THE CORNWALL PUBLIC INQUIRY



L'ENQUÊTE PUBLIQUE SUR CORNWALL

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner

The Honourable Justice /
L'honorable juge
G. Normand Glaude

Commissaire

VOLUME 173

Held at: Tenue à:

Hearings Room 709 Cotton Mill Street Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7 Salle des audiences 709, rue de la Fabrique Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7

Thursday, December 6 2007 Jeudi, le 6 décembre 2007

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC. www.irri.net (800) 899-0006

Appearances/Comparutions

Mr. Peter Engelmann Lead Commission Counsel

Ms. Julie Gauthier Registrar

Ms. Dierdre Harrighton Commission Counsel

Mr. Ian Stauffer

Mr. Peter Manderville Cornwall Police Service Board

Ms. Suzanne Costom Ontario Provincial Police

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Mr. David Rose} & & \text{Ontario Ministry of Community} \\ \text{Mr. Joe Neuberger} & & \text{and Correctional Services and} \\ \text{M}^{\text{e}} & \text{Claude Rouleau} & & \text{Adult Community Corrections} \end{array}$

Mr. Emtiaz Bala Attorney General for Ontario

Mr. Peter Chisholm The Children's Aid Society of

the United Counties

Mr. Allan Manson Citizens for Community Renewal

Mr. Steven Canto

Mr. Dallas Lee Victims Group

Mr. Michael Neville The Estate of Ken Seguin and

Scott Seguin and Father Charles

MacDonald

Mr. William Carroll Ontario Provincial Police

Mr. Mark Wallace Association

Mr. Ronald G. McClelland Sean Adams

Table of Contents / Table des matières

List of Exhibits :	iv
Housekeeping matters by/Matière administrative par Mr. Peter Engelmann	1
Application for limited standing by/Application pour Participation limitée par Mr. Ronald McClelland	1
Ruling on funding matters by the Commissioner Décision concernant les matières de financement par Le Commissaire	12
MARCELLE LÉGER, Sworn/Assermentée	18
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Mr. Ian Stauffer	20
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Allan Manson	94
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Dallas Lee	104
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Michael Neville	142
LOUISE QUINN, Sworn/Assermentée	147
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Mr. Ian Stauffer	148
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Allan Manson	188
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Dallas Lee	197
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Michael Neville	226

LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
P-1097	Notice of application for limited standing - Sean Adams	3
P-1098A	(725543) Interview report - Emile Robert with OPP D.C. Genier and C. McDonnell dated February 15th, 1994	62
P-1098B	(715443) Handwritten interview report - Emile Robert with OPP D.C. Genier and C. McDonnell dated February 15th, 1994	126
P-1099A	(725361) Interview report - Marcelle Leger with OPP D.C. Genier and C. McDonnell dated February 17th, 1994	63
P-1099B	(725194) Handwritten interview report - Marcelle Leger with OPP D.C. Genier and C. McDonnell dated February 17th, 1994	93
P-1100	(727727 7106459-63) Notes of Don Genier dated February 16th, 1994 to February 18th, 1994	123
P-1101A	(725539) Interview report - Louise Quinn with OPP D.C. Genier and C. McDonnell dated February 17th, 1994	161
P-1101B	(715406) Handwritten interview report - Louise Quinn with OPP D.C. Genier and C. McDonnell dated February 17th, 1994	164

1	Upon commencing at 9:43 a.m./
2	L'audience débute à 9h43
3	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
4	veuillez vous lever.
5	This hearing of the Cornwall Public Inquiry
6	is now in session. The Honourable Mr. Justice Normand
7	Glaude, Commissioner, presiding.
8	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: It's okay. Have a seat.
10	We'll have a few matters to discuss before we start, but
11	good morning.
12	MS. LÉGER: Good morning.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Engelmann.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Good morning, Mr.
15	Commissioner.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, good morning.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Good morning, Ms. Léger.
18	MS. LÉGER: Good morning.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm just here for a few
20	minutes to deal with other matters, not with your evidence
21	in any way.
22	MS. LÉGER: Okay.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right?
24	Mr. Commissioner, there were a couple
25	matters, just housekeeping matters, and a motion

PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry, an application.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: So Mr. McClelland is back
5	and he's in the back row and he will speak to an
6	application for limited standing for Mr. Adams.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, you had a decision
9	on a supplementary application for funding by the Diocese.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: So we could perhaps deal
12	with those this morning.
13	The Notice of Application for Limited
14	Standing, Madam Clerk should have a copy. I understand our
15	next exhibit is 1097. This is, as I said, an application
16	for limited standing and Mr. McClelland is here to address
17	it. I will just turn the floor over to him, if I may?
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
19	Good morning, sir.
20	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1097:
21	Notice of application for limited
22	standing - Sean Adams
23	APPLICATION FOR LIMITED STANDING BY/APPLICATION POUR
24	PARTICIPATION LIMITÉE PAR MR. McClelland:
25	MR. McClelland: Good morning, Mr.

1	Commissioner.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
3	MR. McClelland: Should I wait for a moment
4	while you read the application?
5	THE COMMISSIONER: I've read it.
6	MR. McClelland: Thank you.
7	The only documents I seek to rely upon are
8	the application for limited standing. I did refer to the
9	website. There was a ruling by you, sir, with respect to
10	standing, and I may refer to a passage of a letter I wrote
11	yesterday to Commission counsel.
12	As I understand it, Commission counsel is
13	not taking a position one way or another on this
14	application at the present time.
15	What is of concern is that I do not know
16	what Commission counsel's intent is with respect to further
17	evidence, if any, that is to be led with respect to the
18	issues that were raised in the examination of Mr. Adams
19	when he was here in November.
20	To be of assistance, so that you are aware
21	of my limited knowledge with respect to this issue, I
22	understand that the test is that there has to be a direct
23	and substantial interest in the subject matter of the
24	Inquiry connected to Mr. Adams.
25	In this respect, I submit that Mr. Adams has

1	testified. He has further testimony to give. He was
2	directly involved in one incident that was the subject
3	matter of questioning in this Inquiry, which is the
4	independent legal advice and attendances given with respect
5	to Mr. Silmser.
6	To the moment, and of more concern to Mr.
7	Adams, is the evidence or the questioning with respect to
8	the alleged attendance by Mr. Adams at the office of Duncan
9	MacDonald in the summer of 1993.
10	I also submit that Mr. Adams' testimony has
11	not been completed. Further questioning
12	THE COMMISSIONER: What do you mean it
13	hasn't been completed?
14	MR. McClelland: I understood that there
15	were counsel that had reserved questioning and, in fact, I
16	had reserved, indicating that I wished to finish my
17	questioning after the witness who was unnamed was to
18	testify. And so to that extent, I understood that there
19	was still some attendance further required by Mr. Adams.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, no, just a second
21	now.
22	From what I understand, and we'll make sure
23	we get this clear, is that people reserve the right to re-
24	question Mr. Adams if necessary and if with my well,
25	with my approval if the witness was produced, had said

1	that yes, she saw the people there and if there were any
2	questions arising out of that.
3	MR. McClelland: That was my understanding.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So Mr. Adams'
5	testimony, as far as I'm concerned, is completed subject to
6	whatever happens with these witnesses.
7	MR. McClelland: Subject to that
8	reservation.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. So to say that that
10	testimony has not been completed is may lead to some
11	ambiguity there.
12	MR. McClelland: Well, I wish to be clear in
13	that respect and I accept what you've said. That was too
14	my understanding. Maybe I didn't state it correctly.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
16	MR. McClelland: But my understanding is
17	and that is yet to be confirmed but my understanding is
18	that I'm awaiting a date for that witness to testify.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, yes.
20	MR. McClelland: And there I can also
21	indicate to you, sir, that I provided the names of four
22	other individuals
23	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
24	MR. McClelland: in connection with that
25	alleged incident to Commission counsel. I haven't heard

1	yet I know one of them has been interviewed by
2	Commission counsel, but I don't know of the others.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
4	MR. McClelland: So there may be additional
5	evidence, and that's part of the circumstance that has led
6	to this application.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
8	MR. McClelland: In paragraph 8 of the
9	application, the concern is with respect to not only what
10	is presently alleged, but Mr. Adams doesn't know where that
11	might go. There's been no indication of what that's about
12	or the significance or the import of that evidence.
13	Obviously, it was of sufficient import that
14	that evidence was at least examined in questioning of Mr.
15	Adams so that there's some import.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
17	MR. McClelland: Right now, Mr. Adams
18	doesn't know what that import is.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
20	MR. McClelland: I submit to you, sir, that
21	if all that was involved with Mr. Adams was providing the
22	independent legal advice to Mr. Silmser on the 2^{nd} or 3^{rd} of
23	September, 1993 and that was concluded, I wouldn't be
24	standing before you at this time.
25	

THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.

1	MR. McClelland: The import is that there
2	was other questions related to an alleged incident. We
3	don't know whether it was before or after at this point or
4	when, but it seemed to be separate from that involvement
5	with Mr. Adams and Mr. Silmser. It may be, may not be,
6	don't know yet.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
8	MR. McClelland: It also did, though, place
9	or seek to place Mr. Adams in juxtaposition or in
10	circumstances where they were together where he was with
11	Mr. Leduc and Mr. Malcolm MacDonald.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
13	MR. McClelland: There may be inferences or
14	there may be innuendo taken from that that Mr. Adams
15	doesn't know, but he wishes to be able to defend against.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, there won't be any
17	innuendo. That's we deal with facts here.
18	MR. McClelland: The difficulty I have is
19	that I have an Anticipated Evidence Statement, but I don't
20	have those facts yet. I have the statement. That's all I
21	have.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
23	MR. McClelland: I can indicate that from
24	the information I've received from at least two of the
25	other four names that I provided to Commission counsel,

that:

1	that there may well be evidence at variance with that
2	anticipated evidence. So there's an issue there, in my
3	submission.
4	I have no indication yet whether Commission
5	counsel will call those witnesses.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
7	MR. McClelland: So the concern certainly is
8	that there is a a concern on Mr. Adams' part that this
9	evidence may impact adversely upon him, as mentioned in the
10	application, and upon his reputation in this community;
11	one, as a lawyer; two, as a person in this community
12	because the intent so far that we can glean it may be
13	the wrong intent; we don't know yet may be to put him in
14	conjunction or in "contemporanity" with these two people,
15	which would be adversely impacted upon him.
16	If it was all innocent and no problem with
17	it, then I would expect the evidence probably wouldn't have
18	been called.
19	So the fear or the concern is that it would
20	be it will impact adversely upon him.
21	I submit that he requires an opportunity to
22	defend himself against these allegations. I submit that
23	it's required by procedural fairness, and I did note one
24	passage in the ruling previously on page 2 which reads

1	"Standing will be in accordance with
2	the Public Inquiries Act."
3	No problem there. But on page
4	THE COMMISSIONER: What are you referring
5	to, sir?
6	MR. McClelland: I'm sorry, Mr.
7	Commissioner, it's the ruling on standing and funding that
8	I believe was on the website.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: For whom?
10	MR. McClelland: I'm sorry? I didn't hear
11	you. I'm sorry.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: For whom?
13	MR. McClelland: Oh, it was just back the
14	early rulings. I didn't have a date on it, but I believe
15	it was a ruling back in September of '05. If I may just
16	have a moment, sir? It wasn't with respect to any one
17	particular person. I believe this was the early ruling
18	with respect to all standings.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, it was your initial
20	ruling on general standing. I think it was from November
21	17 th , 2005.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
23	MR. McClelland: Thank you.
24	It's on page 5 of that ruling, Mr.
25	Commissioner, when it says that:

1	"Generally speaking, the interest of
2	the applicant may be the protection of
3	a legal interest in a sense that the
4	outcome of the inquiry may affect the
5	legal status or property interests of
6	the applicant."
7	I'm not submitting that would be the case in
8	Mr. Adams, but it does go on to say that:
9	"Such an interest would likely form the
10	basis of a substantial and direct
11	interest. An applicant's sense of
12	well-being or fear of an adverse effect
13	upon his or her reputation, even if the
14	fear proves to be unfounded, could be
15	serious and objectively reasonable
16	enough to warrant full or intervenor
17	standing in the inquiry."
18	I'm not asking for full standing on behalf
19	of Mr. Adams, but I am asking for limited standing so that
20	he has an opportunity to address what he perceives may be
21	evidence that may impact adversely upon his reputation in
22	this community and as a lawyer.
23	And I'm asking the Commission, therefore,
24	for the relief set out in the application which is only to
25	deal with those issues that directly impact or may impact

1	upon Mr. Adams, to be given notice of witnesses that may be
2	called with respect to those issues, to be able to arrive
3	and hear that evidence and if necessary ask questions.

Because as I understand it, under the rules,
I may be able to ask -- and I'm entitled to ask Mr. Adams
questions as my client, but without your permission I'm not
allowed to ask anyone else any questions.

THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.

MR. McClelland: So that since this has been brought forward, since Mr. Adams has had to respond to it, since there may be other witnesses who may testify in that respect -- I noticed that Mr. Leduc -- I didn't see his name on witnesses who have been called so I assume that he has not yet been called. His name was mentioned as one of the persons being there. Two other parties that were mentioned there, Duncan MacDonald and Malcolm MacDonald, as you know, are both deceased. So it's important, therefore, that these matters be addressed.

If there is no import to this meeting and there's nothing about it then I probably won't be needed further, but to date I don't know that. So I ask out of a sense of fairness and completeness and to the extent I may be able to assist you, sir, with those issues.

 $\mbox{I ask for limited standing on the part of} \\ \mbox{Mr. Adams as requested in the application.} \\$

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
2	Does anybody wish to make any comments with
3	respect to this matter from the parties?
4	Very well. Thank you.
5	RULING BY THE COMMISSIONER/DÉCISION PAR LE COMMISSAIRE:
6	You, Mr. McClelland, I can advise you that
7	you will be given some limited standing. What I'll do is
8	I'll take a few days to write up and to word that properly
9	so that there is no confusion. And you can rest assured
10	that you will have some limited standing.
11	MR. McClelland: Thank you very much, sir.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
13	On the issue of funding Mr. Engelmann?
14	MR. ENGELMANN: May I just make just a brief
15	comment
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: about comments Mr.
18	McClelland made.
19	The witness will be called on December $14^{\rm th}$.
20	The parties have been advised of that, witness he's
21	concerned about.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Has Mr. McClelland been
23	advised of that?
24	MR. ENGELMANN: He was advised it would be
25	one of two dates and the $14^{ m th}$ was the principal date. So

1	that will be the date at 9:30.
2	Yesterday afternoon, he provided us with a
3	list of four names as potential witnesses, one of whom was
4	known to us, and we will be investigating to see if any of
5	them have anything that they can add.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: So I'll have someone speak
8	with them or attempt to speak with them.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
10	So, Mr. McClelland, the reason why I advise
11	you that you would have limited standing is so that you can
12	be made aware of these things. So December $14^{\rm th}$ is one
13	witness and Mr. Engelmann will certainly be in contact with
14	you to make sure that you're kept apprised of the other
15	ones.
16	MR. McClelland: Thank you very much.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.
18	MR. McClelland: Thank you, Mr. Engelmann.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: So, Mr. Engelmann?
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, there was the Diocese
21	supplementary funding application that Mr. Sherriff-Scott
22	had asked me to read orally to you the other day.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you.
24	On that matter I've decided to recommend
25	funding for paralegal no, it wasn't paralegal, it was

24

25

it was paralegal, in the amount of 275 hours retroactive to 1 2 November 5th, which I believe is the date that the request 3 was put in. As we get towards the end of the Inquiry or 4 5 the Phase 1 of the evidentiary hearings, I'm going -- if 6 there is a request for more hours from the Diocese I will -7 - and I'm putting them on notice now, that I will want to 8 have some indication of how those hours have been used so 9 that we can get a -- and I know that Mr. -- that all of the 10 accounts are reviewed by an independent assessor that has 11 been agreed upon by myself and the Ministry of the Attorney General's office as a checks and balance to make sure that 12 13 all of the matters are accurate. 14 However, for purposes of further funding 15 from the Diocese, given that this is their third time that 16 they come back for research and paralegal, I will want 17 further details with respect to how those funds have been 18 spent. 19 Now, with the issue of funding I see Mr. 20 Neville is here and I don't want to -- there's some discussion about whether or not Mr. Neville has obtained a 21 22 recommendation for me from funding with respect to his

I have not made an order for funding. While

participation on his being granted limited standing for

the, I've said, the memory of Ken Seguin.

1	there was an order made in the while there was some
2	mention of it in the application, there was no request made
3	to me and I want to make sure that Mr. Neville knows that,
4	that I haven't made any matters determinations with
5	respect to recommendation of funding.
6	As well, I note that you may want to have
7	some discussion with the Ministry of Corrections because
8	there is some issue as to who that they represent all
9	past and retired present and past employees, and I note
10	that other folks have had lawyers members of Corrections
11	employee and staff or past staff, have had legal
12	representation. They have not asked for funding and as I
13	suppose gatekeeper of the public purse here, at some point
14	I would think that it would be incumbent on anyone who is
15	asking for funding here to seek out other alternatives to
16	funding, which in this case would be through Corrections as
17	their past employee.
18	So Mr. Neville's here oh, okay, Mr.
19	Engelmann.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, sorry.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: I'll speak to something
23	else.
24	MR. NEVILLE: Perhaps it was my
25	misunderstanding then, sir, because when I sought the

PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	standing, which you granted, I took it for granted it
2	included funding.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: No.
4	MR. NEVILLE: Well
5	THE COMMISSIONER: It's a two stage no,
6	well, just a second now.
7	MR. NEVILLE: All right.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: It's a two stage process
9	whereby if you're going to apply for funding you have to
10	show me there's a test, and one of which is if we're
11	looking at we know the estate does not exist and we
12	talked about the memory of Ken Seguin, and we know that
13	there are nine or a bunch of siblings in any event.
14	So you know, if you're going to go through
15	funding for me before I can recommend to the Attorney
16	General that there's funding, there's two things that have
17	to happen. First of all, that you've exhausted all other
18	avenues for funding and hence why I say, well, I thought
19	Corrections were representing everyone and why wouldn't
20	they pay if they're paying others, if they are paying
21	others, I don't know.
22	Second of all, you'd have to show me that
23	those folks whom you represent, presumably Mr. Doug Seguin
24	and the others, don't have the capacity to pay.
25	And so I believe in my decision all I talked

PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	about was I'm going to grant standing as requested for the
2	purpose of cross-examination only.
3	MR. NEVILLE: So
4	THE COMMISSIONER: I didn't and in fact I
5	have to generate a letter to the Attorney General to
6	recommend that funding, and that clearly was not broached.
7	So what I'm doing is I'm leaving the door
8	open to you.
9	MR. NEVILLE: Right.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm putting you on notice
11	that I'm not and that I haven't done anything yet because I
12	don't have enough information from you on that issue.
13	MR. NEVILLE: All right.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.
15	Mr. Engelmann.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, just one other matter
17	briefly.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: There were some publication
20	ban issues that have been dealt with, with Mr. Sirrs
21	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: with Mr. Downing.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Perhaps if we have an
25	opportunity later in the day, I can come back in on an in

1	camera and we can deal with those issues and some of those
2	names.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Thank you.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: We'll try to do that
6	after we finish with the witnesses today.
7	All right. Thank you.
8	Mr. Stauffer, welcome back.
9	MR. STAUFFER: Yes, good morning, Mr.
10	Commissioner.
11	So we are ready with Marcelle Léger, who is
12	presently in the witness-box.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Madam Clerk, could you
14	proceed.
15	MARCELLE LÉGER: Sworn/Assermentée
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Madame Léger, you will be
17	asked questions today. What I want you to do is just try
18	to relax as much as possible, answer the questions to the
19	best of your abilities. If there's something you don't
20	understand about the question, just tell me, I don't know.
21	And if you feel uncomfortable or if you wish
22	a break at any time just look over and let me know and I'll
23	try to help you out.
24	Now you have some water in the jug.
25	MS. LÉGER: M'hm.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: There's a speaker right
2	in front of you so you can either increase the volume or
3	decrease the volume and if we look at documents, we will
4	probably give you what they call a hard copy, which is a
5	real copy, and you'll be able to see it on the screen.
6	Do you understand that?
7	MS. LÉGER: M'hm, I do.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Now, the
9	other thing is you have to vocalize your answers so that we
10	can pick them up on the microphone, so you have to say
11	"yes" or "no" and no "m'hm's".
12	And if you can bend the microphone down to
13	you, just grab it and push it down; there you go.
14	All right?
15	MS. LÉGER: M'hm.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Are you okay with that?
17	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
19	And I catch that you are probably bilingual?
20	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: If you wish to express
22	yourself in French, we can do that, as well.
23	MS. LÉGER: Okay.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: All right?
25	MS. LÉGER: Fine, thank you.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
2	MR. STAUFFER: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.
3	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
4	STAUFFER:
5	MR. STAUFFER: Madame, thank you for coming
6	in. I'm going to have a few questions for you.
7	As the Commissioner says, if you don't
8	follow anything, let us know at any time, whether it's me
9	asking you questions or one of the other counsel here.
10	So we're going to go through this and I'm
11	going to start by asking you your date of birth?
12	MS. LÉGER: Oh shucks.
13	MR. STAUFFER: Always the hard question.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Very insensitive on his
15	part, don't you think?
16	MS. LÉGER: May 22 nd , 1936.
17	MR. STAUFFER: All right. So you're now 71
18	years of age?
19	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
20	MR. STAUFFER: And you are retired from the
21	Ministry of Corrections; I'll call it the "Ministry of
22	Corrections", if that's all right with you?
23	MS. LÉGER: That's correct.
24	MR. STAUFFER: All right. You worked for
25	the Ministry, as I understand it, from June of 1965 until

1	August of 1993. Is that correct?
2	MS. LÉGER: That's correct.
3	MR. STAUFFER: And could you help us out,
4	did you have different positions with the Ministry during
5	those 28 years or did you have just one title, if you will?
6	MS. LÉGER: I had two different positions.
7	I started in '65 as a secretary and in 1981
8	when we had a an on-site manager, Mr. Sirrs, I became
9	his admin clerk. And
10	MR. STAUFFER: The admin clerk?
11	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
12	MR. STAUFFER: All right. And did you
13	remain, then, as an administrative clerk form 1981 until
14	1993, when you retired?
15	MS. LÉGER: That's correct.
16	MR. STAUFFER: All right. And I understand
17	you worked at least physically at two different sites in
18	Cornwall. Is that correct?
19	MS. LÉGER: Yes. Well more than that, but
20	during the earlier years, we there was third site.
21	MR. STAUFFER: All right. But could
22	MS. LÉGER: But where I started.
23	MR. STAUFFER: Could you give us the
24	municipal addresses of the buildings where you worked then?
25	MS. LÉGER: The first address was at

1	236 Pitt Street and we had an on-site manager there; it's
2	the person who hired me.
3	Later on, we had another office at 408 Pitt
4	Street. Then we had another office at 340 Pitt, and we had
5	another office at 502 Pitt Street.
6	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. So did you work
7	physically at all four of those offices over the 28 years?
8	MS. LÉGER: Yes, yes.
9	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Now, as I understand
10	it, the excuse me you've mentioned Mr. Sirrs as one
11	of your managers. Would he have been the first on-site
12	manager or was there somebody that predated him?
13	MS. LÉGER: Someone predated him.
14	My first manager was Mr. Michael Marks.
15	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
16	MS. LÉGER: In 1965.
17	MR. STAUFFER: All right. And did anyone
18	succeed him before Mr. Sirrs?
19	MS. LÉGER: Before Mr. Sirrs?
20	MR. STAUFFER: Yeah. Yes.
21	MS. LÉGER: There were several managers, not
22	on-site
23	MR. STAUFFER: Right.
24	MS. LÉGER: though.
25	MR. STAUFFER: No, that's what I'm talking

1	about; in terms of being in the building?
2	MS. LÉGER: Oh, no.
3	MR. STAUFFER: Whichever building you were
4	
5	MS. LÉGER: Yeah.
6	MR. STAUFFER: in at the time, the only
7	gentleman is the one you've mentioned before
8	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
9	MR. STAUFFER: Mr. Sirrs?
10	MS. LÉGER: That's right.
11	MR. STAUFFER: All right. And I understand
12	Mr. Sirrs comes in in 1985. Does that sound right to you?
13	ms. léger: No.
14	MR. STAUFFER: Or sorry, sorry, Mr. Sirrs
15	comes in in nineteen
16	MS. LÉGER: Eighty-one.
17	MR. STAUFFER: Eighty-one and then he leaves
18	in 1985?
19	MS. LÉGER: Something like that.
20	MR. STAUFFER: That's right.
21	Now, and just to finish off with respect to
22	the various managers you had, Mr. Robert came in Emile
23	Robert came in after Mr. Sirrs?
24	MS. LÉGER: There was someone in between
25	MR. STAUFFER: Mr. White

1	MS. LÉGER: Mr. White after that for six
2	months.
3	MR. STAUFFER: Mr. White briefly
4	briefly came in 1985.
5	MS. LÉGER: Yeah, and then Mr. Robert.
6	MR. STAUFFER: So from January of 1985 to
7	July of 1985, Mr. Leo White was the manager interim
8	manager replaced by Mr. Robert?
9	MS. LÉGER: That's right.
10	MR. STAUFFER: All right. And after Mr.
11	Robert, was there anyone before you retired?
12	MS. LÉGER: No.
13	MR. STAUFFER: All right. Now, with respect
14	to the probation officers in the various offices you worked
15	in, we're going to deal with at least a couple of people;
16	one of them is Nelson Barque and the other is Mr. Ken
17	Seguin.
18	Now, as I understand it, Mr. Seguin was the
19	gentleman who preceded Mr. Barque in time and that he came
20	in in 1968?
21	MS. LÉGER: That's right.
22	MR. STAUFFER: And then Mr. Barque followed
23	him at some point in 1974?
24	MS. LÉGER: That's right.
25	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Now, with respect to

1	Mr. Barque, if I can take you to him. Did you do any work
2	specifically for Mr. Barque?
3	MS. LÉGER: No, none other than just the
4	general routine office work, you know, and that we were
5	first at 340 Pitt Street; I worked for all three officers.
6	MR. STAUFFER: So you were not assigned to
7	one particular officer?
8	MS. LÉGER: No, no.
9	MR. STAUFFER: And the work that you would
10	have done for Mr. Barque, can you describe that for us?
11	MS. LÉGER: Well, mostly pre-sentence
12	reports, recording his notes at one time they used to be
13	all typed out taking telephone calls and that's about
14	it.
15	MR. STAUFFER: All right. Did you work
16	particular hours during the 28 years; did they vary?
17	MS. LÉGER: Yes, well, usually 8:30 to 4:45.
18	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. So as I understand it,
19	and correct me if I'm wrong, normally daytime hours would
20	be 8:30 a.m. to 4:45 p.m., except in the summer when you
21	would work from 8:00 until 4:30 p.m.?
22	MS. LÉGER: Yes. Yeah, something like that.
23	MR. STAUFFER: There were then some night
24	shifts, if I can put it that way, I don't know if that's
25	the right word, but you would work at night or various

1	people would work at night, and I understand this was on a
2	Thursday evening. Is that correct?
3	MS. LÉGER: That's correct.
4	MR. STAUFFER: Would this be every Thursday
5	of every month?
6	MS. LÉGER: I think it's one Thursday out of
7	the month. I'm not sure but
8	MR. STAUFFER: You're not sure about that
9	because
10	MS. LÉGER: Yeah. It's one Thursday.
11	MR. STAUFFER: All right. But it's one
12	Thursday at least every month?
13	MS. LÉGER: Yes, yeah.
14	MR. STAUFFER: It may have been more than
15	one Thursday?
16	MS. LÉGER: It may, yeah.
17	MR. STAUFFER: All right. And how long
18	would those hours go on that Thursday?
19	MS. LÉGER: I'd probably say a couple of
20	hours.
21	MR. STAUFFER: Sorry?
22	MS. LÉGER: I'd say probably a couple of
23	hours.
24	MR. STAUFFER: You mean until like 6:30 or
25	so at night?

