetitive, but I just want to make sure that I've
4 understood it correctly. You talked about Dale Crowder and
5 Norm Robertson and, to your knowledge, they were involved
6 with Mr. Seguin while they were on probation?

7 **MR. RENSRAW:** No idea whether they were on
8 probation or not at the time.

9 **MS. DALEY:** All right. What about some of
10 the other names that you mentioned such as -- sorry -- Mark
11 Menard, was he a probationer?

12 **MR. RENSRAW:** I don't believe he had
13 Ken Seguin.

14 **MS. DALEY:** All right. So he was, but Ken
15 was not his probation officer.

16 **MR. RENSRAW:** Correct.

17 **MS. DALEY:** Do you know who was?

18 **MR. RENSRAW:** I believe it was Carol
19 Cardinal.

20 **MS. DALEY:** I am glad you mentioned her
21 name, because you told yesterday that Ken Seguin took you
22 to a social event at her house. I might not have
23 understood it correctly, what you said about that.

24 Did he ever take you to her home for any
25 reason?

1 **MR. RENSRAW:** I forget why. It was just to
2 pick up a trailer or something.

3 **MS. DALEY:** All right. You mentioned
4 another person apart from Carol Cardinal yesterday that
5 Seguin had taken you to see, like another co-worker of his;
6 do you remember who that was?

7 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes, Van Diepen.

8 **MS. DALEY:** And what was that event? Why is
9 it that you were being taken to Joss Van Diepen's house?

10 **MR. RENSRAW:** He was having brick work done
11 at his home; I worked for the people that did the brick
12 work; went there to move shrubs and stuff so we could put
13 scaffolding up and prepare the place for the brick.

14 **MS. DALEY:** I see. All right, so as you
15 told us yesterday, those are two fellow workers that
16 Ken Seguin -- who knew that he was seeing you outside of a
17 professional relationship?

18 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

19 **MS. DALEY:** I don't know if you can help me
20 any further with this, but you told Mr. Engelmann here that
21 and you've mentioned it again in our exchange, that you had
22 heard Malcolm telling -- no I'm sorry, this is a slightly
23 different topic.

24 You said that Malcolm, at one point, had
25 said to Ken Seguin, in your presence, that he'd been

1 talking to Shaver about Dunlop, and that Ken was worrying
2 about nothing; do you remember that event?

3 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

4 MS. DALEY: Can you place that at all in
5 relation to Ken's death, in other words, was it within
6 weeks, months or longer than that of Ken's suicide?

7 MR. RENSRAW: I believe it was about the
8 time Ken started to get phone calls and stuff. I know that
9 was quite awhile before he actually killed himself.

10 MS. DALEY: As much as ---

11 MR. RENSRAW: So it wouldn't have been weeks
12 or months; it would have been longer.

13 THE COMMISSIONER: So you're saying that Mr.
14 Silmsen would have been calling him months before?

15 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

16 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

17 MS. DALEY: As much as a year before?

18 MR. RENSRAW: Possibly.

19 MS. DALEY: I have just a few questions for
20 you about that website and the fact that your statement
21 showed up there. Remember you talked about that earlier
22 today? You said that somebody called you from Cornwall
23 about the website and told you about it; do you remember
24 who that was?

25 MR. RENSRAW: My son's mother, maybe.

1 **MS. DALEY:** It was obviously somebody who
2 knew that the posting was about you, even though it didn't
3 have your name on it.

4 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

5 **MS. DALEY:** Did you ask Dick Nadeau how he'd
6 obtained the statement; who gave it to him?

7 **MR. RENSRAW:** I knew where it came from.

8 **MS. DALEY:** Where did it come from?

9 **MR. RENSRAW:** Perry Dunlop.

10 **THE COMMISSIONER:** And how do you know that?

11 **MR. RENSRAW:** Because it was a copy of a
12 statement I had given him.

13 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm. Okay.

14 **MS. DALEY:** Do you know who else had copies
15 of that statement, if anyone?

16 **MR. RENSRAW:** No idea.

17 **MS. DALEY:** You have no reason to believe
18 that Ron Leroux had a copy of your statement, or do you?

19 **MR. RENSRAW:** It wouldn't surprise me.

20 **MS. DALEY:** Why is that?

21 **MR. RENSRAW:** Well, none of the information
22 that's gathered here has surprised me.

23 **MS. DALEY:** Does the fact that people share
24 information about one another not surprise you either?

25 **MR. RENSRAW:** No.

1 **MS. DALEY:** Mr. Engelmann asked you some
2 questions about the last OPP interview that you had on
3 November 5th, 1997 as part of Project Truth. Remember you
4 looked at that statement? And I guess what I would like to
5 know from you is this. At that time did you think you had
6 more relevant information to give them, than what they had
7 written down in your statement?

8 **MR. RENSRAW:** I didn't even want to talk to
9 them.

10 **MS. DALEY:** Why not?

11 **MR. RENSRAW:** Because they're cops.

12 **MS. DALEY:** Did you understand that their
13 objective was to get information about abuse of children?

14 **MR. RENSRAW:** I don't believe that.

15 **MS. DALEY:** Why not?

16 **MR. RENSRAW:** Because I've never -- I've
17 said this earlier -- that I've never had any faith in
18 Project Truth or Project Truth 2.

19 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Is your disregard for
20 police officers, is that like across the board or is it
21 with respect to specific police forces?

22 **MR. RENSRAW:** Pretty much in general.

23 **MS. DALEY:** Just give me one second. I
24 think that's pretty much it, but I want to make sure I
25 don't leave anything out.

1 Those are my questions and I just want to
2 thank you on behalf of my client for coming here. I know
3 it's going to be a bit of an endurance test, since you'll
4 have to come back Monday as well, but thank you very much
5 for coming and sharing your experience with us.

6 **MR. RENSRAW:** Thank you.

7 **THE COMMISSIONER:** All right. Mr. Chisholm?

8 **MR. CHISHOLM:** Very good, Mr. Commissioner.

9 Good afternoon, sir. My name is Peter
10 Chisholm. I am counsel for the Children's Aid Society of
11 the United Counties of Stormont Dundas and Glengarry. I
12 have no questions for you. Thank you for coming.

13 **MR. RENSRAW:** Thank you.

14 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Thank you. So is there
15 any other -- am I going down the list, is that the way?
16 No, I'm not. Okay.

17 **MR. KLOEZE:** Mr. Commissioner, I think it is
18 agreed that I am going to go next.

19 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Fine.

20 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.

21 **KLOEZE :**

22 **MR. KLOEZE:** Good afternoon, Mr. Renshaw.
23 My name is Darrell Kloeze. I am counsel for the Ministry
24 of the Attorney General. And I actually have only a very
25 few questions to ask you, so I should be fairly brief.

1 And my questions center around the first two
2 statements that you gave to Perry Dunlop. The first one at
3 Exhibit 544 and, Madam Registrar, if we could turn there
4 now. Mr. Renshaw you have a paper copy of that exhibit
5 before you now?

6 **MR. RENSRAW:** It's the last page we're
7 looking at on the screen?

8 **MR. KLOEZE:** I'd actually like to go to page
9 1 of that exhibit, which is -- sorry, it's Exhibit 544,
10 Document Number 721883.

11 **THE COMMISSIONER:** It is one that is written
12 in ---

13 **MR. RENSRAW:** Handwritten?

14 **MR. KLOEZE:** The handwritten one. Yes, sir.

15 **MR. RENSRAW:** That's it up there now.

16 **MR. KLOEZE:** That's correct.

17 **THE COMMISSIONER:** That is the one.

18 **MR. KLOEZE:** We have the right one up now.

19 Now as you have noted, that is a handwritten
20 statement. That's not your handwriting though you said?

21 **MR. RENSRAW:** No.

22 **MR. KLOEZE:** That's the handwriting you
23 believe of Perry Dunlop?

24 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

25 **MR. KLOEZE:** And the purpose of that

1 statement from what I can see and what you have testified
2 to Mr. Engelmann, was to give Mr. Dunlop information as to
3 who you saw at Ken Seguin's house. Is that true?

4 MR. RENSRAW: Could you say that again?

5 MR. KLOEZE: The purpose of the statement
6 was to tell Perry Dunlop -- Perry Dunlop is asking you
7 questions, "Who did you see at Ken Seguin's house?" and you
8 would respond, and the bulk of this statement are the names
9 of people that you saw at Ken Seguin's house?

10 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

11 MR. KLOEZE: Now I think when you first
12 testified about this -- and go to page 2 of that statement,
13 paragraph 4. Mr. Engelmann had asked you how these names
14 to came -- how you came to mention these particular names,
15 Malcolm MacDonald, Claude Shaver, Stuart McDonald, and you
16 suggested that Perry Dunlop had been showing you some
17 photographs. And you recognized these names from
18 photographs?

19 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

20 MR. KLOEZE: That's correct?

21 MR. RENSRAW: I believe so, yes.

22 MR. KLOEZE: So it may be possible that when
23 Perry Dunlop took this first statement from you, he had the
24 binder of photographs with him and showed you that binder?

25 MR. RENSRAW: I would think so.

1 MR. KLOEZE: Now I want to have some
2 information as to how that worked. Did he just hand you
3 the binder and you would flip from page to page?

4 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

5 MR. KLOEZE: And as you went from page to
6 page you would tell Perry Dunlop, "I recognize this
7 person"?

8 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

9 MR. KLOEZE: And it wasn't -- that Perry
10 Dunlop would go with you and say, "This is..." for example
11 "... Claude Shaver -- do you recognize him"?

12 MR. RENSRAW: No.

13 MR. KLOEZE: It was the former. You would
14 recognize and person and identify that person to ---

15 MR. RENSRAW: Yes. Even if I didn't know
16 their name.

17 MR. KLOEZE: And in some cases you did not
18 know their names?

19 MR. RENSRAW: That's right.

20 MR. KLOEZE: And in those cases, Perry
21 Dunlop would tell you their names?

22 MR. RENSRAW: I believe so, yes.

23 MR. KLOEZE: Now I want to move to the
24 second statement, and that's at Exhibit 548. And you have
25 that statement in front of you now, Mr. Renshaw?

1 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

2 MR. KLOEZE: Okay. And that's the one that
3 is now on the screen as well. Now as Mr. Engelmann said,
4 the first three pages of this statement are virtually
5 identical to the handwritten parts -- that handwritten
6 statement we have just looked at?

7 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

8 MR. KLOEZE: And Mr. Engelmann took you
9 through that handwritten statement at some length this
10 morning. He went through every paragraph and asked you
11 questions about every name that was in that statement?

12 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

13 MR. KLOEZE: Do you remember that?

14 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

15 MR. KLOEZE: And that is also included in
16 the first three pages of this statement. It's a list of
17 names that you saw at Ken Seguin's house or potentially at
18 Malcolm MacDonald's cottage. And that's in the first three
19 pages of this statement that's now typewritten. Is that
20 correct?

21 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

22 MR. KLOEZE: Now at the fourth page, as Mr.
23 Engelmann noted, there's ome new information. Starting
24 about a third of the way down the page, now there is a list
25 of names and numbers of photographs. And that is new to

1 this statement. That wasn't in the previous handwritten
2 statement. Do you remember that?

3 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

4 **MR. KLOEZE:** Okay. And the other new
5 information that is at the following page, at page 5.

6 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

7 **MR. KLOEZE:** That's new to this statement
8 that was not in the previous statement. So this -- sorry,
9 I am moving ahead of myself. So these two pages, pages 4
10 and 5, are the only new information in this second
11 statement that was taken on December 5th, 1996.

12 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes, I believe so.

13 **MR. KLOEZE:** Now, had you met Charles
14 Bourgeois by the time that you were making the second
15 statement?

16 **MR. RENSRAW:** I wouldn't know for sure.

17 **MR. KLOEZE:** You wouldn't know for sure.
18 You had testified this morning that you think you have met
19 Charles Bourgeois about three or four times. Is that
20 correct?

21 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

22 **MR. KLOEZE:** And the last time you met
23 Charles Bourgeois was in Newmarket when he commissioned
24 your affidavit?

25 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

1 MR. KLOEZE: And the previous times that you
2 had met him was actually in Cornwall.

3 MR. RENSRAW: No.

4 MR. KLOEZE: Where were the previous times
5 you met him?

6 MR. RENSRAW: Either at Perry's house or
7 Charles' office in Newmarket.

8 MR. KLOEZE: Okay. So you had met him at
9 Perry's house on some occasions?

10 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

11 MR. KLOEZE: And Perry Dunlop did not live
12 in Cornwall?

13 MR. RENSRAW: Yes, he did.

14 MR. KLOEZE: He did. So you had met Charles
15 Bourgeois at Perry's house in Cornwall or at his own office
16 in Newmarket. Those were the two places?

17 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

18 MR. KLOEZE: And do you know whether either
19 of the times -- let's say the second statement. When it
20 was taken in December of 1996, I think you have testified
21 that that was taken at Perry's house?

22 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

23 MR. KLOEZE: Was Charles Bourgeois present
24 at that time?

25 MR. RENSRAW: I don't believe so.

1 MR. KLOEZE: Now do you know who Murray
2 MacDonald is?

3 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

4 MR. KLOEZE: And who is Murray MacDonald?

5 MR. RENSRAW: Okay, I shouldn't say that. I
6 know of him.

7 MR. KLOEZE: You know of him. But you do
8 not know him personally?

9 MR. RENSRAW: No, not personally.

10 MR. KLOEZE: Okay. Can we turn to page 4 of
11 this exhibit that we're on right now? And the second name
12 in the list of names is Murray MacDonald. Do you see that?

