THE CORNWALL PUBLIC INQUIRY



L'ENQUÊTE PUBLIQUE SUR CORNWALL

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner

The Honourable Justice / L'honorable juge G. Normand Glaude

Commissaire

VOLUME 166

Held at: Tenue à:

Hearings Room 709 Cotton Mill Street Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7 Salle des audiences 709, rue de la Fabrique Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7

Monday, November 26 2007

Lundi, le 26 novembre 2007

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC. www.irri.net (800) 899-0006

Appearances/Comparutions

${\tt Ms.}$	Julie	Gauthier	Registrar
-------------	-------	----------	-----------

M^e Simon Ruel Commission Counsel

Ms. Maya Hamou

Mr. Mark Crane Cornwall Police Service Board

Mr. Neil Kozloff Ontario Provincial Police

Mr. Joe Neuberger Ontario Ministry of Community

and Correctional Services and Adult Community Corrections

Mr. Christopher Thompson Attorney General for Ontario

Mr. Peter Chisholm The Children's Aid Society of

Mr. Terry Mazerolle the United Counties

Ms. Helen Daley Citizens for Community Renewal

Mr. Dallas Lee Victims Group

Mr. Giuseppe Cipriano The Estate of Ken Seguin and

Scott Seguin and Father Charles

MacDonald

Mr. William Carroll Ontario Provincial Police

Association

Mr. Frank T. Horn Mr. Carson Chisholm

Mr. Ian Paul

Table of Contents / Table des matières

List of Exhibits :	Page iv
Opening remarks by/Remarques d'ouverture M ^e Simon Ruel	1
DOUGLAS SEGUIN, Sworn/Assermenté	1
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par M ^e Simon Ruel	2

iv

LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
P-1027	(124163) Letter from Douglas Seguin to Dr. James Young dated March 28th, 1996	49
P-1028	(124170) Letter from Benoit Bechard to Doug Seguin dated July 12th, 1996	50
P-1029	(715290) Interview Report - Doug Seguin with OPP D.C. Genier and C. McDonell dated February 3rd, 1994	73
P-1030	(715417) Handwritten Interview Report - Doug Seguin with OPP D.C. Genier and C. McDonell dated February 3rd, 1994	84
P-1031	(725119) Handwritten notes of Det. Insp. Hamelink dated February 1st, 1994 to December 30th, 1994	88
P-1032	(124215) Fax message from Doug Seguin to CRTC dated January 26th, 1994	115
P-1033	(124204) Letter from A.D. MacKay to Doug Seguin dated February 14th, 1994	121
P-1034	(124198) Letter from Keith Clingen to Allan J. Darling cc. Doug Seguin dated April 28th, 1994	125
P-1035	(124201) Letter from Allan J. Darling to Doug Seguin dated August 8th, 1994	127

1	Upon commencing at 1:07 p.m./
2	L'audience débute à 13h07
3	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
4	veuillez vous lever.
5	This hearing of the Cornwall Public Inquiry
6	is now in session. The Honourable Mr. Justice Normand
7	Glaude, Commissioner, presiding.
8	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Good afternoon, all.
10	MR. RUEL: Good afternoon.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Maître Ruel.
12	MR. RUEL: Mr. Commissioner, today we have
13	Mr. Douglas Seguin as a witness.
14	Madam Clerk, if you want to swear the
15	witness?
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
17	DOUGLAS SEGUIN, Sworn/Assermenté:
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Good afternoon, sir.
19	You've been here before, but I want to not in that seat.
20	MR. SEGUIN: No.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: So there's water there
22	for you. You have to speak into the microphone so that we
23	can all hear and it gets recorded.
24	If there are any documents, they're going to
25	come through we'll give you a hard copy, but sometimes I

1	Tind it's easier to read on the screen.
2	MR. SEGUIN: Okay.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: So we'll take our time
4	with that.
5	If there's anything you don't feel
6	comfortable with or you have any questions about or you
7	want a break, just turn over and we can have a chat and
8	we'll deal with that.
9	MR. SEGUIN: Okay.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: All right?
11	Do you have any questions, sir?
12	MR. SEGUIN: No, thank you. I don't have
13	any.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
15	Maître Ruel.
16	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
17	RUEL:
18	MR. RUEL: Good afternoon, Mr. Seguin.
19	MR. SEGUIN: Good afternoon.
20	MR. RUEL: So thank you for being here
21	today. I just want to start with some background about
22	yourself and to situate your testimony immediately.
23	You're the brother of or one of the
24	brothers of the late Mr. Ken Seguin?
25	MR. SEGUIN: That's correct.

find it's easier to read on the screen.

1	MR. RUEL: And we will go through that, but
2	a number of allegations were made through the years against
3	your brother and you and your family have been involved in
4	trying to protect the family name, if I can use that
5	expression, through the years. Is that correct,
6	essentially?
7	MR. SEGUIN: That's correct, yes.
8	MR. RUEL: So I'm going to go through your
9	background and then I would want you to give us some
10	background on your late brother as well.
11	MR. SEGUIN: Okay.
12	MR. RUEL: So we'll start with that. So if
13	I can ask you to just give us a bit of background about
14	yourself and about your family?
15	MR. SEGUIN: We lived out about two miles
16	east of St. Andrews, just outside of Cornwall, both Ken and
17	I, and we're 10 in the family. I was born in Cornwall, and
18	we went to just a one-room school outside of St. Andrews
19	and then to the CCVS, the Cornwall Collegiate and
20	Vocational Schools for high school. Then I took courses in
21	colleges for chemistry and technical writing, that type of
22	thing. So now I work in a chemical company making wood
23	coatings at the time.
24	Ken
25	MR. RUEL: So what's your profession?

1	MR. SEGUIN: It's chemist.
2	MR. RUEL: You're a chemist?
3	MR. SEGUIN: A chemist, right.
4	MR. RUEL: A professional chemist?
5	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
6	MR. RUEL: Okay. Thank you.
7	So you still work in Cornwall?
8	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, yes, I still work in
9	Cornwall.
10	MR. RUEL: And you live in Cornwall?
11	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, I do.
12	MR. RUEL: Have you
13	MR. SEGUIN: And I have my wife, Nancy, and
14	I have a daughter, Angela, a granddaughter, and I guess
15	that brings us up to date on me.
16	My brother, then he went
17	MR. RUEL: Just a second. Just on the
18	family, you said you were there was 10 of you. Ten (10)
19	children?
20	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, yes, okay.
21	MR. RUEL: So how many brothers and sisters?
22	MR. SEGUIN: I had four brothers and five
23	sisters.
24	MR. RUEL: Yourself and your brother Ken,
25	where did you situate yourself in terms of in the

1	family?
2	MR. SEGUIN: I see. He was about a year and
3	a month younger than I was.
4	MR. RUEL: So should I understand that he
5	was the youngest in the family?
6	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, youngest in the family.
7	That's correct.
8	MR. RUEL: And you're second youngest?
9	MR. SEGUIN: Second, right. M'hm.
10	MR. RUEL: Okay. So now some background
11	about your late brother Ken in terms of his well, why
12	don't we start with his studies?
13	MR. SEGUIN: Okay. After the high school, I
14	believe he took a year at Classical College for French. I
15	believe he needed it to get into St. Paul's University, I
16	believe, and I'm not sure exactly what years were what, but
17	I think Ottawa U as well for I think he was there for
18	about six years in the I think part of that was
19	Philosophy as part of the seminarian and then he left
20	_
21	MR. RUEL: That's St. Paul's?
22	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, I believe so. Right.
23	MR. RUEL: So what is St. Paul's? Is that a
24	it's a university?
25	MR. SEGUIN: Well, I think you study

1	Philosophy and I believe it's connected to the Ottawa U,
2	but it teaches philosophy and arts type courses. I think
3	part of it is courses in Theology. I think that's what he
4	was taking.
5	MR. RUEL: So for six years?
6	MR. SEGUIN: Well, I think part of the last
7	maybe two years, I think, was more seminary and Theology
8	courses.
9	MR. RUEL: So was his intention to become a
10	priest?
11	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, it was initially, right.
12	MR. RUEL: And he changed his mind, I guess?
13	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, he did.
14	MR. RUEL: Then he became a probation
15	officer?
16	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, right yes, as soon as
17	he finished his studies, he became a probation officer.
18	MR. RUEL: Did he get a diploma of some
19	sort?
20	MR. SEGUIN: I guess I believe two of
21	them, one of them in Arts and I think the other one in
22	Theology, I believe.
23	MR. RUEL: So do you remember when he
24	started as a probation officer?
25	MR. SEGUIN: In '68. I think it was the

1	summer of '68. I'm not sure exactly the months, but I
2	think that is about the time.
3	MR. RUEL: So that was here in Cornwall?
4	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. M'hm.
5	MR. RUEL: Can you give us some background
6	about your brother generally, the type of person he was as
7	you've known him?
8	MR. SEGUIN: Well, I always found him a
9	caring type of a person who and a good friend to have.
10	He had and I had mutual friends with him as well, always
11	knew everyone else. The people around him seemed to be
12	sort of like him. The family always liked him. They, you
13	know even my own daughter thought he was a favourite
14	uncle of hers. Just a very all-round helpful, caring
15	person.
16	MR. RUEL: Was he close to you personally?
17	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, yes, he was.
18	MR. RUEL: And would you and your wife see
19	Ken frequently?
20	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, we would invite him in for
21	supper because he lived outside of Cornwall, and we'd
22	invite him in after he finished work, and he would come
23	over and, you know, we would go out for coffee when he
24	would come into Cornwall. Yeah, we had coffee during

every Saturday. We would go out and help our mother out --

1	she lived out in the farm alone, and we would help her with
2	the flowers or whatever that had to be done around there
3	and always had a lunch that she prepared. So yeah, this
4	was an ongoing thing every Saturday.
5	MR. RUEL: So I gather that your brother had
6	lived at some point on I guess he must have lived
7	many places, but he lived on Alguire in Cornwall?
8	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. M'hm.
9	MR. RUEL: And in Summerstown as well at
10	some point?
11	MR. SEGUIN: Right. I think he lived after
12	he may have lived at home for a year or something until
13	he got an apartment, I think, on Glengarry Avenue, and then
14	bought his house on Laflin there, and in the mid-'80s I
15	think he bought the property down along the river, Glen
16	Walter.
17	MR. RUEL: So at those two locations,
18	Alguire and Summerstown well, the property you describe
19	being on the river, I guess you said that was Summerstown?
20	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, Summerstown.
21	MR. RUEL: All right.
22	MR. SEGUIN: Summerstown, right.
23	MR. RUEL: So have you ever visited him at
24	his house?
25	MR. SEGUIN: Of course.