1	MS. LÉGER: No, usually we'd, you know, go
2	out for a bite to eat and come back around to the office
3	about 6:30, seven o'clock.
4	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. How late do you
5	recollect staying in the office on Thursdays?
6	MS. LÉGER: Probably 8:30, nine o'clock.
7	MR. STAUFFER: I see, all right. What would
8	you be doing when you were on the night shift?
9	MS. LÉGER: We'd probably continue the
10	the work that you were doing during the daytime, a lot of
11	your office work, your typing, whatever you were doing
12	during the day.
13	MR. STAUFFER: All right. But I gather when
14	you were a receptionist, you would be greeting probationers
15	
16	MS. LÉGER: Yes, that's right.
17	MR. STAUFFER: who would be coming in
18	for appointments?
19	MS. LÉGER: M'hm.
20	MR. STAUFFER: Did everyone come in by
21	appointment or
22	MS. LÉGER: I'm pretty sure.
23	MR. STAUFFER: or were there drop-ins?
24	MS. LÉGER: I'm pretty sure.
25	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Pretty sure they were by
2	appointment?
3	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you.
5	MR. STAUFFER: All right. And when you
6	changed to being admin clerk, in 1981, did you continue to
7	come in on the Thursday evenings?
8	ms. léger: No.
9	MR. STAUFFER: So you would have stopped
10	MS. LÉGER: Yeah.
11	MR. STAUFFER: around 4:30 or 4:45
12	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
13	MR. STAUFFER: something like that?
14	MS. LÉGER: Yeah.
15	MR. STAUFFER: For those, I don't know,
16	roughly 15 years or so from 1965 then to 1981 when you were
17	still a receptionist, that's what you would have been doing
18	then, you would have been coming in on Thursday evenings
19	from time-to-time?
20	MS. LÉGER: M'hm, yes.
21	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Now, when you did come
22	in in evening hours, would Mr. Barque be working from time-
23	to-time during the Thursday shift? Did he work Thursday
24	shifts in the evening?
25	MS. LÉGER: Yes, I believe he did, yeah.

1	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. There is information
2	we have but we want your recollection, that Mr. Barque had
3	installed a lock on his office door.
4	MS. LÉGER: Well
5	MR. STAUFFER: What can you tell us about
6	that?
7	MS. LÉGER: I don't know if he installed the
8	lock but at some point in time we found out that there was
9	a lock on that door. But when it came about or who
10	installed it, I don't know.
11	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Is it possible I'm
13	sorry just
14	MR. STAUFFER: Yes, sir.
15	MS. LÉGER: Is it possible that it was there
16	all along?
17	MS. LÉGER: It could be, I don't know. The
18	other offices I don't think had any locks.
19	MR. STAUFFER: Yeah, so just to follow along
20	that, if I understand you correctly in the various physical
21	offices that you have mentioned before, the locations,
22	which location is this where Mr. Barque has a lock on the
23	door?
24	MS. LÉGER: That would be at the last
25	location at 502 Pitt.

1	MR. STAUFFER: Five-zero-two (502) Pitt.
2	All right.
3	So during the time your offices moved
4	into 502 Pitt. Do you remember roughly when that was, that
5	move took place?
6	MS. LÉGER: Let me see. I can't remember.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Can you think about who
8	the manager was or
9	MS. LÉGER: Was it Mr. Sirrs? Oops, sorry.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, yeah, and you're not
11	supposed to hit the microphone.
12	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
13	MS. LÉGER: It was after, I think Mr. Sirrs,
14	that's it. It must have been around '81-'82. I think Mr.
15	Sirrs arrived in Cornwall in '81 and I think he was only at
16	340 Pitt for one month and I think then we moved to 502.
17	So it would be 1981.
18	MR. STAUFFER: Five-zero-two (502) around
19	1981. Okay.
20	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
21	MR. STAUFFER: So as the Commissioner had
22	asked earlier about the lock, it may have been on the door
23	or it may not have been on the door
24	MS. LÉGER: It may not have
25	MR. STAUFFER: when the offices moved in

LOPPIC UI	PAKTING
AUDIENCE	PUBLIQUE

1	to 502 Pitt.
2	MS. LÉGER: Yeah.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, let's can we
4	talk about that a little bit?
5	MR. STAUFFER: Yes, sir.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Did you just walk in and
7	all the offices were there or did they have to do any
8	renovations so that it would fit your needs?
9	MS. LÉGER: Well, all the offices were there
10	but at some point in time they did make renovations, but
11	not to the offices. I believe they made renovations with
12	respect to the boardroom, the supply room, that side, but
13	not to the offices. They were there.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: And were the doors there
15	already to the offices?
16	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.
18	MR. STAUFFER: When you were at 502 Pitt, do
19	you have an office per se or do you have a work area? How
20	would you describe it?
21	MS. LÉGER: For myself?
22	MR. STAUFFER: Yes.
23	MS. LÉGER: Yes, I had my own office.
24	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. And in relation to
25	where Mr. Barque's office was, can you describe that for

l	the Commissioner and the distance between the two offices?
2	MS. LÉGER: Mr. Barque's office was the
3	furthest away from me.
4	MR. STAUFFER: And how far would that be as
5	best you can recollect, either in terms of feet or metres
6	or number of office doors
7	MS. LÉGER: Oh, maybe one-two five office
8	doors, roughly.
9	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. In terms of the other
10	offices then at 502 Pitt, did any of those other office
11	doors have locks on them?
12	MS. LÉGER: Not to my knowledge.
13	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Was there any policy
14	that you were aware of in that particular office as to
15	whether doors should be open or closed at any time?
16	MS. LEGER: No, I don't think we had a
17	policy on that.
18	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Was there any policy
19	with respect to whether doors should be locked or unlocked?
20	MS. LÉGER: I don't think there was a policy
21	there.
22	MR. STAUFFER: And, again, I guess, there
23	wouldn't be any policy if there's only the one door that
24	has a lock but so that's your clear recollection
25	MS. LÉGER: Yes.

1	MR. STAUFFER: there's only Mr. Barque's
2	door that has a lock.
3	MS. LÉGER: M'hm.
4	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Did Mr. Seguin's door
5	have a lock at any time?
6	MS. LÉGER: Not to my knowledge.
7	MR. STAUFFER: And, again, to be fair, this
8	would have been at other locations as well, not just at 502
9	Pitt, because I'm assuming you're at an earlier location
10	when Mr. Seguin starts up in 1968?
11	MS. LÉGER: Right.
12	MR. STAUFFER: So is there any lock on his
13	door at any location during the time he worked for
14	Corrections?
15	MS. LÉGER: I wouldn't know. No.
16	MR. STAUFFER: I'm sorry?
17	MS. LÉGER: I wouldn't know.
18	MR. STAUFFER: You wouldn't know?
19	MS. LÉGER: No.
20	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. There's also
21	information that we have, and I'll refer you to it if
22	necessary, that Mr. Barque had installed, or someone had
23	installed at his request, some soft lighting in his office.
24	Can you tell us about that?
25	MS. LÉGER: I don't know anything about

1	that.
2	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Again, Madame, I
3	appreciate this is awhile ago, but where I'm getting that
4	information from is an interview apparently conducted by
5	the Ontario Provincial Police with respect to yourself back
6	in 1994. And I'm just wondering if we can have Madame
7	referred to Document Number 116165. It's a series of
8	police officer's notes and the officer, and I hope I am
9	pronouncing his name correctly, is Zebruck, Z-E-B-R-U-C-K,
10	a detective with the Long Sault Detachment of the OPP, and
11	specifically Mr. Commissioner and counsel I am referring to
12	Bates page oh, thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Lee.
13	So we're looking at Exhibit 121, it's
14	December 29^{th} , 1994 and the Bates page is 1078049 and then
15	the second page following.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. So, Mrs.
17	Léger, just to situate you, what Mr. Stauffer is saying is
18	that in 1994 do you remember meeting with the police
19	officer, a Mr. Zebruck?
20	MR. STAUFFER: Zebruck.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you remember that?
22	MS. LÉGER: I've never been interviewed by a
23	Mr. Zebruck.
24	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Well
25	MS. LÉGER: Not to my recollection. I've

1	only been interviewed by two other officers but that wasn't
2	one of them.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
4	MR. STAUFFER: And we will go through that
5	as well, Mr. Commissioner.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
7	MR. STAUFFER: But if Madame if you could
8	just look at the document
9	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
10	MR. STAUFFER: and I'll just it's not
11	very long.
12	I'll go through this with you but
13	essentially this yes, it's at Bates page 1078049.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
15	So to help you, this is police officer
16	Madame Léger, this is a police officer's notebook. All
17	right? So these are notes that he would have taken and
18	MS. LÉGER: M'hm.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: and Mr. Stauffer's
20	going to go through with them to see if it helps you jog
21	your memory a little bit. All right?
22	MS. LÉGER: Okay.
23	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. So, Madame, if you see
24	about a third of the way down the notebook page, there is a
25	number 1340 which I assume is 13:40 hours, okay, so it's

1	about 1:40 in the afternoon on December 29 th , 1994 which the
2	officer earlier on in his notes had said it's a Thursday.
3	And he gives your name and he gives an address and the date
4	of birth which you told us earlier, May 22^{nd} , 1936 , a phone
5	number
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you see that, Mrs.
7	Leger.
8	MS. LÉGER: Yes I do.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
10	MR. STAUFFER: And, again, I will try to do
11	this as quickly as possible but just so you know what I'm
12	reading and we're all on the same page, the officer has
13	noted that you started working for Probation and Parole in
14	1965, Nelson Barque started in 1974, Nelson seemed to get
15	too involved with his probationers and so on.
16	And we'll go through some of this in just a
17	minute, but where I'd like to take you to with respect to
18	the lighting issue in his office is on the next page. So
19	at Bates page 1078050. Again, I appreciate that this is
20	not your handwriting, Madame, but the way I read it I'm
21	about 10 lines down where it says:
22	"Nelson had a lock put on his door."
23	And underneath this it says:
24	"Installed soft lighting in his office
25	claiming that it was more relaxing to

1	interview clients at night."
2	So do you see that?
3	MS. LÉGER: What page are we on?
4	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Madame Clerk, could you
6	please help?
7	MR. STAUFFER: I'm sorry, I'm going to fast
8	for you am I Madame?
9	MS. LÉGER: No, I'm trying to read this
10	writing here.
11	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay?
13	MS. LÉGER: Thank you.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: So this is what the
15	police officer says he would have written down when he was
16	talking to you. All right?
17	So where would you like us to start reading
18	with you?
19	MR. STAUFFER: Madame, do you see where I
20	had read out about the lighting?
21	THE COMMISSIONER: It says:
22	"Nelson had a lock put on his door."
23	Do you see that on the
24	MS. LÉGER: Yes, I do.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So:

1	"Nelson had a lock put on his door,
2	installed soft lighting in his office,
3	claiming that it was more relaxing to
4	interview clients at night."
5	So does that help you refresh your memory?
6	MS. LÉGER: No. The only thing I remember
7	about the lighting is that he had a lamp on his desk.
8	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
9	MS. LÉGER: But as far as installing soft
10	lights, no. But I don't remember this interview at all, at
11	all. I don't remember recall the name of the officer.
12	MR. STAUFFER: No, and I appreciate that
13	_
14	MS. LÉGER: I don't remember this.
15	MR. STAUFFER: but we'll have to go
16	through it because, again, you know, we've not called the
17	officer. I don't know if we will call the officer, but,
18	you know, the reality is what we have to rely upon, Madame,
19	is what others have written in the past.
20	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
21	MR. STAUFFER: And we need your assistance
22	as to what you can recollect, and we're trying to refresh
23	your memory with these notes.
24	So just dealing with the lighting in the
25	office of Mr. Barque, what type of overhead lighting, if

1	you can recollect, was in his office?
2	MS. LÉGER: I don't know. Just general
3	lighting, something like this, I guess. I'm not sure.
4	MR. STAUFFER: Like fluorescent bulbs or
5	were there pot lights, or what kind?
6	MS. LÉGER: No, I think probably
7	fluorescent.
8	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. And you recollect the
9	lamp on his desk.
10	MS. LÉGER: I remember there was a lamp on
11	his desk. That's all.
12	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Would this have been
13	his own private lamp?
14	MS. LÉGER: I would think so.
15	MR. STAUFFER: Because he's in a government
16	office, so
17	MS. LÉGER: Yeah. I would think so.
18	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. With respect does
19	this help you going back to the lock for a moment
20	because the way the officer has written it is Nelson had a
21	lock put on his door, and my reading of that, but I want
22	your understanding in a moment, my reading of it is it
23	sounds like he said, "Please put a lock on my door", you
24	know, to whoever does the handyman work in the office or he
25	did it himself.

1	Does that help you at all as to what
2	happened?
3	MS. LÉGER: No.
4	MR. STAUFFER: Who did the handyman work in
5	that office where Mr. Barque was working and all the
6	offices?
7	MS. LÉGER: I don't know.
8	MR. STAUFFER: Who actually would you go to
9	when you wanted to have something done, you know, the
10	blinds or the lighting or whatever in your office?
11	MS. LÉGER: Well, we had a janitor or
12	someone who cleaned the offices.
13	MR. STAUFFER: Right.
14	MS. LÉGER: I imagine you would go to him or
15	if you wanted something, perhaps the owner of the building.
16	I'm not sure.
17	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
18	MS. LÉGER: But the janitor most likely.
19	MR. STAUFFER: But if I were a probation
20	officer there and I wanted to have something done, whatever
21	it might be, my lighting, lock on the door, whatever, to
22	whom would I speak?
23	MS. LÉGER: Well, first I think you would go
24	to your area manager to see if that was feasible.
25	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.

1	MS. LÉGER: But after that, I don't know.
2	It's probably the janitor or the owner of the building
3	that's
4	MR. STAUFFER: But again and maybe I'm
5	just basing this on my own experience as the
6	receptionist, would you not be the one who would be asked
7	to pass on this message?
8	MS. LÉGER: Not necessarily.
9	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Did you ever get any
10	kind of requests for some kind of changes to offices?
11	MS. LÉGER: Not to my recollection, no. It
12	was possible but, you know, it was such a long time ago
13	that I can't
14	MR. STAUFFER: Right.
15	MS. LÉGER: You know, not really.
16	MR. STAUFFER: But again, to be fair here,
17	in that timeframe when Mr. Barque is working for the
18	Ministry, is it yourself and then Louise Quinn who are
19	essentially the two people in the office who are support
20	staff?
21	MS. LÉGER: Yes. We've had others as well,
22	other secretaries as well.
23	MR. STAUFFER: Sorry?
24	MS. LÉGER: There have been other
25	secretaries as well, but basically Mrs. Quinn and myself.

1	MR. STAUFFER: Right. Okay.
2	So again and correct me if I'm wrong I
3	would have thought that you, either as a receptionist or an
4	admin clerk, would deal with this type of issue; that is,
5	something to do with somebody's office.
6	MS. LÉGER: No, not really.
7	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
8	I do want to go through a bit more of this
9	statement, but before I do that, let me just ask you, in
10	terms of Mr. Barque and his probationers, the ones he was
11	overseeing, did he ever make any requests either to you or
12	others in his office to have a certain type of probationer?
13	MS. LÉGER: No, not to my knowledge.
14	MR. STAUFFER: And to be more specific,
15	would he have asked for any younger probationers?
16	ms. léger: No.
17	MR. STAUFFER: Or would he have asked for
18	probationers who had been charged and convicted of sexual
19	offences?
20	ms. léger: No.
21	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Again, if I can refer
22	you to the note that Constable or Detective Zebruck had
23	written?
24	MS. LÉGER: M'hm.
25	MR. STAUFFER: Now we're going back to the

1	beginning, roughly, of the note.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
3	MR. STAUFFER: If you look I'm going to
4	help you out as much as I can near the bottom of the
5	first page of notes this is at Bates page 1078049 you
6	will see are we
7	THE COMMISSIONER: No, not yet.
8	MR. STAUFFER: Yes, there we are.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Just a second. She'll
10	blow it up there. The clerk will come and help you.
11	MR. STAUFFER: Yes. Thank you.
12	Mr. Commissioner, one of my friends has
13	pointed out to me quite accurately that it would appear
14	that these notes are actually January 4^{th} of 1995. I don't
15	think it really changes anything drastically in Madame's
16	recollection, but does that now we are after Christmas,
17	a little bit further after Christmas, and now into the new
18	year of 1995.
19	Does that
20	MS. LÉGER: No.
21	MR. STAUFFER: No?
22	MS. LÉGER: I just can't remember that
23	person at all.
24	MR. STAUFFER: No. Fair enough.
25	So, Madame, in any event, we're now at that

1	page that I was referring you to.
2	MS. LÉGER: M'hm.
3	MR. STAUFFER: And the officer has written:
4	"Liked to have younger clients. Wanted
5	the ones charged with sexual offences."
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you see that, Madam?
7	MS. LÉGER: Yes, I do.
8	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Now, Madame, having
9	read that and thinking over this, did you say that to the
10	constable?
11	MS. LÉGER: Not to my recollection, no.
12	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Do you have any idea
13	how the constable would have come to write that in the book
14	
15	MS. LÉGER: No, I don't.
16	MR. STAUFFER: if you didn't say it to
17	him?
18	MS. LÉGER: I don't know.
19	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
20	I may as well continue with these notes
21	here. You'll see the next sentence:
22	"Some of his probationers would come
23	more often than would be required."
24	So we're going to the bottom of that first
25	page and then up into the second page.

1	Do you see that?
2	MS. LÉGER: M'hm. Yes, I do.
3	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Do you remember saying
4	that to Constable Zebruck?
5	MS. LÉGER: No.
6	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you recall ever saying
8	that to anyone?
9	MS. LÉGER: Not really.
10	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
11	MS. LÉGER: Sometimes the probationers just
12	walked off the street without an appointment. You know,
13	that's possible, when they weren't required.
14	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. And just following
15	along, Madame, it says:
16	"Nelson would keep his clients in his
17	office after hours, tell staff to lock
18	the door as they left; don't want to be
19	disturbed."
20	So do you see what I've read there?
21	MS. LÉGER: That's possible, if he was
22	interviewing someone and we left. We would leave as soon
23	as the last person had reported. So we would go and lock
24	the door behind us.
25	MR. STAUFFER: All right.

1	But, again, in fairness, having looked at
2	these notes now, you may not recollect speaking with the
3	detective. Okay.
4	ms. léger: No.
5	MR. STAUFFER: But in terms of your own
6	memory now of what was going on during the time Mr. Barque
7	worked in that office, does any of this that I've read to
8	you ring true? So we've got the lock on the door as being
9	an established fact as you remember it.
10	MS. LÉGER: M'hm.
11	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. There is an issue
12	about the lighting because you recollect a lamp and nothing
13	other than that in terms of soft lighting. Is that
14	correct?
15	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
16	MR. STAUFFER: And in terms of the other
17	statements, I think you've basically already answered this,
18	but I want you to be very clear with us. You have no
19	recollection of Mr. Barque ever requesting younger
20	offenders?
21	MS. LÉGER: Not really.
22	MR. STAUFFER: And you have no recollection
23	of him requesting offenders who had been convicted of
24	sexual offences?
25	MS. LÉGER: No.

1	MR. STAUFFER: And in terms of this last
2	thing, that some offenders would come more than more
3	often than would be required?
4	MS. LÉGER: That's possible, yes.
5	MR. STAUFFER: Well, how what do you mean
6	by that? Forget about what's written here now. What do
7	you mean by them coming more often?
8	MS. LÉGER: Well, they would just show up at
9	the office without an appointment, you know, walk off the
10	street and say "I want to see Mr. Barque".
11	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
12	Well, again, maybe I'm reading something too
13	sinister into this, but it gives me the impression that Mr.
14	Barque is requesting these probationers to come. Am I
15	wrong in that?
16	MS. LÉGER: I wouldn't know.
17	He would request them to come to the office?
18	MR. STAUFFER: Yes. The impression I have
19	is that he is asking them to come in as compared to them
20	just dropping in unannounced.
21	MS. LÉGER: Well, I wouldn't know that.
22	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: What do you remember of
24	Mr. Barque?
25	MS. LÉGER: What do I remember of Mr.

1	Barque?
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
3	MS. LÉGER: Well, not very much because it's
4	such a long time ago.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
6	MS. LÉGER: But I remember him as a hard
7	worker. He was a good worker. And he was always to take
8	on extra work.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
10	MS. LÉGER: And I always thought that he's -
11	- not always, but I thought he spent maybe too much time
12	with his probationers for whatever reason. You know, I
13	don't know. Not very much really.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
15	MS. LÉGER: I didn't work with him for very
16	long.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Well
18	MS. LÉGER: I think eight years, but
19	nevertheless, as I say, it's such a long time.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: But he did leave under
21	difficult circumstances.
22	MS. LÉGER: Yes, yes, he did. It was a
23	shock to me. It was a real shock to me.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: So thinking back that the
25	reason why he left, can you see any patterns or anything

1	that would have shown you
2	MS. LÉGER: Not really, no.
3	MR. STAUFFER: Madam, you are married, are
4	you?
5	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
6	MR. STAUFFER: And I understand at the time
7	Mr. Barque was married?
8	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
9	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Did you and your
10	husband and Mr. Barque and his wife, did the four of you
11	socialize?
12	MS. LÉGER: Oh, on occasion we went out for
13	dinner but not very often.
14	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
15	Is there any concern we should have because
16	of that relationship you had in terms of what you're
17	telling us now?
18	ms. léger: No. No.
19	MR. STAUFFER: When Mr. Barque was still in
20	the office I understand you took a call at one point from
21	an individual, a Mr. St. Louis, who was complaining about
22	Mr. Barque. Am I right about that?
23	MS. LÉGER: That's right. I don't recall
24	who the person was, but there was a complaint that came in
25	to our office.

1	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Do you know wny you
2	took that call? How did it come about that you got it?
3	MS. LÉGER: Well, the call went to the
4	reception area
5	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
6	MS. LÉGER: and the person calling asked
7	to speak to the manager. He wasn't there that day. So the
8	secretary referred the call to me.
9	MR. STAUFFER: So you'd be the admin clerk
10	at this point?
11	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
12	MR. STAUFFER: And the complaint, can you
13	just tell us briefly what you recollect the complaint was?
14	MS. LÉGER: Well, the complaint was that
15	someone had a they wanted to complain about Mr. Barque
16	and they started to tell me, and I told him not to tell me
17	their problem but to wait for Mr. Sirrs to return. I would
18	refer that call to him.
19	MR. STAUFFER: And what did you do with that
20	information?
21	MS. LÉGER: Well, as soon as Mr. Sirrs
22	returned, whether it was the following day or the Monday, I
23	went immediately to his office and told him about this
24	complaint and gave him, I think, a name and a telephone
25	number at that time.

1	MR. STAUFFER: Did you have any further
2	involvement with that complaint?
3	MS. LÉGER: No. No, Mr. Sirrs took it over
4	from there.
5	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, involvement with
7	the complaint did you do some later typing for Mr. Sirrs
8	of a report or anything like that?
9	MS. LÉGER: Not really.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: No? Okay.
11	MS. LÉGER: I mean, I'm sure I did, but I
12	mean, when the investigation took place it was taken over
13	by our Ministry and the police and I never heard anything
14	more about it for, you know, until the investigation was
15	closed.
16	I may have typed you know, I typed so
17	many memos for the manager that I wouldn't remember any
18	specific one.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
20	MR. STAUFFER: Perhaps what the Commissioner
21	is driving at, Madam, is that Mr. Sirrs made a report, like
22	you were saying, to his superiors and
23	MS. LÉGER: That's right.
24	MR. STAUFFER: would you have typed
25	that?

1	MS. LÉGER: I may have, yes. I suppose I
2	would.
3	MR. STAUFFER: Now, just one moment to see
4	if there's anything further in terms of Mr. Barque.
5	Did you ever have occasion to go into Mr.
6	Barque's office at any office that he occupied?
7	MS. LÉGER: Oh, yes.
8	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Did you ever find any
9	materials in his office which you would have considered to
10	be inappropriate?
11	MS. LÉGER: No, I don't think so.
12	MR. STAUFFER: Do you know why I'm asking
13	this question?
14	ms. léger: No.
15	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. I believe we'll hear
16	from Louise Quinn, your coworker, that she discovered some
17	materials in Mr. Barque's office.
18	Did she ever tell you that?
19	MS. LÉGER: Not to my knowledge, no.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, maybe tell her what
21	you think the material is.
22	MR. STAUFFER: Yes.
23	So, Madame, this is what I think we are
24	going to hear from Mrs. Quinn. There was some, what's
25	described as homosexual porn magazines in his desk and

1	magazines of naked young boys, some performing sex acts.
2	Is this something that comes as news to you
3	today?
4	MS. LÉGER: Yes. I've never seen
5	MR. STAUFFER: You've never seen them?
6	MS. LÉGER: Never seen this, no.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Whether you've seen them
8	or not, did Mrs. Quinn ever tell you about them?
9	MS. LÉGER: Oh, no, sorry. No, Mrs. Quinn
10	never
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. That's fine.
12	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Did you and Mrs. Quinn
13	get along all right?
14	MS. LÉGER: Yes, yes, fairly well.
15	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
16	In terms of Mr. Barque, until he left his
17	amplerment did way avanest that he had asted
	employment, did you suspect that he had acted
18	inappropriately with respect to any of his probationers?
18 19	
	inappropriately with respect to any of his probationers?
19	inappropriately with respect to any of his probationers? MS. LÉGER: Before his suspension?
19 20	inappropriately with respect to any of his probationers? MS. LÉGER: Before his suspension? MR. STAUFFER: Before he left, yeah.
19 20 21	inappropriately with respect to any of his probationers? MS. LÉGER: Before his suspension? MR. STAUFFER: Before he left, yeah. MS. LÉGER: No. No, never suspected.
19 20 21 22	<pre>inappropriately with respect to any of his probationers? MS. LÉGER: Before his suspension? MR. STAUFFER: Before he left, yeah. MS. LÉGER: No. No, never suspected. MR. STAUFFER: All right.</pre>

1	MS. LÉGER: That's correct.
2	MR. STAUFFER: So you retired in August, and
3	I understand he died in November
4	MS. LÉGER: That's correct.
5	MR. STAUFFER: of 1993.
6	How would you describe as the
7	Commissioner had asked you a minute ago about Mr. Barque,
8	how would you describe Mr. Seguin in terms of a worker?
9	MS. LÉGER: He was a very good worker. He
10	was very bright and very meticulous about his work; nice
11	personality and very kind. He was a very nice person to
12	work with.
13	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
14	Did his caseload consist of just young
15	offenders, just adults, or was there a mixed caseload?
16	MS. LÉGER: Well, initially when he first
17	started to work with us in '68 he had a mixed caseload of
18	both the juveniles and adults. And when the Ministry
19	shifted to the shifted the juveniles to COMSOC, then Mr.
20	Seguin only had an adult caseload.
21	MR. STAUFFER: And when did that shift take
22	place again? Was it in 1971?
23	MS. LÉGER: No, I think it was more like in
24	the mid-70s.
25	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.

1	MS. LÉGER: Somewhere in there.
2	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
3	So at that point then when the juveniles
4	became the responsibility of COMSOC, Mr. Seguin and the
5	others, the other probation officers, would have had those
6	who are 18 years of age and over as probationers?
7	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
8	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
9	The impression of Mr. Seguin that you had
10	when he was working with his probationers 16 and over,
11	yes, rather than 18 and over.
12	MS. LÉGER: Yeah, 16
13	MR. STAUFFER: The with respect, Madame -
14	
15	THE COMMISSIONER: So, excuse me, can we
16	just
17	MR. STAUFFER: Yes, I'm sorry.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Are we talking now under
19	the Young Offenders Act, Phase 1 and Phase 2? Does that
20	ring a bell with you?
21	MS. LÉGER: Well, it does sort of but, you
22	know, the young offenders, I think they came under the
23	jurisdiction of COMSOC, if I'm not mistaken.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Even
25	MS. LÉGER: I'm not sure.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: There we go.
2	MR. NEUBERGER: Just to assist
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, please.
4	MR. NEUBERGER: because it's memory, but
5	'85 is when the YOA comes in.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
7	MR. NEUBERGER: So prior to then, after the
8	shift to the Juvenile Act, when it went over to COMSOC, we
9	were having 16 and 17 year olds which are designated as
10	adults until '85 to shift to the Young Offender regime, and
11	then it's something that we're more familiar with at that
12	point. Adults are 18 and over.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. Okay.
14	MR. NEUBERGER: Okay?
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
16	MR. NEUBERGER: Just for the timing, we
17	might as well just get the timing down.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. It's '85. Okay.
19	MR. STAUFFER: So thank you to my friend for
20	that insight.
21	So, Madame, what I'm driving at in terms of
22	Mr. Seguin, can you give us your impression as to whether
23	he spent, in your view, a normal amount of time with his
24	probationers?
25	MS. LÉGER: Yes.

