THE CORNWALL PUBLIC INQUIRY



L'ENQUÊTE PUBLIQUE SUR CORNWALL

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner

The Honourable Justice / L'honorable juge G. Normand Glaude

Commissaire

VOLUME 170

Held at: Tenue à:

Hearings Room 709 Cotton Mill Street Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7 Salle des audiences 709, rue de la Fabrique Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7

Friday, November 30 2007 Vendredi, le 30 novembre 2007

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC. www.irri.net (800) 899-0006

ERRATA

November 22, 2007 Volume 165

Transcript

Page 86 line 10

"Don't play dumb with me because I'll go and ask your Premier."

Should have read :

"Don't play dumb with me, Guzzo; go and ask your Premier."

November 29, 2007 Volume 169

Transcript

Page 263 line 16 and 19

M. HORN: Je voulais juste dire que Maître Ruel est en train de venir tout de suite.

LE COMMISSAIRE: Correct. On peut --M. HORN: Il le sait qu'on commence.

Should have read :

M. ENGELMANN: Je voulais juste dire que Maître Ruel est en train de venir tout de suite.

LE COMMISSAIRE: Correct. On peut --M. ENGELMANN: Il le sait qu'on commence.

Appearances/Comparutions

Mr. Peter Engelmann Lead Commission Counsel

Ms. Julie Gauthier Registrar

M^e Simon Ruel Commission Counsel

Ms. Maya Hamou

Mr. John Callaghan Cornwall Police Service Board

Mr. Mark Crane

Mr. Neil Kozloff Ontario Provincial Police

Ms. Suzanne Costom

Mr. Christopher Thompson Attorney General for Ontario

Mr. Peter Chisholm The Children's Aid Society of

the United Counties

Ms. Helen Daley Citizens for Community Renewal

Mr. Dallas Lee Victims Group

Mr. David Bennett The Men's Project

Mr. David Sherriff-Scott Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall

and Bishop Eugene LaRocque

Mr. Michael Neville The Estate of Ken Seguin and

Scott Seguin and Father Charles

MacDonald

Me Danielle Robitaille Mr. Jacques Leduc

Mr. William Carroll Ontario Provincial Police

Association

Mr. John M. Rosen Mr. Peter Sirrs

Table of Contents / Table des matières

List of Exhibits :	Page iv
PIERRE LANDRY, Resumed/Sous le même serment	1
Housekeeping matters by/Matières administratives par Mr. Peter Engelmann	1
Submissions by/Représentations par Mr. Carson Chisholm	3
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par M ^e Simon Ruel(cont'd/suite)	4
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Helen Daley	17
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Dallas Lee	28
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Peter Chisholm	32
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. John Rosen	34
GARRY GUZZO, Resumed/Sous le même serment	67
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Mr. Peter Engelmann(cont'd/suite)	68
Remarks by/Remarques par Mr. Peter Engelmann	123
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Dallas Lee	124

LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
P-1069	(126171) Letter from Garry Guzzo to Bishop	77
	Paul-Andre Durocher dated July 3rd, 2002	

1	Upon commencing at 9:41 a.m./
2	L'audience débute à 9h41
3	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
4	veuillez vous lever.
5	This hearing of the Cornwall Public Inquiry
6	is now in session. The Honourable Mr. Justice Normand
7	Glaude, Commissioner, presiding.
8	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Good morning,
10	all.
11	Si vous voulez vous asseoir, Monsieur?
12	Mr. Engelmann.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Good morning, Mr.
14	Commissioner.
15	Bonjour, Monsieur Landry.
16	PIERRE LANDRY, Resumed/Sous le même serment
17	M. LANDRY: Bonjour.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm just here for a minute,
19	sir, a couple of housekeeping matters, if I can.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: I understand Maître Ruel has
22	a few more minutes to go
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: in his evidence in-
25	chief, and with the cross-examination, I'm hopeful that

1	we'll be starting Mr. Guzzo at the morning break. He's
2	available as at 10:30 is my understanding.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: So other than that, sir, I
5	understand that you wanted to rise at 3:00 today?
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, at the latest.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And that Monday we'll be
8	starting at 1:00 p.m.?
9	THE COMMISSIONER: That's right.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: There's an all-counsel
11	meeting Monday morning and I'll be sending a note out to
12	counsel
13	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: about that as well.
15	Sir, just one last thing. Mr. Carson
16	Chisholm is here. He wanted to address you very briefly.
17	I asked if he had his lawyer here.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: He said he didn't, but he
20	still wanted to address you for a moment. I know that's
21	unusual, but he said it would be very brief.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Certainly. All right.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Chisholm, just come
24	forward.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning, sir.

1	SUBMISSIONS BY/REPRÉSENTATIONS PAR MR. CARSON CHISHOLM:
2	MR. CHISHOLM: Good morning. I'll be very
3	brief. I'll read it so I get it straight here.
4	As spokesman for the Coalition for Action on
5	Child Sexual Abuse, I'm throwing in the towel for our
6	group. We are too far behind the eight ball and at such a
7	disadvantage against the power and might of the
8	institutions that we will concede.
9	We cannot afford to adequately represent the
10	people and have been jerked around too often and for too
11	long to have the belly to continue with this charade.
12	In my opinion, this Inquiry is an
13	abomination and a blasphemy.
14	If anyone is interested in our opinion, it
15	can be found on the internet at theinquiry.ca.
16	Thank you.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, sir, that is your
18	opinion.
19	MR. CHISHOLM: Yes.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: And while I certainly
21	respect you as a person, I can tell you that we are going
22	to continue on.
23	MR. CHISHOLM: Oh yeah.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: And that I do not share
25	your opinion.

1	Thank you very much.
2	MR. CHISHOLM: Thank you.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Maître Ruel.
4	Me RUEL: Bonjour, monsieur le Commissaire.
5	LE COMMISSAIRE: Monsieur Landry, vous
6	comprenez que vous êtes encore sous serment?
7	M. LANDRY: Oui.
8	LE COMMISSAIRE: Parfait. Merci.
9	PIERRE LANDRY, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
10	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR Me
11	RUEL (cont'd/suite):
12	Me RUEL: Monsieur Landry, j'ai quelques
13	questions pour vous pour compléter votre interrogatoire.
14	Est-ce que l'Équipe psycho-sociale a déjà eu
15	des ententes de service avec les services de probation à
16	Cornwall?
17	M. LANDRY: Non.
18	Me RUEL: En 1982, est-ce que l'Équipe
19	psycho-sociale était connue dans le milieu à Cornwall?
20	M. LANDRY: L'équipe était définitivement
21	connue. C'est un service communautaire dans lequel il y
22	avait eu beaucoup de publicité.
23	Si on se souvient, en 1980 et '82, ça avait
24	tout fait les manchettes des journaux. Il y avait eu deux

ministres qui étaient venus à Cornwall pour l'ouverture.

1	II y avait CJOH qui etait la. Il y avait la presse locale,
2	la presse de Ottawa. Il y avait des ministres la
3	ministre de l'Éducation dans le temps. Je pense que
4	c'était Madame Davidson, et le ministre des Services
5	sociaux et communautaires. Après ça, il y avait eu
6	Monsieur Norton, qui était ministre je ne sais pas si
7	c'était de la santé à ce moment-là, mais en tout les cas,
8	il y avait eu énormément de publicité.
9	Me RUEL: Ça c'est en 1980?
10	M. LANDRY: En '80, '82, '83.
11	Me RUEL: Je veux dire, l'ouverture est en
12	1980?
13	M. LANDRY: Il y a eu une ouverture en '80
14	et puis il y a eu une ouverture en 1982, au moment où il y
15	a eu une amalgamation, qu'on a déménagé nos locaux dans une
16	partie de la bâtisse de Jean XXIII.
17	Me RUEL: Là, quand vous parlez des
18	ministres qui étaient présents et puis tout ça, c'était à
19	quel moment, en '80 ou en '82?
20	M. LANDRY: En '82, mais il y a eu aussi en
21	780.
22	Me RUEL: Est-ce que vous vous souvenez
23	d'avoir parlé à une policière du Service de police de la
24	ville de Cornwall nommée Heidi Sebalj?
25	M. LANDRY: Le nom me dit quelque chose,

1	mais je me souviens pas plus que ça.
2	Me RUEL: Je vais vous demander de prendre
3	la Pièce 120.
4	LE COMMISSAIRE: Un des volumes l'avez-
5	vous, monsieur? C'est dans un des trois. Donc, c'est des
6	notes, ça, du policier Heidi Sebalj, n'est-ce pas?
7	Me RUEL: C'est ça.
8	LE COMMISSAIRE: Bon. Ça fait qu'on va vous
9	référer à des notes, Monsieur Landry.
10	Me RUEL: Monsieur Landry, il y a un numéro
11	de sept chiffres ou oui, sept chiffres dans le coin
12	gauche supérieur de la page et je vais vous demander
13	d'aller au numéro 7158420. Donc, c'est des notes du 8
14	décembre de la policière Heidi Sebalj et à 10h33, le 8
15	décembre 1994, et je vais tenter de lire ce que je
16	comprends des notes:
17	"PC place to Director EP Pierre Landry
18	at 10:41. Advises no specific
19	allegation - received complaint from
20	parents being apprehensive about their
21	children being counselled by Barque and
22	accommodated. First complaint, didn't
23	act. Then when received more, became
24	suspicious."
25	Est-ce que vous vous souvenez de cette

1	conversation-là?
2	M. LANDRY: Vaguement, oui.
3	LE COMMISSAIRE: Il faudrait continuer le
4	reste de la discussion.
5	M. LANDRY: Pardon?
6	LE COMMISSAIRE: Est-ce qu'il y a quelque
7	chose d'autre qui continue
8	Me RUEL: Oui, je vais
9	LE COMMISSAIRE: Si on veut rafraîchir sa
10	mémoire, on lui donne toute la page.
11	Me RUEL: Oui, je vais le lire au complet.
12	Un petit peu plus loin bien, en fait, on continue la
13	conversation. D'après ce que je comprends c'est:
14	"S. staff"
15	Donc, je pense "support staff" :
16	"sign out in case they are needed.
17	Barque would sign himself out and go to
18	the square. Landry followed him there.
19	Hanging out in washrooms."
20	Est-ce que vous vous souvenez de ça?
21	M. LANDRY: Oui.
22	Me RUEL: Et la page suivante:
23	"Approached Barque and confronted him
24	with parents' apprehensions and
25	suspicions. Advised Barque, "If I'm

1	wrong, fight me. If I'm right,
2	resign.""
3	Est-ce que c'est possible que vous auriez
4	dit ça à la policière?
5	M. LANDRY: Ça se peut fort bien, oui.
6	Me RUEL: Et suite à bon, c'est inscrit:
7	"Barque resigned."
8	Là c'est indiqué:
9	"Other employers would call for
10	references and Landry would suggest
11	they ask Barque."
12	Est-ce que c'est ce que vous avez indiqué?
13	M. LANDRY: Oui.
14	Me RUEL: Là il y a référence dans les notes
15	à Madame Mrs. Anita Barque, principal, et une
16	conversation que vous auriez relatée au Constable Sebalj,
17	une conversation que vous auriez eue avec Anita Barque.
18	Qui est Anita Barque?
19	M. LANDRY: Anita Barque c'était l'épouse de
20	Monsieur Barque.
21	Me RUEL: Donc, est-ce que vous vous
22	souvenez d'une conversation avec l'épouse de Monsieur
23	Barque?
24	M. LANDRY: Vaguement, mais je me souviens
25	que Madame Barque m'avait demandé à ce moment-là pourquoi

1	son mari laissait l'équipe.
2	Me RUEL: Un petit peu dans le bas de la
3	page, il y a une note qui commence qui se lit comme
4	suit:
5	"Probation never expressed anything
6	about Barque, said he complained of
7	burnout, too many cases, court, etc"
8	Est-ce que vous vous souvenez d'avoir dit
9	ça?
10	M. LANDRY: Non.
11	Me RUEL: Est-ce que vous vous souvenez
12	d'avoir d'abord, est-ce que cette conversation-là s'est
13	déroulée lors d'une rencontre ou autrement?
14	M. LANDRY: Avec qui?
15	Me RUEL: Avec la Constable Sebalj de la
16	Police de Cornwall.
17	M. LANDRY: Si ma mémoire est bonne, c'était
18	une rencontre au bureau, oui.
19	Me RUEL: Puis est-ce qu'elle vous a demandé
20	quelque chose?
21	M. LANDRY: La constable?
22	Me RUEL: Oui. Est-ce qu'elle vous a
23	demandé d'autre chose? Est-ce qu'elle vous a demandé des
24	documents?
25	M. LANDRY: Elle m'a demandé le dossier de

1	Monsieur Barque. Je lui avais remis, oui.
2	Me RUEL: Est-ce que vous vous souvenez
3	d'avoir parlé ou d'avoir appris d'abord que Monsieur Barque
4	avait été accusé au criminel et trouvé coupable
5	d'infraction de nature criminelle?
6	M. LANDRY: Ce que j'ai appris, je l'ai
7	appris par les journaux. Personne m'a informé, mais ça a
8	été public et ça a été dans les journaux, ça fait que
9	Me RUEL: Qu'est-ce que vous avez appris?
10	M. LANDRY: Que Monsieur Barque avait été
11	trouvé coupable d'agression sexuelle dans les années où il
12	était avec le service de probation.
13	Me RUEL: Est-ce que vous vous souvenez
14	d'avoir parlé à l'agent de probation de Monsieur Barque
15	suite à sa condamnation?
16	M. LANDRY: Non.
17	Me RUEL: Donc, je voudrais vous exhiber le
18	document, la Pièce 113.
19	LE COMMISSAIRE: Cent-treize (113), ça
20	c'était dans le volume au début, tout au début, la
21	deuxième, je crois.
22	Me RUEL: Et c'est un document qui
23	s'intitule "Pre-sentence Report: Nelson Barque" et qui a
24	été préparé par Nicole Barbeau, agent de probation, le 14
25	août '95. À la page 6, troisième paragraphe, il y a une

1	référence à certaines informations qui auraient été
2	fournies par vous à Madame Barbeau.
3	Est-ce que vous voulez regarder le
4	paragraphe, le lire et me dire si vous
5	M. LANDRY: Le troisième paragraphe, vous
6	dites?
7	Me RUEL: Troisième, oui.
8	LE COMMISSAIRE: Bien, le premier sur
9	l'écran-là, ça dit "Between 1982"
10	Me RUEL: Oui. C'est ça.
11	LE COMMISSAIRE: C'est ça, ça c'est le
12	paragraphe qu'on veut que vous lisiez.
13	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
14	M. LANDRY: Oui.
15	Me RUEL: Oui. Est-ce que vous vous
16	souvenez d'avoir indiqué à Madame Barbeau
17	M. LANDRY: Le nom Madame Barbeau me dit
18	rien, mais je me souviens que de ce commentaire-là, oui.
19	Me RUEL: Donc, dans ce commentaire-là, pour
20	la transcription, c'est indiqué que vous fournissez
21	certaines informations au sujet de monsieur Barque, le fait
22	qu'il se présentait comme un employé ponctuel et le fait
23	que vous aviez reçu des plaintes de à son sujet ou des
24	préoccupations à son sujet de la part de parents. C'est
25	ça?

1	M. LANDRY: Oui. Mais c'était plutôt des
2	appels, deux ou trois appels anonymes que j'ai eus. À
3	savoir si c'était des parents ou pas
4	Me RUEL: Vous ne vous souvenez pas si
5	c'était des parents?
6	M. LANDRY: Je me souviens pas, non.
7	Me RUEL: Et dernièrement, le dernier point
8	que je voudrais couvrir, est-ce que vous vous souvenez
9	d'avoir parlé à un enquêteur du ministère des Services
10	correctionnels en date du 2 octobre 2000? Et cette
11	personne-là, cet enquêteur-là, son nom est monsieur Paul
12	Downing. Est-ce que vous vous souvenez de ça?
13	M. LANDRY: Non, c'est une chose que je me
14	souviens pas du tout.
15	Me RUEL: J'aimerais vous faire référence à
16	la Pièce 1064.
17	LE COMMISSAIRE: C'est un autre des trois.
18	Si vous regardez en arrière au début ici ça devrait vous
19	dire
20	Me RUEL: Et c'est à la page 17 du document.
21	Donc la page est indiquée de façon manuscrite dans le coin
22	droit du bas de la page.
23	M. LANDRY: La page, quel numéro vous dites?
24	Me RUEL: Dix-sept (17).
25	M. LANDRY: Dix-sept (17).

I	Me RUEL: Et je vais vous lire ce qui est
2	inscrit d'après ce que j'en comprends. Donc, il s'agit de
3	notes de Monsieur Downing qui est inspecteur pour le
4	ministère des Services correctionnels et c'est dans le bas
5	de la page.
6	"October 2 nd , 2000 at 2:00 o'clock, two
7	hours, speak to Pierre Landry, said he
8	received a positive reference from
9	Peter Sirrs dated August 23, 1982 and
10	made a telephone contact with Sirrs who
11	was the area manager. Pierre
12	telephoned, spoke with Peter Sirrs and
13	asked him specifically about work with
14	children and young adults. Peter
15	stated importance provincial
16	government, CSS. Peter told him 'no
17	problem.' A number of months later,
18	Peter began getting complaints,
19	concerns regarding the employment of
20	Barque. Followed Nelson to the
21	Cornwall Square and location was known
22	as common meeting place for
23	homosexuals. Confronted Nelson and
24	Nelson voluntarily resigned on August
25	18, 1986."

1	Me RUEL: Est-ce que ces mentions-là dans
2	les notes de Monsieur Downing rafraîchissent votre mémoire
3	au sujet d'une discussion que vous auriez pu avoir à son
4	avec lui?
5	M. LANDRY: Je me souviens pas du tout et ce
6	que j'ai de la misère, c'est qu'on réfère à Peter. C'est
7	Peter qui? C'est-tu moi, Peter?
8	LE COMMISSAIRE: Non, je crois qu'il y a un
9	mélange là-dedans dans le sens que sur la page 18, c'est
10	quand il a dit:
11	"A number of years later, Peter began
12	to get complaints."
13	Je crois que ça, ça devrait être Pierre qui
14	est vous, n'est-ce pas?
15	Tandis qu'auparavant, au début de la note
16	quand il parle de Peter Sirrs, bien c'est Peter Sirrs.
17	M. LANDRY: Non, je me souviens pas.
18	Me RUEL: Hier, juste pour finir, je vous ai
19	demandé si, lorsque vous aviez parlé à Monsieur Sirrs, si
20	vous lui avez expliqué que l'agence psycho-sociale était
21	une agence qui travaillait avec des enfants.
22	M. LANDRY: Oui.
23	Me RUEL: Et je voulais aussi demander si
24	vous aviez mentionné à Peter Sirrs que Monsieur Barque
25	serait appelé à travailler avec des enfants. Et vous avez,

1	d'après ce que j'ai compris, mentionné que vous ne vous
2	souveniez pas avoir indiqué ça à Monsieur Sirrs.
3	Par contre, dans les notes de Monsieur
4	Downing, vous semblez, d'après ce que je peux voir, avoir
5	été assez clair à ce sujet-là.
6	Encore une fois, est-ce que c'est possible
7	que vous ayez mentionné ça à Monsieur Sirrs en regardant
8	ces notes-là, les notes de Monsieur Downing?
9	M. LANDRY: Bien, écoutez, je me souviens
10	pas spécifiquement. Chose certaine, c'est que c'était sur
11	le letterhead du papier de l'équipe enfants et adolescents.
12	Une position de travailleur social c'est pas un commis dans
13	un bureau, ça là. J'ai l'impression qu'ils auraient dû
14	que les gens auraient dû savoir que quand tu appliques pour
15	un travailleur social, c'est pour travailler avec des
16	individus. Moi, je pense ça.
17	Et aussi sur l'entête du papier, c'est
18	marqué "Équipe psycho-sociale, enfants et adolescents."
19	Bon alors, je pense, moi en tous les cas, je suis damné,
20	je ne me souviens pas d'avoir mentionné ça mais et puis
21	je me souviens pas non plus d'avoir été spécifique au point
22	où vous me demandez, mais je ne sais pas.
23	Me RUEL: Est-ce que c'est possible que
24	votre - ça fait quand même sept ans cette conversation-là;

est-ce que c'est possible que votre mémoire des événements

1	de 2000 était plus fraîche qu'elle l'est maintenant?
2	M. LANDRY: Je n'me souviens pas.
3	Me RUEL: En terminant, est-ce que vous avez
4	des recommandations à faire pour le Commissaire pour les
5	sujets dont il a à s'enquérir dans le cadre de son mandat?
6	M. LANDRY: Des recommandations dans le sens
7	qu'il y a une chose qu'il faut dire c'est que les choses
8	ont bien évolué depuis 1980 à aller à aujourd'hui. Je
9	pense que les gens sont plus au courant. Les systèmes sont
10	plus mieux informés. Il y a eu une gamme de services
11	qui ont été développés comme, entre autre, je pense
12	vérification des dossiers de police; aussi une meilleure
13	publicité de ces gestes qui peuvent être posés au niveau de
14	la communauté.
15	Aussi, je pense que les services sont
16	devenus un peu plus spécialisés et un peu plus à l'écoute
17	des choses qui peuvent arriver et, moi, je pense qu'il y a
18	eu une grosse amélioration. Il y a encore de
19	l'amélioration, oui. Laquelle exactement, je n'sais pas,
20	mais je sais qu'il y a eu une grande amélioration depuis
21	les années '80 à aller à aujourd'hui et à tous les
22	domaines.
23	Me RUEL: Pour le bien?
24	M. LANDRY: Pour le mieux. Pour le mieux.
25	Me RUEL: Merci, monsieur le Commissaire.

