THE CORNWALL PUBLIC INQUIRY



## L'ENQUÊTE PUBLIQUE SUR CORNWALL

# **Public Hearing**

# Audience publique

Commissioner

The Honourable Justice / L'honorable juge G. Normand Glaude

**Commissaire** 

VOLUME 260

Held at: Tenue à:

Hearings Room 709 Cotton Mill Street Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7 Salle des audiences 709, rue de la Fabrique Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7

Wednesday, July 23, 2008

Mercredi, le 23 juillet 2008

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC. www.irri.net (800) 899-0006

#### Appearances/Comparutions

${\tt Ms.}$	Julie	Gauthier	Registrar
-------------	-------	----------	-----------

M<sup>e</sup> Pierre R. Dumais Commission Counsel

Ms. Maya Hamou

Mr. John E. Callaghan Cornwall Community Police
Ms. Reena Lalji Service and Cornwall Police

Service Board

Mr. Neil Kozloff Ontario Provincial Police

Mr. David Rose Ontario Ministry of Community

and Correctional Services and Adult Community Corrections

Ms. Andrea Cole Attorney General for Ontario

Ms. Michele Allinotte The Children's Aid Society of

the United Counties

Mr. Peter Wardle Citizens for Community Renewal

Mr. Dallas Lee Victims' Group

Mr. David Sherriff-Scott Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall

Mr. Paul Taylor and Bishop Eugene LaRocque

Mr. Giuseppe Cipriano The Estate of Ken Seguin and

Doug Seguin and Father Charles

MacDonald

M<sup>e</sup> Danielle Robitaille Mr. Jacques Leduc

Mr. William Carroll Ontario Provincial Police

Association

Mr. Frank T. Horn Coalition for Action

Mr. Ian Paul

Mme Claudette Pilon Mme Claudette Pilon

Archdeacon Gordon Bryan Archdeacon Gordon Bryan

## Table of Contents / Table des matières

List of Exhibits :	iv
CLAUDETTE PILON, Resumed/Sous le même serment	1
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Me Pierre Dumais(cont'd/suite)	1
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Frank Horn	3
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Dallas Lee	23
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par M <sup>e</sup> Danielle Robitaille	40
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Reena Lalji	52
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. David Sherriff-Scott	55
ARCHDEACON GORDON BRYAN, Sworn/Assermenté	60
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Ms. Maya Hamou	62
Submissions by/Représentations par Mr. Peter Callaghan	94
Submissions by/Représentations par Ms. Maya Hamou	97
Submissions by/Représentations par Mr. Peter Callaghan	98
ARCHDEACON GORDON BRYAN, Resumed/Sous le même serment	104
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par	104

iv

### LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
P-1955	Curriculum Vitae - Claudette Pilon	1
P-1956	(740608) - CV of Gordon Bryan	63
P-1957	(118897) - Memo from Gordon Bryan re Salary of Father Gilles Deslauriers	78
P-1958	(118898) - Letter from Eugene LaRocque to Gilles Deslauriers dated 10 Nov 86	82
P-1959	(118907) - Letter from Eugene LaRocque to Gilles Deslauriers dated 24 Dec 86	85
P-1960	(129786) - DAC Administration Fund - Statement of changes in trust funds	86
P-1961	(129106) - C.E.C. Administration Account - Cash disbursements - Checkbook account	134
P-1962	(109625) - Letter from A.M. MacDonald to Gordon Bryan dated 18 Nov 93	139
P-1963	(119897) - "Letter from Eugene LaRocque to Charles MacDonald dated 02 May 95 - Letter from Charles MacDonald to Eugene LaRocque dated 19 Apr. 95"	144
P-1964	(738036) - Letter from Charles MacDonald to Eugene LaRocque dated 19 Apr 95"	163
P-1965	(115544) - Standard Freeholder article "We all make mistakes" dated 25 Jan 94	168
P-1966	(721641 - 7081217) -Letter from Gregory Bell and William Carriere to Gordon Bryan dated 10 Nov 93	171
P-1967	(721648) - Materials from DAC re "Project Blue"	172

### LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
P-1968	(120219) - Memo from Gordon Bryan re phone call from David Silmser dated 18 Oct 95	e 174
P-1969	(738146) - Letter from Bryce Geoffrey to Peter Annis dated 21 Nov 95	179
P-1970	(120393) - Reed Stenhouse Insurance coverage letters dated Jan-Jun 89	184
P-1971	(101489) - Fax transmission cover from Patrick Powers to Gordon Bryan dated 08 Mar 96 - Protocols	186
P-1972	(101490) - Protocol for priests who are the subject matter of criminal proceedings or civil litigation dated 17 Jun 96	189
P-1973	(114316) - Notes of Gordon Bryan re meeting with Bishop and Pat Hall	193
P-1974	(703736) - Audio Taped Interview Report - Gordon Bryan with OPP P. Hall and J.B. Dupuis dated 27 Jan 00	197
P-1975	(104391) - Note from Eugene LaRocque to Gordon Bryan	201
P-1976	(119946) -Note from Eugene LaRocque to Gordon Bryan	203

1	Upon commencing at 9:34 a.m./
2	L'audience débute à 9h34
3	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
4	veuillez vous lever.
5	This hearing of the Cornwall Public Inquiry
6	is now in session. The Honourable Mr. Justice Normand
7	Glaude, Commissioner, presiding.
8	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
9	LE COMMISSAIRE: Bonjour.
10	CLAUDETTE PILON: Resumed/Sous le même serment
11	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR Me
12	DUMAIS: (Continued/Suite)
13	Me DUMAIS: Bonjour, monsieur le
14	Commissaire.
15	Avant que je ne demande à mes confrères de
16	procéder avec le contre-interrogatoire, j'aimerais déposer
17	en preuve un dernier document.
18	LE COMMISSAIRE: Oui.
19	Me DUMAIS: Si on pourrait montrer le
20	curriculum vitae à madame Claudette Pilon.
21	LE COMMISSAIRE: O.k. Merci.
22	La Pièce 1955 sera le curriculum vitae de
23	Claudette Pilon.
24	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-1955:
25	Curriculum Vitae - Claudette Pilon

1	Me DUMAIS: Alors, Madame Pilon, c'est bien
2	le curriculum vitae que vous nous avez produit?
3	Mme PILON: Je crois que oui.
4	Me DUMAIS: Et puis il est à date en autant
5	que vous sachiez?
6	Mme PILON: Oui.
7	Me DUMAIS: O.k. Merci.
8	Donc, ce sont toutes mes questions, monsieur
9	le Commissaire.
10	Juste un dernier point avant qu'on débute.
11	J'ai eu une discussion avec Madame Pilon à savoir si les
12	questions pouvaient lui être posées en anglais. Elle m'a
13	indiqué que oui et qu'elle essaierait de répondre en
14	anglais. Si des problèmes surviennent, elle a ses
15	écouteurs et puis elle va écouter aux questions et répondre
16	en français.
17	LE COMMISSAIRE: Parfait.
18	Me DUMAIS: Merci.
19	LE COMMISSAIRE: Merci beaucoup.
20	Ou en espagnol, de qu'est-ce que je peux
21	voir?
22	Mme PILON: Bueno se quiere.
23	LE COMMISSAIRE: Voilà. Merci.
24	Okay. So Mr. Wardle?
25	MR. WARDLE: I don't have any questions for

1	Mrs. Pilon.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
3	Mr. Horn?
4	Good morning, sir.
5	MR. HORN: Good morning.
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
7	HORN:
8	MR. HORN: Yes. My name is Frank Horn. I'm
9	representing the Coalition for Action and our position has
10	always been that we're concerned that there was some
11	collusion and there was an attempt to cover up a lot of the
12	things that happened back in the time that the abuses took
13	place.
14	Now, what I'm interested in is finding out,
15	did you have any experience in dealing with sexual abuse
16	situations as a therapist?
17	MS. PILON: Yes, I have.
18	MR. HORN: That was what
19	MS. PILON: Prior.
20	MR. HORN: period of time would that
21	have been?
22	MS. PILON: My first client at the
23	university in '79 was an abused victim.
24	MR. HORN: In 1979?
25	MS. PILON: Yes.

1	MR. HORN: While you were
2	MS. PILON: Not in yes, 1979.
3	MR. HORN: This was while you were studying?
4	MS. PILON: That's right.
5	MR. HORN: And now would this have been
6	involved with youth and was it historical sexual abuse?
7	MS. PILON: It was a historical sexual abuse
8	at that moment.
9	MR. HORN: And you dealt with an individual
10	or different cases?
11	MS. PILON: Throughout my career, I have
12	dealt with a few cases.
13	MR. HORN: So by the time you were on the ad
14	hoc committee, how many situations do you think you had
15	dealt with?
16	MS. PILON: That would be in '86; probably
17	two or three.
18	MR. HORN: Okay. Now, where they in the
19	context of your role as a representative of the church?
20	MS. PILON: No, I was not representing the
21	church.
22	MR. HORN: This was in what
23	MS. PILON: This was in private
24	MR. HORN: capacity?
25	MS. PILON: One was at the school board and

1	the police were involved.
2	MR. HORN: Pardon?
3	MS. PILON: One was at the school board. I
4	believe it was in '86 and the police were involved prior to
5	meet my involvement in that case because it was a teenager.
6	MR. HORN: So in the time that you were
7	doing these counselling sessions, would they be ones that
8	would take some time on each different situation?
9	THE COMMISSIONER: You mean how long did the
10	sessions last?
11	MR. HORN: Yeah. Like would you have to
12	meet with the person on a number of occasions and finally
13	come to some kind of a determination at the end?
14	MS. PILON: The client had control over the
15	number of sessions they would attend. And usually, when it
16	is sexual abuse, it takes a long time. I've seen people,
17	not my client yes, I had a client who came for seven
18	years who was a sexual historical abuse.
19	MR. HORN: Okay. For a period of seven
20	years?
21	MS. PILON: Yes.
22	MR. HORN: And that would be on
23	MS. PILON: This is recent.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. We have to take
25	turns now.

1	MR. HORN: Okay. I'm sorry.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Because everything is
3	being recorded. So let's wait until Mr. Horn finishes and
4	Mr. Horn, of course, wait till the witness finishes please.
5	MR. HORN: So during that period of time,
6	you would meet them what, on a monthly basis or weekly, or
7	how often would you meet with them?
8	MS. PILON: It depends on the client.
9	Sometimes the client wants to come on a weekly basis to
10	start. Sometimes it's on bimonthly. It depends also on
11	their income because they would pay for the counselling.
12	MR. HORN: Okay. Now, you're doing it
13	privately? Was it in situations where possibly you were
14	somebody would refer them to you from, let's say, the
15	school board or from some government agency?
16	MS. PILON: I had a few from government
17	agencies not necessarily for abuse. The school when I
18	was working for the school board, they would be referred to
19	me for attendance problems. And sometimes, when it was an
20	attendance problem, they would reveal that they had been
21	raped or something. That's the case I'm talking about when
22	the police was already involved.
23	MR. HORN: So would you have been dealing
24	with when you were dealing with them, were they young
25	when you were dealing with them? What age groups would you

be dealing with?

1	be dealing with:
2	MS. PILON: When I was in high school
3	working for the board, they were usually between 14 and 18.
4	MR. HORN: Okay. And the historical abuse
5	would stem back from something that happened when they were
6	quite young?
7	MS. PILON: The one case I'm talking about
8	who was raped, it had been when she was young.
9	MR. HORN: Okay. Now, in this situation
10	when you were sitting on the ad hoc committee, the
11	individual that was complaining, were they did they fit
12	the category of the people that you'd been dealing with in
13	the past?
14	MS. PILON: If I was dealing with them in
15	the past?
16	MR. HORN: Well, the ones that you had dealt
17	with in the past, would the Brisson complaint sort of fit
18	in to the type of thing that you dealt with in the past?
19	MS. PILON: Not quite
20	MR. HORN: Okay. All right.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no. Just let her
22	MR. HORN: Okay. All right. Sorry.
23	MS. PILON: Not quite it was not quite
24	the same because the Brisson family Mr. Brisson was more
25	he was in therapy with someone apparently, with Father

1	Gilles, apparently, and had sexual abuse there. While the
2	clients I received, a few clients, it was a rape in
3	authority, a parent.
4	MR. HORN: Okay. It was not someone in the
5	religious setting?
6	MS. PILON: No.
7	MR. HORN: No. And so this was a little bit
8	new to you than what you saw when you were in the ad hoc
9	committee?
10	MS. PILON: Certainly, it was new for me.
11	MR. HORN: Okay. And you would you have
12	been chosen to sit on the committee because of your
13	background and the fact that you were of an order I
14	believe you were a sister of an order?
15	MS. PILON: I really don't know what reason
16	Monseigneur chose me, but most probably because he knew
17	that I was studying at Saint Paul's University and I had
18	answered his request for someone to work with families.
19	MR. HORN: Do you think that he was aware or
20	did you know if he was aware of your background as a
21	psychologist working with individuals who had been sexually
22	abused?
23	MS. PILON: I was not a psychologist and he
24	was not aware of my work unless he asked information at
25	Saint Paul's University or

1	MR. HORN: Okay. Now, of the people that
2	were sitting on the ad hoc committee, who else had the same
3	kind of background in dealing in counselling? Do you know?
4	MS. PILON: I believe Jacques Leduc was a
5	lawyer and Monseigneur Guindon was a canon and they did not
6	have a background, as I'm aware of.
7	MR. HORN: Okay. So you would be the
8	individual sitting on the committee who would have the kind
9	of background that would deal with having that individual
10	go for counselling or giving some recommendations about
11	helping the individual with counselling of some sort?
12	MS. PILON: I believe I had the background,
13	yes.
14	MR. HORN: Okay. So did you feel that that
15	was your role on that ad hoc committee?
16	MS. PILON: It should have been part of my
17	role.
18	MR. HORN: Okay. Now, one of the things
19	that I was interested in
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, just a second
21	there. It should have been part of your role? That kind
22	of leads into it wasn't?
23	MS. PILON: It wasn't clearly expressed.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
25	MS. PILON: Because what I remember is you

1	are there to listen and help. Well, I could say yes, it
2	was part of my role.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
4	MR. HORN: Did you feel that your input into
5	because of your background, your input into the
6	committee's hearings, would that did you feel that they
7	were taking it into account by when they finally made
8	the report?
9	MS. PILON: I presume, yes, that what I said
10	was taken into account.
11	MR. HORN: So that whatever report that
12	would come out would show your input that you wanted to
13	have this individual counselled and dealt with? I mean,
14	he'd been counselled but he was counselled by the abuser.
15	We're dealing with the situation that where he'd have to be
16	sent elsewhere, wouldn't he?
17	MS. PILON: Yes.
18	MR. HORN: Okay. Now, did you have any idea
19	of where he might be going to get that kind of counselling?
20	MS. PILON: I was told he was going to
21	Pierrefonds in Montreal.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no. You're talking
23	about Father Deslauriers.
24	MR. HORN: No, Mr. Brisson.
25	MS. PILON: Are you talking about Mr.

1	Brisson?
2	MR. HORN: No, Mr. Brisson.
3	MS. PILON: If I was told where he would
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Was he were you aware,
5	did you know that if Mr. Brisson, Benoît, was under
6	counselling?
7	MS. PILON: No, I don't know.
8	MR. HORN: Okay. So you didn't know where
9	he was going to go to if he was if there was a
10	recommendation for counselling, you didn't know who was
11	going to do that or how that was going to be done?
12	MS. PILON: It was up to Benoît to decide.
13	MR. HORN: It was up to?
14	MS. PILON: Benoît to decide where he wanted
15	to go.
16	MR. HORN: Okay. So he had his own choice
17	of what to do?
18	MS. PILON: Yes.
19	MR. HORN: Now, at the meetings when you did
20	have the meetings, I understand that there was an oath of
21	confidentiality that was that you had to go into?
22	MS. PILON: That's right.
23	MR. HORN: Are we talking only about the
24	individual sitting on the board or on the committee or did
25	it include Mr. Benoît and other witnesses?

1	MS. PILON: I don't quite understand the
2	question.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Did you have you swore
4	an oath of confidentiality?
5	MS. PILON: Yes.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Did the witnesses have to
7	swear an oath of confidentiality?
8	MS. PILON: Not that I know of.
9	MR. HORN: Okay. So it was only to the
10	committee members that they were told that they couldn't
11	speak about what happened at these meetings?
12	MS. PILON: That's right, to me especially.
13	I don't know for Mr. Leduc.
14	MR. HORN: Okay. And at these meetings, was
15	it made into kind of a religious setting where did they
16	have opening prayer and that sort of thing?
17	MS. PILON: I can't remember.
18	MR. HORN: Okay. And the individuals that
19	were coming before the committee were all Roman Catholic?
20	MS. PILON: I would think so.
21	MR. HORN: And do you know if they were part
22	of the parish of not only of maybe Deslauriers or some -
23	- maybe somebody that was involved in the committee?
24	MS. PILON: I would not know that.
25	MR. HORN: You had no idea whether they were

back to the flock.

1	local
2	MS. PILON: They were local people.
3	MR. HORN: And do you know if they were
4	church attenders?
5	MS. PILON: I would not know that. I know
6	that Benoît was in a group, a youth group with Gilles
7	Deslauriers. I forget the name.
8	MR. HORN: By the time he came to the ad hoc
9	committee, did you feel that he had been turned off by what
10	had happened and turned away from the church?
11	MS. PILON: That's what we learned at the ad
12	hoc committee.
13	MR. HORN: And were the meetings maybe
14	one of the purposes of having the meetings is to try to
15	bring him back into the fold, back into the church?
16	MS. PILON: It wasn't my purpose for sure.
17	MR. HORN: Do you know what the purpose was
18	by the other parties?
19	THE COMMISSIONER: You mean the other
20	members of the committee?
21	MR. HORN: Of the other members of the
22	committee.
23	MS. PILON: It was certainly to understand
24	what was going on, but it was never a question to bring him

1	MR. HORN: Okay. Now, when the the
2	individuals that were witnesses, how many of them were
3	clergy witnesses and on the ad hoc committee?
4	MS. PILON: There were three clergymen who
5	were witnesses who came to talk to us, Father Ménard,
6	Vaillancourt and Bisaillon, and there was Monseigneur
7	Guindon who was sitting on the committee.
8	MR. HORN: So would you you're a clergy.
9	Would you be considered a clergy?
10	MS. PILON: No, I am a "laïque".
11	MR. HORN: At that time?
12	MS. PILON: At that time, religious or not
13	clergy.
14	MR. HORN: So is a sister considered clergy?
15	MS. PILON: No.
16	MR. HORN: Okay. So the when there was
17	some talk about the fact that this couldn't have been done
18	pursuant to canon law, it was because there was only one
19	clergy that was Father Guindon?
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Guindon.
21	MS. PILON: I believe in the letter it
22	states that the lawyer should be a clergyman.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: You're referring to Mr
24	- Père Deslauriers' answer as to why
25	MS. PILON: Right.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: he wasn't going?
2	MR. HORN: So he felt that the only clergy
3	was Father Guindon?
4	MS. PILON: That's right.
5	MR. HORN: And that you and Mr. Leduc were
6	not?
7	MS. PILON: That's right.
8	MR. HORN: And so the did you feel that
9	it was a religious setting when these individuals came into
10	the to appear before the ad hoc committee?
11	MS. PILON: Can you repeat your question?
12	MR. HORN: Okay. When these individuals,
13	who were Catholic, were coming before the committee to
14	talk, did you feel that it was a religious setting, it was
15	at a it was something that was within the church?
16	MS. PILON: Yes.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Well
18	MS. PILON: It was in a
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Let's be a little clear.
20	A religious setting and within the church is pretty vague.
21	MR. HORN: Okay. Religious setting meaning
22	that they open up in prayer and that they have to, you
23	know, do anything that was ceremonially religious.
24	MS. PILON: I don't remember we opened up
25	with prayers. It was at the Diocesan Centre which is kind

1	of linked to religion.
2	MR. HORN: So when the individuals were
3	coming to the hearings to speak, they felt that they were
4	going to the church?
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, can't tell she
6	can't tell how they felt.
7	MR. HORN: Okay. All right. Did you feel
8	that it was really these people were coming to the church
9	to
10	MS. PILON: No.
11	MR. HORN: You felt that this was a secular
12	kind of a meeting or
13	MS. PILON: I felt we were coming there to
14	discuss the situation and not that we were coming to a
15	religious un office religieux, non.
16	MR. HORN: Okay.
17	Now, in your experience as a counsellor,
18	were there any regulations that governed you regarding
19	divulging if somebody told you about something that was
20	illegal, like abuse, did you have an obligation to tell the
21	authorities like the Children's Aid Society or the police?
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, hold on. Hold on.
23	If you're talking about obligation, right, then you must be
24	referring to the Children's Aid Society obligation. Are
25	you not?

1	MR. HORN: Well, yes. Did you feel
2	obligated to if you learned something while you are
3	counselling somebody and it was it was something that
4	you did you feel that you had to tell this Children's
5	Aid Society or some other authorities if it was illegal?
6	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, no. Mr. Horn,
7	you have
8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I didn't understand
9	the question.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, yeah.
11	MR. HORN: Okay. In your training then, was
12	part of your training were you taught that if something
13	was told to you in confidence and it was illegal, did you
14	have to keep it to yourself or were you allowed to you
15	had did you feel you were told that you had to go to the
16	Children's Aid Society?
17	THE COMMISSIONER: In other words, have you
18	ever been taught anything about the duty to report matters
19	involving children to the Children's Aid Society?
20	MS. PILON: Well, we were taught that if
21	there was a situation where a person was harming himself or
22	herself or is was a harm to others, we had a form that
23	they would sign and that I would be obligated to divulge
24	the situation.
25	MR. HORN: And this is when you were

1	involved in counselling?
2	MS. PILON: That's right.
3	MR. HORN: And that was that taught to
4	you when you were taking courses at the university?
5	MS. PILON: I believe so. I have and
6	with the EAP program, assistance program, there was also
7	that form there in that package.
8	MR. HORN: You mentioned something yesterday
9	about the fact that you felt that there was an obligation
10	to go to both the Children's Aid Society and the police.
11	Is that what you thought?
12	MS. PILON: That's what I said.
13	MR. HORN: And was it we're talking about
14	at the time you were on the committee and you were hearing
15	the things that were going on, at that committee hearing?
16	MS. PILON: At the time I was on the
17	committee, I don't believe it came to my mind to divulge
18	the information; I would say because I was not in charge of
19	the situation but I have in with one schoolgirl I have
20	revealed I have reported it to the police not to the
21	police, to the Children's Aid Society.
22	MR. HORN: You've done this in the past?
23	MS. PILON: Yes, I've done it.
24	MR. HORN: Okay. So when you were sitting
25	on the committee and you were hearing the the evidence,

1	and it was getting to the point where you saw there was
2	abuse taking place, you may not have been in charge of the
3	meetings but personally did you feel that there was an
4	obligation to tell that to the authorities?
5	MS. PILON: I don't remember what I felt at
6	that point.
7	MR. HORN: Would that thought that
8	thought came to you afterwards when you thought about it?
9	MS. PILON: I don't remember.
10	MR. HORN: Okay. Did you feel that you were
11	brought into this ad hoc committee situation because it was
12	intended to keep this within the church; we didn't want it
13	to go outside?
14	MS. PILON: I don't know what Monseigneur
15	Larocque's intentions were, and I had not reflected that
16	way at that point.
17	MR. HORN: You mentioned yesterday about
18	\$32,000 that was suggested as a payment. Was it to the
19	Brissons or to the Brisson
20	MS. PILON: It was discussed amongst us in
21	the Brisson situation, yes.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: "Amongst us" you mean the
23	members of the committee?
24	MS. PILON: Yes, the three members.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: It was never discussed

1	was it ever discussed with Monsieur Brisson?
2	MS. PILON: I don't think so.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: You
4	MS. PILON: No.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
6	MR. HORN: Okay. Do you have any idea how
7	that amount came about? Who was suggesting it? How did
8	\$32,000 become the the offer that should have been
9	given?
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, first of all
11	first of all you said, "How did it become the offer that
12	should have been given?" Those are two things that have
13	not been proven or I don't know how you should word
14	that, Mr
15	MR. HORN: Okay. How did the amount of
16	\$32,000 come about? Do you have any do you remember?
17	MS. PILON: I don't remember who proposed it
18	and I don't remember how it came to 32,000.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: But it wasn't you?
20	MS. PILON: No, definitely not.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: So it had to be either
22	Monseigneur Guindon or Monsieur Leduc?
23	MS. PILON: That's right.
24	MR. HORN: And when you mistakenly thought
25	that when the Silmser \$32,000 was the one that you were

1	discussing, do you enquire afterwards how come \$32,000 was
2	seems to be the common figure in both situations?
3	MS. PILON: No, I did not, sir. The only
4	thing I thought was to cover the therapy, which would be
5	costly, and I just figured out, well, it's kind of
6	reasonable if we consider how how long therapy can last.
7	MR. HORN: Okay. At any time did you feel
8	that because there was well, did you think that there
9	was criminal activity that had taken place between Mr
10	Father Deslauriers and Brisson?
11	MS. PILON: I knew it was not right, but to
12	classify it criminal, I don't know if it did cross my mind,
13	although I read in the ad hoc report there was mention of
14	criminal activity.
15	MR. HORN: So you didn't put your mind to
16	to that that issue whether they crossed the line, it had
17	gone and it had become a criminal act?
18	MS. PILON: I think at that time it was very
19	hard to analyse every every detail, every word because
20	it was intense, and no, I did not think about it.
21	MR. HORN: Okay. In retrospect, afterwards,
22	when you look back at it and you realized that there was a
23	criminal act, did you think that you should have got you
24	should have said something at that time?
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, did you at any time

1	come to the conclusion that it was a criminal act?
2	MS. PILON: Yes, when it became public it
3	was a criminal act and it was already in public.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
5	MR. HORN: So do you think that at the time
6	you should have done something or said something?
7	MS. PILON: No, I did not think I should
8	have said something, since I I was under oath. And if I
9	would have had if I would have said something, I would
10	have gone to see the Bishop and the clients to have a
11	release of information, and I did not do that.
12	MR. HORN: Okay, so you
13	MS. PILON: Divulge private information.
14	MR. HORN: So the fact that you made the
15	oath of confidentiality made you feel that you couldn't do
16	it anyways, even if it was illegal?
17	MS. PILON: I never thought even of doing
18	it.
19	MR. HORN: Pardon?
20	MS. PILON: I never thought of going public
21	with it.
22	MR. HORN: No, but if it if, when you
23	look back, you realize it was illegal, you still wouldn't
24	have gone you still wouldn't have said anything because
25	you had an oath of confidentiality that was binding you?