13 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

14 MR. KLOEZE: Now what I find unusual about
15 this is, out of this whole list of names and the names that
16 Mr. Engelmann had brought you through earlier this morning,
17 the only new name in the second statement is Murray
18 MacDonald. And I was wondering if you could describe how
19 his name came to be on a second statement, when it was not
20 in the first.

21 MR. RENSRAW: The only thing I can think of
22 is, when I made the first statement, Perry was still
23 collecting his own evidence. What pictures he had then, I
24 don't think were numbered. By the time I had made the
25 second one, he had a more complete list and they were

1 numbered, so he may have been added since the first one.

2 I don't have an explanation, though. I'm
3 only guessing at that.

4 **Mr. KLOEZE:** You're only guessing at that --
5 -

6 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

7 **MR. KLOEZE:** --- but you don't remember how
8 it came to be that his name appeared on the second
9 statement when it wasn't on the first?

10 **MR. RENSRAW:** Other than the fact that I
11 don't think he was in that photo book, the first time.

12 **MR. KLOEZE:** But you're guessing at that;
13 you're not entirely sure?

14 **MR. RENSRAW:** That's right.

15 **MR. KLOEZE:** Okay.

16 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Is he one of the names,
17 one of the people that you would have identified but could
18 not name? Or did you need ---

19 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

20 **THE COMMISSIONER:** I'm sorry?

21 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

22 **THE COMMISSIONER:** So, you had seen him
23 before but you didn't know his name?

24 **MR. RENSRAW:** That's right.

25 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Out of -- I'm sorry, sir,

1 out of the list that you've got there on that exhibit, are
2 there any other people that you can identify visually, but
3 you couldn't -- you did not know their name?

4 And, Madam Clerk, can you scroll up a
5 little, there? Madam Clerk?

6 **MR. RENSRAW:** No, I wasn't very familiar
7 with Kevin Maloney.

8 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

9 Can we scroll up the screen, Madam Clerk?

10 Sorry, screen down then.

11 **MR. RENSRAW:** And he's on here twice.

12 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Who's that now?

13 **MR. RENSRAW:** Maloney.

14 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Right.

15 I don't think -- no, it's Eugene Larocque
16 that's on twice.

17 **MR. RENSRAW:** So is Maloney. He's on here
18 as 11 ---

19 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

20 **MR. RENSRAW:** --- and 21(b). Or, sorry, 6
21 on page 5.

22 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Oh, on page 5, okay.

23 M'hm.

24 **MR. KLOEZE:** Now Mr. Renshaw, if I was to
25 suggest to you that Murray MacDonald was actually never at

1 either Ken's cottage -- or Ken's house or Malcolm's
2 cottage, what would you say to that?

3 **MR. RENSRAW:** I don't believe it.

4 **MR. KLOEZE:** But you're not entirely sure
5 who Murray MacDonald actually is?

6 **MR. RENSRAW:** I know the face. I didn't
7 know the name; I'd never spoke to the person, you know what
8 I mean? Like, I could come back here tomorrow and look at
9 pictures and know that you were here but I wouldn't
10 remember your name.

11 **MR. KLOEZE:** And if I told you that the
12 evidence of Murray MacDonald, when he testifies here, will
13 be that he never socialized with Ken Seguin or Malcolm
14 MacDonald, and that he was never at either of their homes
15 or cottages. What would say to that?

16 **MR. RENSRAW:** I don't have an answer to
17 that. I'm not him.

18 **MR. KLOEZE:** Okay. Now, you said you would
19 recognize his face or you could identify his face. Can you
20 describe to me when you saw him, or when you alleged that
21 you saw him, at either of these places?

22 Oh, first let's get to that because you're
23 not entirely sure in this list whether he was either at
24 Ken's or Malcolm's cottage. Is that correct?

25 **MR. RENSRAW:** Well, if he was at Malcolm's

1 cottage, he had to be at Ken's place.

2 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Well, could we scroll
3 down then? Just look at the statement you've given.

4 I'm sorry, scroll up. All right. Up to the
5 list, next page, previous page. Page 4? Page 4. Okay.
6 So you see the list there, and it says:

7 "Number 17: Murray MacDonald. Saw
8 him at Ken's or Malcolm's cottage."

9 That's where we're at.

10 **MR. RENSRAW:** Okay, so that's saying Ken's
11 or Malcolm's? Like ---

12 **MR. KLOEZE:** So, you're not entirely sure
13 which one it was?

14 **MR. RENSRAW:** Well, like I said, if he was
15 at Malcolm's, he had to be at Ken's.

16 **MR. KLOEZE:** Yes. But he could have just
17 been at Ken's?

18 **MR. RENSRAW:** He could have, yes.

19 **MR. KLOEZE:** But you're not entirely sure
20 whether he was just at Ken's or whether he was at both?

21 **MR. RENSRAW:** Correct.

22 **MR. KLOEZE:** Okay. And can you describe to
23 me any of the circumstances in which you saw him, either at
24 Ken's or at Malcolm's cottage?

25 **MR. RENSRAW:** They'd go to Malcolm's for

1 barbecues and ---

2 **MR. KLOEZE:** I'm talking about a specific
3 incident in which you saw, or claimed to have seen, Murray
4 MacDonald at those places?

5 **MR. RENSRAW:** No. No.

6 **MR. KLOEZE:** You can't describe that.

7 **MR. RENSRAW:** No.

8 **MR. KLOEZE:** Can you describe to me what
9 Murray MacDonald actually looks like; like, what age he
10 would have been at the time? Was he a young man?

11 **MR. RENSRAW:** No, but he wasn't old either.

12 **MR. KLOEZE:** So would you say in his 20's or
13 in his 40's?

14 **MR. RENSRAW:** Forties.

15 **MR. KLOEZE:** In his 40's at the time?

16 And about what height? Short?

17 **MR. RENSRAW:** I believe he was a little
18 taller than me. Not much.

19 **MR. KLOEZE:** Okay, and what height are you,
20 sir?

21 **MR. RENSRAW:** Pardon?

22 **MR. KLOEZE:** What -- how tall are you?

23 **MR. RENSRAW:** I am five nine.

24 **MR. KLOEZE:** Five nine? So he was about
25 five nine, five ten. Okay.

1 Do you remember hair colour, hair length?

2 Was he bald?

3 MR. RENSRAW: Short hair.

4 MR. KLOEZE: Short hair? Facial hair?

5 MR. RENSRAW: I don't believe so.

6 MR. KLOEZE: Okay. Now, when you signed
7 this statement, the second one we're looking at now, with
8 Perry Dunlop, did he tell you what he intended to do with
9 the statement?

10 MR. RENSRAW: I don't believe so.

11 MR. KLOEZE: Did he ask your permission in
12 any way to send the statement to anybody else? Or share it
13 with somebody?

14 MR. RENSRAW: I don't believe so.

15 MR. KLOEZE: Did he tell you at the time
16 that it was his intention to provide the statement to
17 another police force?

18 MR. RENSRAW: No.

19 MR. KLOEZE: Okay. And you were not aware
20 that Charlie Bourgeois, two weeks after this statement was
21 taken, had actually provided it to the London -- City of
22 London Police Force?

23 MR. RENSRAW: It's the first time I hear of
24 it.

25 MR. KLOEZE: Okay. Nobody talked to you at

1 the time about the Chief of Police of London or Julian
2 Fantino, and that these materials were going to be turned
3 over to him?

4 **MR. RENSRAW:** No.

5 **MR. KLOEZE:** No? Okay. Thank you, sir,
6 those are my questions.

7 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Thank you. So who's
8 next?

9 **SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** I'll be some time, sir. I
10 don't know if you want to give the witness a break now or
11 if you want to press on.

12 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Do you want to take a
13 break now or do you want to ---

14 **MR. RENSRAW:** Sure.

15 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay.

16 **THE REGISTRAR:** Order; all rise. A l'ordre;
17 veuillez vous levez.

18 --- Upon recessing at 4:10 p.m. /

19 L'audience est suspendue à 16h10

20 --- Upon resuming at 4:27 p.m. /

21 L'audience est reprise à 16h27

22 **THE REGISTRAR:** The hearing is now resumed,
23 please be seated. Veuillez vous assoir.

24 **THE COMMISSIONER:** All right. Mr. Sherriff-
25 Scott, please go ahead.

1 GERALD RENSRAW, Resumed/Sous le même serment

2 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
3 SHERRIFF-SCOTT

4 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Mr. Renshaw, my name's
5 David Sherriff-Scott. I act for the Diocese of Alexandria-
6 Cornwall. Okay? I'm going to ask you a number of
7 questions.

8 MR. RENSRAW: I've met you before.

9 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: I beg your pardon?

10 MR. RENSRAW: I've met you before.

11 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: I don't think so, but
12 as long as you don't tell me it was on Malcolm's cottage,
13 I'm okay.

14 (LAUGHTER/RIRES)

15 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: That was a no, wasn't
16 it, sir?

17 MR. RENSRAW: Right.

18 (LAUGHTER/RIRES)

19 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay, I want to see if
20 I can establish, sir, in summary of what we've heard
21 yesterday and today, four general propositions. Okay? And
22 I want you to acknowledge whether you agree with me or not
23 on these four points that I'm going to give you right now.
24 Okay?

25 MR. RENSRAW: Okay.

1 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Good. Number 1, you
2 don't have any first-hand, personal knowledge, regarding
3 wrongdoing by anyone at Ken Seguin's or Malcolm
4 MacDonald's, other than Ken Seguin?

5 **MR. RENSRAW:** Correct.

6 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Number 2, you don't
7 have any personal, first information -- first-hand
8 information regarding wrongdoing by any person from the
9 Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall?

10 **MR. RENSRAW:** Correct.

11 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Number 3, it would have
12 been clear to the officers interviewing you in your various
13 statements over the years, that the only first-hand
14 information you had, sir, was pertaining to Ken Seguin;
15 correct?

16 **MR. RENSRAW:** Correct.

17 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Well, wait a minute, now.
18 What do you mean by first-hand information about
19 wrongdoings?

20 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Yes, sir.

21 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay.

22 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** I should have qualified
23 that, thank you.

24 And number 4, you don't know what, if
25 anything, people you are alleging may have visited Malcolm

1 MacDonald's island, if I can use that expression, may have
2 seen there; correct?

3 MR. RENSRAW: Say that again?

4 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: You don't know what, if
5 anything, people you say visiting Malcolm MacDonald's
6 cottage may have seen there?

7 THE COMMISSIONER: Are you alluding to the -
8 --

9 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Yes.

10 THE COMMISSIONER: --- to the room?

11 MR. RENSRAW: No, because it was in the
12 common area as well.

13 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: You don't know, sir,
14 what anybody saw there? You don't have any personal
15 knowledge, do you?

16 MR. RENSRAW: Yes, I would.

17 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: So everybody who went
18 there saw this, is that the idea?

19 MR. RENSRAW: It was never hidden, is my
20 point.

21 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay, well, we'll come
22 back to that because you referred to it in a statement, and
23 I'll come to the statement and we'll talk about that one a
24 bit more. So on the first three, you agree with me.

25 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

1 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: We'll discuss the
2 fourth, as the thing unfolds. Okay?

3 So just by way of background, you're born
4 December '65?

5 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

6 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: You had a large family?

7 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

8 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Yes. You have to say
9 yes or no, just so we can roll along. This is background
10 mostly.

11 You had a number of brothers?

12 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

13 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you are the
14 youngest?

15 MR. RENSCHAW: Now I am.

16 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Now you are. Okay.
17 There was one earlier who was younger, but he is deceased?

18 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

19 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay.

20 And a number of your brothers have extensive
21 criminal records?

22 MR. RENSCHAW: I believe so.

23 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Yes. Robert has a very
24 extensive criminal record?

25 MR. RENSCHAW: I've never read it, but ---

1 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: You're aware that he's
2 got criminal convictions of a variety, kinds?

3 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

4 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: That he spent a number
5 of years or months in incarceration?

6 MR. RENSCHAW: Months.

7 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay.
8 You have a criminal record?

9 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

10 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And just to canvass
11 that, you were convicted of possession of stolen property?

12 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

13 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Mischief and break and
14 enter?

15 MR. RENSCHAW: No mischief.

16 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Assault?

17 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

18 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Impaired driving?

19 MR. RENSCHAW: No.

20 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Was your lawyer Mr.
21 Robert Talach of the Ledroit, Beckett firm?

22 MR. RENSCHAW: For what?

23 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: For your civil
24 proceeding against the Attorney General Corrections Canada?

25 MR. RENSCHAW: Yes.

1 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Okay. The reason I ask
2 you that, sir, and I'll take you to the transcript if needs
3 be must, but Mr. Talach said that those were the summary of
4 your convictions.

5 Does that not comport with your
6 recollection?

7 **MR. RENSRAW:** No.

8 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Okay. Mr. Talach was
9 wrong then when he said that?

10 **MR. RENSRAW:** Apparently.

11 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Apparently.

12 Well, he said that on an examination for
13 discovery of April 12th, 2006. And I just want to show that
14 to you, so that we can be clear.

15 **MR. RENSRAW:** Well, would you not have a
16 copy of my record?

17 **THE COMMISSIONER:** No, no, no. No one has a
18 copy of your record at this point, in any event, I don't
19 think.

20 **MR. ENGELMANN:** There is no need to show him
21 a transcript for that purpose. He asked the witness, he's
22 asked the witness.