1	Ce sont mes questions.
2	LE COMMISSAIRE: D'accord. Bon, Madame
3	Daley.
4	Donc, on va vous poser des questions. Les
5	gens vont venir. Ils vont se présenter, vous indiquer
6	quels gens ils représentent. Ensuite, ils vont vous poser
7	des questions en anglais, puis vous pouvez leur répondre en
8	français. Ils ont les écouteurs. Parfait, merci.
9	M. LANDRY: O.k.
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS.
11	DALEY:
12	MS. DALEY: Good morning, Mr. Landry.
13	MR. LANDRY: Good morning.
13 14	MR. LANDRY: Good morning. MS. DALEY: My name is Helen Daley, and I'm
14	MS. DALEY: My name is Helen Daley, and I'm
14 15	MS. DALEY: My name is Helen Daley, and I'm a lawyer for a party here called the Citizens for Community
14 15 16	MS. DALEY: My name is Helen Daley, and I'm a lawyer for a party here called the Citizens for Community Renewal. That's a group that has standing and its
14 15 16 17	MS. DALEY: My name is Helen Daley, and I'm a lawyer for a party here called the Citizens for Community Renewal. That's a group that has standing and its objective is the improvement of institutions to better
14 15 16 17 18	MS. DALEY: My name is Helen Daley, and I'm a lawyer for a party here called the Citizens for Community Renewal. That's a group that has standing and its objective is the improvement of institutions to better protect children.
14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. DALEY: My name is Helen Daley, and I'm a lawyer for a party here called the Citizens for Community Renewal. That's a group that has standing and its objective is the improvement of institutions to better protect children. I do have some questions for you. Would you
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. DALEY: My name is Helen Daley, and I'm a lawyer for a party here called the Citizens for Community Renewal. That's a group that has standing and its objective is the improvement of institutions to better protect children. I do have some questions for you. Would you like to speak with me in English or French?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. DALEY: My name is Helen Daley, and I'm a lawyer for a party here called the Citizens for Community Renewal. That's a group that has standing and its objective is the improvement of institutions to better protect children. I do have some questions for you. Would you like to speak with me in English or French? MR. LANDRY: Well, I prefer in French.

MS. DALEY: Sir, before you testified

1	yesterday, we heard from Mr. Sirrs himself, and I think
2	it's fair to say that based on what we heard from him, the
3	Ministry had ample reason to have fired Mr. Barque in 1982,
4	but they took a different course and, in the result, Mr.
5	Barque resigned.
6	The question I have for you is this.
7	Putting yourself back in the frame of mind that you had in
8	the early 1980s, if Mr. Barque had been fired as a
9	probation officer, would you have considered his
10	application further for the job that you had on offer at
11	your agency?
12	M. LANDRY: Well, I think ça fait une
13	différence extraordinaire quelqu'un qui est renvoyé puis
14	quelqu'un qui démissionne. Probablement les questions
15	auraient été différemment, oui.
16	MS. DALEY: In that event, you might not
17	even have interviewed him for the position?
18	M. LANDRY: Exactement.
19	MS. DALEY: I was a little bit unclear about
20	the nature of the conflict that he told you about when you
21	interviewed him. It wasn't clear to me whether he gave you
22	the impression that it was a personality conflict or that
23	he was in some sort of conflict with new rules. Can you
24	clarify that for me at all?

M. LANDRY: J'vais essayer là de me

1	rafraîchir la mémoire; les conflits c'était en partie, une
2	grande partie sur les nouvelles politiques que le ministère
3	avait établies et aussi c'est que je pense qu'y avait pas
4	de leadership en terme d'une personne, régulier, au bureau
5	de probation et qu'au moment où Monsieur Sirrs est arrivé
6	de façon, je pense, permanente là, je pense, à ce moment-
7	là, ça a peut-être causé un peu de friction.
8	C'est l'impression que j'ai eue.
9	MS. DALEY: All right. So I take it that
10	the impression you took from that was that the conflict
11	that Mr. Barque referred to was not of a serious or a
12	concerning nature?
13	M. LANDRY: C'est ça, oui.
14	MS. DALEY: And I understand that you had
15	intended to find out more about that situation but, given
16	the way things unfolded, you didn't learn more about that
17	in the end before hiring him.
18	M. LANDRY: Non.
19	MS. DALEY: And would I be right to think
20	that you were loath into a bit of a sense of security by
21	the positive recommendation that you did obtain from Father
22	Dubé?
23	MR. LANDRY: Sorry, I didn't get the
24	beginning of the
25	MS. DALEY: All right. Did you have a sense

1	of confidence in hiring Mr. Barque, principally because you
2	received a positive recommendation from another individual,
3	and that was Father Dubé?
4	M. LANDRY: Définitivement que ça a joué, ça
5	joué, oui, fort dans la décision étant donné que les
6	références du Père Dubé ont été très positives. Oui,
7	définitivement que ça joué.
8	MS. DALEY: Did you wonder why Mr. Barque
9	had named Father Dubé as his reference in the first place?
10	What I meant by that was on his written application, on his
11	C.V.
12	M. LANDRY: J'vous suis pas là. I don't
13	follow you.
14	MS. DALEY: If I understood correctly, Mr.
15	Barque's documentation, his C.V. named Mr. Sirrs as his
16	reference, but when he spoke to you, he gave you a
17	different name, and that was Father Dubé; correct?
18	M. LANDRY: Je lui ai demandé s'il avait
19	d'autres références et puis il m'avait nommé le Père Dubé à
20	ce moment-là.
21	MS. DALEY: Is there a reason why you asked
22	whether he had other references apart from Mr. Sirrs?
23	M. LANDRY: Ben, d'ordinaire, disons, j'aime
24	à avoir deux ou trois références, et je n'avais rien qu'une
25	référence. C'est pour ça que j'avais demandé s'il avait

1	une autre référence à me donner. Et d'ordinaire, c'est
2	deux ou trois références qu'on essaie à vérifier.
3	MS. DALEY: Fair enough. I take it Mr.
4	Barque, did he try to deter you from contacting Mr. Sirrs?
5	M. LANDRY: Non, du tout.
6	MS. DALEY: I had a few questions for you
7	about the precise nature of the work that Mr. Barque was
8	doing in schools when he was an employee of your agency.
9	And I take it he was working sorry, let me back up.
10	The schools that he worked at, were they co-
11	educational schools? In other words, boys and girls in
12	both schools?
13	M. LANDRY: Oui.
14	MS. DALEY: I thought what you said in your
15	examination in-chief is that they were at-risk schools?
16	M. LANDRY: C'est pas moi qui déterminais
17	les écoles à risque.
18	Je n'ai jamais voulu, en tant que
19	professionnel, de déterminer quelle école était à risque.
20	Étant donné que c'était des ententes avec le conseil
21	scolaire, j'avais demandé à eux autres de déterminer parce
22	que c'était eux autres qui payent. J'avais demandé de
23	déterminer à eux autres quelles étaient les écoles qu'ils
24	considéraient à risque et nous, on était pour s'adapter à
25	ça. Mais c'est pas moi qui déterminais quelle école était

à risque.

2	MS. DALEY: I just want to understand
3	because I wasn't quite sure what risk you were referring
4	to. Can you just elaborate on that?
5	M. LANDRY: Ben, disons, l'École Nativité,
6	pour ceux qui connaissent la communauté, était dans un
7	milieu assez défavorisé et avec une population plus à
8	risque. St-François de Salles aussi était plus loin sur la
9	deuxième
10	LE COMMISSAIRE: Je pense qu'est-ce qu'elle
11	veut savoir c'est quelle sorte de risque; qu'il y aurait
12	des problèmes psychosociaux, des choses de même?
13	M. LANDRY: Exactement, oui, c'est ça.
14	C'est ça.
15	MS. DALEY: All right. So would I take it
16	from that that the children who Mr. Barque worked directly
17	would have behavioural problems or learning problems of
18	some sort?
19	MR. LANDRY: Behavioural problems, yeah.
20	MS. DALEY: And were any of these children
21	what we would now call special needs children in the sense
22	that they might have a mental handicap or disability?
23	M. LANDRY: Non, j'suis pas prêt à dire ça
24	parce qu'ils peuvent avoir des troubles de comportement
25	sans avoir un handicap mental.

1	M. LANDRY: Non, je suis pas prêt à dire ça
2	parce qu'ils peuvent avoir des troubles de comportement
3	sans avoir un handicap mental. Il y a une grosse
4	différence entre un trouble de comportement et un handicap
5	mental.
6	Alors, ces jeunes-là n'étaient pas des
7	jeunes avec des handicaps mentaux, non, des troubles de
8	comportement, oui.
9	MS. DALEY: I just wanted to get a better
10	picture of how Mr. Barque dealt sorry, how he functioned
11	when he was dealing directly with the students inside the
12	school. You said he had an office in the school. Did he
13	meet with them privately in an office at the school?
14	M. LANDRY: L'école donnait un endroit pour
15	qu'il rencontre les jeunes, et pas simplement les jeunes
16	mais les familles aussi.
17	MS. DALEY: Is it your understanding that he
18	would meet privately with children at the school?
19	M. LANDRY: Oui, oui, oui.
20	MS. DALEY: And what was he doing with them?
21	Was he providing counseling to them of some sort?
22	M. LANDRY: Exactement.
23	MS. DALEY: I wanted to ask you a few
24	questions about the anonymous phone calls that you received
25	in the summer of 1986. Do you remember and no one will

1	criticize you if you don't but do you remember if they
2	were male or female, the people who called you?
3	MR. LANDRY: I remember one call was a
4	female. I said two or three. I think mostly trois un
5	était une femme. Les autres, j'assume que c'était
6	j'assume que c'était des hommes, males.
7	MS. DALEY: Did you have the impression that
8	any of these people were parents of children in the school
9	where Mr. Barque was assigned?
10	M. LANDRY: Je un des téléphones qui m'a
11	rendu un peu plus "suspicious", je ne croirais pas. Je
12	pense que c'était quelqu'un qui était au courant de
13	certaines choses, qui peuvent avoir été arrivées et c'est
14	peut-être ce soupçon-là qui m'a réveillé un peu plus. Je
15	ne pense pas que c'était un parent, non.
16	MS. DALEY: So did it appear to you that the
17	person who gave you that information had some inside
18	knowledge of what had occurred in the probation office?
19	M. LANDRY: Ça se peut.
20	MS. DALEY: And as it turns out, I guess,
21	given the way events unfolded, you came to the view that
22	what they told you was in fact correct?
23	M. LANDRY: Plusieurs années après, oui.
24	MS. DALEY: Okay. Can you remember anything
25	further about the contact that you did have from parents

1	who were expressing concern about Mr. Barque? In other
2	words, did they seem to know the nature of what had
3	happened when he was a probation officer?
4	M. LANDRY: Non, je me souviens pas de ça.
5	Exactement, je me souviens pas.
6	MS. DALEY: All right.
7	I was a little bit unclear about the
8	evidence you gave yesterday concerning what you did after
9	Mr. Barque had resigned. So if I could just ask you a few
10	questions about that?
11	I take it that although you did talk to your
12	staff about his resignation, you did not say that you had
13	reason to think that he had sexually abused male
14	probationers in the past?
15	M. LANDRY: Correct. J'avais aucune
16	évidence de ça. C'est ce qui avait été transmis et je n'ai
17	Monsieur Barque n'avait jamais été chargé. J'avais
18	aucune évidence pour accuser quelqu'un d'une chose aussi
19	sérieuse que ça. Je n'avais pas d'évidence pour ça.
20	LE COMMISSAIRE: C'est pas la question, par
21	exemple. La question est qu'est-ce que vous avez dit à vos
22	employés après sa démission? Avez-vous dit
23	MS. DALEY: Did you explain any reasons for
24	his resignation to your staff?
25	M. LANDRY: C'est ce que j'essaie de

l	répondre, que j'ai informé le staff qu'il y avait eu
2	j'avais eu des appels concernant son comportement avec des
3	jeunes au moment où il travaillait avec l'officier de
4	probation et que ces commentaires-là m'amenaient à être
5	très attentif et très prudent. Et c'est à peu près ce que
6	j'ai mentionné au personnel. Je n'ai pas rentré dans aucun
7	autre détail parce que j'en n'avais pas plus que ça, même
8	moi.
9	MS. DALEY: So if I have you right, you let
10	your staff know that there was concerns about misconduct by
11	Mr. Barque, but you didn't specify exactly what it was?
12	M. LANDRY: C'est ça. Exactement. C'est
13	ça.
14	MS. DALEY: And can you just clarify again -
15	- it's my fault; I didn't understand your testimony well
16	enough yesterday but could you clarify the steps that
17	you took with the agencies where Mr. Barque was working;
18	that is to say the two French schools where he worked. Did
19	you tell them that you had reason to think he had
20	misconducted himself in the past with young people?
21	M. LANDRY: Non, je l'ai pas mentionné.
22	J'ai simplement remplacé le poste, mais ça n'a pas été
23	mentionné au niveau des écoles, non.
24	MS. DALEY: All right. Okay.
25	Just one final area. Did you become aware

1	that after Mr. Barque had gone into the real estate field,
2	he then went back and he was teaching as a supply teacher
3	in a local high school? Did you know about that?
4	M. LANDRY: J'étais au courant qu'il avait
5	fait du remplacement avant. Après, non.
6	MS. DALEY: All right.
7	Sorry, just to clarify, when was it that
8	in the timeframe that you became aware he was a substitute
9	teacher in a high school, when did that happen, immediately
10	after he left you or at another time?
11	M. LANDRY: Non
12	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, no, he answered
13	that he never knew that he was a supply teacher after.
14	MR. LANDRY: Yeah.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: He knew that he had
16	supply taught before he worked for him.
17	MS. DALEY: I see. All right.
18	So you some information was put to you
19	just earlier this morning that suggested that he became a
20	supply teacher after he entered the real estate field, but
21	you weren't aware of that, sir?
22	M. LANDRY: No, I thought je pensais
23	qu'il était dans le real estate.
24	MS. DALEY: Okay. I take it follows that if
25	he did teach at a school at a later time, they never

1	contacted you for a reference about him?
2	MR. LANDRY: No, no.
3	MS. DALEY: Thank you, sir.
4	M. LANDRY: Merci, madame.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
6	Mr. Lee.
7	MR. LEE: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning, sir.
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. LEE:
10	MR. LEE: Good morning, Mr. Landry. My name
11	is Dallas Lee. I'm counsel for the Victims Group. I just
12	have a very few questions for you. If you can just give me
13	one minute to get organized here?
14	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
15	MR. LEE: Sir, as I understood it, the
16	programs being offered by l'Équipe psycho-sociale were
17	designed for children and adolescents up to 18 years old.
18	Is that right?
19	M. LANDRY: C'est ça, oui.
20	MR. LEE: And there was as I understand
21	it, you dealt not only with children who had perhaps I
22	can't remember the wording, to be honest with you some
23	kind of mental handicap, people who had medical issues, you
24	also dealt with troubled youth; is that right? Am I right
25	about that?

1	M. LANDRY: Je vous suis pas la.
2	MR. LEE: What kind what were the issues
3	that the children you were dealing with had? What was the
4	range of issues that you dealt with?
5	M. LANDRY: Comme j'ai expliqué hier,
6	l'Équipe a différents programmes dont un programme clinique
7	pour des jeunes mésadaptés sociaux affectifs, mais il y a
8	un programme aussi c'est ça que je me demande si vous
9	référez à ça pour les handicapés du développement
10	adulte, mais Monsieur Barque n'était pas dans le programme
11	d'handicapés adultes. Il était dans le programme au niveau
12	des mésadaptés sociaux affectifs.
13	MR. LEE: So kids with behaviour problems?
14	M. LANDRY: Exactement, oui.
15	MR. LEE: That's what Mr. Barque would have
16	worked with?
17	M. LANDRY: Exactement.
18	MR. LEE: And would you have would your
19	program have dealt with kids with behaviour problems right
20	from its inception in 1980?
21	M. LANDRY: Yeah. Oui.
22	MR. LEE: Do you know whether Probation and
23	Parole or the Ministry of Corrections at any point in the
24	early 1980s contacted L'Équipe psycho-sociale for
25	information? Do you have any record of that at all?

1	M. LANDRY: Non.
2	MR. LEE: Had they done that, you obviously
3	would have told them about your services?
4	M. LANDRY: Oui, bien oui.
5	MR. LEE: Any agency that inquired, you
6	would have been happy to provide them with a brochure or
7	description of services?
8	M. LANDRY: Définitivement, oui. C'est un
9	service hautement communautaire.
10	MR. LEE: And am I right to suggest that
11	some of the programs you were offering might have been of
12	interest to young people on probation?
13	M. LANDRY: Ça aurait pu, oui.
14	MR. LEE: At the very least, you would have
15	expected Probation and Parole employees to know about your
16	program your programs? You told us yesterday, I think,
17	that you were well-known in the community?
18	M. LANDRY: M'hm.
19	LE COMMISSAIRE: Il faut vocaliser la
20	réponse.
21	M. LANDRY: Ah excusez. Oui.
22	MR. LEE: Can you turn up Mr. Barque's
23	curriculum vitae, please. It's Exhibit 910.
24	Do you have that, sir?
25	M. LANDRY: Je l'ai ici, oui.

1	MR. LEE: II we can go to the second page at
2	the bottom, that's where the reason for leaving is listed.
3	Do you see that at the very bottom?
4	M. LANDRY: Oui. Oui.
5	MR. LEE: You told us that when you met with
6	Mr. Barque, you asked him you didn't just rely on the
7	CV. You asked him about the reason for leaving; is that
8	right?
9	M. LANDRY: Oui.
10	MR. LEE: And you went just a moment ago
11	with Ms. Daley into some of the areas that what that
12	meant to you.
13	Do you remember asking Mr. Sirrs when you
14	spoke with him about the reason for leaving?
15	M. LANDRY: Non, spécifiquement non. La
16	conversation a été peut-être deux, trois, quatre minutes
17	sur le téléphone et on m'avait demandé d'envoyer ça par
18	écrit et c'est ce que j'ai fait.
19	La conversation sur le téléphone, je
20	pourrais pas vous dire spécifiquement je sais que la
21	conversation a été assez courte.
22	MR. LEE: You have no specific recollection
23	of discussing with Mr. Sirrs what a conflict with the
24	regulations meant?
25	M. LANDRY: Non.

1	MR. LEE: Sir, those are my questions.
2	Thank you.
3	M. LANDRY: Merci.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
5	All right. So, Mr. Chisholm?
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
7	CHISHOLM:
8	MR. CHISHOLM: Good morning, sir. Mr.
9	Landry, good morning. My name is Peter Chisholm. I am
10	counsel for the local Children's Aid Society. I just have
11	one question for you, if I can.
12	Is it possible that in 1985 and 1986, Mr.
13	Barque may have been supervised by someone other than
14	yourself at your agency?
15	M. LANDRY: En '85-'86, oui, probablement.
16	Peut-être Monsieur Barque
17	MR. CHISHOLM: Sarda?
18	M. LANDRY: Sarda, c'est ça.
19	MR. CHISHOLM: Mario Sarda?
20	M. LANDRY: Mario Sarda, psychologue, oui.
21	MR. CHISHOLM: Merci. That's my only
22	question. Thank you.
23	M. LANDRY: Merci.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Thompson?
25	MR. THOMPSON: No questions, Mr.

1	Commissioner.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
3	Madame Robitaille?
4	Me ROBITAILLE: Aucune question.
5	LE COMMISSAIRE: Monsieur Sherriff-Scott?
6	He's gone. He was here today. Okay.
7	Mr. Crane?
8	MR. CRANE: No questions, thank you.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
10	Mr. Kozloff?
11	MR. KOZLOFF: Pas de questions.
12	LE COMMISSAIRE: Oh, bravo. Merci.
13	Monsieur Carroll?
14	MR. CARROLL: None, thank you.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
16	Il n'y a personne pour le ministère, donc
17	Mr. Rosen, are you on for the Ministry this morning?
18	MR. ROSEN: I am. With your permission, I
19	understand that Commission counsel has no objection if I
20	I won't be very long.
21	I know I am not supposed to speak until I'm
22	in front of the microphone.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: That's why I didn't hear
24	you. I don't know what you said.
25	MR. ROSEN: I thought maybe it was the wrong

1	coloured pants.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: I look at ties, Mr.
3	Rosen.
4	MR. ROSEN: Oh, sorry.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: No, you're good; you're
6	good.
7	MR. ROSEN: With your permission, if I may -
8	
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
10	MR. ROSEN: Mr. Landry, you
11	THE COMMISSIONER: You should introduce
12	yourself and tell him which ministry you
13	MR. ROSEN: Oh yes, I am.
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
15	ROSEN:
16	MR. ROSEN: Mr. Landry, my name is John
17	Rosen and I am here today for the Ministry of Correctional
18	Services and I am going to ask you some questions. Is that
19	all right?
20	M. LANDRY: Oui.
21	MR. ROSEN: Okay. And, Mr. Landry, it's
22	obvious from your comportment today that you speak both
23	English and French; is that right?
24	M. LANDRY: Oui, mais je suis plus familier
25	en français qu'en anglais.

1	MR. ROSEN: Yes, you're more familiar in
2	French, but you don't have the headsets on, so you
3	understand what I'm saying in English. Is that right?
4	M. LANDRY: Oui, oui.
5	MR. ROSEN: Okay. And then you can answer
6	in both at your choice, as the Commissioner has indicated.
7	I, on the other hand, have to use this
8	because my French is not as good as your English.
9	Now, Mr. Landry, if I understand this agency
10	that you had, it was set up in 1980, as you said?
11	M. LANDRY: Oui.
12	MR. ROSEN: And it was an offshoot of the
13	hospital?
14	M. LANDRY: Oui, c'était un programme
15	c'était une partie du programme qu'on se voyait
16	communautaire et non un programme hospitalier. L'hôpital
17	avait un programme hospitalier et ici c'est un programme
18	communautaire. Oui.
19	MR. ROSEN: And the funding came from a
20	variety of sources, didn't it?
21	M. LANDRY: Oui. Oui.
22	MR. ROSEN: Okay. And the funding that you
23	had was not to hire permanent staff other than the
24	administration like yourself; isn't that right?
25	M. LANDRY: Je ne comprends pas.