1	MR. RUEL: And, if so, how frequently would
2	you do that?
3	MR. SEGUIN: Gosh, I would say in both
4	places it was like every week because it's not it wasn't
5	only my I had a cousin, Jack Seguin, and he had there
6	were other friends and we just would go over there. He'd -
7	- I'd pick him up or we'd go over and and visit him
8	and, you know, we would either go out and have a beer or
9	play pool or that type of thing, so that was you know,
10	we we were together quite often.
11	MR. RUEL: So typically that would be in the
12	evening or in
13	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, we
14	MR. RUEL: weekdays or weekends?
15	MR. SEGUIN: We would go Saturdays, I'd go
16	in whenever I could, sure, and during the days, as well.
17	He he didn't have you could visit him
18	at any time and he usually liked to work around the house,
19	so he you could visit him any time.
20	MR. RUEL: So how many times would you visit
21	I mean, just a ballpark figure, how many times would you
22	visit him at his house per week, through the years?
23	MR. SEGUIN: Through the well, on an
24	average? Well, I'd say once a week, on an average.
25	MR. RUEL: Can you talk to us about, if I

1	can use "Ken's friends", I guess he had some friends?
2	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. M'hm.
3	MR. RUEL: Can you give us some information
4	about his friends?
5	MR. SEGUIN: Well, he had I don't know if
6	I have to name names or what, I don't know.
7	Actually, some of them were on the list of -
8	- and photographs were shown around, I guess, but yeah, one
9	of the close friends was my first cousin, Jack Seguin, and
10	it would be Al Laplante, Don Warden. Those are very close
11	friends and, of course, Father Charles MacDonald, who was a
12	good friend, and I think he he Malcolm MacDonald was
13	also a friend.
14	MR. RUEL: So Father MacDonald was a priest
15	in Cornwall?
16	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. M'hm.
17	MR. RUEL: And do you know when and where he
18	met Father MacDonald?
19	MR. SEGUIN: I'm not quite sure of that. It
20	it either was I have a feeling it was aftereither
21	while he was up in St. Paul's or after, but I can't quite
22	be sure of that.
23	MR. RUEL: And Malcolm? Malcolm MacDonald
24	was a lawyer here in Cornwall?
25	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah, I think it was really

1	when he started his work at the probation office that I
2	think he knew him.
3	MR. RUEL: Okay. I'll just pause here to
4	remind you and we've discussed that in preparation sessions
5	
6	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. M'hm.
7	MR. RUEL: that you're aware that some
8	names are protected here and there's one in particular
9	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
10	MR. RUEL: that you may want to refer to
11	at some point and there's a moniker for that.
12	MR. SEGUIN: Right.
13	MR. RUEL: So you'll remember that through
14	your
15	MR. SEGUIN: Right.
16	MR. RUEL: testimony. And if you have
17	any doubt, I would invite you to raise the matter well,
18	with the Commissioner
19	MR. SEGUIN: Okay.
20	MR. RUEL: and we'll confirm you with
21	if you can name the person.
22	MR. SEGUIN: Oh, okay.
23	MR. RUEL: Anyone else, in terms of Ken's
24	friends that you think about?
25	MR. SEGUIN: Oh, well up in well, it

1	depends on which place he was living at. I would up at
2	his Laflin, at his like he had friends up and down,
3	there was Laflin Street there, we had mutual friends from
4	way back in in our single days and they lived had
5	their families on one had on that street. There was a
6	- they still visited it quite often with their families.
7	In Summerstown, he did because he, when
8	he got there, I think then he I believe that's when he
9	met Ron Leroux and C-8 and and then he had other people
10	around there as well, you know the Touchettes and the
11	MR. RUEL: I'm sorry, you just mentioned the
12	Touchettes. The Touchettes are neighbours
13	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, yes,
14	MR. RUEL: right?
15	MR. SEGUIN: the neighbours on either
16	side and and Mrs. Legault, I think I forget her name
17	yeah. And on either side and two doors away was Ron
18	Leroux and C-8.
19	MR. RUEL: So was he I mean he was
20	friends with those people was close to I mean, the
21	people you've named
22	MR. SEGUIN: Well, they they became
23	friends, yes, because they would come over Ron Leroux
24	would come over quite often and, as he would say, and
25	and he told me, Ron Leroux, that he would go over in the

1	mornings, have coffee before Ken went to to work, that
2	type of thing.
3	C-8, I remember Ken talking about him and he
4	wasn't terribly pleased with him in in a way. I can
5	remember telling him telling me about certain I think
6	a a shovel or something. There were different things
7	that C-8 would use but then wouldn't give back to him and
8	that type of thing. There were, you know, funny little
9	things like that. He didn't particularly like C-8, I don't
10	think.
11	MR. RUEL: Okay. So you've named a number
12	of people. Have you met yourself, those
13	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, I have.
14	MR. RUEL: those individuals?
15	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, yes, I have.
16	MR. RUEL: In what context?
17	MR. SEGUIN: Well, visiting Ken's place and
18	we've I remember we had to build his boathouse. It was
19	a little bit I wanted to raise it a bit and put some
20	walkway around it, that type of thing, and C-8 was there as
21	well and and because he was a carpenter and he was
22	pretty good at that type of thing so he was helping.
23	And as far as Ron Leroux, yeah, I've they
24	would come into town and and we would go for coffee,
25	that type of thing.

1	MR. RUEL: Including yourself?
2	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, that's right. They picked
3	me up and
4	MR. RUEL: So would it be fair to say that
5	the people you've named Father MacDonald, Malcolm
6	MacDonald, Ron Leroux, C-8 were became a group of
7	acquaintances of yourself in addition to Ken?
8	MR. SEGUIN: Well, in the sense that I just
9	named them as knowing, but they weren't in a they
10	wouldn't have been a group of themselves, you know, in
11	making up a group themselves. It was sort of a separate
12	group, but I don't think I've never really known Father
13	MacDonald to associate with C-8 or or Ron Leroux or even
14	Malcolm MacDonald.
15	I think Malcolm MacDonald knew C-8 and Ron
16	Leroux, but I don't think Father MacDonald, it it was
17	he may have known them but he didn't associate with them.
18	MR. RUEL: So did you, yourself, associate
19	with Father MacDonald and Malcolm MacDonald with Ken
20	separately from those other individuals?
21	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, I visited the when
22	Father MacDonald was at I forget the name of the
23	Apple Hill Church, and I visited there with Ken; go down, I
24	think, once or twice.
25	And I don't think I've ever been there with

1	Malcolm MacDonald or with Father MacDonald. I don't
2	think I have ever seen him at Ken's, but I, you know, I've
3	seen him other places and over the years.
4	MR. RUEL: I just want to now to cover a few
5	questions on your late brother's personal life.
6	Was he married?
7	MR. SEGUIN: No, no.
8	MR. RUEL: Has he ever been married?
9	MR. SEGUIN: No.
10	MR. RUEL: He was a bachelor?
11	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
12	MR. RUEL: He's been a bachelor all his
13	life?
14	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, he has, yeah.
15	MR. RUEL: And do you know if he had some
16	sort of alternative lifestyle that you would have known
17	about?
18	MR. SEGUIN: No, I he, from what I
19	gather, he even went out the on dates. Remember the
20	he went out with one of the girls from the office, I
21	believe, at early on, maybe in the early '70s with a
22	number of other young women, you know, after that, but he's
23	a bachelor, sort of a probably quite shy and sort of
24	as I was for years and years. It sort of, in some
25	instances, curtailed your love life, but that's sort of,

I	you know and there was no reason to think any other
2	MR. RUEL: And were you aware of his sexual
3	orientation, for example? Has that ever
4	MR. SEGUIN: No, that's never come up to
5	challenge that.
6	MR. RUEL: Have you watched the proceedings
7	of this Commission so far?
8	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, some of it, yes, or read
9	transcripts.
10	MR. RUEL: There's been testimony about
11	frequent visitors at your brother's place, parties. What
12	can you say about you've talked about his friends, but
13	other visitors or a group of people visiting your brother,
14	especially in Summerstown, anything you've witnessed
15	through the years?
16	MR. SEGUIN: Well, the only parties I've
17	ever been at was just barbeques, that type of thing, in the
18	summertime and I think there were a couple of birthday
19	parties for him and his regular friends that I mentioned
20	and their families would be there. I have never seen any
21	other parties myself, and I've talked to his neighbours,
22	and neither did they, as described in the transcripts.
23	MR. RUEL: So I guess I mean, that's for
24	what you know, from what you've seen?
25	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.

1	MR. RUEL: There were other days you weren't
2	there. I mean, you can't testify about that, of course.
3	MR. SEGUIN: No, that's obviously you
4	can't.
5	MR. RUEL: Have you ever witnessed the
6	presence at your brother's place of prominent members of
7	the community such as members of the clergy
8	MR. SEGUIN: No.
9	MR. RUEL: police officers
10	MR. SEGUIN: No again.
11	MR. RUEL: lawyers or other people?
12	MR. SEGUIN: No, no, just Malcolm MacDonald.
13	No, I haven't they've never shown up that I could say,
14	no.
15	MR. RUEL: Your brother was a probation
16	officer in Cornwall.
17	MR. SEGUIN: M'hm.
18	MR. RUEL: He's been a probation officer for
19	close to 25 years. That would be accurate?
20	MR. SEGUIN: I believe so, yeah. That's
21	pretty accurate.
22	MR. RUEL: So can you tell us a bit about
23	his professional work and his professional reputation as a
24	probation officer in Cornwall?

THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I don't know that -

1	- I don't know if this gentleman can speak of his
2	professional reputation in the community.
3	MR. RUEL: Maybe I'll rephrase, Mr.
4	Commissioner.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
6	MR. RUEL: Maybe can you tell us about what
7	you knew your brother was doing as a probation officer
8	generally?
9	MR. SEGUIN: Well, generally speaking, yes,
10	I did know, but he didn't speak of not very often of any
11	specifics of what he was doing, not any names or anything
12	like that.
13	I found at first he seemed to be pretty gung
14	ho about, you know, helping people and he tended to, I
15	think, be more on the victim's side or not victim's
16	side, the probationer's side, shall I say, in trying to
17	sort of help them.
18	He didn't think they were all real bad guys,
19	so he tried to help them out, although I think that may
20	have changed later on and he maybe in the '80s started to
21	become a little more disappointed in the fact that his help
22	probably wasn't as well received as it should have been.
23	MR. RUEL: When you say help, he was helping
24	them
25	MR. SEGUIN: Well, yes, he

1	MR. RUEL: has he told you that or
2	that's what you understood?
3	MR. SEGUIN: No, he had told me that at
4	times he would send them either to get a job or he would
5	canvass certain businesses to find out if they would maybe
6	help in getting some of these people a job or if they
7	needed the money, maybe send them to Welfare, that type of
8	thing.
9	MR. RUEL: You knew the type of, if I can
10	call them, clients or type of people or clients he was
11	dealing with at the probation office, adults, young, you
12	know, adolescents, the type of clients he was dealing with.
13	Did you know about that?
14	MR. SEGUIN: Well, early on, as far as I
15	know, and I believe he told me this, is there was adults.
16	I think at the beginning there may have been, like on his
17	probationary period, that type of thing, that he did have
18	some juveniles, but I think things they at some point
19	they divided things up somewhere and he was just juvenile -
20	- or just adults.
21	MR. RUEL: And do you know when that would
22	have changed?
23	MR. SEGUIN: I think it was in the '70s, but
24	I'm somewhere in the mid-'70s, that kind of thing, but I
25	can't be sure of the date.

1	MR. RUEL: Okay. So to your knowledge I
2	mean, your brother was a probation officer, so he was
3	dealing with the he was involved in the justice system?
4	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. M'hm.
5	MR. RUEL: So he would know or deal with
6	various members of the extended justice system, if I can
7	say, such as the police?
8	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
9	MR. RUEL: Crowns?
10	MR. SEGUIN: That's correct, yes.
11	MR. RUEL: Courts?
12	MR. SEGUIN: M'hm.
13	MR. RUEL: You're aware of that?
14	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
15	MR. RUEL: So the police forces he was
16	dealing with, I guess, do you know, I mean, who he was
17	the police forces he was dealing with?
18	MR. SEGUIN: Well, it would be mostly it
19	would be both OPP and the Cornwall Police Service, I
20	believe.
21	MR. RUEL: Do you know if he had friends
22	among those organizations?
23	MR. SEGUIN: Well, I didn't
24	MR. RUEL: This organization?
25	MR. SEGUIN: I didn't know any personally,

1	although I think there was he had mentioned a but
2	this was I think he was a retired detective, but I'm not
3	sure. It might have been like a Scott or something like
4	that. I'm not sure. There was but other than that, no
5	I didn't as far as I know, he didn't socialize with any
6	of them and I, knowing him, out with him and all the rest
7	of it, I had never seen any. He might say hello or
8	something like that, but I don't think, you know, there was
9	anything else to it than that.
10	MR. RUEL: We know that at one point, I
11	guess, there's the Chief of the Cornwall Police was Mr.
12	Claude Shaver.
13	MR. SEGUIN: That's correct.
14	MR. RUEL: Do you know Mr. Shaver?
15	MR. SEGUIN: Well, I've met him, yes.
16	MR. RUEL: Did you know if are you aware
17	if Ken knew Mr. Shaver?
18	MR. SEGUIN: Only in the sense that it's
19	logical to assume he knew him, but I did not think that he
20	was on any social basis with him or anything like that,
21	that type of
22	MR. RUEL: Had you ever met or seen Mr.
23	Shaver in the company of your brother?
24	MR. SEGUIN: No. Only well, except for
25	one. He and I were in Ken's boat and we were going down

waving.