23 **THE COMMISSIONER:** So we -- so that you read
24 it from the -- you can read it to him, but I mean what does
25 it matter? If he says -- he acknowledges -- he does not

1 dispute the fact that you're saying his lawyer said that.
2 He just ---

3 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Well, fair enough --
4 his lawyer is his agent, so his lawyer said that. If he
5 accepts that, I don't have to put it to him.

6 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Do you accept that your
7 lawyer would have said that in an examination for
8 discovery, although you think he was wrong?

9 **MR. RENSRAW:** He could have, yes.

10 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Fair enough.

11 Now, sir, you're aware that your brother,
12 Robert, had a very severe drug and alcohol addiction
13 problem in his teens and early twenties as well as beyond
14 that?

15 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Relevance of that?

16 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Well, I don't want to
17 debate the relevance in the presence of the witness. I
18 have a very acute theory of this issue.

19 Could you -- Madam Clerk, could you ask the
20 witness to wait outside -- escort him out or maybe Mr. --
21 oh, there we go. Sorry, Patrick.

22 **(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)**

23 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes, sir?

24 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** These are
25 straightforward matters, which I believe the witness will

1 concede and there is a transcript to ---

2 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay, but what's the
3 relevance?

4 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** The relevance is this;
5 that at the time he met Mr. Seguin, he was living with his
6 brother, Robert.

7 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Right.

8 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** His brother, Robert,
9 had a very extensive criminal record, a very extensive drug
10 problem.

11 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

12 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** His drug problem, on
13 Robert's admission, led him to seek -- engage in what
14 amounted to prostitution with Mr. Seguin.

15 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Who's -- this is Robert?

16 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Yes, his brother
17 Robert, sir.

18 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay. Just a second ---

19 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Wait until I finish.

20 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Let him finish.

21 **MR. LEE:** (off mic)

22 **THE COMMISSIONER:** I'm sorry?

23 **MR. LEE:** I'm not sure if this is worth
24 hearing frankly, if that's going to the tone of it, to
25 suggest that Mr. Bob Renshaw was engaged in prostitution.

1 I think we should maybe have some basis to that before ---

2 **MR. ENGELMANN:** He was a witness here.

3 **MR. LEE:** He was a witness here.

4 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** May I finish?

5 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes.

6 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Thank you.

7 Aside from the characterization of it being
8 ridiculous, here -- if I can just -- if I may trace my
9 theory.

10 **THE COMMISSIONER:** That's why you're here.

11 --- SUBMISSIONS BY/REPRÉSENTATIONS PAR MR. DAVID SHERRIFF-
12 SCOTT:

13 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Okay.

14 Mr. -- first of all, I want to get his
15 background, which includes this family life. Mr. Robert
16 Renshaw was his brother and, if I may, Robert Renshaw had a
17 very extensive criminal record, a very extensive drug and
18 alcohol habit, which he testified was costing him hundreds
19 and hundreds of dollars per day.

20 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

21 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** He testified and stated
22 as an admission that in the result, he was seeking money
23 from Mr. Seguin; that that was in exchange for sexual
24 favours.

25 Then Mr. Renshaw Junior moved in with his

1 brother at the height of this activity.

2 Then he began to be charged with criminal
3 offences, which you will see from the record and from his
4 admissions and from transcripts, if necessary, demonstrate
5 he and his brother -- or at least his brother had a major
6 influence on him -- essentially, this is the time he comes
7 into contact with Mr. Seguin, and the same thing happens
8 with him, and it's admitted in the transcripts.

9 Now, I don't -- this isn't a descriptor of
10 my attitude, but I don't care, for the purpose of my
11 examination, whether or not the witness was abused by Mr.
12 Seguin. But what I want to demonstrate, and what I hope to
13 establish is that a number of things were happening at Mr.
14 Seguin's.

15 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

16 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** I want to establish
17 generally that the character and type of person who was
18 there -- the kind of activity that was going on ---

19 **THE COMMISSIONER:** I'm sorry, the character?

20 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** The age range and
21 character of the type of individuals who were frequenting
22 Mr. Seguin's residence.

23 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Now, I see there are two
24 groups. Are you talking about the probationers who
25 supposedly were there or Father Charlie MacDonald and that

1 group?

2 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** No, the probationer
3 end. The younger people.

4 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Right. Okay.

5 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** The probationers.

6 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes.

7 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** So I want to establish
8 what was going on there, why it was going on, what the
9 relationships were, on what they were based.

10 Now this witness, if I'm permitted, as will
11 appear from his sworn testimony, admits a number of very
12 important pieces of information, which are germane to the
13 assessment of what was going on there.

14 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

15 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** And I care not, in
16 terms of the examination, whether he's right or wrong about
17 abuse, but what he will, I submit, admit under the
18 compulsion of his prior testimony is that he was engaged in
19 the exchange of money, loans, vehicles and other things for
20 sexual favours, and that this went on for a lengthy period
21 of time into his twenties. That he was extensively aware
22 of what was going on at Mr. Seguin's, including Mr. Ron
23 Leroux' activities, which I will come to. And all of this
24 involves the background and lead up to it of his brother,
25 their relationship, how that was affecting him and how it

1 led him to be introduced to Mr. Seguin. Mr. Robert Renshaw
2 was also there.

3 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

4 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** So I appreciate that
5 there is concern here that this is too harsh, but in
6 reality, there is reflected in the statements, as a
7 counter-distinction from the transcripts and the other
8 evidence, and contra-distinction to the police statements,
9 a very different story about what was happening here. And
10 I have a theory of the case about what was going on at this
11 place, and it doesn't comport with what Mr. Renshaw says or
12 what Mr. Leroux, who will follow him says. And so, I
13 submit that you should give me wide latitude here to
14 explore this with the witness.

15 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay, but for what goal?
16 You act for the Diocese.

17 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Yes.

18 **THE COMMISSIONER:** As far as I can see, the
19 only thing that you really are concerned about is whether
20 or not the Bishop was there, whether Father Charlie was
21 there, whether a bunch of priests were there.

22 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** I don't agree. I think
23 I'm entitled to explore all of this with the witness as any
24 other person would be.

25 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Why?

1 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Because it's germane to
2 my theory of the case before this Inquiry as to what was
3 happening here. It's germane to the witness' credibility
4 on what he was telling authorities, including what he was
5 telling authorities about people connected with the
6 Diocese.

7 And so I should be permitted to explore his
8 credibility on these statements to the limit, I submit.

9 As I said to you, I'm not going to put to
10 him "you were not abused by Mr. Seguin."

11 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Of course not, there's --
12 it's irrelevant.

13 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Well, and it's not in
14 my interest to do that. I have no interest in doing that.

15 What I have an interest in doing here is
16 testing the environment, describing it for you -- when we
17 had debates before, sir, about publication bans and other
18 things, one of the things you said to me is "shouldn't I
19 get to the bottom of this issue of a clan."

20 And I don't think I agreed with whether you
21 should, but I think that was one of the things you were
22 thinking about. And since that is alleged to include
23 people connected with my client, I submit I should be
24 entitled to explore very much to the limit what was going
25 on and what his role was here.

1 This person was intimately connected with
2 Ron Leroux, C-8, Mr. Seguin. And I want to know what was
3 going on and what his involvement was because, I submit,
4 his story will not hold up about a lot of things, including
5 my clients, if I'm allowed to explore that, and that's in
6 my interest very much so.

7 Just as it is in the interest of any other
8 person whose client member is said to be there or not.

9 The story here is all this is happening.
10 This isn't abuse of him, aside from Mr. Seguin -- saying he
11 was abused by Mr. Seguin. But he's made all these
12 statements about all these people, including a number of
13 people connected with my client, and other institutions.

14 Now, I submit, all the institutions have and
15 should have the greatest latitude in exploring the
16 credibility of those assertions. Those aren't assertions
17 of sexual abuse. Those are assertions of essentially
18 impropriety, of a behaviour, of a group, of a clan, et
19 cetera, all through the attachment of these people to a
20 variety of locations and activities.

21 I just think it would be, given the tone and
22 character of the witness' testimony over the last day-and-
23 a-half, this is very aggressive testimony, not in terms of
24 his character and presentation; but this is very serious
25 testimony. This individual is showing -- photographs are

1 being put up on the screen of members of institutions in
2 this city.

3 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

4 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** And we should be
5 entitled to explore the credibility of these assertions
6 very, very significantly.

7 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay. Thank you.

8 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** I can sit down; and my
9 friends want to rise, I assume.

10 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes. Thank you. No,
11 well, we'll see where we go. Anybody else wish to comment?
12 Mr. Lee.

13 **--- SUBMISSIONS BY/REPRÉSENTATIONS PAR MR. DALLAS LEE:**

14 **MR. LEE:** Robert Renshaw was here. He
15 testified. He subjected himself to cross-examination.
16 He's gone. He's home. He's not coming back.

17 We are told today that he was a prostitute.
18 It wasn't put to him. He's not given an opportunity to
19 defend himself. We are told there are transcripts where he
20 admits to being a prostitute. I can tell you, sir, that's
21 absurd. I have read the transcripts, and he does no such
22 thing. And even if he did, we can't put it to him now.
23 He's gone. He's done. It wasn't put to him at the time.

24 How can we put a transcript of Robert
25 Renshaw to Gerry Renshaw to prove the fact that Robert

1 Renshaw allegedly was a prostitute? It doesn't make any
2 sense to me.

3 There are no documents here that suggest
4 that -- well, not that suggest; that's the wrong word --
5 Mr. Sherriff-Scott represented that Mr. Gerald Renshaw has
6 admitted apparently in transcripts that he was a
7 prostitute, as well. He hasn't. If he's asked the
8 question here, I'm sure he'll tell you the same thing. And
9 that's not something he is going to be impeached on,
10 whether or not he made a prior inconsistent statement
11 indicating that he was. And it is irresponsible to be up
12 here making these statements publicly -- making the
13 assertion when there is no opportunity for these people to
14 defend themselves, in particular, Mr. Robert Renshaw.

15 You raised the point; what does this have to
16 do with the Diocese? What does any of this have to do with
17 the Diocese? If he wants to cross-examine
18 Mr. Renshaw and who he saw and where he saw him, by all
19 means. If he wants to cross-examine on whether he was
20 abused by Ken Seguin, that's entirely different.

21 Mr. Sherriff-Scott said a moment ago that he
22 is not going to cross-examine on that, but it seems to me
23 that things go hand-in-hand; that prostitution is not
24 abuse. It goes directly to the heart. It goes to the
25 details of the abuse. It goes to everything that these

1 witnesses have been promised that we are not going to get
2 into.

3 I don't see what it has to do with the
4 Diocese. I don't see what it has to do here, and I don't
5 see how it's proper.

6 In particular, if we are talking about
7 transcripts of Robert Renshaw, what does that have to do
8 with Gerry Renshaw? We have two Discovery transcripts of
9 Robert Renshaw. How can those possibly be put to this
10 witness? We are not going to impeach Gerry on what Bob
11 said.

12 I don't understand where we are going here
13 or what is going on, sir, but I cannot more strongly oppose
14 what's happened here in terms of the allegations that have
15 been thrown out, with the suggestion that there's a direct
16 support and direct admissions in transcripts that don't
17 exist.

18 **THE COMMISSIONER:** All right. Shall we
19 canvass -- Anyone else wish to make any comments?

20 Mr. Canto. I take it you are ---

21 **MR. CANTO:** No comments.

22 **THE COMMISSIONER:** No comments.

23 Mr. Chisholm, any comments?

24 --- SUBMISSIONS BY/REPRÉSENTATIONS PAR MR. PETER CHISHOLM:

25 **MR. CHISHOLM:** Good afternoon,

1 Mr. Commissioner.

2 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Good afternoon.

3 **MR. CHISHOLM:** My view on the cross-
4 examination of a witness and the way that I've tried to
5 conduct my cross-examinations with respect to witnesses
6 that have touched upon my client's interests would be that,
7 with respect to the allegation -- any allegations of abuse
8 that they put forth -- it is my view that whether or not
9 they were abused is not an area that we should go down in
10 terms of testing their credibility.

11 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

12 **MR. CHISHOLM:** But with respect to their
13 credibility in other areas, it would be my view that the
14 Parties -- the witness -- the counsel for the Parties
15 withstanding, would be entitled to test their credibility
16 on other areas outside, whether or not the witness was
17 abused.

18 The reason for that is these witnesses, and
19 again I'm speaking of the witnesses that are touched by my
20 client's interests, have indicated that certain things were
21 or were not done by my client and its employees. I would
22 submit that that raises serious issues with respect to the
23 Parties withstanding, with respect to their reputations and
24 the reputations of employees of those institutions. And to
25 be fair to those Parties, the credibility of a witness

1 dealing with areas outside of whether or not that witness
2 was abused is entitled to be examined.

3 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Even when it means that
4 cross-examination is going to zero in and say, "You weren't
5 abused; you were a prostitute"?

6 **MR. CHISHOLM:** Well ---

7 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Well, I'll get back to
8 you on that.

9 **MR. CHISHOLM:** My position would be that
10 that not be an area -- Challenging the witness on whether
11 or not he or she was abused is not an area that is open to
12 question by the counsel for Parties withstanding. But in
13 areas outside of the issue of abuse, it would be my
14 position that the Parties are entitled to fully examine a
15 witness' credibility.

16 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Thank you.

17 **MR. CHISHOLM:** Thank you, sir.

18 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Mr. Rose.