1	MR. ROSEN: Well, the psychiatrist didn't
2	work for you?
3	MR. LANDRY: He was a consultant, yes.
4	MR. ROSEN: He was a consultant on contract?
5	MR. LANDRY: That's right.
6	MR. ROSEN: The psychologists did not work
7	for you; they were on contract, yes?
8	MR. LANDRY: We have one on contract and we
9	have one who was working for us.
10	MR. ROSEN: One working for you, okay. Some
11	of the social workers were on contract?
12	MR. LANDRY: Some was on contract, yes.
13	MR. ROSEN: And some worked for you?
14	MR. LANDRY: Yeah.
15	MR. ROSEN: Okay. Mr. Barque's position was
16	a new position, was it?
17	MR. LANDRY: The position was existing since
18	1980, but it was a new expansion of the position, yes.
19	MR. ROSEN: Well, I understand that, but he
20	only worked in two schools. Isn't that right?
21	MR. LANDRY: M'hm.
22	MR. ROSEN: And those schools, did they have
23	social workers before?
24	MR. LANDRY: No.
25	MR. ROSEN: No. So his position was new to

2

6

9

11

14

17

21

23

those	two	schools,	wasn't	it?

3 MR. ROSEN: Yes. And it was on contract

M. LANDRY: Oui.

4 with him, wasn't it?

5 M. LANDRY: La position était sur contrat

37

with the school board, yes.

7 MR. ROSEN: Yes, exactly.

8 And you had to fill that position by the

beginning of September of 1982; isn't that right?

10 M. LANDRY: Bien, ça aurait pu être le début

de septembre comme ça aurait pu être le début d'octobre,

12 aussi. Il n'y avait pas ---

13 MR. ROSEN: Yes, I understand, but it had to

be for the school year. No sense filling it in July or

15 August?

16 M. LANDRY: C'était des positions qui

étaient -- those positions was contract for 12 months and

18 not for 10 months.

19 MR. ROSEN: I understand they were for 12

20 months in terms of the pay, but they really dealt with the

children for the school year, didn't they?

22 MR. LANDRY: That's one perception you have

because in the summer, most of the time, they are preparing

for the school year.

MR. ROSEN: Yes, exactly.

1	So you advertised in the newspaper and you
2	received Mr. Barque's application with others. Isn't that
3	right?
4	M. LANDRY: Oui.
5	MR. ROSEN: Okay. And somebody else
6	screened most of the applications and then brought the
7	qualified ones to you, correct?
8	M. LANDRY: M'hm.
9	MR. ROSEN: They brought they had 10 or
10	12 applications. They brought two or three to you to look
11	at?
12	MR. LANDRY: That's right.
13	MR. ROSEN: And then you looked at the two
14	or three and you suddenly saw a francophone with a social
15	working background and experience, a perfect candidate for
16	the job. Isn't that right?
17	M. LANDRY: Oui.
18	MR. ROSEN: Okay. So you had him in to see
19	you sometime. Did you bring your file?
20	MR. LANDRY: If I have the file with me, no.
21	MR. ROSEN: Yes?
22	MR. LANDRY: I don't it.
23	MR. ROSEN: I was told that you brought your
24	file. You brought your Nelson Barque file today, yes?
25	MR. LANDRY: Have you got the file?

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Rosen, you move over,
2	he gets
3	MR. RUEL: Yes, I have Mr. Landry's file
4	here, the original.
5	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
6	LE COMMISSAIRE: Avant de continuer,
7	j'aimerais juste pour pas qu'on perde des choses dans la
8	traduction-là, puis c'est pas vraiment un point; c'est
9	juste pour préciser.
10	Est-ce que c'est vrai que des 10 ou 15
11	applications que vous auriez reçues que c'est quelqu'un
12	d'autre qui aurait fait le triage pour vous en présenter
13	trois ou bien est-ce que c'est vous qui avez tout fait ça?
14	M. LANDRY: C'est moi qui ai fait le triage.
15	LE COMMISSAIRE: Bon.
16	M. LANDRY: C'est ça que je comprenais pas
17	non plus.
18	LE COMMISSAIRE: Non, non, je sais. Ça fait
19	qu'il faut faire attention là comment on pose les questions
20	et comment on les répond.
21	MR. ROSEN: All right. Sorry.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: No, it's just an
23	indication that we have to be careful about where we go and
24	so on.
25	MR. ROSEN: In translations, I understand.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah.
2	MR. ROSEN: So you looked at all the
3	applications; is that right?
4	M. LANDRY: Oui.
5	MR. ROSEN: You sorted out which ones you
6	wanted to interview; is that right?
7	M. LANDRY: Oui.
8	MR. ROSEN: All right. And then you decided
9	that Mr. Barque was the francophone with the credentials,
10	the experience, the perfect position; is that right?
11	M. LANDRY: Oui.
12	MR. ROSEN: Okay. And you've got this in on
13	July the 12 th , didn't you?
14	M. LANDRY: M'hm.
15	MR. ROSEN: This letter, Exhibit 12401830
16	_
17	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, no.
18	MR. ROSEN: I don't know.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: What's the exhibit
20	number?
21	MR. ROSEN: July the 12 th , 1982.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Maître Ruel?
23	MR. RUEL: That's the Mr. Rosen is
24	referring to the letter that was sent to
25	THE COMMISSIONER: What exhibit number so we

1	can show it to him?
2	MR. RUEL: Yes.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry?
4	THE REGISTRAR: Nine-O-nine (909).
5	MR. RUEL: Nine-O-nine (909).
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Nine-O-nine (909), all
7	right. Bon.
8	La question, Monsieur Landry, c'est la
9	lettre que vous avez reçue c'est bel et bien le 12 janvier
10	
11	M. LANDRY: Le 12 juillet.
12	LE COMMISSAIRE: Le 12 juillet 1982, puis
13	vous l'auriez reçue il semblerait le 16 juillet, si je vois
14	l'étampe sur ah, celle-là a pas l'étampe baissez-le,
15	madame la greffière.
16	M. LANDRY: Oui, ça se peut.
17	LE COMMISSAIRE: Non, regarde
18	MR. ROSEN: No, it's at the top.
19	LE COMMISSAIRE: Voyez-vous, le 16 ou le 18.
20	0.k.
21	MR. ROSEN: All right. And so you would
22	have spoken to Mr. Barque a few days after you got the
23	letter; isn't that right?
24	M. LANDRY: Exactement.
25	MR. ROSEN: Okay. And when you before

1	you spoke to him, you would have read the letter and the
2	Curriculum Vitae; isn't that right?
3	M. LANDRY: Oui.
4	MR. ROSEN: And the Curriculum Vitae is a
5	document prepared by him, isn't it?
6	M. LANDRY: Oui.
7	MR. ROSEN: Okay. And the Curriculum Vitae
8	is Exhibit
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Nine-ten (910).
10	MR. ROSEN: Nine-ten (910). Thank you. If
11	you can get that up?
12	THE COMMISSIONER: It's up.
13	MR. ROSEN: Now, the I'd like to take you
14	through the Curriculum Vitae as to how it's set up. On the
15	first page, you have his personal information with his
16	name, telephone, age, et cetera. You see at the top?
17	M. LANDRY: Oui.
18	MR. ROSEN: Yes. All right. Then you have
19	his education that I see. Then you have his interests and
20	hobbies; is that right?
21	M. LANDRY: Oui.
22	MR. ROSEN: And then you have his references
23	at the bottom and what is "Voir autres feuilles"? What
24	does that mean?
25	MR. LANDRY: I beg your pardon?

I	MR. ROSEN: What does it say for references?
2	It says
3	M. LANDRY: J'l'ai pas ici.
4	MR. ROSEN: "On request", doesn't it?
5	LE COMMISSAIRE: Ça dit "Voir autres
6	feuilles". Voyez-vous les références?
7	M. LANDRY: Oui, "Voir autres feuilles",
8	oui.
9	LE COMMISSAIRE: C'est ça qu'est-ce qu'il
10	veut savoir; qu'est-ce que ça veut dire ça "Voir autres
11	feuilles"?
12	MR. ROSEN: Yes. Okay. It says "See other
13	pages". Now, sir, the document that we have puts on the
14	second page the document that says August of '74 to May of
15	'82, but that wasn't the next document, was it?
16	M. LANDRY: En ce qui me concerne, ça
17	faisait tous partie du Curriculum Vitae. Y'a pas de
18	première page, deuxième page
19	MR. ROSEN: I understand. That's right.
20	They're not numbered, and it wasn't part I'm sorry, it
21	was part of the Curriculum Vitae, but it wasn't the next
22	page in order because this document has been unstapled and
23	stapled several times. Isn't that right?
24	M. LANDRY: Je sais pas. J'ai aucune idée.
25	Je le sais pas.

1	MR. ROSEN: Yes. Okay. Well, let's skip
2	that page and let's see what we have on the other pages.
3	The next page at the top it says, "Experience of Work".
4	Isn't that correct, "Expérience de travail"?
5	M. LANDRY: Oui.
6	MR. ROSEN: Yes?
7	M. LANDRY: Oui.
8	MR. ROSEN: And then it has in reverse
9	chronology from November '71 to August '74; correct?
10	M. LANDRY: Oui.
11	MR. ROSEN: And then at the middle of the
12	page, September '71, and then on the previous page, 1964 to
13	71.
14	M. LANDRY: Oui.
15	MR. ROSEN: And then below that, '57 to '64;
16	yes?
17	M. LANDRY: Oui.
18	MR. ROSEN: Okay. And then there's another
19	heading called "Activités" what's that?
20	LE COMMISSAIRE: Parascolaires. Il veut
21	savoir qu'est-ce que c'est du parascolaire.
22	MR. ROSEN: Right, okay. Now, let's
23	okay. Now, let's go back. Now, let's go back. All right.
24	Now, let's go back to November '71 to '74, it says he
25	worked for the City of Cornwall, Department of Social

1	Services; right? Yes, sir	?
2	LE COMMISSA	IRE: Il faut que vous vocalisiez
3		
4	M. LANDRY:	Oui, excusez. Oui.
5	MR. ROSEN:	He explains his position.
6	M. LANDRY:	Oui.
7	MR. ROSEN:	Then he gives recommendations,
8	doesn't he?	
9	M. LANDRY:	Oui.
10	MR. ROSEN:	Okay. And then he says, "Reason
11	for departure", doesn't he	?
12	M. LANDRY:	Oui.
13	MR. ROSEN:	Okay. Then the next one,
14	September '71, he gives th	e position again, doesn't he?
15	M. LANDRY:	Oui.
16	MR. ROSEN:	Then he says, "Recommendations",
17	doesn't he?	
18	M. LANDRY:	Oui.
19	MR. ROSEN:	And then he says, "Reason for
20	departure", doesn't he?	
21	M. LANDRY:	Oui.
22	MR. ROSEN:	And then on the next page, he
23	gives the position again;	isn't that right?
24	M. LANDRY:	Oui.
25	MR. ROSEN:	Okay. This time, there's no

1	recommendations, but there's a reason for departure, isn't
2	there?
3	M. LANDRY: Oui.
4	MR. ROSEN: And then on the next one, his
5	position and then the reason for departure; isn't that
6	right?
7	M. LANDRY: Oui.
8	MR. ROSEN: Okay. Now, let's go to August
9	of '74, which is the second page of the C.V. At the top,
10	it shows August '74 to $4^{\rm th}$ of May '82, and it gives the
11	employer's name, doesn't it?
12	M. LANDRY: Oui.
13	MR. ROSEN: Then it gives the position,
14	doesn't it?
15	M. LANDRY: M'hm.
16	MR. ROSEN: Then it gives his functions;
17	isn't that right?
18	M. LANDRY: Oui.
19	MR. ROSEN: And then it gives, as it does on
20	all the other employers, a recommendation, Mr. Sirrs' name,
21	doesn't it?
22	M. LANDRY: Oui.
23	MR. ROSEN: So this is really not a
24	recommendation as a person. This is a prior employer;
25	isn't that right?

1	M. LANDRY: Ben ça peut être vu de deux
2	façons. Oui, vous avez raison. Ça peut être vu de deux
3	façons, recommandations ou "prior employer", oui.
4	MR. ROSEN: Yes. Yes, it's previous
5	employer and the person to contact is Mr. Peter Sirrs;
6	isn't that right?
7	M. LANDRY: M'hm.
8	MR. ROSEN: Yes?
9	M. LANDRY: Oui, oui.
10	MR. ROSEN: And then he gives his reasons
11	for departure; isn't that right?
12	M. LANDRY: Oui.
13	MR. ROSEN: So let me ask you this, sir.
14	Did you contact the City of Cornwall and speak to
15	Mademoiselle Frances Flanigan and ask her for a letter?
16	M. LANDRY: No.
17	MR. ROSEN: No. Did you contact the École
18	Secondaire La Citadelle and speak to Mademoiselle Jeannine
19	Séguin?
20	M. LANDRY: No.
21	MR. ROSEN: No. What you did was you picked
22	up the phone after you spoke to Mr. Barque, and you called
23	Mr. Sirrs because he was the most recent employer; isn't
24	that right?
25	M. LANDRY: Oui.

1	MR. ROSEN: Okay. Not because he was making
2	a personal recommendation but only because he was the
3	employer. Isn't that correct, sir?
4	M. LANDRY: Oui.
5	MR. ROSEN: Yes. Thank you.
6	And then when you spoke to Mr. Sirrs on the
7	telephone, he wouldn't speak to you about the job, would
8	he?
9	M. LANDRY: Il m'a demandé d'envoyer ça par
10	écrit. He asked me to send
11	MR. ROSEN: Right. He asked you to make
12	your inquiry in writing, didn't he?
13	M. LANDRY: C'est ça.
14	MR. ROSEN: Okay. And he asked you that if
15	you wanted to know personal information, to get a release
16	from Nelson Barque to allow the Ministry to release
17	personal information. Isn't that right?
18	M. LANDRY: Non, non, monsieur.
19	MR. ROSEN: Okay. Yes. And the reason he
20	did is because you were looking for any any
21	recommendation, weren't you?
22	M. LANDRY: Pas "any", pour recommandations.
23	MR. ROSEN: But, sir, you were looking for
24	any recommendation because Mr. Sirrs wouldn't give you any
25	recommendation; isn't that right?

1	M. LANDRY: Sur le téléphone, il m'a donné
2	aucune
3	MR. ROSEN: Exactly.
4	M. LANDRY: Il m'a demandé d'envoyer ça par
5	écrit.
6	MR. ROSEN: No, no, he asked you asked
7	him for a recommendation on the telephone, and he said he
8	wouldn't give it to you on the telephone; isn't that right?
9	M. LANDRY: Oui.
10	MR. ROSEN: He told you to put your request
11	in writing; isn't that correct?
12	M. LANDRY: C'est ça.
13	MR. ROSEN: Okay. So then when you put your
14	request in writing and it's Exhibit 9
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Nine-twelve (912).
16	MR. ROSEN: 911. Thank you. If we
17	could get that up?
18	You prepared this letter in English, didn't
19	you?
20	M. LANDRY: Oui.
21	MR. ROSEN: Okay. And the letter is
22	addressed to Mr. Sirrs from the Ministry of Correctional
23	Services, correct?
24	M. LANDRY: Oui.
25	MR. ROSEN: The previous employer; isn't

1	that right?
2	M. LANDRY: Oui.
3	MR. ROSEN: Okay. And let's look at what
4	you're talking about. You say:
5	"Following our telephone conversation
6	of this date"
7	So let's stop. You saw you got Mr. Barque's CV around
8	the 16 th or 18 th of July; isn't that right?
9	M. LANDRY: Fort probablement, oui.
10	MR. ROSEN: This is a month later?
11	M. LANDRY: M'hm.
12	MR. ROSEN: This is a month later. Mr.
13	Barque was unemployed, wasn't he?
14	M. LANDRY: Je présume, oui.
15	MR. ROSEN: Well, he had last worked the $4^{\rm th}$
16	of May 1982; isn't that right?
17	M. LANDRY: Oui.
18	MR. ROSEN: So he had left a position with
19	the Ministry where he had a guaranteed salary; correct?
20	M. LANDRY: Je présume, oui.
21	MR. ROSEN: He had a pension fund?
22	M. LANDRY: Oui.
23	MR. ROSEN: He had tenure, right? And he
24	was unemployed for about three or four months; isn't that
25	right?

1	M. LANDRY: Correct.
2	MR. ROSEN: Okay. And yet it took you a
3	month to get around to writing this letter, didn't it?
4	M. LANDRY: Ça se peut, oui.
5	MR. ROSEN: Yes. And so what you did was
6	rather than write the letter, you started off with a phone
7	call, right?
8	M. LANDRY: Je demande
9	MR. ROSEN: Okay. And then
10	THE COMMISSIONER: No, sorry, just a minute.
11	You're cutting him off.
12	MR. ROSEN: Oh, I didn't think I was. I
13	thought I got an answer.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, maybe I'm wrong.
15	Avez-vous fini?
16	M. LANDRY: Oui.
17	LE COMMISSAIRE: C'est quoi la réponse?
18	M. LANDRY: J'avais toujours
19	MR. ROSEN: I'll start again, sir.
20	You began by making your telephone call on
21	the 12 th of August, you say; is that right?
22	M. LANDRY: M'hm.
23	MR. ROSEN: Okay. Now, the Ministry doesn't
24	have your letter stamped with the date that it came in,
25	acknowledging receipt.

1	M. LANDRY: Je comprends pas là. Je ne sais
2	pas.
3	MR. RUEL: I understand that
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Whoa.
5	MR. RUEL: I'm just making an objection, but
6	the witness can't speak for the Ministry.
7	MR. ROSEN: Fair enough. All right.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: There you go.
9	MR. ROSEN: The letter that you have in your
10	file is signed, isn't it?
11	M. LANDRY: Oui.
12	MR. ROSEN: It's a signed original?
13	M. LANDRY: Oui.
14	MR. ROSEN: If it's a signed original, it
15	means it was never sent.
16	M. LANDRY: Je m'excuse, mais la lettre a
17	été envoyée.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Can we go back to what a
19	signed original means?
20	MR. ROSEN: Sure.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: I don't want to get lost
22	in translation.
23	MR. ROSEN: Fair enough.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Where is the original?
25	MR. ROSEN: The document you produced that's

1	up here on the screen is signed; is that right?
2	M. LANDRY: Oui.
3	MR. ROSEN: Okay. The document in your file
4	then I stand corrected. The document in your file is
5	what, a photocopy? Is that a photocopy?
6	M. LANDRY: That's an original.
7	MR. ROSEN: That's an original?
8	M. LANDRY: I think.
9	MR. ROSEN: May I show it to him?
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
11	MR. ROSEN: Thank you.
12	LE COMMISSAIRE: Qu'est-ce que vous voulez
13	dire par original?
14	M. LANDRY: C'est pas une photocopie, que
15	c'est pas une photocopie de la lettre.
16	LE COMMISSAIRE: Donc, c'était quoi votre
17	procédure? Comment est-ce que vous expliquez que vous
18	aviez une originale dans votre filière?
19	MR. ROSEN: Do you understand?
20	M. LANDRY: Je comprends très bien, mais ça
21	ici c'est une photocopie, monsieur.
22	MR. ROSEN: It's a photocopy?
23	M. LANDRY: Oui, parce que l'originale est
24	verte et puis elle est partie.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

I	MR. ROSEN: Thank you.
2	Now, do you keep photocopies on your file or
3	do you keep do you keep photocopies on your file or do
4	you keep office copies; do you know?
5	LE COMMISSAIRE: Comprenez-vous la question?
6	M. LANDRY: Oui, je comprends la question,
7	mais je ne comprends pas le message.
8	LE COMMISSAIRE: Il ne faut pas comprendre
9	le message. Il faut tout simplement comprendre la question
10	et la répondre.
11	Donc, c'était quoi votre procédure dans
12	votre bureau à cette époque pour garder une garder je
13	vais utiliser le mot copie
14	M. LANDRY: M'hm.
15	LE COMMISSAIRE: de ce que vous envoyez?
16	C'était quoi votre procédure?
17	M. LANDRY: On gardait des copies.
18	LE COMMISSAIRE: Et c'était quoi, des
19	photocopies ou est-ce que c'était je sais pas, avec un -
20	- dans le vieux temps on mettait un
21	M. LANDRY: Un carbone.
22	LE COMMISSAIRE: carbone.
23	M. LANDRY: Non, on avait un je pense
24	qu'on avait une photocopie et puis on gardait une
25	photocopie.

1	MR. ROSEN: All right.
2	LE COMMISSAIRE: O.k.
3	M. LANDRY: Je pense.
4	MR. ROSEN: You think. All right. I'll
5	give you the benefit of the doubt.
6	So let's go to your letter. The letter
7	says:
8	"Following our telephone conversation
9	of this date, I would appreciate
10	receiving any recommendation"
11	Doesn't it say that?
12	M. LANDRY: Any recommendation, yeah.
13	MR. ROSEN: Any recommendation, not a
14	recommendation as a former employer, not a personal
15	recommendation, not a recommendation in terms of
16	reputation. You wanted any recommendation. Isn't that
17	right, sir?
18	M. LANDRY: Oui.
19	MR. ROSEN: Okay. And the reason you wanted
20	any recommendation is because Mr. Sirrs wouldn't give you
21	any recommendation; isn't that right?
22	M. LANDRY: Je le sais pas je sais pas
23	pourquoi il donnerait pas de recommandation ou "any
24	recommendation". I don't know why.
25	MR. ROSEN: I didn't ask you why he didn't.