1	the river, and there on the north side of the St.
2	Lawrence, in that area, there's sandbars, and what happens
3	is a lot of people will you'll notice boats will stop
4	there if it's a nice, sunny day, in different areas.
5	And as we were passing one time, I believe
6	that I recognized there were two couples and I
7	recognized one. Like I said, I think it was a retired
8	detective I recognized, and I didn't know the other couple.
9	And I just asked, "Well, who is the other?" And he said,
10	"Oh, that's Shaver Chief Shaver". But he you know,
11	that was all in passing.
12	MR. RUEL: So were the boats far away when
13	the
14	MR. SEGUIN: Well probably from here to the
15	upright there, you know, just as we're passing we aren't
16	going fast. They were stopped and on the sand bar and so
17	we just that was all there was to it.
18	MR. RUEL: Was there any waving, you know
19	either your brother or Mr. Shaver waving at each other or
20	something like that or saying hello
21	MR. SEGUIN: Well, I think there was the
22	other couple I think was the ones that really and I'm
23	not even sure if Shaver well, he must have known who it
24	was but I you know. it was just a matter of everyone

1	MR. RUEL: Everyone waving, from which side?
2	MR. SEGUIN: Both. You know.
3	MR. RUEL: In terms of Ken's co-workers, did
4	you know any of them?
5	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, I did.
6	MR. RUEL: Who did you know?
7	MR. SEGUIN: Well, during that time, I mean,
8	I'd known Jos van Diepen for a long time. I think it's
9	Louise Quinn. I'd met you know because I'd gone in I
10	think a couple of times and I had visited Ken at the
11	office.
12	MR. RUEL: So who were those people for
13	the record?
14	MR. SEGUIN: Well, okay, Joss van Diepen is
15	a probation officer. Louise Quinn was a secretary there.
16	Mrs. Leger was I think she was the sort of office manager
17	that type of thing.
18	MR. RUEL: Mrs. Leger is Marcelle Léger?
19	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, yes. And later on I think
20	I had met I think it's Sue Larivière and maybe Ms.
21	Cardinal. I'm not
22	MR. RUEL: Carol Cardinal?
23	MR. SEGUIN: Pardon?
24	MR. RUEL: Carol Cardinal?
25	MR. SEGUIN: Could be, it's possible. Yeah,

1	I sort of forget what people what they sort of look like
2	at the time now, but and Mr. Gendron I think his name
3	was. I did meet him when he first came to Cornwall I
4	believe and I was introduced to him and then later on I
5	talked to him. I went in to the office one time after Ken
6	died and just asked him some questions.
7	MR. RUEL: So how did you prior to Ken's
8	death, how did you meet those people. Was it at the office
9	or somewhere else?
10	MR. SEGUIN: No. I met there was a
11	birthday party, I think it was for one of mutual friends, I
12	think. My God, I can't remember exactly whose birthday
13	party it was, and it was at Don Warden's house, and I think
14	that's the first time that I may have met Mr. Gendron
15	because he had come there and I think Malcolm was there and
16	I think, yeah, there were okay, that Jack's again, my
17	cousin was there.
18	MR. RUEL: So that's for Ken's birthday
19	party you're saying?
20	MR. SEGUIN: I can't I don't remember
21	whether it was Ken's or I don't think it was his at Don
22	Warden's. I think it was someone else's.
23	MR. RUEL: And have you ever met those
24	people at Ken's residence? Ken's home?
25	MR. SEGUIN: Only among that well,

1	Malcolm.
2	MR. RUEL: No, I'm been talking about Ken's
3	co-workers.
4	MR. SEGUIN: No, no, I haven't. I don't
5	think I've even seen Jos down there at we used to, years
6	before then, used to be a we were much younger and we
7	used to have go camping and that type of thing and
8	different people would have different camps and that type
9	of thing, but so that's where I knew Jos and but
10	later on, no, I don't think I he had his own family and
11	so on things progressed from there and I've never seen
12	him at Ken's place.
13	MR. RUEL: Do you know if Ken was friends
14	with some of his co-workers?
15	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah, I think he got along well
16	with them and were friends with them. I don't think he
17	necessarily socialized with them. Everyone has their own,
18	you know, their families and so on, so I don't think that
19	was and he had his own large family and friends.
20	MR. RUEL: Was he closer to some of his co-
21	workers than others to your knowledge?
22	MR. SEGUIN: To my knowledge? No. I don't.
23	MR. RUEL: What about Nelson Barque? Do you
24	know him?
25	MR. SEGUIN: I had met him, yes.

1	MR. RUEL: Where did you meet him?
2	MR. SEGUIN: Well, from the office I think
3	and not sure if I remember they used to go out I think
4	carolling on Christmas Eve or around Christmas time. The
5	whole bunch from the probation office would go out and go
6	to different places and sing outside their homes, and I
7	went with them a couple of times and I'm not sure he may
8	have been in the group as well. But I met yeah, I'd met
9	him.
10	MR. RUEL: So Nelson Barque was at some
11	point I guess one of the Ken's co-workers?
12	MR. SEGUIN: Co-workers, right.
13	MR. RUEL: Yes. And was he friends with
14	Ken, do you know?
15	MR. SEGUIN: I think they got along fine. I
16	don't think there was any again, socializing at all but
17	you know that's not I can't remember I think there's
18	only one time and that was it must have been a party
19	maybe it was a birthday party for Malcolm, I think, because
20	I'm not sure why Gendron and I think Barque was there as
21	well at that party at Don Warden's.
22	MR. RUEL: And do you know that Mr. Barque
23	left the probation office around 1982?
24	MR. SEGUIN: That's yes.
25	MR. RUEL: You know that?

1	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, I heard
2	MR. RUEL: Do you know the circumstances of
3	his departure there? The reason why he left?
4	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. I heard that there was
5	some sexual abuse or whatever. There was a complaint about
6	and he left. I had there was someone else that I
7	knew that worked there, I guess, for a while and mentioned
8	that Ken was quite angry that they actually just had him
9	retire or whatever and they probably should have charged
10	him back then, but that was I had only heard that.
11	MR. RUEL: So who told you about this
12	circumstance of Mr. Barque's departure?
13	MR. SEGUIN: At that time, this was just a
14	conversation having I'm trying to remember the name.
15	Perhaps a little later I'll
16	MR. RUEL: Sure, if you don't, that's fine.
17	MR. SEGUIN: It's just not coming through at
18	the moment.
19	MR. RUEL: I'm going I just want to cover
20	your knowledge of a person. His name is Gerry Renshaw. Do
21	you know that person?
22	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, I do. I'm just gonna
23	the name did come through.
24	MR. RUEL: Sure.
25	MR. SEGUIN: When I say comes through, it

1	must bubble up from somewhere, eh? Yeah, the name was Gary
2	Lalonde.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry. Gary
4	MR. SEGUIN: Gary Lalonde.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Lalonde. Okay.
6	MR. SEGUIN: I think he worked at the
7	probation as a volunteer or something like that for a
8	while.
9	MR. RUEL: So that didn't come from Ken,
10	that's what you're saying?
11	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. It didn't come from Ken,
12	it came from this because Gary Lalonde had mentioned
13	that that's what he thought.
14	MR. RUEL: Did you discuss Mr. Barque's
15	departure with Ken? The circumstances of his departure?
16	MR. SEGUIN: No, I don't in fact, back
17	then I don't think I actually really knew about this, or
18	heard about it. I may have read something no, it
19	wouldn't have been in the newspaper. Much later. Maybe
20	only when all, you know my brother died and all this
21	started coming out that it made any but I don't know
22	that I knew back then.
23	MR. RUEL: Gerald Renshaw. What do you know
24	about Gerald Renshaw?
25	MR. SEGUIN: Well, I met him through Ken and

1	from what I gather he had needed a place to stay and
2	whether he had moved out from his girlfriend's or whatever
3	and asked, you know, to stay at Ken's because he had a
4	spare bedroom. And
5	MR. RUEL: Sorry, when was that, from what
6	you remember?
7	MR. SEGUIN: That was in the late `80s I
8	believe.
9	MR. RUEL: And how did that
10	MR. SEGUIN: But I didn't know him before
11	then.
12	MR. RUEL: How did that come about? Did Ken
13	know that person previously?
14	MR. SEGUIN: Well, supposedly through the
15	probation office. You know, he was on probation before
16	then and, you know, Ken would help different people and
17	maybe he said "Okay" and went through the proper channels
18	and let him stay there.
19	MR. RUEL: And so that's late '80s that you
20	said?
21	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, late '80s, yeah.
22	MR. RUEL: And so what happened? Did he
23	finally I mean, did he stay at Ken's place?
24	MR. SEGUIN: Well, I don't know. It wasn't
25	that long, a year or a year-and-a-half, that type of thing,

1	and off and on. I have a feeling that he would leave and
2	come back and something to do with this, I think, his
3	girlfriend. So he'd go and live with her and come back and
4	that type of thing, but it wasn't always there during that
5	particular time.
6	MR. RUEL: So did that surprise you in any
7	way that Ken would do that?
8	MR. SEGUIN: No. I knew that he helped
9	people out, and particularly had a you know, he had that
10	feeling that he would help his probationers out when he
11	could.
12	MR. RUEL: So that's what he did in this
13	case
14	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
15	MR. RUEL: according to you?
16	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah.
17	MR. RUEL: Do you know how old was Gerald
18	Renshaw at the time, approximately?
19	MR. SEGUIN: Did I how old he was?
20	MR. RUEL: Yes.
21	MR. SEGUIN: I would say in his 20s.
22	MR. RUEL: And Ken then was how old was
23	Ken then, back then?
24	MR. SEGUIN: That was in well, probably
25	about 45 or 42 or three or something like that.

1	MR. RUEL: And did they I mean, according
2	to I mean, you've met Mr. Renshaw, right, and can you
3	describe the type of relationship they had? I mean, were
4	they friends or just acquaintances? Can you
5	MR. SEGUIN: Just friends. Yeah, just
6	friends. He was Gerry wasn't there really that often.
7	I mean, as far as when I'd be there he really wasn't around
8	very much. He just seemed to be not you know, he just
9	used the place as a place to stay or to sleep and then he
10	was gone.
11	MR. RUEL: Did he have a room there?
12	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, there was a separate, you
13	know
14	MR. RUEL: So would you see him frequently
15	at Ken's place?
16	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, quite frequently he'd be
17	there. But, like I said, for a place for someone staying
18	there he wasn't really there very often that I could see
19	when I would visit.
20	MR. RUEL: And Mr. Renshaw I'm talking
21	about Gerry or Gerald Renshaw.
22	MR. SEGUIN: M'hm.
23	MR. RUEL: The relationship, vis-à-vis you,
24	I mean, did you two ever become friends in any way or
25	MR. SEGUIN: No, more just acquaintances.