19 --- SUBMISSIONS BY/REPRÉSENTATIONS PAR MR.DAVID ROSE:

20 **MR. ROSE:** Mr. Commissioner, I support Mr.
21 Sherriff-Scott, I think, for the reason that the
22 circumstances by which this witness came to have contact
23 with Mr. Seguin and, as well, these other individuals that
24 he has raised, including members of the Diocese, is very
25 much a live issue.

1 As I understand the examination in-chief and
2 the tenor of Commission Counsel's questioning, I expect
3 that inferences will be sought at the end of the day
4 regarding the nature of their relationship; in other words,
5 the nature of the relationship between Mr. Seguin, Father
6 Charles MacDonald, and the list goes on. We've heard about
7 them for the last couple of days.

8 I expect that you will be invited to make
9 certain inferences and perhaps findings of fact on that.
10 In my respectful submission, given -- if I can phrase it
11 the right way -- the controversial nature of that, I mean
12 this is not simply saying I was abused by an individual in
13 an office. There is an allegation of a clan and that's --
14 we call it, inflammatory, controversial and so on and so
15 forth. It's a very large issue and, in my respectful
16 submission, because it's a large issue, it washes over,
17 through the entire course of this witness' dealings with
18 Mr. Seguin, and affects possible findings down the road.

19 So in my respectful submission, it is a live
20 issue, and I'm anxious to make sure that the scope of
21 cross-examination on the nature of the relationship with
22 this witness and Mr. Seguin not be unduly limited.

23 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Well, we have -- Mr.
24 Sherriff-Scott came up with four points, three of which the
25 witness has agreed.

1 Number one, he has seen absolutely nothing
2 improper or wrongdoing with the people that he saw; that no
3 one from the Diocese was involved in anything improper that
4 he personally saw. And whatever else.

5 So the issue then is, is this not just an
6 eyewitness -- what do you call those fellows -- occurrence
7 witness. I mean he's there ---

8 **MR. ROSE:** I'm sorry, I didn't hear.

9 **THE COMMISSIONER:** An occurrence witness.

10 **MR. ROSE:** An occurrence witness.

11 **THE COMMISSIONER:** I saw so and so come here
12 and go there. And so from there, we can cross-examine him
13 as to his ability to see, his ability to recognize people,
14 maybe we get into his motive -- maybe. But to go through -
15 - I don't know.

16 I don't know if the groundwork has been
17 sufficiently laid to be able to go through and accuse his
18 brother of being a male prostitute. To suggest that this
19 witness has gone through to become part of the sex trade, I
20 guess. I don't know.

21 **MR. ROSE:** I'll leave that to
22 Mr. Sherriff-Scott to explore that. He has to lay the
23 groundwork, obviously, but in my respectful submission, it
24 sounds from his submissions that he can establish that
25 groundwork if it's groundwork that you are seeking.

1 Now, if you are asking ---

2 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Well groundwork has to be
3 done before we put it to the witness.

4 **MR. ROSE:** If you're asking me why does Mr.
5 Sherriff-Scott want to explore this after establishing the
6 three proposition which he's agreed upon, I have no doubt
7 that as an advocate, as an experienced advocate, Mr.
8 Sheriff-Scott can explain why this is necessary.

9 And I can see a number of reasons. I don't
10 want to speak for Mr. Sherriff-Scott on that very point. I
11 ---

12 **THE COMMISSIONER:** But you're endorsing him.

13 **MR. ROSE:** Because I don't want to limit --
14 unduly limit the scope of cross-examination on the
15 relationship between Mr. Seguin and Mr. Renshaw. In my
16 respectful submission, that is a live issue.

17 And I think we've already heard over the
18 last couple of days, Mr. Commissioner, issues about things
19 that Mr. Renshaw has received from Mr. Seguin.

20 Now, this could impact any number of issues,
21 because we've heard inconsistencies in terms of reporting
22 and why things were reported at this time and not others.
23 That's been fairly established, or it's been established to
24 an extent. But it is an important thing for institutional
25 response. So it's crucial to my client. But it all

1 overlaps, in my respectful submission.

2 And, again, I'm anxious that we not limit
3 the cross-examination of this witness on this issue.

4 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Thank you.

5 All right. Mr. Kloeze.

6 --- SUBMISSIONS BY/REPRÉSENTATIONS PAR MR. KLOEZE:

7 **MR. KLOEZE:** Mr. Commissioner, I would
8 support the submissions have been made by my friends, Mr.
9 Rose and Mr. Chisholm.

10 I understand what Mr. Sherriff-Scott is
11 going to is the allegation that there's a clan of
12 pedophiles, not allegations of abuse. And that was raised
13 in this evidence and it may be raised again next week.

14 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Just a minute. What was
15 raised in this evidence?

16 **MR. KLOEZE:** Sorry, I understood Mr.
17 Engelmann to be taking this witness to some of his
18 statements where he had alleged there was a clan of
19 pedophiles, in his statements.

20 **THE COMMISSIONER:** This witness said there
21 was a clan of pedophiles?

22 **MR. KLOEZE:** Yes. And Mr. Engelmann brought
23 him to those statements.

24 **THE COMMISSIONER:** And where is that? Show
25 it to me.

1 MR. KLOEZE: It was in the ---

2 THE COMMISSIONER: Is that where Mr.
3 Sherriff-Scott objected and we abandoned the question?

4 MR. KLOEZE: Yes, it was that line of
5 questioning, at Exhibit 551.

6 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

7 MR. KLOEZE: Sorry, at page 16 of 20.

8 THE COMMISSIONER: Right.

9 MR. KLOEZE: There were questions before
10 that which I'm not able to locate, as well.

11 THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm. So Mr. Sherriff-
12 Scott gets up and objects. The question is withdrawn and
13 he objects because you can't go there. You can't go and
14 talk about that. But now we're going to turn around and
15 talk about it.

16 MR. KLOEZE: Well, not only that.

17 THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Help me out.

18 MR. KLOEZE: Next week, the un-submitted
19 evidence we have from the witness coming next week, again,
20 refers to the existence of a clan of pedophiles ---

21 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

22 MR. KLOEZE: --- and the allegation running
23 in the community that there was a clan of pedophiles and
24 what the witness has to say about that.

25 THE COMMISSIONER: Right.

1 **MR. KLOEZE:** As Mr. Rose said, you've -- Mr.
2 Sherriff-Scott, you have said from the beginning that you
3 want to get to the bottom of it. So one of the
4 allegations, one of the major allegation's running in this
5 community, is that there is a clan of pedophiles.

6 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Oh, absolutely.
7 Absolutely. But, but, it's the -- well, I'll hear from Mr.
8 Sherriff-Scott again. There's no doubt that I want to hear
9 to the bottom of that. But what he's doing, from what I
10 can gather, is he's going to put it to the witness, you
11 were not abused, you sold yourself.

12 **MR. KLOEZE:** I understand what Mr. Sherriff-
13 Scott is saying is he's not questioning this witness on his
14 allegations of abuse against Mr. Seguin but his allegations
15 about the activities and the relationships between the
16 people on the island. But I can leave it to Mr. Sherriff-
17 Scott to talk about.

18 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Well, we'll get back --
19 no, he's not doing that. From what I understand, and he'll
20 correct me I'm sure, is that he's going to start off by
21 saying, "Your brother sold himself for sex and you sold
22 yourself for sex".

23 So if that's the case, what would you say to
24 that?

25 **MR. KLOEZE:** As I said, I understood his --

1 I understand where he's going.

2 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Humour me. Humour me.
3 Humour me. Assume that I am right, maybe for a minute
4 here, that that's what he wants to do; that he's going to
5 say that, "Your brother sold himself and in the end so did
6 you".

7 **MR. KLOEZE:** Well, then I'll echo Mr.
8 Chisholm's submissions on that point. You have said as
9 well that you're not going to the truth of the allegations
10 of abuse themselves and the information that was given to
11 the institutions. But this is a broader theory that's now
12 been opened. Not the truth of the allegations themselves,
13 about specific acts of abuse.

14 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Oh, absolutely.

15 **MR. KLOEZE:** But a broader theory about a
16 conspiracy and a clan and relationships among different
17 people. Not about truths of allegations of abuse, because
18 as I understand this witness, he's not made any allegations
19 of abuse against any of the people who he alleges to be in
20 the clan.

21 **THE COMMISSIONER:** No. Okay.

22 Thank you.

23 All right. Mr. Manderville.

24 --- SUBMISSIONS BY/REPRÉSENTATIONS PAR MR. MANDERVILLE:

25 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** Thank you, sir.

1 I would echo Mr. Sherriff-Scott's and Mr.
2 Rose's submissions.

3 As you know, one of your core functions, as
4 Justice Corey said in the case on the Blood Inquiry and
5 again in the Westray Mining Inquiry, one of the things you
6 have to assess at the end of the day is credibility.

7 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

8 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** My friend, Mr. Engelmann,
9 made no bones about it earlier today that he wishes an
10 inference to be drawn about what was out at Malcolm's
11 cottage and what people ought to have seen or may have
12 seen. When we cross-examine this witness or other
13 witnesses, part of assisting you in your mandate is a
14 weighing of their credibility, if that is an appropriate
15 exercise to go through.

16 A great part of the examination in-chief
17 today did not deal with, "Were you abused by Mr. Seguin and
18 what was the institutional response to that abuse?" A
19 great part of the examination in-chief was, "And who else
20 was at this party, and who else went over to Malcolm's
21 cottage?"

22 **THE COMMISSIONER:** There's no doubt about
23 that.

24 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** And ---

25 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Let me stop you for a

1 minute.

2 First of all, Mr. Seguin was deceased before
3 he came forward and told any institution. Therefore, there
4 really wasn't any institutional response. The guy was
5 dead; correct?

6 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** Correct.

7 **THE COMMISSIONER:** So, yes, I think it's
8 fair to say that the bulk of this gentleman's evidence is
9 with respect to who he saw, where, and when. No doubt
10 about it.

11 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** And that is a very live
12 and contentious issue ---

13 **THE COMMISSIONER:** That one is.

14 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** --- for a number of the
15 parties with standing here.

16 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Absolutely.

17 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** And one of the things that
18 is, I submit, a legitimate exercise to undertake is to
19 explore whether that evidence is worthy of belief; whether
20 this witnesses evidence on this point is worthy of belief.
21 Maybe it's mistaken. Maybe it's incredible.

22 Those are legitimate exercises to undertake.

23 **THE COMMISSIONER:** I don't disagree with
24 you.

25 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** Cross-examination is the

1 method ---

2 **THE COMMISSIONER:** I don't disagree with
3 you.

4 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** --- I wish to do it.

5 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Well, it depends. I
6 think the point, and we're getting down to it, is if the
7 cross-examination is going to go to the heart of his
8 complaint of being sexually abused, then there's an
9 overlap. And the issue is to decide how relevant is the
10 cross-examination on what some people might call a
11 collateral issue, right, have to do with -- no, we take
12 turns here.

13 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** I know. I don't mean to
14 interrupt you.

15 **THE COMMISSIONER:** So put your ---

16 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** I just didn't understand
17 the collateral issue. What you mean by a collateral issue.

18 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Well, a collateral issue
19 is the issue of the sexual abuse. It's there. All right.
20 And if it was -- I agree that cross-examination as to who
21 was there is a very important thing, but if it overlaps on
22 whether or not his complaint of sexual abuse is one of
23 prostitution, then I think that's the grey area I have to
24 decide.

25 There's no question we can cross-examine Mr.

1 Renshaw. No doubt about it. And I'm prepared to give as
2 wide a discretion as possible. But to start off by saying
3 cross-examining on his brother's transcripts and things
4 like that, I'm going to have to revisit that with Mr.
5 Sherriff-Scott.

6 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** We'll leave it to Mr.
7 Sherriff-Scott then.

8 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes, yes.

9 **MR. MANDERVILLE:** That was my turn, I guess.

10 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes.

11 Ms. Costom.

12 --- SUBMISSIONS BY/REPRÉSENTATIONS PAR MS. SUZANNE COSTOM:

13 **MS. COSTOM:** Good evening, Mr. Commissioner.

14 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Good evening.

15 **MS. COSTOM:** I was happy to hear you just
16 say that you agree that Mr. Renshaw is here primarily
17 because of what he saw, and who he saw, and where he saw
18 it, and that his purpose at the Inquiry as a witness is
19 going to be to contribute to either confirming or, perhaps,
20 overturning the rumours and innuendo and lies that have
21 been flying around about who was connected to whom, when,
22 and where.

23 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

24 **MS. COSTOM:** And I think that in order for
25 parties, and in particular the public institutions, to test

1 our theory of the case as to whether or not any sort of
2 conspiracy existed or didn't exist, or any sort of clan
3 existed or didn't exist, we have to craft very meticulous
4 cross-examinations.

5 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes.

6 **MS. COSTOM:** And we can't be put under the
7 microscope right at the very beginning as to the steps that
8 we're going to take in building this brick house, which
9 eventually is going to lead to our ultimate theory; and I
10 would ask that you would give Mr. Sherriff-Scott a certain
11 amount of leeway, as I would expect that you would give to
12 all of the public institutions, particularly in dealing
13 with a witness like this, who as we have all agreed is here
14 for a very, very particular purpose.

15 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Fine.

16 Thank you.

17 Mr. Carroll?

18 **---SUBMISSIONS BY/REPRÉSENTATIONS PAR MR. WILLAM CARROLL:**

19 **MR. CARROLL:** It seems to me that perhaps
20 the first order of business is to go back to what you said
21 about Mr. Sherriff-Scott needing to establish a foundation,
22 and if we hear from him on that issue we may be able to
23 make more precise suggestions to you as to how it should
24 proceed.