1	MR. STAUFFER: Too little, too much?
2	MS. LÉGER: I thought perhaps he paid a
3	little bit more attention, I think. He spent a little bit
4	more time with his probationers than other officers but,
5	you know, never didn't have a reason.
6	MR. STAUFFER: I gather the amount of time
7	spent would be at the discretion more or less of the
8	probation officer?
9	MS. LÉGER: Well, yeah. Yes.
10	MR. STAUFFER: There's no policy that you
11	only meet with a probationer for a certain amount of time?
12	MS. LÉGER: No.
13	MR. STAUFFER: For half an hour or an hour
14	and a half, whatever?
15	MS. LÉGER: No.
16	MR. STAUFFER: You spent whatever time you
17	considered appropriate.
18	But your impression w
19	as he was spending more time than the average probation
20	officer
21	MS. LÉGER: Yeah.
22	MR. STAUFFER: with his own clients.
23	All right.
24	With respect to the orientation of Mr.
25	Seguin, his sexual orientation, what did you understand

1	that to be?
2	MS. LÉGER: Well, what do you mean by this?
3	MR. STAUFFER: The sexual orientation of Ken
4	Seguin?
5	MS. LÉGER: Well, I thought he might be
6	homosexual, but for no other not because of any
7	wrongdoing on his part. It's just a thought that I had, he
8	might be.
9	MR. STAUFFER: The people with whom Mr.
10	Seguin would associate outside the office, I'm going to put
11	some names to you and tell me if you understand if the
12	association existed or not. Did he associate with Father
13	Charles MacDonald?
14	MS. LÉGER: I believe he did because he was
15	a friend of Father Charles MacDonald.
16	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
17	How do you know this?
18	MS. LÉGER: Ken, I think, told me before.
19	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
20	MS. LÉGER: He used to talk about Father
21	Charles many times.
22	MR. STAUFFER: With respect to a fellow
23	called David Silmser, do you recollect him in relation to
24	Mr. Seguin?
25	MS. LÉGER: I remember him because he was on

1	probation to Ken. He had been on probation for some time.
2	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
3	Did you ever see the two of them together?
4	MS. LÉGER: Other than in the office, no.
5	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. So they Mr.
6	Silmser, you recollect coming into the probation office?
7	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
8	MR. STAUFFER: With respect to any
9	suspicions you may have had about Mr. Seguin acting
10	inappropriately towards his probationers, did you have any
11	suspicions up until his death?
12	ms. léger: No.
13	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
14	And again, to be fair to you, as I
15	understand it, you heard some rumours, and this would be, I
16	gather, in the timeframe after you had left Probation in
17	August of 1993 and until Mr. Seguin died. Did you hear
18	rumours about Mr. Seguin during that time period?
19	MS. LÉGER: Well, I heard a rumour, and that
20	is just a rumour, that Mr. Seguin was being investigated
21	for something, but I still don't know what it was. Then
22	anyway, I didn't know what they were investigating, but
23	that's it.
24	MR. STAUFFER: So you had no specifics

1	MR. STAUFFER: as to what the charges
2	-
3	MS. LÉGER: I didn't know what
4	MR. STAUFFER: what the potential charge
5	might be or
6	MS. LÉGER: No.
7	MR. STAUFFER: what the case was about?
8	MS. LÉGER: No.
9	MR. STAUFFER: In terms of Mr. Seguin's
10	behaviour in the years before his death, can you assist us
11	as to what you saw?
12	MS. LÉGER: His behaviour? Well, I thought
13	he was sometimes he had mood swings, which was something
14	unusual for him. He's always so usually so calm. He
15	was I found him preoccupied, but his humour was just not
16	quite the same at times, not every day but, you know, I
17	found it was strange for him to be to have mood swings.
18	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
19	There has been a comment attributed to you
20	which I'm going to talk to you about for a moment, and
21	specifically what I'm talking about is a conversation that
22	you had with Mr. Robert, I believe Emile Robert when
23	he came in to the office to take over as the manager
24	concerning Mr. Seguin.
25	Do you remember, first of all, talking to

1	Mr. Robert about Mr. Seguin, your perceptions of Mr.
2	Seguin?
3	MS. LÉGER: No.
4	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Well, let me try to
5	refresh your memory, if I could.
6	In the paperwork this is I have this
7	information and I'll try to refer you to the source in a
8	moment, Madame, that Ken Seguin might have committed
9	suicide if he was pushed too far. Those are my words right
10	now, but do you remember talking to Mr. Robert about your
11	concern that Mr. Seguin was essentially on the edge at any
12	point?
13	MS. LÉGER: No, I don't.
14	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
15	Bear with me for a moment.
16	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
17	MR. STAUFFER: This is in this is
18	Document 715443, which is a statement to the Ontario
19	Provincial Police, February 15 th of 1994. And again, this
20	isn't a statement which you gave, Madame. This is
21	apparently a statement that Mr. Robert gave.
22	But if I could ask Madame Clerk to refer
23	Madame Léger to this document
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 1098 is an
25	interview report of Emile Robert dated the $15^{\rm th}$ of February

1	1994 by Genier and McDonnell.
2	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1098A:
3	(725543) Interview report - Emile Robert
4	with OPP D.C. Genier and C. McDonnell dated
5	February 15 th , 1994
6	MR. STAUFFER: Yes.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So again, Mrs.
8	Léger, this is what Emile Robert told the police in
9	February of 1994.
10	So now where are we going?
11	MR. STAUFFER: Now we are looking at about
12	two-thirds of the way down the front page, Bates page
13	7057701.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
15	So do you see, Madame Léger, where it says:
16	"When I came here in 1985"
17	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
19	It says:
20	"My admin clerk, Marcelle Léger, told
21	me if something happened with Ken's
22	work, he would commit suicide. That
23	always stayed with me."
24	Okay?
25	MR. STAUFFER: You don't remember talking to

1	Monsieur Robert about your concerns
2	ms. léger: No.
3	MR. STAUFFER: about Mr. Seguin?
4	ms. léger: No.
5	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
6	There is one final note which I'll put to
7	you, Madame, and this is a statement which you gave to the
8	Ontario Provincial Police, and this is the one which
9	apparently you remember, but we'll see in a moment. This
10	is February $17^{\rm th}$ of 1994, being Tab in my book so this
11	is Document Number 725361.
12	And this is the one apparently given by you
13	February 17 th , 1994 to Constables Genier and McDonnell.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: MacDonald or McDonnell?
15	MR. STAUFFER: McDonnell.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
17	So, Madame Léger, here's a document, Exhibit
18	1099. It's an interview report that you would have given
19	to two constables, Genier and McDonnell on February $17^{\rm th}$,
20	1994.
21	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1099A:
22	(725361) Interview report - Marcelle
23	Léger with OPP D.C. Genier and C.
24	McDonnell dated February 17 th , 1994
25	THE COMMISSIONER: All right? So you might

1	want to take a moment and read it over, and then Mr.
2	Stauffer might have some questions for you.
3	MR. STAUFFER: Yes.
4	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
5	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, she's not
7	finished yet.
8	MR. STAUFFER: Not quite done?
9	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no.
10	MS. LÉGER: Okay.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Have you read it all?
12	MS. LÉGER: Well, except for the last the
13	second page.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Let's read the second
15	page.
16	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
17	MR. STAUFFER: So, Madame, it is indeed on
18	the first page. I just have one specific part I'm going to
19	read to you. So near the bottom of the first page of the
20	statement it says:
21	"We all felt that Ken was a
22	homosexual."
23	And you've told us about that already. But the next
24	sentence is:
25	"It didn't shock me when I heard the

1	rumours about Father Charlie and Ken
2	and Silmser."
3	And I'm again assuming this is David Silmser they're
4	talking about because he's referred to in that way earlier
5	in the statement.
6	So first of all, do you remember speaking
7	with these two officers?
8	MS. LÉGER: Yes. Yes, I do.
9	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
10	And do you remember how the interview took
11	place then? Did they ask you questions and you gave
12	answers?
13	MS. LÉGER: M'hm.
14	MR. STAUFFER: Is that essentially it?
15	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
16	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
17	So do you remember being asked the question,
18	which I assume was something to the effect, "Were you
19	shocked when you heard the rumours about Father Charlie and
20	Ken and Silmser?" Do you remember being asked that
21	question?
22	MS. LÉGER: I'm not sure.
23	MR. STAUFFER: Are you in disagreement about
24	what is said here now?
25	MS. LÉGER: No, not necessarily. I can't

1	make the distinction here of what the officer wrote about
2	the rumours about Father Charlie and Ken and Silmser.
3	Like, Mr. Silmser was on probation to Ken. Father Charles
4	was a friend of Mr. Ken of Ken.
5	MR. STAUFFER: M'hm.
6	MS. LÉGER: But the structure of the
7	sentence, I don't know what that you know, I can't
8	recall what was asked and why it shows up like this in
9	here. I just
10	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
11	MS. LÉGER: Yeah.
12	MR. STAUFFER: So again, if you can divorce
13	yourself from what you've written here or has been written
14	on your behalf, were you shocked to learn about this
15	rumour?
16	MS. LÉGER: Yes, I suppose. Well, it was a
17	rumour, but I was shocked that there might have been I
18	was shocked that there might have been a rumour of Ken
19	being investigated.
20	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
21	MS. LÉGER: For whatever reason.
22	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Because, again, you
23	can see how the officer has written it or the officers have
24	written it.
25	MS. LÉGER: Yeah. It doesn't sound

1	MR. STAUFFER: It says you weren't shocked.
2	MS. LÉGER: Yeah.
3	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
4	MS. LÉGER: I was shocked.
5	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Can we take the morning
7	break?
8	MR. STAUFFER: Yes. And quite frankly, Mr.
9	Commissioner, I'll review my notes, but I believe I'm
10	finished in terms of my questions.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
12	MR. STAUFFER: Thank you.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: We'll take the morning
14	break, Ms. Léger.
15	MS. LÉGER: Thank you.
16	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
17	veuillez vous lever.
18	This hearing will resume at 11:15.
19	Upon recessing at 10:58 a.m./
20	L'audience est suspendue à 10h58
21	Upon resuming at 11:19 a.m./
22	L'audience est reprise à 11h19
23	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
24	veuillez vous lever.
25	This hearing is now resumed. Please be

1	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
2	MARCELLE LÉGER, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
3	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
4	STAUFFER (cont'd/suite):
5	MR. STAUFFER: Madame, I have a few more
6	questions, and I really need to be very clear with respect
7	to both of those statements that I've referred you to, and
8	I'm talking about the Ontario Provincial Police statements,
9	okay? I want to be very clear before I turn this over to
10	my friends about the note made by Detective Constable
11	Zebruck. This was the first one I referred you to. These
12	are the handwritten notes from the notebook.
13	You know what I'm talking about?
14	MS. LÉGER: From here?
15	THE COMMISSIONER: What he's saying is we
16	showed he showed you in the binder was it in the
17	binder?
18	MS. LÉGER: This, yeah.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: That a police officer
20	says he went to see you and he talked to you, and that
21	would have been in when did we say?
22	MR. STAUFFER: Well, we've now determined
23	through my friend Mr. Manson that it's actually December
24	I'm sorry January
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Of 1994.

1	MR. STAUFFER: January of 1995.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Nineteen ninety-five
3	(1995)?
4	MR. STAUFFER: Yes.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So it's later.
6	So it looks like, from what I can see, is
7	that you spoke to officers on two different times. One
8	time, an officer asked you some questions, and this is this
9	one paper, and you read it and you signed it.
10	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Another time, an
12	officer came to see you in January of 1995 and he had his
13	notebook out and he asked you some questions, and he
14	supposedly wrote out the answers. Okay? So that's what
15	we're talking about, two interviews, one in February of
16	1994 and one about a year later.
17	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
18	MS. LÉGER: Is there a signature? Would I
19	have signed? I don't remember
20	THE COMMISSIONER: No.
21	MS. LÉGER: I don't remember the officer. I
22	don't remember these statements any questions asked.
23	MR. STAUFFER: Just to help you out, Madame,
24	the one from the notebook, okay
25	MS. LÉGER: Yes.

1	MR. STAUFFER: the handwritten ones, do
2	you remember what we're talking about or do you want to
3	look at it again?
4	MS. LÉGER: No. I see it there.
5	MR. STAUFFER: Have you got it right there?
6	MS. LÉGER: Yeah.
7	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Just to assist you and
8	the Commissioner, is it possible that the officer
9	telephoned you as compared to coming out to your house or
10	you going to meet the officer somewhere?
11	MS. LÉGER: I know that I haven't seen him
12	in person. I don't he's never come to my house. I
13	don't remember his phone call and I do not remember
14	MR. STAUFFER: Sorry, what was your comment?
15	You only
16	MS. LÉGER: I don't remember him ever coming
17	to my house.
18	MR. STAUFFER: I got that.
19	MS. LÉGER: My home. I don't
20	MR. STAUFFER: But what about the phone
21	call?
22	MS. LÉGER: I don't remember the phone call.
23	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
24	MS. LÉGER: And I don't remember any of
25	these questions, these notes. I've never signed to

1	acknowledge that. I don't remember any notes.
2	MR. STAUFFER: No, and we're not saying you
3	signed those notes. Okay? What we're saying, though, is
4	we have notes from this Detective Constable Zebruck and
5	they are what they are. That's what he's written. Okay?
6	And we've gone through that with you.
7	But without making this too laborious, I
8	would like you to turn one more time to them.
9	So, Madame Clerk, we're going back to
10	Document Number 116165
11	THE COMMISSIONER: You have the right one
12	there.
13	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. And you've got them?
14	Have you got them, Madame?
15	MS. LÉGER: Yes, I do.
16	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
17	I want to be clear. Look at the note and
18	tell me tell us what is not true.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: So we can start from the
20	beginning, that your date of birth is May 22 nd , 1936?
21	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
23	And the phone number there was a phone
24	number that you had at the time?
25	MS. LÉGER: Yes. M'hm.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. And he writes
2	there that you started working for Probation and Parole in
3	1965?
4	MS. LÉGER: Correct.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Nelson Barque started in
6	74.
7	MS. LÉGER: Correct.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: "Nelson seemed to get
9	too involved with his probationers"
10	MS. LÉGER: Correct.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: "leaving them in his
12	office when he went out."
13	Is that correct or incorrect.
14	MS. LÉGER: Yes. M'hm.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: That's what it says, yes,
16	I know, but is that true?
17	MS. LÉGER: True.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
19	"Let them sit at his desk."
20	Is that true?
21	MS. LÉGER: True.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
23	"He liked to have younger clients."
24	Is that true?
25	MS. LÉGER: No. Well he may have, but I

1	mean not to my knowledge.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
3	"Wanted the ones charged with sexual
4	offences."
5	MS. LEGER: Not to my knowledge.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Some of his
7	probationers"
8	MR. STAUFFER: Would come.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: "would come more
10	often than would be required."
11	MS. LÉGER: True.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: "Nelson would keep his
13	clients in his office after hours and
14	tell the staff to lock the door as they
15	left because he didn't want to be
16	disturbed."
17	MS. LÉGER: Possibly, yes. If there was
18	night reporting last client we would go. We would
19	leave.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
21	"Nelson had a lock put on his door.
22	Installed soft"
23	Well,:
24	"Nelson had a lock put on his door."
25	MS. LÉGER: I don't know if who put it on

1	or it came to my attention at one point that he had a
2	lock on his door.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
4	MS. LÉGER: The soft lighting, I think the
5	lighting was normal lighting except that he had a lamp on
6	his desk.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, but so what the
8	officer is saying is what you would have said and if that
9	was your belief at the time that Nelson would have advised
10	you that claiming that it was more relaxing to interview
11	clients at night.
12	MS. LÉGER: Don't recall that.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
14	MR. STAUFFER: I'm sorry. What was the
15	answer?
16	THE COMMISSIONER: She
17	MS. LÉGER: I don't recall that.
18	MR. STAUFFER: Don't recall?
19	MS. LÉGER: No.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Now, you go on to mention
21	and we don't want to go through the name, but it says:
22	"One person who he may have had a
23	relationship was"
24	And I don't want you to mention the name
25	now. But do you see that name?

1	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: "Not certain if the
3	name is right. They became good
4	friends and he would keep coming back."
5	Do you remember anything about?
6	MS. LÉGER: I think I'm not sure, but I
7	think that person was on probation to him at one time.
8	That's about it.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Right, but would you have
10	ever had a thought in your mind that he would have been
11	it says here:
12	"one person whom he"
13	which is Barque:
14	"may have had a relationship
15	with"
16	is that name:
17	"Not really"
18	it says:
19	"Not certain if name is right. They
20	became good friends and he would keep
21	coming back."
22	MS. LÉGER: I don't know.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, did you ever have a
24	thought in your mind that Nelson could have been having a
25	relationship with a probationer?

1	MS. LÉGER: No.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
3	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
4	Would you agree, Madame, that if this is
5	correct, if the officer comes at some point and says "Yes,
6	this is what Madame Léger told me on the phone or in
7	person", would you agree that your memory back then in
8	early 1995 would be better than it is today in late 2007?
9	MS. LÉGER: Oh, probably.
10	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. With respect to the
11	other statement that you gave and this is what the
12	Commissioner referred you to a moment ago. It's a
13	there's a typed statement. You actually there's a
14	handwritten version of it as well, but we will only refer
15	you to the typed version. This is with Constables Genier
16	and McDonnell.
17	MS. LÉGER: M'hm. Yes.
18	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. It's an earlier
19	statement.
20	Everything in that statement, assuming again
21	the officers come forward and say "Yes, this is what we
22	asked Madame and this is what she said to us" and so on,
23	would you agree that the comments in there would more
24	accurately reflect your memory than your memory today?
25	MS. LÉGER: Probably. Yeah, to a certain

1	extent.
2	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
3	Now, just a few other things. I want to be
4	clear before I close off.
5	I'd centered in on the Thursday nights
6	because that's the information I'd been given but in
7	thinking about this were there other nights when the
8	probation office would be open?
9	MS. LÉGER: Possibly. I don't know. But
10	probably, but it wouldn't have required a secretary to be
11	there.
12	MR. STAUFFER: I see. So your recollection
13	is it's only on the Thursday evenings when a secretary
14	MS. LÉGER: For staff
15	MR. STAUFFER: would have to be there?
16	MS. LÉGER: Yes, for support staff to be
17	there.
18	MR. STAUFFER: Was the secretary there for
19	more than just, you know, greeting the probationer coming
20	in? Was she there to act as some kind of support or some
21	kind of a just a second person in the office if
22	something went wrong?
23	MS. LÉGER: I don't know. I think it was
24	probably just to have support staff in the office. To
25	greet the people reporting. That's probably the only

reason.

2	MR. STAUFFER: Was there a policy or
3	requirement that somebody be in the office at night with
4	whoever the probation officer or officers were? That is,
5	was there a requirement that you or Mrs. Quinn or whoever
6	would be the support staff, be there in addition to the
7	probation officer?
8	MS. LÉGER: Was it required to have a
9	secretary there?
10	MR. STAUFFER: Yes.
11	MS. LÉGER: Probably was. Yeah. I would
12	imagine. Don't recall. I don't know if there was a policy
13	on that but there was always a secretary there on hand.
14	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
15	With respect to and this is a fellow that
16	you I assume you are familiar with, but Jos van Diepen;
17	do you remember Mr. van Diepen as a probation officer?
18	MS. LÉGER: Yes I do.
19	MR. STAUFFER: Yes. What was his
20	relationship with Mr. Seguin? How would you describe their
21	relationship?
22	MS. LÉGER: Well, just no more they're
23	just coworkers and they had known each other for quite
24	awhile, I think. They lived out in the same area.
25	MR. STAUFFER: Would you describe their

1	relationship though as close, that they had a very good
2	personal relationship or was it a more distant
3	MS. LÉGER: Oh, I wouldn't know.
4	MR. STAUFFER: You don't have any comments
5	about their relationship?
6	MS. LÉGER: Not really.
7	MR. STAUFFER: Would you give us your best
8	recollection, think about this, as to when you first heard
9	rumors concerning Mr. Seguin Ken Seguin?
10	MS. LÉGER: The first rumors?
11	MR. STAUFFER: Yes.
12	MS. LÉGER: Well, I think it would be
13	shortly after or during the time that I was getting ready
14	to retire, in 1993.
15	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
16	MS. LÉGER: That there was a rumor pending
17	investigation on Mr. Seguin.
18	MR. STAUFFER: And so if I understand it
19	correctly, you left in August of 1993.
20	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
21	MR. STAUFFER: Do you remember when it was
22	in August?
23	MS. LÉGER: Oh, that I left?
24	MR. STAUFFER: Yes.
25	MS. LÉGER: Mid-August.

1	MR. STAUFFER: Okay, so you retired mid-
2	August. You had physically left the office at that point?
3	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
4	MR. STAUFFER: So sometime before mid-August
5	of 1993 would have been your first hearing of rumors
6	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
7	MR. STAUFFER: about Mr. Sequin?
8	Can you get us any closer to when that
9	started? When you first heard?
10	MS. LÉGER: No. There was just a rumor in
11	the office that this was going and investigation going
12	on, but that's it.
13	MR. STAUFFER: So do you remember who passed
14	the rumor on to you?
1.	-
15	MS. LÉGER: No.
	MS. LÉGER: No. MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
15	
15 16	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
15 16 17	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. THE COMMISSIONER: Would it be you know
15 16 17 18	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. THE COMMISSIONER: Would it be you know in the office
15 16 17 18 19	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. THE COMMISSIONER: Would it be you know in the office MS. LÉGER: Probably in the office.
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. THE COMMISSIONER: Would it be you know in the office MS. LÉGER: Probably in the office. THE COMMISSIONER: It's a small office.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. THE COMMISSIONER: Would it be you know in the office MS. LÉGER: Probably in the office. THE COMMISSIONER: It's a small office. MS. LÉGER: Yeah. Yeah.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. THE COMMISSIONER: Would it be you know in the office MS. LÉGER: Probably in the office. THE COMMISSIONER: It's a small office. MS. LÉGER: Yeah. Yeah. THE COMMISSIONER: All right and would you

1	always something to talk about during coffee break and
2	whatever, but I don't know if it's where if that's where
3	I heard it but just
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, would everyone have
5	known in the office of that rumor, other than Mr. Seguin
6	maybe?
7	MS. LÉGER: I wouldn't probably. I don't
8	know.
9	MR. STAUFFER: Madame, the two final
10	questions, if you did learn of something that you
11	considered to be inappropriate on the part of a probation
12	officer, whatever it might be, what were you required to do
13	in terms of reporting?
14	MS. LÉGER: Well, I think you would be
15	obliged to report to your immediate supervisor the next
16	you know your manager and then that would be a person's
17	responsibility, and then it would be his responsibility to
18	take it from there.
19	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Let me ask you this
20	hypothetical question. If you were you were to
21	discover a sexually explicit magazine in a probation
22	officers office all right would you consider that
23	something that you would report be required to report to
24	another coworker or higher up to a supervisor?
25	MS. LÉGER: I would think so. I think it

1	would be something that I would report.
2	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
3	With respect, Madame, to the exhibit, the
4	next Exhibit, and this is really for Mr. Commissioner. Mr.
5	Commissioner I don't think we've made the typed OPP
6	statement an exhibit. So this is document
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Which one; 725361?
8	MR. STAUFFER: The one to Genier and
9	McDonnell.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, yeah, 1099.
11	MR. STAUFFER: It's 1098?
12	THE COMMISSIONER: No. 1099.
13	MR. STAUFFER: Nine-nine (99) 1099.
14	Thank you, sir.
15	Madame, you may or may not be aware of this
16	so tell us about your knowledge, but there have been civil
17	law suits brought against Mr. Seguin as a result of
18	allegations concerning sexual impropriety with some of his
19	probationers.
20	Were you aware of that, of those law suits?
21	MS. LÉGER: I've heard rumours about it,
22	yeah.
23	MR. STAUFFER: Were you surprised to learn
24	of those lawsuits?
25	MS. LÉGER: I guess not. I mean, that's

1	_
2	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Thank you, Madame.
3	Those are the questions I have.
4	Mr. Commissioner, I turn this over to my
5	friends.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, before they go off
7	
8	MR. STAUFFER: Yes, sir.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: I just want to talk to
10	you for a little bit about, you know, there's what goes
11	on in an office officially and what gets talked about. All
12	right. So I'd like to talk to you a little bit about that.
13	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: So I want to take you
15	back to when Mr. Sirrs came in. All right? You're about
16	to move. Did you know that Mr. Seguin and Mr. van Diepen
17	may have applied for that job?
18	MS. LÉGER: I believe they did.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
20	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: So I'm just trying to
22	recreate a mood here. So in comes Mr. Sirrs, who is an
23	outsider. Was there any ill feeling that he got the job
24	and the two others guys didn't?
25	MS. LÉGER: I think maybe not openly, but

1	I'm sure there was some feeling that, you know, one of them
2	should have maybe because they were local maybe they
3	should have had that position.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. But was that felt
5	in the office? Did you feel that?
6	MS. LÉGER: Not really.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So they're in the
8	office. Mr. Sirrs is there. Did Mr. Sirrs what kind of
9	manager did you think he was?
10	MS. LÉGER: I thought he was a great
11	manager.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
13	MS. LÉGER: I worked well with him, and I
14	worked only for him.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
16	MS. LÉGER: I reported to him.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. Was he the kind
18	of guy that well, he had let's put it this way, he
19	had a military background.
20	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Did he seem to be kind of
22	a straight-to-the-edge kind of a guy?
23	MS. LÉGER: Very much.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Not edge, straight to the
25	line?

1	MS. LÉGER: Very straight. Yeah, very much
2	so.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
4	Did that conflict in any way with either van
5	Diepen or Seguin?
6	MS. LÉGER: I'm sure it did, because, first
7	of all, we had not had an onsite manager for so long
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
9	MS. LÉGER: and then to have someone
10	come in and, you know, take over and tell you what to do
11	and when to do it.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
13	MS. LÉGER: So I can imagine that would
14	create a little bit of
15	THE COMMISSIONER: And was it talked about a
16	little bit
17	MS. LÉGER: I suppose so, yeah.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: over coffee in the
19	morning?
20	MS. LÉGER: Yeah, yeah.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you remember any of
22	that?
23	MS. LÉGER: Some of it, yeah.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. And so you're
25	going through and Mr. Sirrs is there and everything is

1	going and all of a sudden Mr. Barque leaves. Do you
2	remember that, in May of
3	MS. LÉGER: Yes, okay.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: All right?
5	MS. LÉGER: Yeah, in 82.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So you know,
7	because you've taken a phone call, that there is a
8	complaint?
9	MS. LÉGER: Yeah.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you know what the
11	complaint was about at that time when you took the phone
12	call?
13	MS. LÉGER: No. No, the person on the phone
14	the complainant didn't tell me what it was.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So come May 4 th or
16	whatever date, Barque is gone. Is there ever any
17	explanation given, like officially, like a team meeting at
18	the office and saying this is why Mr. Barque left?
19	MS. LÉGER: Not to my recollection.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
21	MS. LÉGER: Until after some time after the
22	fact, I think, something like that.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. But the
24	scuttlebutt must have been going?
25	MS. LÉGER: Oh, I'm sure it was.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, okay, so what were
2	you guys talking about in the office when Barque left?
3	MS. LÉGER: I think we all had questions as
4	to you know, it was such a shock, I think, to most of
5	us.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
7	MS. LÉGER: I'm not really sure what was
8	said, but it was a shock
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Just a feeling. Okay.
10	Well,
11	MS. LÉGER: that this was going on in
12	our office. I don't know.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, did ever anything
14	come of it? Like, do you ever like, with the group, you
15	know, not the official talk but in the office, did you ever
16	come up with some kind of a theory about why he left?
17	MS. LÉGER: No.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: I mean, it would seem to
19	me, you're a small group
20	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: and you're you
22	know, you're a tight-knit group. I mean, you all seem to
23	get along. And all of a sudden he leaves. Like, I would
24	be scratching my head and thinking what happened.
25	MS. LÉGER: Well, I'm sure we did at the

1	time.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
3	MS. LÉGER: It's such a long time ago.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
5	MS. LÉGER: Well, you try to remember things
6	that would happen in the office, would occur in the office,
7	to make sense of all this, you know, you try, but we had no
8	proof.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, no proof, right.
10	But never mind the proof or no proof. What was the
11	scuttlebutt? What were you throwing around as ideas with
12	the group?
13	MS. LÉGER: I can't remember. I can't
14	remember, sir.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Did the idea that he was
16	sexually abusing probationers that he had, sorry, a
17	sexual relationship with a probationer come up in that
18	talk?
19	MS. LÉGER: Not to my knowledge, no.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: No? Okay.
21	So now Mr. Seguin, you've known him for a
22	long time, right?
23	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: And in your Exhibit 1099,
25	the statement that you remember signing with the police,

1	you say:
2	"We all felt that Ken was a
3	homosexual."
4	Who is "we"?
5	MS. LÉGER: Well, okay, that's probably not
6	the right words. You know, I think I felt that probably
7	thought that he was homosexual and maybe thought that other
8	members of the staff thought as well
9	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
10	MS. LÉGER: that, you know but I
11	don't think I'm not speaking for everyone because
12	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, no. Don't
13	forget, we're not talking about the official party line
14	here. We're talking about what's going on in your office.
15	All right?
16	So did you think, for example, that Mr. van
17	Diepen thought that figured that Mr. Seguin was a
18	homosexual?
19	MS. LÉGER: I don't know.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Did you ever talk
21	MS. LÉGER: No, no.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: about that together?
23	MS. LÉGER: No, we never did.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So the unspoken
25	word, I guess?