1	No, we didn't socialize, shall we say, except, you know,
2	coffees and that type of thing, or if there's a birthday
3	party for Ken, you know, that was it.
4	MR. RUEL: So he would be there at like
5	birthday parties. That's what you're saying?
6	MR. SEGUIN: There was one that I remember
7	seeing him in 1990.
8	MR. RUEL: Did well, prior to Ken's death
9	and we're going to come to that but there's some
10	events that happened after. We're going to cover that
11	soon. But prior to Ken's death, has Mr. Renshaw ever made
12	a comment to your complaint with respect to Ken's
13	behaviour?
14	MR. SEGUIN: No, never. No, never has.
15	MR. RUEL: Have you ever seen a teenager or
16	teenagers or adolescents at Ken's place; young persons?
17	MR. SEGUIN: The only ones I would know of
18	would be my own family, family members. But, no, I've
19	never seen any under age you know, no one younger than,
20	say, let's say, Gerry Renshaw. That's he did have his
21	own children there I think. They visited with his wife
22	with his girlfriend or wife. And other than that, no.
23	MR. RUEL: Or people that would be with
24	that I mean not necessarily being minors but people between
25	the age of, let's say, 18 and 30, younger persons. Did you

1	ever see that type of person at Ken's place?
2	MR. SEGUIN: Well, are you saying 25 to 30
3	is a young a really young person or
4	MR. RUEL: No, no, I mean, I'm talking young
5	person in the sense of being people in their 20s for
6	example.
7	MR. SEGUIN: Well, Gerry Renshaw and I think
8	like, actually I can't remember his brothers being there
9	but, no there was there was only one person I was
10	introduced to and that was called somebody called St-
11	Louis but I don't know the first name.
12	MR. RUEL: And how old was that person?
13	MR. SEGUIN: Oh, he was 25 at least.
14	MR. RUEL: Was he a friend of Ken's?
15	MR. SEGUIN: No well, I didn't see that.
16	He was just there with someone else for a short time and
17	then they left.
18	MR. RUEL: And in terms of people being
19	under the age of 20 you've never seen any? That's your
20	MR. SEGUIN: No, I don't
21	MR. RUEL: Now, I want to talk about, well,
22	a difficult area, I guess. It's your brother's death and
23	the events that led to that event to happen. So prior to -
24	- well, he died on November 25, 1993. That's correct?
25	MR. SEGUIN: M'hm.

1	MR. RUEL: Did ne ever did ne speak to
2	you before his death about any problems he may have had?
3	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. I think that was the
4	weekend before he we were at my brother's place and we
5	were having lunch and he did mention he was literally
6	tearful, and he just said he was having a hard time or
7	given a hard time at work is what he said, and so we went
8	outside. I was going to talk to him but somebody came
9	along and interrupted so I never got the explanation of
10	what he meant.
11	MR. RUEL: You never got there? I mean, he
12	didn't tell you?
13	MR. SEGUIN: No, he didn't tell me, no.
14	MR. RUEL: Was he a were you a confidante
15	of Ken? I mean, was he speaking to you of his personal
16	issues and problems freely?
17	MR. SEGUIN: He didn't not really a lot.
18	If there were any I think the normal day-to-day goings
19	on maybe he would speak, but if there were very deep issues
20	I don't in this particular case, he probably would have
21	but generally no, just as I wouldn't with him or anyone
22	really except, you know, your wife or whatever.
23	I do believe he did go I think he had, as
24	I did, over my whole life, a very bad case of depression
25	and so on and I think he had part of that as well. And as

1	I believe he also went to get help for his depression and
2	anxieties or whatever in Cornwall, I believe.
3	MR. RUEL: So prior to his death was he
4	depressed, to your knowledge? Was he in a state of
5	depression?
6	MR. SEGUIN: I remember getting a ride with
7	him and he seemed not to be himself and, in fact, two days
8	before he was at my house and he just seemed to be very,
9	very quite, very not talkative.
10	He normally is and we talk easily and about
11	a lot of things, but this he didn't two days before.
12	MR. RUEL: So that's two days before his
13	death?
14	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
15	MR. RUEL: How did you learn about your
16	brother's death?
17	MR. SEGUIN: Ron Leroux's wife Cindy called.
18	It was in the afternoon. And she said that they had found
19	Ken dead. So right away I called my brother Keith at work,
20	and my wife and I drove down and Keith also had drove down
21	as well. We went into the house and I think Miller a
22	constable or whatever Miller and two or three other
23	policemen were there.
24	MR. RUEL: Those were OPP officers; correct?
25	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, OPP, right.

1	MR. RUEL: Yes.
2	MR. SEGUIN: And, you know, just gave their
3	condolences, but asked if I wanted to go up and see him or
4	whatever. I just wasn't in the mood to do that, so I just
5	we just went and sat down for a while, and then Keith
6	came in. Then we were sort of asked to leave until, I
7	think, around nine o'clock or something. They had finished
8	their investigation.
9	MR. RUEL: I forgot to ask you, Mr
10	well, I'll come back to that, I'm sorry.
11	Apart from the police officers or you
12	mentioned one police officer and there was another one
13	there. Do you
14	MR. SEGUIN: Well, I think Chris McDonell
15	was there, but I'm not quite sure of that.
16	MR. RUEL: Did you see anyone else there?
17	MR. SEGUIN: Oh, yes. Well, as we, Nancy
18	and I, walked in toward the house, C-8 was coming out of
19	the house and as he passed, he said something of the nature
20	of, "Ah, well, I expected that" or that you know, that
21	type of thing. And then he just kept walking, you know.
22	MR. RUEL: How did you how did you react
23	to that?
24	MR. SEGUIN: Well, I thought that was sort
25	of strange, but I you know, I didn't talk to him. He

1	just kept walking.
2	MR. RUEL: So you went to Ken's house and
3	then what happened? You left eventually?
4	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, yes, we left eventually
5	and, you know, made the arrangements and all the rest of
6	it. And at the wake, then we noticed all the people like
7	C-8 and Ron Leroux's family and Gerry Renshaw's kids and
8	his, I believe, brothers or Barries. I'm not sure. Gerry
9	Renshaw was, I think, his I'm not sure, but his
10	girlfriend or wife, I think was a Barry. I'm not sure of
11	that, but he had close friends in the Barries. And they
12	were seemed to be all there. They came and they sat
13	down with us, sat at the end of the room for hours.
14	I remember my mother commenting about how
15	the children would go up and sort of put their hands on my
16	brother's hands or whatever, and they seemed so sad and
17	that type of thing. But just as Renshaw said before, he
18	told me before there was he didn't complain about
19	anything and it shouldn't it certainly didn't look like
20	it at that time. As well, they sent flowers.
21	MR. RUEL: When you say "they", who is they?
22	MR. SEGUIN: The Gerry and Cindy or, I
23	mean, Ron Leroux and Cindy and Gerry and, I believe, the

MR. RUEL: So you're talking about the

Barries did, yeah.

24

25

1	showing, I guess?
2	MR. SEGUIN: Right, the wake.
3	MR. RUEL: And then you saw Gerry Renshaw
4	was there, and his wife, and his children. That's what you
5	said?
6	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
7	MR. RUEL: Was there any member of the
8	Renshaw family, like his brother or his brothers?
9	MR. SEGUIN: Well, there was see, I
10	didn't really know them at all, his brothers or the
11	Barries, but I did notice, sitting back with Gerry was a
12	fellow, sort of a thin, tall guy with long black hair, and
13	I just I don't know if it would be one of his brothers
14	or it could have been I'd have to see a line-up and ther
15	I would know. Whether it was Fred Renshaw or one of the
16	Barries, I don't know.
17	MR. RUEL: Okay. I'm going to we didn't
18	cover that yet, but before the funeral home, was there an
19	issue about or meeting concerning Ken's phone book?
20	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah, Gerry Renshaw had
21	mentioned to me that and I it was right around that
22	time, maybe at the wake, that Ron Leroux had the telephone
23	book, and I believe I asked him if he could get it for me.
24	I think it was him that gave me the telephone book.
25	MR. RUEL: And what did you do with it?

1	MR. SEGUIN: Well, later on when we were
2	well, that's getting into another situation where the
3	police the OPP, they were looking into now, I think
4	we had gone up to them and were sort of made a complaint
5	of sorts. We could probably get into it later. And
6	Fougere Inspector Fougere was there and he mentioned
7	something about well, now, see, at the time I can't
8	quite remember the sequence, but I think it was Genier and
9	McDonell Chris McDonell were asking we had gone in
10	my brother Keith and I had gone in to make statements
11	surrounding, I think, my brother's death, and that's when I
12	took the telephone book, and gave it to them.
13	MR. RUEL: You gave it to the OPP?
14	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
15	MR. RUEL: At one of the meetings you had
16	with them?
17	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. Right.
18	MR. RUEL: We're going to cover it.
19	MR. SEGUIN: Okay.
20	MR. RUEL: I'll just cover that point right
21	now.
22	You've had some I guess either at the
23	funeral home or the funeral with Ron Wilson; is that
24	correct?
25	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, that's right.

1	MR. RUEL: Can you tell us about that
2	conversation?
3	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. I went in and I talked to
4	him, you know, about Ken's death and I believe he was a
5	friend of Ken's and I believe he also had to pick up the
6	body. I guess that wasn't an easy thing to do.
7	MR. RUEL: He was the director of the
8	funeral home, right?
9	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, he owned I believe
10	owned it. He had mentioned and by that yeah, he
11	mentioned that settlement and he was quite angry and he
12	MR. RUEL: Which settlement are you
13	referring to?
14	MR. SEGUIN: Well, the \$32,000 to David
15	Silmser.
16	MR. RUEL: Okay.
17	MR. SEGUIN: And he was quite angry that
18	they had made that settlement. Now, "they" meaning he
19	mentioned like Malcolm and the bishop, and I think he said
20	others that were involved in that settlement because he
21	thought that was put Ken in a very bad situation where
22	he had no way of really defending himself because, you
23	know, the media and so on, it's almost the settlement
24	was sort of an admission of guilt. So it put Ken in a very
25	bad situation.

1	MR. RUEL: But do you know if Ken was
2	involved or part of that settlement in any way?
3	MR. SEGUIN: No, he wasn't, no.
4	MR. RUEL: So why would it be an admission
5	of guilt
6	MR. SEGUIN: Well, because he's
7	MR. RUEL: on
8	MR. SEGUIN: Because he was a friend of
9	Father MacDonald's and his name was mentioned in the
10	statement which was released.
11	MR. RUEL: But by that point the statement
12	had not been released publicly?
13	MR. SEGUIN: No, no, that's yeah, that's
14	right. Yeah.
15	MR. RUEL: So how did you know about that?
16	MR. SEGUIN: Good question. Let's see;
17	where were we again?
18	MR. RUEL: Because the statement was made
19	public, I mean to the in January of 1994
20	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah.
21	MR. RUEL: by Charlie Greenwell, a
22	journalist at CJOH; is that your recollection?
23	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, that's right, yeah.
24	MR. RUEL: So before that, it was not public
25	in the sense of the general public knowing about it; is

1	that your recollection?
2	MR. SEGUIN: Right, right.
3	MR. RUEL: So how did you learn about the
4	settlement?
5	MR. SEGUIN: Well, it was a it was a
6	general knowledge in in right after it was made, I
7	believe.
8	No, maybe he was the one that mentioned it.
9	Actually, I can't be sure of that.
10	MR. RUEL: Okay.
11	MR. SEGUIN: But he did mention that that
12	it was there was a settlement of some sort and they were
13	involved and he was pretty mad at them.
14	MR. RUEL: And that would not show Ken in a
15	good light?
16	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah, that's right.
17	MR. RUEL: Or something of that nature?
18	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, that's right.
19	MR. RUEL: Is it accurate to say, Mr.
20	Seguin, that you and your family but I guess you better
21	speak about you had some suspicions about around the
22	circumstances of your brother's death?
23	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, we right away, I mean
24	we obviously had questioned particularly the rest of the
25	family questioned, you know, how he died; knowing that he

l	was	depres	ssed	and	all	the	rest	of	it,	Ι	went	along	with	it
2	and	said,	"Wel	1,	okay.	. "								

there, the -- I think Dr. Conway, who was the coroner at the time, I think he had mentioned to the pathologist or something -- this is what I got out later -- and that he wasn't supposed to tell anybody about the results or whatever, but my wife, Nancy, went down to the -- to the pathologist's and asked to see some of the -- the pictures and so on and -- because we were sort of questioning about this; I didn't know that she was going to do this -- but -- and she saw some things what -- like there was something on his hand, what was -- she thought it was a cut but I guess later on they said it was blood or something like that.