1 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

2 Mr. Sherriff-Scott?

3 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Yes?

4 THE COMMISSIONER: Hang on. Hang on. Before
5 maybe I should hear from Mr. Engelmann, then we'll go back.

6 ---SUBMISSIONS BY/REPRÉSENTATIONS PAR MR. PETER ENGELMANN:

7 MR. ENGELMANN: Just a couple quick points.

8 I wasn't here for the evidence of Robert
9 Renshaw, but what I just heard from Mr. Sherriff-Scott
10 about Robert Renshaw is certainly nothing I remember
11 reading about when I skimmed the transcript.

12 It doesn't matter how broad cross-
13 examination is, it doesn't matter whether we're in an
14 informal administrative tribunal, a criminal court, a civil
15 proceeding. One puts the questions to the witness when the
16 witness is in the box.

17 So if we're going to comment on the person's
18 character we should do it when that person is in the box.
19 We shouldn't do indirectly what we should directly.

20 And we should be mindful, no matter who the
21 witness is, no matter what the witness is talking about, as
22 you told us a few months back when you gave us some
23 directions that in eliciting evidence from witnesses, even
24 in cross-examination, you should do so in a manner that is
25 both effective and non-threatening.

1 And I agree with every counsel that's gotten
2 up and has said that there should be a broad scope of
3 cross-examination when we're dealing with allegations of
4 conspiracy, allegations of a ring, allegations of whatever
5 -- we've been asked to call this evidence, and we're
6 calling the evidence just as everybody had asked for.

7 But I'm concerned -- I'm very concerned with
8 how the suggestion for the cross-examination, and I don't
9 know if it's Mr. Sherriff-Scott's theory or his client's
10 theory, but his theory of what went on would just be
11 grossly inappropriate to challenge someone else's
12 character, who's already been here, if it wasn't challenged
13 when it was.

14 So I think all council should be mindful of
15 that no matter what kind of a hearing we're in here.

16 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Thank you.

17 Mr. Sherriff-Scott.

18 ---**SUBMISSIONS BY/REPRÉSENTATIONS PAR MR. DAVID SHERRIFF-**
19 **SCOTT:**

20 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Yes.

21 In terms of foundational points I would say
22 the following:

23 First of all Mr. Robert Renshaw admitted to
24 an extensive drug habit when he was here in the box.

25 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

1 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** He admitted to an
2 extensive criminal record.

3 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

4 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** And in his examination
5 for discovery, in black and white, he admitted to exchange
6 of sexual favours for money with
7 Mr. Seguin.

8 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay. Examination for
9 discovery?

10 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** That's right.

11 **THE COMMISSIONER:** That's a transcript some
12 place?

13 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** That's a transcript
14 where there's an admission by the witness.

15 **THE COMMISSIONER:** No, no, no; not by this
16 witness.

17 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Yes, that's right.

18 **THE COMMISSIONER:** I'm sorry?

19 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** That's correct.

20 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay, let's start back
21 again. You're telling me that this gentleman here, this
22 witness Gerry Renshaw, makes that admission in the
23 transcript?

24 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** No, let me back up.

25 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay.

1 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Okay. Let's start with
2 Robert Renshaw.

3 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Robert Renshaw.

4 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** On whom I was examining
5 this witness, his brother.

6 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Right.

7 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** He came here, he
8 admitted in the box to an extensive drug habit.

9 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes.

10 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** He did the same in his
11 discovery.

12 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Right.

13 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** He admitted to an
14 extensive criminal record.

15 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Right.

16 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** And he admits in his
17 transcript, an admission in black and white, of exchange
18 for cash for sexual favours.

19 **THE COMMISSIONER:** That wasn't canvassed
20 with him.

21 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** It's an admission in a
22 transcript.

23 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Sir, sir.

24 No, no, first of all; the theatrics ---

25 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** I appreciate the point.

1 **THE COMMISSIONER:** No, no; but you're not
2 answering me.

3 You didn't put it to him when he was in this
4 box?

5 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** No, I did not. It's in
6 the transcript, and I did not put it to him; yes.

7 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay.

8 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Second ---

9 **THE COMMISSIONER:** And in query, I don't
10 know if you could have put it to him if it pertained to the
11 allegations of sexual abuse.

12 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** I don't share that
13 limited view of this inquiry, but ---

14 **THE COMMISSIONER:** That's fine.

15 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** --- I know that's
16 bandied about.

17 My thesis here is, first of all, if I can
18 back up with Robert Renshaw.

19 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes.

20 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** I take your point, and
21 let me explain the foundation.

22 This individual's brother -- he came to live
23 with him. He came to live with him at a time when Robert
24 Renshaw was engaged in all this activity on his own
25 admission, black and white. Whether here, whether

1 somewhere else, clear admissions, I submit in the
2 transcript and here.

3 He admits -- Robert Renshaw does, that he
4 was extensively engaged in criminal activity, thus bringing
5 him into contact with Mr. Seguin.

6 It's at this very time this witness, in his
7 AE, and will testify, and has testified, moves in with his
8 brother.

9 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

10 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** At the same time he
11 contends he's introduced to Mr. Seguin, with whom his
12 brother has already established a relationship.

13 His brother refers to a lot of cash. This
14 witness goes on in his transcripts, which I intend to put
15 to him.

16 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

17 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Maybe he was sexually
18 abused, but at least, in part, I will say to him that he
19 was receiving financial assistance, and he admits it in the
20 transcript I intend to refer to, if necessary. Extensive
21 financial assistance in exchange for sexual favours.

22 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

23 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** And that is an
24 important point, because it establishes a *res gestae*,
25 whatever you want to call it; it establishes Mr. Seguin's

1 *modis vivendi*; it establishes what's going on there; it
2 establishes the credibility of some of these witnesses
3 assertions with respect to activities of a clan.

4 Also ---

5 **THE COMMISSIONER:** No, I missed that. As
6 for as the connection -- I don't see the connection.

7 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** I submit, with respect,
8 that the suggestion that I should be able to cross-examine
9 him on whether he's got the right ocular prescription, or
10 whether it was sunny or hazy on the day thus impacting on
11 his ability to visually recognize the witness, is a theory
12 of credibility that I don't accept.

13 I submit that in any proceeding, and this
14 one included ---

15 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

16 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** --- that when a man
17 gets in the witness box, and the statement Exhibit 552
18 contends in Paragraphs 41, 42, 43, and 44 are all put to
19 the witness and entered as an exhibit that "there's a clan
20 of pedophiles," and this is not the one I rose to object to
21 because that was a later statement by
22 Mr. Dunlop.

23 The documents on the record now make this
24 allegation, and this individual has made the allegation;
25 this has been canvassed with him.

1 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Wait a minute. He
2 testified about a clan of pedophiles?

3 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** It's right in the
4 statement that has been tendered and put in the record as
5 an exhibit and it was reviewed with him by your counsel.

6 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay.

7 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** It's exhibit 552.

8 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay. Hold on now, let's
9 look at it.

10 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Five-five-two (552).
11 Paragraphs 41 and following.

12 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Five-five-two (552).
13 Paragraphs?

14 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Forty-one (41) and
15 following, Commissioner.

16 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Forty-one (41) and
17 following.

18 **(SHORT PAUSE/COURT PAUSE)**

19 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:**

20 "Ken said the group was coming apart at
21 the seams."

22 This is referring to a group of people ---

23 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Just a minute. Where are
24 you looking at?

25 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Paragraph 41, the third

1 line, starting with capital K:

2 "Ken said that the group was coming
3 apart at the seams. I know they were
4 homosexuals, but as I look back now I
5 believe in my heart and my gut they
6 were homosexual pedophiles."

7 The he refers to why he left Mr. Seguin's
8 house, because he says:

9 "He was coming onto me sexually and
10 pressures from friends and co-workers
11 were saying, What are you doing living
12 with a queer? Are you one?"

13 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

14 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** I submit this
15 statement, given his admissions in the transcript, is
16 profoundly inconsistent with his sworn evidence.

17 "A lot of the people were on probation,
18 stopping at Ken's home."

19 And let's be real, this individual's story
20 here is that he sees all these people at Malcolm and Ken's;
21 and your counsel was at pains in an elaborate foundation
22 creation exercise to establish Malcolm MacDonald and his
23 home -- you know -- flourishing with pornography, thus ---

24 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Whoa, whoa -- wait just a
25 minute. Counsel was examining what was in here.

1 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Your counsel was laying
2 the foundation ---

3 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Laying a foundation? I
4 thought counsel's work was just to come up, bring the
5 witnesses, and tell them what they had to say.

6 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** When I say laying a
7 foundation, I mean to establish a point.

8 What happened in the examination for-chief
9 is Malcolm MacDonald's cottage was portrayed very
10 elaborately and in detail with diagrammatic instances and
11 illustrations of where all this pornography was, and
12 virtually covering walls, as the witnesses has said.

13 And then the very next exercise that was
14 embarked on in terms of a questioning thesis was, "Okay --
15 Who was there?"

16 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Well who would have seen
17 -- well, first of all -- no, no, no -- how about if we look
18 at it the other way, that this witness comes forward and we
19 are trying to see what Mr Dunlop did with him. It wasn't
20 Commission counsel who had him make the drawing, it was Mr.
21 Dunlop, so this was all: what did Mr. Dunlop have you do,
22 ta, ta, ta, ta; and, what did Mr. Dunlop ask you?

23 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** That wasn't in his
24 statement.

25 **THE COMMISSIONER:** What's that?

1 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** That wasn't in his
2 statement what he said today, all of that. There is a
3 general reference to what he calls skin flick lying around
4 and then he says there were tapes that he didn't view.
5 Then he described in an elaborate fashion an entire wall of
6 pornography.

7 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Well, it's in the
8 bedroom.

9 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Well, he is saying it's
10 plainly visible if the doors are open and it's all over the
11 walls, et cetera, et cetera. There was a very detailed
12 examination on this point.

13 Then the next step in the examination was to
14 link all the people who were said to have gone there, thus
15 implying, inferring or attempting to infer that they all
16 would have witnessed this and thus they were part of some
17 clan, which this witness testifies existed.

18 **THE COMMISSIONER:** I think you are jumping
19 to a lot of conclusions.

20 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Well, I think that the
21 conclusions will be urged on you, and there was a
22 concession that this inference essentially will be drawn
23 and urged.

24 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Just a second. Just a
25 second. You forget one thing, that the inferences to be

1 decided upon are mine, and I'm sure that you are going to
2 say that, first of all, with respect to this witness he,
3 when he went there, may have seen some pornographic adult
4 magazines lying around but that doesn't mean that they
5 weren't picked up and put away when somebody else was
6 coming and the door was shut.

7 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Maybe you are right.

8 He also says that there were people,
9 including references to individuals employed by my client,
10 going to Florida on a gay strip and the implication being
11 using male prostitutes.

12 I don't understand, when we are sitting here
13 and people's photographs are being flashed on the screen
14 and they're being said to be at Mr. Seguin's and Mr.
15 MacDonald's in the circumstances of this inquiry, how we
16 cannot be entitled to vigorously cross-examine this
17 individual on all of his credibility, full stop. This
18 individual is essentially urging the conclusion his
19 evidence will be used -- whether you draw the conclusion or
20 not at the end of the day we should be entitled to attack
21 that in a most vigorous way considering the implications
22 for the people and institutions in this city.

23 I sat here and listened to this evidence
24 this morning, I mean we go through an entire list, person
25 after person: how many times did you see him; where did

1 you see him? Let's face it the whole reason this is being
2 done is to attempt to link these people to impropriety.

3 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Oh, no.

4 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Oh, I disagree
5 entirely, sir. I assert that those inferences will be
6 urged on you whether you draw them or not. They are being
7 tendered as a foundational exercise to achieve that end.

8 **THE COMMISSIONER:** I think you are
9 completely wrong and I will tell you why.

10 Commission counsel's function is not to
11 bring out any theory or push anything on until everything
12 is heard. The fact of the matter is this gentleman went
13 out and reported. He said things to people and there it
14 is.

15 The purpose of a public inquiry, and I think
16 maybe we have to revisit that, is to have everyone come in
17 and say what they have to say and yes be cross-examined,
18 but so far this fellow has said what he said to a number of
19 people. Now, you interrupted him and said: you cannot give
20 your opinion as to whether or not there was a clan of
21 pedophiles or not. That's up to me to decide. That's the
22 purpose of a public inquiry.

23 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** I sought your
24 assistance and clarification on the point.

25 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm, and you objected.

1 There you go.

2 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Yes.

3 **THE COMMISSIONER:** And do you know what,
4 properly so.

5 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Well, thank you. I
6 know that I do that from time to time and sometimes maybe
7 it's ---

8 **THE COMMISSIONER:** You win some and you lose
9 some, you know.

10 Let me get back. Don't get me wrong. You
11 can cross-examine, and I'm going to give you wide latitude,
12 except that the way you started, and we are going to have
13 to talk about that, and I don't know whether we should do
14 that in camera or how we are going to do that -- if you are
15 saying that you want to overlap, and this is my question to
16 you, on changing his testimony or characterizing it as a
17 fee for service as opposed to being a victim of sexual
18 abuse, then I'm saying to you, you've got to give me a
19 better reason or re-explain why you want to do that.