	PUBLIC HEARING
	AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE
1	

1	MS. PILON: If I had been approached by the
2	police I would've asked for release of information and I
3	would have talked, but nobody approached me to in order
4	to divulge the information.
5	MR. HORN: Okay. Were you ever asked to
6	come forward with what had happened, at any time, by the
7	police or the Children's Aid Society?
8	MS. PILON: In this case?
9	MR. HORN: Yes. In this situation.
10	MS. PILON: In this situation, no.
11	MR. HORN: Thank you.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
13	Mr. Lee?
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
15	MR. LEE:
16	MR. LEE: Madame Pilon, my name is Dallas
17	Lee. I'm counsel for the victims group.
18	I have a few areas I'd like to ask you some
19	questions about and I don't want to go over the same ground
20	talking about this oath of secrecy that you swore.
21	What I'm not clear on is to whom you swore
22	that oath. As I understand it, Monsignor Guindon
23	administered the oath. Is that correct?
24	MS. PILON: That's right.
25	MR. LEE: Was it sworn to were you

1	promising Monsignor Guindon you wouldn't break the oath, or
2	the Bishop, or God, or the Pope? Do you have any
3	recollection of that?
4	MS. PILON: No, I don't.
5	MR. LEE: Okay. You just know it was an
6	oath of secrecy and that Monsignor Guindon administered it?
7	MS. PILON: That's right.
8	MR. LEE: Do you know where Monsignor
9	Guindon derived the authority to administer that oath?
10	MS. PILON: I don't know.
11	MR. LEE: Did he mention anything about
12	canon law, for example?
13	MS. PILON: No.
14	MR. LEE: He didn't say to you "Canon law
15	requires that we swear this oath"?
16	MS. PILON: No.
17	MR. LEE: Did he suggest to you that the
18	Bishop required that you swear such an oath?
19	MS. PILON: I don't remember.
20	MR. LEE: As far as you recall, he said
21	"Swear an oath" and you swore an oath?
22	MS. PILON: I didn't find it strange that he
23	asked for confidentiality.
24	MR. LEE: Did you discuss with Monsignor
25	Guindon at any point what the penalty for breaking that

1	oath would be?
2	MS. PILON: No, I haven't.
3	MR. LEE: Did you turn your mind to that at
4	all at the time?
5	MS. PILON: No.
6	MR. LEE: I take it it wasn't much of an
7	issue for you because you weren't going to break that oath?
8	
9	MS. PILON: I made a promise and I was going
10	to keep it.
11	MR. LEE: At any time other time in your
12	career, do you recall swearing a similar oath?
13	MS. PILON: Yes. Well, yes.
14	MR. LEE: In relation to what; can you tell
15	me?
16	MS. PILON: In relation to court issues with
17	CAS in a situation of abuse of a child.
18	MR. LEE: And you swore an oath of secrecy
19	relating to the Church?
20	MS. PILON: An oath of secrecy? Oh, no, no,
21	no, an oath but not an oath of secrecy.
22	MR. LEE: You were sworn that you were
23	telling the truth?
24	MS. PILON: That's right.
25	MR. LEE: Sort of like here

1	MS. PILON: Right.
2	MR. LEE: at this Inquiry?
3	MS. PILON: Right.
4	MR. LEE: But not an oath of secrecy?
5	MS. PILON: No.
6	MR. LEE: Do you recall ever having sworn an
7	oath of secrecy at any other point?
8	MS. PILON: No.
9	MR. LEE: Okay. Thank you.
10	Could I ask you please to turn up Exhibit
11	72? Madam Clerk will give that to you. That's the ad hoc
12	report.
13	MS. PILON: What page, sir?
13 14	MS. PILON: What page, sir?  MR. LEE: If you look in the top left-hand
14	MR. LEE: If you look in the top left-hand
14 15	MR. LEE: If you look in the top left-hand corner the number will end in 197. The very top left-hand
14 15 16	MR. LEE: If you look in the top left-hand corner the number will end in 197. The very top left-hand corner of every page has a long number.
14 15 16 17	MR. LEE: If you look in the top left-hand corner the number will end in 197. The very top left-hand corner of every page has a long number.  THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah, she's got it.
14 15 16 17 18	MR. LEE: If you look in the top left-hand corner the number will end in 197. The very top left-hand corner of every page has a long number.  THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah, she's got it.  MR. LEE: Okay.
14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. LEE: If you look in the top left-hand corner the number will end in 197. The very top left-hand corner of every page has a long number.  THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah, she's got it.  MR. LEE: Okay.  MS. PILON: One nine seven (197)?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. LEE: If you look in the top left-hand corner the number will end in 197. The very top left-hand corner of every page has a long number.  THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah, she's got it.  MR. LEE: Okay.  MS. PILON: One nine seven (197)?  MR. LEE: One nine seven (197), yes.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. LEE: If you look in the top left-hand corner the number will end in 197. The very top left-hand corner of every page has a long number.  THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah, she's got it.  MR. LEE: Okay.  MS. PILON: One nine seven (197)?  MR. LEE: One nine seven (197), yes.  And this is the tail end of the interview

MR. LEE: And if you look down right near

1	the very bottom of the page, Madam Clerk, the tail end of
2	the final answer on this page by Dr. Deslauriers.
3	Madame Pilon, I don't read French very well,
4	so I'm wondering whether you might be able to read that for
5	me, if you don't mind? I'd like you to start where it
6	begins "Je crois aussi". Do you see that?
7	MS. PILON: Yes.
8	MR. LEE: Can you read that please?
9	MS. PILON: "Je croirais qu'il serait
10	bon"
11	THE COMMISSIONER: No.
12	MS. PILON: "et je ne sais pas s'il vous
13	y est possible…"
14	THE COMMISSIONER: No, Madame. Madame, un
15	peu plus bas. Si vous voyez sur l'écran, on a une petite
16	flèche qui vous indique. C'est à peu près cinq lignes du
17	bas.
18	MS. PILON: "Je crois aussi…"
19	LE COMMISSAIRE: C'est ça.
20	MS. PILON: "que s'il y a quelque chose
21	qui vient à la surface encore, vous ne
22	pourrez pas éliminer le danger qu'il
23	apparaisse en cour criminelle, je
24	crois. Le danger est là que les gens
25	vont toujours pouvoir parler et puis

1	c'est une cause perdue en cour
2	criminelle."
3	MR. LEE: Thank you.
4	So we have that from Dr. Deslauriers
5	speaking of, as he puts it, the danger that this could
6	still end up in the criminal courts.
7	And we have an answer from Monsignor Guindon
8	which says that, for the most part, these victims were more
9	than 18 years old.
10	Do you see that?
11	MS. PILON: Yes.
12	MR. LEE: And if you turn the page over
13	Madame Deslauriers interjects and says that Benoit at least
14	was 17 years old when he completed his R-Cube.
15	Do you see that?
16	MS. PILON: Yes.
17	MR. LEE: And it's his next answer that I'm
18	particularly interested from Dr. Deslauriers. Would you
19	mind reading that one as well, please?
20	MS. PILON: "C'est pour ça je pense qu'il
21	faudrait retenir ça dans notre esprit
22	que le danger demeure parce que je me
23	demande si nous sommes dans une
24	position très légale ici en cachant un
25	crime. C'est la question. Je ne dis

1	pas ça comme menace, quoi qu'il faut
2	penser à toutes ces choses-là.
3	L'église peut être au mur aussi un jour
4	parce qu'elle ne sait pas elle-même
5	quoi faire et elle a besoin encore
6	d'agir."
7	MR. LEE: Thank you.
8	So we have Dr. Deslauriers here at least
9	turning his mind and raising with the committee the idea of
10	whether or not the Diocese might be on shaky ground a
11	little bit here. Is that how you read that?
12	MS. PILON: Right.
13	MR. LEE: And he's a little bit worried that
14	a crime has taken place and it hasn't been reported to the
15	authorities yet?
16	MS. PILON: That's right.
17	MR. LEE: And that's how you understood his
18	concern to be. Is that correct?
19	MS. PILON: Yes.
20	MR. LEE: And Monsignor Guindon goes on to
21	speak of a case that he knows of in Rhode Island where the
22	Diocese had been sued for \$14 million as a result of having
23	some knowledge allegedly of some misconduct and not doing
24	anything. Do you see that?
25	MS. PILON: Yes.

1	MR. LEE: And Dr. Deslauriers says that he
2	understands the same thing had happened in Louisiana.
3	MS. PILON: Right.
4	MR. LEE: And Monsignor Guindon then
5	continues in the middle of the page. Would you mind
6	reading that answer that begins with "Oui"?
7	MS. PILON: "Oui, et plusieurs. Je me
8	demande si c'est une bonne chose de
9	protéger, comme vous le dites, les
10	coupables. O.k. Que la loi suive sor
11	cours, ça ne serait pas bon pour
12	l'église."
13	MR. LEE: And what did you understand
14	Monsignor Guindon to mean "Ça ne serait pas bon pour
15	l'église"?
16	MS. PILON: That it would hurt the Church.
17	In what way he meant, I'm not sure.
18	MR. LEE: Do you remember there being some
19	discussion whether with Dr. and Mrs. Deslauriers or with
20	the committee about the effect of publicity of these
21	matters and about these stories getting out and how that
22	might hurt the Church?
23	MS. PILON: Could you repeat? I didn't
24	concentrate on the first part.
25	MR. LEE: I get that a lot, Madame.

1	What I'm asking is whether you remember any
2	discussions, either with the committee or with witnesses,
3	about the effect that these allegations and this story
4	getting out into the public might have on the Diocese?
5	MS. PILON: I don't recall discussing what
6	the effects would be.
7	MR. LEE: Is that something you considered
8	at the time, what might happen if this story were made
9	public?
10	MS. PILON: Certainly I was aware of the
11	scandal and Monsignor Guindon also was aware of the scandal
12	that would happen and it would affect the Church, the
13	people.
14	MR. LEE: And if you continue on, I won't
15	ask you to read the entire thing, but Mr. Leduc has a very
16	lengthy comment here. And if you look at the middle
17	paragraph, what he's saying is that he's concerned about
18	the possibility of civil litigation essentially and about
19	the fact that there may have been a breach of a fiduciary
20	relationship. Do you see that?
21	MS. PILON: Yes.
22	MR. LEE: Do you recall discussions like
23	this with Mr. Leduc and Monsignor Guindon outside of this
24	interview?
25	MS. PILON: I have no recollection.

1	MR. LEE: So you've I know that you don't
2	have a perfect memory of all of these hearings and all of
3	these interviews, but you've read this transcript now, and
4	does this refresh your memory a little bit that there was
5	at least some discussion of scandal and of civil litigation
6	and of whether this should be reported?
7	MS. PILON: There was from reading this,
8	there was fear of scandal.
9	MR. LEE: And Mr. Horn a moment ago when he
10	asked you some questions brought you back to some of your
11	evidence yesterday about the discussions that the committee
12	had on its own about what you might be able to do for
13	Benoit Brisson, and you've told us about the suggestion
14	that maybe he could be given some money that might help
15	him. Do you recall that?
16	MS. PILON: Yes.
17	MR. LEE: And I take it, from your point of
18	view, the purpose of that money was to help Mr. Brisson?
19	MS. PILON: Help in his therapy, yes.
20	MR. LEE: You understood from meeting with
21	Mr. Brisson during this that he was having a hard time?
22	MS. PILON: Definitely.
23	MR. LEE: And you understood, I take it,
24	from his parents and from his in-laws, the Deslauriers,
25	that this had not been easy on Benoit?

1	MS. PILON: That's right.
2	MR. LEE: And from your training, I take it
3	you understood that that was what you might expect when
4	somebody's been sexually abused, for him to be having some
5	trouble and to be having a difficulty coping with it?
6	MS. PILON: That's what I would expect from
7	the perpetrator, to help his victim.
8	MR. LEE: Sorry, you might expect I take
9	it what you mean is you might expect the perpetrator to do
10	what he can financially to help the victim heal. Is that
11	correct?
12	MS. PILON: That's right.
13	MR. LEE: But you also would have expected
14	that the victims would have had a very hard time with
15	having been sexually abused?
16	MS. PILON: Yes.
17	MR. LEE: And you've told us that you can't
18	remember who raised the issue of perhaps paying some money
19	to Benoit Brisson other than you know it wasn't you?
20	MS. PILON: That's right.
21	MR. LEE: And what you told us yesterday was
22	that you remember part of the discussion being not only
23	that you might pay Mr. Brisson some money but that he might
24	be asked for confidentiality in return?
25	MS. PILON: That's right.

1	MR. LEE: And I take it you understood the
2	point of that to be that that would avoid scandal?
3	MS. PILON: Most probably, yes.
4	MR. LEE: So both sides get something out of
5	that deal?
6	MS. PILON: That's right.
7	MR. LEE: Mr. Brisson gets some money to get
8	himself some help and the church doesn't have scandal. Is
9	that correct?
10	MS. PILON: That's correct.
11	MR. LEE: And that's what you understood at
12	the time?
13	MS. PILON: Yes.
14	MR. LEE: What did you understand was meant
15	by "confidentiality" in terms of Mr. Brisson? In exchange
16	for money, he might be asked for confidentiality. What did
17	you understand that to mean?
18	MS. PILON: It could have meant not to talk
19	about the amount or it could have meant not to discuss
20	anything about to keep silence.
21	MR. LEE: So there are two options there.
22	He can talk about it, but not about how much money he was
23	paid?
24	MS. PILON: That's right.
25	MR. LEE: Or option number two, he shouldn't

25

1	talk about it at all?
2	MS. PILON: Shouldn't talk about what
3	happened.
4	MR. LEE: What happened.
5	MS. PILON: The abuse.
6	MR. LEE: The abuse. And that it was a
7	priest that did it and that it was Father Deslauriers and
8	all of those kinds of things. Is that right?
9	MS. PILON: It could have meant that, yes.
10	MR. LEE: Was it your understanding or were
11	there discussions among the committee that the purpose of
12	the settlement, in part, may have been to keep Mr. Brisson
13	from bringing the civil authorities into this?
14	MS. PILON: It's possible.
15	MR. LEE: And I take it that goes back to
16	option number two, that he might be asked to keep silent
17	completely?
18	MS. PILON: That's right.
19	MR. LEE: I want to touch very, very
20	briefly, Madam Pilon, on the very last question you were
21	asked yesterday by Mr. Dumais.
22	You were asked about recommendations and you
23	offered one of them to us, and I'm paraphrasing, but please
24	tell me if I've captured what you meant accurately, that
25	

35

what you suggested is that the treatment of survivors of

1	abuse is more effective and often faster when they pay for
2	it themselves, at least in part, rather than having
3	everything given to them?
4	MS. PILON: That's right.
5	MR. LEE: You've told Mr. Horn earlier this
6	morning that you had some experience working with survivors
7	of sexual abuse?
8	MS. PILON: Yes.
9	MR. LEE: And that some of your experience
10	was with survivors of historical sexual abuse?
11	MS. PILON: That's right.
12	MR. LEE: And was it your experience that
13	while each case is unique, oftentimes survivors of historic
14	sexual abuse suffer in the same ways?
15	MS. PILON: Even though it's unique, they
16	suffer sometimes in different ways.
17	MR. LEE: Sometimes in different ways,
18	sometimes
19	MS. PILON: Yes.
20	MR. LEE: Let's break it down a little bit.
21	Was it your experience that often the effects of abuse were
22	long-lasting?
23	MS. PILON: They are long-lasting usually,
24	unless they just put it aside and not think about it.
25	MR. LEE: People heal differently?

1	MS. PILON: Yes.
2	MR. LEE: And I take it you had experience
3	with victims of abuse who suffered very significantly?
4	MS. PILON: Yes.
5	MR. LEE: And would it have been your
6	experience that these victims often had problems completing
7	their education after having been abused?
8	MS. PILON: Each case is different.
9	MR. LEE: Each case is different.
10	MS. PILON: Some have continue their
11	studies and have become social workers or have become
12	helpers.
13	MR. LEE: Or lawyers.
14	MS. PILON: Maybe. Each case is different.
15	MR. LEE: Have you, in your experience
16	though, run across victims of abuse who have had trouble
17	with their education?
18	MS. PILON: With the people who dropped out
19	of school? I wouldn't know if they would have been abused.
20	MR. LEE: Okay. So you've dealt with people
21	who have dropped out of school. You just don't know if
22	that was a result of abuse?
23	MS. PILON: Right.
24	MR. LEE: And you haven't treated hundreds
25	of victims of abuse or anything like that?

l	MS. PILON: No.
2	MR. LEE: You have some specific
3	experiences?
4	MS. PILON: That's right.
5	MR. LEE: The reason that I'm asking these
6	questions is that we've heard some evidence here and we've
7	heard from a number of survivors of abuse here, and some
8	common themes seemed to have emerged in the sense of people
9	having trouble finishing their educations or with
10	relationships or with employment.
11	And one of the things that concerned me a
12	little bit yesterday when I heard your recommendation was
13	when I think to the number of clients that I have who are
14	unable to work, who are on disability pensions and things
15	along those lines, and I just want to confirm with you that
16	you didn't mean to suggest yesterday that for therapeutic
17	reasons victims should be always forced to fund their own
18	counselling?
19	MS. PILON: I don't say totally, but we were
20	trained if a client could give \$5 or if they usually
21	have a carton of cigarettes per week, they could take less
22	or if they drank a case of beer per week, that they could
23	afford maybe \$5, \$10 towards their therapy. And that little
24	\$5 might make a difference.
25	MR. LEE: And I take it that regardless of

1	how we go about achieving the goal, the goal for you is to
2	make sure that these survivors are committed to their
3	therapy?
4	MS. PILON: That's right.
5	MR. LEE: And that they're invested in their
6	therapy?
7	MS. PILON: That's right.
8	MR. LEE: And that they have a stake in
9	their therapy?
10	MS. PILON: Yes.
11	MR. LEE: And it's not something they're
12	doing just to go through the motions; it's something that
13	they take an interest in and that they care about?
14	MS. PILON: Yes.
15	MR. LEE: And it's been your experience that
16	when that happens, it's more successful?
17	MS. PILON: Yes.
18	MR. LEE: And that's what you were trying to
19	communicate to us yesterday?
20	MS. PILON: What I was referring also, I had
21	a situation where someone was paying for the therapy and
22	that individual was not necessarily coming to his therapy,
23	but he didn't mind paying the \$75 or \$80 because it was not
24	coming from his pocket. He missed the session; no problem.
25	And that's what I'm referring to.

1	MR. LEE: I think I understand what you're
2	saying.
3	Madame Pilon, thank you very much. Those
4	are my questions.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
6	Mr. Cipriano?
7	MR. CIPRIANO: No questions.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Chisholm? I'm sorry,
9	Ms. Alinotte?
10	MS. ALINOTTE: No questions.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
12	Mr. Rose?
13	MR. ROSE: No questions, sir.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Ms. Cole?
15	MS. COLE: No questions.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Ms. Robitaille?
17	MS. ROBITAILLE: Good morning, Mr.
18	Commissioner.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning.
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS.
21	ROBITAILLE:
22	MS. ROBITAILLE: Good morning, Madame Pilon.
23	Je m'appelle Danielle Robitaille. Je suis avocate pour
24	Jacques Leduc.
25	Malheureusement, il faut que je demande mes

1	questions en anglais.
2	Mme. PILON: C'est bien.
3	MS. ROBITAILLE: I want to talk to you a bit
4	about your understanding of the limits of the jurisdiction
5	of the ad hoc committee.
6	Was it your understanding that you could not
7	the ad hoc committee could not force witnesses to
8	testify?
9	MS. PILON: Yes.
10	MS. ROBITAILLE: And in fact you could not
11	force Father Deslauriers to testify?
12	MS. PILON: That's right.
13	MS. ROBITAILLE: You had no jurisdiction to
14	bind the Bishop?
15	MS. PILON: That's right.
16	MS. ROBITAILLE: You had no jurisdiction to
17	compel the adoption of your recommendations?
18	MS. PILON: Say that again?
19	MS. ROBITAILLE: You had no jurisdiction to
20	compel the adoption of your recommendations?
21	MS. PILON: That's right.
22	MS. ROBITAILLE: And this assignment that
23	Monsignor Larocque gave you did not include a follow-up on
24	the report?
25	MS. PILON: That's right.

42

1	MS. ROBITAILLE: And it he had asked you for
2	assistance on the implementation of the recommendations,
3	you would have assisted him. Am I right?
4	MS. PILON: I'm not sure at that point.
5	MS. ROBITAILLE: Your feeling was once you
6	submitted your report, that your job was done?
7	MS. PILON: That's right.
8	Could I come back on this?
9	MS. ROBITAILLE: Sure.
10	MS. PILON: Had he asked me to do help
11	the family in therapy, probably I would have done it.
12	MS. ROBITAILLE: Are you aware, Madame
13	Brisson (sic), that by the time you issued your final
14	report and you finalized the recommendations with the
15	committee, the Brissons had gone public with their
16	allegations?
17	MS. PILON: If I was aware of that?
18	MS. ROBITAILLE: Yes.
19	MS. PILON: By the time we published the
20	recommendations?
21	MS. ROBITAILLE: Yes.
22	MS. PILON: Yes, I was made aware by
23	Monsignor Guindon when I went in for this report.
24	MS. ROBITAILLE: I just want to talk a
25	little bit about your memory, Madame Pilon.

1	You agree with me that it's been over 23
2	years since you worked on this ad hoc committee?
3	MS. PILON: That's right.
4	MS. ROBITAILLE: And you testified that
5	until you spoke to Commission counsel about this this year,
6	you had never spoken to anyone about anything related to
7	the ad hoc committee?
8	MS. PILON: That's right.
9	MS. ROBITAILLE: And so now, understandably,
10	you're having some difficulty recalling specific details of
11	the events surrounding the ad hoc committee?
12	MS. PILON: Certain details, yes.
13	MS. ROBITAILLE: And for example, you
14	testified that you couldn't remember whether or not you had
15	seen Bishop Larocque's April $3^{\rm rd}$ , '86 letter that set up the
16	committee. Do you recall that?
17	MS. PILON: Yes.
18	MS. ROBITAILLE: Yes. You also testified
19	that you couldn't remember you couldn't remember whether
20	you had discussed with Bishop Larocque who would be
21	testifying before the committee?
22	MS. PILON: Say that again?
23	MS. ROBITAILLE: You testified that you
24	could not remember whether or not you had discussed with
25	the Bishop who would be testifying before the committee.

1	MS. PILON: That I did didn't discuss it?
2	MS. ROBITAILLE: You recall Mr. Dumais
3	asked you yesterday whether you remembered having a
4	conversation with Bishop Larocque where he would have
5	informed you of certain witnesses that would appear before
6	the committee and you responded, "I can't recall".
7	Do you remember that?
8	MS. PILON: What I recall and I think I
9	mentioned with Maître Dumais that the Brisson family was
10	I recall that. The other people who were to witness,
11	MS. ROBITAILLE: Yes.
12	MS. PILON: I didn't recall it before I
13	read the letter.
14	MS. ROBITAILLE: You told Mr. Dumais that
15	you couldn't remember if you had had a conversation with
16	Monseigneur Guindon or Mr. Leduc about whether Father
17	Thibault was going to testify and whether his name should
18	be struck off the list?
19	MS. PILON: I don't recall that, no.
20	MS. ROBITAILLE: You testified that you're
21	not 100 percent sure whether you attended the testimony of
22	Father Ménard?
23	MS. PILON: That's right.
24	MS. ROBITAILLE: And you don't remember if
25	you saw the witness list before you started on the

1	committee?
2	MS. PILON: I don't recall seeing it. I
3	could have but I don't recall.
4	MS. ROBITAILLE: And when Mr. Dumais took
5	you to the testimony of Father Vaillancourt, you testified
6	that you had to completely rely on the transcript because
7	you had no independent recollection of the testimony
8	itself. Do you remember that?
9	MS. PILON: I relied on the transcript.
10	MS. ROBITAILLE: You testified that you
11	couldn't remember if the committee ever considered advising
12	the school board of the allegations?
13	MS. PILON: That's right.
14	MS. ROBITAILLE: You testified that you
15	couldn't remember if the committee had received
16	authorization in advance of testimony to be able to offer
17	the cost of therapy to witnesses?
18	MS. PILON: You're talking very fast.
19	MS. ROBITAILLE: I'll slow down.
20	MS. PILON: Okay.
21	MS. ROBITAILLE: You want that one again?
22	MS. PILON: Yes.
23	MS. ROBITAILLE: Okay. You testified that
24	you couldn't remember if you had received authorization
25	from the Bishop to be able to offer the witnesses the cost

1	of therapy. Do you recall there was some discussion
2	MS. PILON: Yes, yes, yes. I don't remember
3	we had authorization to or we discussed can I have
4	the question in French and I'll answer in French?
5	MS. ROBITAILLE: Okay. Maybe I'll kind of
6	stretch it a bit to see if we can if that will help.
7	MS. PILON: Okay.
8	MS. ROBITAILLE: Do you remember Monsieur
9	Dumais asked you if
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Hang on.
11	MS. ROBITAILLE: It is working?
12	Yeah. Monsieur Dumais asked you if you
13	could remember if you had received authorization from the
14	Bishop, in advance of the testimony, to be able to offer
15	the cost of therapy, to pay for the cost of therapy to
16	witnesses, and you answered that you couldn't recall if you
17	had received authorization in advance or not?
18	MS. PILON: Right.
19	MS. ROBITAILLE: And yesterday, Monsieur
20	Dumais took you to a portion of the transcript where you
21	were quoted as saying, and I'll say it in French:
22	"On veut prévenir d'autres situations."
23	And you told Monsieur Dumais that you
24	couldn't remember if you were referring to other situations
25	with other priests in general or other situations involving

1	Father Deslauriers in particular?
2	MS. PILON: That's right. I think my
3	intervention there was to prevent all abuse, whatever, with
4	whoever and what I am
5	MS. ROBITAILLE: And you responded to him
6	that you couldn't remember what you were thinking at the
7	time when you said that.
8	MS. PILON: No, I don't remember what I was
9	thinking.
10	MS. ROBITAILLE: Today, you told Mr. Horn
11	that you don't remember what you might have been thinking
12	vis-à-vis the duty to report. Do you remember telling him
13	that?
14	MS. PILON: That I don't remember the
15	necessity to report?
16	MS. ROBITAILLE: You told Mr. Horn that you
17	don't remember what you were thinking at the time about
18	your obligation whether you had an obligation or not to
19	report to the police or the CAS?
20	MS. PILON: Most probably I don't remember.
21	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Commissioner?
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
23	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: I'm just wondering, for
24	five or seven minutes now the witness's evidence is being
25	repeated over and over and over and over. There's

25

1	a transcript and there is no question that follows.
2	Usually, the witness is asked to recall to situate a line
3	of questions but this is just a string of you testified,
4	you testified.
5	We have a transcript of what the witness
6	testified. Now, we're hearing it all again and I wonder
7	about the usefulness of this exercise.
8	MS. ROBITAILLE: I'm prepared to move on to
9	what Madame Pilon does remember. So we can go to that now.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
11	MS. ROBITAILLE: You spoke yesterday and you
12	spoke today that you remember having an off-the-record
13	conversation with Monseigneur Guindon and Mr. Leduc about
14	the idea of offering money to Benoit Brisson in exchange
15	for a confidentiality agreement.
16	Do you recall that?
17	MS. PILON: Yes.
18	MS. ROBITAILLE: And you testified yesterday
19	that Monseigneur Guindon was supposed to follow up with the
20	Bishop with this idea?
21	MS. PILON: Yes.
22	MS. ROBITAILLE: But that you don't recall
23	ever hearing any follow-up?
24	MS. PILON: That's right.