1	M. LANDRY: Okay.
2	MR. ROSEN: I'm asking why you worded the
3	letter the way you did. You were looking for any
4	recommendation; isn't that right?
5	M. LANDRY: M'hm.
6	MR. ROSEN: Okay. And you did not ask him
7	to explain the reasons why Mr. Barque left his employment,
8	did you?
9	M. LANDRY: Non.
10	MR. ROSEN: You didn't ask him to explain
11	what the conflict was, did you?
12	M. LANDRY: Non.
13	MR. ROSEN: Now, Mr. Sirrs didn't respond
14	directly to the letter immediately, did he?
15	M. LANDRY: Je a couple of weeks after, I
16	think, if I remember properly.
17	MR. ROSEN: That's right, a couple of weeks.
18	I mean, you're only a few blocks away from Pitt Street,
19	aren't you?
20	M. LANDRY: M'hm.
21	MR. ROSEN: Okay. You could have walked the
22	letter over, couldn't you?
23	M. LANDRY: J'aurais pu, oui.
24	MR. ROSEN: Yeah? Or you could have gone
25	over to visit him directly, couldn't you?

didn't you?

1	M. LANDRY: Ah, oui.
2	MR. ROSEN: Yeah. All right.
3	So what happened was there was the delay in
4	the delivery of the response, wasn't there?
5	M. LANDRY: Je vois pas ça comme un délai,
6	moi, non, du tout.
7	MR. ROSEN: Two weeks?
8	M. LANDRY: Non.
9	MR. ROSEN: Well, you were getting towards
10	the end of August when you had to have the position filled;
11	isn't that right?
12	M. LANDRY: Oui, mais deux semaines, j'ai
13	pas vu ça comme un délai. Peut-être qu'il était en
14	vacances. Peut-être je sais pas, mais c'était
15	raisonnable.
16	MR. ROSEN: Well, what you did was you
17	phoned up; didn't you? You called him. You called him up
18	and you said, am I going to get a response to my letter;
19	isn't that right?
20	MR. LANDRY: No.
21	MR. ROSEN: That's what you told Mr. Downing
22	in 2000, that you followed it up with a telephone call;

57

24 MR. LANDRY: I don't remember that.

25 MR. ROSEN: You don't remember that. You

1	told Mr. Downing that you well, first of all, you said
2	you received a positive reference from Mr. Sirrs. Didn't
3	you?
4	M. LANDRY: Non, j'ai pas mentionné ça.
5	MR. ROSEN: Well, let's look at, let's look
6	at Exhibit 1064. Mr. Downing called you.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Hold it, hold it.
8	MR. ROSEN: Yes, sir.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Ten sixty-four (1064);
10	madame la Greffière, si vous pouvez.
11	MR. ROSEN: Yes, page 17.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Just a second, just a
13	second. It takes a little time here. Page 17?
14	MR. LANDRY: Page 17.
15	LE COMMISSAIRE: O.k. L'avez-vous,
16	Monsieur?
17	M. LANDRY: Oui. Oui, merci.
18	LE COMMISSAIRE: O.k.
19	MR. ROSEN: At page 17 at the bottom.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Where is that? The last
21	inscription?
22	MR. ROSEN: Yes, October 2, 2000, at 1400
23	hours; two o'clock in the afternoon, Mr. Downing telephoned
24	you. Didn't he?
25	M. LANDRY: J'ai mentionné que je n'me

1	souviens pas du tout d'aucune conversation avec Monsieur
2	Downing. C'est pas la première fois que je le mentionne et
3	je n'me souviens pas de ça non plus.
4	MR. ROSEN: Well Mr. Landry, you never
5	met with Mr. Downing in your office, did you?
6	M. LANDRY: Non.
7	MR. ROSEN: Okay. How did Mr. Downing get a
8	copy of Mr. Sirrs' letter to you?
9	M. LANDRY: Aucune idée.
10	MR. ROSEN: Document number 100572. Can we
11	just have that document up please?
12	Apparently, Exhibit 869.
13	LE COMMISSAIRE: Et vous aurez à le lire. À
14	l'autre volume, oui, c'est ça. C'est là la lettre que
15	Monsieur Sirrs vous aurait écrite.
16	MR. ROSEN: A copy of the letter.
17	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
18	MR. ROSEN: Did you find it?
19	M. LANDRY: Oui.
20	MR. ROSEN: Okay. This is a copy of the
21	letter that Mr. Sirrs apparently wrote you, and it has Mr.
22	Downing's writing on it, including a reference to a
23	telephone call October 2, 2000.
24	Did you give Mr. Downing a copy of your

59

letter? Did you mail it to him?

1	M. LANDRY: Pas que j'me souviens, non.
2	Not that I remember.
3	MR. ROSEN: Okay. Do you remember being
4	asked by him to send a letter?
5	M. LANDRY: J'me souviens pas de
6	conversation avec Monsieur Downing.
7	MR. ROSEN: M'hm. All right. Well,
8	according to Mr. Downing's notes, if we could go back to
9	Exhibit 1064, the notes. According to Mr. Downing, when he
10	spoke to you, you said you got a letter and you made a
11	telephone call to contact Mr. Sirrs. You put the letter
12	first and the telephone call second. And I suggest to you
13	that what happened was when you wrote your letter and
14	didn't get an immediate reply, you called Mr. Sirrs to find
15	out whether he was sending a reply. Isn't that right?
16	M. LANDRY: Non, j'me souviens pas de ça du
17	tout.
18	MR. ROSEN: Okay. And Mr. Sirrs told you at
19	that time that he would not give you any personal
20	information about Mr. Barque unless you had a written
21	release from Mr. Barque; isn't that right?
22	M. LANDRY: J'me souviens de ça non plus
23	parce que ça existait pas dans le temps.
24	MR. ROSEN: Okay.
25	MR. LANDRY: This was not

1	MR. ROSEN: Okay, and, well, I understand
2	the passage of time; so he might have said that to you;
3	isn't that right?
4	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no. That's not what
5	he said.
6	MR. ROSEN: Okay, I'm sorry, then.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, no, no, no. He
8	didn't say the passage of time.
9	MR. ROSEN: Okay.
10	LE COMMISSAIRE: Qu'est-ce que vous avez
11	dit, répétez ce que vous avez dit, ça se faisait pas à
12	l'époque?
13	M. LANDRY: Ça se faisait pas à l'époque.
14	On ne demandait pas ça à l'époque.
15	We were not
16	MR. ROSEN: It wasn't done at the time.
17	M. LANDRY: M'hm.
18	MR. ROSEN: Well, all right, thank you.
19	Then I apologize. But I suggest to you it was, sir, and
20	that Mr. Sirrs specifically told you he would not give any
21	recommendation again. Isn't that right?
22	M. LANDRY: Non, j'me souviens pas de ça non
23	plus.
24	MR. ROSEN: Well, you told Mr. Downing that
25	you received a positive reference from Peter Sirrs dated

1	August 23, 1982. Didn't you? That's what you told Mr.
2	Downing?
3	MR. LANDRY: No.
4	MR. ROSEN: No? You deny telling him that?
5	M. LANDRY: Je, Je I don't deny it. I
6	don't remember speaking with Mr. Downing. That's what I
7	said.
8	MR. ROSEN: Okay. But it certainly wasn't a
9	positive reference; was it?
10	MR. LANDRY: Which reference?
11	MR. ROSEN: The letter from Mr. Sirrs was
12	not a positive reference; was it?
13	MR. LANDRY: I agree. That was just the
14	time that he was working there.
15	MR. ROSEN: It was no reference. Wasn't
16	it?
17	MR. LANDRY: Well, you cannot say well,
18	yeah, okay. Yeah.
19	MR. ROSEN: It doesn't say anything except
20	the tombstone information about when he started and when he
21	finished; isn't that right?
22	M. LANDRY: M'hm.
23	MR. ROSEN: Now, sir, in your file, where
24	are your notes of the interview with Mr. Barque?
25	M. LANDRY: J'n'ai pas.

1	MR. ROSEN: Okay. Where are your notes with
2	your conversations with Mr. Sirrs?
3	M. LANDRY: J'en n'ai pas.
4	MR. ROSEN: Where are your notes with your
5	conversations with Father Dubé?
6	M. LANDRY: J'en n'ai pas.
7	MR. ROSEN: Where is there anything in
8	your file to reference Father Dubé?
9	M. LANDRY: J'en n'ai pas.
10	MR. ROSEN: No. So what happened was, when
11	you got Mr. Sirrs' letter of August 23, 1982, that's when
12	you went back to Mr. Barque and said, "I need a reference."
13	And he gave you Father Dubé; isn't that right?
14	MR. LANDRY: No.
15	MR. ROSEN: Because you were running out of
16	time; isn't that right, sir?
17	MR. LANDRY: No, I was not running out of
18	time, sir.
19	MR. ROSEN: No.
20	MR. LANDRY: And I asked to the interview
21	another reference, not I didn't run to Mr. Barque for
22	another reference.
23	MR. ROSEN: Well, sir, you didn't go to any
24	of the references that were listed, except Mr. Sirrs; did
25	you?

1	MR. LANDRY: The last employer, yes.
2	MR. ROSEN: Yeah, okay. And you didn't make
3	any note of Father Dubé in the file; did you?
4	MR. LANDRY: No.
5	MR. ROSEN: No. And yet, do you know what
6	the day was that Mr. Barque started his employment with
7	you?
8	MR. LANDRY: If I remember, he started at
9	the beginning of September.
10	MR. ROSEN: The 30 th of August 1982.
11	MR. LANDRY: The 30 th of August. Yeah.
12	MR. ROSEN: A week after Mr. Sirrs' letter
13	arrived.
14	M. LANDRY: M'hm.
15	MR. ROSEN: Or at least, is dated. So you
16	got Mr. Sirrs' letter. You check with Mr. Barque. You
17	called Father Dubé, got the positive reference, and hired
18	him because you were running out of time. Isn't that
19	right?
20	MR. LANDRY: No, sir.
21	MR. ROSEN: Now, sir, Ms. Daley put to you
22	that Mr. Sirrs or the ministry had ample opportunity to
23	fire Mr. Barque. Do you remember if she asked that
24	question or put that to you? Do you remember that sir?
25	M. LANDRY: Oui.

1	MR. ROSEN: Okay. Well, actually what
2	happened was Mr. Sirrs did you know Mr. Sirrs did a
3	preliminary investigation?
4	MR. LANDRY: No.
5	MR. ROSEN: Did you know that the ministry
6	was doing a more thorough investigation when Mr. Barque
7	resigned?
8	MR. LANDRY: No.
9	MR. ROSEN: No. And that when they met with
10	Mr. Barque and confronted him with the evidence, he
11	resigned that day. Did you know that?
12	MR. LANDRY: No.
13	MR. ROSEN: Okay. When you found out about
14	Mr. Barque's background, you met with him; didn't you?
15	M. LANDRY: Oui.
16	MR. ROSEN: And as you told the police
17	officer in 1995, you said to him, "Either you resign or
18	I'll fire you." Isn't that right?
19	M. LANDRY: C'est ça.
20	MR. ROSEN: So you gave him the opportunity
21	to resign; isn't that right?
22	M. LANDRY: Oui.
23	MR. ROSEN: Okay. Notwithstanding the
24	information that you had about him; isn't that correct?
25	M. LANDRY: C'est ça.

1	MR. ROSEN: And then having collected that
2	information, given that it was 1982, you didn't tell your
3	staff about what you knew about Mr. Barque; isn't that
4	right?
5	M. LANDRY: C'est ça.
6	MR. ROSEN: And when future employers called
7	you for a reference, you didn't tell them the details that
8	you had discovered; isn't that correct?
9	M. LANDRY: Les détails yes, that's
10	correct, yeah.
11	MR. ROSEN: That's right. You didn't give
12	them the details?
13	M. LANDRY: Non.
14	MR. ROSEN: All right, sir. Thank you.
15	Those are my questions.
16	M. LANDRY: Thank you.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
18	Maître Ruel.
19	Me RUEL: Je n'ai pas de questions
20	additionnelles pour le témoin.
21	LE COMMISSAIRE: Parfait.
22	Monsieur Landry, je vous remercie beaucoup
23	de votre collaboration, votre témoignage.
24	M. LANDRY: Merci beaucoup.
25	LE COMMISSAIRE: Je vous souhaite un bon

1	voyage et puis toutes les bonnes choses.
2	Merci. Nous allons prendre la pause.
3	M. LANDRY: Merci.
4	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre
5	veuillez vous lever.
6	This hearing will resume at 11:20.
7	Upon recessing at 11:02 a.m. /
8	L'audience est suspendue à 11h02
9	Upon resuming at 11:39 a.m. /
10	L'audience est reprise à 11h39
11	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre
12	veuillez vous lever.
13	This hearing is now resumed. Please be
14	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Engelmann.
16	GARY GUZZO, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Guzzo.
18	MR. GUZZO: Good morning.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: How are you today? How
20	was the trip?
21	MR. GUZZO: Very nice, thank you.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: So you understand you're
23	still under oath?
24	MR. GUZZO: T do.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.

1	Mr. Engelmann.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Thank you, sir.
3	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
4	ENGELMANN: (Continued/Suite)
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Good morning, Mr. Guzzo.
6	MR. GUZZO: Good morning, sir.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I'd like to start off
8	with a document you may not have seen, or certainly not
9	seen before today, but I want to show it to you to see if
10	it refreshes your memory at all. It's a document that was
11	recently put into evidence. It's Exhibit 1047. It's a
12	letter dated
13	MR. GUZZO: Thank you.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: September 17 th , 1999. I
15	don't think there's well, disregard the October 2001
16	stamp for a minute. This is a letter that was put into
17	evidence during the testimony of Douglas Seguin. It's not
18	something we found in your database, sir, or your notes.
19	I'm just if you could have a look at it, sir?
20	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
21	MR. GUZZO: I don't recall seeing this
22	letter. I have no recollection of it.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	I believe sorry, I believe in the fall of
25	'99 you would have been recuperating from your heart

MR. GUZZO: Yes, that's correct. MR. ENGELMANN: Were you back in either constituency office or in the legislature by mid-Septe or do you remember? MR. GUZZO: I don't recall, but I think took I didn't go to the legislature until November, know that, and I don't know whether I doubt very mu had started going back in until sometime in October. THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, is the address there what is the address that you have? MR. GUZZO: I have an address of 885 Meadowlands Drive. THE COMMISSIONER: Is that your home, y office, your constituency office? MR. GUZZO: That's the constituency off THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. MR. ENGELMANN: So, sir, you don't recall having seen this letter before? MR. GUZZO: No, I do not. MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you recall having any contact either letter or phone from a Doug or Douglas Seguin? MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.		
MR. ENGELMANN: Were you back in either constituency office or in the legislature by mid-Septe or do you remember? MR. GUZZO: I don't recall, but I think took I didn't go to the legislature until November, know that, and I don't know whether I doubt very mu had started going back in until sometime in October. THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, is the address there what is the address that you have? MR. GUZZO: I have an address of 885 Meadowlands Drive. THE COMMISSIONER: Is that your home, y office, your constituency office? MR. GUZZO: That's the constituency off THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. MR. ENGELMANN: So, sir, you don't recall having seen this letter before? MR. GUZZO: No, I do not. MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you recall having any contact either letter or phone from a Doug or Douglas Seguin? MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.	of July?	1
constituency office or in the legislature by mid-Septe or do you remember? MR. GUZZO: I don't recall, but I think took I didn't go to the legislature until November, know that, and I don't know whether I doubt very mu had started going back in until sometime in October. THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, is the address there what is the address that you have? MR. GUZZO: I have an address of 885 Meadowlands Drive. THE COMMISSIONER: Is that your home, y office, your constituency office? MR. GUZZO: That's the constituency off THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. MR. ENGELMANN: So, sir, you don't recall having seen this letter before? MR. GUZZO: No, I do not. MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you recall having any contact either letter or phone from a Doug or Douglas Seguin? MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.	nat's correct.	2
or do you remember? MR. GUZZO: I don't recall, but I think took I didn't go to the legislature until November, know that, and I don't know whether I doubt very mu had started going back in until sometime in October. THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, is the addres there what is the address that you have? MR. GUZZO: I have an address of 885 Meadowlands Drive. THE COMMISSIONER: Is that your home, y office, your constituency office? MR. GUZZO: That's the constituency off THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. MR. ENGELMANN: So, sir, you don't reca having seen this letter before? MR. GUZZO: No, I do not. MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you recall having any contact either letter or phone from a Doug or Douglas Seguin? MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.	re you back in either your	3
MR. GUZZO: I don't recall, but I think took I didn't go to the legislature until November, know that, and I don't know whether I doubt very mu had started going back in until sometime in October. THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, is the address there what is the address that you have? MR. GUZZO: I have an address of 885 Meadowlands Drive. THE COMMISSIONER: Is that your home, y office, your constituency office? MR. GUZZO: That's the constituency off THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. MR. ENGELMANN: So, sir, you don't recall having seen this letter before? MR. GUZZO: No, I do not. MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you recall having any contact either letter or phone from a Doug or Douglas Seguin? MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.	slature by mid-September,	4
took I didn't go to the legislature until November, know that, and I don't know whether I doubt very mu had started going back in until sometime in October. THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, is the address there what is the address that you have? MR. GUZZO: I have an address of 885 Meadowlands Drive. THE COMMISSIONER: Is that your home, y office, your constituency office? MR. GUZZO: That's the constituency off THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. MR. ENGELMANN: So, sir, you don't recall having seen this letter before? MR. GUZZO: No, I do not. MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you recall having any contact either letter or phone from a Doug or Douglas Seguin? MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.		5
know that, and I don't know whether I doubt very mu had started going back in until sometime in October. THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, is the address there what is the address that you have? MR. GUZZO: I have an address of 885 Meadowlands Drive. THE COMMISSIONER: Is that your home, y office, your constituency office? MR. GUZZO: That's the constituency off THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. MR. ENGELMANN: So, sir, you don't recall having seen this letter before? MR. GUZZO: No, I do not. MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you recall having any contact either letter or phone from a Doug or Douglas Seguin? MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.	recall, but I think I	6
had started going back in until sometime in October. THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, is the address there what is the address that you have? MR. GUZZO: I have an address of 885 Meadowlands Drive. THE COMMISSIONER: Is that your home, your constitution office? MR. GUZZO: That's the constitution office? MR. GUZZO: That's the constitution office? MR. ENGELMANN: So, sir, you don't recall having seen this letter before? MR. GUZZO: No, I do not. MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you recall having any contact either letter or phone from a Doug or Douglas Seguin? MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.	ature until November, I	7
THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, is the address the there what is the address that you have? MR. GUZZO: I have an address of 885 Meadowlands Drive. THE COMMISSIONER: Is that your home, your constituency office? MR. GUZZO: That's the constituency office? THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. MR. ENGELMANN: So, sir, you don't recally having seen this letter before? MR. GUZZO: No, I do not. MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you recall having any contact either letter or phone from a Doug or Douglas Seguin? MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.	er I doubt very much I	8
there what is the address that you have? MR. GUZZO: I have an address of 885 Meadowlands Drive. THE COMMISSIONER: Is that your home, y office, your constituency office? MR. GUZZO: That's the constituency off THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. MR. ENGELMANN: So, sir, you don't recally having seen this letter before? MR. GUZZO: No, I do not. MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you recall having any contact either letter or phone from a Doug or Douglas Seguin? MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.	ometime in October.	9
MR. GUZZO: I have an address of 885 Meadowlands Drive. THE COMMISSIONER: Is that your home, y office, your constituency office? MR. GUZZO: That's the constituency off THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. MR. ENGELMANN: So, sir, you don't recally having seen this letter before? MR. GUZZO: No, I do not. MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you recall having any contact either letter or phone from a Doug or Douglas Seguin? MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.	Sorry, is the address	10
13 Meadowlands Drive. 14 THE COMMISSIONER: Is that your home, y 15 office, your constituency office? 16 MR. GUZZO: That's the constituency off 17 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 18 MR. ENGELMANN: So, sir, you don't reca 19 having seen this letter before? 20 MR. GUZZO: No, I do not. 21 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. 22 Do you recall having any contact either 23 letter or phone from a Doug or Douglas Seguin? 24 MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.	you have?	11
14 THE COMMISSIONER: Is that your home, y 15 office, your constituency office? 16 MR. GUZZO: That's the constituency off 17 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 18 MR. ENGELMANN: So, sir, you don't reca 19 having seen this letter before? 20 MR. GUZZO: No, I do not. 21 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. 22 Do you recall having any contact either 23 letter or phone from a Doug or Douglas Seguin? 24 MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.	an address of 885	12
office, your constituency office? MR. GUZZO: That's the constituency off THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. MR. ENGELMANN: So, sir, you don't reca having seen this letter before? MR. GUZZO: No, I do not. MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you recall having any contact either letter or phone from a Doug or Douglas Seguin? MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.		13
MR. GUZZO: That's the constituency off THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. MR. ENGELMANN: So, sir, you don't reca having seen this letter before? MR. GUZZO: No, I do not. MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you recall having any contact either letter or phone from a Doug or Douglas Seguin? MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.	Is that your home, your	14
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. MR. ENGELMANN: So, sir, you don't recall having seen this letter before? MR. GUZZO: No, I do not. MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you recall having any contact either letter or phone from a Doug or Douglas Seguin? MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.		15
MR. ENGELMANN: So, sir, you don't reca 19 having seen this letter before? 20 MR. GUZZO: No, I do not. 21 MR. ENGELMANN: All right. 22 Do you recall having any contact either 23 letter or phone from a Doug or Douglas Seguin? MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.	the constituency office.	16
having seen this letter before? MR. GUZZO: No, I do not. MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you recall having any contact either letter or phone from a Doug or Douglas Seguin? MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.	Thank you.	17
MR. GUZZO: No, I do not. MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you recall having any contact either letter or phone from a Doug or Douglas Seguin? MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.	sir, you don't recall	18
MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you recall having any contact either letter or phone from a Doug or Douglas Seguin? MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.		19
Do you recall having any contact either letter or phone from a Doug or Douglas Seguin? MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.	do not.	20
letter or phone from a Doug or Douglas Seguin? MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.	l right.	21
MR. GUZZO: Yes, I do.	ng any contact either by	22
	uglas Seguin?	23
25	do.	24
MR. ENGELMANN: All right.	l right.	25