20 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Okay. I'm not
21 purporting to exclude the idea that he was abused. What I
22 wished him to concede eventually is that at least in part
23 that played a role. It's important for ---

24 **THE COMMISSIONER:** What played a role?

25 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** His receipt of

1 financial benefits in exchange for favours played a role in
2 his relationship. Whether it started as abuse on
3 probation, whether it continued as abuse thereafter, this
4 at least played a role.

5 I'm not here to say he wasn't abused ---

6 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Why do you want this?

7 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Because it's important
8 for a variety of reasons. His relationship with Mr. Seguin
9 is important. The whole climate here is enormously germane
10 to assess. Next week we will hear from Ron Leroux. He was
11 engaged with a young man 10,15 years younger than him and
12 the *modus operandi* is identical. The whole thing is
13 referred to. It's virtually the same evidence. The man is
14 recruited, he is given all kinds of money and there is a
15 sexual relationship. He then contends he is abused,
16 rightly or wrongly, I don't care. I'm more interested in
17 Mr. Leroux and his activities. This is a striking
18 similarity to Mr. Seguin and Mr. Renshaw who's living
19 together and Mr. Leroux is there every single day. This
20 has to be probed, what was ---

21 **THE COMMISSIONER:** For what reason?

22 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Because it affects what
23 the police were doing, how they were responding and what
24 they were looking for. They are looking for this
25 information. This individual is not giving it to them.

1 **THE COMMISSIONER:** He is not giving it to
2 them.

3 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** No, he's not. You'll
4 see. You'll see in the transcripts and here that in his
5 first statement although he says that Mr. Seguin was a
6 wonderful person he effectively attempted to neutralize his
7 own concerns about Mr. Seguin so he wouldn't be
8 investigated. The man is not being honest with police
9 officers and he is now departing from statements to
10 Mr. Dunlop. All of this is going up the institutional
11 pipeline and I submit we are entitled to explore it.

12 **THE COMMISSIONER:** You are saying basically
13 in a cross-examination that he is lying or that he is wrong
14 about the photos of the people that came. How would that -
15 - I just need the link. Tell me what the link is?

16 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** What? That he is
17 lying.

18 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes.

19 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** That his evidence
20 shouldn't be relied upon and given no weight because he is
21 lying.

22 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Because he is lying about
23 what?

24 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Well, a great many
25 things, as we will see, I submit; a great many things

1 covered by your counsel, for example.

2 Let me put to you another case because this
3 is going to come up. The witness says here: I was abused
4 on my second probation. Well, in his first statement to
5 Mr. Dunlop it was his first probation. In his transcript
6 it was the second probation consistent with his statement
7 to Mr. Dunlop. In Mr. Dunlop's statement he says it was
8 years later.

9 So does it not behove us to have the ability
10 to test his evidence that he's given here on such a point?
11 My theory is it's important to know, for example: who is
12 that Mr. Seguin; how old they are.

13 The difference here is between a young man
14 and a person potentially 19 to 23. I don't care if he is
15 the age of consent. I want to know what's going on here
16 and what he is telling police and whether it comports with
17 the reality of what went on. I'm not interested in testing
18 his sexual relationship with Mr. Seguin beyond establishing
19 the proposition that that relationship shared attributes
20 among other people, including Mr. Leroux, Mr. Seguin's
21 avowed best friend, according to this witness, Mr. Seguin
22 himself and others. All of this affects the credibility of
23 what the witness is saying.

24 His statements are, I submit, replete with
25 inconsistencies and I should be able to put those to him to

1 undermine his credibility and his statements. His
2 statements actually have very little to do with Mr. Seguin,
3 but I should be entitled to use the jigsaw metaphor, take
4 them apart if I can to demonstrate to you they shouldn't be
5 relied upon for any purpose, whether it's to identify
6 someone or to urge inferences or to be used as a basis to
7 draw inferences from or any other reason. I shouldn't be
8 limited, I submit, to whether or not he could see well on a
9 particular day or whether or not his vision was impaired.

10 I don't mean to -- I'm not being facetious,
11 but I shouldn't be limited to the strict issue of
12 identification.

13 **THE COMMISSIONER:** No. No. No. These
14 pieces of information are also in Mr. Dunlop's notes.

15 Issues like this are raised in the Dunlop
16 notes that my friends have given notice on.

17 So I submit that I should be entitled to the
18 widest latitude, including establishing what was going on
19 here and why; and how we came into contact with Mr. Seguin;
20 and what was going on there and why.

21 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay, and so you started
22 off talking about -- well, okay -- what about the issue of
23 putting to him something you didn't put to his brother?

24 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Well, I submit that his
25 brother's transcript is black and white and it's an

1 admission on the record.

2 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes, yes. Okay. And
3 then you -- can you help me out? What are you going to do
4 now? What were you intending -- what kind of questions
5 were you intending to go; show me the road here. Do you
6 want to do that?

7 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Well, if I must. What
8 I propose to do is establish the -- first of all, there was
9 a lot of background information about this individual, his
10 life, his growing up, his education.

11 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

12 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** His parents, his
13 family, the matrix, et cetera. And then how he came into
14 contact with Mr. Seguin. The timing of that was dealt with
15 by your lawyer and the witness statement was put to him,
16 which he refuted or resiled from, if I can use the
17 expression without attaching any particular implication to,
18 but he said that's wrong.

19 **THE COMMISSIONER:** I'm sorry, what's wrong?

20 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** For example, on the
21 timing of his contact with Mr. Seguin sexually, he says it
22 was during his first probation, here in the box, and he
23 said his statement in writing to Mr. Dunlop was wrong,
24 which said it was the second one, several years later.

25 So what I propose to do is establish a

1 foundation with respect to the family; what was going on
2 with this individual in his upbringing; his brother's
3 circumstances when he came to live with his brother in
4 1981, which is his evidence; what the effect of that is on
5 him. And that is exactly the time he starts being charged
6 by the police with a variety of criminal offences. That,
7 number 1.

8 **THE COMMISSIONER:** It's almost coming-of-
9 age.

10 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Well, there's a lot
11 more coming-of-age in the transcript than there is in the
12 testimony or the statements. He was coming-of-age long
13 before then, as we'll see, if you permit me to deal with
14 it, but I don't intend to use that to -- just let me flip
15 forward here -- to deal with the timing of his abuse; what
16 he was doing at the time and afterwards; when he moved in
17 with Mr. Seguin; what was going on there; who was there;
18 what was going on; all of the activities.

19 And I intend to put his statements to him in
20 connection with Mr. Seguin. What was going on. His
21 statements pertaining to Ron Leroux. Mr. Leroux's
22 activities and a variety of other things that follow.

23 I can't give you the whole outline, but ---

24 **THE COMMISSIONER:** No, no. I was just
25 thinking. I was just wanting to get to what ---

1 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Basically, what I'm
2 trying to do is develop the context of the case of this
3 individual and how he interacts with Mr. Seguin and what
4 happens thereafter. All leading up to his living there and
5 thus purporting to identify people associated with my
6 client and other institutions.

7 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

8 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** And that includes all
9 of his statements, which I will canvass, and I intend, with
10 your permission obviously if you are so disposed, to
11 canvass what he said in his statements and how it's
12 inconsistent with what he said here or on his transcript,
13 to demonstrate that what he was telling the authorities is
14 not reliable and to demonstrate further that what he is
15 telling you is not reliable.

16 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay. Thank you.

17 Mr. Rose, you want a second crack at the
18 can?

19 **MR. ROSE:** I do. I do.

20 **--- SUBMISSIONS BY/REPRÉSENTATIONS PAR MR. ROSE**

21 **MR. ROSE:** The issue to bolster the argument
22 in favour of the wide scope is that whether or not this
23 witness was on probation when he was abused by Mr. Seguin,
24 is, I would suggest, still a live issue. And it informs, I
25 believe, the ultimate issue for the Inquiry. Insofar as

1 you've heard, there have been a variety of explanations
2 about his disclosures from 1994 onwards, some of which
3 disclose, some of which don't.

4 It is my respectful submission that with
5 this witness, it's still open as a fact as to whether he is
6 in fact on probation. And that informs the reasons why he
7 might be shifting his stories in 1994 and onwards. And all
8 of this is under, I would respectfully suggest, what Mr.
9 Sherriff-Scott is attempting to cross-examine on.

10 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Thank you. Anybody else
11 wish to make any comment?

12 Mr. Lee.

13 **--- SUBMISSIONS BY/REPRÉSENTATIONS PAR MR. LEE:**

14 **MR. LEE:** There are clearly areas in Mr.
15 Renshaw's testimony today that are perfectly well subject
16 to cross-examination to point out inconsistencies.

17 I have no problem as a general proposition
18 with Mr. Sherriff-Scott starting at statement number one
19 and working his way through statement number four or five,
20 or however many there are, and saying you said in the box
21 here (a) and over here you said (b) and over here you said
22 (c) and over here you said (d). I have absolutely no
23 problem with Mr. Sherriff-Scott in submissions at the end
24 saying that you shouldn't believe a word Gerry Renshaw
25 said. I have no problem with that.

1 And I, of course, am perfectly content, as a
2 general proposition, to have counsel cross-examine my
3 clients and for me to object where I think it's necessary
4 as we go. See where it goes and leave it to where it gets,
5 and I have tried very hard in these proceedings not to jump
6 up any time anything happened and done my best to try and
7 wait until things progressed a little bit.

8 The point where I rose today was that Mr.
9 Sherriff-Scott is up here telling you as a matter of fact,
10 as he puts it, black and white, that there are black and
11 white admissions on the record where this witness and his
12 brother are supposed to have said something.

13 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm.

14 **MR. LEE:** With respect to Bob Renshaw ---

15 **THE COMMISSIONER:** I don't really need to
16 hear you about Bob Renshaw.

17 **MR. LEE:** The problem, sir, is I don't have
18 a remedy here. It's been said repeatedly that there is a
19 record that -- black and white admission on the record that
20 he was a prostitute. And now what? Now, it's out there.
21 Now, it's been said repeatedly, time and time again. And
22 anybody listening who prefers what Mr. Sherriff-Scott has
23 to say to what I have to say is going to look at him and
24 say, absolutely, there's an admission on the record.

25 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Ah, but they're

1 forgetting one thing.

2 MR. LEE: What is that, sir?

3 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I don't care what
4 you say or Mr. Sherriff-Scott says. I am saying it's not
5 in evidence.

6 MR. LEE: And Bob Renshaw very much cares, I
7 can assure you.

8 THE COMMISSIONER: Ah!

9 MR. LEE: What people in the community
10 think, he said in the examination for discovery that it's
11 never going to be in evidence in these proceedings.

12 THE COMMISSIONER: And people in the
13 Inquiry, people who listen to the Inquiry, will know that
14 they are supposed to keep an open mind, that only things
15 that are accepted as facts count.

16 And that, kind sir, is not a fact that is
17 before me at this time.

18 MR. LEE: And hopefully that's -- one
19 person's reading of a document can be a lot less black and
20 white than somebody else's.

21 THE COMMISSIONER: I guess Father Charles
22 MacDonald would agree with you.

23 MR. LEE: I would imagine that he would and
24 that is the only point that I want to make right now, is
25 that for all of Mr. Sherriff-Scott's assurances that a

1 document says what it says, I would offer my own assurance
2 that it does not.

3 **THE COMMISSIONER:** There we go. Any last
4 comments, Mr. Engelmann?

5 **MR. ENGELMANN:** No, I ---

6 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Good.

7 **MR. ENGELMANN:** No. I don't have any last
8 comments. I'm just cognizant of the time and this witness
9 has taken time off to be here, as you know.

10 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes.

11 **MR. ENGELMANN:** And trying to get through
12 him and I just hope that if we have to have him excluded
13 for other objections that we are able to do so more
14 quickly, but anyway, I'm just looking for the future.
15 Hopefully, we can.

16 **THE COMMISSIONER:** We are getting to a point
17 where the evidence is contested, and witnesses are going to
18 have to understand that they have to leave on occasion and
19 that's why we have a witness room. They can wait there in
20 comfort, and I will reassure them that they are not sent to
21 a penalty box. They are sent to a waiting room while we
22 discuss matters of procedure.

23 **--- RULING BY/DÉCISION PAR THE COMMISSIONER :**

24 All right. Let me say this. First of all,
25 it has been my practice, and it will continue to be my

1 practice, to permit as much as possible the full
2 exploration of a person's testimony and of the cross-
3 examination.

4 And the reason for that is because this is
5 not a trial and this is not a case where people advance
6 their theories.

7 What we have to do here is take the evidence
8 that we have; people that have been affected by this
9 Inquiry and have something to say and come forward.

10 As I've said before during our examination -
11 - or our exchange of comments, Commission counsel's
12 instructions from me certainly are not to have any theories
13 or to promote some kind of agenda or how the evidence
14 should fall out. It is to present the evidence that is
15 there in a full, frank, and fair fashion.

16 And so I will push back a little bit on
17 comments that Commission counsel are doing that, and if
18 they are -- if ever they did, I would sanction them as
19 well.

20 So having said that, what we do have here is
21 a witness that has done two things. First of all, he has
22 spoken a bit about his victimization at the hands of Mr.
23 Seguin. It is clear that in his rendition of his evidence
24 he was canvassed, from what I can see, by
25 Mr. Dunlop, not so much about his victimization, but more

1 with respect to who he saw and where.

2 It is clear that there may well be some
3 inconsistencies, as there had been with others who have
4 testified here and who have given a number of statements.