There were a few things that she saw that she thought should be questioned.

Then when I saw the coroner's sort of report, it seemed to be very, very simplified and not very explanatory or anything like that, so I decided to try to clarify the situation so I sent a -- a letter to the Dr. Young, I believe, of the Coroner's Office in Toronto and they then got Bechard, I think it was, in Kingston, to look into it and ---

MR. RUEL: So the police officers, the OPP officers that were at the scene, I guess ---

2	MR. RUEL: at Ken's house, did they
3	explain to you what they found?
4	MR. SEGUIN: Did the police? Yes, they did.
5	We we went down, I think it was a week
6	and a half after Ken's death, I went, before Christmas, I
7	think it was, to Lancaster and talked to Chris McDonnell
8	and Millar about this and they told us it was suicide and -
9	- and that type of thing.
10	So that was before I think we you know,
11	we would have gotten the report from the coroner.
12	And they also mentioned questions about
13	well they didn't they didn't actually come out and say I
14	don't think, as I remember, that they thought Ken was a
15	pedophile or something; they just started talking about
16	Milton (phonetic) MacDonald, who was Millar's father-in-
17	law, I think it was, and sort of suggesting that there's a
18	lot of this type of thing going on, you know, sexual abuse
19	with minors and, you know, then asked us what we thought of
20	around Ken's place and and that type of thing.
21	And then they mentioned the tapes, I
22	believe. The two tapes that they had found at Ron Leroux'
23	and said that Ron Leroux had, at one point, said it was
24	Ken's they were Ken's.
25	MR. RUEL: So this is a discussion with

MR. SEGUIN: M'hm.

1	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, Millar
2	MR. RUEL: Millar Constable Millar
3	_
4	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
5	MR. RUEL: from the OPP?
6	MR. SEGUIN: Right.
7	MR. RUEL: You're ahead of me in my
8	organization
9	MR. SEGUIN: Oh.
10	MR. RUEL: but did you have any I
11	mean, they were mentioning things
12	MR. SEGUIN: Well, yes, we had no
13	MR. RUEL: happening at Ken's place?
14	MR. SEGUIN: You know, we had no idea what
15	they were really talking about and, as I remember, my
16	sister and brother with me, and they weren't really too
17	happy with them for bringing up things that we had, you
18	know, were thought and they didn't seem to have any sort
19	of backup. They didn't you know, we people that
20	would ask questions, and right away, and they just sort of
21	seem to be at a loss to explain why they would bring this
22	up.
23	MR. RUEL: Okay. Let me come back to this
24	point
25	MR. SEGUIN: Okay.

1	MR. RUEL: a little bit later.
2	I just want to cover a few points
3	concerning, you mentioned a coroner.
4	And is it accurate to say that you called
5	for an inquest into your brother's death to take place?
6	MR. SEGUIN: We had well, you know, we
7	didn't really know how to really go about all this but, you
8	know, trying to clarify what the heck really happened here,
9	so we thought, "Well, the first thing we better do is call
10	a lawyer."
11	So we talked to Don Johnson and he got
12	around to, "Well, how would we really find out exactly what
13	happened," or whatever.
14	And so the idea of an inquest came up and I
15	don't think it was necessarily a serious possibility but
16	Don Johnson said that he would ask and and about it.
17	MR. RUEL: Okay. And do you know what
18	happened?
19	MR. SEGUIN: Oh, yeah, he did ask and and
20	I believe we did get a reply, I think, that suggested that,
21	"No, there wouldn't be an inquest." What we sort of
22	expected in any case.
23	MR. RUEL: And how did you react?
24	MR. SEGUIN: Well then, we had to
25	actually that after we left there, we really didn't

1	think about that really that much; we just had to go on to
2	other matters because then it started to come out in the
3	media and then that became the the next thing to deal
4	with.
5	MR. RUEL: So you mentioned the post-mortem
6	examination report.
7	Madam Clerk, if we could have Exhibit 970?
8	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
9	MR. RUEL: So, Mr. Seguin, I don't know if
10	you have the document in front of you.
11	I believe this is a report you were
12	referring to. This is dated November 26 and it was
13	required by Dr. Conway, who was the coroner who handled the
14	case, I guess. So you remember that document?
15	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
16	MR. RUEL: You had this is the document
17	that you had some concerns with. Your as you indicated
18	you felt that it was rephrase, I guess, but you've said
19	it was not that detailed enough or it was not clear enough
20	in your view.
21	MR. SEGUIN: M'hm.
22	MR. RUEL: Okay. And then you made a
23	complaint. I don't know if we can call this a complaint,
24	but you've raised concerns
25	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah.

1	MR. RUEL: with the Chief Coroner's
2	office about this post-mortem examination report?
3	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, that's right.
4	MR. RUEL: And this is Document Number
5	124163.
6	Sorry, before we go there, I'm just going to
7	ask you just in that document, the second-to-last page,
8	at 8 is Cause of Death and the pathologist there wrote, you
9	know, on January 6 th , 1994. I'm sorry, I have to correct
10	the date because this report seems to be the report
11	itself seems to be dated January $6^{\rm th}$, 1994, that that was
12	attributed to hanging. So did you did you contest that
13	conclusion in anyway?
14	MR. SEGUIN: No, I don't think we it's
15	just that we had some questions about what we saw. I think
16	one of the things that in my own sort of experience, I had
17	gone in there that night and there was some things that I
18	was questioning myself. I saw there's a long railing
19	going upstairs and it was all
20	MR. RUEL: Upstairs? That's in Ken's house?
21	MR. SEGUIN: That's right. And it was all
22	bloody, straight all the way down on the railing and it
23	looked like to me very even and as if it was wiped on. So
24	I couldn't figure out, well, why would that be the case.
25	And although I don't think I mentioned it in any complaint

1	that's I just thought that was a strange thing to see,
2	that it was hard to well, like someone wiped it. Why
3	would one why would he wipe the whole thing, you know,
4	and it was very even.
5	And the blood spots on the floor in the
6	kitchen were, as far as I could see, there was no
7	footprints or anything like that in it. There's nothing
8	that I could see that would show me that he was walking
9	around in you know, with blood leaking out and why
10	wouldn't it be showing where he actually stepped and so on,
11	and they even say that there were bloody socks and so on,
12	so it just didn't seem I still don't understand that
13	one.
14	MR. RUEL: Okay, so the document the next
15	document is 124163.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit Number 1027 would
17	be a letter dated March $28^{\rm th}$, 1996 addressed to James Young
18	Chief Coroner from Mr. Douglas Seguin.
19	EXHIBIT NO./ PIECE NO 1027
20	(124163) Letter from Douglas Seguin to
21	Dr. James Young dated March 28th, 1996
22	MR. RUEL: Mr. Seguin, this letter here is
23	not signed but do you recall sending this or a
24	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
25	MR. RUEL: substantially similar letter

1	to the Chief Coroner, James Young, in 1996. You do recall
2	that?
3	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
4	MR. RUEL: So there you have some concerns,
5	time of death, forensic tests. There were some issues with
6	respect to the external examination?
7	MR. SEGUIN: M'hm. M'hm. Yeah, there's
8	MR. RUEL: So then you got a response on
9	July 12^{th} , 1996 and this is Document Number 124170.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Exhibit 1028
11	is a letter dated July $12^{\rm th}$, 1996 addressed to Mr. Doug
12	Seguin, Douglas Seguin, from Mr. Benoit Bechard, M.D.,
13	Regional Coroner for Eastern Ontario.
14	EXHIBIT NO./PIECE NO 1028
15	(124170) Letter from Benoit Bechard to
16	Doug Seguin dated July 12th, 1996
17	MR. RUEL: So, Mr. Seguin, this is the
18	response you got to your concerns with respect to the post-
19	mortem report. Is that correct?
20	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, that's right.
21	MR. RUEL: So each of the points that you
22	each of the concerns, I guess, is answered?
23	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah, they
24	MR. RUEL: And at the last page, Dr. Bechard
25	writes:

1	"Our conclusion is that your brother,
2	Kenneth, died at his own hands. I
3	believe that the proper investigation
4	was done to ensure that this was a
5	death by suicide and not by homicide."
6	MR. SEGUIN: M'hm.
7	MR. RUEL: And earlier on that page he
8	mentions:
9	"My review of the case indicates that
10	you or members of your family have met
11	with the investigators a total of 83.5
12	hours between November 25, 1993 and
13	July 6, 1994."
14	And he mentions meeting with Inspector
15	Hamelink. So when he says, "with the investigators", are
16	those investigators of the Coroner's office?
17	MR. SEGUIN: No, no, I think that looks like
18	it's this also had to do with the investigation into the
19	possible extortion by
20	MR. RUEL: Oh, I see.
21	MR. SEGUIN: David Silmser, that whole
22	thing.
23	MR. RUEL: So he's referring to police
24	investigators there?
25	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah, yeah.

1	MR. RUEL: Okay. The conclusion that was
2	made here that he had died essentially
3	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah.
4	MR. RUEL: that it was a suicide, did
5	you challenge that after the receipt of this?
6	MR. SEGUIN: No, we didn't no, it's
7	they did their what we asked them to do and that was
8	fine and we went on from there.
9	MR. RUEL: Okay. You have some specific
10	complaints about the Coroner, Dr. Conway, as well with
11	respect to his conduct. Can you tell us a bit about that?
12	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, we my wife and I did go
13	down and talk to him, I think it must have been early '94,
14	I guess, and he was just off-hand about if it's, you know,
15	just regular knowledge that and said something about Ker
16	was a homosexual and that
17	And so we asked him, well, where did you get
18	that idea, since we were unaware of it. Well, where did he
19	get the idea.
20	MR. RUEL: So Dr. Conway said that to you
21	and your wife?
22	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. M'hm.
23	MR. RUEL: Okay.
24	MR. SEGUIN: And so, you know, so we asked
25	him, well what and he said, well, the OPP had told him

1	that. And I think one of the reasons we they've
2	challenged it or was the fact that we saw in the
3	well, I'm not sure if we had about the AIDS testing and
4	we thought, well, if that's what they were doing then they
5	must have assumed other things, but I guess that was a
6	he told us that was a standard test they do in any case.
7	That's what he said.
8	But I think we just left it that way
9	wondering, you know, why he got this idea and then why was
10	it so easy for him to make that have that conclusion.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, in this letter here
12	it says that first page of Exhibit 1028:
13	"We were told by Dr. Conway that an
14	AIDS test has been done had been
15	done and there is no report of such
16	a test on the PM Report."
17	And then he goes on:
18	"The reporting of AIDS testing is
19	controlled by Freedom of Information
20	and Protection and Privacy Act."
21	In this letter he doesn't
22	MR. SEGUIN: He doesn't mention that.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: He doesn't oh, wait a
24	minute.
25	MR. SEGUIN: Well, where did I get