1	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: So you've talked about
3	leading up to your retirement that Mr. Seguin was having
4	some mood swings, that kind of thing.
5	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
7	You knew that he was friends with Father
8	MacDonald?
9	MS. LÉGER: Yes, yes.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: And you know he was
11	friends with Malcolm MacDonald?
12	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
14	Did those folks ever show up at the
15	probation office?
16	MS. LÉGER: Father Charles, I've never seen
17	at the office.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
19	MS. LÉGER: And Malcolm may have come up.
20	His office was downstairs, you know, in the same building.
21	He may have come up. I'm sure he did. But Father Charles,
22	I never seen him.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
24	So what kind of scuttlebutt; what kind of
25	discussions were you having about that Ken was being

1	investigated, before you retired? Was there any talk about
2	that at all?
3	MS. LÉGER: Not really. Not to my knowledge
4	anyways. Just that this rumour circulated in the office
5	that he was being investigated for something, but I don't
6	know what.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So you're saying
8	the rumour was being circulated in the office.
9	MS. LÉGER: Well, I'm sure, yeah, that's
10	probably what where I heard it from.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Well, then, did
12	you talk to anybody about that rumour?
13	MS. LÉGER: No.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Mrs. Quinn, for example?
15	MS. LÉGER: Not to my knowledge.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm. So if you then
17	if it was going around the office, it's like a cold; you
18	have to catch it from somebody.
19	MS. LÉGER: Yes, I
20	THE COMMISSIONER: So who would you have
21	caught that from?
22	MS. LÉGER: I don't I can't remember. I
23	really wouldn't.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
25	Okay. Thank you.

1	Mr. Manson.
2	MR. STAUFFER: Mr. Commissioner, just one
3	thing from your questions here.
4	Madame, I appreciate you've been trying to
5	refresh your memory. Did you speak to anyone before coming
6	here today, any of your coworkers? I don't mean yesterday
7	or whatever, but in the time period, in the last few months
8	or the last few years, have you spoken with anyone? And
9	again, I'm not talking about your lawyers, but any
10	coworkers as to their recollections of what went on?
11	MS. LÉGER: No.
12	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
13	Mr. Commissioner, of course there is a
14	signed statement apparently that Madame signed that
15	buttresses that typed statement, and if you or my friends
16	wish to enter it as an exhibit, we can do that. But there
17	is an actual signed statement for the Genier and McDonnell
18	interview.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: What I would like in the
20	future is that the original or the handwritten
21	MR. STAUFFER: Yes.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: and the typed be
23	filed together
24	MR. STAUFFER: Yes, sir.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: as an "A" and "B"

1	exhibit, so if there is any controversy.
2	MR. STAUFFER: Sure.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: And sometimes maybe the
4	handwritten will bring back some memory.
5	MR. STAUFFER: Would you like to do that
6	now, Mr. Commissioner?
7	THE COMMISSIONER: If you have it, sure.
8	MR. STAUFFER: I have it, yeah.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
10	MR. STAUFFER: Mr. Commissioner, there is a
11	document number 725194 which is a three-page document. And
12	perhaps again, in fairness, once Madam Clerk has found it,
13	she can just show it to Madam Léger to see if that is
14	indeed her signature.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
16	So Exhibit 1099B is the handwritten
17	document, a rapport d'entrevue of Marcelle Léger dated the
18	17 th of February 1994.
19	EXHIBIT NO./ PIÈCE NO P-1099B:
20	(725194) Handwritten interview report -
21	Marcelle Léger with OPP D.C. Genier and C.
22	McDonnell dated February 17 th , 1994
23	THE COMMISSIONER: So, Madam, if you could
24	look at the last page. Is that your signature?
25	MS. LÉGER: Yes, M'hm.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
2	So is that the statement, as you recall it,
3	that you would have given to the two officers Genier and
4	McDonnell?
5	MS. LÉGER: Well, I gave them a statement.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
7	MS. LÉGER: I'm not sure that they've used
8	exactly the words that I would have you know
9	THE COMMISSIONER: It said:
10	"Would you read your statement and sign
11	it?"
12	MS. LÉGER: Yes, and I signed it.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Pardon me?
14	MS. LÉGER: Yes, and I signed it.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: So you would have read it
16	before you
17	MS. LÉGER: Well, quickly.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank you.
19	MR. STAUFFER: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
21	Mr. Manson.
22	MR. MANSON: If I could just have one quick
23	second?
24	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
25	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.

1	MANSON:
2	MR. MANSON: Good morning, Madame Léger. My
3	name is Allan Manson and I'm one of the counsel for the
4	Citizens for Community Renewal, which is a group of
5	Cornwall citizens concerned with institutional reform and
6	especially protecting young people.
7	Can I take you back to 502 Pitt Street, the
8	building? I understand that Malcolm MacDonald had an
9	office in the building?
10	MS. LÉGER: Yes, he did.
11	MR. MANSON: And can you recall when
12	Probation moved into that building?
13	MS. LÉGER: Possibly '81.
14	MR. MANSON: I'm sorry?
15	MS. LÉGER: I believe it was 1981.
16	MR. MANSON: In '81.
17	MS. LÉGER: Somewhere there.
18	MR. MANSON: And what other agencies were in
19	that building?
20	MS. LÉGER: I believe we were on the top
21	floor and Community and Social Services were also on our
22	floor, but at the end of the building. I believe RCMP were
23	on the second floor, and downstairs was one or two offices,
24	one of which was probably Mr. MacDonald's.
25	MR. MANSON: Was there any interaction

1	between the RCMP officers and your office?
2	MS. LÉGER: Not so much, not much.
3	MR. MANSON: Would you see officers visiting
4	your premises at all?
5	MS. LÉGER: Not to my recollection.
6	MR. MANSON: Now, when you were the
7	administration clerk from 1981 on, you worked closely with
8	the area manager, didn't you?
9	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
10	MR. MANSON: And other than the complaint by
11	Mr. St. Louis in the spring of 1982 that you talked to us
12	about, did you receive or participate in the dealing with
13	any other complaints about Nelson Barque or Ken Seguin?
14	MS. LÉGER: No.
15	MR. MANSON: So as far as you were
16	concerned, other than that call that then led to his
17	resignation, there were no controversies about the work of
18	Nelson Barque and Ken Seguin?
19	MS. LÉGER: No.
20	MR. MANSON: Can I take you back to your
21	retirement? You recall that, mid-August '93?
22	MS. LÉGER: Yeah, with great joy.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Good for you.
24	MR. MANSON: In the months or the year
25	before that, did you learn of any controversy about Mr.

1	Seguin socializing with young people and probationers
2	outside of the office?
3	MS. LÉGER: Not really. I know that he saw
4	his probationers I felt he was close to his probationers
5	or spent some time with them, but outside of the office,
6	I'm not aware.
7	MR. MANSON: Well, just in that period,
8	within about a year and a bit of your retirement, we've
9	heard evidence that in January of 1992, Mr. Seguin himself
10	reported an incident where four young people, including one
11	of your clients, came and visited him at home and he
12	reported that to Emile Robert.
13	Do you recall that controversy in the
14	office?
15	MS. LÉGER: Would you repeat that again,
16	please?
17	MR. MANSON: Mr. Seguin reported to Emile
18	Robert that four young people, including a client, had
19	visited him at home.
20	MS. LÉGER: No.
21	MR. MANSON: And that this ultimately was
22	part of a police investigation dealing with a homicide.
23	MS. LÉGER: No, I don't.
24	MR. MANSON: You don't recall that?
25	MS. LÉGER: No.

1	MR. MANSON: Can I take you to when I
2	asked you about complaints, did you ever hear anything from
3	custodial staff about
4	MS. LÉGER: Custodial staff?
5	MR. MANSON: Mr. Barque and Mr. Seguin,
6	about being in the office after hours?
7	MS. LÉGER: Well, they were in the office
8	after hours for night reporting, but I've never had any
9	complaints, never had or not myself but never not
10	aware of any complaints coming from custodial.
11	MR. MANSON: Okay. Can I can we have a
12	look at Exhibit 121, please?
13	THE COMMISSIONER: While we're doing that,
14	Mr. Manson, I just do you remember ever hearing about
15	somebody who's on probation who shot another young boy?
16	Does that ring a bell to you?
17	MS. LÉGER: Someone who's on probation
18	THE COMMISSIONER: On probation, yeah, ended
19	up shooting was he a probationer?
20	MR. MANSON: I don't believe that the
21	shooter was on probation, Mr
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
23	MR. MANSON: Commissioner.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Sorry.
25	MR. MANSON: The client was another part of

1	the group.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Right. All right.
3	Do you remember anybody taking a gun and
4	shooting
5	MS. LÉGER: Well, there was something I've
6	heard, but I really don't know the information.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
8	MS. LÉGER: I think something happened. It
9	was either in the newspapers that I read it, but that's it.
10	MR. MANSON: But you don't recall anything
11	in connection with Ken Seguin and that event?
12	MS. LÉGER: No, no.
13	MR. MANSON: Could we have a quick look at
14	Exhibit 121? And it's the Bates page 1078025.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: That's the first page of
16	it?
17	MR. MANSON: It would be the second page.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Two-six (26) or where
19	Zebruck and
20	MR. MANSON: Yes, with the name.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Okay.
22	So, Madam Léger
23	MR. MANSON: Now, you see the name at the
24	top, Madam Léger?
25	MS. LÉGER: Yes.

1	MR. MANSON: It's an unusual name, you would
2	agree?
3	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
4	MR. MANSON: Okay. Now, let's go back to
5	your retirement, mid-August 1993.
6	Do you actually recall late November 1993
7	when Ken Seguin died?
8	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
9	MR. MANSON: How did you learn of that
10	event?
11	MS. LÉGER: Well, I think the staff, the
12	office some of our former co-workers called me to tell
13	me.
14	MR. MANSON: And they called you at home?
15	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
16	MR. MANSON: When you retired, were you
17	spending most of your time at home?
18	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
19	MR. MANSON: You didn't take another job?
20	MS. LÉGER: No.
21	MR. MANSON: Did you go away on vacations?
22	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
23	MR. MANSON: For short periods or long
24	periods of time?
25	MS. LÉGER: Oh, long and short.

1	MR. MANSON: Did you go south?
2	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
3	MR. MANSON: Can you recall that first year;
4	did you go south?
5	MS. LÉGER: Probably did, yeah. We go every
6	year.
7	MR. MANSON: But you were in Cornwall when
8	Ken Seguin died?
9	MS. LÉGER: Yes, I was.
10	MR. MANSON: And then about two months
11	afterwards, February $14^{\rm th}$, 1994, you recall the two officers
12	coming to see you?
13	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
14	MR. MANSON: Genier and McDonell?
15	MS. LÉGER: That's right.
16	MR. MANSON: Okay. And at that point, the
17	purpose of the interview was, for the most part, about the
18	death of Ken Seguin; correct?
19	MS. LÉGER: Yes, correct.
20	MR. MANSON: And they came to your home?
21	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
22	MR. MANSON: In 1994, do you recall any
23	vacations you may have taken?
24	MS. LÉGER: I don't recall, but I'm sure we
25	take holidays all the time vacations all the time.

1	MR. MANSON: Anything significant about that
2	period that rings a bell?
3	MS. LÉGER: For what period are we talking
4	about?
5	MR. MANSON: Nineteen ninety-four (1994).
6	THE COMMISSIONER: So you retired in August
7	of 1993?
8	MS. LÉGER: Of '93.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: So in the early part of
10	'94, would you have gone away south or anything like that?
11	MS. LÉGER: Probably, yes, we do.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
13	MS. LÉGER: In the early year.
14	MR. MANSON: And then when do you come back
15	from the south, what part of the year?
16	MS. LÉGER: Well, usually April.
17	MR. MANSON: April. And do you golf in the
18	summer?
19	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
20	MR. MANSON: And so the summer of '94,
21	you're back from the south. You're golfing. The death of
22	Ken Seguin is already months in the past; correct?
23	MS. LÉGER: Right.
24	MR. MANSON: Are you seeing anyone from the
25	probation office socially during this period?

1	MS. LÉGER: Yes, we do. We keep in touch
2	with them.
3	MR. MANSON: And now we come into the fall
4	and we're now at Christmastime, 1994. It's over a year
5	after Ken Seguin's death.
6	MS. LÉGER: Right.
7	MR. MANSON: And then comes New Year's, and
8	shortly after New Year's, this man, Constable Zebruck,
9	wants to talk to you.
10	Does that stand out in your mind? That's an
11	unusual event for a police officer to want to talk to you?
12	MS. LÉGER: Well, it stands out in my mind
13	because I don't remember that person. He's never been to
14	my home. I don't remember being interviewed by him.
15	MR. MANSON: Now, he would have wanted to
16	talk to you about Nelson Barque.
17	MS. LÉGER: No, I don't recall that that
18	person at all.
19	MR. MANSON: If I can just have one second
20	to check my notes, Mr. Commissioner?
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
22	MR. MANSON: I think those are all my
23	questions. Thank you.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
25	Mr. Lee, in Mr. Horn's absence.

1	MR. LEE: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning, sir.
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. LEE:
4	MR. LEE: Ms. Léger, my name is Dallas Lee.
5	I'm counsel for the Victims Group.
6	You told us today that you worked at
7	Probation and Parole for 28 years. Is that right?
8	MS. LÉGER: Correct.
9	MR. LEE: And am I right to understand that
10	during that time you would have had direct contact with
11	clients?
12	MS. LÉGER: Direct indirect.
13	MR. LEE: You would have worked as a
14	receptionist at times?
15	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
16	MR. LEE: You would have greeted them?
17	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
18	MR. LEE: You would have told them to have a
19	seat and wait or you would have told them to go into an
20	office, things like that?
21	MS. LÉGER: Correct.
22	MR. LEE: You would have dealt, as I
23	understand it, with male and female clients, young and old?
24	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
25	MR. LEE: And specifically, throughout your

1	career you would have dealt with many, many young male
2	clients. Would you agree with that?
3	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
4	MR. LEE: So let's say under 25 years old?
5	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
6	MR. LEE: Can you help us understand,
7	generally, what the client base of Probation and Parole was
8	like for these young males under 25? Can you give us some
9	description of what kind of people you were dealing with?
10	MS. LÉGER: I'm not sure I follow you.
11	MR. LEE: Everybody you dealt with at
12	Probation and Parole obviously had run into some trouble
13	with the law. That's why they're there?
14	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
15	MR. LEE: Was it your understanding
16	throughout your career that some of these clients you were
17	dealing with had drug and alcohol issues?
18	MS. LÉGER: Possibly, yes.
19	MR. LEE: That, I take it, with some of
20	these people would have been more apparent than with
21	others?
22	MS. LÉGER: Yes, possibly, yeah.
23	MR. LEE: You would have come across clients
24	during your career that you knew very obviously had serious
25	problems and others who didn't appear that way. Is that

1	right?
2	MS. LÉGER: That's right.
3	MR. LEE: Can I assume that during your
4	career at probation you would have come across clients who
5	ended up on probation and parole more than once?
6	MS. LÉGER: Correct.
7	MR. LEE: You had some frequent visitors, I
8	take it, during your career?
9	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Repeat clients, I think
11	is what he means.
12	MS. LÉGER: Clients.
13	MR. LEE: You would see these people over
14	and over again, some of them?
15	MS. LÉGER: Yes, correct.
16	MR. LEE: They would get into trouble. They
17	would complete what they had to do and a year later they'd
18	be back in trouble?
19	MS. LÉGER: Correct.
20	MR. LEE: That wasn't all that uncommon, I
21	take it? Was that uncommon?
22	MS. LÉGER: No.
23	MR. LEE: And did you understand that one of
24	the roles of the Probation and Parole Service was to try to
25	help these people?

1	MS. LEGER: Correct.
2	MR. LEE: And one part of that was trying to
3	make sure that these people didn't end up back in your
4	office again?
5	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
6	MR. LEE: Once an offender was on probation
7	and parole once in your office, would he typically be
8	assigned to the same probation officer again the next time?
9	MS. LÉGER: He might, but not necessarily.
10	MR. LEE: Might a probation officer ask to
11	have somebody reassigned to him?
12	MS. LÉGER: It could be.
13	MR. LEE: Might an offender ask for a
14	probation officer that he had dealt with previously?
15	MS. LÉGER: Yeah, that could be, but that
16	wouldn't be his choice.
17	MR. LEE: Would that be one of the factors
18	that might be considered in assigning files, whether or not
19	there was a prior history and whether or not a probation
20	officer had dealt with that person before?
21	MS. LÉGER: When you assign files?
22	MR. LEE: Yes.
23	MS. LÉGER: Well, chances are they might go
24	to the officer who did the supervision prior.
25	MR. LEE: It makes sense that if the officer

1	dealt with them once, he may
2	MS. LÉGER: Yeah, because he's got all the
3	history and, you know, he supervised him before.
4	MR. LEE: You were asked a couple of minutes
5	ago by the Commissioner about the complaint that was
6	received by your office relating to Nelson Barque in 1982.
7	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
8	MR. LEE: Do you recall that conversation
9	with the Commissioner?
10	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
11	MR. LEE: And what you told the Commissioner
12	was that the caller, Mr. St. Louis, didn't tell you what
13	the allegations were specifically. Do you recall that?
14	MS. LÉGER: No, he just told me that he had
15	a complaint.
16	MR. STAUFFER: Sorry, Mr. Commissioner.
17	MR. LEE: Ma'am, can you turn up Exhibit
18	904, please?
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Just a second. We'll get
20	that for you.
21	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
22	MR. LEE: Do you have that, Ma'am?
23	MS. LÉGER: Yes, I do.
24	MR. LEE: Can I take you to there's a
25	cover page; it's the first page of the document, and then

1	the second page is where we get the narrative of the
2	report.
3	Can you look at the second paragraph,
4	please? And this is the report prepared by Mr. Sirrs and
5	sent to the Regional Administrator, Mr. Toffelmire, okay?
6	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
7	MR. LEE: And that second paragraph reads:
8	"On Thursday, April 8, 1982, at
9	approximately 10:00 a.m. I received a
10	telephone call from a person who
11	identified himself as Ronald St. Louis
12	of 117A Ray Street, Cornwall. He had
13	called the office on the previous
14	Tuesday and had made these same
15	allegations to Mrs. Marcelle Léger,
16	administrative services clerk."
17	Do you see that?
18	MS. LÉGER: Yes. M'hm.
19	MR. LEE: Mr. Sirrs continues:
20	"To my knowledge, Mrs. Léger is the
21	only staff member who has direct
22	knowledge of these allegations arising
23	out of the complaint."
24	Do you see that?
25	MS. LÉGER: Yes.

1	MR. LEE: Mr. Sirrs' information would seem
2	to suggest that you had received the complaint and that you
3	had direct knowledge of the allegations themselves. Is
4	that how you read Mr. Sirrs' report? That seems to be what
5	he's saying?
6	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
7	MR. LEE: Do you disagree with what Mr.
8	Sirrs has written there? Is it possible that you did in
9	fact receive the allegations from Mr. St. Louis? You had
10	some idea after that phone call of what he was calling
11	about?
12	MS. LÉGER: No, I had what Mr I didn't
13	know what the name was. It was Mr. St. Louis. I had
14	forgotten his name. But all he did was call our office. I
15	spoke to him. He said he had a complaint about Mr. Barque
16	and that was it. I told him to give me his name, his phone
17	number, and I would refer that to my immediate superior.
18	MR. LEE: He didn't tell you who the client
19	was?
20	MS. LÉGER: No.
21	MR. LEE: He told you Mr. Barque. That's
22	right?
23	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
24	MR. LEE: But he didn't tell you what client
25	he was calling about?

1	MS. LEGER: He told me it was a complaint
2	against Mr. Barque.
3	MR. LEE: Did he tell you anything about the
4	nature of the complaint?
5	MS. LÉGER: No.
6	MR. LEE: That it related to alcohol?
7	MS. LÉGER: No.
8	MR. LEE: That it related to drugs or that
9	it related to sex or anything along those lines?
10	MS. LÉGER: No, not at all.
11	MR. LEE: So Mr. Sirrs is wrong here?
12	MS. LÉGER: Well
13	MR. LEE: I'm not looking to criticize Mr.
14	Sirrs because I'm
15	MS. LÉGER: No, no, but I the person that
16	called, if it was Mr. St. Louis, just told me that the
17	complaint was about Mr. Barque, and at that point, I think
18	I stopped him and I said, "You shouldn't be telling me
19	this. There's a complaint. I'll be in touch with my
20	manager when he returns tomorrow." So he gave me a phone
21	number, his name and a phone number, and that was it. And
22	he told me the complaint was about Mr. Barque. And he
23	didn't go into detail.
24	MR. LEE: How long did you work for Mr.
25	Sirrs?

1	MS. LÉGER: How long?
2	MR. LEE: Yes, how long?
3	MS. LÉGER: From '81 until '85
4	approximately.
5	MR. LEE: And you were his was it
6	administrative clerk? Is that what you were?
7	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
8	MR. LEE: You were his administrative clerk?
9	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
10	MR. LEE: You didn't work for the other
11	officers in the office during that time?
12	MS. LÉGER: No.
13	MR. LEE: Would you have prepared
14	correspondence for him, done the typing, prepared the
15	letters themselves?
16	MS. LÉGER: Oh yes, yes.
17	MR. LEE: Did he dictate? Is that how he
18	worked?
19	MS. LÉGER: Yes, he dictated.
20	MR. LEE: Did you ever know Mr. Sirrs to
21	type anything up himself?
22	MS. LÉGER: No.
23	MR. LEE: Is it safe to assume then that you
24	would have been the one who typed up this report?
25	MS. LÉGER: I don't recall typing this

1	report, no.
2	MR. LEE: Can you think of who else may have
3	typed this report?
4	MS. LÉGER: Who else?
5	MR. LEE: In your experience working for Mr.
6	Sirrs, would he have assigned that task to somebody else
7	other than you if he needed something typed up?
8	MS. LÉGER: He might have had someone else
9	type it.
10	MR. LEE: You don't recall typing this?
11	MS. LÉGER: No, I don't recall typing this
12	report at all.
13	MR. LEE: Is it your evidence, Ma'am, that
14	by the time that Nelson Barque was suspended and ultimately
15	resigned, that you had absolutely no idea what the
16	allegations against him had been?
17	MS. LÉGER: At the time of the complaint?
18	MR. LEE: At the time of his resignation on
19	May 6 th , 1982.
20	MS. LÉGER: At the time of his resignation?
21	I I'm not sure.
22	MR. LEE: Well, let's break it down. You
23	were advised that he had resigned?
24	MS. LÉGER: Yes, yes.
25	MR. LEE: That wasn't speculation. They

1	told you he had resigned?
2	MS. LÉGER: Oh, definitely.
3	MR. LEE: Did they tell you why he had
4	resigned?
5	MS. LÉGER: I believe Mr. Sirrs told me,
6	that's right.
7	MR. LEE: And do you recall what he told
8	you?
9	MS. LÉGER: Well, probably something about
10	sexual abuse.
11	MR. LEE: Of a client?
12	MS. LÉGER: Of a client.
13	MR. LEE: And was that generally known
14	around the office?
15	MS. LÉGER: Not to my knowledge, no.
16	MR. LEE: Did you tell anybody around the
17	office why?
18	MS. LÉGER: No.
19	MR. LEE: I take it there must have been
20	questions; people must have been wondering
21	MS. LÉGER: Well, I'm sure.
22	MR. LEE: what had happened?
23	MS. LÉGER: Yeah, I'm sure people
24	questioned, but not too much was being said at that time
25	because it was I think pending an investigation.

1	MR. LEE: Do you recall what you would have
2	told people had they asked you why he resigned?
3	MS. LÉGER: I don't know what I would have
4	told them.
5	MR. LEE: You wouldn't have told them the
6	truth though about what
7	MS. LÉGER: No, it had to come from my
8	manager not from me.
9	MR. LEE: It wasn't your place to say
10	anything?
11	MS. LÉGER: No.
12	MR. LEE: And you could trust Mr. Sirrs to
13	handle his job. Is that right?
14	ms. léger: I do.
15	MR. LEE: You told us Mr. Stauffer in-
16	chief took you through some of the notes of Zebruck that
17	you've looked at a little bit, and one of the issues
18	surrounded the lock on Nelson Barque's door, and we have
19	your evidence on that.
20	Did you personally I'm talking you now
21	did you at any point go around and check all of the other
22	offices to see whether there were locks on the doors?
23	MS. LÉGER: No.
24	MR. LEE: You didn't specifically go to Ken
25	Seguin's office and see if there was a lock?

1	MS. LÉGER: No.
2	MR. LEE: Had you ever done that at any of
3	the prior offices?
4	MS. LÉGER: No.
5	MR. LEE: Did you hear at any point that
6	there was a lock on Ken Seguin's door?
7	MS. LÉGER: No.
8	MR. LEE: Didn't hear anything about that at
9	all?
10	MS. LÉGER: No.
11	MR. LEE: With respect to the notes of
12	Constable Zebruck, you've been quite clear that you don't
13	recall meeting this man, you don't
14	MS. LÉGER: I don't recall that name at all.
15	I don't recall meeting him. I know he's never been to my
16	home. And I don't remember the statements he's made.
17	MR. LEE: Some of which you agree with and
18	some of which you don't?
19	MS. LÉGER: Some I agree and others
20	definitely I know I didn't say it.
21	MR. LEE: If you can look at that statement.
22	It is now Exhibit 121. That's the handwritten notes of
23	Constable Zebruck that you were handed today.
24	And a moment ago you went through this piece
25	by piece to see whether or not you agreed with some of this

1	or any of it.
2	MS. LÉGER: That's right. M'hm.
3	MR. LEE: And you told us that you agreed
4	with the statement that Nelson seemed to get too involved
5	with his probationers and that he left them in his office
6	when he went out, and then he let them sit at his desk, and
7	that probationers would come more often than would be
8	required. And you said it was possible that he had clients
9	in his office after hours and told the staff to lock the
10	door so they weren't disturbed. Is that right?
11	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
12	MR. LEE: Did you ever tell Peter Sirrs
13	about any of those facts?
14	MS. LÉGER: I can't recall. I'm not sure.
15	MR. LEE: I understand that you don't have
16	access to all of the documents that we've seen and you're
17	not here every day, but we know from our review of the
18	documents that the cleaning staff, as an example, saw some
19	strange things in relation to Mr. Barque.
20	Did you ever hear any rumours at any point
21	from the cleaning staff?
22	MS. LÉGER: No.
23	MR. LEE: Did you ever hear any rumours from
24	somebody else about what the cleaning staff had seen or
25	what the rumours among that group were?