1	what can you tell us about that, sir? what
2	do you remember about any contact or correspondence you may
3	have had from Doug Seguin?
4	MR. GUZZO: I remember at least one piece of
5	correspondence, possibly two, either addressed to me with a
6	carbon copy to the Premier or addressed to the Premier with
7	a carbon copy to me, and having an interchange with the
8	Premier's office as to who should answer the letter and
9	being told that they would look after it.
10	In one case, I don't know whether
11	MR. ENGELMANN: So you recall that Mr.
12	Seguin had written a letter to the Premier, sir?
13	MR. GUZZO: I do. I recall that distinctly.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And were you asked by the
15	Premier or his office for your input in a response?
16	MR. GUZZO: With regard to one area in
17	particular, I made a thorough I had accidentally made a
18	comment about suicide in the legislature during one of the
19	debates.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: About whose suicide?
21	MR. GUZZO: Mr. Seguin's brother.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, okay.
23	MR. GUZZO: And he took offence to that.
24	Mr. Seguin wrote to me and to the Premier, vice versa, and
25	it was an accidental slip. I didn't know it was a major

1	issue and I said in the note back well, I either asked
2	the Premier to acknowledge it was an accidental and
3	apologize for it, if he's answering the letter or his staff
4	are answering the letter, or I did a memo myself, just
5	explaining that it was nothing it wasn't purposeful.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	So do you believe that either do you
8	know, sir, if you ever responded to Mr. Seguin Doug
9	Seguin?
10	MR. GUZZO: Well, if it's not on the file, I
11	could only hope that the Premier took it under advisement
12	and did that when he replied to Mr. Seguin.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: I don't think we found any
14	correspondence between you and Mr. Seguin in the documents
15	you gave us, sir. So do you recall ever having spoken to
16	him?
17	MR. GUZZO: No, I do not.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	MR. GUZZO: I do not.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: And I think we should add
21	that Mr. Seguin has indicated under oath that he never had
22	a return response for this letter.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. Mr. Seguin was just
24	recently here. He said he wrote to you and I believe he
25	said he wrote to the Premier and that he didn't get a

1	response to either of those letters.
2	MR. GUZZO: That the Premier did not respond
3	either?
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, that's not quite
5	correct.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Seguin to one of
8	the letters from the Premier, the Premier said that he
9	acknowledged receipt and that he would have his Solicitor
10	General, Paul or Dave Turnbull
11	MR. ENGELMANN: David Turnbull.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: David Turnbull
13	respond, and I don't think and I believe the evidence
14	from Mr. Seguin was that he never got a response from the
15	Solicitor General. I think that puts it pretty well on.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Were you aware the letter
17	suggests that there was a lawsuit underway that Mr. Seguin
18	was involved in personally Doug Seguin. Were you aware
19	of any lawsuit involving him, sir?
20	MR. GUZZO: No, I was not.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And it suggests that he
22	might file some kind of a formal complaint against you, I
23	think in the last paragraph, if he doesn't get a response.
24	Do you know if any formal complaints were
25	filed by him against you, that you're aware of?

1	MR. GUZZO: I'm not aware of any.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall if you
3	attempted to obtain a report, a Corrections Report, either
4	in answer to inquiries from Mr. Seguin and/or inquiries
5	from someone else, a report by an official at the
6	Corrections Ministry?
7	MR. GUZZO: I recall asking for a number of
8	things from Corrections.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall asking for a
10	report originally and I think you had the name wrong or
11	someone had the name wrong. Someone was saying "Store" or
12	"Stores" instead of Sirrs?
13	MR. GUZZO: Well, I remember asking for the
14	Sirrs Report, I think, at one point.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	MR. GUZZO: And also for a "Store" or
17	"Shore" report
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	MR. GUZZO: which I had somebody had
20	mentioned to me existed.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	Were either of those reports ever given to
23	you, sir?
24	MR. GUZZO: No, they were not.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember why you were

1	seeking them at that time? My understanding would have
2	been this would have been in or around the fall of 2001.
3	MR. GUZZO: Well, I know that there are
4	actions being prepared against the government, in
5	particular, a Mr. Yegendorf has made it known either
6	I've learned through the press and I think I talked to him.
7	And I think at that time I had referred some people with
8	regard to civil matters to him, telling them that he was in
9	the process, and I was somehow had the opinion that
10	those reports would be very telling.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	And, sir, by the fall of 1999, when this
13	letter is dated in any event, 1047, by that time, had you -
14	- had you heard from any alleged victims of Ken Seguin?
15	This is the fall of '99.
16	MR. GUZZO: I believe I had.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall about how
18	many?
19	MR. GUZZO: I can't put a number on it, I'm
20	sorry.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	In the letter, there's a comment attributed
23	to you, apparently a comment that you made to a newspaper
24	reporter that he, Ken Seguin, was one I'm just looking
25	at the middle. It's just next to number one statement,

1	two-thirds of the way down the page. It says:
2	"He (Ken Seguin) was one of the
3	pedophiles who used these 'bad boys' at
4	the sex parties".
5	Right?
6	Now, sir, you'd be aware, would you not,
7	that Mr. Seguin took his life before there were any charges
8	laid or before there was any finding of guilt or innocence;
9	correct?
10	MR. GUZZO: I am aware of that and I'm also
11	aware that that does not look like an accurate statement I
12	would make. I mean, the terminology there, I don't know
13	that I had you know, bad boys and sex parties, I don't
14	know that that's my language, but
15	MR. ENGELMANN: You'd agree with me, would
16	you not, that with respect to Mr. Seguin, none of these
17	allegations were ever confirmed in a criminal court?
18	MR. GUZZO: That's correct. I acknowledge
19	that, yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: So that by 1999, if there's
21	a reference to him, it would be as an alleged perpetrator,
22	not as a perpetrator?
23	MR. GUZZO: Very definitely.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, did you let's switch
25	topics for a minute.

1	Do you recall meeting the new bishop here in
2	Cornwall, Paul André Durocher?
3	MR. GUZZO: Yes, very clearly.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	And do you recall approximately when you
6	would have met him?
7	MR. GUZZO: I don't know when he was
8	installed, but I would say it was in within four to six
9	weeks of his installation.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: There's another word for
11	that I know
12	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, I know, but I can't think
13	of it either. I don't think it's the proper term and I
14	apologize.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: He was appointed the bishop
16	here approximately six years ago. I may have my dates
17	wrong, but late 2001 perhaps?
18	MR. GUZZO: Possibly. Possibly, yeah.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Perhaps early
20	2002.
21	Sir, if the witness could be shown Document
22	Number 126171?
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit
24	Number 1069 is a letter dated July $3^{\rm rd}$, 2000 to His Eminence
25	Bishop Paul André Durocher from Mr. Garry Guzzo.

1	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-1069:
2	(126171) Letter dated July 3^{rd} , 2000 to
3	His Eminence Bishop Paul André Durocher
4	from Mr. Garry Guzzo
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, do you have a
6	copy of the letter?
7	MR. GUZZO: I do. Thank you.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, it's dated July 3 rd ,
9	2002. Is this a letter you would have written to Bishop
10	Durocher?
11	MR. GUZZO: I believe I did, yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. On the last page of
13	the letter, the middle paragraph, it says:
14	"Although we met briefly on one
15	occasion"
16	Do you see that?
17	MR. GUZZO: No, I do not.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: It's halfway through that
19	second paragraph.
20	MR. GUZZO: All right. Just a sec. Yes,
21	"Although we met"; yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: "I'm aware of your work and
23	of your talents" et cetera.
24	MR. GUZZO: Right.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So you would have met

1	him before July 3 rd of 2002?
2	MR. GUZZO: Yes, that's correct.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	And do you recall how you met him and any
5	circumstances surrounding that first meeting?
6	MR. GUZZO: I remember it vividly.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	Can you tell us about it?
9	MR. GUZZO: I came in one day to the
10	constituency office and I had somebody at the desk or
11	one of the my assistant says, "The new bishop in
12	Cornwall has called. He'd like to talk to you." I
13	returned the call immediately and he said, "You and I
14	should get together and have a chat." I said, "I'll be
15	very happy to."
16	The House was sitting, which meant I'm in
17	Toronto four days, but I said, "I can be there Monday and I
18	will come down." He said, "No, Monday I come to Ottawa.
19	I'll come to you."
20	And the following Monday, I stayed on and
21	met with him at my office.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Your constituency
23	office?
24	MR. GUZZO: At my constituency office, yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	And can you just give us a sense as to what
2	was discussed and how long you met with him?
3	MR. GUZZO: I would say it was in excess of
4	one hour.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
6	MR. GUZZO: We discussed a number of things
7	We discussed the problems of the Church in Canada, the
8	problems of the Church in North America, across the world,
9	problems that I had no knowledge of and things that he
10	brought to the table.
11	He was very, very straightforward. He's a
12	very blunt man, very interesting background. I was
13	surprised and learned that I knew his father. His father
14	had been the police chief in Hawkesbury when I started to
15	practise law and I had a very good feeling about him. He
16	told me about his brother being an OPP officer, et cetera.
17	And the thing I remember most clearly is he
18	had a fresh approach. He said, "I don't know maybe
19	everything I should know about whatever has happened here
20	or hasn't happened here, but here's what you have to know.
21	There's a new sheriff in town and whatever, you know,
22	concerns you have, they don't relate from hereon in. I
23	will have a new format and a new plan and this will change
24	if there's anything wrong. I'm not going to go back in
25	history and I'm not going to deal with it. That's not my

1	mandate, but from hereon in, things are going to change."
2	And I found him I found him very
3	positive, quite refreshing. I we talked about some
4	things about the Cornwall situation and the Cornwall file.
5	I gave him some documentation. I turned over to him some
6	documentation and I told him
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember what kind of
8	documentation you would have turned over?
9	MR. GUZZO: I remember giving him a
10	satisfaction piece on a lawsuit that had been settled, a
11	lawsuit which the Diocese or the bishop former bishop
12	and some priests had brought against a man by the name of
13	Bateman who had worked in this area at one time. There was
14	a social worker, Nadeau Dick Nadeau, and a magazine a
15	newspaper out of the United States, I guess what you would
16	call a rightwing conservative Catholic newspaper called The
17	Wanderer.
18	And I had received one of those brown
19	envelopes under the door, a copy of the satisfaction piece
20	settling this case, settling this case which showed a
21	payment in U.S. funds. The amount was blacked out, but a
22	payment in U.S. funds to The Wanderer magazine or the
23	insurance company for <u>The Wanderer</u> magazine to allow them
24	to withdraw the case and settle the case.

MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry, I'm a bit confused.

1	To allow who to withdraw the case?
2	MR. GUZZO: Either the Diocese or the bishop
3	and the priests. I can't recall whether it wasthe case
4	was launched in the name of the Diocese and
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
6	MR. GUZZO: or whether it was just the
7	individuals.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: So you gave this
9	documentation to the bishop at this meeting?
10	MR. GUZZO: Yeah.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Why did you do that?
12	MR. GUZZO: Well, I asked I said, "Look,"
13	you know, we're talking very, very openly and, you know, I
14	said, "You know, in all of these situations," and we talked
15	about Portland and we talked about Portland, Oregon and
16	Spokane, Washington because they were teetering on
17	bankruptcy at the time and one of the
18	MR. ENGELMANN: You mean the diocese?
19	MR. GUZZO: The diocese declaring bankruptcy
20	and the problems that L.A. was going to have with the
21	mammoth claims there and the Boston situation was at the
22	top, you know. We're talking big money and I said, "Well,
23	I understand about the insurance situation," but I said,
24	"This alleges that you're going to pay a sum of money to
25	this magazine, this newspaper, to allow you to drop the

1	suit. And it says U.S. funds and it indicates maybe for
2	legal fees, but the lawyers for you and the lawyers for the
3	magazine, the insurance company for the magazines are both
4	in downtown Ottawa."
5	You know, I know that when you're defending
6	an action the insurance company is on the hook, but where
7	does the money come from? To withdraw a case like this,
8	there's no insurance for that. So this must be coming off
9	the collection plate.
10	And he looked at it; he thought about it for
11	a minute and he said, "I don't suppose I can get a copy of
12	this?" I said, "Well, I'll give you a copy."
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he appear to know
14	anything about it?
	angening about 10.
15	MR. GUZZO: No, he did not, but he was very
15 16	
	MR. GUZZO: No, he did not, but he was very
16	MR. GUZZO: No, he did not, but he was very interested. We had a very, very heart-to-heart chat and
16 17	MR. GUZZO: No, he did not, but he was very interested. We had a very, very heart-to-heart chat and I've got to tell you that when he left, I was somewhat
16 17 18	MR. GUZZO: No, he did not, but he was very interested. We had a very, very heart-to-heart chat and I've got to tell you that when he left, I was somewhat impressed. I also I think I told him we talked about
16 17 18 19	MR. GUZZO: No, he did not, but he was very interested. We had a very, very heart-to-heart chat and I've got to tell you that when he left, I was somewhat impressed. I also I think I told him we talked about my private members' bills and the problems I was having
16 17 18 19 20	MR. GUZZO: No, he did not, but he was very interested. We had a very, very heart-to-heart chat and I've got to tell you that when he left, I was somewhat impressed. I also I think I told him we talked about my private members' bills and the problems I was having with the government, my government, and the likelihood that
16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GUZZO: No, he did not, but he was very interested. We had a very, very heart-to-heart chat and I've got to tell you that when he left, I was somewhat impressed. I also I think I told him we talked about my private members' bills and the problems I was having with the government, my government, and the likelihood that I would ever get a bill to royal assent. And I did tell
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GUZZO: No, he did not, but he was very interested. We had a very, very heart-to-heart chat and I've got to tell you that when he left, I was somewhat impressed. I also I think I told him we talked about my private members' bills and the problems I was having with the government, my government, and the likelihood that I would ever get a bill to royal assent. And I did tell him that there was another one coming forward, I think, and

told him that, you know, "I'm not writing to you; I'm

1	writing to lay out the case."
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, let me ask you; you
3	say probably told him. You're sure you had this discussion
4	about this lawsuit?
5	MR. GUZZO: Oh yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: He asked you for a copy of
7	something and you gave it to him?
8	MR. GUZZO: Very definitely. He said, "I'm
9	going to see my" he hadn't heard of it or he didn't know
10	about it. He said, "I'm going to see my lawyer later this
11	week and I'd love to have a copy of it." And I made a copy
12	and gave it to him.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	So this was something that would have been
15	resolved before he became the bishop, presumably?
16	MR. GUZZO: It wasn't signed, but it was
17	prepared by his lawyer and dated, I remember, sometime
18	before.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	And you talked about concerns in Canada.
21	You talked about concerns in North America. Did you have
22	some discussion about concerns or some of the allegations
23	that you'd heard about priests from this diocese?
24	MR. GUZZO: We didn't get into anything
25	much with regard to he took the position "What's past is

1	past and it's not on my plate right now. I'm starting
2	forward. That's what I want to deal with." And I didn't -
3	- we didn't get into specifics or
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So you didn't you
5	told us about, for example, information that had been given
6	to you by a Father LaPierre, Paul LaPierre. You didn't go
7	into that kind of detail with Bishop Durocher?
8	MR. GUZZO: I did not.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And you didn't talk about
10	any of the other priests that you might have heard about at
11	that time?
12	MR. GUZZO: I did not. We didn't get into
13	specifics of anything that had gone before.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	Do you recall anything else from that first
16	meeting?
17	MR. GUZZO: Well, yeah, there were a lot of
18	things that his theory, his operation. I mean, I
19	offered to go down to see him in Alexandria. I'm going
20	"No, no," he said, "look, I come to Ottawa once a week and
21	Monday is a good day for me. I'll be there." He wheels
22	into he walks into the office; he introduces himself to
23	the lady at the desk and he's very, very personable and
24	I mean, this is a salesman for the Catholic Church. And a
25	good one, I have the impression. When I relate back to his

1	lather for whom I had a tremendous amount of respect I
2	think everybody did
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	So you had a favourable impression of the
5	Bishop?
6	MR. GUZZO: Extremely.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	And did you how did you leave things?
9	Were you going to get back together again, or
10	MR. GUZZO: I think I told him that the
11	legislation was coming forward and I told him, I said,
12	"Look, contrary to what somebody in the press in Cornwall
13	seems to think" because I think there had been another
14	article sometime about this was a witch hunt against the
15	Catholic Church, I said, "My concern and my mandate has
16	never been to clean any problem up with the Catholic
17	Church. I have a problem here, I think, with the
18	government. The government has a problem. I'm not getting
19	answers and my legislation is directed at the operation of
20	the government."
21	But I said, "You know, when I do it, I'll
22	send you a letter because I'd like to have my position on
23	the record and it gives me a chance to"
24	But I don't know that I circulated that
25	letter. I

1	MR. ENGELMANN: So this is the letter then
2	that you sent to him later?
3	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I did. I sent it to the
4	Catholic Conference of Bishops, to Monsignor Schonenbach,
5	but he
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Why would you have done
7	that, sir? Who is he? Is he someone you knew?
8	MR. GUZZO: Monsignor Schonenbach was the
9	at that time, the Executive Director of the Canadian
10	Catholic Conference of Bishops.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And is he someone you knew
12	personally, sir?
13	MR. GUZZO: I first yes, I think I said
14	the other day I met him when he was a young man, as a civil
15	servant. I think he was a late call to the priesthood. I
16	mean, I think he was in the civil service for seven, nine
17	years and then when into the priesthood and I had known
18	him as a priest in Ottawa. I'd bump into him from time to
19	time and then I had after '95, I had dealings with him
20	on education matters and other matters in his position as
21	Executive Director.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So he is somebody who
23	was known to you?
24	MR. GUZZO: He was.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah. But why does he get

1	copied on this particular did you remember what your
2	purpose was, because he's CCed?
3	MR. GUZZO: Well, you know, I'm going over
4	some back situations. I think, as I recollect, I'm doing a
5	little historical review on the situation in Boston, the
6	situation with Cardinal Mahoney in Los Angeles, and you
7	know, I just think it's good that the people in a position
8	that Monsignor Schonenbach is in are reminded that, "Hey,
9	we've got a big problem here, whether it's in Ottawa or,
10	you know, downtown Toronto." It's not really you know,
11	this is a global problem and there are some Catholics who
12	are concerned about it.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	So you're writing to Bishop Durocher. I
15	just want to ask you a couple of questions about the
16	letter, if I may.
17	You're referring to Bill 48. It's a bill
18	that had received second reading, I believe, back in the
19	fall of 2001?
20	MR. GUZZO: Right, right.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: You're giving him copies of
22	correspondence you've sent previously to some of your
23	political colleagues.
24	MR. GUZZO: I think I did, yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm just looking at

1	paragraphs 1, 2, 3 on the first page.
2	MR. GUZZO: Right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Then it appears you also
4	refer him to a couple of articles from The Freeholder and
5	The Sun, the bottom of the page. Right?
6	MR. GUZZO: Yes, right.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And who are you referring to
8	at the bottom of the page? You say:
9	"all of which makes his earlier
10	position laughable with regard to not
11	knowing and wanting to learn the truth
12	of the circumstances."
13	MR. GUZZO: Well, I'm referring to the
14	predecessor, his predecessor. This Ms. Harris is doing, I
15	think, a series of articles, historical background, and
16	there seems to be, as I recollect, a position that he
17	didn't know certain things, now he's taking the position
18	that he has helped a number of people
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	MR. GUZZO: It didn't seem consistent.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	So this would be the former Bishop, Bishop
23	Larocque?
24	MR. GUZZO: Right.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And your comment being,