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Just a minute.
2	MR. SEGUIN: I didn't get the exact words.
3	I believe he had known about it, in any case.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, you're right.
5	"In this case, since this was done as
6	part of Coroner's autopsy, Dr. Young
7	would be the only person allowed to
8	authorize release of this report. This
9	has been considered necessary to reduce
10	the number of people having access.
11	The result of the AIDS testing in this
12	case was negative."
13	So at the beginning of the paragraph he says
14	there's no report of such a test, but then at the end he
15	says it's negative. So I don't know where we go with that.
16	MR. SEGUIN: Maybe when we had gone down to
17	see him he might have mentioned that to us and that's when
18	we had found out
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
20	MR. SEGUIN: that he had done an AIDS
21	test. But at any rate, we had found out about it and
22	questioned him on it.
23	MR. RUEL: Well, if I can just to clear
24	that point maybe, the previous exhibit, Exhibit 1027, this
25	is the complaint, I guess, the concerns that you brought

1	concerning with respect to the post-mortem report. In
2	paragraph 2 you talk about that:
3	"Since the OPP initially investigated
4	the death as a homicide on the advice
5	of the Cornwall Police, why was alcohol
6	the only item checked for in the blood
7	and urine since you unaccountably
8	assumed my brother had been homosexual.
9	Dr. Conway told me"
10	MR. SEGUIN: Okay.
11	MR. RUEL: "my brother's blood was tested
12	for AIDS. No evidence for that
13	assumption exists. Is it standard
14	practice for Coroners to assume the
15	deceased is homosexual and test the
16	deceased's blood for AIDS?"
17	So you had an issue with respect to
18	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah.
19	MR. RUEL: any suggestion that your
20	brother might have been homosexual. Is that the concern?
21	MR. SEGUIN: That's right. And see that,
22	when it says here:
23	"Dr. Conway told me that my brother's
24	blood was tested for AIDS."
25	So I think it was at that meeting my wife

1	and I had with him that he would have told us about that,
2	and it wasn't on the
3	MR. RUEL: So would it be fair to say that
4	this paragraph here is we're going to come to that
5	but is representative of your actions because you have
6	intervened with respect to, I mean, various institutions,
7	the fact that it was mentioned by some people that your
8	brother was homosexual was an issue for you and your
9	family?
10	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah, simply because we were
11	seeing a lot of this, you know, this being equal to this.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: What do you mean by that?
13	MR. SEGUIN: Well, being homosexual meant
14	that you were a pedophile, and I think that's a lot of
15	well, by that time we wouldn't have known too much about, I
16	suppose, what Carson Chisholm and so on were eventually
17	saying, but the about that one equals the other.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: We know that to be we
19	know, as a society, that
20	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah, that's right.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: one does not equal
22	the other.
23	MR. SEGUIN: That's right. But there is
24	sort of a general undertone that some people do. And so it
25	would bother us that that connection might be there.

1	MR. RUEL: So you wanted to
2	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah, clarify or, you know,
3	find out. See, particularly with people, you know, that
4	has high status like a coroner or whatever, when they say
5	something to the media or whatever, people listen to it.
6	And so we're just trying to say, "Hey,
7	where'd you get this?" or "Why do you say that? How do you
8	know that? You know, you didn't ask us, so how do you know
9	it?" And we'd find out that there would be no they'd
10	have a blank. They wouldn't have any, you know "Well,
11	this person said it" or "That person said it". So we'd
12	just keep following back to see where all this originated.
13	MR. RUEL: And did you have a problem let
14	me just frame it I'm trying to understand what you're
15	saying. You didn't have a problem with, I guess, the media
16	or any other person in Cornwall reporting on your brother
17	as long as it was verified and accurate? You didn't have a
18	problem with reporting?
19	MR. SEGUIN: No, if we thought it had some
20	accuracy to it, then fine, but we were finding that most of
21	it was way off base.
22	MR. RUEL: There's a mention in that letter,
23	which is the last sorry, Mr. Commissioner, I didn't have
24	time to write the number.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: It's 1027?

1	MR. RUEL: Yes.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, 1027 is the
3	letter that Mr. Seguin wrote.
4	MR. RUEL: It's the following, so it's
5	THE COMMISSIONER: One-zero-two-eight (1028
6	
7	MR. RUEL: 1028.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: letter from Mr.
9	Bechard.
10	MR. RUEL: So at page the second page of
11	this document there's a mention about a complaint against
12	Dr. Edgar
13	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
14	MR. RUEL: regarding a press interview.
15	And Dr. Edgar was a Cornwall Coroner. Is that correct?
16	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, replaced
17	MR. RUEL: So what was the issue with Dr.
18	Edgar? You made a complaint against Dr. Edgar?
19	MR. SEGUIN: Right. Well, simply because I
20	already knew that they had that the Coroner's Office
21	didn't like Dr. Young didn't like people talking out of
22	turn. So Dr. Edgar did as well, and I think he was one of
23	the in some article or whatever. It was actually in the
24	Standard Freeholder, I believe, that he actually had said
25	something in there as well in support of Perry Dunlop and

1	what he was saying. And so we thought that was improper
2	given what the action taken against or what they said
3	already about Dr. Conway.
4	So we I made another complaint, but then
5	they told me that someone had already complained about it
6	and they were already looking into it.
7	MR. RUEL: So they're saying here Dr.
8	Bechard is saying:
9	"I have already started dealing with
10	this and Dr. Edgar resigned as Coroner
11	on October 10."
12	MR. SEGUIN: Right.
13	MR. RUEL: "Since action has been taken
14	with respect to similar complaint and
15	since it's not usual to discuss
16	personal matters, I decided not to
17	write back to you."
18	So essentially they were saying to you it
19	had been dealt with?
20	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah. M'hm.
21	MR. RUEL: So those are your issues with the
22	Coroner's Office, essentially?
23	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, pretty well. We made our
24	I made my complaints and it was dealt with, and that was
25	pretty well it.

1	MR. RUEL: Okay. You've had some other
2	dealings with public institutions, correct
3	MR. SEGUIN: M'hm.
4	MR. RUEL: here in Cornwall with respect
5	to your late brother?
6	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
7	MR. RUEL: You've had some dealings with the
8	OPP?
9	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, as far as like complaints
10	or something?
11	MR. RUEL: No, no, but dealings generally?
12	Just establishing the general framework
13	MR. SEGUIN: Oh yeah.
14	MR. RUEL: you had some discussions with
15	the OPP?
16	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, we had quite a few
17	conversations, particularly when that first in '94, when
18	they were looking into the possible extortion by David
19	Silmser.
20	MR. RUEL: Okay. I'll come to that.
21	MR. SEGUIN: So we had a lot of
22	conversations back and forth with Hamelink and Fougere and
23	so on.
24	MR. RUEL: You've had some dealings with the
25	Cornwall Police Service and the Police Complaints

1	Commission?
2	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, that's right. When we
3	found out that
4	MR. RUEL: Briefly, because I'm going to
5	cover those in detail later.
6	MR. SEGUIN: Okay.
7	MR. RUEL: But generally, you've had some
8	dealings
9	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, yes.
10	MR. RUEL: Yes. You had some issues with
11	the Children's Aid Society. You made a complaint against
12	Richard Abell?
13	MR. SEGUIN: That's right.
14	MR. RUEL: You have issues with the media as
15	well?
16	MR. SEGUIN: M'hm.
17	MR. RUEL: You've complained about the
18	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
19	MR. RUEL: way the media was reporting
20	the information about your brother?
21	MR. SEGUIN: That's right. M'hm.
22	MR. RUEL: That's right.
23	So you had some issues with the Coroner's
24	Office. You had issues with the politicians as well, with
25	Mr. Guzzo in particular?

1	MR. SEGUIN: That's right.
2	MR. RUEL: And you've complained to the
3	well, both two premiers, Premier Harris and
4	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
5	MR. RUEL: and Premier Eves about Mr.
6	Guzzo's conduct?
7	MR. SEGUIN: M'hm.
8	MR. RUEL: So you've been quite involved in
9	the community in terms of trying to
10	MR. SEGUIN: You know
11	MR. RUEL: Well, maybe I can phrase the
12	question as, why did you make all of those interventions?
13	MR. SEGUIN: It was mostly to find out what
14	really was happening as far as investigations or what
15	because he was dead there is no investigation really that's
16	going to go on, and yet people were saying incredible
17	things in public, in the media, and we just needed to have
18	clarification and to have people to if they're going to
19	say something they've got to back it up some way or another
20	and we just didn't see that they were.
21	They were just throwing this out and it
22	affected us, you know, obviously a large family hearing
23	this all of the time and we felt it was our right to be
24	able to have those questions clarified to our satisfaction.

Other than that, you're going to have all of

1	this just laid out there as fact become, you know, the
2	rumours ended up, as we well know, as essentially fact.
3	MR. RUEL: So you say those issues were
4	never investigated. Is that what I mean, your brother
5	was dead
6	MR. SEGUIN: Right.
7	MR. RUEL: so there was no like, for
8	the police, for example, I'm suggesting to you that there
9	couldn't be any investigation on his
10	MR. SEGUIN: Right.
11	MR. RUEL: Any allegations of criminality
12	because he was dead.
13	MR. SEGUIN: Well, I think in the
14	investigation into David Silmser and the extortion I think
15	they did within that they probably I think they did
16	question a lot of people. Like, I think they questioned
17	Ron Leroux and a lot of different people at that time.
18	And in that sense they were sort of
19	investigating my brother I suppose to that extent, but it
20	wasn't an official one and it would never become public so
21	it didn't really help us out in any way. So we were still
22	left with trying to clarify the why he died and how he
23	died.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Could we take a short
25	break, Maître Ruel?

1	MR. RUEL: Sure.
2	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
3	veuillez vous lever.
4	This hearing will resume at 2:50 p.m.
5	Upon recessing at 2:40 p.m.
6	L'audience est suspendue à 14h40
7	Upon resuming at 3:04 p.m.
8	L'audience est reprise à 15h04
9	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
10	veuillez vous lever.
11	This hearing is now resumed. Please be
12	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
13	DOUGLAS SEGUIN, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
14	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
15	RUEL (Cont'd/Suite):
16	MR. RUEL: Mr. Seguin, we talked about
17	various interventions you made to the police, the
18	Children's Aid Society, the media. So were you driving
19	this as a family member? Were you the only one involved in
20	those interventions?
21	MR. SEGUIN: Well, yes, I was the one
22	writing up the complaints and sending them out.
23	MR. RUEL: So would you do
24	MR. SEGUIN: With the support of the family.
25	I mean, they would be behind it, would be supporting it,

1	but I'd be doing the writing.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: You were the
3	spokesperson?
4	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, yes.
5	MR. RUEL: You were the spokesperson?
6	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, right.
7	MR. RUEL: Was the family unanimous? I
8	mean, were they all supporting you for all of your actions?
9	MR. SEGUIN: I would say so, yes.
10	MR. RUEL: So let's talk about the OPP, the
11	Ontario Provincial Police. You've talked earlier about a
12	meeting or a discussion you had with, I think you mentioned
13	constable, but I think it's Detective Constable Miller
14	MacDonell
15	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
16	MR. RUEL: following Ken's death?
17	MR. SEGUIN: That's correct.
18	MR. RUEL: Do you remember that?
19	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
20	MR. RUEL: If I suggest to you that it was
21	on December 15 th , 1993 would that be
22	MR. SEGUIN: Very like
23	MR. RUEL: possible?
24	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, that's sounds yes.
25	MR. RUEL: So what was the nature what