1	MS. LÉGER: No.
2	MR. LEE: And if you flip to the second page
3	of this exhibit, the handwritten notes of Constable
4	Zebruck, so the first couple of pages are taken up with the
5	interview he says he conducted of you, and then the next
6	interview immediately after that is with Louise Quinn.
7	Do you see that?
8	MS. LÉGER: Yes, I do.
9	MR. LEE: You see that at 15:05?
10	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
11	MR. LEE: And what she told Constable
12	Zebruck is that she would occasionally have to go into
13	Nelson Barque's desk to get files and that she saw
14	homosexual porn magazines in his desk and also magazines of
15	naked young boys, some performing sex acts.
16	And then she goes through and she names a
17	couple of people that seem to have a close relationship
18	with Mr. Barque. I'm not going to name them.
19	And if you're on the next page, about two-
20	thirds of the way down
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Give the page numbers so
22	that see there's a little 25 at the bottom of this one.
23	MR. LEE: Yeah, a little 26 at the bottom of
24	the
25	THE COMMISSIONER: So here it comes.

1	MR. LEE: You see there's a break in the
2	middle of that page that says after where it says "desk
3	drawer"?
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Whoops, not to come
5	back up. Come back up, Madam Clerk.
6	MR. LEE: A little bit more, please.
7	Do you see "desk drawer" there, Ma'am?
8	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
9	MR. LEE: And then if we go to the fifth
10	line it says:
11	"He told me that"
12	and there's a name I'm not going to say:
13	"changed clothes there
14	occasionally."
15	Meaning in his office.
16	Do you see that there?
17	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
18	MR. LEE: And then the last five lines of
19	that page read:
20	"Nelson had mentioned that he had
21	homosexual relationships in the past
22	when he was younger."
23	Do you see that there?
24	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
25	MR. LEE: Did you ever have any discussions

1	with Louise Quinn at the time you were employed at
2	Probation and Parole about some of these things that she
3	knew?
4	MS. LÉGER: No.
5	MR. LEE: You don't remember any talk of
6	that at all?
7	MS. LÉGER: No.
8	MR. LEE: No gossip?
9	MS. LÉGER: No.
10	MR. LEE: And I told you a moment ago, and
11	you can see that Louise Quinn names, as I count it, gives
12	the officer three names of people that she felt may have
13	been close to Nelson Barque presumably so that he could
14	talk to them.
15	You do the same thing, according to these
16	notes. At the end of your notes again, I'm not going to
17	read the name, but it says:
18	"Is"
19	then there's the name:
20	"Not certain if name is right. They
21	became good friends and he would keep
22	coming back."
23	You don't recall telling the officer that?
24	MS. LÉGER: Where are you now?
25	MR. LEE: I'm at the on page, the little

1	number 25 at the bottom of the notebook, the last seven
2	lines of the statement from you. So above where it says
3	"15:05 interview, Quinn, Louise", the last part there.
4	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Madam Clerk.
6	MR. LEE: A little bit up, Madam Clerk,
7	please. A little bit more. Right there.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: There you go.
9	MR. LEE: You see the name. I'm not going
10	to name the name.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Just a little more, Madam
12	Clerk. One more sentence. There we go.
13	MR. LEE: So you can see it says:
14	"May have had a relationship with" -
15	_
16	and a name:
17	"Not certain if name is right. They
18	became good friends and he would keep
19	coming back."
20	Do you see that?
21	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
22	MR. LEE: And you told us that that name
23	rings a bell and you think he may have been a probationer
24	at one time?
25	MS. LÉGER: I believe so.

1	MR. LEE: And would you agree with that
2	statement that in your impression he may have become good
3	friends with Nelson Barque?
4	MS. LÉGER: It's quite possible.
5	MR. LEE: Is there any particular reason
6	that that name sticks out in your mind?
7	MS. LÉGER: No. I just know that we had
8	someone by that I thought we had someone by that name or
9	probation to Nelson.
10	MR. LEE: What we have here though, Ma'am,
11	is notes from a police officer who says that he speaks with
12	you; you tell him all these various things and at the end
13	you say he may have had a relationship with this person.
14	It would suggest from these notes that you
15	were advising the officer that looking back on it this
16	might be somebody he wants to talk to if he wants to learn
17	about what Nelson Barque was up to?
18	MS. LÉGER: I don't recall that at all.
19	MR. LEE: You don't recall it.
20	Mr. Stauffer touched a little bit on the
21	period of time when Emile Robert took over the Cornwall
22	office, and he took you in particular to a quote about
23	something about how if something happened at Ken's work he
24	would commit suicide.
25	Do you remember Mr. Stauffer asking you

1	about that?
2	MS. LÉGER: Yes, I remember.
3	MR. LEE: There's another part to what Mr.
4	Robert said though that I'd like to take you to.
5	I think this is a new document, Mr.
6	Commissioner. It's 727727.
7	THE REGISTRAR: Bates page?
8	MR. LEE: Ah, 7106459.
9	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
11	Exhibit Number 1100, so eleven-hundred, are
12	police officer notes of which police officer, do you think?
13	MR. LEE: These are of Don Genier.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
15	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. 1100:
16	(727727 7106459-63) Notes of Don Genier
17	dated February 16 th , 1994 to February 18 th ,
18	1994
19	MR. LEE: We have I'm going to take you,
20	sir, to both the officer notes and typed interview before
21	it because there's a little bit of a discrepancy
22	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
23	MR. LEE: that should be pointed out.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
25	MR. LEE: Do you have that document, Ma'am?

1	MS. LÉGER: Yes, I do.
2	MR. LEE: And at the top right-hand corner
3	is the number 21?
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Flip the page, you'll see
5	21.
6	MR. LEE: On the notebook itself.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: The second page, Tab 21,
8	it's on the right there.
9	MS. LÉGER: Yeah.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
11	MR. LEE: And you see four lines down it
12	reads:
13	"11:00 Interview Emile S. Robert."
14	Do you see that?
15	MS. LÉGER: yes.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, so just to help you
17	out a little bit, this is Officer Genier, right? So he's
18	got a police officer's notebook and he supposedly wrote
19	these things in there. All right? So he's talking about
20	his meeting with Emile Robert.
21	MS. LÉGER: Okay.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
23	Mr. Lee.
24	MR. LEE: Ma'am, about 10 lines down,
25	there's a little hyphen and there's a sentence that reads:

1	"When Robert started in Cornwall"
2	Can you find that, please?
3	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
4	MR. LEE: Do you have that?
5	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: I don't.
7	So 10 lines down from?
8	MR. LEE: Ten (10) lines down from where it
9	reads, "Interview, Emile Robert", and it begins:
10	"When Robert started in Cornwall"
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh yes, yes, okay, "When
12	Robert".
13	MR. LEE: So as I read it, Ma'am, it says:
14	"When Robert started in Cornwall,
15	Marcelle Léger, admin clerk, filled in
16	Robert on his staff. She clued him on
17	Nelson Barque and that there was
18	possibly another officer involved in
19	sexual activities with clients. Back
20	then, Robert had no suspects."
21	Okay? So that's the officer's notes of the
22	Robert interview.
23	As I said, Mr. Commissioner, I want to take
24	you to the OPP from this interview would have created a
25	typed report and I want to take you to that because the

1	wording's a little bit different, and I want to make sure
2	you have both versions in front of you.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
4	MR. LEE: So this is document 715443.
5	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. 1098B:
6	(715443) Handwritten interview report -
7	Emile Robert with OPP D.C. Genier and
8	C. McDonnell dated February 15 th , 1994
9	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
10	MR. LEE: Is it your preference, sir, that I
11	file the handwritten and the typed version when we have an
12	interview report?
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
14	MR. LEE: Okay.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: So the typed interview
16	report should be 1100B and 1100A should be the
17	MR. LEE: No, 1101 I think is
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Pardon me?
19	MR. LEE: Isn't the aren't Don Genier's
20	notes 1100?
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
22	So this would be they're the same.
23	MR. LEE: Okay. So there will be well,
24	sir, the problem we have is we have three documents then.
25	We have Genier's notes.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
2	MR. LEE: We have an interview report, in
3	handwriting, and then we have a typed version of the
4	interview report.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, well no, okay.
6	So no
7	MR. LEE: And the interview report in
8	handwriting is Exhibit 1098.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: So 1098A and 1098B.
10	I just want
11	MR. LEE: Yes.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: the handwritten and
13	the typed to go together.
14	MR. LEE: I think the officer's notes should
15	be their own exhibit.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Exactly. Okay.
17	MR. LEE: So 1098A, then, will be the
18	handwritten interview report. Is that right?
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
20	MR. LEE: And so that's what we already
21	have.
22	And 1098B, then, should be 725543.
23	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
24	THE COMMISSIONER: So tell me this
25	MR. LEE: It's

1	THE COMMISSIONER: is there a difference
2	between the officers' notes and the handwritten interview
3	report?
4	MR. LEE: Yes.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, and so whether
6	and the typed version is the same as
7	MR. LEE: It's just a transcription of the
8	handwritten interview report.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, okay.
10	MR. LEE: And Madam Clerk is shaking her
11	head at me. I don't think I think notice was provided
12	of the handwritten version only of the interview report.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
14	MR. LEE: So I think you'd like the
15	typewritten one, that will have to be
16	THE COMMISSIONER: So for evermore, the
17	decree is, please have the typed version with the
18	handwritten versions fastened to that.
19	MR. LEE: Yes.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: And I'm not
21	MR. LEE: No.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: singling you out;
23	it's just a general comment.
24	MR. LEE: So if we can look at 1098A then,
25	which is the handwritten interview report and that would

have been filed today.
Do you have that in front of you, Ms. Léger?
MS. LÉGER: Number 21?
MR. LEE: No, we're on a different document
now. This is
THE COMMISSIONER: Hang on for just a
second, Madam Clerk will give it to you.
MS. LÉGER: You're referring to this one?
Oh, thank you.
MR. LEE: Do you have that document now,
Ma'am?
MS. LÉGER: Yes, I do.
MR. LEE: And if you look on the front page
at the each paragraph seems to be indented, so if you
count down the indents and look at the sixth paragraph, it
starts, "When I came here in 1985"?
MS. LÉGER: Yes.
MR. LEE: "When I came here in 1985, my
admin clerk, Marcelle Léger, told if
something happened with Ken's work, he
would commit suicide."
That I have absolutely no idea why I'm
reading that section to you, Ma'am.
Hold on, I'm at the wrong part.
(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)

1	MR. LEE: Can you go to the last paragraph
2	on that page. Sorry, Ma'am.
3	"Léger was filling me in on my staff.
4	She advised me she clued me in about
5	Nelson Barque and there was possibly
6	someone else involved in his problem."
7	The wording's a little bit different in Don
8	Genier's notes. We have it:
9	"There was possibly another officer
10	involved in sexual activities with
11	clients."
12	In the handwritten report it reads:
13	"There was possibly someone else
14	involved in his problem."
15	Do you see that there?
16	MS. LÉGER: Yes, okay.
17	MR. LEE: I understand that you can't tell
18	us which one of those two things Mr. Robert said, but the
19	gist of the statement is the same that Mr. Robert is
20	telling the police that when you were briefing him about
21	the Cornwall office, you suggested to him that there may be
22	another officer that had problems similar to the ones that
23	Nelson Barque had had?
24	MS. LÉGER: Not so. Never told Mr. Robert
25	that.

1	MR. LEE: Absolutely not?
2	MS. LÉGER: No.
3	MR. LEE: You didn't did you, regardless
4	of whether you told Mr. Robert, did you have reason to
5	believe at that point that another worker in the office may
6	have had problems similar to those that
7	MS. LÉGER: No.
8	MR. LEE: that Nelson Barque had?
9	ms. léger: No.
10	MR. LEE: You've told us that you knew why
11	Mr. Barque had left Corrections?
12	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
13	MR. LEE: Did you have any suspicions about
14	Ken Seguin at that point?
15	MS. LÉGER: No.
16	MR. LEE: And there was nobody else in the
17	office you would have had suspicions like that about at any
18	point?
19	MS. LÉGER: No.
20	MR. LEE: If I have it right and this is
21	important so please let me know if I mis-state anything
22	here, okay it is your evidence that you had absolutely
23	no idea what was going on with Nelson Barque prior to 1982?
24	MS. LÉGER: No.
25	MR. LEE: That's correct?

1	MS. LEGER: That's correct.
2	MR. LEE: And you had absolutely no idea
3	what was going on with Ken Seguin prior to your retirement?
4	MS. LÉGER: That's correct.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, no, just a second,
6	no. That's not quite fair.
7	She admits that she knew of rumours that he
8	was being investigated shortly before she retired.
9	MR. LEE: Shortly very shortly before
10	your retirement. Is that right?
11	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
12	MR. LEE: You're right, thank you, Mr.
13	Commissioner.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
15	MR. LEE: You worked in the office during
16	your time with Corrections; you didn't go out of the
17	office, you didn't report to other branches? You just
18	you worked in the Probation and Parole Office. Is that
19	right?
20	MS. LÉGER: Yes. Well, occasionally we had
21	to go to other branches for for financial budget
22	reasons.
23	MR. LEE: Most days you would be
24	MS. LÉGER: Most days I would be in my
25	office.

1	MR. LEE: Most weeks you would stay in the
2	office
3	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
4	MR. LEE: the entire week?
5	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
6	MR. LEE: We've heard at this Inquiry that
7	both an officer from the RCMP and more than one officer
8	from the Cornwall police had heard rumours about Nelson
9	Barque and his associations with clients and some of the
10	things he was doing before the allegation came in. Had you
11	heard anything from the police?
12	ms. léger: No.
13	MR. LEE: No rumours in the office about
14	that?
15	MS. LÉGER: No.
16	MR. LEE: Did you enjoy your job, Ma'am?
17	MS. LÉGER: I certainly did.
18	MR. LEE: And your co-workers?
19	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
20	MR. LEE: Good people?
21	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
22	MR. LEE: And you enjoyed working for the
23	Ministry?
24	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
25	MR. LEE: Is it possible that some of your

I	evidence today is being influenced by that loyalty to the
2	Ministry that you feel?
3	MS. LÉGER: My evidence is being evidence?
4	MR. LEE: Are you being influenced at all by
5	the fact that you worked for Corrections for 28 years and
6	that you enjoyed your time there and that you feel some
7	loyalty to them?
8	MS. LÉGER: Yes, I felt very loyal to my
9	Ministry.
10	MR. LEE: You think it might be influencing
11	some of the things you're telling us today about how there
12	was absolutely nothing in that office, no rumours
13	whatsoever about anybody?
14	MS. LÉGER: No, no, I don't think so.
15	MR. LEE: I won't be all that much longer
16	with you, Ma'am. I just want to take you to a few
17	documents just to see if you can help me understand a few
18	things that are in various documents.
19	If you'll give me one moment?
20	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
21	MR. LEE: Can I take you to Exhibit 1099,
22	please? This is your interview report with Detective
23	Constables Genier and Chris McDonell of the OPP. So that's
24	the OPP interview you do recall.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: That's the one-page typed

1	document?
2	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
4	MR. LEE: It's a two-page document. You
5	have that, Ma'am?
6	MS. LÉGER: Yes, I do.
7	MR. LEE: And if I can take you to the
8	second page? You see about halfway through the page
9	there's a paragraph that begins "Unfortunately"?
10	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
11	MR. LEE: It reads:
12	"Unfortunately, probation officers'
13	personal notes had to be kept. Ken, I
14	don't think, kept his notebooks in his
15	office, but they might be in his home.
16	I don't know."
17	Do you see that?
18	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
19	MR. LEE: Can you help me understand what
20	that means?
21	MS. LÉGER: Well, they had to keep notes on
22	everyone that they interviewed, and his notes were his
23	like his personal notes, not for anyone, he may have kept
24	them in his office. He may have brought them home at night
25	to finish recording. I don't know what he really did with

1	his notebook, you know. It's possible.
2	MR. LEE: These didn't form part of a file -
3	- part of each client's file?
4	MS. LÉGER: They were
5	MR. LEE: Let me back up. As I understand
6	it, you're telling us that Ken Seguin would have had a
7	notebook
8	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
9	MR. LEE: his own personal notebook that
10	he kept notes in?
11	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
12	MR. LEE: He wouldn't have, as an example,
13	made photocopies of each page relating to each client and
14	filed those in his file?
15	MS. LÉGER: Well, not as a rule. It
16	wouldn't be
17	MR. LEE: Can you what I don't understand
18	is it reads:
19	"Unfortunately, probation officers'
20	personal notes had to be kept."
21	Can you explain to me what's unfortunate
22	about
23	MS. LÉGER: I have no idea.
24	MR. LEE: Does that make any sense to you,
25	that quote there?

1	MS. LÉGER: No.
2	MR. LEE: No?
3	MS. LÉGER: No.
4	MR. LEE: That's enough for that document,
5	Ma'am. I just I wasn't sure what that meant and was
6	hoping you could clarify a bit.
7	Jos van Diepen spoke to the police on
8	February $14^{\rm th}$, 1994 and he told the police that Mr. Seguin
9	had a lot of probationers that stayed with him in the
10	1970s, that they needed a place to stay between getting
11	their own place, and Mr. van Diepen said he believed only
12	one lived there. Presumably, that would have been Gerry
13	Renshaw, but several of them stayed with Mr. Seguin, and he
14	said he couldn't remember the names of the probationers but
15	thought that maybe Stewart Rousseau or you might remember.
16	That's what Mr. van Diepen told the police.
17	Can you help us out with that at all?
18	MS. LÉGER: I'm afraid I can't. I wouldn't
19	know who he's referring to.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Did you know that he let
21	people stay at his place?
22	MS. LÉGER: No.
23	MR. LEE: You never heard anything about
24	that at all?
25	MS. LÉGER: No. Well, just one person, I

1	think, who may have stayed with him, and that
2	MR. LEE: Who lived with him.
3	MS. LÉGER: Who lived with him, and that was
4	
5	MR. LEE: Gerald Renshaw?
6	MS. LÉGER: That's right.
7	MR. LEE: And you knew about that at the
8	time?
9	MS. LÉGER: Well, I only found out sometime
10	after he had moved in.
11	MR. LEE: Right.
12	MS. LÉGER: Something like that. But I
13	don't know of anyone else.
14	MR. LEE: And Mr. van Diepen, in that same
15	interview, is discussing Mr. Seguin's love life and whether
16	or not he had dated women and whether he things along
17	those lines. He again says to the police officer:
18	"Marcelle Léger may be able to tell you
19	more about that."
20	So a couple of times, Mr. van Diepen is
21	pointing to you as, you know, the source of information in
22	the Cornwall office.
23	Would you agree with me that you let's
24	back up. You were the longest-serving employee in that
25	office

1	MS. LÉGER: That's right.
2	MR. LEE: for pretty much the entirety
3	of your career, I take it. Once some people turned over,
4	you were the one?
5	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
6	MR. LEE: Is that right?
7	MS. LÉGER: Correct.
8	MR. LEE: And you had good relationships
9	with everybody in the office?
10	MS. LÉGER: Yes, I did.
11	MR. LEE: You weren't in a clique in the
12	Cornwall office where you had your people and the other
13	side had their people? Everybody you got along with
14	everybody?
15	MS. LÉGER: That's right.
16	MR. LEE: When are you aware you
17	weren't there at the time, I'm not sure if you're aware or
18	not in October of 2000, a man named Paul Downing
19	conducted an administrative review of the Cornwall
20	Probation and Parole Office relating to some allegations
21	that were on the internet. Do you know anything about
22	that?
23	MS. LÉGER: No.
24	MR. LEE: Did you follow Mr. Downing's
25	evidence when he was here earlier this week?

1	MS. LÉGER: Very briefly this week.
2	MR. LEE: One of the things that came out
3	during his review was that Mr. van Diepen said that he had
4	contacted you to let you know that you might get a call
5	from Paul Downing.
6	MS. LÉGER: No.
7	MR. LEE: Do you recall a conversation like
8	that at all?
9	MS. LÉGER: No, no, I never got a call.
10	MR. LEE: You don't recall speaking to Mr.
11	van Diepen at all
12	MS. LÉGER: No.
13	MR. LEE: about Paul Downing?
14	MS. LÉGER: No.
15	MR. LEE: Mr. van Diepen said it was about
16	an half-hour conversation, a lengthy conversation?
17	MS. LÉGER: Not at all.
18	MR. LEE: No recollection of that at all?
19	MS. LÉGER: No.
20	MR. LEE: Ms. Léger, those are my questions.
21	MS. LÉGER: Thank you.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. We'll take
23	the lunch break. We'll come back at 2:00.
24	MR. MANSON: Mr. Commissioner, before we
25	break, is there any indication of whether we'll be

1	finishing up today?
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, we are.
3	MR. MANSON: Thank you.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Burning the midnight oil
5	but we'll be finishing you know, unless something
6	extraordinary I mean, I don't know how much more cross-
7	examination there is on this witness.
8	Can anyone give me an idea? Mr
9	MR. NEVILLE: I think, Mr. Commissioner, at
10	most five minutes, very short.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: No, it's just we have a
12	seminar the staff has a seminar at lunch hour.
13	So five minutes.
14	OPP?
15	MS. COSTOM: At most five minutes.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So we'll be
17	finished this person within a half-hour of our resumption.
18	So I hope to finish today.
19	MR. MANSON: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
21	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
22	veuillez vous lever.
23	This hearing will resume at 2:00 p.m.
24	Upon recessing at 12:32 p.m./
25	L'audience est suspendue à 12h32

1	Upon resuming at 2:04 p.m./
2	L'audience est reprise à 14h04
3	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
4	veuillez vous lever.
5	This hearing is now resumed. Please be
6	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Madame Léger, you
8	understand you're still under oath to tell the truth?
9	MS. LÉGER: Yes, I do.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
11	Mr. Neville.
12	MR. NEVILLE: Thank you, sir.
13	MARCELLE LÉGER, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
15	NEVILLE:
16	MR. NEVILLE: Good afternoon, Madame.
17	MS. LÉGER: Good afternoon.
18	MR. NEVILLE: My name is Michael Neville. I
19	represent Father MacDonald and the estate of Ken Seguin. I
20	just have a couple of questions for you.
21	In your evidence this morning with Mr.
22	Stauffer, he asked you about your knowledge or belief about
23	Ken Seguin's sexual orientation, and you told Mr.
24	Commissioner that you thought he might be homosexual. It
25	was just a thought you had.

1	MS. LÉGER: That's right.
2	MR. NEVILLE: Do you remember telling us
3	that this morning?
4	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
5	MR. NEVILLE: Is that because he was single?
6	MS. LÉGER: Probably, yeah.
7	MR. NEVILLE: You also told the Commissioner
8	this morning with a couple of counsel that prior to his
9	death in November of 1993, and certainly during the period
10	at the Probation Service, you had no suspicions at all of
11	sexual improprieties by Mr. Seguin?
12	MS. LÉGER: No, that's correct.
13	MR. NEVILLE: And other than this rumour
14	that you had heard that he might be under investigation and
15	just dealing with that point, what you told the
16	Commissioner was that you understood he was under
17	investigation for something, but you had no idea for what?
18	MS. LÉGER: That's right.
19	MR. NEVILLE: All right.
20	MS. LÉGER: That's correct.
21	MR. NEVILLE: You were then asked by Mr.
22	Stauffer whether after you had left you had heard of
23	lawsuits naming Mr. Seguin, and you indicated you had. So
24	had you heard that there were lawsuits?
25	MS. LÉGER: Yes, I had heard.

1	MR. NEVILLE: Pardon me?
2	MS. LÉGER: Yes, I had heard that there were
3	lawsuits.
4	MR. NEVILLE: You had heard that. All
5	right.
6	And you were asked whether you were
7	surprised and you said, "I guess not". What did you mean
8	by that? Were you surprised or were you not?
9	MR. NEVILLE: Yes.
10	MS. LÉGER: Well no, I guess not.
11	MR. NEVILLE: Okay. And why were you not
12	surprised?
13	MS. LÉGER: M'hm
14	MR. NEVILLE: You heard nothing. You'd
15	heard no allegations, no suspicions and then you retired
16	and you hear there's lawsuits. Wouldn't that surprise you?
17	MS. LÉGER: I suppose so.
18	MR. NEVILLE: Thank you, those are my
19	questions.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
21	Mr. Chisholm.
22	MR. CHISHOLM: Good afternoon, sir.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Good afternoon.
24	MR. CHISHOLM: Ms. Léger, nice to see you
25	again.

1	I act for the CAS local CAS.
2	I have no questions for you.
3	Thank you.
4	MS. LÉGER: Thank you.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
6	Mr. Bala?
7	MR. BALA: My name is Emtiaz Bala. I'm with
8	the Attorney General of Ontario and I have no questions for
9	you.
10	MS. LÉGER: Thank you.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
12	The Diocese is not here.
13	Mr. Manderville?
14	MR. MANDERVILLE: Good afternoon, Mr.
15	Commissioner.
16	Good afternoon, Ms. Léger.
17	MS. LÉGER: Good afternoon.
18	MR. MANDERVILLE: My name is Peter
19	Manderville and I'm counsel for the Cornwall police and I
20	also have no questions for you.
21	Thank you for coming.
22	MS. LÉGER: Thank you.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Ms. Costom?
24	MS. COSTOM: Salut, Madam Léger.
25	MS. LÉGER: Salut.

1	MS. COSTOM: I'm Suzanne Costom. I'm one of
2	the attorneys for the Ontario Provincial Police.
3	We also have no questions for you.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
5	MS. LÉGER: Thank you.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Wallace?
7	MR. WALLACE: Good afternoon, sir.
8	My name is Mark Wallace. I'm a lawyer for
9	the Ontario Provincial Police Association.
10	I have no questions, thank you.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
12	Mr. Rose?
13	MR. ROSE: Good afternoon, Madame Léger.
14	I have no questions for you.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
16	MS. LÉGER: Thank you.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Sir?
18	MR. STAUFFER: Mr. Commissioner, I have no
19	questions in re-examination.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
21	MR. STAUFFER: Thank you.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you
23	very much.
24	You're free to go.
25	I appreciate you coming out and giving your

I	evidence.
2	MS. LÉGER: Thank you very much.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
4	MS. LÉGER: Yes.
5	MR. STAUFFER: Mr. Commissioner, the next
6	witness will be Louise Quinn.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
8	Ms. Quinn, is she here?
9	Good afternoon, Ms. Quinn.
10	MS. QUINN: Good afternoon.
11	LOUISE QUINN, Sworn/Assermentée:
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
13	Have a seat, please.
14	MS. QUINN: Thank you.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: All right, so I've got a
16	couple of ground rules for you.
17	MS. QUINN: Yes.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: First, sit down, make
19	yourself comfortable.
20	Bring the microphone up to your this
21	thing here, just bring it right up. Don't be afraid. Like
22	that. There you go.
23	MS. QUINN: Okay.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: So I'm going to ask you
25	to answer questions in a loud voice because we can't hear

1	"uh-huhs" and that type of thing.
2	Mr. Stauffer and a number of people are
3	going to ask you questions. I want you to wait until the
4	question's asked and give me your best answer.
5	MS. QUINN: Okay.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: If you don't know the
7	answer, just tell me you don't know.
8	If you don't understand the question, tell
9	me you don't understand the question.
10	There's some water there in a jug and a
11	glass.
12	There's a little speaker in front of you, so
13	that little no, to your right, that thing there is a
14	speaker. There's a little round button you can turn it up
15	and down so you can hear better if you need that.
16	The screen will be there and we'll be giving
17	you probably some documents to review.
18	And if ever you feel uncomfortable or you
19	need a break, let me know.
20	MS. QUINN: Thank you.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: All right? Thank you.
22	MR. STAUFFER: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner
23	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF/INTERROGATOIRE EN CHEF PAR MR.
24	STAUFFER:
25	MR. STAUFFER: Ms. Quinn, thank you for

1	coming today.
2	I realize you've come from Ottawa. You're
3	now working in Ottawa. Is that correct?
4	MS. QUINN: I am.
5	MR. STAUFFER: And you are now a probation
6	officer with the Ministry?
7	MS. QUINN: Yes, I am.
8	MR. STAUFFER: All right. I'm going to take
9	you back in time to your work in Cornwall.
10	Can you help us out as to when you joined
11	the Ministry and what your position was when you joined?
12	MS. QUINN: I joined the Ministry of
13	Correctional Services in June of 1974. I was hired, at
14	that time we were classified as secretaries.
15	MR. STAUFFER: All right. And did you work
16	then in the Cornwall office from 1974 until about 1995 when
17	you became a probation officer?
18	MS. QUINN: Yes, I did.
19	I I worked until 1995, at which time I
20	was sent to Ottawa because I had graduated. I worked as a
21	probation and parole officer for a few months and then I
22	went and worked with the Ministry of Community and Social
23	Services with the Social Assistance for one year and then I
24	came back to the Cornwall office and I was a probation
25	officer there for a year and I then transferred to the

Ottawa office.