1	essentially:
2	"Since he's helped a number of
3	victims"
4	Then you have some comments on the following
5	page.
6	MR. GUZZO: Right.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	So you're pointing out what you believe is
9	an inconsistency; is that right?
10	MR. GUZZO: Well
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Have I got that right?
12	MR. GUZZO: Right. I'm saying that I think
13	he's referring to helping victims or victims of sexual
14	abuse, not victims of automobile accidents.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	And what are you saying then in the next
17	paragraph, the second paragraph on page 2? You say:
18	"When one reviews the admissions that
19	came in the evidence in the LaPierre
20	trial and Martin trial, one cannot help
21	but expect an explanation from the
22	Church and the Diocese."
23	MR. GUZZO: Well, as I recollect the Martin
24	trial, the issue revolved around the age of the young
25	person.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
2	MR. GUZZO: Whether it was a month before
3	his $14^{\rm th}$ birthday or a month before his $15^{\rm th}$ birthday.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	MR. GUZZO: It seems to me that while the
6	age of consent is clearly important in a criminal trial,
7	that from the Church's point of view, it shouldn't really
8	make a lot of difference whether the altar boy or whether
9	the individual is 14 or 15.
10	And when I'm referring to Father LaPierre,
11	this is, as I recollect, Father Paul LaPierre, who was
12	acquitted in Cornwall and convicted in Montreal
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
14	MR. GUZZO: on a the same individual
15	the same evidence.
16	And he had, I believe, on the stand, under
17	oath, said "I am not guilty. I never did anything like
18	this, but I knew it was going on." And he named some
19	priests whom he felt had in fact been guilty. And I
20	thought
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And did he actually do
22	you know if he actually named them by name or did he just
23	say "several of my colleagues" or do you recall?
24	MR. GUZZO: I it seems to me that he
25	named by name.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	And you were expecting what from the
3	Diocese?
4	MR. GUZZO: Well, I'm saying I'm not
5	expecting anything right now from this, but I'm saying when
6	something like this happens, the Diocese has an obligation
7	to make a statement and explain.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And who is Father Desolet
9	that's referenced there?
10	MR. GUZZO: Now, this priest has he's
11	been charged and he's in the United States, and they're
12	trying to bring him back here to face charges, and he's
13	fighting extradition. He's not coming back freely, as I
14	recollect the situation.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, do you know if he was a
16	priest of this diocese or not?
17	MR. GUZZO: I know that he had served in
18	this diocese.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
20	MR. GUZZO: I have read that he had served
21	in this diocese.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, it sounds like
24	it's the other way around, is that he's living in Canada
25	and they want to extradite him to the United States to

1	clear his name.
2	MR. GUZZO: That he's back here?
3	MR. ENGELMANN: That's how I read it too,
4	sir. It says:
5	"Similarly, one would have expected
6	Father Desolet to rush back to the
7	United States to clear his name. The
8	optics of the Church fighting an
9	extradition hearing is exceedingly
10	alarming"
11	MR. GUZZO: That's the way it reads and I
12	would think that's the way it is. I'm wrong today when I
13	think about it.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: It matters not. I mean,
15	in the end, what you're saying is that you're of the view
16	or understanding that the Diocese is contesting the
17	extradition on behalf of the priest?
18	MR. GUZZO: Right.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Is that what you're
20	saying?
21	MR. GUZZO: Right.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
23	Then I want to ask you a couple other
24	questions. You mention something in the third paragraph
25	about being served with notice of libel and slander, and I

1	think we looked at that.
2	MR. GUZZO: Yes, and I was
3	MR. ENGELMANN: That was at the end of June
4	of 2001?
5	MR. GUZZO: What I yeah. And I clearly -
6	- I had forgotten that, but I think I was served the second
7	time in Ottawa, and that's what confused me with the same -
8	
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	MR. GUZZO: with the notice. So that's
11	what confused me, I guess.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	And this is something that you I think
14	you said you would have spoken to Bishop Durocher about
15	when you met?
16	MR. GUZZO: I think I did, yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And then in the following
18	paragraph there's something about
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Can you scroll down?
20	MR. ENGELMANN: a civil action.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Can you scroll down,
22	Madam Clerk?
23	MR. ENGELMANN: A little further.
24	You say:
25	"At or about the same time, others were

1	served with similar notices of libel
2	and slander and Statements of Claim
3	were served upon some of these
4	individuals. These civil actions now
5	inching forward, I'm advised that the
6	time for examination for discovery has
7	been reached. To my amazement, I am
8	now advised further that the Diocese
9	does not wish to proceed to discovery
10	versus these defendants. The Diocese is
11	now offering to pay large sums of money
12	to these defendants to allow the
13	Diocese and your predecessor of happy
14	memory to discontinue these actions.
15	Some of these large payments are to be
16	payable in U.S. dollars, according to
17	my sources."
18	Now, is that what you just talked to us
19	about?
20	MR. GUZZO: It is. I'm putting it on the
21	record to have it, but we've already discussed it and he's
22	already taken the form with him.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: So when you're talking about
24	what you're talking about then in your letter is what
25	you've just told us about a lawsuit involving a bishop and

1	some individual priests and Mr. Nadeau, Mr. Bateman and $\overline{ ext{The}}$
2	Wanderer?
3	MR. GUZZO: That's correct, yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	Well, how do you know, sir, that there are
6	large sums of money involved? I thought you told us that
7	the document you gave to Bishop Durocher had been blacked
8	out?
9	MR. GUZZO: It had been, yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: So how would you know if
11	they were large sums or not if the sum is blacked out?
12	MR. GUZZO: Well, I had heard this I had
13	heard that this had happened, but I had no documentation,
14	and I made some phone calls. I phoned an insurance
15	company. I believe it was in St East St. Louis,
16	Kansas, as opposed to Missouri, and talked to some people
17	at an insurance company, and they were not very helpful.
18	They confirmed over the phone that there was the thing
19	had been settled, but I wasn't getting any information.
20	But I think I also talked to the editor of
21	The Wanderer and he indicated to me that it was a sizeable
22	amount but it wasn't what it should have been, "We should
23	have forced the hand and gone to trial, but the insurance
24	company had control," et cetera, et cetera.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	So you don't have personal knowledge of the
2	amount?
3	MR. GUZZO: Of the amount, but I have
4	MR. ENGELMANN: It was the editor that
5	suggested to you it was a sizeable sum?
6	MR. GUZZO: Sizeable sum.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And you're asking for some
8	information about that on the following page in the third
9	paragraph. Do I have that right?
10	MR. GUZZO: Well, I'm putting again, I'm
11	putting forward the case, yeah. I'm not expecting that
12	he's going to supply me with anything.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: But what you're asking the
14	new bishop, you're saying:
15	"The additional payments to the
16	defendants in the libel action for
17	legal fees for remaining silent and for
18	whatever else these funds are intended
19	to secure should also be made public by
20	the Diocese, in the opinion of the
21	writer."
22	MR. GUZZO: Right.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	So did you expect a response to this letter,
25	sir?

1	MR. GUZZO: No, I don't think I did. I
2	think when he was leaving the office, I told him I was in
3	the process of preparing documentation to be sent out with
4	regard to the next bill and that I would I was going to
5	outline a position, and I think I probably told him that,
6	you know, I was going to do it in any event, whether I had
7	met with him or not and would send him the position that I
8	think a number of thinking Catholics were starting to
9	discuss in light of the Boston, L.A., Portland, Spokane
10	scenario.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you get a response from
12	him, sir?
13	MR. GUZZO: If I did, it was simply an
14	acknowledgement.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	And did you meet with him after that, to
17	your knowledge?
18	MR. GUZZO: Well, he we were both here in
19	late October of '04. I think it's early when Mr.
20	Bryant, the Attorney General, held a meeting here in
21	Cornwall, I think, we were both here at that time, and I
22	think we spoke at that time, but to no great to no
23	length, and there was one other function, one other some
24	place I saw him, but we didn't discuss anything, just to
25	say hello.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	So you never had another meeting with him.
3	MR. GUZZO: No, no.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: You would've just been at a
5	gathering of some sort?
6	MR. GUZZO: Right.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	Sir, if we could just turn to 848. If you
9	could look at C-848 or 848B. There's just one page of your
10	notes that I want to take you to. It's the page we haven't
11	looked at.
12	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, can you just look at
14	page 8 of the original notes?
15	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Just perhaps read it for us,
17	so we have it accurately.
18	MR. GUZZO: I said:
19	"I'm pushing for a third reading.
20	There's good support, great support on
21	all sides except for the Cabinet."
22	There's a quote:
23	"These are supporters for the Minister
24	of Agriculture."
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, this is I think

1	you've told us about this before, that what Mr.
2	Villeneuve had told you?
3	MR. GUZZO: Right.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And what his staffer had
5	said to your staffer.
6	MR. GUZZO: Right. I'm
7	MR. ENGELMANN: What is under "These are our
8	supporters"?
9	MR. GUZZO: "Don't bother me."
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	MR. GUZZO: I'm talking about the Cabinet
12	now. They're getting a little, a little testy.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And what does the quote say?
14	MR. GUZZO: The Attorney General says:
15	"I don't want a seat beside you in the
16	nosebleed section. Don't bother me."
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Which Attorney General
18	was that, you say?
19	MR. GUZZO: I believe that was Mr. Young.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: It would have been in the
21	spring of 2002?
22	MR. GUZZO: Right.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	MR. GUZZO: I believe so.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And what does it say after

1	that?
2	MR. GUZZO: It looks like:
3	"You've ruined your career. Don't try
4	to drag me down with you."
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that also the Attorney
6	General or is that someone else?
7	MR. GUZZO: No, this is a backbencher who
8	thinks he's going into Cabinet. He's changed his mind. He
9	was a big supporter and now it looks like there's a cabinet
10	shuffle coming and he's
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And who was that, sir?
12	MR. GUZZO: His name is DeFaria.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
14	MR. GUZZO: DeFaria.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: DeFaria.
16	MR. GUZZO: Carl DeFaria.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: He was an MPP at the time
18	obviously?
19	MR. GUZZO: I believe it was I shouldn't
20	be so quick to put there were a couple of people a
21	couple of people backing away from it now because the
22	cabinet shuffle was coming and, in other words, I shouldn't
23	be so quick to put him on there. I had some discussions
24	with him because he wasn't supportive. He's a lawyer, a
25	criminal lawyer. It could have been it could have been,

1	but I'm not going to
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	So people
4	MR. GUZZO: People are people, they're
5	peeling off a bit.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	MR. GUZZO: Bad timing.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: If they think they might get
9	a cabinet appointment, they're not interested. Is that
10	what you're saying essentially?
11	MR. GUZZO: Well, you know, you're not if
12	you you know if you're on the wrong side, you're not
13	going to help yourself.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	So what else are you saying here? You've
16	got "November '02"; is it "staffer"?
17	MR. GUZZO: I had this staffer from the
18	Attorney General's office. I have I have received some
19	information, and I've let it be known in Caucus and some
20	people are very upset that I have this information. It has
21	to do with positioning with regard to the lawsuit that is
22	coming forward, I think, against the probation officers.
23	And it's assumed that somebody has leaked it to me, and I
24	have I have not friends in there, but there are people
25	around all the time supporting the Minister or from the

1	civil service, and I have become irlendly with them. I
2	might have a coffee with them, and people are now trying to
3	decide who's feeding me the information.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	So let me just a couple of questions. Do
6	you remember the name of the staffer from the Attorney
7	General's office?
8	MR. GUZZO: There were two ladies. I'm
9	talking to them in Queen's Park over a coffee when they
10	tell me, and I don't know that I knew their names. But I
11	knew them well because they're there all the time with the
12	Minister, you know.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Were they lawyers, sir, or
14	do you know?
15	MR. GUZZO: No, they're support workers for
16	the Minister, I think.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	And what is said in your note, the quote?
19	MR. GUZZO: It says:
20	"They're going to whack people who want
21	to do the right thing, and they do not
22	know who gave you the information and
23	they have guessed wrongly. The wrong
24	people are paying a price."
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And what is the information?

1	Can you at least help us with that?
2	MR. GUZZO: Well, I have the information
3	concerns a second report that is available with regard to
4	when the government knew of allegations against employees.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Against so probation
6	officers?
7	MR. GUZZO: Correction employees, yeah.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	Do you recall what the information was, in
10	what form?
11	MR. GUZZO: Well, just that the report
12	existed. I'm having it confirmed to me that there's a
13	report that exists that's very damaging and
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. This is an internal
15	report from the Corrections Ministry?
16	MR. GUZZO: I believe it to be a Cabinet
17	minute.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
19	MR. GUZZO: I believe it to be a Cabinet
20	minute.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	That confirmed the existence of a report?
23	MR. GUZZO: An additional report to the
24	Sirrs Report as to when and what the Government of Ontario
25	knew. And this is mind you, this is not our government.

1	This is the government at the time the report was made.
2	Whether it was the immediate government before ours or the
3	one before that, I don't know. I mean, but
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you ever get a copy of
5	that report, sir?
6	MR. GUZZO: No, I did not.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you ever know the name
8	of that report?
9	MR. GUZZO: Well, you know, I over quite
10	frankly, I overheard something. That's how the information
11	comes to me and I hear the name "Shore" or "Store" and
12	that's, I think I think that's the name of the reporter,
13	that's how they're identifying it.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	You didn't hear the name Downing?
16	MR. GUZZO: No, I don't think so.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	So you also have, sir, at the bottom,
19	"February '03 - telephone conversation" I'm not sure of
20	the rest of the line. Can you tell us what that says?
21	MR. GUZZO: "With E.E." Ernie Eves.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: "Re: coming election"
23	MR. GUZZO: Right.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: What does it say? "Commits
25	to"

1	THE COMMISSIONER: "Comments" or "Commits"?
2	MR. GUZZO: No. He commits;
3	"commits to call an inquiry after the
4	election. Admits there is a problem."
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	So do you recall having some discussions
7	with Mr. Eves in or around February of 2003?
8	MR. GUZZO: I had right after he assumes
9	the leadership, becomes Premier I have some discussions
10	with him on numerous things, and this would have been one
11	of them. And then in February '03, we have this lengthy
12	telephone discussion.
13	I don't know why he calls me at this time.
14	The House is not sitting. I'm at home. He gets me at home
15	and we start going over numerous issues, numerous problems
16	He wants to know if I'm going to run again. He wants, you
17	know
18	MR. ENGELMANN: What, if any, commitment
19	does he give you with respect to the Inquiry, or an
20	inquiry?
21	MR. GUZZO: He tells me, you know like, I'm
22	an easy guy to deal with because he's already promised the
23	Attorney General's job to five people. He's already
24	promised and I'm not looking for anything. I'm telling
25	him, you know, I tell him what I want. I said, "You know,

1	you know I've been working on the thing, I want you know
2	better than most people. You know better than most people
3	
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
5	MR. GUZZO: about this because you've
6	got sources up where you come from in your riding and other
7	sources that you know what's happened."
8	And so he commits we're talking about a
9	new congress centre in Ottawa that should have started
10	three years ago and two years before this and that we
11	haven't been pushing. We haven't been doing anything
12	because we've got a running fight with the mayor, who is my
13	former law partner, who is a former Member for Ottawa West,
14	Nepean. You know, so
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Let's just stick to
16	Cornwall issues then.
17	MR. GUZZO: Well, that
18	MR. ENGELMANN: So do you get a commitment
19	or not from him?
20	MR. GUZZO: I feel I have a commitment. He
21	says, "After the election, we'll do it."
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And in or around
23	May of that year, this is before the election, I understand
24	that you it was first reading of a further bill, Bill
25	45?

1	MR. GUZZO: I believe you're correct.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And that again later in May
3	it was carried to second reading and ordered for third
4	reading?
5	MR. GUZZO: Yes. That's right.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: In fact, the second reading
7	was about a 57 to zero (0).
8	MR. GUZZO: That's correct. He's not as
9	Premier, he's not whipping anybody from Cabinet to come in
10	and, you know, you're free to come in. And Cabinet
11	ministers who refused to vote or couldn't vote for it, but
12	come in and vote for it.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And, sir, then
14	there was an election. There was never, your Bill never
15	passed.
16	MR. GUZZO: Never called for a third
17	reading. The elections called and
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And you were
19	aware, sir, and I think you've told us about this, after
20	you were not re-elected in 2003, you still carried on an
21	interest in seeing that an inquiry was called.
22	MR. GUZZO: Well, I
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Maybe that's too strong a
24	word. You followed the progress of
25	MR. GUZZO: I did, and I had some

1	communications with people. And when I was contacted by
2	some of the citizens' group in the fall to go down to
3	Toronto, they were having a bus from Cornwall go down. Mr.
4	Cleary calls me and he's retired, didn't run, and he's
5	going to go down and he wants to know if I would go with
6	him. I arranged some other business there, and I meet them
7	down there, and I go to the Legislature the day that the
8	Premier announced that he would do it.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So, sir, I
10	believe the the Order in Council for this Inquiry was
11	April 14 th , 2005?
12	MR. GUZZO: I believe that's correct.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And you had first been
14	contacted about matters here in Cornwall in late 1995 by
15	Mr. Duncan MacDonald?
16	MR. GUZZO: That's my recollection, yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: So in those approximately
18	ten years, can you give us some sense as to the number of
19	people who would have contacted you, either in person, by
20	phone or otherwise, concerning issues here in Cornwall?
21	MR. GUZZO: Well, counting everybody who
22	stopped me in a drugstore or the bank and said, "Look, you
23	know, I have this recollection of a situation" I have
24	estimated to be approximately 90 people.
25	And let me just add to that, that I have no

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	recollection of the number since I have been out of but
2	I did say when we were in camera the other day about an
3	incident at the Negev dinner October 28^{th} in Ottawa, where
4	one of the waiters came out of line, and I've had a couple
5	you know, since the publicity around this, I've had
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry, sir, but when you say
7	90 people then are you talking about 90 people in total or
8	are you talking about 90 people who alleged to have been
9	victims?
10	MR. GUZZO: I would say 90 I'm talking
11	about people who have made allegations that they have been

about people who have made allegations that they have been victimized in some way.

MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I know you've had trouble remembering some of the names of people that you've met over time, and I realize that some of these dates are some time ago, but I've asked you or my office has asked you if you could think about some of those names you couldn't come up with in the past. For example, names of an OPP officer that you referred to, a fellow being of Italian descent from Ottawa. You also referred to a couple Members of the Legislature who, one of whom was married to an OPP officer. You referred to a woman here in Cornwall who was involved with one of the citizens' groups who gave you information from time to time.

I am wondering, sir, if you've been able to

1	give some thought to some of those names, whether you can
2	help us out?
3	MR. GUZZO: Well, the OPP officer with whom
4	I had that round of golf that day, I want to say Priestey
5	or Prisey, or something like that. I have this but I
6	can't recall.
7	The lady who was circulating the information
8	from the citizens' group, the name Eleanor comes to me. I
9	don't know that I
10	MR. ENGELMANN: First name Eleanor?
11	MR. GUZZO: Eleanor.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that a first name or a
13	last name?
14	MR. GUZZO: Yes, yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember the two
16	Members of the Legislature, I think they approached you
17	after you made a comment about the OPP investigation either
18	being incompetent or being a cover-up?
19	MR. GUZZO: Well
20	MR. ENGELMANN: I think you said they were
21	pretty firm about saying, "Look, this isn't incompetence."
22	MR. GUZZO: The man who sat beside me in the
23	first term was a lawyer from up around Bracebridge, north
24	of Barrie, by the name of Grimmitt, G-R-I-M-M-I-T-T. He
25	carried on a law practice up there and his wife was an OPP

1	officer.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	MR. GUZZO: Bill Grimmitt was his name.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, he's one of the two
5	Members of the Legislature who approached you?
6	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: I know there were other
8	names that we asked about; thought of any other names that
9	come to mind?
10	MR. GUZZO: I'm sorry, I'm sorry, it's been
11	a long road and
12	MR. ENGELMANN: That's fine. Mr. Guzzo, I
13	wanted to ask you what I've asked all witnesses that have
14	come before, and that is, as a witness at the Cornwall
15	Public Inquiry, if you have some recommendations or
16	suggestions for us. You know what we're doing here. We're
17	looking at institutional response, allegations of
18	historical abuse against young persons here in the Cornwall
19	area. The Commissioner will write a report at the end of
20	this Inquiry that hopefully will be useful not just for
21	Cornwall but for the province, and I wonder if you have
22	some recommendations or suggestions for us. If you want to
23	think about that over lunch, that might be appropriate, but
24	
25	MR. GUZZO: How long do I have to reply?

1	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
2	MR. GUZZO: That might be helpful if I had -
3	
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, so why don't we
5	come back at two o'clock. We're sitting until 3:00 though.
6	Thank you.
7	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
8	veuillez vous lever.
9	This hearing will resume at 2:00 p.m.
10	Upon recessing at 12:37 p.m./
11	L'audience est suspendue à 12h37
12	Upon resuming at 2:03 p.m./
13	L'audience est reprise à 14h03
14	THE REGISTRAR: This hearing is now resumed.
15	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Good afternoon, Mr.
17	Engelmann, Mr. Guzzo.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Commissioner, just
19	before I ask Mr. Guzzo for answers to questions I left with
20	him just before lunch, I just wanted to say as a result of
21	Mr. Chisholm's statement that he gave to the Inquiry first
22	thing this morning
23	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: I thought it prudent to
25	write a letter to his counsel. So I wrote a letter to Mr.

1	Horn and Mr. Paul that went out early, shortly after Mr.
2	Chisholm spoke with us.
3	It reads as follows:
4	"Further to Mr. Carson Chisholm's
5	statement before the Commission this
6	morning, we understand that the
7	Coalition for Action no longer wishes
8	to have standing and funding at the
9	Cornwall Public Inquiry. Although he
10	stated this publicly, he did so without
11	counsel and, thus, please confirm same
12	in writing."
13	I wanted to ensure that in fact his counsel
14	were aware and that that is in fact the position of the
15	Coalition.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And I said:
18	"If this is the case, please ensure
19	that all Commission materials provided
20	to you are returned to the Commission
21	in full as soon as practicable."
22	Counsel for the Coalition, just like counsel
23	for any of the parties before this Inquiry, have been given
24	external hard drives with many, many thousands of
25	documents, some of which are highly sensitive and

1	confidential, and so we have strict undertakings on counsel
2	and we've asked that if, in fact, that is the position of
3	the Coalition, that these materials be returned forthwith.
4	And I understand that one of my colleagues
5	had a discussion with Mr. Horn and that Mr. Horn and Mr.
6	Paul are actually meeting with Mr. Chisholm tomorrow and
7	that I will have a response to this letter on Monday, just
8	to confirm that this is in fact their position.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: So we'll know on Monday.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Good. Thank you very
12	much.
13	GARRY GUZZO, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
14	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
15	<pre>ENGELMANN (cont'd/suite):</pre>
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Guzzo, just before lunch
17	we I had asked you and left you with the thought of
18	giving us some recommendations and/or suggestions, and/or
19	if there are matters that you think are of particular
20	import for this Inquiry to examine or to answer, we'd like
21	to hear from you on that, sir.
22	MR. GUZZO: Well, thank you very much and
23	thank you, Mr. Commissioner. I appreciate the opportunity.
24	I've scribbled down a few notes here over lunch.
25	I started out asking questions. I heard

things. I started and I think by and large over the
years in government, all I ever did was ask questions, and
I think they were the position was outlined in my letter
of May 14^{th} , '02 with regard to Bill 48, and in that letter
I asked on page 2 and 3, I asked 19 questions and I
attached thereto copies of a letter I had sent out for the
prior bill of October $18^{\rm th}$, '01, pages 4 and 5, where there
were another 13 questions which overlapped. And those
letters formed the basis of a chart that one of the
citizens' groups put together with a list of 20 questions.
I added a $21^{\rm st}$ question to that list after
the inquiry was called, but the case with regard to a
number of class action, I think it was, against the
Government of Ontario vis-à-vis probation officers was
settled with a gag order, each one signing a gag order.
And I asked the $21^{\rm st}$ question as to why the government, the
Premier, the Attorney General, who were so supportive in
opposition of my three bills, very, very supportive, why
they would impose a gag order on these 23 people who are
now settling with the Ontario government when they had
hired you to get to the bottom of the issue.
Some of them not all, I do not believe
but some were interpreting that gag order to be a reason

I want to acknowledge -- say that, you know,

not to come and testify at this Commission.