MS. ROBITAILLE: And today you said you

1	don't remember who mentioned the \$32,000 amount but it
2	wasn't you?
3	MS. PILON: That's right.
4	MS. ROBITAILLE: And that you don't you
5	don't know whether the confidentiality agreement had
6	anything to do with the facts underlying the allegation or
7	the amount that would have been provided to Benoit?
8	MS. PILON: It would I think it was
9	both I would think.
10	MS. ROBITAILLE: Now, Madame Pilon, you
11	don't have any recollection of the committee discussing
12	such a payment to any of the other witnesses who testified
13	before the committee, do you?
14	MS. PILON: No, I don't.
15	MS. ROBITAILLE: And so based on your
16	memory, the committee discussed a confidentiality agreement
17	with only 1 of the 11 people that testified before the
18	committee?
19	MS. PILON: That's right.
20	MS. ROBITAILLE: And you were aware that
21	there were other alleged victims out there that did not
22	participate in the ad hoc committee?
23	MS. PILON: Yes.
24	MS. ROBITAILLE: And you don't recall any
25	efforts to locate those persons and try to get them to

1	agree to a confidentially agreement?
2	MS. PILON: We didn't do that.
3	MS. ROBITAILLE: And this memory of this
4	conversation is something you never told anyone about until
5	you spoke to Commission counsel?
6	MS. PILON: That's right.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, just a second. The
8	memory of the 32 of discussing the \$32,000?
9	MS. ROBITAILLE: This conversation.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Did you discuss
11	any of that with any with your well, never mind.
12	We'll just carry on.
13	MS. ROBITAILLE: And you said yesterday that
14	you were aware and you were reading media reports
15	surrounding the Silmser settlement. Do you remember that?
16	MS. PILON: Yes.
17	MS. ROBITAILLE: And, Madame Pilon, have you
18	been living and working in Cornwall since the ad hoc
19	committee?
20	MS. PILON: Yes.
21	MS. ROBITAILLE: And so you would be aware
22	of the types of coverage the coverage of the Silmser
23	settlement in the newspaper, on the radio?
24	MS. PILON: Partially, yes.
25	MS. ROBITAILLE: I'm going to suggest to

1	you, Madame Brisson (sic), that you did discuss the idea of
2	a financial contribution to Benoît Brisson, and I want to
3	take you to that transcript actually. And this is the last
4	part of my examination.
5	It's the ad hoc committee report.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
7	MS. ROBITAILLE: And it's Bates page
8	7167258.
9	Are you there?
10	MS. PILON: Yes.
11	MS. ROBITAILLE: Can you just look at the
12	first entry where Jacques Leduc is speaking. All right and
13	he says:
14	« Moi, la seule chose que je tiens à te
15	dire sans te dire de le faire, c'est
16	que je t'inviterais à voir, si tu es
17	intéressé à voir quelqu'un pour jaser
18	avec, quelqu'un de professionnel. Moi,
19	je pense que c'est clairement la
20	responsabilité du diocèse. Il ne
21	faudrait pas que tu sous gêné. Alors
22	mon avis à moi, c'est que tu te gênes
23	pas pour faire vouloir ces services-
24	là. »
25	And then there's an ensuing discussion about

1	how expensive therapy is. And there's the suggestion that
2	the Diocese would help Benoît Brisson pay for that therapy.
3	Do you recall having that on-the-record
4	discussion?
5	MS. PILON: Yes.
6	MS. ROBITAILLE: Thank you, Madame.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Ms. Lalji.
8	MS. LALJI: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning.
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS.
11	LALJI:
12	MS. LALJI: Good morning, Madame Pilon.
13	MS. PILON: Good morning.
14	MS. LALJI: My name is Reena Lalji. I'm
15	counsel for the Cornwall Police Service. I only have a few
16	questions for you.
17	Madame Pilon, during Mr. Horn's questions
18	earlier this morning, you had said something to the effect
19	that if you were asked by anyone to reveal any information
20	from the ad hoc committee, that you would have asked
21	permission to waive your oath of confidentiality, or
22	something to that effect. Do you recall that?
23	MS. PILON: Yes, I do.
24	MS. LALJI: And I take it that you would
25	have had to have asked the Bishop for permission to waive

1	that oath of confidentiality. Would that be correct?
2	MS. PILON: That would be correct.
3	MS. LALJI: And in fact the Bishop would
4	have been the only individual that could have granted this
5	waiver of the oath? Your understanding.
6	MS. PILON: Yes, because yes.
7	MS. LALJI: And I take it also that you
8	never go to the Bishop to request that he grant a waiver of
9	the oath of confidentiality.
10	MS. PILON: That's right.
11	MS. LALJI: Okay. Now, Monseigneur Guindon
12	was the chair of the ad hoc committee?
13	MS. PILON: Yes.
14	MS. LALJI: So would it be fair to say that
15	he was the head of the committee or he led the ad hoc
16	committee?
17	MS. PILON: Yes, he led the ad hoc
18	committee.
19	MS. LALJI: Now, were you aware that
20	Monseigneur Guindon was interviewed by the Cornwall police
21	in relation to this matter?
22	MS. PILON: I learnt it after, yes.
23	MS. LALJI: After, within that timeframe or
24	in your preparation for giving your testimony?
25	MS. PILON: Well, in my preparation because

1	I don't know what went on with the Diocese after after
2	the ad hoc committee.
3	MS. LALJI: Okay, so so basically, if I
4	heard you correctly, at the time, in 1986, you weren't
5	aware that Monseigneur Guindon was interviewed by the CPS,
6	but you did find that out in your preparation for giving
7	your testimony?
8	MS. PILON: That's right.
9	MS. LALJI: Okay. So I take it also that
10	you would not be aware that Monseigneur Guindon
11	specifically told the Cornwall police that both you and
12	Jacques Leduc were also appointed to the committee as
13	committee members on the ad hoc committee?
14	MS. PILON: I was not aware of that.
15	MS. LALJI: Okay. And then I also take it
16	that you would not be aware that Monseigneur Guindon told
17	the CPS that he had taken an oath of secrecy and therefore
18	he could not reveal any information or names of victims to
19	the Cornwall police. You would not be aware that he told
20	that to the Cornwall police?
21	MS. PILON: No, I was not aware.
22	MS. LALJI: Okay. Thank you, those are all
23	my questions.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Mr. Kozloff?
25	MR. KOZLOFF: No questions.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Carroll?
2	MR. CARROLL: No questions, thank you.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Mr. Sherriff-
4	Scott?
5	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Yes, thank you.
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
7	SHERRIFF-SCOTT:
8	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Good morning.
9	MS. PILON: Good morning.
10	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Could I ask the witness
11	be shown the ad hoc committee report, Exhibit 72 at Bates
12	page 7261.
13	Madame Pilon, you were shown this letter
14	yesterday by Maître Dumais, and the discussion was that
15	things had been sent to Father Deslauriers on May $8^{\text{th}}$
16	expecting him to comment or to allow him to have feedback
17	and comment, in fairness, "Here are our findings,"
18	et cetera.
19	So that's what I want to see is if I can
20	take a stab at understanding what you say here or what the
21	and you signed this letter? Correct.
22	MS. PILON: Yes.
23	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: I'm sorry, I didn't
24	hear you?
25	MS. PILON: Yes.

1	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay. And in the
2	second and third paragraphs, I get what I sort of
3	understand is that basically in the second full paragraph.
4	It's summarising the fact that you've heard
5	from 12 witnesses, including priests and professionals and
6	young people and parents. And that you're the committee
7	is saying that, "These facts that has come from these
8	testimonials are established basically for certain in our
9	minds"?
10	MS. PILON: Yes.
11	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay, and then you say
12	at the bottom of the page:
13	"Please find our report enclosed. Read
14	it and, if you see fit, send us your
15	comments by May 18 <sup>th</sup> ."
16	MS. PILON: Right.
17	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Right. So the idea
18	that's being floated around here is that perhaps the
19	committee's report sort of sprang into life on May 23 <sup>rd</sup>
20	after the police had been advised by Benoît Brisson. And
21	my question to you is whether or not, basically, the
22	committee's work was done by this point and you were simply
23	waiting for Father Deslauriers' response, if any.
24	MS. PILON: That's possible.
25	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: You don't have any

1	better recollection than that? Is that the best you can do
2	is I'm not it's not a criticism. I'm just wondering.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, if you look at the
4	last sentence though, « Suite à la reception … » "Once
5	we receive your comments we will submit our
6	recommendations," so depending if the recommendations had
7	been formulated by the $8^{\rm th}$ of May.
8	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Perhaps.
9	Do you know do you know how much more, if
10	any, work was really done after May 8 <sup>th</sup> ?
11	MS. PILON: After May $8^{th}$ , there was no, I
12	don't believe there was other things than the report,
13	the final report the recommendations.
14	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: I'm sorry, I'm not
15	clear.
16	The final report. You're sending him the
17	report it says. And I'm really just wondering whether or
18	not you did any significant work after May $8^{\mathrm{th}}$ .
19	MS. PILON: I don't believe so.
20	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Can you repeat?
21	MS. PILON: I don't believe so.
22	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Okay, thank you.
23	Now, one of the things that a number of
24	people asked you about is the question of confidentiality
25	as well as disclosure by the committee and/or yourself.

1	And am I right that, in this process, what
2	you were being told by the families, the victims themselves
3	and those connected with them, was that these people did
4	not wish to go outside and report to any agencies?
5	MS. PILON: That's my recollection.
6	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: In fact, they did not
7	want publicity, not just because they were concerned
8	perhaps about the Church but they didn't want their own
9	embarrassment by the revelation of these sort of horrible
10	stories which involved them in the public.
11	MS. PILON: That's that's correct.
12	That's what I understand.
13	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: All right. And when my
14	friend Mr. Lee talked about the question of confidentiality
15	discussions in exchange for payments to avoid scandal, this
16	factor of the victims being embarrassed, obviously by the
17	details of these things becoming public I suggest that
18	the question of confidentiality encompassed also their
19	concerns.
20	MS. PILON: I know it's close to the end but
21	I
22	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: That's okay. Let me
23	-
24	MS. PILON: I can't think any more.
25	LE COMMISSAIRE: Est-ce que vous voudriez

I	avoir une pause?
2	MS. PILON: Cinq minutes?
3	LE COMMISSAIRE: Dix (10).
4	The witness wants a break. Thank you.
5	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
6	veuillez vous lever.
7	This hearing will resume at 10:55 a.m.
8	Upon recessing at 10:44 a.m./
9	L'audience est suspendue à 10h44
10	Upon resuming at 11:03 a.m./
11	L'audience est reprise à 11h03
12	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
13	veuillez vous lever.
14	This hearing is now resumed.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
16	Mr. Sherriff-Scott?
17	CLAUDETTE PILON, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
18	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: I want to thank you
19	very much for coming. I know these things are very
20	difficult. I don't have any more questions for you. I
21	wish you every happiness and thanks again.
22	LE COMMISSAIRE: Maître Dumais?
23	Me DUMAIS: Aucune question en ré-
24	interrogatoire, monsieur le Commissaire. Merci.
25	LE COMMISSAIRE: Parfait.

1	Et voilà, Madame Pilon.
2	Mme PILON: Ah, c'est fini?
3	LE COMMISSAIRE: C'est terminé.
4	En tout cas, je veux vous remercier d'être
5	venue parmi nous ici aujourd'hui. Je vais certainement
6	considérer votre preuve, votre témoignage en rédigeant mon
7	rapport, comme vous l'avez fait il y a tellement longtemps.
8	Je vous remercie encore.
9	Mme PILON: Merci, monsieur.
10	LE COMMISSAIRE: Vous êtes libre de partir.
11	Parfait. Merci.
12	MR. DUMAIS: Mr. Commissioner, I think we
13	are ready for our next witness.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
15	MR. DUMAIS: Ms. Hamou will be calling that
16	witness. Thank you.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
18	MS. HAMOU: Mr. Commissioner, I believe Mr.
19	Gordon Bryan will be entering shortly.
20	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
21	MS. HAMOU: Good morning, Reverend Bryan.
22	REV. BRYAN: Good morning.
23	MS. HAMOU: I would ask Madam Clerk to
24	please swear in the next witness.
25	ARCHDEACON GORDON BRYAN, Sworn/Assermenté:

I	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning, sir.
2	REV. BRYAN: Good morning.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: How are you doing today?
4	REV. BRYAN: Fine, thank you, sir.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Great.
6	You have water, fresh water, glasses. I'd
7	like you to speak into the microphone so that we can hear
8	all that is being said.
9	There is a speaker in front of you if you
10	wish to decrease the volume. It's the small box in front
11	of you, this little box right here like that. That's a
12	speaker.
13	REV. BRYAN: Oh, I'm sorry.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: So that will help you
15	listen and hear what is being said.
16	There will be some documents put to you, and
17	they'll either be in what we call hard copy and paper form
18	or on the screen. You're free to use whichever one you
19	wish.
20	People will be asking you questions today,
21	covering a period a long time ago, I suppose. If you have
22	any doubt as to how the question is being put, please ask
23	them to rephrase it. If you don't understand, let me know
24	and, more importantly, if ever you need a break, you feel
25	uncomfortable about something, please let me know. All

1	right?
2	REV. BRYAN: Thank you.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
4	Madame Hamou.
5	EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MS.
6	HAMOU:
7	MS. HAMOU: Reverend Bryan, today we're
8	going to cover a few areas in examination. I'm just going
9	to give you a little outline.
10	We're going to start with some questions on
11	your background. Then I'm going to ask you a few questions
12	about Father Gilles Deslauriers. We're going to talk about
13	your time on the Board of Directors of the Cornwall Police
14	Service. We're going to talk about your involvement in the
15	Father MacDonald matter, and that will wrap the day up.
16	I have a few questions to start on your
17	background. So we're going to look at your CV. Madam
18	Clerk, the Document Number is 740608.
19	And, Reverend Bryan, I'm going to put some
20	questions to you and please don't hesitate to correct me if
21	there's any corrections to be made.
22	So to start off actually, I'll wait for
23	Madam Clerk to give you the CV.
24	Sorry, Madam Clerk.
25	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Merci.
2	Exhibit 1956 is the Curriculum Vitae of
3	Reverend Gordon Bryan.
4	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1956:
5	(740608) Curriculum Vitae - Reverend Gordon
6	Bryan
7	MS. HAMOU: Reverend Bryan, I understand you
8	were born on January 6 <sup>th</sup> , 1935 in Montreal?
9	REV. BRYAN: That's true.
10	MS. HAMOU: And you are one of four
11	siblings?
12	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
13	MS. HAMOU: You moved to Cornwall in your
14	early youth when you were no more than three years old?
15	REV. BRYAN: Around two or three.
16	MS. HAMOU: Two or three?
17	REV. BRYAN: I'm not absolutely sure.
18	MS. HAMOU: That's okay.
19	You attended St. Lawrence High School and
20	Cornwall Collegiate Vocational School?
21	REV. BRYAN: That's yes.
22	MS. HAMOU: And you are married and have
23	five children?
24	REV. BRYAN: That's true, yes.
25	MS. HAMOU: I'd like to go through a few of

1	the religious titles you obtained through the years.
2	You began work in the Diocese in 1971 as a
3	program coordinator at the Diocesan Centre?
4	REV. BRYAN: Yes, late '71, August, I
5	believe.
6	MS. HAMOU: And you became the Director of
7	the Diocesan Centre in 1973?
8	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
9	MS. HAMOU: Are you still in that position
10	today?
11	REV. BRYAN: No, I've retired. This is two
12	years now and a few months.
13	MS. HAMOU: So you held that position until
14	2006?
15	REV. BRYAN: I did, that's right.
16	MS. HAMOU: You were ordained as a deacon on
17	September 16 <sup>th</sup> , 1976?
18	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
19	MS. HAMOU: What were your roles and
20	responsibilities in the Diocese as a deacon?
21	REV. BRYAN: At that particular time, I was
22	assigned to a parish for the weekends. I worked during the
23	week at the Diocesan Centre. I did some marriage tribunal
24	work. I did the directing of the centre.
25	At that time we had a fair number of

1	committees going because we'd finished a synod, and so much
2	of it was a staff of three trying to put together booklets
3	for the various parishes. So it was a heavy time at the
4	beginning, plus I looked after the centre as a caretaker as
5	well. We didn't have one. So I was sort of a jack-of-all-
6	trades, I would guess.
7	MS. HAMOU: And did you have some ministry
8	functions, more limited than priests would have?
9	REV. BRYAN: Yes. I could hatch, match and
10	dispatch.
11	MS. HAMOU: Pardon me?
12	REV. BRYAN: I could hatch, match and
13	dispatch. So I could baptize, I could marry and I could
14	bury.
15	MS. HAMOU: Perfect.
16	You were made an archdeacon in
17	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
18	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm afraid, sir, that's
19	one of the expressions we're going to keep.
20	MS. HAMOU: Sir, you were made an archdeacon
21	in 2000?
22	REV. BRYAN: That's right.
23	MS. HAMOU: And what's the distinction
24	between an archdeacon and a deacon? Are there more
25	responsibilities?

1	REV. BRYAN: I don't think there's any
2	distinction other than a title that I don't use.
3	MS. HAMOU: And as an archdeacon are you
4	what would be referred to as a senior official in the
5	Diocese?
6	REV. BRYAN: No. I would be like a
7	monsignor in a parish, your parish priest. I was a parish
8	deacon, no other advances to that. I couldn't even get
9	access to the Pope.
10	MS. HAMOU: Now, with regards to your
11	education, in 1973 you attended the University of Ottawa
12	where you obtained a Bachelor of Arts?
13	REV. BRYAN: I was finished in '73. I
14	graduated in May of that year.
15	MS. HAMOU: And you attended Saint Paul
16	University where you obtained a certificate in Theology in
17	1975?
18	REV. BRYAN: That's right.
19	MS. HAMOU: You obtained a certificate in
20	Bioethics from St. Mark's University in Vancouver in 1980?
21	REV. BRYAN: That's right.
22	MS. HAMOU: Reverend Bryan, I understand you
23	also obtained a certificate in Fiscal Management Control?
24	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
25	MS. HAMOU: What year would that have been

1	in?
2	REV. BRYAN: It's a good question. I'm not
3	absolutely sure.
4	MS. HAMOU: A ballpark?
5	REV. BRYAN: In the late '70s or early '80s,
6	I would think, probably the early '80s.
7	MS. HAMOU: Now, Reverend Bryan, with
8	regards to your employment history and service in the
9	Diocese, I understand that from 1976 to your retirement,
10	you have worked as a deacon in several parishes including
11	St. John Bosco?
12	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
13	MS. HAMOU: St. Francis de Sales?
14	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
15	MS. HAMOU: St. Columban's?
16	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
17	MS. HAMOU: Precious Blood?
18	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
19	MS. HAMOU: And Blessed Sacrament?
20	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
21	MS. HAMOU: You also worked as a chaplain
22	-
23	REV. BRYAN: Martintown as well, but that
24	was prior to being a deacon.
25	MS. HAMOU: And you also worked as a

1	chaplain in schools, at St. Francis de Sales, Immaculate
2	Conception, St. Columban's East, St. John Bosco and St.
3	Anne's?
4	REV. BRYAN: That's true.
5	MS. HAMOU: Now, Reverend Bryan, I
6	understand from 1978 to 1982 you served as Assistant
7	Bursar?
8	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
9	MS. HAMOU: And from 1982 to 2005, you held
10	the position of Diocesan Bursar?
11	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
12	MS. HAMOU: I understand this is a full-time
13	position?
14	REV. BRYAN: Yes, it is.
15	MS. HAMOU: And what is your role as Bursar?
16	REV. BRYAN: It was mainly the fiscal
17	management of the affairs in the Diocesan Centre but also
18	of the various parishes. When they had repairs or
19	something to do, normally they were required to refer to
20	the Bursar and if the repairs were a great amount of money,
21	then it was often loaned to them through our Diocesan Loan
22	Fund. And so I had to write up the various processes for
23	that; also for sale of properties.
24	I also, as I had mentioned, worked on the
25	marriage tribunal as the defendant of the bond at one

1	point. Also, I'm still doing some of that for witness
2	questioning.
3	MS. HAMOU: Now, more specifically, did you
4	have the power to make financial decisions in the Diocese?
5	REV. BRYAN: No, the Bishop has that power.
6	I certainly could recommend things for him, but his
7	decision was the final. He usually accepted through the
8	finance council that their suggestions. He usually did.
9	MS. HAMOU: Did you need approval from the
10	Bishop with regards to every financial decision you made?
11	REV. BRYAN: Yes. Normally, I gave him
12	little notes and he agreed or he didn't. If he agreed,
13	they went through.
14	MS. HAMOU: So there's no decisions you
15	would have made on your own per se?
16	REV. BRYAN: For money matters, no.
17	MS. HAMOU: And did auditors review your
18	work as Bursar on a regular basis?
19	REV. BRYAN: Yes, yearly.
20	MS. HAMOU: Now, you were the Bursar of the
21	Diocese for a number of years and had many dealings with
22	Bishop Larocque as a result. How would you describe your
23	relationship with him?
24	REV. BRYAN: We were friendly. He came for
25	perhaps two or three dinners a year at my house and we went

1	to his as well. That was usually stall - stall groups.
2	Also I would go normally with to assist him when the
3	priest had barbeques at his house. I would act as his
4	assistant.
5	MS. HAMOU: At the barbeque.
6	Now, did he ever come to you for advice?
7	REV. BRYAN: On fiscal matters, yes. If it
8	was something to do with a parish, he would ask me.
9	MS. HAMOU: And would you ever volunteer any
10	unsolicited advice?
11	REV. BRYAN: If it was to do with something
12	in a parish, yes, I would.
13	MS. HAMOU: And was the Bishop generally
14	open to your suggestions?
15	REV. BRYAN: Usually, yes.
16	MS. HAMOU: Now, would you say that as a
17	Bursar you had a pretty good idea of what was happening in
18	the Diocese at some points in time?
19	REV. BRYAN: Usually, yes, I would think so.
20	Particularly the fact that I also looked after the priests'
21	pension fund as Diocesan Bursar too.
22	MS. HAMOU: And did most of the Diocese
23	business and internal workings run past you at some point?
24	REV. BRYAN: No, not all of it. I would say
25	a good portion of it, yes.

1	MS. HAMOU: The Ilhandlal matters?
2	REV. BRYAN: Financial matters.
3	MS. HAMOU: Now, would you be what would
4	be excuse me, I'm going to start over. Were you what
5	would be considered the Bishop's right-hand man?
6	REV. BRYAN: Usually in finance, it's left-
7	hand, but, yes.
8	MS. HAMOU: The left-hand. How involved
9	were you in the management per se of the Diocese?
10	REV. BRYAN: Well, I established a lot of
11	the various directives for the parish operations, for
12	finances, et cetera, so that they were in common with each
13	other and we could make financial statements from the
14	whole.
15	MS. HAMOU: So policies and protocols
16	limited to financial matters?
17	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
18	MS. HAMOU: Did you do any kind of protocol
19	work other than financial?
20	REV. BRYAN: No. Although quite often the
21	Bishop would send me down various things on protocols
22	wondering whether or not I would just review them to see if
23	there was anything financial that should be added.
24	MS. HAMOU: Now, Reverend Bryan, I
25	understand through the years you did some volunteer work

1	with committees and organizations?
2	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
3	MS. HAMOU: I'm going to list a few of them.
4	You were involved with the Knights of Columbus from 1960 to
5	2005 in some capacities as chaplain?
6	REV. BRYAN: Yes, I'm still a chaplain.
7	MS. HAMOU: From 1976 to 1980, you worked
8	with the Big Brothers Organization in Cornwall?
9	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
10	MS. HAMOU: And was this some work at the
11	board level?
12	REV. BRYAN: Yes, I was chairman of the
13	board for a while.
14	MS. HAMOU: From 1972 to 2003, you were on
15	the board of Hôtel Dieu Hospital and worked on a number of
16	committees at this hospital?
17	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
18	MS. HAMOU: From 1974 to around 2002, you
19	did some work at St. Joseph's Villa as a volunteer chaplain
20	and member of the board?
21	REV. BRYAN: That's right. Although in '85,
22	there was when I went to Africa for the year, of course,
23	I wasn't present.
24	MS. HAMOU: From 1978 to 1992 and from 1988
25	to 1989, you sat as a member of the CAS board of directors?

1	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
2	MS. HAMOU: Now, what type of work did you
3	do with the CAS board of directors?
4	REV. BRYAN: Generally we reviewed things
5	like the annual budget. We would be given some of the
6	policies suggested for the CAS and usually the board would
7	approve them, but it also was the Ministry itself who
8	directed a lot of that.
9	MS. HAMOU: And during your tenure as a
10	member of the board, were there any issues that arose?
11	REV. BRYAN: Not particularly. I should
12	mention that a few times for adoptions I would go with one
13	of the social workers because I love babies. So I would
14	take them to the parents.
15	MS. HAMOU: Now, from 1996 to 2005, you
16	worked as a member of the Children's Treatment Centre?
17	REV. BRYAN: Yes, I'm still a member.
18	MS. HAMOU: And can you briefly explain to
19	me what the Children's Treatment Centre is?
20	REV. BRYAN: Yes, it's a treatment centre
21	for abused, physical or mental abused children. And it is
22	we have professionals on board that we basically, our
23	role is to ensure that we have enough money to run the
24	centre every year.
25	MS. HAMOU: Is this a none for profit

1	organization?
2	REV. BRYAN: Yes, it is.
3	MS. HAMOU: Since 2006, you served on the
4	board of directors for the Victim Crisis Assistance and
5	Referral Services?
6	REV. BRYAN: Yes, VICARS, yes.
7	MS. HAMOU: Can you explain to me briefly
8	what VICARS is?
9	REV. BRYAN: Yes, VICARS is a group usually
10	that are volunteers who are trained to go out to accidents,
11	to battered women households, et cetera, to relieve the
12	police of the duty of staying with the victims until other
13	help arrive. They would also then counsel the victims on
14	where they could seek help for their particular needs.
15	It also looks after even repairing doors, et
16	cetera that may have been busted by the partner, or in an
17	accident case, stayed with the parents or relatives until
18	such time as they had been able to look after themselves.
19	MS. HAMOU: And, sir, I understand from
20	November $15^{\rm th}$ , 1989 to November $15^{\rm th}$ , 1991, you were a member
21	of the Cornwall Community Police Services Board?
22	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
23	MS. HAMOU: I want to come back to that
24	issue a little later, but first I'd like to address with
25	you the matter of Father Deslauriers?