1	Octawa Office.
2	MR. STAUFFER: I see. All right.
3	And during the time that you were in
4	Cornwall, were you employed continuously then at one of
5	those two addresses we've heard on Pitt Street; I've got
6	340 and 502?
7	MS. QUINN: I was, except for the one year
8	when I took a secondment with COMSOC.
9	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. What year is that
10	then?
11	MS. QUINN: That would have been 1996, I
12	believe.
13	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. So when you joined in
14	1974, would you have worked first at 340 Pitt Street?
15	MS. QUINN: I did, yes.
16	MR. STAUFFER: And then the offices moved to
17	502 Pitt Street. Do you remember when that was?
18	MS. QUINN: That would have been
19	approximately 10 years later, roughly.
20	MR. STAUFFER: Sometime in the early '80s -
21	
22	MS. QUINN: Yeah.
23	MR. STAUFFER: you recollect? Okay.
24	When you're at the first office, at 340 Pitt
25	Street and you're a secretary, did you work with Ken Seguin

1	and Nelson Barque among other probation officers?
2	MS. QUINN: I did.
3	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. And I gather you would
4	have joined after Mr. Seguin, if I can put it that way, and
5	that he'd become a probation officer we understand around
6	1968, and so you came in a number of years later so he was
7	already working there?
8	MS. QUINN: Yes, he was.
9	MR. STAUFFER: And Mr. Barque came in
10	sometime after you?
11	MS. QUINN: He did. I couldn't tell you
12	exactly how long after I started to work, maybe within a
13	year or so?
14	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Now, as one of the
15	secretaries there, did you work with Marcelle Léger?
16	MS. QUINN: I did.
17	MR. STAUFFER: And was there another woman
18	at some point in those years, from 1974 onwards, that you
19	worked with on a full-time basis as a support staff person?
20	MS. QUINN: Marcelle Léger became admin
21	clerk at some point in time and we the Ministry hired
22	Lise Bourgon to replace her. I really cannot give you the
23	exact year, I don't know.
24	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Is it fair to say that
25	for the timeframe roughly 1974 to 1993 that you three

1	ladies were the support staff on a full-time basis, or were
2	there others?
3	MS. QUINN: Until 1993?
4	MR. STAUFFER: We understand Mrs. Léger
5	retired in mid-August of 1993.
6	MS. QUINN: Right.
7	Yeah, I believe I believe it was just the
8	three of us.
9	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
10	MS. QUINN: We may have had I'm sorry to
11	to interrupt, we may have had occasionally the you
12	know, someone coming in to help us out.
13	MR. STAUFFER: Right.
14	MS. QUINN: But as far as full-time support
15	staff, there were only the three of us.
16	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. So in terms of where
17	you were placed in the first office in Pitt Street when you
18	first joined up, can you help the Commissioner out as to
19	the placement of your office or work area and Mr. Seguin
20	and Mr. Barque?
21	MS. QUINN: Yes. When you came in through
22	the front door, you came to a counter where Marcelle and
23	myself sat behind the counter at our desk and if you were
24	to come in and face us, to the right we had three offices
25	and we had in the first one was Ken, in the middle one was

1	Jos and the last one was Nelson Barque.
2	MR. STAUFFER: All right. And you were in
3	an open area, if I can put it that way, in terms of
4	yourself and Ms. Léger; you did not have a cubicle or an
5	office wall around you?
6	MS. QUINN: No, we did not.
7	MR. STAUFFER: And the other people you've
8	mentioned, they did have offices and they had office doors?
9	MS. QUINN: Yes, they did.
10	MR. STAUFFER: Do you recollect whether any
11	of the office doors at the first site this is at 340
12	Pitt Street did any of those office doors have locks on
13	them?
14	MS. QUINN: Not that I remember.
15	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. And, specifically,
16	we've heard in earlier testimony the question of Mr. Barque
17	and that he did have a lock on his door. We're not sure
18	which door right now.
19	Do you have a recollection, if I put it to
20	you, of Mr. Barque having a lock on his door either at the
21	first site or at 502 Pitt Street?
22	MS. QUINN: No, I don't.
23	MR. STAUFFER: All right. Do you have a
24	recollection about the lighting in Mr. Barque's office
25	first at the 340 address and then 502 Pitt Street?

1	MS. QUINN: Yes, he often only turned on
2	lamps.
3	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. As compared to the
4	overhead
5	MS. QUINN: Yes.
6	MR. STAUFFER: light?
7	And were there windows in either of his
8	offices, that is that go to the outside of the building?
9	MS. QUINN: Yes.
10	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. With respect to the
11	lamps, would these have been his or are these Ministry
12	lamps or what?
13	MS. QUINN: I assume they were his.
14	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. During the time that
15	you worked with Mr. Barque, how did you find him as a
16	fellow employee?
17	MS. QUINN: I got along well with Nelson.
18	He was a pleasant man. I've never had any problems with
19	him.
20	MR. STAUFFER: Did you do work for him?
21	MS. QUINN: When we were located at 340 Pitt
22	Street, Marcelle did more of the what we used to call
23	the adult work and I chipped in, and I did more of the
24	what we used to call the juvies. This would have been the
25	juvenile officers. I did more of their work. The work was

1	divided that way, but we often crossed, you know, and did
2	each other's work.
3	MR. STAUFFER: When you worked with him, up
4	until the time he resigned, did he ever express an interest
5	in working with a particular type of probationer?
6	MS. QUINN: Not to my recollection, no.
7	MR. STAUFFER: And again, what I'm talking
8	about, so we're talking the same language, would he have
9	asked for, for example, a younger probationer as compared
10	to an older probationer?
11	MS. QUINN: He never asked me that, no.
12	MR. STAUFFER: Or any type of offender; that
13	is, whether the person had committed a sexual offence as
14	compared to a non-sexual offence?
15	MS. QUINN: No, sir.
16	MR. STAUFFER: With respect to Mr. Barque,
17	did you and he socialize, if I can put it that way?
18	MS. QUINN: No, we did not. After work
19	hours?
20	MR. STAUFFER: Yes.
21	MS. QUINN: No, we did not.
22	MR. STAUFFER: You didn't go out?
23	MS. QUINN: No.
24	MR. STAUFFER: And I'm talking about casual,
25	you know, going for a coffee or something like that after

1	work or a drink after work?
2	MS. QUINN: No. Occasionally, you know, for
3	a Christmas party or something where the whole office would
4	be involved and, of course, we had night reporting and
5	sometimes we would all go out for supper together, then
6	come back to the office for night reporting. But no, I did
7	not socialize with Mr. Barque, no.
8	MR. STAUFFER: All right. When you talk
9	about night reporting, can you help the Commissioner as to
10	what you recollect that's all about?
11	MS. QUINN: Well, it seems to me that if I
12	remember correctly, it was once a month and it was either a
13	Tuesday or a Thursday night. I can't remember. And
14	probation officers would see their clients between the
15	hours of 6:00 and 8:00, clients that were working and
16	couldn't report during the daytime, so we called it night
17	reporting, and usually either myself or Marcelle worked
18	evening reporting with the probation officers.
19	MR. STAUFFER: All right. Now, when you say
20	6:00 to 8:00, does that mean that the lights are out
21	basically at 8:00 and everybody is leaving the building?
22	MS. QUINN: It seems to me that we basically
23	always all left at the same time.
24	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Do you have any
25	recollection of staying beyond eight o'clock?

1	MS. QUINN: No, I don't.
2	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Did you hear of anyone
3	staying and I'm talking about probation officers
4	staying beyond eight o'clock at any time?
5	MS. QUINN: No, not that I can remember.
6	MR. STAUFFER: All right. Was there any
7	particular policy in place, let's say, between 1974 and
8	1993 where anyone who was seeing a probationer needed to
9	have another person with him or her?
10	MS. QUINN: I don't recall that policy back
11	then, no.
12	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. And I'm not saying in
13	the same office, so to speak, but in the offices, you know,
14	so that there's somebody available for support.
15	MS. QUINN: I don't remember that policy
16	back then.
17	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. With respect to Mr.
18	Barque, we had some information, and I'd like you to help
19	us out with your recollection, about you finding some
20	materials in Mr. Barque's office. Can you help Mr.
21	Commissioner in terms of what you found and what you did?
22	MS. QUINN: It was a day that Mr. Barque
23	called me and told me to get a file out of his drawer, and
24	when I opened the drawer, there was some pornographic
25	material, magazines with explicit young boys. So when he

1	came back, I asked him what he was doing with those, and he
2	explained that he had confiscated those magazines from a
3	client.
4	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
5	MS. QUINN: I had no reason not to believe
6	him. I trusted and respected him. My only concern was why
7	were they not destroyed, but that's as far as I went.
8	Thinking back, you know, maybe it was a sign, but I didn't
9	take it as a sign then.
10	MR. STAUFFER: Could I ask you why would you
11	have asked him about the materials?
12	MS. QUINN: Well, I found it very strange
13	that he had such material in his drawer, and maybe I was
14	I don't know, maybe I shouldn't have asked, but I did.
15	MR. STAUFFER: M'hm. And did you talk to
16	anyone else? I know you gave a short statement, if you
17	will, to the police, but before the police became involved
18	quite some time after, did you speak to anybody else in the
19	office about this?
20	MS. QUINN: Not that I remember. I'm not
21	saying I didn't, but I don't remember mentioning it to
22	anyone.
23	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Ought you have to have
24	spoken to the manager in the office, who I guess would have

158

been Mr. Sirrs at the time?

1	MS. QUINN: 1 can't
2	MR. STAUFFER: First of all, it would have
3	been Mr. Sirrs, I take it, because Mr. Barque resigned, I
4	think, during the time Mr. Sirrs was the area manager.
5	MS. QUINN: Yes. I don't remember who the
6	area manager was at that time.
7	MR. STAUFFER: M'hm.
8	MS. QUINN: Thinking back, maybe I should
9	have raised that issue with the manager, but I didn't at
10	that time. I just he gave me an answer that I felt
11	comfortable with at the time.
12	MR. STAUFFER: Do you recollect any policy
13	in existence back then, back in the `70s and `80s where
14	there was to be no pornographic material in the office, or
15	if some was found, what was to be done with it?
16	MS. QUINN: No, I don't recall any policy in
17	that regard.
18	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
19	MS. QUINN: There could have been one, but I
20	don't remember it.
21	MR. STAUFFER: All right. With respect to
22	your dealings with Mr. Barque, did you have any other
23	discoveries when you went into his office, not on the same
24	day perhaps, but at other times?
25	MS. QUINN: Yeah. One time he had some

1	clothing in his office, and I asked him what he was doing
2	with that, and he said a client of his had changed because
3	he was going for a job interview and it was quicker to do
4	it that way.
5	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
6	MS. QUINN: Again, I had no reason to
7	disbelieve him.
8	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Those two occasions,
9	are those the only occasions when you found anything that
10	you considered to be unusual or inappropriate, or were
11	there other occasions?
12	MS. QUINN: Well, I always felt that Nelson
13	worked hard with his clients. This was my feeling at the
14	time. And I know that he sometimes would loan his car to
15	some of his clients, and I felt that was a little strange,
16	but then again, I thought, "Well, he's trying to help them
17	out".
18	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
19	MS. QUINN: But I don't think that was a
20	secret. I think that, you know, he wasn't making a secret
21	of it. He'd say, "So-and-so has my car".
22	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. I understand he also
23	handled the banking for one of his clients?
24	MS. QUINN: I don't remember that. I guess

160

it's a statement that I made about 12-14 years ago to the

1	police. I don't remember Nelson doing the banking, but if
2	I said it then, it was fresher in my memory and it was
3	probably what happened.
4	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Just to assist the
5	Commissioner, Madame, Madam Clerk, we are looking at two
6	documents, one handwritten and the other one typed. The
7	handwritten is 715406 and the typed version of it is
8	725539.
9	(SHORT PAUSE)
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
11	Well, we have one is the typed version.
12	It's Exhibit 1101, which is an interview report of Louise
13	Quinn, interviewed by Detective Constables Genier and
14	McDonell on February 17 th , 1994.
15	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1101A:
16	(725539) Interview report - Louise
17	Quinn with OPP D.C. Genier and C.
18	McDonnell dated February 17 th , 1994
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Have we come up with a
20	handwritten one yet, Madam Clerk?
21	MR. STAUFFER: No, I apologize, Mr.
22	Commissioner. Perhaps
23	THE COMMISSIONER: It's okay. We'll just go
24	with this for now.
25	MR. STAUFFER: Yes.

1	But, Madame, if you could just look at that
2	for a moment. I just have a couple of questions further.
3	And let me know when you've had a chance to
4	read through it.
5	MS. QUINN: You want me to read the two
6	pages you mean?
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
8	MS. QUINN: Okay.
9	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
10	MS. QUINN: So we're going to Ken Seguin
11	now? We're jumping from Nelson to Ken?
12	MR. STAUFFER: Well, if I could just ask you
13	
14	MS. QUINN: Oh, okay.
15	MR. STAUFFER: with respect to this
16	statement, is there anything in this statement which is
17	incorrect, or do you adopt it as being what you said to the
18	police officers back in 1994?
19	MS. QUINN: Well, I have to say that if I
20	said that in 1994 it was correct at that time.
21	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Has anything changed
23	since that time?
24	MS. QUINN: Not that I know of. It's just
25	that there are things that are kind of blurry in my mind.

l	It's so long ago that there are things that I just don't
2	remember anymore.
3	MR. STAUFFER: Yes. So, for example, you
4	were saying about one of the incidents that you don't
5	remember anymore but this has refreshed your memory about
6	the banking?
7	MS. QUINN: Yes.
8	MR. STAUFFER: Yes. All right.
9	MS. QUINN: Well, there's no banking here.
10	MR. STAUFFER: No, I'm sorry
11	THE COMMISSIONER: It was just a trick
12	question to see if we had read the document.
13	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
14	MR. STAUFFER: It's a trick question, that's
15	right.
16	Anyway, just before we finish up and so
17	Mr. Commissioner, I'm not sure. I'm in your hands. We
18	have the handwritten statement with respect to this typed
19	version
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
21	MR. STAUFFER: and we can enter that at
22	some point as well.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, 1101B.
24	MR. STAUFFER: Yes.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: With the typed version

1	being 1101A.
2	EXHIBIT NO./ PIÈCE NO P-1101B:
3	(715406) Handwritten interview report -
4	Louise Quinn with OPP D.C. Genier and
5	McDonell dated February 17, 1994
6	MR. STAUFFER: Yes.
7	Now, I just wanted to finish and you're
8	quite right, Madame, this relates to Ken Seguin I just
9	wanted to finish with respect to Mr. Barque for one minute.
10	Before he resigned had you any concerns at
11	all that he was acting inappropriately with respect to any
12	of his clients?
13	MS. QUINN: No.
14	MR. STAUFFER: Did and I want to be very
15	clear on this, not just from your own observations but from
16	what anyone might have told you and so I'm talking about
17	gossip and rumours or conjecture, speculation any
18	information at all that you might have received from others
19	concerning Mr. Barque?
20	MS. QUINN: No.
21	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
22	With respect now to Mr. Seguin, you have
23	read through this statement, I appreciate that, and it
24	contains your observations of him. But if you could just
25	help us a little bit more. How did you find Mr. Sequin to

1	work with?
2	MS. QUINN: Mr. Seguin was very nice to work
3	with. He was kind and considerate.
4	MR. STAUFFER: All right. In terms of your
5	work areas, in terms of your space and Mr. Seguin's space,
6	where were you located in relation to him at both offices?
7	MS. QUINN: He would have been the first
8	office on the right-hand side when you face the hallway,
9	and Nelson would have been at the end. I'm trying to
10	remember who was where. I know Ken was at the beginning,
11	Nelson was at the end and Jos was somewhere in between
12	oh, I'm sorry, there was also Stuart Rousseau that came
13	into the picture.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Let's talk about the
15	first place, which was 340 Pitt. I think you've indicated
16	or someone indicated there was a at the entrance you
17	came in
18	MS. QUINN: Yes.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: there was a reception
20	desk or
21	MS. QUINN: Yes.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: And then there was three
23	offices
24	MS. QUINN: To the right.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

1	MS. QUINN: Yeah.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: So what about at 502
3	Pitt?
4	MS. QUINN: Ken had the first office and
5	then there was Jos and then Stuart Rousseau and then Nelson
6	Barque, to the best of my recollection.
7	MR. STAUFFER: All right. Is it fair to say
8	that at both locations, both locations for the Ministry
9	offices, Mr. Barque's office was always furthest away from
10	yours?
11	MS. QUINN: Yes.
12	MR. STAUFFER: And Mr. Seguin's was the
13	closer closest one of the offices?
14	MS. QUINN: Yes.
15	MR. STAUFFER: All right. Now, in terms of
16	Mr. Seguin, did you do work for him, if I can put it that
17	way?
18	MS. QUINN: Yes.
19	MR. STAUFFER: And did he express to you any
20	preference with respect to clients?
21	MS. QUINN: Never.
22	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. And, again, I'm saying
23	the same thing as with respect to Mr. Barque, that is young
24	versus old, if I can put it that way, or you know,
25	juveniles versus adults. There was nothing like that?

1	MS. QUINN: No.
2	MR. STAUFFER: And with respect to types of
3	offenders, sexual offenders versus non-sexual offenders?
4	MS. QUINN: No.
5	MR. STAUFFER: With respect to Mr. Seguin,
6	did you and he socialize at all?
7	MS. QUINN: Not outside the office, no.
8	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Again, there would be
9	these dinners, if you will, from time-to-time when you were
10	working there night reporting. He might be one of them who
11	would go out for dinner and then you'd come back to the
12	office?
13	MS. QUINN: Yes.
14	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. It's my understanding,
15	at some point, you actually lived relatively close to Mr.
16	Seguin. Is that correct?
17	MS. QUINN: It's correct.
18	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Did the two of you see
19	each other as neighbours?
20	MS. QUINN: No.
21	MR. STAUFFER: Did you go into his house
22	when he was living close to you?
23	MS. QUINN: I attended his house on two
24	separate occasions. When we had bought our lot and had
25	owned our lot for a few years before we started building on

1	it and we were building on the lot and Ken had said if you
2	drive to your house stop in because he wanted to show me
3	his house. I had never been to his house. So I stopped in
4	one day and he I was there maybe at the most half an
5	hour.
6	The second time that I went was several
7	months later. I was alone at the house. I was painting.
8	We didn't have running water yet so I went to Ken's with a
9	jug of water and I asked him if I could get a jug of water.
10	Those are the only two times that I was in
11	his house on Alguire.
12	I went to his house once after he moved to
13	Summerstown. We knew some peoples' house had burned down
14	and Ken offered to give some furniture that he was getting
15	rid of, so I said I would go and pick it up and he showed
16	me his house at that time.
17	So in the 20 years that I've known Ken, I
18	was in his house three times that I remember.
19	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Was there anybody in
20	either of those houses on the visits you've talked about?
21	MS. QUINN: No.
22	MR. STAUFFER: Other than Ken obviously.
23	But
24	MS. QUINN: No, Ken was by himself.
25	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Did you see Mr. Sequin

1	socialize with any of his clients?
2	MS. QUINN: No. If you mean by socializing,
3	going out to restaurants or bars, no.
4	MR. STAUFFER: Yes.
5	MS. QUINN: No.
6	Occasionally he'd be standing downstairs
7	having a cigarette and a client would come out from the
8	office and he'd stand next to Ken and they would have a
9	cigarette and then the client would go his way and Ken
10	would come upstairs, but that's and I'm not even sure
11	you'd call that socializing. You know, that's the extent
12	that I've ever seen him with clients
13	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
14	MS. QUINN: outside of the office.
15	MR. STAUFFER: Did you know that Gerry
16	Renshaw, a former probationer, had moved in with Mr. Seguin
17	at some point in his house in Summerstown?
18	MS. QUINN: Yes. Ken was open about that.
19	He talked about it, yes. It wasn't a secret.
20	MR. STAUFFER: All right. Did you find that
21	at all unusual?
22	MS. QUINN: Very unusual.
23	MR. STAUFFER: Why was that?
24	MS. QUINN: Well, as a Probation and Parole
25	Officer myself, I can't see myself having a client living

1	with me, but Ken said that he wanted to give him a chance.
2	He was turning his life around, and it would help him with
3	his mortgage at the same time because Gerry had a job and
4	paid him room and board.
5	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Did that raise any
7	eyebrows in the office as well? Did you guys talk about it
8	at all?
9	MS. QUINN: Not that I remember, no.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
11	MS. QUINN: No.
12	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Now, we understand Mr.
13	Seguin died in November of 1993. Before his death, had you
14	heard any rumours at all about him and the police?
15	MS. QUINN: Not that I remembered, but I
16	read a statement I had made earlier that while I was on
17	sick leave I had heard through the grapevine that Ken was
18	being investigated. This was a rumour outside the office,
19	but even back then, I didn't remember where I heard it or
20	how I heard it, and obviously it was seemingly unimportant
21	to me because I had no more information and I couldn't ever
22	remember what the rumour was about.
23	MR. STAUFFER: When were you on sick leave?
24	Do you recollect?
25	MS. QUINN: It must have been in 1993. I

1	don't remember the exact dates.
2	MR. STAUFFER: Do you know how long you were
3	off?
4	MS. QUINN: I think I was off for a year.
5	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. So it's my
6	understanding that at that time and I'm talking about in
7	1993 you were at that time married to Michael Quinn?
8	MS. QUINN: I was.
9	MR. STAUFFER: Who was at that time and
10	perhaps still is a serving police officer with the Cornwall
11	Police Service?
12	MS. QUINN: Yes.
13	MR. STAUFFER: At any time did you and your
14	husband at the time of the time discuss Mr. Seguin?
15	Did you ever talk about Mr. Seguin?
16	MS. QUINN: No, no, because if we would
17	have, I would have remembered more than what I've I
18	remembered at that time. So I know we didn't discuss it.
19	MR. STAUFFER: Were you aware at any time
20	through your husband or anyone else in the police that
21	there was an ongoing investigation of or a potential
22	investigation is perhaps the better expression potential
23	investigation of Mr. Seguin before his death, before Ken
24	Seguin died?
25	MS. QUINN: No. That rumour I had heard the

1	one time, I never heard anything about it after. That was
2	the only time that I had heard it, and I can't even tell
3	you if it was about sexual behaviour. I don't remember. I
4	didn't remember then. I don't remember now. Obviously, it
5	was just a rumour. I wasn't paying too much attention to
6	it and I don't recall talking to anybody about it.
7	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Were you aware of
8	whether the manager at the time and I guess this would
9	be Emile Robert that he knew of this rumour?
10	MS. QUINN: I don't know. I've never
11	discussed it with anybody, so I really couldn't tell you
12	that. He might have known. He's never mentioned it to me.
13	I know I've never mentioned it to him.
14	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. With respect to
15	there's a name or two which I would like to put to you.
16	Are you aware of Father Charles MacDonald?
17	MS. QUINN: Yes.
18	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Did you ever see him
19	in the company of either Mr. Barque and/or Mr. Seguin?
20	MS. QUINN: I don't remember Father Charlie
21	being with Nelson, but I do remember seeing him with Ken.
22	MR. STAUFFER: Where would this have been?
23	MS. QUINN: On a few occasions, Father
24	Charlie came and picked him up for lunch.
25	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Was there a particular

1	spot where folks would go and eat that was close to the
2	probation office?
3	MS. QUINN: When we worked at 340 Pitt
4	Street there was a little restaurant across the street
5	where they often went. I can't remember the name of it.
6	And then when we moved to 502 Pitt Street, they tended to
7	go to Harv's, which is a little restaurant.
8	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. So would it have been
9	one or both of these restaurants that you remember Mr.
10	Seguin and Father MacDonald going?
11	MS. QUINN: Oh, I don't know where they were
12	going. I just know they were going for lunch.
13	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. With respect to
14	Malcolm MacDonald, do you know him?
15	MS. QUINN: Yes.
16	MR. STAUFFER: Or did you know him?
17	MS. QUINN: Yes.
18	MR. STAUFFER: And did you see him in the
19	company of either Mr. Barque and/or Mr. Seguin?
20	MS. QUINN: I don't remember him in the
21	company of Nelson. I do remember him being with Ken. I
22	felt that they were close friends.
23	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Was Mr. Malcolm
24	MacDonald's office in the same building as Corrections?
25	MS. QUINN: After we moved to 502 Pitt

1	Street, it was.
2	MR. STAUFFER: All right. So you personally
3	did not accompany this group or one of these couples or
4	whatever when they went off for lunch. You just knew they
5	went off for lunch?
6	MS. QUINN: There was one or two occasions
7	when I went with them because I happened to be going out
8	for lunch at the same time and Ken said, "Why don't you
9	join us at Harv's?" But that was only maybe twice in all
10	the years that I worked at 502 Pitt Street and I went with
11	Ken there would have been Ken and Malcolm. Geez, I
12	can't remember who else. There was someone else, but I
13	can't remember who, and it wasn't Father Charlie. No, I've
14	never had lunch with Father Charlie.
15	MR. STAUFFER: All right. With respect to
16	some of your co-workers, if we can move to them just for a
17	minute, we've heard, of course, about Mr. van Diepen. You
18	worked with him for a period of time?
19	MS. QUINN: Yes.
20	MR. STAUFFER: Yes. What was your
21	relationship with him? How did you get along?
22	MS. QUINN: There were times when we didn't
23	get along so well, but I respected Jos. He's a
24	knowledgeable, intelligent man, and I respected his
25	opinion.

1	MR. STAUFFER: All right. How did he get
2	along with the others? Was there any particular problems
3	with any of his co-workers or with the manager?
4	MS. QUINN: There was some tension, but I
5	really can't tell you what it was all about because I
6	really don't know. I know that there was tension at one
7	point in time, particularly with the manager, Emile Robert.
8	I think it was I thought at the time it was more
9	personality conflicts than anything else, but I can't
10	really tell you exactly. I don't know.
11	MR. STAUFFER: M'hm. How was Mr. Robert as
12	a manager? Did he seem to run a happy office, or how did
13	it what was your perception of his management?
14	MS. QUINN: Well, there was friction. I
15	thought that he was a fairly good manager. I had no
16	problems with Emile at all. I liked him. I really can't
17	say anything, you know. How did the others feel about him?
18	I don't know. I know there was friction. I can't tell you
19	I can't put my finger on it and I don't know what it
20	was.
21	MR. STAUFFER: And in terms of Mr. Sirrs
22	before Mr. Robert, how was he as a manager?
23	MS. QUINN: He was a good manager. I didn't
24	get along with him particularly. I didn't particularly
25	like him, but he was a good manager. He was also an

1	intelligent man and he was also very knowledgeable.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: So what did you not get
3	along with?
4	MS. QUINN: We just had a personality
5	conflict. We just didn't get along. If I said black, he'd
6	say white, type of thing.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. What about
8	let's stick on the Sirrs era. He comes in; you move to
9	502?
10	MS. QUINN: Yes.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: How are the office
12	politics then? How did Mr. Barque relate to him? Did you
13	see any friction there?
14	MS. QUINN: Not that I remember, no.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: And with Mr. van Diepen?
16	MS. QUINN: I don't remember friction.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Unease, conflict?
18	MS. QUINN: There might have been but, you
19	know, I wasn't part of the probation and parole officer
20	group then. I was the support staff and, really, we didn't
21	get really involved in what was going on with the probation
22	and parole officers at that time. I kind of felt that
23	there might have been some problems, but I really can't
24	tell you what the problem was. I don't know.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Mr. Barque left at

1	one point
2	MS. QUINN: Yes.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: rather suddenly?
4	MS. QUINN: Yes.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. What do you
6	recall about that?
7	MS. QUINN: Rumours. There was nothing that
8	was ever confirmed. We were told that he had resigned
9	because apparently something had happened with a client. I
10	didn't know what had happened and
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Was there any talk around
12	the office?
13	MS. QUINN: There was some talk around the
14	office, yes, there was.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: What was the talk?
16	MS. QUINN: That he was asked to resign
17	because of improper behaviour with clients.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
19	MS. QUINN: I shouldn't say with clients
20	a client.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: And where would you have
22	received that rumour from?
23	MS. QUINN: It was just around the office.
24	I don't remember exactly in what way the rumours we're
25	going back a lot of years here.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: No, I understand that.
2	MS. QUINN: You know, like I said, I wasn't
3	really part of you know, like the support staff, we
4	weren't really part of the probation and parole officers'
5	little clique. They had kind of their own thing going.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Did you do Mr. Sirrs'
7	typing?
8	MS. QUINN: No, I did not, no.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Who would have done the
10	typing?
11	MS. QUINN: Marcelle Léger would have.
12	Well, I'm wondering we had computers then, I think. He
13	might have done a lot of his own stuff. I don't know.
14	You'd have to ask her.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: In 1982?
16	MS. QUINN: Well, we had they weren't
17	computers, they were word processors.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh yeah. Yeah.
19	MS. QUINN: That's what we had. So he may
20	have been doing a lot of his own work. You would have to
21	ask her.
22	MR. STAUFFER: I think we heard, Madame,
23	from Madam Léger that Mr. Sirrs didn't do his own typing,
24	but
25	MS. QUINN: Okay. I don't know.