1	there has been a tremendous amount of suffering herein. A
2	number of people have suffered. Victims and alleged
3	victims have suffered. Some of them have survived and some
4	have even flourished. Some have not. Not just victims
5	have suffered, not just victims. There have been others,
6	I'm sure, that have been unjustly charged and some that
7	have maybe been justly referred to and have suffered more
8	than they should.
9	This city has suffered. There's a cloud
10	hanging over this city, a city that has so much promise and
11	so much opportunity, and it failed to appreciate,
12	particularly during the years of the separatist crisis and
13	the separatist scare in Quebec, this was a logical
14	retirement location, beautiful waterfront, bilingual.
15	Other cities have prospered more while
16	offering no more or even less than what this city had to
17	offer.
18	All I would suggest to you is review the
19	questions and attempt to answer them, if you can. Let the
20	victims and the alleged victims know that they have been
21	heard.
22	I have asked these questions over and over
23	again. I have not received answers.
24	And let me explain too that during that
25	period of time, I mean, I have other files at Queen's Park.

1	I've got health problems, hospital problems in my riding,
2	education problems, Board trustees of education,
3	environmental protection issues. I've got two private
4	member's bills on amalgamation of municipalities in Ottawa,
5	reducing nine municipalities to one. Neither bill carries,
6	but after we're re-elected in '99, the government takes the
7	position and it's done.
8	Any time I have a problem with education,
9	the environment, whatever, municipal affairs, my bills not
10	going anywhere, I get an answer. I'm told to take my time;
11	we're going to do it this time or this place. "Yes, your
12	hospital has a grievance. It's not that we're trying to
13	hurt them. We've tried to help a couple of others in a
14	crisis situation and we're going to look after it." I
15	mean, they send a person to you. The Minister comes to you
16	directly and explains the situation or apologizes for the
17	situation.
18	This file is different, very, very
19	different, and this file is important because the
20	allegations that are being made involve the most vulnerable
21	people and the most fragile people that you would imagine.
22	I continue to ask the questions when I'm
23	stonewalled, and I come to the conclusion that everywhere I
24	look, the chain of command is being evaded or broken.
25	I mention the Cornwall Police and the notes

1	of the Deputy Police Chief, the comments of Sergeant
2	Lortie, where the Chief says, you know, "I've been ignored.
3	The criminal investigation, the youth investigation, this
4	has not come to pass our desks."
5	The Ottawa Police Department report, I went
6	on for months thinking that it had been a whitewash or what
7	had gone on until I was corrected by Ottawa Police officers
8	who said, "Get the report." I've been trying ever since.
9	I still haven't seen it, but you've got it and you're going
10	to see it and it's going to be helpful.
11	And then we get the December $24^{\rm th}$ news
12	release after an 11 or nine-month investigation, and then
13	onto Project Truth.
14	When I first contact the Attorney General
15	and the Solicitor General, they have no files. They know
16	nothing, and I believe them. I have to believe them. After
17	I write to Premier Harris, the same people, the same
18	Attorney General, the same Solicitor General put me on ice.
19	They're stonewalling me. They're not they don't want to
20	talk about it.
21	I get this call from Mr. Frechette, the
22	senior person in criminal prosecution investigation with
23	the OPP, he says, "I don't know what you're talking about.
24	Bring your file to Toronto. Meet me in Toronto; I'd like
25	to talk to you about this. I think you're wrong, Mr.

l	Guzzo. Boom, it's cancelled. It's okay now. We have
2	everything. Don't bother us."
3	Three days later I have the Assistant Deputy
4	Minister, now the Deputy Minister of the Attorney General,
5	he knows nothing. He's zero. He says, "You know more
6	about this than I do. Come to Toronto with your file.
7	Come and meet me." When I get there, before I get there,
8	he's left a message at my constituency office, "Don't call
9	me," and then "Call the police."
10	How many backbenchers did Mr. Segal call
11	that week? How many did he call at home? How many cabinet
12	ministers did he call at home? How about Mr. Frechette;
13	how many people in the House, members, did he call that
14	week, that month, that year at home? I don't know. How
15	many calls have I received from assistant deputy ministers
16	or deputy ministers or their equivalents over eight years
17	as a backbench member, zero, other than the ones I
18	recorded.
19	When it comes to the breakdown of the chain
20	of command, let me explain something to you. There is a
21	man sitting in opposition when we formed the government by
22	the name of Christopherson, David Christopherson. He is
23	the NDP member for Hamilton-East. He is now a federal
24	member for Hamilton. He served five years as the Solicitor
25	General in the Bob Rae government. And before I go too far

I	in advancing the bill, I go and talk to Mr. Christopherson,
2	and I explain to him that this does not look good in terms
3	of the Rae Government. It looks like it has been going on.
4	"You're the Solicitor General during that period of time.
5	There was a controversy in Cornwall with regard to a payout
6	and criminal charges being filed against the former Crown
7	Attorney, and we have the investigation in 1994, when you
8	people are in power, by the OPP into the Cornwall Police
9	performance."
10	He gives me a blank stare, and he says, "I
11	don't know what you are talking about. I have never seen a
12	file like that."
13	And I said, "You know, how can you be the
14	Solicitor General and not know about some of these things?"
15	And he says to me, "I probably have the same problem you're
16	having. It's being quarterbacked from a higher office."
17	Well, I said, "I might have that problem,
18	but you couldn't have that, surely, as a Cabinet Minister."
19	And he said, "Oh, yes, and many more."
20	The House Leader of the NDP is a man by the
21	name of Kormos, a practising lawyer in the Welland area for
22	many years. He is the former Attorney General in the Rae
23	government for a number of months, and I speak to him
24	because I'm looking for support from the NDP Caucus, and I
25	tell him about my discussion with Mr. Christopherson. He

was a Cabinet Minister for a short period of time only. He
explains it as saying, "It happened all the time in our
government. Matters of this nature would be handled from
the top and we wouldn't know."

These men have, I suppose, something to lose, nothing to gain. They strongly support every bill I put forward in this inquiry, and Mr. Kormos, to his credit, continued to support the call for an inquiry after the election of '93.

I look at the number of times that I have circulated my position. Every opportunity I got, I recirculated the statements and the positions that I was taking. I went to the minister responsible in each case, from time to time, and said, "Look, read this carefully now. I know it's lengthy and I know you've got a lot to read, but I'm saying this about this; and this about that police; and this about this senior bureaucrat. I'd circulate it to supporters in the Caucus, the entire Caucus, from time to time, and then when the bills come forward, I had circulated those positions to the entire House and, of course, they then become public.

No one has ever come to me and denied anything. No one has ever come to me and said, "You're wrong" as they did on a health matter maybe with my hospital, thinking they were being short-changed or the

1	Ottawa School Board, thinking they were not getting a fair
2	grant.
3	Nobody has ever come forward and I have gone
4	to the cabinet ministers representing the police. I'm
5	begging them for a denial. I did get one two and a half
6	years later from Mr. Segal. He objects indirectly. In
7	Caucus the Attorney General says, "Well, Mr. Segal doesn't
8	necessarily agree," two and a half years later. So I write
9	to him and it was in evidence. "Mr. Segal, tell me where
10	I'm wrong, and I'll correct it." And his reply is "Oh,
11	forget it. Forget it."
12	There are a number of issues that I don't
13	think I want to belabour but, you know, one of the ones
14	that cries out are the films.
15	On the 24^{th} of August, I do a television
16	program at 7:00 in the morning on Canada A.M. with, I
17	think, Detective Inspector Jim Miller. Jim Miller is his
18	name. I don't know what his title is. And in a CP release
19	about four days later that he must have spoken to the
20	press, Mr. Miller said, "Well, the tapes were destroyed."
21	He confirms the tapes were destroyed. He said they were no
22	longer needed.
23	Detective Inspector Pat Hall said to me when
24	I met him, he said, "Well, you cannot charge a dead man.
25	You can't charge a dead man. So they were of no more

1	value."
2	If these are commercial tapes, what value
3	were they in the first place? You can rent them down at
4	the corner store. You can go to the public library. We
5	had a major issue in Ottawa about children sitting next to
6	people watching these commercial tapes.
7	I have to question, and that's why I
8	continued to question, the destruction of the material.
9	I don't want to draw any conclusions. I'd
10	simply tell you that all I ever asked for was this Inquiry.
11	I am thankful that you are here.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
13	MR. GUZZO: I have no recommendations. I
14	think the thoroughness that you have demonstrated will
15	serve the city well, will serve the alleged victims and the
16	victims well, and I hope it will be of benefit to each and
17	every one of them.
18	I feel quite relieved that, as far as I'm
19	concerned, my job is over and I wish you well.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much, sir.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Thank you, Mr. Guzzo. A
22	number of the questions you've posed we're attempting to
23	answer and a number of the reports that you've asked for,
24	like the Sirrs Report, we now have in evidence. The report
25	by the Ottawa City Police will be in evidence, so a number

1	of those reports will be examined by this Inquiry.
2	I would like you now to answer any questions
3	my friends may have for you. They will identify themselves
4	and let you know who they represent as they put their
5	questions forward.
6	MR. GUZZO: Thank you.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Thank you.
8	MS. DALEY: Mr. Commissioner, with your
9	permission, given the hour and the fact that I couldn't
10	complete in any event and cannot be here on Monday, I am
11	going to allow Mr. Lee to play through, so to speak, and we
12	will take our position in the queue later on, if that's
13	acceptable?
14	THE COMMISSIONER: As long as it's
15	acceptable to the parties, that's fine.
16	Mr. Lee?
17	MR. LEE: Good afternoon, Mr. Commissioner.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, sir. So you
19	understand that we have to finish at three o'clock, so if
20	you are not finished, we will continue on Monday at 1:00.
21	MR. LEE: I am going to try very hard to
22	finish. I was wanted to make a comment about what Mr.
23	Guzzo said a moment ago.
24	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. LEE:
25	MR. LEE: Mr. Guzzo, my name is Dallas Lee.

1	I am counsel for the Victims Group.
2	I most certainly would not have finished, had you not just
3	given us some of your thoughts in the way that you did. I
4	think you've addressed many of the questions I had for you,
5	to be honest with you, and I am going to try to slash and
6	burn my cross-examination as I go here, with in mind what
7	your comments were.
8	Sir, this is your fifth day here at the
9	Inquiry?
10	MR. GUZZO: I believe it is, yes.
11	MR. LEE: There is a lot of info so far, and
12	I have several issues that I want to ask you about. I am
13	going to do my best to give some context for the areas I'm
14	talking about, but at the same time, I am going to try very
15	hard not to unnecessarily go over areas you've already
16	covered. So bear with me a little bit as we go here
17	please.
18	MR. GUZZO: Thank you.
19	MR. LEE: I want to start with you at the
20	beginning of your involvement in Cornwall, and you've told
21	us that that, to be best of your recollection, begins with
22	a call you received from Duncan MacDonald in late 1995. Is

24 MR. GUZZO: That's the best of my

25 recollection.

23

that right?

1	MR. LEE: And your recollection is that you
2	didn't really know anything about what was going on in
3	Cornwall with any of these issues before that time?
4	MR. GUZZO: I don't think I had even heard
5	rumours.
6	MR. LEE: And what you told us during your
7	examination in-chief is that Duncan MacDonald told you a
8	little bit about the settlement between David Silmser and
9	Father MacDonald and the Diocese and, specifically, you've
10	told us in-chief that Duncan MacDonald told you that that
11	was really bothering him. Is that right?
12	MR. GUZZO: That's my recollection.
13	MR. LEE: Your evidence in-chief was that he
14	said it was resonating with him because of things he was
15	hearing. Do you recall that?
16	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
17	MR. LEE: And he would have described that
18	settlement at least a little bit to you?
19	MR. GUZZO: He did.
20	MR. LEE: And you told us during your
21	examination in-chief that his concern seemed to be focused
22	on the Church's involvement in that settlement. Is that
23	right?
24	MR. GUZZO: That was the impression I had,
25	yes.

1	MR. LEE: And you know now that the
2	settlement with Silmser was made in September of 1993?
3	MR. GUZZO: I do, yeah.
4	MR. LEE: And it was well, no, I don't
5	think the settlement Perry Dunlop got his hands on it in
6	September of '93.
7	MR. GUZZO: I see, right.
8	MR. LEE: And it was in the media a few
9	months after that. You understand that now?
10	MR. GUZZO: I do.
11	MR. LEE: So by the time you talked to
12	Duncan MacDonald in late 1995, it's a good two years since
13	this story broke; is that right?
14	MR. GUZZO: I gather it is.
15	MR. LEE: Did you ask him at that time why
16	he was still thinking about a two-year old settlement?
17	MR. GUZZO: I don't believe I did.
18	MR. LEE: Did he tell you that he personally
19	had information about that settlement?
20	MR. GUZZO: I don't know whether he told me
21	that or not but it appeared to me that he did have.
22	MR. LEE: He seemed to know about it?
23	MR. GUZZO: I think so, yes.
24	MR. LEE: So you've told us what happens
25	with Mr. MacDonald when he asked you to meet with a couple

1	of people and you do that. What subsequent contact did you
2	have with Duncan MacDonald?
3	MR. GUZZO: I seem to I may have spoken
4	to him once again on the phone and I think I I'm in his
5	company. I don't say I met with him to discuss anything
6	but I'm in his company here in Cornwall at a function or
7	two.
8	MR. LEE: You think you may have had a phone
9	call with him, did you just say?
10	MR. GUZZO: I may have had another phone
11	call with him. I may have called him back.
12	MR. LEE: Do you recall any meetings with
13	him to deal with these issues specifically?
14	MR. GUZZO: No, not to deal with these
15	issues specifically, I don't think.
16	MR. LEE: Any correspondence between the two
17	of you about these issues that you can recall?
18	MR. GUZZO: No, no.
19	MR. LEE: Did he ever, at any point that you
20	can recall, come back to you for information for an update
21	on what was happening? Did he keep himself involved in
22	this or did he just tell you a story and go on his way?
23	MR. GUZZO: I don't recall him calling me
24	for an update or to know what was going on or what it was -
25	- what I was doing or anything like that.

1	MR. LEE: And you recall seeing him around
2	you think at events in Cornwall, charity events, things
3	like that?
4	MR. GUZZO: Yes, legal groupings.
5	MR. LEE: Am I right that at the outset of
6	this, your involvement in these matters, you're not fully
7	immersed in this thing?
8	MR. GUZZO: No, anything but.
9	MR. LEE: You've told us that after the call
10	from Duncan MacDonald, you meet with a few people.
11	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
12	MR. LEE: So time goes by and you meet with
13	a few more people?
14	MR. GUZZO: Yes, it's not it's certainly
15	not on my, you know, agenda.
16	MR. LEE: Then you told us about in November
17	of 1996 about the Laurencrest dinner.
18	MR. GUZZO: I believe it was '96, yes.
19	MR. LEE: And you told us about the business
20	leaders you had met with and some victims of abuse and
21	things of that nature.
22	By that time, sir, had you started to go
23	back and read some of the media reports of what had gone on
24	in Cornwall?
25	MR. GUZZO: I don't recall. I don't think I

had but I don't really recall.

1	nad but I don't really recall.
2	MR. LEE: So you're getting a lot of your
3	information from these people you're meeting with, people
4	you're speaking with?
5	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
6	MR. LEE: My impression of your evidence was
7	that the Laurencrest well, the meeting surrounding the
8	Laurencrest dinner that that night of the dinner, the
9	breakfast the next morning, was a little bit of a turning
10	point in terms of your interest in these matters. Is that
11	fair?
12	MR. GUZZO: I think it's probably accurate.
13	MR. LEE: And I think a big part of that, as
14	I took your evidence, was that these people you had met
15	with the night of the dinner had impressed you as being
16	credible people. Is that fair?
17	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I think that's fair.
18	MR. LEE: And as I understand it, they're
19	telling you about problems at the Ministry of Corrections.
20	MR. GUZZO: That's my recollection.
21	MR. LEE: And the Ministry of Corrections,
22	of course, is part of the Government of Ontario.
23	MR. GUZZO: Right.
24	MR. LEE: Of which you're a member; so
25	that's particularly concerning to you, I take it?

September of '93.

1	MR. GUZZO: Well, it's the government
2	aspect of it interested me, concerned me more than anything
3	to do with the Church.
4	MR. LEE: You're part of the government?
5	MR. GUZZO: Right.
6	MR. LEE: And was it your understanding that
7	the reason these matters were brought to your attention in
8	the first place by Duncan MacDonald was because you were a
9	member of the government?
10	MR. GUZZO: Right, newly elected newly
11	elected government, newly elected member.
12	MR. LEE: I think you told us on that phone
13	call part of the discussion was Mr. MacDonald offering his
14	congratulations.
15	MR. GUZZO: Right.
16	MR. LEE: And I understand by this point you
17	had met with some victims of abuse and am I right to say
18	that they had expressed some concern about the police?
19	MR. GUZZO: I think by November of '96, I am
20	I have some some concern about police work, yes.
21	MR. LEE: So by the end of '96, a number of
22	things have happened in Cornwall that we're still talking
23	about today. We have the original Silmser complaint in
24	December of '92. We have the Silmser settlement in

131

1	MR. GUZZO: Right.
2	MR. LEE: And that's the one that contains a
3	clause that civil and criminal proceedings are going to be
4	dropped. We have Dunlop's disclosure to the Children's Aid
5	Society.
6	MR. GUZZO: Right.
7	MR. LEE: Ken Seguin has killed himself in
8	November of '93. We have Constable Dunlop being before a
9	Board of Inquiry in September of '94; the decision in
10	January of '95, an appeal, a decision on that. All of that
11	has happened before December of '95. Is that right, sir?
12	MR. GUZZO: I think you're right, yes.
13	MR. LEE: We have the Ottawa Police
14	investigation that you've talked to us about.
15	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
16	MR. LEE: We have the OPP investigation and
17	the press release that you have concerns about.
18	MR. GUZZO: Right.
19	MR. LEE: We have Malcolm MacDonald being
20	charged with obstruct justice in February of '95.
21	MR. GUZZO: Right.
22	MR. LEE: And you were becoming familiar
23	with these aspects of the story as you went along during
24	this period; is that fair?
25	MR. GUZZO: Well, some of it I was but you

1	know, I'm not I'm not reading the <u>Standard Freeholder</u>
2	and the Ottawa press isn't carrying a lot of but I do
3	hear about about Malcolm's problem. I mean lawyers in
4	Ottawa are talking about that and I'm picking up on that,
5	things like that. But, I mean, as far as a probation
6	officer dying, Mr. Seguin, I don't know when I learned
7	that.
8	MR. LEE: You can't sit here today and go
9	through that list I just gave you and tell us when you
10	heard each of those things.
11	MR. GUZZO: I can't, no.
12	MR. LEE: But you're talking and you're
13	dealing with lawyers from Cornwall and victims from
14	Cornwall and citizens for Cornwall during this period?
15	MR. GUZZO: Some, but, you know, not the
16	flood I saw later, but some, yes.
17	MR. LEE: No, certainly these are the early
18	stages and you're not nearly as immersed in these matters
19	as you've become; is that fair?
20	MR. GUZZO: That's fair.
21	MR. LEE: I want to ask about your initial
22	impressions of what you're hearing about Cornwall. You
23	start meeting with and I don't mean necessarily the
24	first victim you ever meet with from Cornwall, but

133

generally as you're getting into this thing in the first

1	couple of years of people coming to you and of you asking a
2	few questions, was it your impression that there was some
3	ring of truth to what you were being told by the victims of
4	abuse or the alleged victims of abuse that are coming to
5	you?
6	MR. GUZZO: Not initially, no. I don't
7	think that I was I was questioning this what I
8	hearing, how it could be accurate.
9	MR. LEE: And why was that?
10	MR. GUZZO: Well, I sat down here as a
11	judge. I did a little work as an attorney down here and
12	I've I'm coming down to this Laurencrest dinner every
13	year, making it a point to come down because the judges in
14	Ottawa are quite impressed with some of the work we're
15	seeing down here through this group home. And I'm
16	questioning you know, I'm listening but I'm questioning
17	in the early stages.
18	MR. LEE: Does that eventually change?
19	MR. GUZZO: At some point in time it did.
20	MR. LEE: Can you turn up Exhibit 983?
21	That's your it should be in a binder there and that's
22	your first letter to Premier Harris, the September 18, '98
23	letter.
24	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
25	MR. LEE: Would you look at the last page,