1	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
2	MS. HAMOU: Did you know Father Deslauriers?
3	REV. BRYAN: Yes, I did.
4	MS. HAMOU: And did you have many dealings
5	with him?
6	REV. BRYAN: Not particularly. The only
7	times really when I would meet him, I was at Bosco for a
8	while. I would preach in English; he would preach in
9	French. French Mass was one French Mass and two English.
10	So when it was his turn, I would normally go up to his
11	school office and find out what he was preaching on, so
12	that we had sort of about the same bent on our homilies.
13	MS. HAMOU: And now, when did you first
14	become aware of allegations of sexual abuse being made
15	against Father Deslauriers?
16	REV. BRYAN: When I came back from Africa.
17	MS. HAMOU: And when was that?
18	REV. BRYAN: That was December of '90, was
19	it? Just I'm trying to remember exactly when I was
20	there.
21	MS. HAMOU: December '86?
22	REV. BRYAN: Eighty six ('86), yes. Thank
23	you.
24	MS. HAMOU: And did the Bishop at any time
25	discuss with you the Deslauriers matter?

1	REV. BRYAN: No.
2	MS. HAMOU: So you were not aware that he
3	had asked Deslauriers to leave the Diocese?
4	REV. BRYAN: I found after the fact, yes.
5	MS. HAMOU: And were you aware that the
6	Bishop had requested Father Deslauriers attend treatment?
7	REV. BRYAN: No, but I would have been aware
8	after with the billing.
9	MS. HAMOU: With regards to billing?
10	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
11	MS. HAMOU: Were you aware that the Bishop
12	had struck the ad hoc committee?
13	REV. BRYAN: I believe I that if I'm not
14	mistaken, Monsignor McDougald had been made head of that
15	committee. But other than that, I wasn't aware exactly
16	what the function was.
17	MS. HAMOU: Did you discuss this with Father
18	McDougald or the Bishop?
19	REV. BRYAN: No.
20	MS. HAMOU: So did you know what the ad hoc
21	committee was about?
22	REV. BRYAN: Basically, from what I could
23	see from their mandate and I'd read the mandate, I think
24	they sent it to all of the priests, that they were to
25	verify victims' statements, et cetera.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: So with respect to Father
2	Deslauriers, we know that it's Monseigneur Guindon.
3	REV. BRYAN: Oh, sorry, okay.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: So does that
5	REV. BRYAN: Could have been, yes.
6	MS. HAMOU: The next issue I'd like to
7	address with you, sir, is the issue of the continued salary
8	of Father Deslauriers after he was asked to leave the
9	Diocese.
10	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
11	MS. HAMOU: How did you become aware that
12	the Bishop wanted to send him his salary?
13	REV. BRYAN: Bishop asked if I would prepare
14	one. And at that particular time, I'd just discovered, as
15	we were doing our audits, that there was a nest egg of
16	\$150,000 in the Caisse Populaire in Cornwall.
17	MS. HAMOU: So before we get to that matter,
18	I want to ask you about this conversation you had with the
19	Bishop. Is this the first time you would have found out
20	Father Deslauriers had left the Diocese?
21	REV. BRYAN: I think there was rumours
22	around before that, so I'm not I'm not sure on that one.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Can you get
24	MS. HAMOU: But you might have heard that he
25	had been asked to leave?

1	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Can we get a date or some
3	sense of time as to when that conversation was held?
4	MS. HAMOU: I'm going to take you to a
5	document actually
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
7	MS. HAMOU: which you wrote to the
8	Bishop, which is November of 19 around November 1986, so
9	probably shortly after you returned.
10	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
11	MS. HAMOU: If I can take you there, the
12	Document Number is 118897, and this document does not have
13	a date but I will be able to refer you to another document
14	after, which will give us some context.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
16	Thank you. Exhibit 1957 is a document on
17	Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall letterhead. It's a memo re
18	Salary of Father Gilles Deslauriers.
19	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No P-1957:
20	(118897) Memo re Salary of Father Gilles
21	Deslauriers - undated.
22	MS. HAMOU: Do you recall writing this
23	memorandum
24	REV. BRYAN: Yes, I do.
25	MS. HAMOU: It is your handwriting?

1	REV. BRYAN: Yes, it is.
2	MS. HAMOU: Now, the first point I'd like to
3	take you to is the first paragraph where you indicate:
4	"After a great deal of thought and
5	prayer, I feel in conscience I cannot
6	justify making up a cheque for him."
7	And you also indicate a few lines further:
8	"After discovering his nest egg of over
9	\$150,000, I am sure you agree his
10	survival is assured."
11	Now, sir, my question is how did you come to
12	learn of this bank account containing and \$150,000?
13	REV. BRYAN: We normally send out to the
14	Caisse and any of the other banks requests for balances for
15	the end of the year.
16	And this came up as one of the ones for a
17	vocation fund that I'd never heard of. So then I asked
18	them further and they indicated that it was Father Gilles
19	Deslauriers who was the signer of that account.
20	MS. HAMOU: So was this a diocesan fund or
21	account?
22	REV. BRYAN: It wasn't in our books, no.
23	MS. HAMOU: So when you wrote to the bank,
24	they replied to you and told you there was an account
25	REV. BRYAN: Yes.

1	MS. HAMOU: for vocations?
2	REV. BRYAN: Because when we put it on our
3	list of accounts, we indicate things like vocations,
4	et cetera to check. And so that one popped up as an extra
5	one that we'd never seen before.
6	MS. HAMOU: So you'd never seen it. Did you
7	have access to that account?
8	REV. BRYAN: No.
9	MS. HAMOU: So you were informed that Gilles
10	Deslauriers' name was associated to the account. I'd like
11	to take you to the last paragraph of this same exhibit
12	we're looking at
13	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
14	MS. HAMOU: where you indicate:
15	"Although I cannot prove it, I am
16	morally certain that these funds were
17	solicited and collected to assist
18	diocesan vocations."
19	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
20	MS. HAMOU: So why do you indicate that you
21	cannot prove this, and why did you have this why did you
22	make this assumption?
23	REV. BRYAN: Mainly because in phoning his
24	former parish, Sacré Coeur, I was told that they had some
25	legacies that had been given to Father Deslauriers for

1	vocations. I didn't know what the the legacies
2	indicated in them; whether they were other than for his own
3	parish vocation or not.
4	MS. HAMOU: And how did the Bishop react
5	when you provided him with this memorandum?
6	REV. BRYAN: I think he was somewhat
7	surprised.
8	MS. HAMOU: And did he speak to you about
9	it?
10	REV. BRYAN: No, not after that.
11	MS. HAMOU: Did he make inquiries about the
12	amount that might have been in the account?
13	REV. BRYAN: Did I make any more inquiry?
14	MS. HAMOU: Did you
15	REV. BRYAN: I had the
16	MS. HAMOU: Did he ask you
17	REV. BRYAN: I had the balance sheet.
18	MS. HAMOU: Did you
19	REV. BRYAN: Yeah.
20	MS. HAMOU: Pardon me?
21	REV. BRYAN: I had the bank statement that
22	indicated what there was in the account.
23	MS. HAMOU: Okay. I'd like to take you to
24	another document, if it's possible. It's Document Number
25	118898.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. One nine five
2	eight (1958) is the next exhibit. It's a document dated
3	the $10^{\rm th}$ of November 1986 addressed to Father Gilles and
4	signed by Bishop Eugene Larocque.
5	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-1958:
6	(118898) Document addressed to Father Gilles
7	Deslauriers from Bishop Eugene Larocque - 10
8	Nov, 86
9	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, do you understand
10	French?
11	REV. BRYAN: Yes, I do.
12	MS. HAMOU: Okay, I'm going to read a
13	passage of this letter to you. It starts at the second
14	paragraph.
15	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
16	MS. HAMOU: I think some people have to put
17	on their headphones. I'll just give them a minute.
18	Okay. So Reverend Bryan, the letter
19	indicates:
20	« C'est que mon procureur, Monsieur
21	Bryan, se dit incapable en conscience
22	de t'écrire un chèque sachant que tu as
23	plus de 140,000 \$ dans un compte en
24	fiducie pour les vocations. »
25	Now, in your memorandum you referred to

1	\$150,000. Do you know why the Bishop would now be
2	referring to 140?
3	REV. BRYAN: I imagine that probably was
4	from an error on his part, but I'm fairly sure it was 150
5	at that time.
6	MS. HAMOU: And were
7	REV. BRYAN: I wouldn't have written it down
8	if I wasn't sure.
9	MS. HAMOU: About the amount.
10	REV. BRYAN: About the amount.
11	MS. HAMOU: Now, were you aware that
12	Monseigneur Larocque had discussions with Father
13	Deslauriers before he sent him this letter?
14	REV. BRYAN: No, and I wasn't aware of the
15	letter at all. So it came as a bit of a shock that my
16	little note would be communicated to Father Deslauriers as
17	well.
18	MS. HAMOU: Now, when you say you didn't
19	know of this letter, you mean before your preparation for
20	the Inquiry?
21	REV. BRYAN: Before no, I'm referring to
22	the time that it happened, I wasn't aware. Once the
23	Inquiry bundle came through, yes, I was aware.
24	MS. HAMOU: When you received materials to
25	review?

1	REV. BRYAN: That's right.
2	MS. HAMOU: So I'd like to take you to the
3	second line in that second paragraph, so again I will quote
4	from the letter:
5	« Tu m'as dit au téléphone que c'était
6	des argents personnels. Cependant nous
7	savons que plusieurs personnes t'ont
8	donné des fonds pour les vocations. »
9	Now, this is what you were referring to
10	earlier?
11	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
12	MS. HAMOU: Funds coming from parishioners?
13	REV. BRYAN: Yeah, and it may have been a
14	single legacy. I have no idea.
15	MS. HAMOU: Would those matters usually go
16	through you?
17	REV. BRYAN: If it's a legacy that is for a
18	parish, no. If it's a legacy for the Diocese, yes.
19	MS. HAMOU: Now, do you know if Father
20	Deslauriers ever returned the funds to the Diocese?
21	REV. BRYAN: To the best of my knowledge,
22	no.
23	MS. HAMOU: I'd like to take you to a letter
24	written by Bishop Larocque. The Document Number is 118907.
25	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
2	Exhibit 1959 is a letter dated the $24^{\rm th}$ of
3	December, 1986 to Father Gilles Deslauriers from Bishop
4	Larocque.
5	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1959:
6	(118907) - Letter from Eugene LaRocque to
7	Gilles Deslauriers dated December 24, 1986
8	MS. HAMOU: Now, I just want to bring your
9	attention to the first paragraph of that letter and I'll
10	read that passage for you:
11	"Merci de ta lettre du 17 septembre qui
12	m'est parvenue hier, le 23 décembre, et
13	du chèque qui vide le compte des
14	vocations que tu avais pour ton
15	ministère auprès des séminaristes."
16	So I believe Father Deslauriers I'm
17	sorry, Bishop Larocque is referring to sums that would have
18	been returned?
19	REV. BRYAN: I would assume so, yes.
20	MS. HAMOU: And you do not recall receiving
21	a cheque from Father Deslauriers?
22	REV. BRYAN: No, I don't. I checked with
23	the bookkeeper and he can't remember whether we received
24	one or not either.
25	MS. HAMOU: Who would usually handle

1	cheques? If they came into the Diocese, would they have to
2	go through you?
3	REV. BRYAN: No. Normally, they come into
4	the office and the bookkeeper would put them in the right
5	account.
6	MS. HAMOU: And is it possible that Bishop
7	Larocque deposited this amount in an account without your
8	knowledge?
9	REV. BRYAN: Yes, it's possible.
10	MS. HAMOU: Did you ever discuss the matter
11	with Bishop Larocque?
12	REV. BRYAN: I hadn't realized that anything
13	had come back, so, no.
14	MS. HAMOU: Now, I'd like to take you to
15	another document. It's from the cross-examination list,
16	Madam Clerk, and the Document Number is 129786.
17	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Merci.
19	Exhibit 1960 is a statement of changes in
20	trust funds for the Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall, year
21	ended December 31 <sup>st</sup> , 1986.
22	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1960:
23	(129786) - DAC Administration Fund -
24	Statement of changes in trust funds
25	MS. HAMOU: Now, Reverend Bryan, can you

1	explain to me what this document is showing us?
2	REV. BRYAN: Yes. Basically, it's showing
3	you the health of the vocation fund. Thank you for the
4	expansion. So as you can see, the balance of the fund at
5	the end of the year has \$144,346, but I think there's a
6	comparison one, if I'm not mistaken, that showed the year
7	prior too, is there not?
8	MS. HAMOU: Sir, I didn't receive the year
9	prior.
10	REV. BRYAN: Okay. Sorry. It's on there;
11	'85 is shown as well.
12	MS. HAMOU: The last column is what you're
13	referring to?
14	REV. BRYAN: The last column, yes, and
15	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, if a sum had been
16	returned to the Diocese and placed in trust accounts, would
17	it appear on this document?
18	REV. BRYAN: It should, yes.
19	MS. HAMOU: And as Bursar, are you aware of
20	any other options the Bishop could have used to where he
21	could have placed this money?
22	REV. BRYAN: Yes. The first option would
23	have been to prepay the seminarians who were in debt at
24	that time because they were loan funds to the various
25	seminarians who had to repay it after their priesthood. So

1	that may have been to reduce their load.
2	Number two, a possibility that it would be
3	given to a particular seminary and the seminary would then
4	accept the seminarians on a free basis until that fund ran
5	out.
6	The other possibility is it was returned to
7	the parish to be administered there.
8	MS. HAMOU: Those are the options then?
9	REV. BRYAN: But I'm not aware that any of
10	the options.
11	MS. HAMOU: And this could have been done by
12	Bishop Larocque without your knowledge?
13	REV. BRYAN: That's true.
14	MS. HAMOU: Did you eventually agree to send
15	Father Deslauriers his salary?
16	REV. BRYAN: No.
17	MS. HAMOU: So you never took part if he did
18	receive anything?
19	REV. BRYAN: I'm sure he didn't because I
20	looked after the salaries for those
21	MS. HAMOU: Pardon me?
22	REV. BRYAN: I looked after salaries other -
23	- I mean, not of the parish but of the Diocesan Centre.
24	MS. HAMOU: And as far as you know, Father
25	Deslauriers never received any funds after he had left the

1	Diocese?
2	REV. BRYAN: I didn't sign one.
3	MS. HAMOU: I'd like to turn your attention
4	to another subject now, take you around the year of 2002
5	when you had a discussion with the Bishop concerning a
6	request from Madame Brisson.
7	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
8	MS. HAMOU: Did you attend a meeting where
9	Madame Brisson and the Bishop would have been present?
10	REV. BRYAN: Madame Brisson had a meeting
11	with the Bishop. The Bishop called me on the phone to ask
12	me to come up. I went up and at that particular point, I
13	was introduced to Mrs. Brisson. I knew her to some degree.
14	I knew her husband, Hubert, very well. So I knew they had
15	a truck farm at one time, truck gardening farm, but that
16	was the extent of it.
17	MS. HAMOU: Now, can you tell me what the
18	Bishop told you at this point when you entered the meeting?
19	REV. BRYAN: Yes. He asked me whether we
20	could look after a mortgage for Mrs. Brisson. We at
21	that particular point, we were a little tight on funds and
22	I said to him, "We just don't have it, Bishop", but if he
23	had pushed, I probably could have sold some of our
24	certificates to accommodate Mrs. Brisson.
25	I noticed there was a mention that it was

1	30,000 but I never knew that it was only 30,000. I assumed
2	it was for the total mortgage on whatever it was for the
3	truck farm and house.
4	MS. HAMOU: So according to your knowledge,
5	you believed this was for a business?
6	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
7	MS. HAMOU: And are you certain that it
8	wasn't money being requested for therapy for her son?
9	REV. BRYAN: That never came up.
10	MS. HAMOU: Now, what did the Bishop decide
11	to do after you gave him your advice?
12	REV. BRYAN: Well, I told him that, you
13	know, we're not a banking institution, so normally we
14	cannot just come up with funds available for a mortgage.
15	There was a little chuckle on that one, but then I
16	suggested that I could take Mrs. Brisson over to Caisse
17	Populaire where we dealt and probably she did as well, to
18	assist her in getting a mortgage.
19	MS. HAMOU: And did you do that?
20	REV. BRYAN: No. She didn't say anything at
21	all. We went downstairs together, I held her coat, put her
22	coat on and she left.
23	MS. HAMOU: Did you ever discuss this matter
24	with the Bishop again?
25	REV. BRYAN: No.

1	MS. HAMOU: I'd like to move on to my second
2	topic of your testimony, your time on the Board of
3	Directors of the Cornwall Community Police Service.
4	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
6	MR. CALLAGHAN: At this point, we would
7	object. The Cornwall Police, as you know, spent 52 days in
8	the stand.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
10	MR. CALLAGHAN: Sixteen (16) witnesses were
11	called; 32 witnesses were interviewed by the Commission.
12	This witness was none of them. We have not participated
13	with Commission counsel or with this witness in his
14	interviews with Commission counsel.
15	It seems to me I mean, I thought what I
16	had heard Mr. Engelmann say was our institutional response
17	was done and I would have thought if we're going to have
18	further institutional response relative to the Cornwall
19	Police, we would have had prior notice other than the A.E.
20	so that we could participate fully. And right now, we
21	haven't.
22	Obviously, there are witnesses who had their
23	own counsel, I think of Chief Shaver, for example, and I
24	think from a procedural fairness point-of-view, if it's
25	necessary, then Commission counsel should assess whether it

1	is necessary and give us the notice so we can participate.
2	As I say, I mean, we spent 52 days in the
3	stand. Thirty-two (32) witnesses were interviewed; 16
4	called. This person wasn't any of them and I don't see why
5	now we would go into his time on the Board.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Did you advise Commission
7	counsel of that?
8	MR. CALLAGHAN: No.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: That's you'd be objecting
10	to this and, hey, why haven't you
11	MR. CALLAGHAN: Well, no, because we got his
12	A.U. We were looking at the A.E. and we did not do that
13	because we've been trying to figure out what to do, but
14	come to the conclusion that it's somewhat unfair and if
15	when we got the Rule 38 notices, I can tell you almost 50
16	percent of them deal with Cornwall Police documents. And
17	if we're going back into the Cornwall Police institutional
18	response, I would have thought that we be given the
19	appropriate time.
20	It's just you know, we cooperated fully
21	with Commission counsel.
22	We presented every person they asked for.
23	We suggested people, and it's frankly I don't think it's
24	particularly appropriate that we hear institutional
25	response in the course of other institutions' testimony.

1	Obviously, we have to respond to what he
2	what this witness did that might have interacted on behalf
3	of the Diocese with the Cornwall Police. That's
4	particularly fair. We understand that, but I take it we're
5	going into a different direction now.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, let's hear from
7	Commission counsel.
8	MS. HAMOU: Mr. Commissioner, I take issue
9	with a few of the concerns expressed by my friend.
10	We sent out the initial A.E. for Mr. Bryan
11	on June $3^{\rm rd}$ . That's close to two months ago. There was
12	mention of his time on the Police Services Board in his
13	A.E. If the Cornwall Police Service wanted to object, they
14	had ample time to do so.
15	Through our interviews with Mr. Bryan, we
16	came to learn that he was on the Board during a period of
17	time that is crucial that we haven't heard evidence on from
18	the Cornwall Police Service.
19	I mean, I understand Mr. Callaghan's concern
20	that the evidence for the Cornwall Police Service is
21	closed, but
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Well
23	MS. HAMOU: it's not a basis for not
24	hearing evidence that is relevant to your mandate.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, you know, on the

1	one hand there's a certain amount of fairness. I mean,
2	what I I can hear Mr. Callaghan and I understand his
3	point, but what I find deplorable is that the bell wouldn't
4	have gone off and this could have been at least addressed
5	well before right now when the witness is on the stand.
6	So, sir, could you I'm going to ask the
7	witness to wait outside with this officer here. He'll take
8	you out.
9	(WITNESS WITHDRAWS/TÉMOIN SE RETIRE)
10	THE COMMISSIONER: So, Mr. Callaghan, what -
11	- so you have his anticipated evidence?
12	SUBMISSIONS BY/REPRÉSENTATIONS PAR MR. CALLAGHAN:
13	MR. CALLAGHAN: We have his anticipated
14	evidence, yes.
15	You know, I can only say what I guess Binnie
16	said in Consortium, this is like a caravan that keeps on
17	going. When we sat down and looked at this material,
18	looked at the Rule 38 and saw the bulk of the material
19	dealt with his time on the Cornwall Police Services Board,
20	it concerns us. It concerns us that we didn't participate
21	with respect to this. We did spend a considerable time
22	with Commission counsel. They never asked to speak to this
23	witness on our behalf.
24	We have tried to do this in a forthright
25	fashion. I appreciate this is late, and I apologize, but

1	unfortunately we've been we're kind of exhausted as
2	well. I mean, we had a long go of it, as you well know,
3	and we tried to do this in a forthright fashion.
4	If he's that crucial, then there could be
5	time to call him. If somebody necessarily needs to hear
6	from him, they can make the application under Rule 17.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: No. Well, first of all,
8	you know, you're right; you may have cooperated, the whole
9	bit, and that's a good pat on the back, but it doesn't
10	finish there.
11	And, yes, there may be things that come up,
12	but what I want are solutions. And so I would have thought
13	that a careful lawyer, an experienced lawyer such as you,
14	would have picked up the phone, as I'm sure you often do in
15	all of these things, and say, "Listen, this just doesn't
16	make sense. What's going on here? What's he going to say?
17	Wait a minute; let's call a time out, and if you are
18	insisting on doing that, I'll either bring a motion", bring
19	it before me, or "I want to reserve my right to reopen the
20	Cornwall Police Service thing".
21	MR. CALLAGHAN: I don't want to open the
22	Cornwall Police Service anything. We spent 52 days. I
23	mean, we spent a lot of time. I understand that, Mr.
24	Commissioner, and I appreciate your frustration.
25	You know, the weight of the Rule 38s were

1	quite disturbing.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: What do you know what
3	he's going to say?
4	MR. CALLAGHAN: I know of one thing he is
5	going to say, and that's it, which is all I can think of
6	what the Commission it's a comment regarding Chief
7	Shaver, as I understand it, was what is all that's in
8	the AE that I understand.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So
10	MR. CALLAGHAN: But I don't but that's
11	not the end of it. I mean, there's cross-examination. I
12	mean, as I say, Mr. Commissioner, we've canvassed the
13	Cornwall Police up and down. We canvassed Chief Shaver up
14	and down in respect of the in respect of the testimony
15	and, you know, it was under Commission knew the areas
16	they wanted to cover with him and I understand these
17	witnesses come up and they want to sort of go into it, but
18	with great respect, I don't think it's fair for us to
19	always have our institutional response.
20	I understand we have to respond to him as
21	what he did on behalf of the Diocese. I have no objection
22	to that, sir.
23	In the same way, I haven't heard going into
24	the we didn't go into the CAS, what Luc Brunet did on
25	behalf of the CAS when he was on the Board. We didn't do

1	it with respect to those witnesses.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Why didn't you give him
3	notice?
4	SUBMISSIONS BY/REPRÉSENTATIONS PAR MS. HAMOU:
5	MS. HAMOU: Why did we give him notice?
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Is this part of the
7	evidence you're trying to get from this witness, why didn't
8	we get it during the Cornwall Police Service evidence?
9	MS. HAMOU: Well, Mr. Commissioner, in
10	preparation for testimony with this witness, we came to
11	learn of information that regarded the Cornwall Police
12	Service, and I am sorry if the Cornwall Police Service
13	evidence was completed by that time, but it's still
14	evidence that is relevant, and it's not because we learned
15	of it later in the process that it should be discarded.
16	And perhaps if
17	THE COMMISSIONER: So what are the areas you
18	want to cover with him?
19	MS. HAMOU: Well, the witness has made
20	allegations of a rocky relationship with Chief Shaver.
21	Chief Shaver is the only person who testified for this
22	period of time to what happened on the Board. He is one of
23	the parties that was in conflict with the Board.
24	Reverend Bryan is one of the members at the
25	Board at the time, and I want to get his perspective on the

1	issues that were going on with the Cornwall Police Service.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. But that's clearly
3	a Cornwall Police Service institutional response, is it
4	not?
5	MS. HAMOU: Absolutely, Mr. Commissioner,
6	but it is relevant to what we're doing here.
7	And if Mr. Callaghan needs more time,
8	perhaps that's what's required. Maybe I can touch on this
9	evidence tomorrow.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Callaghan?
11	SUBMISSIONS BY/REPRÉSENTATIONS PAR MR. CALLAGHAN:
12	MR. CALLAGHAN: Firstly, I observe that she
13	said that they did their AE on June $3^{\rm rd}$ when we were still
14	in the box. In fact, I don't believe a lot of the
15	testimony which he's just referred to has gone forward.
16	We've been through our institutional
17	response, 52 days. I don't know how often this is going to
18	come up. I would have thought that if that's a true issue,
19	Mr. Engelmann could sit down and say and which he did do
20	when he assessed, I think, as we went along as to what he
21	needed. We've heard from Chief Shaver. I don't think
22	there's any surprise about Chief Shaver and his management
23	styles, et cetera. You've heard it and you just didn't
24	hear it from him; you heard it from others.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.