5 The real issue here is to decide how to
6 balance the need to not re-victimize or call into question
7 a witness' allegations of abuse, and yet permit a full
8 cross-examination to bring to light as many facts as
9 possible.

10 The first thing that I have to say is that I
11 will not permit the cross-examination of this witness of
12 his brother's prior testimony. And in that regard, it was
13 a submission made by Mr. Sherriff-Scott, and as he was
14 quick to point out, and when others were doing that kind of
15 thing, that those comments were not facts. And unless we
16 come back to a witness or we get it through another way
17 that will be disregarded.

18 Second -- and the reason why I will not
19 permit him to cross-examine on the brother's evidence is
20 that evidence was not put to the brother. I think it would
21 be unfair at this point to do it to this witness, and
22 that's that for that.

23 With respect to the cross-examination, I
24 also will ask Mr. Sheriff-Scott not to begin with the
25 examination of whether or not this gentleman was being paid

1 for sex. I will hold that in abeyance and I would ask him
2 to start, if he can. If not, well then I'll decide; but to
3 start off with respect to the family and to how his change
4 of residence evolved. And at that point, as we go through
5 the cross-examination, if I can see that there is more
6 relevance, then we can stop and we can reassess the
7 situation as to whether or not we should go there. That's
8 my decision.

9 Mr. Sherriff-Scott.

10 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Yes.

11 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Can we get the witness
12 back in? Oh, I'm sorry, do you have further comments?

13 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** I was just going to
14 say, I can try and recalibrate this, but we have 25 minutes
15 left. It might be simpler if I recalibrated it for Monday
16 afternoon and then I can just roll with it; but I'm in
17 your hands.

18 **THE COMMISSIONER:** No, let's start.

19 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Press on?

20 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes.

21 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Yes, that's fine.

22 Thank you.

23 Just before he comes in, just by way of ---

24 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Madam Clerk.

1 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** By way of
2 clarification.

3 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes.

4 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** I take it you're not
5 going to restrict me from putting to the witness the
6 testimony that his brother was engaged in before this
7 Inquiry in terms of his own background and history?

8 **THE COMMISSIONER:** No, that's fine.

9 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Thank you, sir.

10 **THE COMMISSIONER:** I would, of course, want
11 to ensure that you're going to be very accurate as to what
12 his brother said here.

13 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Yes, that's right.

14 **THE COMMISSIONER:** And not waiver on that.

15 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** No, I won't.

16 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Thank you.

17 **(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)**

18 **GERALD RENSRAW, Resumed/Sous le même serment**

19 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Mr. Renshaw.

20 Mr. Renshaw, I understand that you would
21 want to leave at around 6:00 o'clock?

22 **MR. RENSRAW:** By 6:00.

23 **THE COMMISSIONER:** By 6:00. All right.

24 We'll do that. At 6:00.

25 Again, what we've been doing is that there

1 was some objection as to the types of questions that would
2 be put to you. So while you were away what we talked about
3 is what kind of questions should be put to you and which
4 ones should not be. So we put some aside and I've given
5 the okay on certain other questions. All right?

6 So, again, it has nothing to do with your
7 testimony, yourself. You haven't done anything wrong.
8 It's a question of Mr. Lee objecting and Mr. Engelmann
9 objecting to certain questions, and us working out how it
10 should go. All right?

11 **MR. RENSRAW:** Okay.

12 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Thank you.

13 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Are you ready, sir?

14 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

15 --- CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.

16 DAVID SHERRIFF-SCOTT (cont'd/suite)

17 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Thank you.

18 All right. Where we left off, we were
19 talking briefly about your brother Robert. We referred to
20 the fact that he had a fairly extensive criminal record.
21 Agreed?

22 **MR. RENSRAW:** Okay; well, I myself have a
23 problem with this.

24 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Why is that?

25 **MR. RENSRAW:** Because it's me that's up

1 here, not him.

2 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Oh no, I understand that,
3 sir. Well, I don't know about the word extensive but he
4 has a right to ask you. I'm ruling -- I've ruled that he
5 has a right to ask you does your brother have a criminal
6 record, to start off with.

7 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

8 **THE COMMISSIONER:** All right.

9 And he was known to the law. Is that a fair
10 expression?

11 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

12 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay.

13 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** All right.

14 And you referred to your knowledge of some
15 incarceration by him, and you also, before we left, I think
16 agreed with me that in his teens and early 20s, I suppose
17 in the late '70s and early 1980s he had a very extensive
18 drug and alcohol problem. Correct?

19 **MR. RENSRAW:** There's something else I don't
20 want to get into. He's been here.

21 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes.

22 **MR. RENSRAW:** These are questions that
23 should have been asked to him.

24 **THE COMMISSIONER:** No, no, no, you're right;
25 and they were asked of him.

1 Right, Mr. Sherriff-Scott?

2 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Yes, sir.

3 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes, they were.

4 I guess the question should be did you know
5 that in the '70s your brother had an alcohol and drug
6 dependency? Is that -- that's fair isn't it?

7 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Well, let me ---

8 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Because if he doesn't
9 know.

10 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Well, I'm sure he does.
11 He lived with his -- you lived with your brother in 1981,
12 sir?

13 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

14 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Okay. And in 1981,
15 according to your brother, that was at the height of his
16 drug and alcohol problem; his problems with the law.
17 Surely you were aware of that. I just mean this by way of
18 background.

19 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yeah, he's not -- we're
20 not trying to hurt your brother here.

21 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** This is all grist for
22 the mill. Your brother's been through this. So what I'm -
23 - you lived with your brother, sir, in 1981. We'd agreed
24 on that much.

25 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

1 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** And when you moved in
2 with him that I submit to you was at the height of his
3 difficulties with both the law and alcohol and drug abuse.

4 **MR. RENSRAW:** I don't know that.

5 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** You can't be serious,
6 sir.

7 **MR. RENSRAW:** I wasn't here when he
8 testified to that.

9 **THE COMMISSIONER:** No, no, no, no.

10 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** I'm asking you about
11 your knowledge when you moved in with your brother in 1981,
12 sir.

13 **MR. RENSRAW:** Okay. Well, again, what I'm
14 going to say is I don't know if that's the height of his
15 problems or not.

16 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Okay. Now, he was
17 experiencing those problems to a significant degree in
18 1981, when you lived with him?

19 **MR. RENSRAW:** Okay.

20 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Okay. And he was born
21 in 1963, sir?

22 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

23 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** And he has testified in
24 the past and here that in order to support that habit he
25 was engaged in a variety of offences, and you were aware of

1 that back then?

2 MR. RENSRAW: Some maybe, yes.

3 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay. Now, I just want
4 to try and summarize a number of points, which I don't
5 think are contentious. Your brother has testified about
6 this, and from the point of view of background of your
7 family, okay?

8 As a series of propositions, please react to
9 this with your affirmation or not.

10 You had a difficult upbringing as a child;
11 fair?

12 MR. RENSRAW: People look back on it and say
13 that. I didn't feel that way as a kid.

14 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: All right. Your mother
15 and father split when you were one year old?

16 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

17 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay. You had no
18 relationship with your mother thereafter?

19 MR. RENSRAW: Correct.

20 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay. Your brother
21 Robert had CAS involvement because of concerns of care with
22 your father?

23 MR. RENSRAW: I don't know about that.

24 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: No. He testified that
25 he was physically assaulted on a number of occasions by

1 your father.

2 MR. RENSRAW: I wouldn't know about that.

3 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: You're not aware of
4 that, sir?

5 MR. RENSRAW: Yes, that's what I am saying.

6 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Yet you lived in the
7 same house as your brother when you were a child.

8 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

9 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay. Now, when you
10 were still a minor, I guess at 15, your father passed away?

11 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

12 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: You were placed -- or
13 went to I suppose -- before placed -- You went to live with
14 your sister and her husband who had an alcohol problem;
15 correct?

16 MR. RENSRAW: Who had an alcohol problem?

17 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Your brother-in-law.

18 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

19 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay. And that didn't
20 work out because of conflict with your brother-in-law?

21 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

22 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Including physical
23 altercations.

24 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

25 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thereafter, you were

1 placed in CAS care in the McNaulty foster home; correct?

2 MR. RENSRAW: Correct.

3 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: All right. And that
4 was not a happy experience, according to you.

5 MR. RENSRAW: Correct.

6 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: I think you -- well
7 your evidence in other places, but I'll put it to you, is
8 you did not receive a lot of care and attention; you felt
9 you were neglected by these people?

10 MR. RENSRAW: Correct.

11 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And by this time, I
12 submit you had already begun to become involved with crime?

13 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

14 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you even were
15 requested by the McNaultys to supply drugs to
16 Mrs. McNaulty and their son?

17 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

18 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And that led ultimately
19 to you leaving there after a fairly short placement.

20 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

21 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And it's at that time
22 in 1981, sir, that you went to live with your brother,
23 Robert.

24 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

25 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay. Now you were

1 around 16 or 17 in 1991, if we do the math; you having been
2 born in '65; correct?

3 MR. RENSRAW: Yes, okay.

4 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you lived with him,
5 as I understand it, for one-and-a-half years or longer.

6 MR. RENSRAW: Approximately.

7 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay. And it's at this
8 time in your life or only after you began to live with your
9 brother, Robert, that you began to be charged with criminal
10 offences; correct?

11 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

12 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And the charges only
13 reflected some of your activities; didn't they?

14 MR. RENSRAW: Can you ---

15 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: In other words, your
16 criminal involvement was more broad based than as is
17 reflected by the charges.

18 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

19 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay. And you
20 testified in another location; and I'll just put this to
21 you -- that you were involved in a number of break and
22 enters into business.

23 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

24 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: You stole "hundreds of
25 cars".

1 MR. RENSRAW: Probably.

2 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And sold them to chop
3 shops.

4 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

5 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: You were living with
6 your brother at this time.

7 MR. RENSRAW: Not all of it.

8 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Some of it?

9 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

10 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay. And this
11 happened by and large, or was happening before you were
12 first charged with an offence in 1983, March 23rd; correct?

13 MR. RENSRAW: Can you say that again?

14 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: This was happening
15 around the same time you were charged with a criminal
16 offence in 1983, in March.

17 MR. RENSRAW: No; my involvement with that
18 stuff would have happened after that first offence.

19 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: All right. You
20 testified at some other occasion that this was more than
21 petty crime and that you and your group, who you described,
22 were fairly proud as being referred to as "professionals"
23 when the media reported on this kind of thing.

24 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

25 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay. Now, in 1983, on

1 March 23rd, you were charged and convicted with possession
2 of stolen property?

3 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

4 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: You had a suspended
5 sentence and six months of probation; correct?

6 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

7 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And at that time, you
8 were 17, would be turning 18 in December of that year;
9 right?

10 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

11 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay, and that is also
12 at the time you were asked to leave high school for what
13 you described in other evidence as habitual truancy and
14 fighting.

15 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

16 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And this sir, you'll
17 agree, was before your relationship on a sexual footing
18 began with Mr. Seguin. In other words, your first offence
19 ---

20 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

21 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: --- was before, on your
22 own evidence, you said earlier today I believe, or
23 yesterday, that the abuse by Mr. Seguin didn't begin until
24 after your first offence.

25 MR. RENSRAW: That was wrong, though.

1 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** No, what you said was
2 wrong; is that it didn't begin after the second offence.
3 You referred to Mr. Engelmann, and said it began after your
4 first offence.

5 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Wait a minute now.

6 **MR. RENSRAW:** No.

7 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Yeah. On probation
8 after your first conviction; right? Your conviction of
9 March 23, 1983, for possession of stolen property sir.

10 **THE COMMISSIONER:** That's speakers.

11 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** I beg your pardon sir?

12 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Those are the speakers;
13 that was that offence; okay?

14 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** That's correct; isn't
15 it?

16 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

17 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Okay. That's what you
18 said either yesterday or today; correct?

19 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

20 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Okay. Now ---

21 **THE COMMISSIONER:** I don't know. Hang on a
22 second. Let's get this straight now. I don't have my
23 notes from yesterday, but what's the question -- what do
24 you ---

25 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** I'm coming to the --

1 that was a foundation for which what follows is.

2 **THE COMMISSIONER:** No, I know. But the
3 question is what started after the first offence and before
4 the second probation?

5 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** The witness contended
6 in his oral evidence here ---

7 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Yes.

8 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** --- that after his
9 first offence conviction and during the probation
10 pertaining to that offence that the abuse by Mr. Seguin
11 began.

12 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay. So, is that what
13 you're saying, Mr. Lee? Is that what he said?

14 That is what he said, all right. No, that's
15 fine.

16 **MR. LEE:** That's my understanding what he
17 said in his evidence-in-chief. I am not sure what he
18 thinks he just agreed to. I'm not sure what the question
19 he was answering was or what happened.

20 **THE COMMISSIONER:** That's right. Okay. All
21 I'm going to do ---

22 **MR. LEE:** So I agree with Mr. Sherriff-
23 Scott's characterization of what was said in-chief.

24 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay. So ---

25 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** That's all. I was just

1 recapulating about ---

2 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay. And just so I've
3 got it straight then, because I know it's getting late and
4 we're getting tired. Another 15 minutes, sir, bear with
5 me.

6 What you're saying is that in-chief, you
7 would have said that the abuse that you alleged at the
8 hands of Mr. Seguin would have occurred while you were on
9 probation for the theft of the speakers.

10 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

11 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay. Good.

12 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Can the witness be
13 shown Commission document 716191; just let me get you the
14 Exhibit number.