1	was the
2	THE COMMISSIONER: What was your concern?
3	MR. SEGUIN: Well, we were down there just
4	to find out what their findings were in the investigating
5	his death.
6	MR. RUEL: So did you ask for that meeting
7	or they called you?
8	MR. SEGUIN: I think we probably asked them.
9	We probably called and asked them when they would be
10	getting finished with their report and so on. But I think
11	we wanted to talk to them as soon as possible.
12	MR. RUEL: So it's about your brother's
13	death essentially.
14	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. Right. M'hm.
15	MR. RUEL: And you had some you've
16	mentioned some comments I guess that were made by the
17	officers
18	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
19	MR. RUEL: regarding your brother?
20	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
21	MR. RUEL: And you had some issues with
22	that?
23	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, we did, yeah.
24	MR. RUEL: So then
25	MR. SEGUIN: And then because of that we

1	THE COMMISSIONER: What were the comments?
2	MR. RUEL: What were the comments, yes?
3	THE COMMISSIONER: What were the concerns?
4	MR. SEGUIN: The suggestion that there were
5	abuse of young people and
6	MR. RUEL: On the part of your brother?
7	MR. SEGUIN: Yes well, I guess they were
8	mentioning my brother, but they had no specific names or
9	anything of that sort, well, except Silmser. I think that
10	was David Silmser. I think that might have been brought
11	up.
12	You know, they brought up Milton MacDonald
13	and the possibility that, you know, that type of thing was
14	happening.
15	MR. RUEL: So what did you how did you
16	react?
17	MR. SEGUIN: Well, we reacted as if you
18	know, sort of insulted because we did not know anything
19	about this and so we at that point we didn't tell them
20	this at the time but then we got in touch with an Inspector
21	Fougere in Long Sault, who was the eastern or was the
22	head of the sort of the region around this area.
23	MR. RUEL: So just before you go there, who
24	was there at that meeting with Detective Constable Millar
25	and McDonell? Was there any other police officer?

1	MR. SEGUIN: No, just those two police
2	officers and my sister, Helena, and my brother, Keith.
3	MR. RUEL: Okay. So then you're talking
4	about Inspector Fougere. So in what context did you call
5	Inspector Fougere?
6	MR. SEGUIN: Well, from what we heard from
7	these two, then we contacted him with the idea that we
8	were sort of going to complain about their, I suppose, the
9	conduct of sort of what we thought was sort of an insult.
10	You know, they were coming out with things that they just
11	couldn't back up, and
12	THE COMMISSIONER: So they came out in their
13	conversation with you with the suggestion that your late
14	brother was abusing young people. Is that what
15	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah, I guess that's
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, no
17	MR. SEGUIN: Well, it was sort of more of a
18	general discussion of what was happening in the area and
19	they were bringing up Milt MacDonald and so on and bringing
20	in Silmser. So there was an implication that my brother
21	and
22	THE COMMISSIONER: An implication of what
23	now?
24	MR. SEGUIN: Of sexual abuse.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, okay.

1	MR. SEGUIN: And then they brought in the
2	idea of the two tapes that they had found at Ron Leroux's
3	place.
4	MR. RUEL: So what did they say about those
5	tapes?
6	MR. SEGUIN: Well, they just mentioned that
7	they had, you know, prior investigation into guns, I guess.
8	They had gone to Ron Leroux's place and C-8s and they in
9	the process they had found two tapes, and why they would
10	have taken them I don't know, but they did, and they
11	MR. RUEL: What
12	MR. SEGUIN: Then later they I guess they
13	had asked Ron to come in to pick them up or whatever, and
14	at some point he had said that they were Ken's, not his.
15	MR. RUEL: Who's "they said that"?
16	MR. SEGUIN: The two policemen: Millar and
17	
18	MR. RUEL: At some point they said to not
19	at that meeting, but
20	MR. SEGUIN: Yes,
21	MR. RUEL: earlier on?
22	MR. SEGUIN: yes, at that that
23	meeting they were telling us this.
24	MR. RUEL: So they said, at that meeting,
25	that those tapes belonged to Ken?

1	MR. SEGUIN: They said that Ron Leroux said
2	that.
3	MR. RUEL: Okay, I see.
4	So then you went to Inspector Fougere and
5	why did you do that and what did you say?
6	MR. SEGUIN: Well, we just thought that
7	there was something we we just didn't quite grasp the
8	nature of what they were saying, so we went up there to
9	complain about that to we thought they had been way too
10	vague and here we are, a week and a half after my brother's
11	death, you know, why are they saying this? So we went up
12	there.
13	When we got there, Fougere mentioned that
14	I don't know whether to placate us or what or whatever, but
15	he did say that he thought already that David Silmser could
16	possibly be charged with extortion.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Sir
18	MR. SEGUIN: Or, at the very least
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. What I need, you
20	know to we're going to go through, I guess, a number of
21	situations that you have some concerns about.
22	MR. SEGUIN: Right.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. Okay. I need to
24	know you say, "We went and seen Inspector Fougere"; who
25	was that?

1	MR. SEGUIN: Oh, I see.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: So, you know, it's like a
3	breakdown, like a computer, you say, "Okay, this is the
4	event. These are the people. This is what they said.
5	This is what I said; this is the result." Okay.
6	MR. SEGUIN: Okay. Well, when I say "we", I
7	believe it was I believe it was Keith, Helena and I
8	think my wife Nancy was
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Was Keith?
10	MR. SEGUIN: Keith, yes.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: And the second person?
12	MR. SEGUIN: Helena.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Helena.
14	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Who?
16	MR. SEGUIN: And my wife Nancy, I believe.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Helena, what's her last
18	name?
19	MR. SEGUIN: Wheeler.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, okay.
21	So where did you go?
22	MR. SEGUIN: To Long Sault.
23	We had called before and he had
24	MR. RUEL: So you brought your, I guess,
25	complaint and then what happened?

1	MR. SEGUIN: Well, we didn't really follow
2	up on the complaint at all because then he had mentioned
3	about the possibility of looking into extortion charges
4	against Silmser and said that they would put someone on it,
5	and he did bring in Inspector Hamelink I believe it was,
6	and and he did mention, he said, you know, "You could
7	sue Silmser for," not what is it? When you cause a
8	death of a person; homicide it's
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Manslaughter?
10	Involuntary manslaughter or
11	MR. SEGUIN: No, no, no. I'll think of it
12	later.
13	MR. RUEL: Okay. Is it then I want to
14	bring you to February, 1994; is it accurate to say that you
15	were interviewed by the OPP on February 3rd, 1994 and
16	that's by Detective Constable Génier and Chris McDonell;
17	-
18	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, that's correct.
19	MR. RUEL: do you remember that?
20	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
21	MR. RUEL: Do you remember I mean, who
22	called did you go there by yourself or you were called
23	by the OPP?
24	MR. SEGUIN: I think we were called, I
25	believe; I mean they had set it up. They asked my brother

1	Keith and I to make statements.
2	MR. RUEL: Okay. So that's the
3	MR. SEGUIN: And that was the time when we
4	gave him the telephone book.
5	MR. RUEL: I see. So I would like to refer
6	you to document number 715290.
7	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
9	Exhibit number 1029 is an interview report
10	of Mr. Doug Seguin at Lancaster at the Long Sault
11	Detachment on the 3rd of February, 1994.
12	EXHIBIT NO. /PIÈCE NO 1029:
13	(715290) Interview Report - Doug Seguin
14	with OPP D.C. Genier and C. McDonell
15	dated February 3rd, 1994
16	MR. RUEL: Mr. Seguin, have you had the
17	chance sorry have you had a chance to review this
18	interview report?
19	MR. SEGUIN: No, I don't think so.
20	MR. RUEL: So do you remember giving an
21	interview on
22	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
23	MR. RUEL: on February 3rd, 1994?
24	So the context of this is with respect to
25	which aspect of the investigation; was it about your

1	brother's death or was it about the extortion or something
2	else?
3	MR. SEGUIN: This I think this is more to
4	do well, I think both, really. I think it was going
5	into my the the extortion and the and my brother's
6	death.
7	MR. RUEL: Okay.
8	MR. SEGUIN: and I don't quite remember
9	exactly the basis of it but
10	MR. RUEL: So at page 2, for example, if we
11	can just go through that briefly, page 2, they were asking
12	you some information about Ken:
13	"On average, for example, how often
14	would you see Ken?"
15	You answer them:
16	"For the past six months, at least
17	twice a week."
18	And you indicated:
19	"We'd go see my mother. He would come
20	over for supper so that similar
21	information as"
22	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah, I would have.
23	MR. RUEL: So they were asking you, at the
24	middle of the page, about Father Charlie.
25	MR. SEGUIN: Okay.

1	MR. RUEL: And the following page, similar
2	questions I've asked you:
3	"Who are Ken's closest friends?"
4	So you covered that. You mentioned Don
5	Warden.
6	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah, that's right.
7	MR. RUEL: Fern Touchette; you've mentioned
8	the Touchettes earlier?
9	MR. SEGUIN: M'hm, m'hm.
10	MR. RUEL: At the bottom of the page, the
11	question:
12	"As far as you know, have you ever
13	thought your brother would do this?"
14	And you answer:
15	"No, I didn't see it."
16	So what was the do you know what the
17	"this" was, what was the reference? I'm trying to it's
18	not clear from the transcript, but is it committing
19	suicide?
20	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, that yeah, that's what
21	I would have been thinking about, at the time. Because,
22	yeah, I was talking to Louise Quinn and she had mentioned
23	to me the she thought he also thought that she noticed
24	that he was sort of down and so on.
25	MR. RUEL: So the following page, page 4 was

1	a discussion about Silmser.
2	MR. SEGUIN: Where is that now?
3	MR. RUEL: Well, one, two, three, four,
4	five, six sixth line.
5	MR. SEGUIN: On page 4?
6	MR. RUEL: Page 4.
7	The question is:
8	"Is there anything else you think we
9	should know, anyone to see?"
10	And then you mention:
11	"Well, Silmser once stole a car when he
12	was a juvenile."
13	MR. SEGUIN: All right. Okay.
14	MR. RUEL: Did you know Mr. Silmser?
15	MR. SEGUIN: No. No, I didn't. I had no
16	knowledge of him before this, at all.
17	MR. RUEL: So you gave some information
18	there; you said:
19	"Who should be talk to?"
20	And then you mention:
21	"Well, he gave a report to Malcolm
22	MacDonald, five or six pages."
23	So are you talking are you referring to
24	Ken there?
25	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah, m'hm. That was, yeah.