1	MR. CALLAGHAN: I don't think it assists to
2	open up the Cornwall Police institutional response other
3	than to obviously respond to what other institutions
4	interacted with them.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, what about if we
6	if it was relevant in this way, and I don't know I don't
7	know but if we've got the bursar having a rocky relation
8	and what period of time was he on the Board?
9	MR. CALLAGHAN: Eighty-nine ('89) to '91, so
10	prior to DS.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
12	MR. CALLAGHAN: So this sort of puts it in
13	context.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Exactly. All right.
15	So I would have thought that you would want
16	to hear that because, because, if we've got the bursar not
17	very happy with the Chief, right, query whether or not it -
18	- how it mixes in the blend on the conspiracy that the
19	church and the police officers are all working together
20	when we've got one guy who clearly doesn't
21	MR. CALLAGHAN: Well, and to the extent,
22	sir, you make a point, to the extent that it relates to his
23	relationship as the bursar, his time, his information, I
24	have no objection. When I see all the Board minutes being
25	produced and the Rule 38s back into the Police Service

1	Board hearings, you know, all the stuff you know what
2	I'm referring to
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, yes.
4	MR. CALLAGHAN: the Policing Division
5	stuff, all that stuff. That's what has me concerned.
6	I agree what you've just suggested, which is
7	
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, of course you'd
9	agree.
10	MR. CALLAGHAN: I always agree with you.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no.
12	MR. CALLAGHAN: And if I don't, we talk
13	about it elsewhere, but I do on these occasions.
14	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
15	THE COMMISSIONER: What else can you say
16	that hasn't been said behind my back, Mr. Callaghan?
17	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
18	MR. CALLAGHAN: No, but the way you describe
19	it, I can't object to that. I can't object to what you
20	did, and if she can do it that way, but if we're going to
21	start into a whole section on his time in the Cornwall
22	Police Service Board, it seems to me that that's something
23	that we can canvass at the end. If Mr. Engelmann says,
24	"You know, we still need more", then I can deal with that,
25	not as we go though. This is not

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, first of all, you
2	knew about it a long time ago.
3	I'm going to take a break.
4	MR. CALLAGHAN: Sure.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: You're going to speak
6	with Commission counsel to find out exactly what areas she
7	wants to cover, and then if things can't be resolved, I'll
8	resolve them.
9	MR. CALLAGHAN: Okay. Good enough. Thank
10	you.
11	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
12	veuillez vous lever.
13	This hearing will resume at 12:00.
14	Upon recessing at 11:52 a.m./
15	L'audience est suspendue à 11h52
16	Upon resuming at 12:21 p.m./
17	L'audience est reprise à 12h21
18	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
19	veuillez vous lever.
20	This hearing is now resumed. Please be
21	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. So?
23	MS. HAMOU: Mr. Commissioner?
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes?
25	MS. HAMOU: I've had some discussions with

## PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	Mr. Callaghan.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes?
3	MS. HAMOU: And we've reached an agreement
4	of sorts. We've agreed to meet with the witness after the
5	hearings this evening with both counsel present and assess
6	what he has to say on this matter. And see if we have to
7	address it, either tomorrow or a little later.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
9	MS. HAMOU: So I will skip over this matter
10	for now. Is that okay?
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you.
12	Mr. Reverend Bryan, I asked you to leave so
13	that we can discuss administrative matters as to certain
14	areas that we would be questioning that Commission
15	counsel and other lawyers would be questioning you on. And
16	one of the issues that came up was whether or not we should
17	be asking you questions about your time on the Cornwall
18	Police Services Board. And so what we've done is, as
19	Commission counsel has indicated, you will be meeting with
20	Mr. Callaghan or his team and with someone from Commission
21	counsel and you're to only discuss the issue of your time
22	on the Cornwall Police Services Board. All right?
23	And we're doing that in order to accommodate
24	everyone's needs and normally we don't do that with
25	witnesses that are on the stand but we're going to create

## PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	that exception for you. So please feel comfortable in
2	meeting with them and discussing that issue whenever, all
3	right?
4	REV. BRYAN: Thank you.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: So what we're going to do
6	eventually is we're going to leave that aside and continue
7	with your testimony. But we're going to do that after
8	lunch. All right? So we're going to come back at two
9	o'clock.
10	MS. HAMOU: Thank you.
11	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: I hope that I can make
12	clear to you that in the interviews with the witness these
13	questions were asked and he was fully cooperative in
14	disclosing all information and I allow that to proceed.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Of course, yes.
16	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: And in all of this, this
18	isn't an ironclad process in the sense that there are
19	things that will come up from time to time that we have to
20	address and so this is really just a balancing of
21	interests, make sure that I hear the best evidence possible
22	and that the Cornwall Police Services Board and the Police
23	Commission have some opportunity to view that evidence.
24	All right?
25	So have a good lunch. Make sure Mr.

## PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	Callaghan buys you oh no, sorry, that's Sherriff-Scott.
2	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
3	THE COMMISSIONER: That's right. Mr.
4	Callaghan should buy lunch for you but we'll leave it at
5	that.
6	Thank you very much. We'll come back at
7	2:00.
8	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À
9	l'ordre; veuillez vous lever.
10	This hearing will resume at 2:00 p.m.
11	Upon recessing at 12:25 p.m. /
12	L'audience est suspendue à 12h25
13	Upon resuming at 2:02 p.m. /
14	L'audience est reprise à 14h02
15	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
16	veuillez vous lever.
17	This hearing is now resumed. Please be
18	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Afternoon, all.
20	Mademoiselle Hamou.
21	REVEREND GORDON BRYAN, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
22	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEFPAR MS.
23	HAMOU (cont'd/suite):
24	MS. HAMOU: Welcome back, Reverend Bryan.
25	REV. BRYAN: Thank you.

1	MS. HAMOU: I'm going to continue where I
2	left off, so your involvement in the Father MacDonald
3	matter.
4	Now, I'd like to ask you, to start off, when
5	you first became aware of allegations of sexual abuse being
6	made against Father MacDonald.
7	REV. BRYAN: I think when I opened the
8	the liability release and I saw the the name of the one
9	we had paid out to.
10	MS. HAMOU: So you're speaking of the time
11	when you would have opened the document that you had filed.
12	We'll get there a little further but I
13	REV. BRYAN: Sure.
14	MS. HAMOU: just want to situate us in
15	time. Can you tell me about which date that would have
16	been?
17	REV. BRYAN: Oh.
18	MS. HAMOU: I can situate
19	REV. BRYAN: Okay, I
20	MS. HAMOU: I can situate you if you
21	REV. BRYAN: Okay, I would appreciate it.
22	MS. HAMOU: The cheque was issued on
23	September 2 <sup>nd</sup> .
24	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Nineteen ninety

1	MS. HAMOU: I'm sorry, September 2 <sup>nd</sup>
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Ninety three.
3	<b>MS. HAMOU:</b> 1993.
4	REV. BRYAN: Yes, that I'm aware. So when
5	was the the very first occasion when the Bishop
6	MS. HAMOU: Okay.
7	REV. BRYAN: had his press conference?
8	MS. HAMOU: Sorry, the first press
9	conference is January 7 <sup>th</sup> , 1994.
10	REV. BRYAN: Okay, so it would be after
11	that.
12	MS. HAMOU: After that. So we're looking
13	between January $7^{\text{th}}$ and January $14^{\text{th}}$ , 1994.
14	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
15	MS. HAMOU: So you first became aware of the
16	allegations against Father MacDonald
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Hang on.
18	MS. HAMOU: Mr. Commissioner, I'm just
19	informed that it was a press release and not a press
20	conference; the first one on the $7^{\rm th}$ .
21	REV. BRYAN: Press sorry.
22	MS. HAMOU: Correction.
23	So Reverend Bryan, I was just asking you
24	whether you became aware of allegations against Father
25	MacDonald at that time.

I	<b>REV. BRYAN:</b> I think so, but I think it was
2	probably before that, when I think of the media who had a
3	bit of a frenzy before that, and I believe it was D.S. that
4	I was aware of.
5	MS. HAMOU: So you learned of the name of
6	the complainant at the time when you opened the envelope?
7	REV. BRYAN: I believe so, yes.
8	MS. HAMOU: And you would have learned of
9	the allegations against Father MacDonald earlier?
10	REV. BRYAN: From from the press well,
11	from the press itself if and I I can't remember
12	exactly.
13	MS. HAMOU: Okay. I'll take you through
14	those dates so
15	REV. BRYAN: Okay.
16	MS. HAMOU: I will ask you at a few
17	stages if that is when you would have found out about the
18	allegations.
19	REV. BRYAN: Okay.
20	MS. HAMOU: Now, were you aware that
21	Monsignor Guindon had received a phone call from the
22	complainant?
23	REV. BRYAN: No.
24	MS. HAMOU: And did the Bishop discuss any
25	of this information with you as where would it be the

1	the phone call or a meeting that would have taken place
2	with the complainant?
3	REV. BRYAN: No.
4	MS. HAMOU: Is there any reason Jacques
5	Leduc would have to advise you of this meeting?
6	REV. BRYAN: No, unless there was some money
7	required for something, but I don't believe there ever was.
8	MS. HAMOU: I'd like to take you to a point
9	in a statement. I'll refer you to Exhibit 1888.
10	Do you have the document?
11	REV. BRYAN: Yes, I do.
12	MS. HAMOU: I'll just give you a little
13	context. This is a document that was prepared by Diocesan
14	lawyers in the course of litigation. It's a statement of
15	Jacques Leduc and what you're looking at is a draft so you
16	will see markings on the document. It appears to be signed
17	September $7^{\text{th}}$ , however. At the back you will see.
18	REV. BRYAN: M'hm.
19	MS. HAMOU: But there are markings
20	throughout the document.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Lee?
22	MR. LEE: I agree with Ms. Hamou that there
23	are markings and revisions on the document, but as she
24	said, this version is a signed version so I'm not sure it's
25	a draft. It's a final version signed by Mr. Leduc. It

1	just happens that he made changes on the face of it before
2	signing it.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
4	MR. LEE: Because we have the reason I
5	say that is we have another document which is more truly a
6	draft which wasn't signed
7	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
8	MR. LEE: and this seems to be the final
9	version according to Mr. Leduc's testimony.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank you.
11	MS. HAMOU: So I'd like to take you to the
12	first paragraph, sir. You can see that the last line of
13	the first paragraph was struck out and I don't believe Mr.
14	Leduc contends that this happened but I want to ask you
15	about it none the less.
16	REV. BRYAN: Certainly.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Go ahead.
18	MS. HAMOU: So if I can read to you the
19	passage that seems to be struck out. It indicates:
20	"He may have told the Bishop who may
21	have told Gordon Bryan. It may be that
22	this information may have included the
23	identity of the priest involved."
24	So are you again telling me that you never
25	learned from the Bishop that a meeting had taken place?

1	REV. BRYAN: No, I didn't.
2	MS. HAMOU: Now, I'd like to take you to the
3	second paragraph of this document, the last line, which
4	reads:
5	"I advised Gordon Bryan to advise
6	diocesan insurers."
7	REV. BRYAN: Not to the best of my
8	knowledge, no.
9	MS. HAMOU: So you answered the question
10	before I asked it, but on December in December of '92
11	did Jacques Leduc ever advise you to advise insurers?
12	REV. BRYAN: I don't believe so, but there's
13	a possibility he did, but it would have been of no account
14	anyway.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: In what way? What do you
16	mean it wouldn't
17	REV. BRYAN: Oh, it's '92. Sorry, '92, no.
18	MS. HAMOU: December of 1992.
19	REV. BRYAN: No.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: December of
21	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: I think the witness was
22	indicating he was confused as to the dates and thinking
23	they were talking about much later. So perhaps my friend
24	can reorient him.
25	REV. BRYAN: Ninety-four ('94) I was

1	thinking of.
2	MS. HAMOU: You were thinking of '94
3	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
4	MS. HAMOU: when you would have opened
5	the envelope?
6	REV. BRYAN: No, whenever the payment was
7	made, whether he wanted me to advise. But I was on the
8	board of the diocesan insurance group
9	MS. HAMOU: I'll just stop you for a second.
10	REV. BRYAN: Okay.
11	MS. HAMOU: I want to back up in time.
12	We'll try to get the date straight.
13	REV. BRYAN: Okay.
14	MS. HAMOU: So in December of 1992 there was
15	a meeting between some diocesan members and a complainant.
16	You were not advised of this meeting?
17	REV. BRYAN: No.
18	MS. HAMOU: And you were not advised to
19	contact insurers at that time?
20	REV. BRYAN: No.
21	MS. HAMOU: By anyone?
22	REV. BRYAN: No.
23	MS. HAMOU: And I'll come back a little bit
24	to the second issue you've mentioned.
25	First, I want to touch upon the subject of

diocesan insurance.

1

1	diocesan insulance.
2	REV. BRYAN: M'hm.
3	MS. HAMOU: You say that Jacques Leduc may
4	have advised you at some point to contact the insurer. Is
5	that what you were telling me?
6	REV. BRYAN: I would I would suggest
7	perhaps when we opened the document that was signed by Mr.
8	Silmser.
9	MS. HAMOU: So when you opened the documents
10	or when you issued the cheque?
11	REV. BRYAN: No, he never mentioned about it
12	when I issued the cheque.
13	MS. HAMOU: So if he did instruct you to do
14	it it's when you opened the envelope?
15	REV. BRYAN: I would think so, yes.
16	MS. HAMOU: Okay.
17	Now, sir, I would like to ask you if there
18	had ever been a claim of historical sexual abuse prior to
19	the Silmser matter in the Diocese that you were aware of?
20	REV. BRYAN: I'm not aware of any, no.
21	MS. HAMOU: And can you tell me if the
22	Diocese had coverage in 1992-1993 with regards to claims of
23	sexual abuse by priests?
24	REV. BRYAN: Yes, it did, although not
25	historic abuse.

1	MS. HAMOU: Can you explain that distinction
2	to me, sir?
3	REV. BRYAN: Yes. For every year the
4	insurance was given out to a particular insurer, that would
5	begin at the time of the insurance signing and would end at
6	the period of one year. If it was renewed then that
7	coverage continued with the same insurer.
8	But there were a number of insurers over the
9	years from about '60 from '62 on that disappeared in the
10	dust when they were either amalgamated or went bankrupt, et
11	cetera, so things changed rapidly over the years and some
12	of the things that I looked at are you look at some of
13	the newer conglomerates and there are probably about 50
14	different insurance companies. They don't even know their
15	roots.
16	MS. HAMOU: So are you telling me that the
17	difficulty is to figure out which insurer would be the
18	person to contact
19	REV. BRYAN: That's right.
20	MS. HAMOU: for an historical claim?
21	REV. BRYAN: That's right.
22	MS. HAMOU: So that's the problem you would
23	have had.
24	So had you been asked to contact the
25	insurer, what would you have done?

1	REV. BRYAN: I would have called our
2	insurance broker to see whether he was aware of who the
3	insurer was at that particular time.
4	MS. HAMOU: At the time the abuse allegedly
5	took place?
6	REV. BRYAN: That's right.
7	The difficulty with that though is that
8	during my time on the insurance management board we looked
9	for many of these different insurance for different reasons
10	to try and get an historical background on all of them, and
11	they were in the dust and no one knew where they had
12	amalgamated and where they disappeared to.
13	MS. HAMOU: Now, you just mentioned the
14	insurance management board. Can you tell me what your
15	roles and responsibilities were with regards to this board?
16	REV. BRYAN: In the insurance management
17	board, a bishop would be assigned from the Ontario Catholic
18	Conference of Bishops and there were probably eight bursars
19	from various dioceses or archdioceses that assisted in
20	questioning various insurance companies about coverage and
21	about costs and make a recommendation to the Ontario
22	Catholic Conference of Bishops on one that we preferred or
23	the second.
24	MS. HAMOU: My colleague would like to jump
25	the gun on his cross-examination and ask a few guestions,

1	but duly noted they are relevant.
2	Which period of time were you on the
3	insurance management board?
4	REV. BRYAN: I was on for about 15 years
5	from probably 1987-88 to well, 15 years of that probably
6	off in 2002 I think.
7	MS. HAMOU: And who appointed you to this
8	board?
9	REV. BRYAN: I had asked our Bishop to look
10	into it because it was something that I was interested in
11	and I knew they had a small board, and so he asked and I
12	got.
13	MS. HAMOU: And, sir, I would like to ask
14	you, what role would insurers play in situations such as
15	the one that had occurred in December of 1992 where a
16	complainant came forward?
17	REV. BRYAN: Normally, if it was the
18	liability claim was during that year our insurance brokers
19	would give it to the insurance company who would send
20	someone down to investigate, discuss it probably with the
21	authorities and with the complainant, and arrive perhaps at
22	a settlement or prepare for court time.
23	MS. HAMOU: Now, would diocesan lawyers be
24	involved in this or would it be insurance lawyers?
25	REV. BRYAN: It would be insurance lawyers.

1	MS. HAMOU: Sir, can you advise me can
2	you tell me if any insurers contributed to the Father
3	MacDonald matter, to your knowledge?
4	REV. BRYAN: No, they didn't.
5	MS. HAMOU: And if somebody would have had
6	to contact insurers would they have gotten in touch with
7	you?
8	REV. BRYAN: They could have, yes.
9	MS. HAMOU: Was that the procedure in the
10	Diocese?
11	REV. BRYAN: For many many of the priests
12	preferred that it came through our office. They said it
13	usually moved things a little faster than when they called.
14	MS. HAMOU: And you're referring to general
15	insurance as well?
16	REV. BRYAN: That's right.
17	MS. HAMOU: Not limited to sexual abuse?
18	REV. BRYAN: Yeah, roof damages, et cetera.
19	I don't think I've had any of the sexual abuse come through
20	my office for requests to the insurance companies.
21	MS. HAMOU: Now, during your time as Bursar,
22	were you the person who was responsible to set up the
23	insurance for the Diocese?
24	REV. BRYAN: I was responsible for I
25	guess you would say looking after the insurance there, yes,

1	but it was the overall insurance of the OCCB.
2	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, there were meetings
3	held in August August 25 <sup>th</sup> , 1993, September 1 <sup>st</sup> , 1993,
4	involving Malcolm MacDonald, the Bishop and Jacques Leduc?
5	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
6	MS. HAMOU: I'm going to ask you a few
7	questions with regards to these meetings.
8	So did you ever participate in any meetings
9	with these three people?
10	REV. BRYAN: No.
11	MS. HAMOU: And, in particular, the meetings
12	of August 25 <sup>th</sup> , 1993
13	REV. BRYAN: No.
14	MS. HAMOU: and September 1st 1993?
15	REV. BRYAN: No. Good reasoning perhaps on
16	this one was that if that would have been the case, Mr.
17	Leduc would not have had to come and and tell me the
18	amount of the settlement. I would have made the cheque up
19	out probably on August the 25 <sup>th</sup> or the 26 <sup>th</sup> . Number
20	two, my wife and I are usually on our $25^{\rm th}$ or $26^{\rm th}$ or $28^{\rm th}$
21	honeymoon because we take a holiday during our our
22	anniversary.
23	MS. HAMOU: Which is which date?
24	REV. BRYAN: Twenty-fifth of August.
25	MS. HAMOU: I'd like to take you, sir, to

1	the exhibit we were just looking at, Exhibit 1888.
2	REV. BRYAN: M'hm.
3	MS. HAMOU: And I'd like to direct you to a
4	page of this document. If you look in the top left corner
5	there are small numbers, and I would like you to go to
6	Bates page and that's what we refer to them as
7	7162747.
8	REV. BRYAN: How many pages is that down?
9	MS. HAMOU: Pardon me?
10	REV. BRYAN: Eight pages down? I'm asking -
11	
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Third from the back.
13	REV. BRYAN: Third from the back. Thank
14	you. Yes.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: So if you look on top,
16	there's a page 4 right in the middle of the page.
17	MS. HAMOU: Five.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Five.
19	REV. BRYAN: Five. A little too faint for
20	me to see but I assume it is.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, it's on the
22	right-hand side, so
23	REV. BRYAN: It's on the right-hand side?
24	Okay. Five, yes.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

1	MS. HAMOU: You're on the right page?
2	REV. BRYAN: I think so.
3	MS. HAMOU: It should be the same page
4	that's on the screen in front of you as well
5	REV. BRYAN: Yes, okay.
6	MS. HAMOU: if it's easier to look
7	there.
8	REV. BRYAN: Yes, thank you.
9	MS. HAMOU: Now, I want to direct your
10	attention to the August $25^{\mathrm{th}}$ entry, and again we're in the
11	statement of Jacques Leduc. And, sir, the first sentence
12	in this entry reads:
13	"Malcolm MacDonald, myself met with the
14	Bishop to discuss the possibility of a
15	settlement. Gordon Bryan may also have
16	been in attendance. He was present at
17	one of these two meetings."
18	So he's speaking of the August 25 <sup>th</sup> meeting
19	or September 1 <sup>st</sup> .
20	Do you you've told me that you didn't
21	attend. Do you know why Jacques Leduc believes you
22	attended?
23	REV. BRYAN: No, I don't. We'd met a
24	MS. HAMOU: I want to
25	REV. BRYAN: We'd met a number of times

1	after the fact, so it's possible he just assumed that I was
2	I was there.
3	MS. HAMOU: Did you meet with the Bishop,
4	Malcolm MacDonald and Jacques Leduc after that?
5	REV. BRYAN: Never, other than this press
6	release. They were there.
7	MS. HAMOU: Okay.
8	I'd like to take you to another document,
9	sir. It's Exhibit 1892.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: That would be in the same
11	book, yeah.
12	REV. BRYAN: M'hm.
13	MS. HAMOU: And, sir, this is an interview
14	report provided by Jacques Leduc on August $2^{nd}$ , 1994 to the
15	Ontario Provincial Police.
16	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
17	MS. HAMOU: And I'd ask you to go to the
18	Bates page ending in 31. It's actually page 3 of the
19	document, if those are easier to
20	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
21	MS. HAMOU: And the second sentence in this
22	paragraph starting with "I arranged". Jacques Leduc is
23	speaking of the second meeting that took place, the
24	September 1 <sup>st</sup> , 1993 meeting, and he says that he arranged:
25	"I arranged for that meeting and at

1	that meeting were present Malcolm
2	MacDonald, myself, the Bishop, and I
3	think Gordon Bryan, the Bursar for the
4	Diocese."
5	So now he places you at this meeting
6	specifically. Do you have any recollection of this?
7	REV. BRYAN: No.
8	MS. HAMOU: Now, Reverend Bryan, were you
9	aware of the Bishop being involved in ongoing negotiations?
10	REV. BRYAN: With?
11	MS. HAMOU: Well, with Jacques Leduc and
12	Malcolm MacDonald, but generally were you aware that he was
13	negotiating things and
14	REV. BRYAN: No.
15	MS. HAMOU: meeting behind closed doors
16	with people?
17	REV. BRYAN: No. Our office is a small
18	office but I don't remember ever hearing anything.
19	MS. HAMOU: Would you have seen these people
20	come by the office?
21	REV. BRYAN: I don't remember seeing Malcolm
22	at any time in our office, other than the press release
23	time.
24	MS. HAMOU: Is it possible he was there
25	nonetheless, even though you didn't see him?

1	REV. BRYAN: Yes, it's possible.
2	MS. HAMOU: Sir, I'd now like to explore
3	your relationship with Jacques Leduc, the Diocesan counsel.
4	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
5	MS. HAMOU: Can you tell me what his role
6	was as Diocesan counsel?
7	REV. BRYAN: Basically for land transactions
8	or other transactions that were similar in nature of
9	selling properties, et cetera.
10	MS. HAMOU: Is that the only thing he did
11	for the Diocese?
12	REV. BRYAN: Primarily, to the best of my
13	knowledge, yes. I don't know what other ones he could have
14	been involved in.
15	MS. HAMOU: And did you ever personally
16	retain his services?
17	REV. BRYAN: Personally, no.
18	MS. HAMOU: Sorry, not personally, but on
19	behalf of the Diocese did you ever call Jacques Leduc and
20	retain him on a matter?
21	REV. BRYAN: I believe for the school board
22	property that was out in St. Raphael's where we negotiated
23	because he was school board lawyer at the particular time,
24	I believe, and that was for an exchange of some properties
25	between the Diocese and the school board.

1	MS. HAMOU: Now, based on your
2	understanding, was Jacques Leduc the Diocesan lawyer
3	generally or was he retained only for specific matters?
4	REV. BRYAN: He was not on a retainer or
5	anything, no. So it was whenever he was called for
6	something.
7	MS. HAMOU: When there was a matter you
8	would call him up?
9	REV. BRYAN: Yes, generally, yes.
10	MS. HAMOU: And was it generally yourself or
11	the Bishop that would call him?
12	REV. BRYAN: Depending on the land
13	transaction, if it was in Alexandria or in Cornwall usually
14	I would have called called him. Or if it was some other
15	properties that I wasn't aware of that were being looked
16	at, it would be the Bishop.
17	MS. HAMOU: Now, you're speaking of
18	properties. Do you know of any other files Mr. Leduc would
19	have worked for for the Diocese that weren't related to
20	property matters?
21	REV. BRYAN: Not offhand.
22	MS. HAMOU: Now, when Jacques Leduc
23	conducted work for the Diocese, as far as you know did he
24	open files?
25	<b>REV. BRYAN:</b> I'm not aware of whether I

1	believe he would have for the real estate transactions.
2	MS. HAMOU: But you have no personal
3	knowledge of this?
4	REV. BRYAN: No.
5	MS. HAMOU: Were you aware that Mr. Leduc
6	had been retained with regards to the Silmser settlement?
7	REV. BRYAN: No, I didn't.
8	I shouldn't say that because he gave he
9	asked me for the cheque, so I assumed he must have had some
10	but I never there was no payment made out for him.
11	MS. HAMOU: When he asked you to issue a
12	cheque, that's when you realized he was working on the
13	matter?
14	REV. BRYAN: That's right.
15	MS. HAMOU: Did you confirm this with him?
16	REV. BRYAN: I confirmed it with the Bishop.
17	MS. HAMOU: That Jacques Leduc was working
18	on the matter?
19	REV. BRYAN: That the \$27,000 was to be
20	given to Jacques.
21	MS. HAMOU: Okay, we're getting there. I
22	just have another question for you with regards to Mr.
23	Leduc's role.
24	Were you aware of his role in negotiations?
25	I know you weren't there for negotiations but did you know

1	of
2	REV. BRYAN: No.
3	MS. HAMOU: his role in negotiations?
4	REV. BRYAN: I didn't realize negotiations
5	were going on.
6	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, I understand that on
7	September $2^{nd}$ , 1993 you were instructed by Jacques Leduc to
8	write a cheque in the amount of \$27,000 payable to his firm
9	in Trust?
10	REV. BRYAN: That's right.
11	MS. HAMOU: Was this standard practice
12	within the Diocese to send the money in Trust to the
13	lawyer's office?
14	REV. BRYAN: For real estate transactions,
15	yes, and that was basically his role.
16	MS. HAMOU: Now, when Mr. Leduc informed you
17	that he wanted you to write this cheque, did you ask him
18	any questions?
19	REV. BRYAN: I believe I asked him what it
20	was for and he said it was for a suit that would have been
21	brought against Father Charles. Didn't indicated what kind
22	of a suit. When I asked that one he said, "You really
23	don't want to know", and I didn't.
24	MS. HAMOU: So it's at that point that you
25	found out that Father MacDonald was

1	REV. BRYAN: Was the one.
2	MS. HAMOU: the priest involved?
3	REV. BRYAN: That involved, yes.
4	MS. HAMOU: Now, were you aware, sir, that
5	the funds transferred to Jacques Leduc were eventually
6	transferred to Malcolm MacDonald in Trust?
7	REV. BRYAN: I believe Mr. Leduc did mention
8	that Malcolm MacDonald was looking after the the claim
9	itself.
10	MS. HAMOU: And were you aware that the
11	complainant received a cheque from Malcolm MacDonald's
12	Trust account?
13	REV. BRYAN: I wasn't too sure, but I
14	assumed it the money was transmitted to one or the other
15	from one or the other.
16	MS. HAMOU: And, sir, outside the scope of
17	real estate matters, do you know if this was common
18	practice in the Diocese to transfer monies through lawyers'
19	trust accounts?
20	REV. BRYAN: Generally, it has been for real
21	estate transactions at least, but I'm I'm at a loss to
22	know about the others.
23	MS. HAMOU: M'hm. Were you involved in any
24	other matter with Jacques Leduc?
25	<b>REV. BRYAN:</b> Other than real estate?