1	please, sir.
2	Sir, are you on the last page, Mr. Guzzo?
3	MR. GUZZO: I am.
4	MR. LEE: If you look at the top paragraph
5	on that page, the first full sentence on the page reads:
6	"In my time on the Bench, I was forced
7	on a daily basis to decide who was
8	lying and who was telling the truth.
9	And I have listened intently to some of
10	the people who made those affidavits
11	and signed those depositions and I can
12	tell you directly that they are all not
13	lying."
14	Have I read that accurately?
15	MR. GUZZO: Yes, you have.
16	MR. LEE: The next paragraph begins:
17	"As I look at all the evidence, I am
18	satisfied that something is dreadfully
19	out of joint."
20	And then the final sentence of that
21	paragraph:
22	"However, I must tell you that I am
23	satisfied that some of these
24	allegations are truthful and accurate
25	and that the individuals named herein

1	are probably continuing the same type
2	of behaviour in 1998."
3	By this time, sir, based on what you're
4	writing, you've clearly met with people that you found to
5	be credible?
6	MR. GUZZO: By that time, I have, yes.
7	MR. LEE: You've heard enough that as you
8	put it here, you're satisfied that something is dreadfully
9	out of joint?
10	MR. GUZZO: Correct.
11	MR. LEE: You've heard enough that your
12	initial reservations and your initial notions of what could
13	possibly be happening in Cornwall have changed.
14	MR. GUZZO: What could possibly be
15	happening, yes. I have, you're right.
16	MR. LEE: Can you help me understand what
17	you were hearing from these people that were contacting
18	you, the people you were meeting with? What were they
19	saying to you about what was going on in Cornwall that they
20	were concerned about?
21	MR. GUZZO: The ones that I recollect are
22	telling me that there have been allegations of molestation,
23	child sexual abuse, that when you attend these people
24	would attend at the police station, they were not they
25	were dismissed in a cavalier manner, and there was no

1	satisfaction in the minds of these people that they had
2	been taken seriously or that an investigation was taking
3	place.
4	MR. LEE: So what you're hearing from these
5	people, by your paraphrases, is that they have concerns
6	about their public institutions.
7	MR. GUZZO: I think that's fair.
8	MR. LEE: And I want to be clear here.
9	That's the impression you got from people from Cornwall?
10	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I think almost, almost
11	other than my concerns that when I bring it up with the AG
12	and the Solicitor General, they seem to know nothing.
13	There's no active file or anything like that.
14	MR. LEE: I understand that, at points,
15	there are people from other areas that are expressing
16	concerns about the situation in Cornwall, but initially you
17	were receiving complaints from people who have complaints
18	about Cornwall because they live here. They've been
19	through these situations and it's their own complaints that
20	they're bringing forward to you?
21	MR. GUZZO: That's my recollection.
22	MR. LEE: Sir, one of the things that I've
23	been wondering about and I have talked to my clients a
24	little bit about it, and one of the questions I have been
25	asked by a few of my clients to put to you, is why do you

1	get involved in any of this? What was it that you were
2	hearing or what was it that you saw that made an MPP from
3	Ottawa get involved in these things?
4	MR. GUZZO: Well, I have asked myself a few
5	times. I've asked myself a few times. I've talked to some
6	people down here whose opinion I accept and believe. And
7	it seems to me that I'm knowledgeable about a number of
8	other things that I've read about in the involving the
9	Catholic Church, and I'm concerned that we have a problem
10	here in Canada. I have read some things in Canada as well.
11	I take I think Duncan's comment to me,
12	"You know, you're a new government and you should do this."
13	I don't take it in the first instance, but it's in the back
14	of my mind as things progress that this is a government
15	responsibility and should be looked at.
16	MR. LEE: Did you ever think to yourself it
17	was none of your business?
18	MR. GUZZO: Yeah, and I was told that by
19	people, by I think the local member.
20	MR. LEE: Mr. Villeneuve, I take it?
21	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
22	MR. LEE: And yet, you became involved
23	anyways.
24	MR. GUZZO: I did.
25	MR. LEE: Was it the severity of the

1	allegations and what you were hearing that got you
2	involved, sir?
3	MR. GUZZO: Well, it was to some extent, but
4	a couple I think a couple of people had described some
5	rather brutal situations. There were a number over the
6	years who alleged advances being made and rejection being
7	accepted. They but there were some brutal descriptions
8	given to me, yes.
9	MR. LEE: These were serious issues.
10	MR. GUZZO: Very serious.
11	MR. LEE: So if we can fast-forward through
12	a couple of years when you're dealing with this, back to
13	the letter to Premier Harris on the screen here, the
14	September 18, '98 letter.
15	You told us in-chief you had already made
16	efforts to get some answers prior to writing this letter.
17	MR. GUZZO: I had raised it with the key
18	Cabinet ministers, yes.
19	MR. LEE: You had been working behind the
20	scenes?
21	MR. GUZZO: Quietly.
22	MR. LEE: And that wasn't getting you
23	anywhere?
24	MR. GUZZO: Well, quite frankly, I believed
25	both of them, when they looked at me and said, "I don't

1	know what you're talking about. I don't think there's
2	anything going on. Whatever was going on is over with."
3	And obviously they said, "What's Noble have to say? He's a
4	member down there, he's a Cabinet minister." And Noble, as
5	I recollect, Noble's first reaction to me was this was a
6	problem, wasn't unique to Cornwall and that some rabble-
7	rousers were trying to cause problems for the Church and
8	-
9	MR. LEE: By the time this letter to Premier
10	Harris, you've clearly come to the conclusion that the AG
11	and the Solicitor General are wrong, when they say there's
12	nothing wrong in Cornwall. Is that fair to say?
13	MR. GUZZO: I think, yes, I think they're
14	wrong, and I think they simply do not know. At least, one
15	of them, Mr. Runciman, has said he's asked some questions
16	and nothing's amiss.
17	MR. LEE: And the letter to Harris doesn't
18	get you any answers, does it?
19	MR. GUZZO: It's ignored.
20	MR. LEE: At some point, sir, was the
21	trouble that you were facing in getting any answers out of
22	your own government, feeding the idea of a cover-up in your
23	own mind?
24	MR. GUZZO: Not at this point when I sent

that first letter, but I think I said a pivotal time was

1	the letter of April 3 rd , '99 to the Chief of Staff of
2	MR. LEE: Mr. McLaughlin.
3	MR. GUZZO: Mr. McLaughlin. And at that
4	point in time, I would answer yes to your question.
5	MR. LEE: And the trouble you're having, I
6	take it, makes everything you've heard up to that point
7	seem more plausible?
8	MR. GUZZO: More?
9	MR. LEE: Plausible.
10	MR. GUZZO: That's a fair statement.
11	MR. LEE: Let's look at that letter to
12	McLaughlin of April 3, '99, sir. It's Exhibit 985.
13	Do you have that, sir?
14	MR. GUZZO: I have it.
15	MR. LEE: Can you look at the last page?
16	And if you look at the third paragraph on the page, it
17	reads:
18	"I do not need any more calls from
19	friends in my church, in my profession,
20	in my party or in my government
21	explaining how embarrassing this
22	situation will be. I appreciate just
23	how difficult and how embarrassing it
24	might be. I have seen some of the
25	names referred to in the affidavits, in

1	witness statements, and certainly hope
2	that they are not guilty. However, I
3	am not going to make that decision.
4	You, sir, are not going to make that
5	decision either. That decision is
6	going to be made by people in authority
7	who take a professional and honest view
8	of the evidence, all of the evidence.
9	So far, that has not been done."
10	Do you see that, sir?
11	MR. GUZZO: I do.
12	MR. LEE: And that's what you're asking for
13	at this point?
14	MR. GUZZO: Well, yeah, what I'm asking for
15	is I want to be assured I want to be assured that
16	there's nothing more nothing that this government should
17	be doing and we're doing everything that we should be doing
18	surrounding these circumstances.
19	MR. LEE: And if you can turn back to page
20	5, sir, the third-last paragraph. And we get some insight
21	on a question I asked you a moment ago about why you.
22	You're writing about parents that would come to see you and
23	you say at the end of the fourth line:
24	"These people have asked me for my help
25	in light of the fact that I am a

143

2 Ontario Legislature. They look upon me 3 as a person who took an oath of office 4 when I was sworn in to this position. 5 They look upon me as a lawyer who took 6 an oath when I was called to the bar of 7 this province in 1969 and, quite 8 frankly, sir, they expect me to do 9 something to protect their child and 10 other children in Cornwall who are 11 still being exposed to people that have 12 access to children on a daily basis and have been named in the documentation 13 14 that was served on our government, two 15 separate departments, on April 7, 1997." 16 17 Do you see that, sir? 18 MR. GUZZO: I do. 19 MR. LEE: And is that an accurate statement 20 of how these people appeared to view you? MR. GUZZO: Well, I think some did. I am 21 22 sure others had a different view. 23 MR. LEE: But you were -- some of these 24 people were approaching you because of who you were, a 25 lawyer, a judge, an MPP; isn't that fair?

1	MR. GUZZO: I wouldn't put them in that
2	order. I would put MPP first. I thought, anyway.
3	MR. LEE: These people came to you well,
4	tell me, was it your impression these people were coming to
5	you because they thought you would be somebody who could
6	get answers?
7	MR. GUZZO: I would think starting with
8	Mr. MacDonald, I think that would be fair. I think
9	probably others also.
10	MR. LEE: And you told us today in the last
11	part of your evidence in-chief that you still don't have
12	answers. Is that right?
13	MR. GUZZO: That's correct.
14	MR. LEE: If I could just have a moment,
15	sir?
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Certainly.
17	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
18	MR. LEE: Mr. Guzzo, you'd agree with me
19	that your primary concern throughout your involvements
20	seems to be the adequacy of the police investigations in
21	Cornwall?
22	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I think that's the major
23	concern.
24	MR. LEE: You focus on those investigations
25	over and over in your correspondence and your dealings with

police?

1	the media?
2	MR. GUZZO: Right.
3	MR. LEE: Your private member bills deal
4	with those; is that right?
5	MR. GUZZO: Right.
6	MR. LEE: And you've told us that people of
7	Cornwall are telling you that they have concerns about
8	those institutions as well?
9	MR. GUZZO: That's correct.
10	MR. LEE: And, sir, I submit to you that
11	whether the institutions are actually corrupt or not, it's
12	a huge problem for you that you have all these people
13	coming to you to say that they perceive them to be so.
14	Would you agree with that?
15	MR. GUZZO: Problem for me? It's causing me
16	some concern, yes.
17	MR. LEE: I mean, when we're talking about
18	the police, it's not just about the impropriety itself;
19	it's about the appearance of impropriety in the eyes of the
20	people who are supposed to be able to rely on the police.
21	Would you agree with that?
22	MR. GUZZO: I would agree with that.
23	MR. LEE: And you would have understood that
24	the people of this city needed to have confidence in their

1	MR. GUZZO: I would.
2	MR. LEE: And you would have understood,
3	from what you were hearing, that that wasn't the case?
4	MR. GUZZO: Certainly in some sections.
5	MR. LEE: And you recall specifically
6	hearing that from people, don't you, that they didn't trust
7	the police in Cornwall?
8	MR. GUZZO: There were as to the timing,
9	I don't but over the years, yes, when I would say to a
10	person, "Well, you have to go back and if you haven't gone
11	to the Cornwall Police, you should go back and make the
12	even though it's 15 years, even though it's 22 years, go
13	back and go on the record." And I heard, you know, "Well,
14	so and so went there and they weren't well received," or "I
15	was there 22 years ago and I don't know whether they filled
16	out a report or not, but I went there and they dismissed
17	me," or something of that nature.
18	MR. LEE: I think you described that in-
19	chief as the built-in reaction of these people, as you saw
20	it?
21	MR. GUZZO: Yes, I think that's a fair and
22	accurate description.
23	MR. LEE: Sir, I expect that when some of my
24	friends get up to cross-examine you, you're going to be

asked a lot about some of the ways that you went about

1	trying to get answers and in calling for a public inquiry.
2	You would agree with me that you, at some
3	point, broke ranks with your party? You were very publicly
4	challenging the Premier of the province, who was a member
5	of your party?
6	MR. GUZZO: Well, let me put it this way. I
7	defy anybody that we ran on a program that we put
8	forward in '94 called the Common Sense Revolution, and I
9	would defy anybody, starting with Premier Harris and any
10	constituent of any of the ridings I served, to show me
11	where I broke ranks on the Common Sense Revolution.
12	As a matter of fact, there were times when
13	Mr. Harris started to get a little jelly-fishy, coming up
14	to the election of '99 where he was backing away from it
15	when I was stronger in support of it.
16	But let me tell you this; you go through
17	that document and you don't find anything therein about
18	this type of thing in Cornwall, Ottawa, North Bay, Sudbury,
19	Windsor, Hamilton, anyplace.
20	So when I'm taking a different position on
21	this, I take exception to someone suggesting that I'm
22	breaking ranks.
23	However, we did have some altercations.
24	MR. LEE: You had some differences?
25	MR. GUZZO: Oh, yes.

1	MR. LEE: And, sir, as I said, I don't
2	intend to go through it with you. You went through it in-
3	chief. You're talking to the media quite a bit. You're
4	setting out your concerns in the media?
5	MR. GUZZO: I certainly am consulted by the
6	media, yes, on this issue and on others.
7	MR. LEE: And one of the things that you did
8	that you talked about in-chief is at one point you
9	threatened to name names in the legislature?
10	MR. GUZZO: I in caucus I suggested I was
11	going to do that and I didn't do it. When it became a
12	public issue, I didn't deny it.
13	MR. LEE: You didn't back down and kill the
14	story; you let it fester a little bit?
15	MR. GUZZO: I was playing a little poker
16	with the Premier and I kept it on at least the backburner
17	as long as I could.
18	MR. LEE: Can you help us understand why you
19	went to such extremes?
20	MR. GUZZO: Well, when you raise something
21	in caucus, it's supposed to stay in caucus and of a nature
22	like this and I I don't know how it became a public
23	issue. It did not become a public issue through me and I
24	did I did not intend you used the word extremes. It
25	may be accurate. I hadn't thought of it in those terms but

anybody else.

24

25

1	I still don't.
2	I have said it was it was a mistake. It
3	wasn't the smartest thing I did in this file or any other
4	file, but it happened.
5	MR. LEE: You did what you could throughout
6	this period to try to get answers in the best way that you
7	saw fit at that time, I take it?
8	MR. GUZZO: I did.
9	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
10	MR. LEE: You told us in-chief about your
11	law office and your constituency office being broken into
12	in May of 1999.
13	MR. GUZZO: Yes, that's correct.
14	MR. LEE: And you told us that you believe
15	those break-ins must have had something to do with the
16	Cornwall situation because you had nothing else of any real
17	value to anybody in those offices?
18	MR. GUZZO: Well, you know, the constituency
19	office it's very difficult to imagine what anybody would
20	want or what anybody would know. Somebody comes in with a
21	licensing problem or a senior citizen is going to lose
22	their driver's licence and wants to be retested or
23	something like that, I mean they're not major issues to

My law practice at the time consisted of

1	some municipal applications for some land developers and a
2	series of divorces and maybe a few mortgage transactions
3	and the odd civil plaintiff case. I can't imagine why
4	anybody would would want to, you know the only thing
5	I could think of at the time was of any significance was
6	the Cornwall file.
7	MR. LEE: Did you tell us that you in-
8	chief that you referred or you contacted the police as a
9	result of those break-ins and you referred to your insurer?
10	MR. GUZZO: I don't think I referred it to
11	the insurer but I
12	MR. LEE: I may have misunderstood. I
13	thought your evidence was you called the police and they
14	said, "Talk to your insurance".
15	MR. GUZZO: Talk to your insurance and
16	whether I called or whether Ms. Phillips called or Mr.
17	Grant called, I don't recall but I kind of think I called
18	myself.
19	MR. LEE: And this was a commercial building
20	that these offices were housed in?
21	MR. GUZZO: Yes, it was.
22	MR. LEE: Did either of your offices or the
23	building that housed them have security or surveillance
24	cameras?
25	MR. GUZZO: I think the building had but I

1	don't think it was operative at the time. I think maybe
2	they were just putting it in.
3	MR. LEE: Was that a part of the
4	investigation that did you conduct an investigation?
5	Did you ask for security tapes? Did you see security tapes
6	at any point?
7	MR. GUZZO: I think I may have asked the
8	property manager because I had seen them putting them in
9	but they putting a one of the floors was being taken
10	over by a government department and I think they demanded
11	them.
12	MR. LEE: And they had nothing?
13	MR. GUZZO: I don't think they were up and
14	operating yet. I think they were still doing the reno's to
15	accommodate the government floor.
16	MR. LEE: So the last area I want to ask you
17	about is a little bit to do with Premier Mike Harris. We
18	have a couple of letters that you wrote him that we've
19	looked at. Do you remember that?
20	MR. GUZZO: Yes.
21	MR. LEE: And you dealt with Mr. Harris'
22	staff at times, Mr. McLaughlin in particular?
23	MR. GUZZO: Right.
24	MR. LEE: And you spoke with Mr. Harris
25	personally a few times, I understand?

1	MR. GUZZO: Later on, yes.
2	MR. LEE: And, sir, it seemed clear to me
3	from your evidence that you feel that Mr. Harris flatly
4	refused to act in response to the issues you were raising;
5	is that fair?
6	MR. GUZZO: Well, I think he definitely
7	wasn't interested.
8	MR. LEE: You told us in-chief that you
9	believed that the Premier wanted your private member's bill
10	to be defeated in the fall of 2000?
11	MR. GUZZO: I think I could say definitely.
12	I think he wanted me to withdraw it.
13	MR. LEE: And there was an issue with Bill
14	48 in the spring of 2001 where the Premier is the word
15	prorogued prorogued the House?
16	MR. GUZZO: Prorogued the House, yes.
17	MR. LEE: Prorogued the House and that
18	killed that bill?
19	MR. GUZZO: Well, it killed that bill and a
20	number of other bills. That's not why he prorogued the
21	House at that time. He prorogued the House to do a new
22	Throne Speech to put a new code on the government's
23	program.
24	MR. LEE: And was the inevitable effect of
25	that Throne Speech to kill the bill or could Mr. Harris

1	have taken steps to save it?
2	MR. GUZZO: He could have taken steps to
3	save it.
4	MR. LEE: He did not?
5	MR. GUZZO: No, but I don't think we took
6	steps to save any bills. I could be wrong about that but I
7	
8	MR. LEE: You told us last day about, when
9	you were discussing the issue I only have a couple of
10	minutes, Mr. Commissioner, literally a couple of minutes.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Trust me, you do.
12	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
13	THE COMMISSIONER: But you can continue on
14	Monday. Go ahead.
15	MR. LEE: Thank you.
16	When you were discussing in-chief the story
17	about naming names in legislature and how you mentioned
18	something in caucus and it came out, you told us that whole
19	story.
20	One of the things you told us about was some
21	insight into into Mr. Harris and you told us about I
22	believe the words you used were that when you threatened to
23	name names, the Premier went nuts. That's what you told us
24	in-chief and that he got very, very, very upset and accused
25	you of deliberately trying to embarrass people.

1	Do you recall that?
2	MR. GUZZO: I do.
3	MR. LEE: And you point to one name in
4	particular as the impetus for that. Is that right?
5	MR. GUZZO: I did.
6	MR. LEE: Do you, sitting here today, know
7	why the Premier got so upset about that name?
8	MR. GUZZO: I do not.
9	MR. LEE: And it was also your evidence in-
10	chief, as I understood it, that Pat Hall of the OPP
11	suggested to you that Mr. Harris was controlling the OPP
12	investigation.
13	That was the impression you got from Mr.
14	Hall?
15	MR. GUZZO: Well, yes, that was the that
16	was the impression from the comment that he made.
17	MR. LEE: Sir, is it your belief that
18	Premier Harris played a role in subverting justice here in
19	Cornwall?
20	MR. GUZZO: You know, I've had a very
21	academic discussion about this with a number of retired
22	judges and I I'm not prepared to conclude that.
23	MR. LEE: You can't go that far?
24	MR. GUZZO: I think I'd have to know more of

the -- you know, but I would say this to you, that there

1	would be an Attorney General or two who could offer some
2	advice on that.
3	MR. LEE: About Mr. Harris' actions?
4	MR. GUZZO: Well, about whoever whoever
5	was making decisions.
6	MR. LEE: Sir, do you believe it's important
7	for this inquiry to hear from Mr. Harris?
8	MR. GUZZO: I haven't given it any thought
9	to tell you the truth. I I think it might be important
10	to hear from some other people higher up in government than
11	Garry Guzzo in the years 1995 to 2003.
12	MR. LEE: So those are all the questions I
13	have for you. I thank you very much for coming and I would
14	like to state for the record that it is 3:03 p.m. when I
15	conclude my cross.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, sir. We'll
17	see you Monday at one o'clock.
18	MR. GUZZO: Thank you.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
20	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
21	veuillez vous lever.
22	This hearing is adjourned until December 3 rd
23	at 1:00 p.m.
24	Upon adjourning at 3:03 p.m. /
25	L'audience est ajournée à 15h03

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	I, Dale Waterman a certified court reporter in the Province
6	of Ontario, hereby certify the foregoing pages to be an
7	accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of
8	my skill and ability, and I so swear.
9	
10	Je, Dale Waterman, un sténographe officiel dans la province
11	de l'Ontario, certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une
12	transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au
13	meilleur de mes capacités, et je le jure.
14	
15	ed a wd
16	
17	Dale Waterman, CVR-CM
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	