1	MR. STAUFFER: just to help you out,
2	that's what we heard in any event.
3	MS. QUINN: Okay.
4	MR. STAUFFER: Do you have a different
5	recollection of
6	MS. QUINN: No, I don't. I said I wasn't
7	sure. I can't remember exactly. Sometimes I get that era
8	mixed up with Emile's era, and I'm trying to recall, you
9	know, what was when.
10	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. There's one comment,
11	again, following perhaps Mr. Commissioner's comments about
12	office politics and so on. Was there a strike at some
13	point in the Cornwall area involving a union?
14	MS. QUINN: The entire OPSEU was on strike.
15	MR. STAUFFER: Right. And I'll just put
16	this to you directly.
17	At some point during the strike, some
18	employees in your office allegedly locked Mr. Robert
19	outside and wouldn't let him come in and the police were
20	called. Is this do you have any specific recollection
21	of that?
22	MS. QUINN: No, I don't, and I was the
23	support staff who was working inside during the strike
24	because they had a draw, but I don't remember us being
25	locked out. If he would have been locked out, I would have

1	been locked out. I don't remember that. We might have
2	been. I don't know. I don't remember that at all.
3	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
4	MS. QUINN: Oh, wait a minute. I'm
5	remembering something. Somebody had poured some stuff in
6	the lock so we couldn't unlock the door. Is that what
7	you're referring to?
8	MR. STAUFFER: I don't know that. So help
9	us out.
10	MS. QUINN: Okay. Well, that's something
11	that I kind of remember, but that was done the night
12	before. It wasn't done that morning, as far as I know.
13	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. But was it aimed
14	directly at Mr. Robert as the manager?
15	MS. QUINN: I couldn't tell you that. It
16	was a strike. Who knows? There might similar incidents
17	might have happened someplace else. I don't know.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: When was that?
19	MS. QUINN: Oh, goodness gracious, that was
20	
21	THE COMMISSIONER: In the '90s?
22	MS. QUINN: We had the second strike five
23	years ago, I would say, six years ago.
24	MR. STAUFFER: In '96?
25	MS. QUINN: The first strike was in '96?

24

25

Okay.

181

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

the issue. It's just I understood you to say that in '96

MR. STAUFFER: Well, I don't want to confuse

1	you were in Ottawa, but I could be wrong.
2	MS. QUINN: In '96, I believe I was working
3	for COMSOC, which would have been OPSEU, but because we
4	went on strike, I probably went back to my original
5	THE COMMISSIONER: To your original
6	position?
7	MS. QUINN: position for the duration of
8	the strike. I don't remember.
9	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Could you help us out
10	going back to Mr. Seguin for a minute, can you help us
11	out, Madame, as to your recollections of Mr. Seguin in the
12	let's say the year or so before he died, how he was
13	behaving; that is, what his moods were like and so on?
14	MS. QUINN: Well, he was okay until about
15	two or three months prior to his death, but Ken seemed
16	he often mentioned that he was broke and he had no money,
17	and he couldn't go on his usual trip because he couldn't
18	afford it. He kept saying that buying a house in
19	Summerstown really busted his budget, that it was much more
20	expensive to live there and that he couldn't do as much as
21	he wanted to.
22	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. I understand that you
23	spoke to him shortly before his death. Can you tell us
24	about that?
25	MS. QUINN: I talked to him at about 4:30 or

1	a quarter to 5:00 the night I should say the afternoon
2	before he died. He was in good spirits. You know, we had
3	a little chitchat. He was he seemed okay. I didn't see
4	any sign of anything wrong with him. He told me to be sure
5	to remind him that he had a 10 o'clock appointment at the
6	dentist for a root canal and that he didn't want to forget
7	it because he didn't want to have to postpone it, and he
8	laughed.
9	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. And I gather you found
10	out about his death through Monsieur Robert? He told you
11	about Ken's death?
12	MS. QUINN: Yes.
13	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. At that time, when you
14	heard about his death, did you suspect any reason for the
15	death?
16	MS. QUINN: No.
17	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. In terms of moving to
18	one other topic here, because of your association at that
19	time with Mike Quinn as your husband, I gather you and Mr.
20	Quinn and Mr. and Mrs. Dunlop knew each other?
21	MS. QUINN: Yes, we did.
22	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Can you tell us what
23	kind of socializing, if any, the four of you did?
24	MS. QUINN: We did a lot of socializing. We
25	often visited Perry and Helen in their home.

1	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. What timeframe are we
2	talking about here?
3	MS. QUINN: Well, from the time Perry joined
4	the police force.
5	MR. STAUFFER: In 1983?
6	MS. QUINN: You know, we were friends until
7	probably shortly before Mike and I separated.
8	MR. STAUFFER: And that was in?
9	MS. QUINN: In '94 or '95.
10	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. So that roughly 10-
11	year period or so, the four of you were together from time-
12	to-time?
13	MS. QUINN: Yes.
14	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Did Mr. Dunlop or Mrs.
15	Dunlop, or both, ever talk to you or your husband about the
16	concerns they had regarding what we started to call the
17	Silmser investigation, the David Silmser investigation?
18	Did the Dunlops talk to you folks about Mr. Silmser?
19	MS. QUINN: They never talked to me about
20	Mr. Silmser.
21	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Do you know you
22	know who I'm talking about
23	MS. QUINN: Yes.
24	MR. STAUFFER: in terms of David
25	Silmser?

1	was there ever any discussion at all about
2	even a statement having been given by someone, and
3	Silmser's name may not have been used, but a statement
4	being given and Mr. Dunlop and/or Mrs. Dunlop being
5	concerned as to what had happened to that statement and the
6	subsequent investigation or lack of investigation?
7	MS. QUINN: No.
8	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Is there any other
9	information at all, Mrs. Quinn, that you can help us with?
10	And I think one specific question I have for you is today,
11	as a probation officer, what, if anything, is in place in a
12	scenario which you described a while back; that is, a co-
13	worker finding some pornographic material in an office?
14	What would be the protocol today if that were the case?
15	MS. QUINN: Well, I'm sure I I'm not I
16	haven't revised the Ministry policy, but I'm sure it's
17	something that would have to be reported to the area
18	manager. We are much more aware of those things today than
19	we were 20, even 10 years ago. We're being trained now.
20	We have you know, we have policies about
21	sexual offenders.
22	We know much more it's much more in our
23	faces now than it was in those days and certainly if I were
24	to see any of those things happening today, such as the
25	pornographic material, the clothing in the office, my view

1	on that would be much more different now than it was
2	looking back, you know, 20, 30 years ago.
3	MR. STAUFFER: M'hm. Why is that? Why I
4	realize you say you've become more aware of it, but back
5	when you discovered these materials and I don't know if
6	we really ever did pin that down as closely as we could
7	when did you discover the magazines and when did you
8	discover the clothing?
9	MS. QUINN: Oh my.
10	MR. STAUFFER: You gave the statement
11	MS. QUINN: Yes.
12	MR. STAUFFER: to the police in '94.
13	MS. QUINN: Yeah.
14	MR. STAUFFER: Does that help you at all?
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Was it before or after
16	your move? Do you remember which building it was in? Was
17	it in 340 Pitt or 502?
18	MS. QUINN: If I remember correctly, it
19	seems to me that it was at 340 Pitt Street.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So that's before -
21	- well could Mr. Sirrs would have been there just a
22	month or so before you moved to the new building.
23	MS. QUINN: Yes.
24	So it it would have been in the years
25	that we were at 340 Pitt Street. I cannot I I can't

1	tell you the exact year, I don't know.
2	Then, again, at that time it seemed
3	unimportant. Nelson gave me his side of the story, which I
4	bought, maybe I shouldn't; I did at that time. I had no
5	reason not believe him. I had you know, I respected
6	Nelson, so what reason did I have not to believe him at
7	that time.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
9	MR. STAUFFER: All right. Mr. Commissioner,
10	I'm not sure if we need to mark it as an exhibit but there
11	was this note that Detective Constable Zebruck had taken.
12	I've not really referred Madame Quinn to it other than in
13	passing.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: No. Unless somebody else
15	wants to or somebody has any reason to. All right?
16	Thank you.
17	MR. STAUFFER: Thank you, sir, those are all
18	the questions I have.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
20	Mr. Manson.
21	How long do you think you'll be, Mr. Manson?
22	MR. MANSON: Perhaps five minutes, maybe 10
23	at the most.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, okay.
25	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.

1	MANSON:
2	MR. MANSON: Hello, Ms. Quinn. My name is
3	Allan Manson.
4	I'm one of the lawyers for the Citizens for
5	Community Renewal, which is local group of concerned
6	citizens who are concerned about institutional reform and
7	especially the protection of young people.
8	I just have a few questions for you.
9	I understand that you have at least when
10	you made your statement to Constables Genier and McDonell,
11	you had some recollection of David Silmser as a
12	probationer?
13	MS. QUINN: Yes.
14	MR. MANSON: And that would be in the
15	mid-70s; correct?
16	MS. QUINN: Yes.
17	MR. MANSON: And Ken Seguin was his
18	probation officer; correct?
19	MS. QUINN: I don't recall at this point,
20	but I recall the name David Silmser who was on probation.
21	MR. MANSON: Well, if I can just can we
22	have one of the 1101s, please?
23	THE COMMISSIONER: It's the statement I
24	think you have that statement; 1101? It's your
25	MR. MANSON: There's a handwritten and

1	typed.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah.
3	MR. MANSON: Either one is fine.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: So that you have the
5	typed one.
6	MS. QUINN: Oh, okay.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
8	MR. MANSON: And if you just take a look at
9	the second paragraph:
10	"I remember David Silmser from
11	340 Pitt."
12	So it would be 1975 or 1976:
13	"Silmser was on probation with Ken"
14	
15	MS. QUINN: Okay.
16	MR. MANSON: "I'm sure of that."
17	MS. QUINN: Yeah, m'hm.
18	Well that was this statement was taken in
19	12 years ago, so if I said that 12 years ago it was
20	probably yeah, it was probably true then, yeah.
21	MR. MANSON: And the "Ken" is Ken Seguin;
22	correct?
23	MS. QUINN: Yes.
24	MR. MANSON: And you don't recall any of the
25	terms of that probation, for example, where David Silmser

1	was required to live, do you?
2	MS. QUINN: No.
3	MR. MANSON: Okay. I thought that would be
4	
5	MS. QUINN: No.
6	MR. MANSON: asking a lot, but I put it
7	out there.
8	Do you recall when you first well, you
9	lived in Cornwall still in 1994; correct?
10	MS. QUINN: Yes.
11	MR. MANSON: And you were still married to
12	Mike Quinn at the beginning
13	MS. QUINN: Yes.
14	MR. MANSON: of 1994?
15	MS. QUINN: Yes.
16	MR. MANSON: And it was early in 1994 so
17	this is after Ken Seguin's death that the controversy
18	over David Silmser being paid \$32,000 hit the newspapers in
19	Cornwall. Do you recall that?
20	MS. QUINN: No, I don't.
21	MR. MANSON: But at some point did you hear
22	that David Silmser had been paid money
23	MS. QUINN: Yes.
24	MR. MANSON: to settle a claim with the
25	Diocese?

25

1	MS. QUINN: Yes.
2	MR. MANSON: That was in the press; correct?
3	MS. QUINN: I don't know. I just remember
4	hearing about it.
5	MR. MANSON: You don't remember the fuss in
6	the local press about it?
7	MS. QUINN: No, I have not followed, at all,
8	what went on with this case.
9	MR. MANSON: Can you recall when you first
10	heard about it?
11	MS. QUINN: No, I don't.
12	MR. MANSON: Would it have been from the
13	Dunlops?
14	MS. QUINN: No.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: You seem to have closed
16	the door on that by say, "No, I didn't follow". Was there
17	a reason why you did you actually choose not to follow
18	the newspapers?
19	MS. QUINN: Yes, I actually chose not to
20	follow the newspaper, yes.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: And why is that?
22	MS. QUINN: Because I found it very
23	difficult to to read those things. I had a lot of

191

respect for Ken. I found it very difficult to believe that

he would engage himself in such behaviour and I just -- I

1	chose I chose not to follow it and I still do. I
2	haven't followed it at all.
3	MR. MANSON: But going back to this
4	MS. QUINN: Yes.
5	MR. MANSON: the \$32,000 and the Diocese,
6	if we just leave Ken Seguin aside for a minute.
7	MS. QUINN: M'hm.
8	MR. MANSON: When you first learned of that,
9	could it have been through your husband your ex-husband?
10	MS. QUINN: No.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: So I take it your husband
12	didn't talk to you or your ex-husband, sorry didn't
13	talk to you in 1994 before your separation I'm sorry,
14	when did you separate? In nineteen
15	MS. QUINN: Nineteen ninety-four or 90
16	no, sorry, '94 or '95.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
18	MS. QUINN: Somewhere along that, yeah.
19	Well, I'm not even sure what he knew on
20	that. He may not even have known about it. Perry and
21	Helen never mentioned it to me.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: No, but I'm thinking that
23	around the police station that there must have been some
24	talk about what was going on?
25	MS. QUINN: Was this after Ken's death?

1	You're talking about after Ken's death?
2	MR. MANSON: Well, it would have hit the
3	news in January after Ken's death
4	MS. QUINN: Well, I was
5	MR. MANSON: but there may have been
6	talk around the police station before Ken's death.
7	MS. QUINN: No, there wasn't before Ken's
8	death, not to my knowledge.
9	MR. MANSON: But about the \$32,000 and the
10	Diocese?
11	MS. QUINN: No. I haven't no.
12	MR. MANSON: You don't recall your husband
13	telling you that Perry Dunlop was being ostracized?
14	MS. QUINN: Ostracized by?
15	MR. MANSON: Other police officers?
16	MS. QUINN: Yes, I recall that, yes.
17	MR. MANSON: And can you in your mind,
18	can you place when you heard that?
19	MS. QUINN: It was after Ken's death.
20	MR. MANSON: After Ken's
21	MS. QUINN: Yes.
22	MR. MANSON: death?
23	MS. QUINN: Yes, yes.
24	MR. MANSON: And did your husband explain to
25	you why he was being ostracized?

1	MS. QUINN: I remember him mentioning that
2	it had something to do with the investigation that was
3	ongoing, which I only knew little bits and pieces here and
4	there from rumours.
5	MR. MANSON: You hadn't discussed any of
6	that with your ex-husband?
7	MS. QUINN: No. I chose not to. I didn't
8	want to hear, so I chose not to.
9	MR. MANSON: When you were at 502 Pitt
10	Street, you recall that the RCMP shared the building with
11	you?
12	MS. QUINN: Maybe when we first moved for a
13	little while; I believe they might have been downstairs
14	from us.
15	MR. MANSON: And Malcolm MacDonald was
16	downstairs?
17	MS. QUINN: Yes.
18	MR. MANSON: Did you ever see him come to
19	your offices?
20	MS. QUINN: Malcolm?
21	MR. MANSON: Yes.
22	MS. QUINN: Occasionally, yes, he came up.
23	MR. MANSON: And what would be the purpose
24	of his visits?
25	MS. QUINN: I couldn't tell you. I don't

1	know. He usually talked with Ken.	
2	MR. MANSON: Oh, he would come and talk with	n
3	Ken Seguin?	
4	MS. QUINN: Yeah, but I don't know what the	
5	purpose	
6	MR. MANSON: No, no.	
7	MS. QUINN: No, oh, okay.	
8	MR. MANSON: but that's what I meant	_
9	MS. QUINN: Yeah, yeah.	
10	MR. MANSON: just to talk to Ken Seguin	?
11	MS. QUINN: Yeah, yeah.	
12	MR. MANSON: Did any of the RCMP officers	
13	come up?	
14	MS. QUINN: Not not that I remember, no.	
15	MR. MANSON: Does the name Sergeant Wayne	
16	Isbester ring a bell as somebody	
17	MS. QUINN: Yes, it yeah, it sounds	
18	familiar.	
19	MR. MANSON: Now, how would you know him?	
20	MS. QUINN: I'm not sure. I just recall the	e
21	name.	
22	MR. MANSON: Would he come up and visit in	
23	the probation offices?	
24	MS. QUINN: Not that I remember.	
2.5		

MR. MANSON: Did you ever talk to any of the

1	custodial staff at 502 Pitt about concerns they had about
2	late night visits by probationers?
3	MS. QUINN: Custodial staff?
4	MR. MANSON: Like janitors.
5	MS. QUINN: Yeah. No.
6	MR. MANSON: You've never heard any
7	complaints from janitors, let's say, during the time that
8	Nelson Barque was a probation officer?
9	MS. QUINN: No. They would have no reason
10	to complain to the secretary.
11	MR. MANSON: But I'm saying you didn't hear
12	anything?
13	MS. QUINN: No. No.
14	MR. MANSON: If I can just have one moment,
15	Mr. Commissioner?
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Certainly.
17	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
18	MR. MANSON: Thank you, Ms. Quinn. Those
19	are all my questions.
20	MS. QUINN: Thank you.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
22	We'll take the afternoon break. Thank you.
23	Can you canvass the folks and see how much
24	time we'll need?

196

THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;

1	veuillez vous lever.
2	This hearing will resume at 3:25.
3	Upon recessing at 3:07 p.m./
4	L'audience est suspendue à 15h07
5	Upon resuming at 3:24 p.m./
6	L'audience est reprise à 15h24
7	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
8	veuillez vous lever.
9	This hearing is now resumed. Please be
10	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
12	Mr. Lee.
13	LOUISE QUINN, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. LEE:
15	MR. LEE: Ms. Quinn, my name is Dallas Lee.
16	I'm counsel for the Victims Group.
17	Can we turn up Exhibit 121, please? These
18	are the officer notes of Bill Zebruck.
19	MS. QUINN: Do I have that?
20	THE COMMISSIONER: So, Mrs. Quinn, these are
21	police officer's notes of Officer Zebruck and Mr. Lee is
22	going to take you to some portions that deal with you, I
23	think.
24	MS. QUINN: I don't remember talking to this
25	man, to Officer Zebruck. I don't remember him at all.

1	MR. LEE: He seems to get a lot of that.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah.
3	MS. QUINN: Well, I don't remember him. I'm
4	sorry. I already said that to my lawyer, that I didn't
5	remember this man. I don't remember talking to him.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: That's okay. That's
7	okay.
8	MR. LEE: This would have been these
9	notes are from January 4 th , 1995. I believe it's Constable
10	Mr. Zebruck anyway would have been an OPP officer and he
11	was investigating allegations made by a man named Albert
12	Roy about sexual abuse at the hands of Nelson Barque. That
13	was the context of his investigation.
14	And if you look at the first page of the
15	notes that you've been handed, at 1340 hours, he interviews
16	Marcelle Léger. Do you see that there?
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Just a second. Which
18	page now?
19	MR. LEE: Oh, perhaps you guys have a I'm
20	looking at 1078049.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
22	MR. LEE: So the bottom right-hand corner
23	you would see 24.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
25	So if you look at the bottom corner of the

Interview".

page numbers, 24.
Madam Clerk, can you go and assist?
MS. QUINN: I must be blind because I'm not
seeing that at the bottom.
THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, trust me, it's
_
MS. QUINN: Oh, thank you.
THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So we're looking
at the Mr. Lee, where do you want to go now?
MR. LEE: You're on page 24, Ma'am?
MS. QUINN: As far as I know, yes.
THE COMMISSIONER: Twenty-four (24) is at
the bottom, just at the bottom right-hand
MR. LEE: There's some writing on it that
obscures a little bit.
On the left-hand margin, the last time entry
is 1340.
THE COMMISSIONER: Halfway up the page
MS. QUINN: Thirteen forty (1340).
THE COMMISSIONER: Halfway up the page, on
the left-hand side there's a little margin there.
MS. QUINN: Yes.
THE COMMISSIONER: And there's "1340

1	MS. QUINN: No.
2	MR. LEE: Can we get this on the screen,
3	Madam Clerk.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: She's trying.
5	MR. LEE: Okay. Sometimes I have to it's
6	on the screen now, Ma'am.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Hang on. Now
8	she'll bring it up. She'LL magnify it. It keeps freezing
9	on us. Okay. There you go.
10	MS. QUINN: Okay.
11	MR. LEE: Do you see 1340 there and
12	"Interview Léger, Marcelle"?
13	MS. QUINN: Yes.
14	MR. LEE: Is that what you're looking at on
15	your hard copy as well?
16	MS. QUINN: Yes, at 1340. Yes.
17	MR. LEE: Okay. So my point with that page
18	was simply to show you that earlier in the day he had
19	spoken with Marcelle Léger. If you turn the page over,
20	about two-thirds of the way down the next page, page 25 at
21	the bottom
22	MS. QUINN: Okay.
23	MR. LEE: you can see that at 1505, so
24	3:05 p.m., he has "Interview Quinn, Louise". Do you see
25	that?

1	MS. QUINN: Yes.
2	MR. LEE: Is that your address?
3	MS. QUINN: At the time, yes.
4	MR. LEE: And your date of birth?
5	MS. QUINN: Yes. No.
6	MR. LEE: That is not?
7	MS. QUINN: August.
8	MR. LEE: August 8 th ?
9	MS. QUINN: Yeah.
10	MR. LEE: So he has the wrong month?
11	MS. QUINN: Yes.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: He probably has the wrong
13	year as well. It should have been it must have been
14	'62.
15	MS. QUINN: It must have been. As I said, I
16	don't remember this man at all.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: It's okay. It's okay.
18	He might not have seen you face-to-face. He may have
19	phoned you.
20	MS. QUINN: I can't see myself releasing
21	that kind of information over the phone.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Your date of birth?
23	You're right.
24	MR. LEE: Well, let's go through and see
25	what he says here.

1	"Started working at Probation and
2	Parole as a secretary June '74."
3	MS. QUINN: Yes.
4	MR. LEE: Do you see that? Is that true?
5	MS. QUINN: Yes.
6	MR. LEE: "Occasionally would have to go
7	into Nelson Barque's desk to get
8	files."
9	Is that true?
10	MS. QUINN: Yes.
11	MR. LEE: "Saw homosexual porn magazines
12	in his desk, also magazines of naked
13	young boys, some performing sex acts."
14	MS. QUINN: Yes.
15	MR. LEE: I'm not going to read the name you
16	have there, but there's a name and it says:
17	"was a probationer that had a close
18	relationship with Nelson, would borrow
19	Nelson's car. Nelson would lend him
20	money."
21	MS. QUINN: Okay. Yeah.
22	MR. LEE: Do you recognize the name?
23	MS. QUINN: Yes.
24	MR. LEE: And is the description there
25	consistent with what your observations may have been at the

1	time?
2	MS. QUINN: Yes.
3	MR. LEE: Then we have another name, a
4	different name:
5	"was very close to Nelson. Nelson
6	would take him to lunch."
7	MS. QUINN: M'hm.
8	MR. LEE: "He had some clothes in Nelson's
9	desk drawer. Asked Nelson why the
10	clothes were in the desk and he told me
11	that [the name] changed clothes there
12	occasionally."
13	MS. QUINN: Right.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Is that true?
15	MS. QUINN: Yes.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
17	MR. LEE: And do you recognize that name?
18	MS. QUINN: Yes.
19	MR. LEE: And then you have another a
20	third name:
21	"was very close to Nelson, looked
22	after his banking. They would go to
23	the bank together."
24	MS. QUINN: I don't recall that actually
25	happening, but if I said it back then, it was fresher in my

1	memory, so it's probably what happened.
2	MR. LEE: Does that name mean something to
3	you?
4	MS. QUINN: Yes. Yeah.
5	MR. LEE: You just don't remember the part
6	about them going to the bank?
7	MS. QUINN: No.
8	MR. LEE: And then the final part we have
9	here is that:
10	"Nelson had mentioned that he had
11	homosexual relationships in the past
12	when he was younger."
13	MS. QUINN: Yes.
14	MR. LEE: That's true as well?
15	MS. QUINN: Yes.
16	MR. LEE: So there's nothing in these notes
17	that you take exception to; it's just the fact you don't
18	remember the conversation. Is that fair?
19	MS. QUINN: That's fair, yes.
20	MR. LEE: Did you ever tell Peter Sirrs
21	about any of the information in this statement?
22	MS. QUINN: No.
23	MR. LEE: And the reason I say Peter Sirrs,
24	he would have been the area manager up to the time that Mr.
25	Barque resigned. Is that right?

QUINN

1	MS. QUINN: Yes, I believe he was the
2	manager then, yes.
3	MR. LEE: Mr. Barque resigned in May of 1982
4	when Mr. Sirrs was the area we've heard from Mr. Sirrs.
5	MS. QUINN: Yes.
6	MR. LEE: We know that he was the area
7	manager at the time.
8	MS. QUINN: Okay.
9	MR. LEE: Who was the manager directly
10	before Peter Sirrs?
11	MS. QUINN: Roy Hawkins, I believe.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: And was he an onsite
13	manager?
14	MS. QUINN: No, he was offsite manager.
15	MR. LEE: Do you recall telling Mr. Hawkins
16	about any of these things in here?
17	MS. QUINN: No. I don't recall telling
18	anybody those things.
19	MR. LEE: Okay. Well, I've asked you about
20	the management side. What about people in the office?
21	What about probation officers?
22	MS. QUINN: Not that I recall. Not that I
23	recall, no.
24	MR. LEE: Okay. And what about other staff?
25	The obvious one that jumps to mind is Marcelle Léger.

1	MS. QUINN: Not that I recall.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: So, you know, after
3	seeing all of these pornographic and little boys or young
4	boys having sex, I guess, you wouldn't have gone over to
5	Marcelle, "You will never guess what I found in his
6	drawer?"
7	MS. QUINN: Not that I recall. I don't I
8	mean, it's something I asked him. He gave me an
9	explanation. To me, that explanation made sense. I was a
10	support staff. Who was I to question what he was answering
11	me, you know? It was left at that and I forgot about it
12	until, you know, the investigation started and I recalled
13	seeing that. I mean, it's not something that I probably
14	wanted to talk about anyways. So I don't remember I may
15	have mentioned it to someone. I don't remember doing so.
16	MR. LEE: If I can sidetrack for one moment,
17	you said that, you know, who are you to question him.
18	Earlier on during your examination in-chief, Mr. Sirrs
19	(sic) was asking about this pornography issue.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Sirrs?
21	MR. LEE: Sorry, Mr. Stauffer was asking you
22	about finding the pornography, and he said you he asked
23	you whether you had questioned Mr. Barque. What I wrote
24	down as your response was. "Maybe I shouldn't have asked

him, but I did". And that accords with what you just told

1	us about how maybe it wasn't your place. Was that a
2	feeling you had at the time, that there was a real
3	distinction between probation officers and secretaries?
4	MS. QUINN: There still is to this day.
5	MR. LEE: And you're on the other side now,
6	you're the
7	MS. QUINN: I'm on the other side now, yes.
8	MR. LEE: But going back in time as a
9	secretary, I mean, it sounds to me like you're saying part
10	of what you were there to do was to mind your own business
11	when it came to these issues. Is that fair?
12	MS. QUINN: It's fair, yes.
13	MR. LEE: Now, going back to whether or not
14	you would have as I understand your evidence, you
15	confronted Mr. Barque with the fact that you'd found these
16	magazines. He gave you an explanation and you accepted
17	that explanation?
18	MS. QUINN: I had no reason not to. His
19	explanation made sense to me.
20	MR. LEE: Okay. So that's fine.
21	The next question then is, is your evidence
22	that you didn't tell anybody in the office, like Marcelle
23	Léger as an example, about what you had found?
24	MS. QUINN: I don't recall telling Marcelle,
25	no.