1	MS. HAMOU: Yes.
2	REV. BRYAN: No.
3	MS. HAMOU: So, sir, you indicated to me
4	that you learned at this point that Father MacDonald was
5	involved in some wrongdoing?
6	REV. BRYAN: In a liability claim, yes.
7	MS. HAMOU: In a liability claim for
8	something he had done perhaps?
9	REV. BRYAN: I wasn't sure.
10	MS. HAMOU: Did you know what type of
11	liability claim this related to?
12	REV. BRYAN: No, I didn't.
13	MS. HAMOU: And had there ever been a
14	liability claim against a priest?
15	REV. BRYAN: Not to my knowledge.
16	MS. HAMOU: So at this point, did you
17	believe this money was being put forward towards a
18	settlement?
19	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
20	MS. HAMOU: But you had no details on the
21	case?
22	REV. BRYAN: That's right.
23	MS. HAMOU: Did you understand that the
24	payment of this money would resolve the situation?
25	REV. BRYAN: Yes.

1	MS. HAMOU: Is this what Jacques Leduc told
2	you?
3	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
4	MS. HAMOU: Did he tell you anything else
5	with regards to the resolution of the matter?
6	REV. BRYAN: Not that I can remember.
7	MS. HAMOU: Now, before you prepared the
8	cheque, you informed me that you talked with the Bishop.
9	Can you tell me what the content of that conversation was?
10	REV. BRYAN: Yes. I simply asked him
11	whether or not he had approved the \$27,000, and he said,
12	"Reluctantly, yes".
13	THE COMMISSIONER: He said did he say
14	"reluctantly"?
15	REV. BRYAN: He said "Reluctantly, yes".
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Or did you look at him
17	and he seemed that he was saying
18	REV. BRYAN: No, he said, "Reluctantly,
19	yes".
20	MS. HAMOU: Did he tell you anything else
21	about the matter at that point?
22	REV. BRYAN: No. He was busy in his office.
23	When he first comes in, sometimes not in the best of
24	humour, so
25	MS. HAMOU: Did you confirm with the Bishop

1	that the matter related to Father MacDonald?
2	REV. BRYAN: No.
3	MS. HAMOU: And were you told who the
4	complainant was at this point?
5	REV. BRYAN: No.
6	MS. HAMOU: Now, when such large sums were
7	to be issued by the Diocese sorry for the
8	characterization when such sums were to be issued by the
9	Diocese, was it your practice to consult the Bishop to get
10	his authorization?
11	REV. BRYAN: I would say in most cases, yes,
12	not just large sums but other sums too. If I wasn't aware
13	of a particular priest even being used and being paid from
14	the Diocese, I would ask.
15	MS. HAMOU: Prior to sending the cheque, did
16	Bishop Larocque discuss the matter with you at any other
17	time?
18	REV. BRYAN: No. I didn't send the cheque.
19	The cheque was picked up by Mr. Leduc.
20	MS. HAMOU: He came to pick it up at the
21	Diocesan Centre?
22	REV. BRYAN: He came to me, asking for the
23	\$27,000 cheque and we waited for the Bishop that morning.
24	MS. HAMOU: So was Mr. Leduc present when
25	you had the discussion with the Bishop?

1	REV. BRYAN: No, I just went upstairs and
2	asked.
3	MS. HAMOU: And Mr. Leduc waited in your
4	office?
5	REV. BRYAN: He waited I don't know
6	whether it was in my office, but yes.
7	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, when you became aware
8	that this was to settle a liability claim, did you not
9	think to advise the diocesan insurer?
10	REV. BRYAN: No, I should have, but I also
11	knew that once you have made a decision, your insurance
12	company no longer covers that. So it would have been
13	useless.
14	MR. TAYLOR: I didn't hear him.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: It would have been
16	useless?
17	REV. BRYAN: That's right.
18	MR. TAYLOR: Before that, Mr. Commissioner.
19	The witness it's sometimes difficult to hear the
20	witness.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Sir, could you speak
22	right into the microphone, please? You can bring it up.
23	Maybe we can increase the volume.
24	REV. BRYAN: I'm sorry; I'm a little short.
25	That's my problem.

1	MS. HAMOU: I hear you.
2	MR. TAYLOR: I'd just like, if we could, to
3	have that answer because I didn't hear it.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. What was the answer
5	again?
6	REV. BRYAN: What was the question?
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Who's on first? The
8	question
9	MS. HAMOU: The question
10	THE COMMISSIONER: The question was
11	MS. HAMOU: I asked you why you didn't
12	advise the diocesan insurers.
13	REV. BRYAN: Yes, and that was because the
14	settlement had been agreed upon, and when a settlement has
15	been agreed upon, your insurance is no longer covering you.
16	MS. HAMOU: At that point, sir, monies had
17	not been paid towards the complainant. I mean, you had
18	just
19	REV. BRYAN: It doesn't matter. If you have
20	made a settlement agreement without signing it, you are no
21	longer covered.
22	MS. HAMOU: And you knew this because of
23	your involvement on the insurance management board?
24	REV. BRYAN: Yes, and I read my insurance
25	policies.

1	MS. HAMOU: Now, as far as you know, the
2	cheque issued from the Diocese was \$27,000?
3	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
4	MS. HAMOU: You now know that the settlement
5	was for \$32,000?
6	REV. BRYAN: I was aware of that as well.
7	MS. HAMOU: Did you know where the balance
8	of funds was coming from?
9	REV. BRYAN: I wasn't too sure whether it
10	was from Malcolm or from Father MacDonald.
11	MS. HAMOU: You believed it may be from
12	Malcolm MacDonald himself?
13	REV. BRYAN: Well, I wasn't I wasn't
14	aware who it was, but I figured there's only two of them
15	there, his legal counsel and himself.
16	MS. HAMOU: Could it have been from anybody
17	else?
18	REV. BRYAN: That's a possibility, yes.
19	MS. HAMOU: You were never advised?
20	REV. BRYAN: No.
21	MS. HAMOU: Now, if Bishop Larocque
22	REV. BRYAN: I shouldn't say excuse me.
23	MS. HAMOU: Pardon me.
24	REV. BRYAN: I shouldn't say never because
25	Mr. MacDonald sent another \$1,000 cheque later on that was

1	put towards what I assumed at the beginning was a loan to
2	Father MacDonald.
3	MS. HAMOU: Actually, I'm going to address
4	that point a little later with you
5	REV. BRYAN: Okay.
6	MS. HAMOU: when it comes in the
7	chronology of time.
8	If Bishop Larocque had issued some further
9	funds for the settlement, would you have been aware of it?
10	REV. BRYAN: If they come out of diocesan
11	coffers, yes.
12	MS. HAMOU: He would have to go through you
13	to withdraw money from diocesan accounts?
14	REV. BRYAN: Normally, yes. He didn't have
15	to, but he did.
16	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, as far as you know,
17	did the Diocese loan any sum of money to Father MacDonald
18	for this settlement?
19	REV. BRYAN: I'm not aware.
20	MS. HAMOU: Sir, I'd just like to take you
21	to a new document. It's Document 129106. Madam Clerk is
22	going to give it to you in a second.
23	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 1961 is a payment
25	it's a statement from the administration of account,

1	cash disbursements and chequebook account as of the date of
2	the $10/27/93$ to the period ending $09/30/93$ .
3	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. 1961:
4	(129106) C.E.C. Administration Account -
5	Cash disbursements - Chequebook Account
6	MS. HAMOU: Reverend Bryan, can you identify
7	this document for me?
8	REV. BRYAN: Yes. Our bookkeeper was
9	started putting our entries in a computer but was always
10	worried that the computer would fail, and so we had book
11	entries separate to ensure it. So he was dual accounting
12	at that particular time.
13	MS. HAMOU: So is this what would be
14	considered the general ledger account?
15	REV. BRYAN: It would be the chequebook
16	account, cash disbursements.
17	MS. HAMOU: And there's an entry about
18	midway through the page indicating a sum of \$27,000?
19	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
20	MS. HAMOU: And the payee is Leduc,
21	Lafrance, and I assume that's Cardinal?
22	REV. BRYAN: It's Cardinal, yes.
23	$MS.$ HAMOU: And the date is September $2^{nd}$ ,
24	1993?
25	REV. BRYAN: Yes.

1	MS. HAMOU: So this would be the entry
2	relating to the cheque you wrote and provided to Mr. Leduc?
3	REV. BRYAN: That's right.
4	MS. HAMOU: I see that on this document
5	there is an indication, a handwritten note next to the
6	numbers. Sir, do you see it? It's about in the middle of
7	the page. Do you see that entry?
8	REV. BRYAN: The little note beside it?
9	MS. HAMOU: Yeah. There's a handwritten
10	"Thursday" on one side and on the other side something that
11	looks to be "medical". You can't make it out?
12	REV. BRYAN: No.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Is it your handwriting,
14	sir?
15	REV. BRYAN: It doesn't look like it. It
16	looks like the bookkeeper's.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
18	MS. HAMOU: Reverend Bryan, what did the
19	bookkeeper do in the Diocese? You were the bursar, but
20	what was his role?
21	REV. BRYAN: He looked after the books,
22	basically the entries, and he would make up the
23	disbursement cheques for me with and clipped to the
24	particular billing that they belonged to.
25	I would look at them, sign the billing, sign

135

1	the cheque and it would be forwarded.
2	MS. HAMOU: So he would assist you in some
3	matters?
4	REV. BRYAN: In all of those and in
5	preparing the cheques, et cetera, usually. In fact, I
6	believe he prepared this one.
7	MS. HAMOU: Upon your direction?
8	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
9	MS. HAMOU: Reverend Bryan, do you have any
10	knowledge of special contributions and/or donations that
11	were made to the Diocese to cover the Silmser settlement?
12	REV. BRYAN: Other than that 1,000 that Mr.
13	MacDonald forwarded, no.
14	MS. HAMOU: Now, Chief Shaver testified
15	before this Inquiry and mentioned that there was a
16	suggestion of a breakdown for the funds provided in the
17	settlement of 10,000 from the Diocese, 10,000 from Father
18	MacDonald and 12,000 from a mystery person.
19	Do you have any idea what this relates to?
20	REV. BRYAN: No.
21	MS. HAMOU: And you never saw any large
22	amount that came in, in around this time?
23	REV. BRYAN: No, and that would have been
24	brought to my attention by the bookkeeper if he had
25	received them.

1	MS. HAMOU: What would the bookkeeper bring
2	to your attention? What were the types of things he would
3	point out to you?
4	REV. BRYAN: Well, I would have to sign a
5	receipt for the donation given so that the person who sent
6	it would be given a tax receipt, if it was for a donation
7	to the Church itself, or the Diocese I should say.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: What about if somebody
9	wanted to remain anonymous?
10	REV. BRYAN: And they didn't want to
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Claim it on their income
12	tax?
13	REV. BRYAN: I don't think I ever saw any of
14	those. In fact, most people wanted to boost up somehow or
15	other but never had
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
17	MS. HAMOU: Sir, I'd like to take you to my
18	next document. It's Exhibit 1790.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Merci.
20	MS. HAMOU: Do you have that document, sir?
21	REV. BRYAN: Yes, I have.
22	MS. HAMOU: And this is an interview of
23	Bishop Larocque with Detective Inspector Tim Smith and
24	Constable Mike Fagan on September 12 <sup>th</sup> , 1994.
25	REV. BRYAN: Yes.

1	MS. HAMOU: I'd like you to go to page
2	7011460, and it's page 55 at the top if those numbers are
3	easier for you to locate.
4	REV. BRYAN: I think I'm lost.
5	MS. HAMOU: You think you're lost? Madam
6	Clerk will help you locate the passage.
7	REV. BRYAN: I just went too fast. I'll
8	find it. Thank you.
9	MS. HAMOU: So I'd like to refer you to the
10	third entry. It's the second entry by Mr. Smith, and I
11	quote:
12	"Can you tell me where the funds came
13	from, Bishop?"
14	And the Bishop answers:
15	"Part of the funds I was given to
16	understand that 10,000 had come from
17	the priest and 22,000 had come from the
18	Diocese."
19	Now, sir, what I'd like to ask you is if you
20	were ever aware of such an arrangement?
21	REV. BRYAN: No.
22	MS. HAMOU: And the Bishop never discussed
23	this with you?
24	REV. BRYAN: No, but he may have assumed
25	that that was the breakdown but it wasn't.

25

1	MS. HAMOU: Do you contend to know what the
2	breakdown was?
3	REV. BRYAN: Well, I know I was asked for
4	the 27,000. So I assumed the rest of it was
5	THE COMMISSIONER: I think did you want
6	to continue with the next answer from the Bishop?
7	MS. HAMOU: Sorry, sir; now I'm lost.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Page 55.
9	MS. HAMOU: The Bishop continues he
10	continues by indicating:
11	"I have found out that the priest has
12	not paid 10,000. I think it was 5,000
13	and the rest was made up of Diocesan
14	funds."
15	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
16	MS. HAMOU: That would equate to 32 with the
17	cheque that you would have written?
18	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
19	MS. HAMOU: I'd like to take you to a letter
20	now, sir. It's a new document; Document 109625.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 1962 is a letter
22	from Angus Malcolm MacDonald to the Reverend Mr. Gordon
23	Bryan on November 18 <sup>th</sup> , 1993.
24	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-1962:

(109625) - Letter from A.M. MacDonald to

1	Gordon Bryan dated 18 Nov 93
2	MS. HAMOU: Sir, do you recall receiving
3	this letter?
4	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
5	MS. HAMOU: If I read the letter, the first
6	sentence indicates a telephone conversation. Do you recall
7	speaking with Mr. MacDonald?
8	REV. BRYAN: No, I don't.
9	MS. HAMOU: You don't?
10	REV. BRYAN: I remember receiving the cheque
11	though.
12	MS. HAMOU: Money issues; right?
13	REV. BRYAN: That's right.
14	MS. HAMOU: Now, a sum of \$1,000 appears to
15	have been paid to the Diocese. Did you know why this
16	amount was being given?
17	REV. BRYAN: I assumed it was to be added to
18	Father Charlie's account that was he also still had a
19	vocation fund that he hadn't paid.
20	MS. HAMOU: So as far as you know, where was
21	this amount put to? Where was it put?
22	REV. BRYAN: I would think it would be put
23	into our general account and taken off his what he owed
24	as a loan from his vocation time his seminary time, I
25	should say.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Wait a minute. You're
2	going to have to help me out here. He's got a vocation
3	account. What is that?
4	REV. BRYAN: Basically it's for assisting
5	seminarians
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
7	REV. BRYAN: in paying part of their
8	funding for education.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
10	REV. BRYAN: So basically what happens is
11	that what used to happen I should say in our case was
12	that because we are a small Diocese, we didn't have
13	sufficient funds for this kind of thing. We would loan the
14	money to the seminarian and he would sign a note each time
15	he received it each year.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
17	REV. BRYAN: And he would end up with a loan
18	account required to be repaid 50 percent on a regular basis
19	after his ordination to the priesthood.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. But, you
21	know, Father MacDonald by 1993 had been a priest for
22	awhile.
23	REV. BRYAN: And he hadn't paid very much
24	during the time; so he still owed money. We wiped those
25	out I think in about 1994 or '95.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So we'll call them
2	student loans.
3	REV. BRYAN: Yes, virtually that's what it
4	was.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: And a lot of people don't
6	pay them in total; so that's okay. But and so you're
7	saying when you got this \$1,000, you figured it was going
8	into the seminary the student loan account?
9	REV. BRYAN: That's right.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. When you took out
11	the \$27,000, did you do a bookkeeping entry and charge
12	\$5,000 to Father MacDonald's student loan again?
13	REV. BRYAN: The 27,000 you mean?
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Not the I'm sorry.
15	Out of the twenty did you ever when you were doing
16	these transactions, did you ever charge something to his
17	account?
18	REV. BRYAN: Not at that particular time,
19	no, and we didn't after that, no.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
21	MS. HAMOU: So, sir, my question for you is,
22	if these sums were to reimburse student debts, if we will,
23	why did the come from Charles MacDonald's lawyer?
24	REV. BRYAN: As a donation from him; I don't
25	know.

1	MS. HAMOU: As a donation from?
2	REV. BRYAN: Mr. MacDonald I would assume.
3	I don't know.
4	MS. HAMOU: Okay.
5	REV. BRYAN: He mentioned about telephone
6	conversation. If he had, I probably would have asked but
7	when he said it, I assumed it was just a donation for that
8	account.
9	MS. HAMOU: Mr. Commissioner, there's a
10	ledger, a document that we've had and I can get it for you
11	at the break, but there is a ledger from Malcolm
12	MacDonald's trust account that shows a deposit of \$1,000
13	from Charles MacDonald and a disbursement to the Diocese
14	for \$1,000.
15	REV. BRYAN: Okay. So it's not a problem.
16	MS. HAMOU: So do you still believe it's a
17	disburse sorry, a donation?
18	REV. BRYAN: I would think so.
19	MS. HAMOU: You still think it's a donation?
20	REV. BRYAN: I would say a donation for his
21	account himself. I would not have made out a receipt
22	anyway, so
23	MS. HAMOU: I'd like to take you to another
24	document now, Document 119897.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit

1	Number 1963 is a letter dated May $2^{\mathrm{nd}}$ , 1995 addressed to
2	Reverend Charles MacDonald from Bishop Eugene Larocque.
3	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1963:
4	(119897) Letter from Eugene Larocque to
5	Charles MacDonald dated May 2, 1995
6	MS. HAMOU: Sir, did you ever see this
7	letter or the letter on the back page which was from Father
8	MacDonald and addressed to the Bishop?
9	REV. BRYAN: This one?
10	MS. HAMOU: Yeah, the one you have in front
11	of you. And if you turn it around, there's another
12	handwritten letter there as well. Did you ever see either
13	of those letters, sir?
14	REV. BRYAN: No, but Reverend MacDonald had
15	sent me a note. I'm just trying to read this one first.
16	MS. HAMOU: Sure. You can take your time to
17	review the document, if you wish. I'm going to ask you a
18	few questions about it.
19	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
20	REV. BRYAN: He mentions about the \$6,000
21	the Diocese asked me to pay. I wasn't aware. So that
22	\$1,000 would have been to bring it up to the \$6,000 then.
23	MS. HAMOU: So, sir
24	REV. BRYAN: I don't remember seeing this.
25	Was this in the file for me?

25

counsel?

1	MS. HAMOU: In the file?
2	REV. BRYAN: In one of the
3	MS. HAMOU: In the documents you reviewed
4	before
5	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
6	MS. HAMOU: coming to the Inquiry? It
7	would have been there. I believe it was one of the
8	documents provided to you, sir.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Would you like a moment
10	to read it over?
11	REV. BRYAN: Yes, I would.
12	MS. HAMOU: Take your time.
13	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
14	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
15	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, if we look at the
16	first letter, it's a the letter written it's not the
17	first in time but it's on the first page the letter
18	written by Bishop Larocque, he indicates in his first
19	paragraph having received Father MacDonald's two letters
20	and having contacted the bursar.
21	Now, do you recall discussing these matters
22	with the Bishop?
23	REV. BRYAN: As far as retaining legal

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

THE COMMISSIONER: Well, the bottom line is

25

## INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

MS. HAMOU: Did you have a chance to review

**REV. BRYAN:** Okay.

1	this document in your preparation for testimony?
2	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
3	MS. HAMOU: I'd like to turn back to the
4	issue of the receipt of the document from Mr. Leduc.
5	REV. BRYAN: Okay.
6	MS. HAMOU: So you issued the cheque
7	REV. BRYAN: M'hm.
8	MS. HAMOU: on September 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 1993. I
9	understand you would have received a brown envelope from
10	Jacques Leduc. Can you indicate to me when this would have
11	happened? And I can direct you to a passage if that could
12	refresh your memory?
13	REV. BRYAN: Please.
14	MS. HAMOU: If you go on the second page,
15	it's the last entry by yourself
16	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
17	MS. HAMOU: where you indicate:
18	"The document came back to me with Mr.
19	Leduc dropping it in the following
20	week, I believe."
21	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
22	MS. HAMOU: Is that what you recall?
23	REV. BRYAN: Yes. It perhaps was two weeks,
24	but it was shortly after
25	THE COMMISSIONER: I don't know how much

1	turns on it, but I believe Monsieur Leduc thought you had
2	come to his office to pick up the envelope.
3	REV. BRYAN: No, because he used to go by my
4	office to get to his office, so he'd drop that in as well.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Fine.
6	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, I'd like you to look
7	at another exhibit, that's 1895.
8	REV. BRYAN: Is this one complete? Can I
9	get rid of it?
10	MS. HAMOU: Well, actually, we're going to
11	refer back to this one. So you might want to keep it open.
12	REV. BRYAN: Okay. Yes, I have it.
13	MS. HAMOU: You have it.
14	Do you recognize this document, sir?
15	REV. BRYAN: That's the envelope the
16	brown envelope that the document release was received in.
17	MS. HAMOU: It's a copy of the envelope you
18	would have received?
19	REV. BRYAN: That's right.
20	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, when you received this
21	envelope, there was the address of Malcolm MacDonald on it
22	already?
23	REV. BRYAN: Yes, in the corner, yes.
24	MS. HAMOU: That's correct?

148

REV. BRYAN: That's correct.

1	MS. HAMOU: Yes.
2	There was also the address label addressed
3	to Leduc, Lafrance, Cardinal?
4	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
5	MS. HAMOU: With the notation "Personal and
6	Confidential" on the label?
7	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
8	MS. HAMOU: Now, what did Jacques Leduc tell
9	you to do with this document once you received it?
10	REV. BRYAN: He said, "Seal it well; mark
11	private and confidential and to be opened by the Bishop
12	only". And I suggested in case the Bishop's away perhaps I
13	had better put mine on there too. And he said "Sure".
14	MS. HAMOU: Okay. Now, just to address a
15	few of those point separately, the first thing he asked you
16	to do was to file it away?
17	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
18	MS. HAMOU: Did he
19	REV. BRYAN: For posterity's sake, he said.
20	MS. HAMOU: Okay. I was going to take you
21	to your statement, but that's what you said, essentially.
22	Now, did Jacques Leduc ever indicate to you
23	that it should the document should be filed in any kind
24	of secret archives?
25	REV. BRYAN: No.

1	MS. HAMOU: And does the Diocese have any
2	secret archives?
3	REV. BRYAN: No.
4	MS. HAMOU: In Cornwall or elsewhere?
5	REV. BRYAN: No.
6	MS. HAMOU: Now, did Mr. Leduc keep a copy
7	of this document in his files, to your knowledge?
8	REV. BRYAN: He told me that he didn't have
9	a file on it, so I would assume that he didn't.
10	MS. HAMOU: And was it the practice for him
11	to give you the documents
12	REV. BRYAN: For all of the
13	MS. HAMOU: that pertained to a
14	settlement or
15	REV. BRYAN: All the other transactions were
16	yes, all the other transactions we normally filed away.
17	It was the only fireproof safe we had.
18	MS. HAMOU: Pardon me, sir?
19	REV. BRYAN: It was the only fireproof safe
20	we had for documents.
21	MS. HAMOU: Okay. Now, sir, did you ever
22	have any draft documents? Since you had a file, you filed
23	this document, were there any other documents with regards
24	to this matter filed?
25	REV. BRYAN: No.

1	MS. HAMOU: In any Diocese files?
2	REV. BRYAN: That I don't know about the
3	Bishop's files because those were kept in his office or his
4	secretary's office.
5	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, with regards to the
6	indications he gave you to mark the envelope
7	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
8	MS. HAMOU: he asked you to mark it to
9	be opened by the Bishop only?
10	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
11	MS. HAMOU: And you added the bursar as
12	well?
13	REV. BRYAN: I asked him if that was
14	appropriate.
15	MS. HAMOU: Now, I see initials at the end
16	of the "Private and Confidential" marking. Those are your
17	initials?
18	REV. BRYAN: Those are mine, yes.
19	MS. HAMOU: So this is your writing on the
20	envelope?
21	REV. BRYAN: That's right.
22	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, when you received the
23	envelope, was it sealed?
24	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
25	MS. HAMOU: Was it and excuse the

1	expression licked closed?
2	REV. BRYAN: It was closed that it was
3	stuck, so yes.
4	MS. HAMOU: Okay. And you posed your
5	initials and a piece of tape on top?
6	REV. BRYAN: I put a piece of tape on top
7	first around the flap to ensure it wouldn't be opened
8	inadvertently through filing and then put that note on.
9	MS. HAMOU: Did you initial the flap as
10	well?
11	REV. BRYAN: No.
12	MS. HAMOU: Now, did Jacques Leduc indicate
13	to you at this point what was in the envelope?
14	REV. BRYAN: No, other than a release.
15	MS. HAMOU: Did he tell you the release was
16	in the envelope?
17	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
18	MS. HAMOU: And did he tell you if anything
19	else was in the envelope?
20	REV. BRYAN: No.
21	MS. HAMOU: Now, where did you file this
22	document, sir?
23	REV. BRYAN: In the document file which is
24	in the other office where the bookkeeper would work. Our
25	offices were kind of small so I was in another office with

1	the receptionist.
2	MS. HAMOU: Now, did you have your own
3	personal files?
4	REV. BRYAN: No.
5	MS. HAMOU: The Bursar didn't have a file
6	cabinet?
7	REV. BRYAN: I didn't need one. I had the
8	one big one, the one big one we had with all our files in
9	it.
10	MS. HAMOU: Okay. So you stored your
11	documents in there.
12	Did the Bishop have any files?
13	REV. BRYAN: Yes, he has. He has a large
14	filing cabinet up there too, or at that time I believe.
15	I'm not too sure now.
16	MS. HAMOU: And, sir, can you explain to me
17	what is filed in which why would you have
18	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
19	MS. HAMOU: filed it in the bigger file
20	cabinet and not the Bishop's cabinet?
21	REV. BRYAN: In hindsight I probably should
22	have dropped it off at the Bishop's office, but generally
23	because it was a document that normally was a legal
24	document, I stuffed it in our file.

MS. HAMOU: And which file did you stick it

1	in; ald you stick it in loose or in
2	REV. BRYAN: It was all of the documents we
3	had from 1884 I think or something along there that was put
4	in another file folder and dropped in.
5	MS. HAMOU: So it had its own slot?
6	REV. BRYAN: I guess you'd say that, yes.
7	MS. HAMOU: As opposed to being put in
8	Father MacDonald's file for example?
9	REV. BRYAN: We didn't have any files for
10	the priests there.
11	MS. HAMOU: And, sir, you say in hindsight
12	you should have given this envelope directly to the Bishop.
13	Why is that?
14	REV. BRYAN: It was related to Father
15	MacDonald and I assume I should have thought about it, that
16	it should go in his file.
17	MS. HAMOU: Did the Bishop ever make a
18	comment to you about having kept this in your filing
19	cabinet?
20	REV. BRYAN: No, I I think he just forgot
21	about it until we were confronted with it, and then when I
22	brought it up he was kind of astonished I think that we did
23	have it.
24	MS. HAMOU: And you never opened the
25	document, sir, until January of

1	<b>REV. BRYAN:</b> No, until I was called by Mr.
2	Leduc to open it and send him a copy.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: You said you put it in a
4	file folder.
5	REV. BRYAN: Normally our file folders are
6	just to hold the various documents so that they're
7	separated from each other.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. Was there a
9	title? Did you give it a title?
10	REV. BRYAN: No, there wasn't a need.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Well
12	REV. BRYAN: Most of our other files were
13	for mortgages, et cetera, so it would have stood out
14	anyway.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
16	MS. HAMOU: Now, Reverend Bryan, you
17	received this envelope about a week after you would have
18	issued the cheque. Did you tell the Bishop that you had
19	received the settlement documents?
20	REV. BRYAN: Unfortunately, no.
21	MS. HAMOU: And do you believe the Bishop
22	knew that these documents were in possession of the
23	Diocese?
24	REV. BRYAN: I guess I assumed so but after
25	the fact I found out I was wrong.