15 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Oh, it's already filed as
16 an exhibit?

17 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Yes sir.

18 **THE COMMISSIONER:** M'hm. I'm sorry?

19 **THE REGISTRAR:** Five-five-one (551).

20 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Sir, could you turn to
21 551, please? That's the audio-taped interview report?

22 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Yes.

23 **THE COMMISSIONER:** All right.

24 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** You know Mr. Dunlop,
25 sir?

1 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

2 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And when he contacted
3 you, you believed that he was attempting, in your words, to
4 do some good, or you thought you could do some good by
5 speaking to him?

6 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

7 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And so you wanted to
8 give him information or ultimately gave him information
9 pertaining to your own alleged abuse?

10 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

11 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And the activities of
12 others as you saw them; correct?

13 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

14 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: So it was important, no
15 doubt, from your point of view to give Mr. Dunlop accurate
16 information; correct?

17 MR. RENSRAW: If possible, yes.

18 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: If possible? Or you
19 didn't want to be dishonest. You wanted to be forthcoming
20 and to give him the correct information, surely.

21 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

22 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: And you tried to do
23 that?

24 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

25 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay. Now this is an

1 audio tape of your statement and your exchange between Mr.
2 Dunlop and yourself; it has been put to you by Commission
3 counsel; agreed?

4 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

5 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Okay. Now, at the
6 bottom of the first page, there is a statement starting
7 with, fourth line down, from Renshaw, which is the marginal
8 indicator:

9 "Went to the police station next day
10 and charged with possession of stolen
11 property."

12 Just stopping there sir.

13 Mr. Renshaw, that was your first offence in
14 March 1983; correct? Possession of stolen property?

15 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

16 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Okay. And:

17 "Went to court; got probation; ended up
18 with Ken Seguin as the probation
19 officer. Did my time with Seguin as a
20 probation officer. Nothing happened at
21 the time. Later on, we got caught for
22 break and enter with intent."

23 And that is the August 1984 conviction, in
24 other words your second conviction.

25 **MR. RENSRAW:** I believe so.

1 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Well, that's correct;
2 isn't it? I can show you your CPIC outprint; do you accept
3 that sir?

4 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

5 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Okay. Thank you.

6 "Ended up with 89 days."

7 In other words, you were incarcerated for
8 that period of time.

9 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

10 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** And thereafter your
11 second probation began.

12 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

13 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** And it began, if you do
14 the math, roughly a week before your 19th birthday, with Ken
15 Seguin. At that time, "Even on probation, he would take me
16 to bars; take me out to eat; et cetera". So I suggest to
17 you what you told Mr. Dunlop here is that you were not
18 sexually abused by Mr. Seguin during your first probation
19 but during your second probation sir.

20 **MR. RENSRAW:** Well, it's not right that way.
21 I don't know where the mistake comes from, but I've already
22 explained this I believe.

23 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Okay sir, and I just
24 want to put another statement to you.

25 You were examined for the discovery in the

1 context of the action launched by you against Corrections
2 on December 17, 2003. Correct?

3 **MR. RENSRAW:** I wouldn't know the date.

4 **THE COMMISSIONER:** That's okay. You
5 remember that you went to some place and you were examined
6 in respect of your lawsuit.

7 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

8 **THE COMMISSIONER:** You remember that. Okay.

9 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

10 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** I don't have a document
11 ID number, Commissioner, because that's the document the
12 Attorney General produced last evening, but it is page 128,
13 Question 884. I can read it into the record.

14 **THE COMMISSIONER:** No, no. Do we have it,
15 Madam Clerk?

16 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** We have a document.

17 **THE COMMISSIONER:** But is it on screen?

18 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** It's No. 200143.

19 Sorry, I just have one.

20 **THE COMMISSIONER:** You don't have copies.
21 Okay. We don't have copies, but we will look at it on the
22 screen and then we will enter it as an exhibit.

23 When are we going to get copies? Mr. Lee?

24 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** I'm in your hands on
25 this because I'm indifferent to this issue, but Mr. Lee is

1 concerned that it not be entered as an exhibit because he
2 feels sensitivity to all of the information in here. I am
3 indifferent to this. I'm prepared to read the text of it
4 to have it on the record and that's fine with me.

5 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay. Let's try it that
6 way then.

7 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Okay, but the witness
8 should be shown this on the screen so he can follow.

9 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Right. Yes, that's fair.
10 Madam Clerk, put it on the screen for all of
11 us.

12 Sir, what he is doing now is he is showing
13 you whatever you would have said ---

14 Mr. Lee, who was the lawyer that was with
15 him? Mr. Talic. No. I'm just trying to --

16 **MR. LEE:** We weren't on for Mr. Renshaw at
17 the time. He was being represented primarily by Howard
18 Yegendorf but it was a junior named J.B. Zubec who was
19 attending the discovery at the time.

20 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Okay. So you went with
21 that lawyer to the discovery, okay, where you were asked a
22 number of questions. What happens is that was typed up and
23 now what you are going to see is the typed version of what
24 you said. All right?

25 Madam Clerk, can you put it up on the

1 screen?

2 The reason why we don't have a hard copy,
3 which is a paper copy, is because we just found out that
4 this existed last night or so, and if need be we will get
5 it later on. All right.

6 Now we are on page 128.

7 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Yes. The issue, just
8 to focus you before we read this together, is whether the
9 abuse commenced with Mr. Seguin after your first offence
10 and during your first probation or after your first offence
11 and on your second probation or even later in time. Okay?
12 That's the issue being canvassed with you on this. That's
13 clear.

14 **MR. RENSRAW:** Okay.

15 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** The question is put at
16 Question 884:

17 "Well, it didn't happen the second time
18 either because you started being on
19 probation in the fall of '84 and now
20 you are telling me that the first event
21 of oral sex didn't happen until the
22 spring or summer of '85. Isn't that
23 what you said, Gerry?"

24 He is referring to you here as Gerry.

25 Correct?

1 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

2 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Your answer was: Yes.
3 Do you see that, sir?

4 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

5 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: That is, I submit to
6 you, consistent with the statement you gave to Mr. Dunlop,
7 is it not?

8 MR. RENSRAW: From what I see here, yes.

9 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay. So that's two
10 occasions. On this occasion you were under oath when you
11 gave your testimony.

12 MR. RENSRAW: I would assume, yes.

13 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay.

14 If we can go to the Commission document --
15 just before we get there, sir, I'm going to refer to you a
16 statement, but if we can go back to Tab 10, Exhibit 551,
17 which is the audio report, I will just ask you a few
18 questions, sir.

19 THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 551 is something
20 you have ---

21 MR. RENSRAW: Right here in front of me.

22 THE COMMISSIONER: Exactly. This is a
23 statement you would have given to Mr. Dunlop.

24 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: The audiotape of your
25 interaction. Okay?

1 After your first conviction and probation,
2 the next offence for which you were charged was August 29,
3 1984 on your CPIC. Do you accept that, sir?

4 **MR. RENSRAW:** I would have to take your word
5 for it, I guess.

6 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Well, I have the CPIC
7 if you are concerned about accepting that.

8 **MR. RENSRAW:** No.

9 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Perhaps Mr. Lee could
10 stipulate to that.

11 **MR. LEE:** I will.

12 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Thank you.

13 At that time you were charged and convicted
14 with break and entry and theft. Correct?

15 **MR. RENSRAW:** No. No, sir.

16 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** What was your charge
17 and conviction then?

18 **MR. RENSRAW:** Break-enter with intent.

19 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Okay. Thank you.

20 Then that was the 89 days commencing I guess
21 on or about the end of August 1984. That's the date
22 indicated as the conviction date. Okay.

23 I gave notice of the CPIC document,
24 Commissioner. It is Document 709034, which has not yet
25 been entered as an exhibit.

1 They will pull that up on the screen for
2 you, sir.

3 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Do we have a hard copy,
4 Madam Clerk? Yes, we have a hard copy.

5 I think that will be the last part of it.

6 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Fair enough.

7 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Exhibit No. 555 is a CPIC
8 showing a criminal record for Mr. Renshaw.

9 **--- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-555:**

10 (709034) CPIC of G.W. Renshaw dated
11 August 11, 1998

12 **THE COMMISSIONER:** So in the end you have
13 two convictions. Right?

14 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

15 **THE COMMISSIONER:** The one he is showing you
16 is...?

17 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** No. 709034. Yes, that
18 has the information on it.

19 If you could scroll down, Madam Clerk.

20 **THE COMMISSIONER:** It shows that you have a
21 1984 conviction on the 29th of August for break and enter
22 and you were sentenced to 89 days on that date.

23 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Do you see that, sir?

24 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

25 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Okay. Do you accept

1 that now?

2 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

3 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay.

4 Your incarceration would have ended on or
5 about November 30 approximately a week before your
6 nineteenth birthday in 1984.

7 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

8 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Then you went on
9 probation.

10 THE COMMISSIONER: Wait a minute. Say that
11 again.

12 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: I'm sorry. His
13 incarceration for 89 days from August 29 brings us to
14 approximately November 30 of the same year.

15 THE COMMISSIONER: Are you counting 90 days?
16 He didn't serve 90 days.

17 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Well, he served 89
18 days.

19 MR. RENSRAW: Now, I didn't serve 89 days.

20 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: How many days did you
21 serve, sir?

22 MR. RENSRAW: Fifty-eight or something like
23 that.

24 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay. Sometime in the
25 fall, correct, several months after this?

1 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

2 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: So Octoberish.

3 MR. RENSRAW: Fifty-eight is two months.

4 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Two months. Fair
5 enough.

6 MR. RENSRAW: Not even.

7 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: So the end of October
8 roughly. I don't mean to be precise, somewhere in October
9 last two weeks. Okay? This is a relatively short time
10 before your nineteenth birthday on December 6, 1984.
11 Correct?

12 MR. RENSRAW: Well, it's in the fall. I'll
13 agree with that.

14 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: All right.

15 And it's thereafter you begin the next
16 probation. Correct?

17 MR. RENSRAW: Yes.

18 MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: If we follow the
19 statement to Mr. Dunlop, it's during that probation where
20 you began to suffer abuse by Mr. Seguin according to your
21 statement to him and possibly your statement in the
22 Examination for Discovery. Correct?

23 MR. RENSRAW: Well, it may say that but I'm
24 not agreeing to it.

25 THE COMMISSIONER: No, no. No, no. You are

1 absolutely right.

2 What he is saying is you went to jail and
3 then you started your probation and that's your second
4 probation. He is saying if the statement that you gave to
5 Mr. Dunlop is correct, which you say it isn't, right, if it
6 is that you would have just been about to turn 19?

7 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

8 **THE COMMISSIONER:** You are correct there,
9 but all the while you are coming back and saying, but
10 that's not correct that the abuse started then.

11 **MR. RENSRAW:** Correct.

12 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** Okay.

13 **THE COMMISSIONER:** We are going to stop now.

14 **MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT:** That's fine. Thank
15 you.

16 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Sir, we will resume on
17 Monday at two o'clock. All right? In the meantime you
18 should not discuss any of your testimony with anyone and if
19 anyone tries to speak to you about your testimony, this is
20 a normal thing when we break for the weekend, you should
21 not discuss your testimony and you will report to me if
22 there is anybody who tries to talk to you. All right?

23 **MR. RENSRAW:** Yes.

24 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Mr. Lee.

25 **MR. LEE:** Mr. Canto raises the very good

1 issue that we still have the matter of dealing with the
2 confidentiality issue.

3 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Absolutely.

4 **MR. LEE:** I don't know if we need to go into
5 camera now or what you have planned for that.

6 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Pardon me? Yes, you can
7 go, sir. We are just going to take care of administrative
8 things to make sure that that family of yours is protected
9 with respect to a ban. All right. Thank you.

10 **MR. LEE:** The only other issue I wanted to
11 raise is if we can't start any earlier on Monday possibly
12 counsel could be advised now that we may sit later Monday
13 to try to finish Mr. Renshaw if possible.

14 **THE COMMISSIONER:** Absolutely.

15 **MR. LEE:** That would just be my preference
16 because he does have a work schedule.

17 **THE COMMISSIONER:** All right. Why don't we
18 start at one o'clock. I will be here for one.

19 Sir, can you come back for one? That will
20 give us an extra hour. I want to make sure that we are
21 finished with you on Monday if at all possible. I have to
22 fly in from Sudbury then I drive from Ottawa to here, you
23 know.

24 I'm doing the best I can, sir. All right?

25 Thank you.

1 **MR. LEE:** Thank you.

2 So the only other issue I wanted to raise
3 was the in-camera. I don't know if we can just do that
4 Monday, or if we can do it now.

5 **THE COMMISSIONER:** We're going to do that --
6 you people can leave, all we're going to do is go in
7 camera, name the name, and away we go. And then you can
8 read it on the transcript that you will get, just to make
9 sure that we're -- precisely what we've done.

10 All right? So let's close court, go in
11 camera and then we'll take care of that short matter.

12 **MR. LEE:** Thank you.

13 **THE REGISTRAR:** Order, all rise; A l'ordre,
14 veuillez vous lever.

15 ---Upon adjourning at 6:00 p.m./L'audience est ajournée at
16 18h00

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I, Marc Demers a certified court reporter inthe Province of Ontario, hereby certify the foregoing pages to be an accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of my skill and ability, and I so swear.

Je, Marc Demers, un sténographe officiel dans la province de l'Ontario, certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au meilleur de mes capacités, et je le jure.



Marc Demers, CVR-CM