1	MR. LEE: Did you have a good relationship
2	with her?
3	MS. QUINN: Yes.
4	MR. LEE: The office generally was a pretty
5	friendly place?
6	MS. QUINN: We had issues but, yes,
7	generally it was.
8	MR. LEE: Issues like any workplace has?
9	MS. QUINN: Any issues, personality
10	conflicts, yes.
11	MR. LEE: The reason I'm asking, we've heard
12	from Ms. Léger earlier today. You now that?
13	MS. QUINN: Yes.
14	MR. LEE: As I listened to the evidence from
15	Ms. Léger and listen to your evidence, there's a picture
15 16	Ms. Léger and listen to your evidence, there's a picture being painted here of an office without any chatter,
16	being painted here of an office without any chatter,
16 17	being painted here of an office without any chatter, without a rumour mill. I mean, can that be true? I've
16 17 18	being painted here of an office without any chatter, without a rumour mill. I mean, can that be true? I've never been in an office that doesn't have that chatter,
16 17 18 19	being painted here of an office without any chatter, without a rumour mill. I mean, can that be true? I've never been in an office that doesn't have that chatter, that doesn't have those rumours, that doesn't have gossip
16 17 18 19 20	being painted here of an office without any chatter, without a rumour mill. I mean, can that be true? I've never been in an office that doesn't have that chatter, that doesn't have those rumours, that doesn't have gossip going on.
16 17 18 19 20 21	being painted here of an office without any chatter, without a rumour mill. I mean, can that be true? I've never been in an office that doesn't have that chatter, that doesn't have those rumours, that doesn't have gossip going on. MS. QUINN: Well, I'm sure there was, but if
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	being painted here of an office without any chatter, without a rumour mill. I mean, can that be true? I've never been in an office that doesn't have that chatter, that doesn't have those rumours, that doesn't have gossip going on. MS. QUINN: Well, I'm sure there was, but if it wasn't important, it's in the back of my mind and I've

1	moving in with Ken Seguin?
2	MS. QUINN: That's not what I said, no. I
3	said Ken was very open about the fact that Gerry was living
4	with him. Please refer to your notes. I did say that we
5	knew he was very open about it.
6	MR. LEE: I do indeed recall you saying that
7	and I also recall you saying that there was no chatter
8	about it.
9	But, regardless, let me ask you the
10	question. Ken was very open about it. Was that something
11	that the office staff was talking about as well?
12	MS. QUINN: Not that I remember.
13	MR. LEE: So a male probationer moved in
14	with a male probation officer who people in the office
15	suspected may be homosexual and nobody in the office was
16	talking about that?
17	MS. QUINN: We only suspected. We had no
18	proof.
19	MR. LEE: Well, I'm not suggesting you were
20	building a case. I'm asking whether or not you're talking
21	about it.
22	MS. QUINN: And I'm saying to you that I
23	don't recall any rumours about that around the office. Ker
24	was open about it. It wasn't a rumour. It was the truth.
25	And I don't remember people saying anything about it.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: No, if I can interrupt.
2	I think I asked a question after that in
3	saying, well, what did you think about that, that he was
4	letting a male probationer and you thought that that was
5	quite unusual.
6	MS. QUINN: It was, and I still do think
7	it's quite unusual.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. And I think
9	that's where Mr. Lee is coming from. If you thought it was
10	so unusual, did other people in the office share your
11	opinion?
12	MS. QUINN: Not that I remember.
13	MR. LEE: The notes from Mr. Zebruck, as I
14	told you, were in early 1995. So that's 12 and half years
15	roughly after Mr. Barque resigned. And when we looked at
16	these notes a moment ago, I didn't read them aloud but I
17	pointed out to you that there were names of three
18	probationers in there. Is that right?
19	MS. QUINN: Yes.
20	MR. LEE: And you recognize all those three
21	names?
22	MS. QUINN: Yes.
23	MR. LEE: And you have information that
24	you're giving the police in relation to each of those. Do
25	you see that?

1	MS. QUINN: About the
2	MR. LEE: You say about the first name
3	MS. QUINN: Yes.
4	MR. LEE: that he had a close
5	relationship
6	MS. QUINN: Yes.
7	MR. LEE: and that he would borrow
8	Nelson's car and that Nelson would lend him money?
9	MS. QUINN: Yes.
10	MR. LEE: And about the second one you said
11	that Nelson would take him to lunch and he kept some
12	clothes in Nelson's office?
13	MS. QUINN: Yes.
14	MR. LEE: And that he'd change clothes there
15	occasionally?
16	MS. QUINN: Yes.
17	MR. LEE: And then about the final one you
18	say in these notes that Nelson looked after his banking?
19	MS. QUINN: Yes.
20	MR. LEE: And you say that he was very close
21	to Nelson?
22	MS. QUINN: Yes.
23	MR. LEE: If you had no suspicions about
24	Barque at the time and you didn't think anything untoward
25	was happening, can you explain to me why 13 years after the

1	fact when you meet with an OPP officer and when you speak
2	to an OPP officer, these names immediately pop to mind?
3	You were able to give them these names right off the top of
4	your head.
5	MS. QUINN: Well, isn't it possible that
6	those questions were not asked to me immediately after
7	Ken's death? I was not questioned about anything of
8	Nelson, never. I don't remember speaking to this man and
9	the other two OPP officers were not asking me any questions
10	about Nelson Barque.
11	MR. LEE: Are you saying that had you been
12	asked questions about Nelson Barque at the time he resigned
13	you would have had relevant information to give?
14	MS. QUINN: No, I would not. I probably
15	would have given the same information that you're seeing
16	here now.
17	I have no relevant information to give. I
18	had no relevant information to give.
19	MR. LEE: That ignores the fact though that
20	the information in the Zebruck notes is relevant
21	information?
22	MS. QUINN: Well, if you think that it is
23	then it is. To me Nelson was just being a good probation
24	officer. I didn't see anything wrong with what he was
25	doing.

1	He was a married man with a child and I had
2	no suspicion, I had no reason to suspect what was going on.
3	There was never anything in the office that would point to
4	the fact that he was doing something wrong.
5	MR. LEE: Do you
6	MS. QUINN: Although it didn't sit right
7	with me because I would not lend my car to a client, it was
8	not for me to judge him.
9	MR. LEE: Do you still have these
10	handwritten notes up in front of you?
11	MS. QUINN: The what?
12	MR. LEE: The notes from Zebruck, are those
13	still in front of you?
14	MS. QUINN: Yes.
15	MR. LEE: Can you go back to the page number
16	24, the bottom right-hand corner. It's a little bit hard
17	to read because there's some writing over it. That's the
18	one that has "13:40, Interview Léger, Marcelle".
19	MS. QUINN: Okay.
20	MR. LEE: Let me know when you find that.
21	MS. QUINN: Yes.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: In the middle of the
23	page, Madame Clerk, if you could right there, yeah.
24	MS. QUINN: Okay.
25	MR. LEE: These are the notes relating to a

1	conversation with Marcelle Léger, not you, I understand
2	that, but I want to bring you through some of the
3	information in here.
4	MS. QUINN: Okay.
5	MR. LEE: And what I want to ask you at the
6	end of it is whether or not you knew any of this, whether
7	or not you had discussed any of this with Ms. Léger or
8	whether or you discussed it with anybody else.
9	So if we go 10 or 11 lines down from where
10	it says "Interview, Marcelle Léger"
11	MS. QUINN: Yes.
12	MR. LEE: it's written:
13	"Nelson seemed to get too involved with
14	his probationers, leaving them in his
15	office when he went out, let them sit
16	at his desk, liked to have younger
17	clients, wanted the ones charged with
18	sexual offences."
19	MS. QUINN: Well, those comments about
20	wanting new clients and wanting the ones charged with
21	sexual offences, I know nothing about that.
22	I do agree though that maybe Nelson was
23	getting too involved with his clients but I thought it was
24	a social worker side of him. I never thought anything else
25	of it.

1	MR. LEE: You don't know anything about he
2	wanted the ones charged with sexual offences?
3	MS. QUINN: No, I already testified to that.
4	MR. LEE: You did.
5	MS. QUINN: It was never pointed out to me
6	by Nelson that's the kind of clients he wanted.
7	MR. LEE: And if we continue on:
8	"Some of his probationers would come
9	more often than would be required.
10	Nelson would keep his clients in his
11	office after hours, tell staff to lock
12	doors, they left, didn't want to be
13	disturbed. Nelson had a lock on the
14	door installed, soft lighting in his
15	office, claiming that it was more
16	relaxing to interview clients at
17	night."
18	MS. QUINN: I don't remember the lock on the
19	door and I don't remember Nelson seeing his clients late at
20	night telling us to lock the door. I don't remember that.
21	MR. LEE: And do you remember having a
22	conversation with anybody in the office about some of Mr.
23	Barque's practices as related to?
24	MS. QUINN: No.
25	MR. LEE: Nothing at all?

1	MS. QUINN: No.
2	I do remember Nelson saying though that he
3	did like the softer light because it was more relaxing for
4	him, and I believe I did say earlier that he did have lamps
5	in his office, softer lighting in his office.
6	MR. LEE: Just one moment, please.
7	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
8	MR. LEE: So if we turn our attention to Ken
9	Seguin.
10	You've told us that there were some rumours
11	that he may have been gay, nothing more than that, just
12	some speculation?
13	MS. QUINN: Very few rumours. It's not
14	something we talked about very much in the office. And I'm
15	not sure how much of a rumour it was, I couldn't tell you.
16	MR. LEE: Something that had entered your
17	mind?
18	MS. QUINN: Something that yeah, it had
19	entered my mind. You know, he was still single and there
20	was no proof that he, was so I never really went any
21	further than just thinking about it.
22	MR. LEE: And at some point you told us that
23	you heard rumours that he was being investigated by the
24	police while you were on sick leave?
25	MS. QUINN: Well, it was a rumour, like I

1	said, back then, which was what, 12 or 14 years ago, I
2	couldn't remember exactly what the rumour was or where it
3	had come from, and to this day I still don't.
4	MR. LEE: Did you notice at any point did
5	the thought ever occur to you that Ken Seguin paid an
6	unusual amount of attention to his probationers?
7	MS. QUINN: No.
8	MR. LEE: It didn't strike you that he was a
9	little more attentive than some of the other POs?
10	MS. QUINN: To what? His clients, you mean?
11	MR. LEE: Time-wise, that he spent a lot of
12	time with them?
13	MS. QUINN: He did. He he but then
14	again, I knew Ken as being "Mr. Probation Officer". He was
15	extremely conscientious when it came to doing his work.
16	MR. LEE: Do you recall at any point Ken
17	Seguin asking you how to spell Heidi Sebalj's name?
18	MS. QUINN: Yes.
19	MR. LEE: Can you tell us about that,
20	please?
21	MS. QUINN: He had a huge envelope in his
22	hand and he said to me, "Can you do you know how to
23	spell her name?" And I spelt it for him. I thought that's
24	what the way it was spelled. And I said, "Did you want
25	me to make some copies for you?", or something that effect.

1	And he says, "No, no, I looked after all the stuff, it's
2	okay. It's all done. I just I just have to drop that
3	off to her."
4	MR. LEE: Do you know when that might have
5	been?
6	MS. QUINN: No. Probably a couple of months
7	before he committed suicide, but I can't tell you for sure.
8	MR. LEE: And he died in November of '93?
9	MS. QUINN: Yes. So I I'm not sure
10	exactly when.
11	MR. LEE: In October of 2000, a man named
12	Paul Downing submitted a report of an administrative review
13	he had done. He's a Ministry investigator and he came in
14	to look at the situation in Cornwall in response to
15	allegations against Seguin and Barque and a couple of
16	others that were on a website, okay?
17	In October of 2000, he submitted a report
18	and part of his work, at that time, was to interview some
19	people and one of the people he interviewed was Emile
20	Robert.
21	Okay, do you understand what I've just said?
22	MS. QUINN: I don't know anything about that
23	investigation.
24	MR. LEE: You weren't interviewed as part of
25	that investigation?

1	MS. QUINN: Okay, well I wasn't in Cornwall,
2	that's probably why, okay.
3	MR. LEE: Right. And one of the things that
4	Mr. Robert said was that he recalled Ken Seguin complaining
5	that you were always looking at his property, at the time
6	that you resided just down the street from him?
7	MS. QUINN: I'm not sure what Ken meant by
8	that.
9	MR. LEE: And Mr. Robert also told Paul
10	Downing that at the time he suspected that Mr. Seguin was a
11	homosexual and he was concerned that you were being too
12	snoopy. Have you ever heard that before?
13	MS. QUINN: I heard it from, I believe my
14	lawyer made told me about that comment. Ken's not here
15	to say why he said that. I don't know why he would have
16	said that.
17	MR. LEE: By your lawyer, you mean in
18	preparation for this?
19	MS. QUINN: Yes.
20	MR. LEE: Right.
21	MS. QUINN: I had no reason to be snooping
22	around his property. As a matter of fact, in the years
23	that he lived around the corner from me I was only in his
24	house on two occasions.
25	I did pick him up a few times and dropped

1	him off at his house a few times in his driveway, but
2	didn't go in his house. I would have no reason to be
3	snooping around his house.
4	MR. LEE: Is it possible that you were
5	trying to see who he was associating with?
6	MS. QUINN: No.
7	MR. LEE: You never looked around his house
8	to see if he had men around there, as an example?
9	MS. QUINN: No.
10	MR. LEE: Or probationers?
11	MS. QUINN: I would have no reason to do
12	that.
13	MR. LEE: In 1994, Jos van Diepen spoke to
14	the police and he told the police that Ken Seguin had a lot
15	of probationers that stayed with him in the 1970s. He told
16	the police that some of these probationers needed a place
17	to stay between getting their own place and he said he
18	couldn't remember the names of these people but that the
19	police might want to ask Mr. Rousseau or Miss Léger.
20	van Diepen didn't specifically say that
21	anyone ask you but I'm going to ask you. Do you have any
22	information about probationers that were staying with Ken
23	Seguin in the 1970s?
24	MS. QUINN: Not at all. No.
25	MR. LEE: Do you know of any probationers

1	staying with Ken Seguin at any point, other than Gerry
2	Renshaw?
3	MS. QUINN: No, I don't.
4	MR. LEE: Never heard rumours about any of
5	that?
6	MS. QUINN: No, I didn't.
7	MR. LEE: Are you familiar with a man named
8	Robert Renshaw?
9	MS. QUINN: I believe that's Gerry's
10	brother.
11	MR. LEE: It is.
12	MS. QUINN: Okay, yeah.
13	MR. LEE: You knew him as a probationer?
14	MS. QUINN: Yes.
15	MR. LEE: Are you aware that both Gerry and
16	Robert testified here about specifically area where
17	that Robert testified here in February and the beginning of
18	March of this year?
19	MS. QUINN: No, I haven't been following
20	anything so I don't know who testified.
21	MR. LEE: Mr. Renshaw spoke about you a
22	little bit during his testimony and what he said was that
23	when he would go in to report, you would give him a weird
24	look as if to say, "Do you need help?" He explained that
25	it was just a feeling he got; that it wasn't something that

1	was spoken about, it was a feeling he had, and he got the
2	impression that you felt sorry for him?
3	MS. QUINN: He he'd have no reason to
4	think that.
5	I was I worked in the probation office
6	for a long time. Gerry had and Robert had been on
7	probation for a long time, so when they came in they were
8	familiar to me. I had no reason to suspect anything and
9	maybe I'm just a weird-looking person, I don't know. I
10	I don't know what he's talking about.
11	MR. LEE: Well, I don't think that was a
12	suggestion. I think he was suggesting that a look you were
13	giving him suggested to him that
14	MS. QUINN: I'd have no reason to give him
15	that look.
16	MR. LEE: You never suspected that anything
17	was going on and would have looked at a probationer as if
18	to say, "Do you need help?" Is that what you're saying?
19	MS. QUINN: No. I'm too straightforward. I
20	would have asked.
21	MR. LEE: Well, you told us a moment ago
22	that you were concerned at times that it wasn't your place.
23	MS. QUINN: You're right. And it wasn't my
24	place, bBut I never had any suspicion of anything going on
25	that was that would have pointed out to this inquest

1	(sic); I had no idea.
2	MR. LEE: So the Barque allegations, when
3	you learned of them, came as a shock?
4	MS. QUINN: Yes. Very much so.
5	MR. LEE: And the Seguin allegations came as
6	a shock?
7	MS. QUINN: Yes.
8	MR. LEE: Are you still a little bit shocked
9	by the Seguin allegations?
10	MS. QUINN: Yes.
11	MR. LEE: Even today, do you believe it is
12	even possible that Ken Seguin could have done the things
13	he's been alleged to have done?
14	MS. QUINN: If there was evidence against
15	him that he did it
16	MR. NEUBERGER: I'm sorry, I'm going to
17	object.
18	MS. QUINN: Thank you.
19	MR. NEUBERGER: You're welcome.
20	Mr. Commissioner, since the merits of the
21	allegations are not in issue for this Inquiry, I'm not sure
22	how that's helpful.
23	If the question is more direct in the sense
24	that, "Are you telling us the truth about what you observed
25	or not?", you know, that might be the more direct point,

1	but because of the merits of the allegation not being in
2	issue, I don't think that's an appropriate question.
3	Thank you, sir.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Lee, do you wish to
5	comment or pass it off?
6	MR. LEE: No, I think I should be able to
7	phrase the question as I'd like to. I didn't ask her if
8	the allegations were true.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
10	MR. LEE: I didn't ask her to weigh evidence
11	and give us some kind of proclamation on whether or not he
12	did it.
13	I asked her, whether sitting here today and
14	given what she knows, whether in her mind it is even
15	possible that Ken Seguin could have done these things and I
16	think it goes to, possibly, the motivation of some of the
17	things she said today. I think it goes to the credibility
18	of the witness. I think it goes directly, if I don't
19	want to say any more than that.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: No, okay, that's fair.
21	Go ahead.
22	MR. LEE: So the question is, sitting here
23	today, do you believe it is even possible that Ken Seguin
24	could have done the things that he was accused of doing?
25	MS. QUINN: It's very possible, yes.

1	MR.	LEE: Thank you very much. Those are my
2	questions.	
3	THE	COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
4	Bef	fore we go any further, can someone tell
5	me what the exhibi	t number for the letter of recommendation
6	that Mr. Sirrs wou	ald have sent Mr. Landry?
7	MR.	LEE: I can do that, sir.
8	THE	COMMISSIONER: Yeah.
9	MR.	LEE: You want the one from Sirrs?
10	THE	COMMISSIONER: From Sirrs to Landry,
11	yeah.	
12	MR.	LEE: Eight-six-nine (869).
13	THE	COMMISSIONER: Eight-six-nine (869), all
14	right.	
15	Car	you show that to the witness? I just
16	want to see if she	knows who typed that letter up.
17	ML,	ML, do you know who "ML" is? Oh no,
18	yeah.	
19	MS.	QUINN: Marcelle Léger.
20	THE	COMMISSIONER: Shucks.
21	MR.	LEE: Do you need anything else from me,
22	sir?	
23	THE	COMMISSIONER: No, thank you very much.
24	MR.	LEE: Thank you, Ms. Quinn.
25	MS.	QUINN: Thank you.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
2	All right. So let the record show that on
3	Exhibit 869, the letter of recommendation which might have
4	been something we would have wanted to cover with Ms.
5	Léger, has her initials on the letter of recommendation, at
6	least, so keep that for what it is.
7	Mr. Neville.
8	MR. NEVILLE: Thank you, sir.
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
10	NEVILLE:
11	MR. NEVILLE: Good afternoon, Ms. Quinn.
12	My name is Michael Neville. I represent
13	Father MacDonald and the Estate of Ken Seguin.
14	I just have a couple of questions for you.
15	Just dealing with the last question you were
16	asked by Mr. Lee, you said it's possible these things
17	happened. Is that because anything's possible?
18	MS. QUINN: It's because anything is
19	possible, yes.
20	MR. NEVILLE: Now, looking at your statement
21	to the OPP it's now Exhibit, Mr. Commissioner, 1101.
22	It's document 725539.
23	I just wanted to clarify a couple of points
24	
25	THE COMMISSIONER: It's a two-page document.

1	MR. NEVILLE: Do you have your copy there?
2	MS. QUINN: Yes.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Eleven-o-one A (1101A).
4	MS. QUINN: Yeah.
5	MR. NEVILLE: And I'm just looking at the
6	first page where you talk about what I would call the
7	probation history of Mr. Silmser.
8	MS. QUINN: Yes.
9	MR. NEVILLE: And you started with the
10	office in 1974?
11	MS. QUINN: Yes.
12	MR. NEVILLE: And you say you remember Mr.
13	Silmser. It would have to be 1975 or 1976. Why do you say
14	that? Is that because of when you started?
15	MS. QUINN: There must have been something
16	at that point in time that triggered that memory.
17	MR. NEVILLE: All right.
18	And we know from previous testimony that Mr.
19	Silmser was born in March of 1958. So when we talk about
20	his being in the office in those years, he would be 17 or
21	even 18?
22	MS. QUINN: He was an adult.
23	MR. NEVILLE: Right.
24	MS. QUINN: Because when I worked there, Ken
25	supervised adults.

1	MR. NEVILLE: Right.
2	And
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, can we just
4	MR. NEVILLE: Yes.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: I think adults is too
6	much of a broad term. Let's keep to the ages because with
7	the Criminal Code, age
8	MR. NEVILLE: Right. I think just to assist
9	the witness and perhaps the record for you, Mr.
10	Commissioner, I think am I correct, Madame, that you're
11	using that adult because of the Juvenile Delinquents Act
12	versus the Criminal Code?
13	MS. QUINN: Yes, back then.
14	MR. NEVILLE: Where the law, criminal law,
15	made you an "adult" at 16?
16	MS. QUINN: Yes.
17	MR. NEVILLE: And that's the distinction
18	you're drawing?
19	MS. QUINN: That's the distinction that we
20	used in those days.
21	MR. NEVILLE: Yes.
22	MS. QUINN: The adults and the juveniles.
23	MR. NEVILLE: Fair enough.
24	Now, if we just look briefly at the
25	paragraph, you confirm that at some point you're not

1	sure how long Mr. Silmser was supervised by Mr. Seguin?
2	MS. QUINN: Yes.
3	MR. NEVILLE: And then he also became a
4	probationer under the supervision of Mr. Rousseau?
5	MS. QUINN: Yes.
6	MR. NEVILLE: And then you say and I'm
7	not sure if you can help us with this because it's
8	something somebody else wrote, although you signed it:
9	"I don't remember"
10	I'm looking at the next sentence:
11	"I don't remember if anyone had Silmser
12	as a probationer."
13	Do you mean perhaps anyone else?
14	MS. QUINN: Else, yeah.
15	MR. NEVILLE: Yes. That's what I thought it
16	might mean. All right.
17	And you were asked some questions again by
18	Mr. Lee about Mr. Seguin and his the rumours about him
19	in terms of his sexual orientation. Can we just look at
20	the second page of your statement? It may be the back page
21	of the document that you have.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
23	MR. NEVILLE: It would appear you were asked
24	by the OPP officers in 1994 on this topic and if you
25	count six rows from the top, you told them the following:

1	"I don't believe Ken was a homosexual
2	personally. Rumour has it that he
3	was."
4	MS. QUINN: Yes.
5	MR. NEVILLE: Does that accurately state
6	your position then?
7	MS. QUINN: Yes.
8	MR. NEVILLE: All right.
9	And I understand that you worked
10	particularly closely with Ken Seguin?
11	MS. QUINN: Yes.
12	MR. NEVILLE: And you have described in
13	interview material that we've seen as almost he had
14	become, vis-à-vis you, almost a confidante or you a
15	confidante of his?
16	MS. QUINN: Well, it was more my mom got
17	really sick in 1985 with cancer, and I was going through a
18	big struggle in my life. So Ken seemed to be the one
19	person who was able to guide me and help me, and he
20	understood what I was going through. So I felt that at
21	that point we became close because I was always able to go
22	and speak to Ken. Ken was always there to listen to me.
23	And sometimes I just sat in his office and cried. My mom
24	was dying, you know.
25	MR. NEVILLE: Right.

1	MS. QUINN: And that was okay. And he used
2	to say to me, "It's okay. You can cry. It's okay."
3	Whereby I wouldn't have been able to do that with my other
4	colleagues.
5	MR. NEVILLE: M'hm. Pretty nice guy?
6	MS. QUINN: He was a very nice guy.
7	MR. NEVILLE: Thank you.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
9	Mr. Chisholm?
10	MR. CHISHOLM: Ms. Quinn, my name is Peter
11	Chisholm. I'm counsel for the local CAS. I have no
12	questions for you. Thank you.
13	MS. QUINN: Thank you.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Bala.
15	MR. BALA: Ms. Quinn, my name it Emtiaz
16	Bala. I'm with the Attorney General of Ontario and I have
17	no questions for you.
18	MS. QUINN: Thank you.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Manderville.
20	MR. MANDERVILLE: Good afternoon, Ms. Quinn.
21	My name is Peter Manderville. I'm counsel for the Cornwall
22	Police and I have no questions for you today.
23	MS. QUINN: Thank you.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Ms. Costom.
25	MS. COSTOM: No questions.

PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
2	Mr. Wallace?
3	MR. WALLACE: Nothing. Thank you.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
5	Mr. Rose?
6	MR. ROSE: No thank you.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. I thought
8	that was a seven-inning stretch for a minute.
9	MR. STAUFFER: Mr. Commissioner, if there
10	are no other counsel coming forward, I just want to clarify
11	the exhibit numbers now.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
13	MR. STAUFFER: So with regard to Madame
14	Quinn, I would like her to look at what is going to be
15	Exhibit 1101B, Document Number 715
16	THE COMMISSIONER: You don't have it yet.
17	MR. STAUFFER: 406.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
19	MR. STAUFFER: And if, Madame, you could
20	take a moment, look at that and look at the last page, I
21	just want to be sure that's your signature.
22	MS. QUINN: Yes, it is.
23	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: So all that is, Mrs.
25	Quinn you don't have to read it well, all it is is

PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	that what we showed you before was typed up from this
2	document. And so we're just trying to keep them together.
3	So we're just trying to keep the house clean.
4	MS. QUINN: Am I supposed to keep these
5	documents or leave them in here?
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh no, no, you're going
7	to leave them there.
8	MS. QUINN: Oh, Okay. Well, I didn't know.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, that's fine.
10	MS. QUINN: Okay.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: That's fine.
12	MR. STAUFFER: Right.
13	And, Mr. Commissioner, the other matter is
14	Exhibit 1098B as in Bravo, this is the typed statement of
15	Emile Robert, Document 725543.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.
17	Well, the witness need not see this, 1098B.
18	MR. STAUFFER: Yes.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Is that it?
20	MR. STAUFFER: Thank you.
21	And I have no further questions.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: I understand you wish to
23	be on your way to Ottawa?
24	MS. QUINN: I do. I have tickets for the
25	Nutcracker tonight.

PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	THE COMMISSIONER: At what time?
2	MS. QUINN: Well, I'm meeting friends at le
3	Café at 5:30.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, you should be there
5	by 5:30, the OPP willing and God willing.
6	MS. QUINN: Thank you very much.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Have a good
8	day.
9	MS. QUINN: Thank you.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: You may leave.
11	We're going to go into an in camera session
12	simply to give the monikers a face, and so we will not be
13	insulted if folks take their leave. All right? Thank you.
14	We'll come back in 15.
15	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre
16	veuillez vous lever.
17	This hearing will resume at 4:15 in camera.
18	Upon adjourning public session at 3:59 p.m./
19	L'audience publique est ajournée à 15h59
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	
4	CERTIFICATION
5	
6	I, Dale Waterman a certified court reporter in the Province
7	of Ontario, hereby certify the foregoing pages to be an
8	accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of
9	my skill and ability, and I so swear.
10	
11	Je, Dale Waterman, un sténographe officiel dans la province
12	de l'Ontario, certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une
13	transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au
14	meilleur de mes capacités, et je le jure.
15	od a vd
16	in a Wat
17	
18	Dale Waterman, CVR-CM
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	