1	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, when you received the
2	envelope from Mr. Leduc did he give you an indication of
3	whether the sum of money had been paid to the complainant?
4	REV. BRYAN: Yes, he said it was a full
5	release so
6	MS. HAMOU: A full release
7	REV. BRYAN: the assumption had to be
8	that they'd received the money.
9	MS. HAMOU: And was there any other
10	discussion with regards to the implication?
11	REV. BRYAN: No.
12	MS. HAMOU: Now, Reverend Bryan, I
13	understand that in October of 1993 Staff Sergeant Lucien
14	Brunet and Chief Shaver of the Cornwall Police came to the
15	Diocese office?
16	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
17	MS. HAMOU: Did you attend this meeting?
18	REV. BRYAN: No, they had a meeting with the
19	Bishop.
20	MS. HAMOU: And how did you know of this
21	occurring?
22	REV. BRYAN: Because they walked past my
23	office.
24	MS. HAMOU: And did the Bishop tell you what
25	occurred in that meeting?

1	<b>REV. BRYAN:</b> No, other than the Chief was
2	very angry at the beginning.
3	MS. HAMOU: Did you see this yourself when
4	he walked out?
5	REV. BRYAN: No.
6	MS. HAMOU: And you didn't speak with them
7	on their way out?
8	REV. BRYAN: I don't remember, other than
9	perhaps saying goodbye, but I don't remember.
10	MS. HAMOU: Now, I'd like to take you to the
11	January 7 <sup>th</sup> press release.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Well
13	MR. SHERFFIFF-SCOTT: I'm just wondering if
14	the witness could have a break?
15	THE COMMISSIONER: That's a good time for a
16	break. Thank you. Why don't we take a short break?
17	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
18	veuillez vous lever.
19	This hearing will resume at 3:15.
20	Upon recessing at 3:01 p.m./
21	L'audience est suspendue à 15h01
22	Upon resuming at 3:19 p.m./
23	L'audience est reprise à 15h19
24	THE REGISTRAR: This hearing is now
25	resumed. Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
2	ARCHDEACON GORDON BRYAN, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
3	EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MS.
4	HAMOU (Cont'd/Suite):
5	MS. HAMOU: Reverend Bryan, when we broke I
6	was about to ask you about a press release that was issued
7	on January $7^{\text{th}}$ , 1994. Do you recall being involved in the
8	preparation of a press release
9	REV. BRYAN: I wasn't, no.
10	MS. HAMOU: in or around that date?
11	REV. BRYAN: No.
12	MS. HAMOU: There was also a press
13	conference held on January 14 <sup>th</sup> , 1994. Now, did you speak
14	with the Bishop about this press conference?
15	REV. BRYAN: No.
16	MS. HAMOU: And at this time
17	REV. BRYAN: I believe Mr. Leduc did, by the
18	way. I'm not too sure. But I think
19	MS. HAMOU: Pardon me?
20	REV. BRYAN: I say I believe Mr. Leduc spoke
21	to the Bishop about it.
22	MS. HAMOU: But you had no conversations
23	with the Bishop?
24	REV. BRYAN: No, not on these press
25	releases.

1	MS. HAMOU: And by that time had the Bishop
2	asked you to open the sealed envelope you had filed away?
3	REV. BRYAN: I'm not too sure of the time
4	frame there but I believe it was after that second one that
5	Jacques Leduc asked me to open it.
6	MS. HAMOU: And at this time were you aware
7	of a covenant concerning criminal investigations?
8	REV. BRYAN: When Jacques phoned me he
9	mentioned about this, that he believed that this was in
10	there and that it shouldn't have been.
11	MS. HAMOU: Okay. Just a moment. Let's go
12	back to January $7^{\text{th}}$ , 1994. This would have been the first -
13	the first time
14	REV. BRYAN: The first press release.
15	MS. HAMOU: that they would have dealt
16	with this. At that time were you aware of such a clause?
17	REV. BRYAN: No.
18	MS. HAMOU: And did the Bishop have any
19	discussions with you about the content of his press
20	conference or press release?
21	REV. BRYAN: No, I heard it for the first
22	time whenever he gave it.
23	MS. HAMOU: Okay. So you attended the press
24	conference as an observer?
25	REV. BRYAN: I attended because he requested

## PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	it, yes.
2	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: My friend should get
3	the sequence of these events straight and the chronology.
4	There's a press release and then there's two press
5	conferences. And so perhaps
6	MS. HAMOU: So, Reverend Bryan, to put you
7	in context, there was a press release on January $7^{\rm th}$ .
8	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
9	MS. HAMOU: There was a press conference on
10	January 14 <sup>th</sup> .
11	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
12	MS. HAMOU: And this was followed by another
13	press conference on January 24 <sup>th</sup> .
14	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
15	MS. HAMOU: Does this sequence ring a
16	REV. BRYAN: The sequence I thought we
17	only had two but there must have been three because I
18	MS. HAMOU: Well, there was a press release
19	and then two conferences.
20	REV. BRYAN: Okay.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: There's a difference
22	between a release and a conference.
23	REV. BRYAN: Yes, I realize that, yes.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: The release, a document
25	goes out okay.

1	MS. HAMOU: So in the first press conference
2	on January 14 <sup>th</sup> you had no role?
3	REV. BRYAN: No.
4	MS. HAMOU: Now, Reverend Bryan, I
5	understand that between these two press conferences,
6	between the $14^{\rm th}$ and the $21^{\rm st}$ of 1994, you received a
7	telephone call from Jacques Leduc?
8	REV. BRYAN: I don't know whether it was in
9	between them or whether it was after the second one. I'm
10	not too sure.
11	MS. HAMOU: And, sir, did Jacques Leduc
12	indicate to you that he had received some correspondence
13	from David Silmser's lawyer?
14	REV. BRYAN: Yes, whenever that he called
15	me up, yes.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Can you remember do
17	you recall, what did he tell you?
18	REV. BRYAN: He told me that there was a
19	covenant in there that shouldn't have been in the release
20	and would I fax him over a copy of it.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: But before that I mean.
22	He phoned you up, "How are you doing? Do you remember that
23	letter, that envelope that I sent you? Do you want to pick
24	it out and…"
25	REV. BRYAN: No, he basically said asked

1	me whether I would pull out the envelope that had been
2	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
3	REV. BRYAN: in my file.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
5	MS. HAMOU: So he asked you to retrieve the
6	envelope about Father MacDonald and fax him a copy of such?
7	REV. BRYAN: That's right.
8	MS. HAMOU: Did Mr. Leduc indicate to you
9	why he was making this request?
10	REV. BRYAN: He indicated that there was a
11	covenant in there that shouldn't have been in there.
12	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, if we can go to your
13	interview to the Ontario Provincial Police. That was
14	Exhibit 1932.
15	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
16	MS. HAMOU: And, sir, if you go to the page
17	identified by page 9 at the top, it's Bates page 7011264.
18	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
19	MS. HAMOU: Now, in your second intervention
20	on this page so it's the fourth entry you mentioned
21	that there was in the agreement a restrictive covenant
22	about following criminal charges?
23	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
24	MS. HAMOU: Now, do you recall that this is
25	what Mr. Leduc would have advised you of?

1	REV. BRYAN: I think so, yes.
2	MS. HAMOU: Now, you proceeded to open the
3	envelope. Can you indicate to me what would have been in
4	that envelope?
5	REV. BRYAN: It was a letter addressed to
6	Mr. Leduc and there was the release, I think two or three
7	pages of release.
8	MS. HAMOU: Sir, I'm going to refer you to a
9	few documents and ask you to identify if those are the
10	documents in question. The first document is 738036.
11	THE COMMISSIONER:
12	Thank you.
13	Exhibit 1964 is a letter dated September 3 <sup>rd</sup> ,
14	1993, addressed to Jacques Leduc from A.M. MacDonald.
15	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1964:
16	(738036) Letter to Jacques Leduc from A.M.
17	MacDonald - September 3, 1993
18	MS. HAMOU: Sir, is this the letter that was
19	contained in the envelope?
20	REV. BRYAN: That was the first thing that
21	was in the envelope.
22	MS. HAMOU: And the next document I would
23	like you to identify is Exhibit 363A (sic).
24	Mr. Commissioner, just to advise the
25	parties, we have given notice on another document number,

1	but I was advised it was already an exhibit.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: So 363?
3	MS. HAMOU: "A"; 363 263A.
4	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
6	MS. HAMOU: So, sir, there is a document
7	named, "The Full Release and Undertaking Not to Disclose".
8	Is that a document that was contained in the envelope?
9	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
10	MS. HAMOU: And you'll find at the back a
11	Certificate of Independent Legal Advice. Do you recall
12	having that as well in the envelope?
13	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, 263A is just the
15	final release. I think 264 is the Certificate of
16	Independent Legal Advice.
17	MS. HAMOU: They were filed separately.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
19	REV. BRYAN: But I'm aware that that was on
20	there too.
21	MS. HAMOU: So the two of them
22	REV. BRYAN: Because it was
23	MS. HAMOU: the full release
24	REV. BRYAN: Mr. Adams had signed that one.
25	MS. HAMOU: Pardon me?

1	REV. BRYAN: Mr. Adams had signed that last
2	one, I believe.
3	MS. HAMOU: Mr. Adams had also signed the
4	full release?
5	REV. BRYAN: That's possible, yes.
6	MS. HAMOU: Okay.
7	Now, sir, were there any other documents in
8	this envelope?
9	REV. BRYAN: Not that I can remember. I
10	think there was only five four or five pages.
11	MS. HAMOU: So the letter we looked at
12	REV. BRYAN: So this would have been it.
13	MS. HAMOU: Okay.
14	And is it at this point that you became
15	aware that the document related to David Silmser?
16	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
17	MS. HAMOU: You didn't know this before?
18	REV. BRYAN: I knew it as "DS" from the
19	press release, I think, or the press, period.
20	MS. HAMOU: Now, did you review this
21	document
22	REV. BRYAN: I read
23	MS. HAMOU: when you opened it?
24	REV. BRYAN: I read it, yes.
25	MS. HAMOU: And did you see anything

1	problematic with it?
2	REV. BRYAN: Well, Jacques had indicated
3	about the criminal part of it, so that was brought to my
4	attention very quickly as I read down.
5	MS. HAMOU: I understand you faxed that
6	document to Mr. Leduc at immediately after?
7	REV. BRYAN: That's right. Well, actually I
8	faxed it before I read it.
9	MS. HAMOU: And, sir, I understand after
10	faxing it you put it on the Bishop's desk after you had
11	reviewed it?
12	REV. BRYAN: I put it all back in the
13	envelope and I put it on the envelope and the contents
14	on the Bishop's desk, yes.
15	MS. HAMOU: And when you put it on the
16	Bishop's desk, by this time the envelope had been opened?
17	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
18	MS. HAMOU: Did you leave a note to the
19	Bishop
20	REV. BRYAN: His secretary was in and I
21	indicated to her that that was the release excuse me
22	and that Jacques had asked me to open it.
23	MS. HAMOU: And were you present when the
24	Bishop finally reviewed the document?
25	REV. BRYAN: No.

1	MS. HAMOU: So as far as you know, this was
2	the first time the Bishop was reviewing this release?
3	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
4	MS. HAMOU: Did the Bishop have any
5	discussions with you with regards to this document?
6	REV. BRYAN: No. Not after the fact, no.
7	MS. HAMOU: And do you know if he had any
8	discussions with Mr. Leduc?
9	REV. BRYAN: I would I would anticipate
10	that he would have, but I have no knowledge of that.
11	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, this brings us now to
12	the January $24^{\text{th}}$ , 1993 press conference, and I believe you
13	would have attended that press conference?
14	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
15	MS. HAMOU: What was your role in this press
16	conference?
17	REV. BRYAN: In each case I was asked to
18	attend and I did.
19	MS. HAMOU: Did you
20	REV. BRYAN: I held I think I held up the
21	envelope at one of the press conferences, but
22	MS. HAMOU: Sir, actually I'd like to refer
23	oh, I thought I heard you, Mr. Commissioner I'd like
24	to refer you to a document, a new document, Number 115544.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 1965 is a

1	newspaper article from the Standard Freeholder dated
2	Tuesday, January 25 <sup>th</sup> , 1994.
3	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1965:
4	(115544) Newspaper article from <u>Standard</u>
5	<u>Freeholder</u> - January 25, 1994
6	MS. HAMOU: Reverend Bryan, do you remember
7	seeing this document?
8	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
9	MS. HAMOU: I'd like to direct you to the
10	second the larger column, the first one beside the
11	picture
12	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
13	MS. HAMOU: at the bottom?
14	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
15	MS. HAMOU: Madam Clerk is making it bigger,
16	so perhaps you want to follow on the screen.
17	REV. BRYAN: Yes, that's what I'm doing.
18	MS. HAMOU: And I'd like you to direct your
19	attention to the sentence that starts with:
20	"Bryan says he simply filed the
21	envelope without giving it to the
22	Bishop to read."
23	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
24	MS. HAMOU: And it's indicated the sealed
25	envelope was first opened on January $19^{\mathrm{th}}$ after the alleged

1	victim's lawyer notified Leduc by letter by letter of
2	the clause?
3	REV. BRYAN: Okay, I'm I wasn't aware of
4	the date, but yes.
5	MS. HAMOU: And would this help you situate
6	the time?
7	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
8	MS. HAMOU: And then you also there's a
9	quote that is attributed to you:
10	"'Generally these confidential
11	documents are sealed and we do not open
12	them unless there is a need for it',
13	Bryan said nervously, holding up the
14	yellow envelope for reporters to see."
15	Now, a few questions with regards to that,
16	sir. Is this you in the picture?
17	REV. BRYAN: Yes, it is.
18	MS. HAMOU: Holding up the envelope?
19	REV. BRYAN: Very bad view.
20	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, why were you nervous?
21	Why is there an indication that you were nervous, do you
22	know?
23	REV. BRYAN: Not really. I'm not used to
24	cameras, so there was TV cameras all over the place.
25	MS. HAMOU: And you indicated to reporters

1	that it seemed these confidential documents were sealed and
2	were not opened unless there was a need to do so. Had this
3	been done before?
4	REV. BRYAN: I don't know why, if if I
5	said it, it just doesn't ring a bell because I never
6	remember seeing any other of the sealed documents as such.
7	MS. HAMOU: And there are no similar sealed
8	documents in Diocese
9	REV. BRYAN: Not to my knowledge, no.
10	MS. HAMOU: files?
11	REV. BRYAN: No. There may be, but they
12	would be if they are, it would be the Bishop's file.
13	MS. HAMOU: So to your knowledge this was
14	the first time that the Diocese had reached such a
15	settlement?
16	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
17	MS. HAMOU: Did you have any discussions
18	with Bishop Larocque or Jacques Leduc after this press
19	conference?
20	REV. BRYAN: That I don't remember.
21	MS. HAMOU: Now, Reverend Bryan, I'd like to
22	turn to a slightly different subject.
23	I'd like to ask you about your involvement
24	with the Children's Aid Society, not your work on the
25	board, but rather your involvement with their investigation

1	of the Father MacDonald matter.
2	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
3	MS. HAMOU: And for that I will refer you to
4	my first document, which is 721641. I believe this is an
5	excerpt, Madam Clerk, and the excerpt is 7081217.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit Number 1966 is a
7	letter dated November 10 <sup>th</sup> , 1993 addressed to Reverend
8	Gordon Bryan from William Carriere and Greg Bell of the
9	Children's Aid Society.
10	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1966:
11	(7081217) Letter addressed to Reverend
12	Gordon Bryan from William Carriere and
13	Gregory Bell
14	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir
15	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
16	MS. HAMOU: on the second paragraph
17	you'll note Mr. Bell and Mr. Carriere are asking you a
18	question. They're asking:
19	"To best understand the placement and
20	locations of occupiable space, plans
21	covering all humanly accessible areas,
22	including furnace rooms, storage rooms,
23	tunnels, et cetera, will be helpful."
24	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
25	MS. HAMOU: Now, do you recall receiving

1	this letter?
2	REV. BRYAN: Yes. Actually, Bill Carriere
3	had phoned me before sending a letter.
4	MS. HAMOU: And do you recall answering his
5	request?
6	REV. BRYAN: I believe I looked for
7	documentation at the parish level and also in our Diocesan
8	archives, and I believe I retrieved, whether it was from
9	the parish or from the archives, a hand-drawn map of the
10	parish. I think they were looking for tunnels between
11	houses or something.
12	MS. HAMOU: And it was in relation to which
13	parishes, sir?
14	REV. BRYAN: St. Andrews.
15	MS. HAMOU: Sir, I'd just like to refer you
16	to your response, which is Document 721648. It's a cross
17	document.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 1967 is a
19	document entitled Project Blue, Material from Alexandria-
20	Cornwall Diocese. There you go.
21	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1967:
22	(721648) Materials from DAC re: "Project
23	Blue"
24	MS. HAMOU: Sir, if you turn to the last
25	page of the document, there's an envelope that seems to be

1	addressed to Mr. Greg Bell. Is this your handwriting, sir?
2	REV. BRYAN: Yes, it is.
3	MS. HAMOU: And you can take a look through
4	the documents and can you tell me if these are the
5	documents you would have dropped off to the CAS?
6	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
7	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, this was the first
8	request for information from the CAS. Did you have any
9	further involvement with them with regards to their
10	investigation of the Father MacDonald matter?
11	REV. BRYAN: I don't believe so.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Who prepared these
13	diagrams, sir?
14	REV. BRYAN: There was a lady in the parish
15	who had drafted this up sometime earlier, and that was the
16	one thing we had in the archives about St. Andrews is a
17	very old parish church. So we just didn't have any of the
18	drawings that would have been before the construction.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
20	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, this was around
21	November 1993. I'd like to take you a few years further
22	now to October 1995 and, in particular, I'll refer you to a
23	document to refresh your memory. It's Document 120219.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 1968 is a letter
25	dated October 18 <sup>th</sup> , 1995. It's a memo re: phone call from

1	David Silmser and signed by Reverend Gordon Bryan.
2	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1968:
3	(102219) Memo from Gordon Bryan re: phone
4	call from David Silmser dated 18 Oct 95
5	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, I understand you
6	prepared this memorandum after receiving a phone call from
7	David Silmser?
8	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
9	MS. HAMOU: On October 16 <sup>th</sup> , 1995?
10	REV. BRYAN: That's right.
11	MS. HAMOU: Can you tell me what this phone
12	call was about?
13	REV. BRYAN: Basically, he phoned the Bishop
14	originally and the Bishop's secretary, Mrs. Daignault at
15	the time, passed it down to me and he wanted to let the
16	Bishop know that he was going to do some picketing at St.
17	Columban's Church.
18	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, was Mr. Silmser angry
19	when he talked to you?
20	REV. BRYAN: He didn't sound angry.
21	MS. HAMOU: He was just letting you know?
22	REV. BRYAN: That's right.
23	MS. HAMOU: And do you recall anything else
24	from this call that you wouldn't have written in your memo?

REV. BRYAN: No, it was a very short call,

1	but basically he wanted to inform the Bishop about what he
2	was going to do.
3	MS. HAMOU: And other than this memorandum,
4	did you advise the Bishop of your discussion with Mr.
5	Silmser?
6	REV. BRYAN: No, other than giving him the
7	memorandum, no.
8	MS. HAMOU: And had you
9	REV. BRYAN: I think it said it all. I
10	think it said it all as far as the conversation on the
11	phone was.
12	MS. HAMOU: Pardon me? I'm not following
13	you, sir.
14	REV. BRYAN: I said I believe my little memo
15	said it all. I don't think there was a need for him asking
16	me any more questions on it.
17	MS. HAMOU: I understand.
18	Now, did you contact the Cornwall Police
19	Service with regards to this information?
20	REV. BRYAN: No.
21	MS. HAMOU: Did Mr. Silmser indicate to you
22	that he had contacted the police?
23	REV. BRYAN: No.
24	MS. HAMOU: Sir, actually
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Whoa sorry; go ahead.

1	MS. HAMOU: The second-last line in your
2	memorandum, you indicate that he said he had called the
3	police so they would be aware?
4	REV. BRYAN: Oh sorry; you're right. So
5	they were aware, yes. Sorry.
6	MS. HAMOU: That's fine.
7	Is this what Mr. Silmser would have told
8	you, that he had called the police?
9	REV. BRYAN: Yes, because I just wrote it
10	out as what he had said.
11	MS. HAMOU: Now, was this matter resolved by
12	an exchange of correspondence between Diocesan counsel and
13	Mr. Silmser's counsel?
14	REV. BRYAN: I believe so, but I'm not
15	absolutely sure.
16	MS. HAMOU: So you're not aware of any steps
17	that would have been taken after you passed this memo on to
18	the Bishop?
19	REV. BRYAN: I believe the Bishop phoned
20	Diocesan counsel.
21	MS. HAMOU: And did Mr. Silmser eventually
22	come to picket in front of St. Columban's as he had
23	indicated?
24	REV. BRYAN: Katwichi (phonetic). I don't
25	know. I'm sorry; I'm using an African term. It's like

1	"maliche"; God knows.
2	MS. HAMOU: Sir, shortly thereafter, I
3	understand that David Silmser, his lawyer Bryce Geoffrey
4	and John McDonald attended St. Columban's Parish in your
5	company?
6	REV. BRYAN: Yes. I believe our counsel
7	phoned down to say that they were going to and asked if I
8	would go with go to St. Columban's and meet them.
9	MS. HAMOU: And can you indicate to me what
10	these people were looking for?
11	REV. BRYAN: I believe the counsel for Mr.
12	MacDonald and I think for Mr. Silmser were looking for
13	documents that would indicate that both of them had been
14	altar servers.
15	MS. HAMOU: So perhaps parish bulletins?
16	REV. BRYAN: Parish bulletins and I believe,
17	if I'm not mistaken, there was one document indicating that
18	Sean Adams had served the parish for a transfer of
19	properties or something. They wanted one of that. Other
20	than that, I believe it was parish bulletins.
21	MS. HAMOU: And they wanted a copy of that
22	document?
23	REV. BRYAN: That's right.
24	MS. HAMOU: And, sir, this was the first
25	time you met David Silmser in person?

1	REV. BRYAN: Yes, and John MacDonald as
2	well. I didn't realize who they were until the pastor
3	informed me that these were the two gentlemen who were
4	suing.
5	MS. HAMOU: And at this point did you
6	realize that David Silmser was the man you had talked on
7	the phone with?
8	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
9	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, were you aware that
10	this visit by Mr. Bryce Geoffrey, David Silmser and John
11	MacDonald was done pursuant to a civil litigation claim
12	that was ongoing?
13	REV. BRYAN: I believed so, yes.
14	MS. HAMOU: And who would have advised you
15	of this?
16	REV. BRYAN: It would have been counsel that
17	would have advised me.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Your counsel, the
19	Diocese's counsel you mean?
20	REV. BRYAN: Yes. I think it was from Mr.
21	Scott's office if I'm not mistaken.
22	MS. HAMOU: I understand they located some
23	documents and brought them to your office?
24	REV. BRYAN: No, they found some documents
25	in St. Columban's in the basement and I piled them up. I

1	think there was 24 or 25, took them to the office,
2	photocopied the ones that had been requested and mailed
3	them up to I believe again Mr. Scott's office.
4	MS. HAMOU: Is this after they had left?
5	REV. BRYAN: Yes well, no, I left at the
6	same time as they did.
7	MS. HAMOU: But the documents were in your
8	office?
9	REV. BRYAN: In my office first, yes.
10	MS. HAMOU: Okay. I would like to take you
11	to Document 738146.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 1969 is a letter
13	addressed to Mr. Peter Annis from Bryce Geoffrey dated
14	November 21, 1995.
15	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1969:
16	(738146) - Letter from Bryce Geoffrey to
17	Peter Annis dated November 21, 1995
18	MS. HAMOU: And, sir, I just wanted to bring
19	your attention to the second-last sentence. It's a short
20	paragraph but second-last sentence where Mr. Geoffrey
21	indicates that, and I quote:
22	"I left with Father Gordon Bryan the
23	original documents for your client's
24	files which I would like to obtain
25	copies of. I would ask that you

1	forward these to me at your earliest
2	convenience."
3	So this
4	REV. BRYAN: That's probably right. It
5	probably was carried out.
6	MS. HAMOU: This reflects your memory?
7	REV. BRYAN: Yeah. It would be one and the
8	same documents; so, yes.
9	MS. HAMOU: And as far as you remember, all
10	documents identified by these three men would have been
11	sent to Bryce Geoffrey?
12	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
13	MS. HAMOU: Reverend Bryan, I'd like to ask
14	you if you have any personal knowledge of any attempts by
15	the Diocese and/or the Cornwall Police Service to cover up
16	any allegations made by David Silmser?
17	REV. BRYAN: No.
18	MS. HAMOU: Now, I'd like to ask you if
19	there was sufficient communication and consultation between
20	the Cornwall Police Service and the Diocese with regards to
21	the Father MacDonald matter?
22	REV. BRYAN: I couldn't speculate on that
23	one.
24	MS. HAMOU: That's fair. I want you to tell
25	me what you know.

1	REV. BRYAN: About a communication between
2	the two? I think it was nil, but I have no idea.
3	MS. HAMOU: But you don't know of any? You
4	know the Bishop met with Chief Shaver
5	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
6	MS. HAMOU: on one occasion? You didn't
7	know of any other meetings?
8	REV. BRYAN: No. That's possible though.
9	The Bishop may have called another meeting with him, I
10	don't know.
11	MS. HAMOU: And are you aware of any
12	friction that could have existed between the Bishop and
13	Chief Shaver?
14	REV. BRYAN: No.
15	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, I'd like to ask you if
16	you ever attended any meetings with Duncan McDonald?
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, first of all, do
18	you know Duncan McDonald?
19	REV. BRYAN: I know him to see him, yes.
20	MS. HAMOU: How do you know Duncan McDonald?
21	REV. BRYAN: I know him to see him because
22	he's a Knight and at some of our regional meetings he would
23	have been there.
24	MS. HAMOU: So let me make my question a bit
25	more specific. Did you ever attend any meetings with

1	Malcolm MacDonald, Duncan McDonald and Jacques Leduc?
2	REV. BRYAN: No. Duncan McDonald
3	separately, yes, because he was on our cemetery board.
4	MS. HAMOU: With matters unrelated to Father
5	MacDonald?
6	REV. BRYAN: Unless he was buried, no.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Did you have any
8	discussions with Mr. McDonald, Duncan McDonald, you know,
9	about the Silmser matter or any settlements with the church
10	or any discontent he may have had in the way the church was
11	handling things?
12	REV. BRYAN: No.
13	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, did you ever discuss
14	the Father MacDonald matter with Perry Dunlop, Helen Dunlop
15	or Carson Chisholm?
16	REV. BRYAN: No.
17	MS. HAMOU: And were you aware that Perry
18	Dunlop had disclosed David Silmser's statement to the
19	Children's Aid Society?
20	REV. BRYAN: Only when it came in the paper.
21	MS. HAMOU: Would you not have learned of
22	this and I'm asking the question openly. Did you learn
23	of this when Chief Shaver came to visit the Bishop in
24	October of 1993?
25	REV. BRYAN: No, I would have learned it

1	from the newspaper, if anything.
2	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, we've already
3	discussed many of the issues that arose in your September
4	$13^{\mathrm{th}}$ , 1994 interview with the OPP with Detective Inspector
5	Tim Smith and Mike Fagan.
6	I want to ask you about this point in time;
7	so in 1994, September 13. Did you feel that your conduct
8	was being questioned as Bursar of the Diocese?
9	REV. BRYAN: That's a question I don't know.
10	I don't think so.
11	MS. HAMOU: Based on your perception at the
12	interview, is that what it felt like or were they
13	REV. BRYAN: No, they were professional and
14	I assumed it was basically because I had written the
15	cheque.
16	MS. HAMOU: Sir, I would now like to take
17	you to a new document, Document 120393.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
19	Exhibit Number 1970 is a document called
20	"Malpractice Insurance" dated June 9 <sup>th</sup> , 1989.
21	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1970:
22	(120393) - Reed Stenhouse Insurance coverage
23	letters dated Jan-Jun, 1989
24	MS. HAMOU: And, sir, first I'd like you to
25	take a look at the top page. There seems to be a

1	handwritten note indicating, "Gord, please return" with
2	what seems to be Monseigneur Larocque's initials?
3	REV. BRYAN: That's right.
4	MS. HAMOU: Now, can you tell me what this
5	was about and what the Bishop meant by this?
6	REV. BRYAN: The OCCB had requested this
7	from the insurer I should say from the brokers and so
8	the document came with his OCCB file and he just wanted to
9	share it with me.
10	MS. HAMOU: And I note a second handwritten
11	point here that says, "Our protocol covers all these
12	points" and that seems to be your initials; GB?
13	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
14	MS. HAMOU: So did he ask you to review this
15	document?
16	REV. BRYAN: No, he basically set it down
17	for interest and I took the time to go through our last
18	protocol. I can't remember which one it was at that time
19	but it covered all the points.
20	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, if you turn to the
21	third page, so the second letter that's in the document.
22	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
23	MS. HAMOU: This letter pertains to coverage
24	for sexual abuse and sexual assault.
25	REV. BRYAN: M'hm.

1	MS. HAMOU: There are various issues
2	addressed but my question for you is, this is in 1989. Was
3	the issue of insurance coverage for alleged sexual abuse by
4	clergy something at the forefront of your considerations?
5	REV. BRYAN: At that particular time, no,
6	but it was one of the when we had some of the once
7	our protocols were gradually being upgraded, the Bishop had
8	asked the bishops I believe had asked for this.
9	MS. HAMOU: So let me rephrase my question.
10	In terms of protocols with sexual abuse sexual abuse
11	protocols being put in place by the Diocese according to
12	your knowledge?
13	REV. BRYAN: By our Diocese or throughout
14	all of them?
15	MS. HAMOU: No, the Diocese of Alexandria-
16	Cornwall.
17	REV. BRYAN: As far as I know, at that
18	particular time, we had drafted one, yes.
19	MS. HAMOU: And do you know in which context
20	these documents would have been shared with you?
21	REV. BRYAN: What documents? You mean this
22	one?
23	MS. HAMOU: Yeah, the one we're looking at.
24	REV. BRYAN: That it was shared with me in
25	what I'm still a little at

1	MS. HAMOU: Well, I'm trying to understand
2	why the Bishop would have sent you this for your review.
3	REV. BRYAN: Mainly because I've I
4	handled the insurance, so this would be part of part of
5	the job to look at the insurance and see whether it's got
6	the full coverage.
7	MS. HAMOU: And according to yourself, you
8	had compared this with the existing protocols in the
9	Diocese.
10	REV. BRYAN: Of the time, yes.
11	MS. HAMOU: Do you recall what that protocol
12	would have been at the time?
13	REV. BRYAN: Good question. No.
14	MS. HAMOU: Just a moment, sir.
15	Sir, I'll come back to the issue of the
16	protocol in 1989. I'd like to show you the protocol but
17	I'll locate it and we'll look at it together.
18	Now, the next piece of correspondence I
19	would like you to look at is Document Number 101489.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 1971 is a
21	document faxed to Reverend Gordon Bryan from Patrick
22	Powers, March 6 <sup>th</sup> 1996.
23	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No P-1971:
24	(101489) Document faxed to Rev. Gordon Bryan
25	from Patrick Powers - 6 Mar 96

1	MS. HAMOU: So Reverend Bryan, you seem to
2	have received this fax on March $8^{\rm th}$ 1996 from the
3	Archdiocese of Ottawa.
4	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
5	MS. HAMOU: And on the fax cover sheet there
6	is an indication, and the second line reads:
7	"Attached is our protocol regarding
8	situations of child sexual abuse. I
9	believe that this is what you are
10	looking for."
11	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
12	MS. HAMOU: Sir, can you give me some
13	context as to why you were requesting sexual abuse
14	protocols?
15	REV. BRYAN: The Bishop had asked me if ours
16	was sufficient. I didn't know, and so he said, "Well,
17	perhaps you should phone around," and I phoned to Pat
18	Powers' office, he wasn't there at the time, and I asked
19	whether he could send a copy of their protocol.
20	MS. HAMOU: And, sir, did the Bishop
21	indicate to you why he wanted this document?
22	REV. BRYAN: I assume to continue with his
23	attempt at getting a a proper document for protocol; a
24	protocol document for abuse.
25	MS. HAMOU: So his attempts at putting

1	together a protocol for the Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall?
2	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
3	MS. HAMOU: Now, Reverend Bryan, did you
4	ever work on any protocol development at any time in the
5	Diocese?
6	REV. BRYAN: You mean sexual protocol
7	MS. HAMOU: Yes.
8	<b>REV. BRYAN:</b> for abuse, yes?
9	MS. HAMOU: Specific to sexual abuse
10	protocols.
11	REV. BRYAN: No, that was a committee that I
12	was not on.
13	MS. HAMOU: And were you asked to weigh in
14	by the Bishop?
15	REV. BRYAN: I was asked to look at one of
16	their protocols, the wording, and I made suggestions to
17	him, yes.
18	MS. HAMOU: Perhaps I can refer you to that
19	document, sir. It is Document Number 101490.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 1972 is a
21	document entitled Protocol for Priests Who Are the Subject
22	Matter of Criminal Proceedings or Civil Litigation.
23	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No P-1972:
24	(101490) Protocol for Priests Who Are the
25	Subject Matter of Criminal Proceedings or

1	Civil Litigation - undated
2	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, if we turn to the
3	third page of this document, there appear to be handwritten
4	notes.
5	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
6	MS. HAMOU: Are these your notes?
7	REV. BRYAN: Yes, they are.
8	MS. HAMOU: And if we turn to the next page,
9	the same notes are typed up.
10	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
11	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, I'd like to ask you in
12	which context you were asked to comment on this protocol.
13	REV. BRYAN: I imagine that it was with any
14	outlays of funding for housing, et cetera, so
15	MS. HAMOU: So you're referring to the issue
16	of
17	REV. BRYAN: Proper lodging.
18	MS. HAMOU: Okay, so we can look at the
19	recommendations one by one.
20	The first recommendation you make is with
21	regards to point four.
22	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
23	MS. HAMOU: And you indicate that the word
24	"reasonable" in terms of lodging "otherwise a two-
25	bedroom apartment with" et cetera, "with furnishings

1	might be considered proper." And you're suggesting that
2	the word "proper" be replaced by "reasonable"?
3	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
4	MS. HAMOU: With regards to
5	REV. BRYAN: We might have had some clergy
6	who were high-stylers, so they may have gone to apartments
7	that were four bedrooms instead of one.
8	MS. HAMOU: And the next point you bring
9	about is with regards to number eight.
10	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
11	MS. HAMOU: And you're referring to the
12	"may" so, "The Personnel Board may recommend restrictions,"
13	and you say that it should be changed to "shall."
14	REV. BRYAN: It should "shall," yes.
15	MS. HAMOU: So you were suggesting that
16	there be less discretion?
17	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: That would be no
19	discretion.
20	REV. BRYAN: That's called discretion, yes.
21	MS. HAMOU: And, sir, your next comment
22	relates to section B3. You were suggesting to add a
23	comment.
24	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
25	MS. HAMOU: And you you indicated that:

1	"All presbyterians should be kept
2	updated by Diocesan authorities to
3	avoid speculative discussions, gossip."
4	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
5	MS. HAMOU: And you indicate at the end that
6	you like the tone and approach of this proposal.
7	REV. BRYAN: Yes, I did.
8	MS. HAMOU: Are you saying this with regards
9	to with a view of other proposals?
10	REV. BRYAN: Well, it's
11	MS. HAMOU: Sorry, protocols.
12	REV. BRYAN: It was a development, so I
13	assume this was getting better as it went.
14	MS. HAMOU: But you hadn't worked on the
15	development of any other
16	REV. BRYAN: No, no. This, you'll probably
17	notice, comes from St. Francis de Sales, so it would have
18	been Father Gary Ostler's committee that had worked on that
19	one.
20	MS. HAMOU: So you believe Father Ostler
21	prepared this document?
22	REV. BRYAN: Well, I think with a committee,
23	but I'm not too sure.
24	MS. HAMOU: And did you know if your changes
25	were integrated to the final protocol?

1	REV. BRYAN: That I'm not sure of. I
2	believe so but I'm not sure.
3	MS. HAMOU: I can tell you that we have the
4	final protocol at Mr. Commissioner, I don't know if
5	there's a need to go to it but I have made the
6	verifications and indeed the changes were made to the
7	REV. BRYAN: They're in it?
8	MS. HAMOU: final protocol.
9	REV. BRYAN: Good.
10	MS. HAMOU: The next item I'd like to turn
11	to and now we're in October of 1998 is a meeting you
12	had with the Ontario Provincial Police.
13	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
14	MS. HAMOU: Oh, I'm sorry, the Document
15	Number is 114316.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Is this a new document?
17	MS. HAMOU: Yes, sir.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit 1973
19	are notes of Don Genier.
20	MS. HAMOU: Mr. Commissioner, I believe the
21	notes are Gordon Bryan's notes. Perhaps he can identify
22	them.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, all right.
24	REV. BRYAN: Yes, they are my notes.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

1	MS. HAMOU: On the second page, and what's
2	on the front page seems to be a business card.
3	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
4	MS. HAMOU: That might have been given to
5	you perhaps.
6	REV. BRYAN: Yes, for Project Truth. Yes.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, so what are these -
8	- I just want to identify them for purposes of the exhibit.
9	One nine seven three (1973) is a two-page document.
10	MS. HAMOU: Mr. Commissioner, if it helps,
11	this refers to an October 26 <sup>th</sup> 1998 meeting.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Okay, that helps.
13	Then we'll just identify it as well as being Document
14	Number 114316.
15	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1973:
16	(114316) Two-page document - 26 Oct 98
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Go ahead.
18	MS. HAMOU: Well, sir, do you recognise
19	these as notes you would have taken during a meeting with
20	Inspector Hall of the Ontario Provincial Police?
21	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
22	MS. HAMOU: And Bishop Larocque was in
23	attendance during this meeting?
24	REV. BRYAN: It was at I think it was at
25	Bishop Larocque's house, yes or no, excuse me - 18

1	yes, his house.
2	MS. HAMOU: Bishop's house. And do you
3	recall the circumstances leading to this meeting?
4	REV. BRYAN: Yes, Inspector Hall had wanted
5	to find out a list of priests he had; whether they worked
6	in the Diocese and where they were.
7	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, I'd like to take you
8	to a passage of your notes where there's the notation "1".
9	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
10	MS. HAMOU: And I'll read to you the
11	passage:
12	"He supplied a list of required
13	information which is"
14	And you list the informations that were
15	required by Mr. Hall.
16	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
17	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, do you recall
18	providing Mr. Hall with this information?
19	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
20	MS. HAMOU: And did Mr. Hall indicate to you
21	why he was requesting the information at the time?
22	REV. BRYAN: I think it was to do with
23	Operation Truth or Project Truth.
24	MS. HAMOU: Now, during this meeting, if we
25	scroll down that's good Madam Clerk there is the

1	second paragraph after the list indicates:
2	"The inspector also mentioned that the
3	CPP had forwarded allegations to the
4	Quebec Police against Paul Lapierre."
5	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
6	MS. HAMOU: Now, do you recall this
7	discussion, Reverend Bryan?
8	REV. BRYAN: Do I remember this whole thing?
9	MS. HAMOU: Well, do you remember do you
10	have an independent recollection of the meeting?
11	REV. BRYAN: Not other than my notes, no.
12	MS. HAMOU: Okay.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: It's getting late and I
14	guess I'm getting tired.
15	So you can't close your eyes and remember,
16	you know, where you met the folks or anything like that?
17	REV. BRYAN: No.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. That's fair.
19	MS. HAMOU: As far as you're aware, is this
20	the first time you would have learned of allegations
21	against Paul Lapierre?
22	REV. BRYAN: I believe there were rumours
23	but I never I never heard of any other than this, no.
24	MS. HAMOU: What do you mean by rumours?
25	REV. BRYAN: There was rumours about Paul

1	Lapierre having left the Diocese so I assumed they were
2	rumours only.
3	MS. HAMOU: Were there any rumours of Paul
4	Lapierre relating to sexual abuse?
5	REV. BRYAN: I don't know. The rumour was
6	that he was leaving because of problems he had here, so
7	rumours are rumours.
8	MS. HAMOU: And, sir, I'd like to know if
9	you'd prepared documents for Mr. Hall, are they the
10	documents that are attached?
11	REV. BRYAN: I believe so, yes.
12	MS. HAMOU: There's a notation at the bottom
13	of the last page indicating details, preparation, October
14	28 <sup>th</sup> .
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Prepared on. Maybe
16	prepared on.
17	MS. HAMOU: Prepared on, yes.
18	REV. BRYAN: It's not my writing. I don't
19	know.
20	MS. HAMOU: That's not your writing?
21	REV. BRYAN: No.
22	MS. HAMOU: And do you recall if there would
23	have been a follow-up to this meeting?
24	REV. BRYAN: I don't believe there was.
25	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, you were interviewed

1	by the Ontario Provincial Police in 2000 and I'd like to
2	take you to the statement. It's Document Number 703736.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 1974 is an audio
4	taped interview report, and a transcription of it, in any
5	event, of Gordon Bryan taken on the $27^{\rm th}$ of January 2000.
6	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1974:
7	(703736) - Audio Taped Interview Report -
8	Gordon Bryan with OPP P. Hall and J.B.
9	Dupuis dated 27 Jan 00
10	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, did you review the
11	contents of this interview in preparation for your
12	testimony?
13	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
14	MS. HAMOU: Do you recall this meeting with
15	Detective Dupuis and Hall?
16	REV. BRYAN: I believe it was at the
17	parkway, yes, I believe.
18	MS. HAMOU: And do you recall that they had
19	provided you with some questions prior to the interview?
20	REV. BRYAN: No, they hadn't.
21	MS. HAMOU: I'd just like to take you to the
22	second page of the document.
23	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
24	MS. HAMOU: The first entry; the last few
25	sentences about midway through; the sentence that starts

1	with:
2	"We have prepared a number of questions
3	from investigative material that was
4	provided to the OPP."
5	REV. BRYAN: That was right at the hearing -
6	- at the this right at the parkway that I received.
7	MS. HAMOU: So they gave you documents to
8	review before you started the interview?
9	REV. BRYAN: To read, yes.
10	MS. HAMOU: And gave you a brief moment to
11	review them?
12	REV. BRYAN: A few minutes, yes. It was
13	only, I think, a page or two.
14	MS. HAMOU: Now, do you recall why you were
15	being interviewed at this date?
16	REV. BRYAN: I believe it had to do with the
17	possibility of a paedophile ring.
18	MS. HAMOU: I'll just bring you the first
19	paragraph that we were just looking at in the first
20	sentence, to give you a little context. Mr. Hall is
21	indicating:
22	"We are investigating allegations of
23	an alleged conspiracy between the
24	Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall, the
25	Cornwall Police Service and the Crown

1	Attorney's Office involving the
2	investigation of a sexual assault
3	allegation against Father Charles
4	MacDonald and the payment of the
5	\$32,000 to the victim in the year of
6	criminal charges."
7	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
8	MS. HAMOU: And this is what you recall?
9	REV. BRYAN: Vaguely, yes.
10	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, in the context of this
11	interview you were asked about dinner parties at St.
12	Andrew's Parish.
13	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
14	MS. HAMOU: And you indicated that there
15	were no parties, there were luncheons. Can you explain to
16	me what you meant by this?
17	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Sir, I object to this
18	line of questioning. These are interviews and pursuant to
19	investigations. The man's response is embodied in his
20	statement. We're focused on the institutional response to
21	allegations of the Diocese and that's what the Commission
22	is focused on as opposed to the flip side of the mandate,
23	vis-à-vis organizations, which is what I urged the
24	Commission to interpret the Diocese as. And so
25	THE COMMISSIONER: But that's gone. I don't

1 know why you keep repeating that.

MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: No, no, because it makes a difference in terms of the response. If the Commission's approach to this -- I know you know my position on this. There's no controversy about that. We understand each other in terms of your view and my view and so forth. But it makes a difference in terms of the jurisdiction.

organization then its interaction with institutions is on - what's being measured here is the alleged institutional
response and not the underlying factual matrix of
investigations. These are all questions pertaining to
those matters, and whether it's an allegation about a
particular person it's certainly pursuant to allegations.
And so this is the substratum of fact and pursuant to your
ruling I think this is not something we should be dealing
with.

THE COMMISSIONER: Any comments?

MS. HAMOU: I'm at a loss for words.

I wanted to explore these matters with Reverend Bryan to ascertain his knowledge of allegations that were made against priests and his knowledge about that. I'm not contending to ask him questions about allegations that would have or not have been made against

1	him.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: So I still don't
3	understand for what purpose?
4	Mr. Sherriff-Scott is saying we have to look
5	at the institutional response. So we have the OPP; we can
6	look at the OPP's institutional response and see how
7	what kind of questions they ask the Reverend, see if they
8	were complete, if they were thorough, that kind of thing.
9	But from his vantage point
10	MS. HAMOU: I'm prepared to move on.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
12	MS. HAMOU: Reverend Bryan, I'd like to take
13	you to a new document, Document 104391.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
15	Exhibit Number 1975 is a memo addressed to
16	Gord from Bishop Larocque and it's Document Number 104391.
17	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1975:
18	(104391) - Note from Eugene Larocque to
19	Gordon Bryan
20	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
21	MS. HAMOU: Now, Mr. Bryan, do you recall
22	seeing this note?
23	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
24	MS. HAMOU: And do you recall pursuant
25	that this note was written by the Bishop pursuant to a

1	phone call he had received by Richard Abell?
2	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
3	MS. HAMOU: And it appears from this note
4	that the Bishop is deferring to you and asking you how
5	previous complaints were handled?
6	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
7	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, can you explain to me
8	the context of this situation? Why was he asking you?
9	REV. BRYAN: I haven't a clue.
10	MS. HAMOU: Are you the one who dealt with -
11	
12	REV. BRYAN: I paid the bills for
13	counselling whenever they came through his office and he
14	would sign them. So he would have more of an idea than I
15	would on these.
16	MS. HAMOU: So do you know if the Bishop was
17	paying for treatment for complainants of abuse by clergy?
18	REV. BRYAN: I believe that he was paying
19	for abuse of paying for treatment of those who requested
20	it. And again, I assumed it was clergy.
21	MS. HAMOU: And the requests went to him and
22	you would take care of the payments?
23	REV. BRYAN: That's right.
24	MS. HAMOU: Now
25	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: I'm just alerting my

1	friend there's a complete document with the Bursar's
2	response in his handwriting, which is at 119946, and it is
3	anyway, it might be of more assistance to you,
4	Commissioner.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
6	MS. HAMOU: Madam Clerk, it's a cross
7	document.
8	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
9	MS. HAMOU: Perhaps we can file this as the
10	next exhibit, Mr. Commissioner?
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Certainly. Exhibit 1976
12	is a note to Gord from the Bishop with a response. That's
13	your handwriting at the bottom, sir? Sir, is that your
14	handwriting?
15	REV. BRYAN: Yes, yes, I'm just looking at
16	it. It's even small for me.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: It seems small. We can
18	blow it up on the screen. Madam Clerk, could you blow up
19	the response, the bottom part of 1976?
20	So just to get it clear, that is your
21	response, sir? That's your handwriting?
22	REV. BRYAN: Yes, it is.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank you.
24	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1976:
25	(119946) Note from Eugene Larocque to Gordon

1	Bryan
2	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, can you I'm having
3	a little trouble reading some of these entries. Could you
4	read to me how you suggested we handled former requests?
5	REV. BRYAN: From what it would appear,
6	phoned the Children's Aid Society to give the names of the
7	psychiatrist or the psychiatrist group.
8	MS. HAMOU: Okay.
9	REV. BRYAN: And that would be their
10	request. Then called Peter Annis, and that was the I
11	believe a request for assistance in which Peter had sent a
12	letter to the other counsel indicating the process that
13	needed to be followed.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, it goes on to say -
15	- the letter from Mr. Annis says:
16	"requiring a form to sign indicating
17	counselling session and any results not
18	to be used in any court case."
19	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
20	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Commissioner, the
21	letter is already in the record
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, yes, of course.
23	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: and it came from my
24	examination of Mr. MacDonald, you'll recall.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Thank you.

1	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, this is the advice you
2	provided to the Bishop after receiving his note?
3	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
4	MS. HAMOU: And is this the manner in which
5	you would handle similar requests?
6	REV. BRYAN: When he would send them down to
7	me, yes. If he initialled them indicating to be paid, they
8	were paid though.
9	MS. HAMOU: And did you keep any records of
10	treatments that were provided to complainants?
11	REV. BRYAN: Our files generally would not
12	have held it for any length of time. It would have been
13	connected to our billing. So after seven years, normally
14	we don't keep the cheques or the billings.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: You weren't receiving any
16	reports. You were just getting the bills?
17	REV. BRYAN: That's right.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
19	MS. HAMOU: Now, I understand various
20	payments would have been made for complainants. Do you
21	have an idea of how many people would have been involved?
22	REV. BRYAN: Not really, no. I believe the
23	Bishop, when he would send it down, would go on to my desk,
24	and I would give them over to the bookkeeper to make up a
25	cheque.

18 of that person. 19 MS. HAMOU: So we refer to the name by a

moniker, sir, because they're protected by confidentiality.

21 REV. BRYAN: Yes.

20

22 MS. HAMOU: So Madam Clerk will show you the 23 name and I'd like you to tell me if there has been a 24 settlement with this person?

25 **REV. BRYAN:** Yes, there was.

1	MS. HAMOU: And this was in regards to
2	allegations against Father MacDonald?
3	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
4	MS. HAMOU: And did C-3 also receive funds
5	for therapy?
6	REV. BRYAN: No, or not to the best of my
7	knowledge, no.
8	MS. HAMOU: Received a settlement?
9	REV. BRYAN: He received a settlement to pay
10	his lawyer fees as recommended by the judge at the time.
11	MS. HAMOU: I'm sorry, sir, you say he
12	received a settlement to pay for his lawyer fees?
13	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
14	MS. HAMOU: What do you mean by that?
15	REV. BRYAN: I think they were at an impasse
16	and the judge suggested made the recommendation that it
17	would be thrown out providing that someone would assist in
18	paying for counsel of this gentleman.
19	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: If I can assist you,
20	sir, this was a result of a mid-trial conference.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: A mid-trial conference?
22	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: I believe the trial
23	started and then basically stopped. The judge was they
24	had an in-chambers discussion about an appropriate
25	resolution; that was reached and papered, and that was the

1	end of the matter.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
3	MS. HAMOU: Now, sir, do you know if any
4	cases of civil cases have gone to trial civil cases
5	against the Diocese pertaining to allegations of sexual
6	abuse?
7	REV. BRYAN: Not really. I don't know.
8	MS. HAMOU: It's not something I'm sorry?
9	REV. BRYAN: I don't know.
10	MS. HAMOU: Is this something you would have
11	been involved in?
12	REV. BRYAN: In a trial?
13	MS. HAMOU: In the trial or in presenting
14	documents to the lawyers or in any step of the proceedings?
15	REV. BRYAN: No.
16	MS. HAMOU: And the Bishop wouldn't consult
17	you about these types of decisions?
18	REV. BRYAN: For what reason? What reason
19	would he consult me? That's my
20	MS. HAMOU: That's my question to you.
21	REV. BRYAN: That's my question too.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: But did he consult you?
23	REV. BRYAN: Not to the best to the best
24	of my knowledge, no.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: There we go. That's what

1	we need.
2	MS. HAMOU: So to your knowledge, sir, are
3	David Silmser and C-3 the only people who received money
4	from the Diocese in relation to allegations of sexual
5	abuse?
6	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: During the period of time
8	when you were there?
9	REV. BRYAN: Yes.
10	MS. HAMOU: Mr. Commissioner, I leave it up
11	to you. At this juncture, I have another issue I can
12	cover. Should I continue?
13	THE COMMISSIONER: No. What I'd like to do
14	is close for the day. We could come back at 9:30.
15	Could you canvass counsel to find out how
16	long they're going to be in cross-examination so that we
17	can prepare and advise the next witness when that person
18	should be ready?
19	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Did you indicate 9:30,
20	Commissioner?
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
22	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Is that all right with
24	everyone?
25	Thank you.

1	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
2	veuillez vous lever.
3	This hearing is adjourned until tomorrow
4	morning at 9:30 a.m.
5	Upon adjourning at 4:24 p.m. /
6	L'audience est ajournée à 16h24
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	I, Dale Waterman a certified court reporter in the Province
6	of Ontario, hereby certify the foregoing pages to be an
7	accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of
8	my skill and ability, and I so swear.
9	
10	Je, Dale Waterman, un sténographe officiel dans la province
11	de l'Ontario, certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une
12	transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au
13	meilleur de mes capacités, et je le jure.
14	
15	eda wd
16	Date a Val
17	
18	Dale Waterman, CM
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	