1	MR. RUEL: Did you see that report?
2	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, I saw that the pages
3	that he had wrote written my brother had written.
4	MR. RUEL: So would it be accurate to say
5	that this is a report of Ken that Ken wrote about his
6	dealings with David Silmser?
7	MR. SEGUIN: I'm not sure at that right
8	at that point where whether I don't think I yeah,
9	it probably came from there.
10	It later on that I I had talked to
11	neighbours of David Silmser when he was very, very young,
12	six or seven years old, and they had also and someone
13	who lived very close and they had stolen his father's car
14	or whatever, and
15	MR. RUEL: But you had
16	MR. SEGUIN: There was a story, but I
17	wouldn't have known that just then.
18	MR. RUEL: Okay.
19	MR. SEGUIN: So I think I got that from
20	_
21	MR. RUEL: I was asking about a report that
22	was given to Malcolm MacDonald and was asking you did you
23	ever see that report and what did it say?
24	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah, I hadn't read it just
25	lately. The it just had his contacts with David

1	Silmser. I guess Malcolm had him write up any contacts he
2	had with him.
3	MR. RUEL: So did you see that? Did you see
4	that report?
5	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, yes.
6	MR. RUEL: Who showed it to you?
7	MR. SEGUIN: It was Malcolm. I had heard
8	about it. I'm not sure it was Malcolm or the two
9	policemen, but once I knew about it, I went to Malcolm and
10	asked to see it.
11	MR. RUEL: So in the middle of the page
12	here, the question is:
13	"What would you like the police to do?
14	Are you satisfied it's suicide?"
15	Then you answer:
16	"Yes, I believe it's suicide. I don't
17	think all the family does."
18	So just to stop there, what were you
19	referring to, all?
20	MR. SEGUIN: Well, I think particularly some
21	of my sisters, I don't think knowing Ken and so on, they
22	just didn't expect that. So they just thought it was
23	unlikely and but that's what I meant by that statement.
24	MR. RUEL: Okay. And then you write or you
25	said:

1	"Personally, I believe Silmser made up
2	the story. He's capable of it."
3	What based on what information did you
4	make that statement?
5	MR. SEGUIN: I talked to a Stewart Russo,
6	who actually had Silmser on
7	MR. RUEL: Who is Stewart Russo?
8	MR. SEGUIN: Well, he's a probationer at
9	probation officer, retired now. But I went to his home
10	because I was going to the different probationers
11	probation officers to see what they had to say about all
12	this, and Stewart Russo told me that he was on probation
13	Silmser was on probation with him and that he was clever,
14	very manipulative and just not to be trusted. So
15	MR. RUEL: But you never met you never
16	met Silmser yourself?
17	MR. SEGUIN: No, no, never.
18	MR. RUEL: And then you
19	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry. So a
20	probation officer is telling you this, his comments about a
21	former probationer?
22	MR. SEGUIN: That's right.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
24	MR. SEGUIN: You don't is there a problem
25	there or

1	THE COMMISSIONER: I wonder what the rights
2	to privacy would say about that. If you had a doctor who
3	was talking to other people about you
4	MR. SEGUIN: Well, okay, I'm just letting
5	you know what
6	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, no, I'm just
7	thinking out loud. Here we go.
8	MR. NEUBERGER: The debate about the rights
9	to privacy is a significant debate, but we have this
10	gentleman's evidence as to what his conversation was with
11	Mr. Russo without this Commission having any benefit of
12	what Mr. Russo's evidence is on that point.
13	So I suggest we save the comments about
14	breach of any confidentiality when we have his evidence.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, we are going to have
16	him?
17	MR. NEUBERGER: That's up to the Commission,
18	but if a comment is going to be made about a breach of
19	confidentiality by a probation officer, I think it's up to
20	the Commission as to whether they want to call him or not.
21	He's been interviewed and we're prepared, if this is going
22	to become a question.
23	I'm just concerned about comments being made
24	about any individuals who are not being called.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: No

1	MR. NEUBERGER: So
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, what I'm concerned
3	about is if the institutional response is that we have
4	evidence from this gentleman that some other probation
5	officer is saying comments about a former probationer,
6	yeah, I think that would be an issue.
7	MR. NEUBERGER: I agree, but I think the
8	debate about what the breach may be is an issue
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
10	MR. NEUBERGER: in the abstract at the
11	moment. I understand Mr. Seguin's evidence on that point
12	and I think it becomes a significant point, but I want to
13	be careful as not raising concern about this if nobody
14	calls Stewart Russo to reply to this.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
16	MR. NEUBERGER: Otherwise, it's just simply
17	thinking out loud. It's not a point that can be made
18	against the probation service or Mr. Russo if that evidence
19	isn't sought from him directly.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: He would no, you're
21	right. I mean, fairness would be that
22	MR. NEUBERGER: Correct.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: we put him on the
24	stand and we find out what's going on.
25	MR. NEUBERGER: That's why I'm raising at

1	this time if the comment is made on record, I think I have
2	to protect that point.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Fine. Fine.
4	MR. NEUBERGER: Thank you.
5	MR. RUEL: If I may carry on with this same
6	paragraph, you say or you said:
7	"My knowledge of Ken as a person, his
8	way of life, makes me believe that Ken
9	was not capable of sexually assaulting
10	anyone. That's horseshit"
11	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
12	MR. RUEL: "Read Silmser's statement. He
13	says Ken sexually assaulted him when he
14	found out he was best friends with
15	Father MacDonald."
16	So I guess you didn't believe the
17	allegations?
18	MR. SEGUIN: No.
19	MR. RUEL: That's pretty clear.
20	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
21	MR. RUEL: And did you was there any
22	discussion as to the pursuing the extortion
23	investigation during that interview?
24	MR. SEGUIN: Well, they did, and they it
25	was at the end of '94 that they brought us in. Inspector

it up.

24

1	Hamelink brought us in, "us" being my brother and sister,
2	to Long Sault and made a report on it.
3	MR. RUEL: So then you mention at the bottom
4	of the page the issue of the telephone book and that that's
5	when, I guess, you explain how you got the telephone book
6	and you gave it to the police at that point. Would that be
7	accurate?
8	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, that's right.
9	MR. RUEL: You've just reading from this,
10	you've talked to a lot of people. You've talked to Stewart
11	Russo. You've talked to Ron Leroux. You talked Gerald
12	Renshaw. You talked to Malcolm MacDonald.
13	So were you carrying some type of, if I can
14	use the term, investigation on your own into the
15	circumstances of your brother's death or any other issue
16	related to Ken?
17	MR. SEGUIN: Well, I was trying to get
18	information to supply my family with some answer as to what
19	could have happened surrounding this, in particular, the
20	what was said in the media. It's not like, to be
21	accused of sexual abuse isn't some small little thing. So
22	you're it was pretty important to find out what really
23	happened here, and who is saying what, and could they back

1	any training in interrogation techniques?
2	MR. SEGUIN: No, no.
3	MR. RUEL: Do you have any training as a
4	police officer?
5	MR. SEGUIN: No.
6	MR. RUEL: Anything of that nature?
7	MR. SEGUIN: No, no.
8	MR. RUEL: Mr. Commissioner, just for the
9	record, I think it would be fair to the witness to file the
10	handwritten version of this statement, which is Document
11	715417.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
13	Exhibit 1030 is the handwritten interview
14	report of Doug Seguin with Officer Génier and MacDonald
15	(sic) McDonell, sorry, which starts at nine o'clock in
16	the morning and finishes at 11:15.
17	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1030:
18	(715417) Handwritten interview report
19	of Doug Seguin with Officer Génier and
20	McDonell
21	MR. RUEL: Thank you.
22	Mr. Seguin, is this your handwriting?
23	MR. SEGUIN: No, no
24	MR. RUEL: It's not?
25	MR. SEGUIN: Mr. Génier wrote this down.

1	MR. RUEL: So the last page seems to be your
2	signature. Can you identify that?
3	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
4	MR. RUEL: And there's some initials at the
5	bottom of the pages, D.S.
6	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, that's
7	MR. RUEL: Would that be
8	MR. SEGUIN: That's mine.
9	MR. RUEL: So you've read this?
10	MR. SEGUIN: I've
11	MR. RUEL: You've read this at the time?
12	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, I wrote yes, I they
13	had asked me to read it over and make any changes, which I
14	think I made a couple of changes in it.
15	MR. RUEL: Did you ever meet I'm going to
16	move to another set of meetings. Did you ever meet OPP
17	officer Tim Smith?
18	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. He and I think it was
19	Fagan, came to my wife's and I house and interviewed us,
20	and I don't know the exact date but I think it was actually
21	before they started the actual Project Truth I thought.
22	But they were interested in what we could say well, the
23	Bishop Larocque, and they told us it wasn't anything to
24	do with my brother so that was obviously because he was
25	dead, they couldn't say there was an investigation I

1	suppose.
2	But I think it came about because my wife
3	had talked to Bishop Larocque and gone to see him and talk
4	to him and I think in some conversations on the telephone
5	or Hamelink or whatever, they found out and so they came to
6	talk to mostly her, I guess, about the conversation and so
7	on. And they did talk about Ken's statement to Malcolm
8	there and that's pretty well it I guess.
9	MR. RUEL: You've had some a number of
10	meetings with Detective Inspector Hamelink?
11	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, that's right.
12	MR. RUEL: This is related to the extortion
13	investigation
14	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
15	MR. RUEL: against David Silmser?
16	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah.
17	MR. RUEL: Or concerning David Silmser.
18	Ultimately charges were not laid against Mr. Silmser.
19	MR. SEGUIN: No, no.
20	MR. RUEL: You were consulted on this?
21	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. At the end of '94,
22	members of my family and I went in and had a meeting with
23	Hamelink.
24	MR. RUEL: So I just want to show you a
25	document. We're going to go through that briefly.

1	Do you have any issue with this
2	investigation, the way it was conducted?
3	MR. SEGUIN: Only that I thought they in
4	fact, I asked him, I said, "Did you actually talk to
5	Silmser" and I think they said "No". They might have but I
6	don't know. I thought they really didn't do much
7	investigation of Silmser himself but, in fact, were really
8	looking at my brother's involvement in you know, talk to
9	I guess he went down to the States to talk to Ron
10	Leroux, and they talked to his friends, and I think Al
11	Laplante and Don Warden and people like that during that
12	time.
13	And, of course, we made our statements, my
14	brother and I, so they were getting around talking to a
15	number of people. I think it was C-8 and Gerry Renshaw I
16	believe as well. So they did talk to quite a few people
17	but it seemed to surround the actual focus was more on
18	my brother than it was on Mr. Silmser.
19	MR. RUEL: That's your impression?
20	MR. SEGUIN: Well, yes, that's
21	MR. RUEL: How many meetings did you have
22	with them, do you remember? With him sorry, Hamelink.
23	MR. SEGUIN: Maybe four. I can't quite
24	remember. It was in that one-year period in it would be
25	at least four I would think.

1	MR. RUEL: I'm just going to show you
2	document 725119.
3	THE REGISTRAR: Can you repeat that number?
4	MR. RUEL: It's 725119.
5	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit
7	Number 1031.
8	What are these, sir?
9	MR. RUEL: Those are notes from Detective
10	Inspector Hamelink for the extortion investigation.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
12	EXHIBIT NO./ PIÈCE NO P-1031:
13	(725119) Handwritten notes of Det.
14	Insp. Hamelink dated February 1 st , 1994
15	to December 30 th , 1994
16	MR. RUEL: I just want to show a few
17	excerpts to the witness so to verify if it prompts his
18	memory as to some of the points discussed there.
19	For example, at page the notes are
20	numbered maybe we could use well, I think it's going
21	to be easier to use the Bates pages actually. Page
22	7098222.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: So those are the numbers
24	on the top left-hand corner, sir,
25	MR. SEGUIN: Oh, okay.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: he's referring to.
2	I'll find it for you and then I'll tell you what page.
3	It's Roman not Roman number 2. With the 2 right
4	there?
5	MR. SEGUIN: Okay. Yes, okay.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: So at 120 it says:
8	"Met with Nancy and Doug Seguin."
9	It gives your address, things like that.
10	MR. RUEL: So that's on February 3 rd , 1994.
11	There seemed to have been a meeting early in the afternoon
12	with you and Nancy and apparently Keith as well, because
13	it's written in the middle of the page:
14	"Doug and Keith expressed concern about
15	what persons were saying about Ken's
16	sexual preferences in the community."
17	Do you remember that?
18	MR. SEGUIN: Can you give me that number
19	again? Is it 220?
20	THE COMMISSIONER: No.
21	MR. SEGUIN: No.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: There's a page missing?
23	MR. SEGUIN: Okay.
24	MR. RUEL: So "Doug" that's the middle of
25	the page:

1	"Doug and Keith expressed concern about
2	what persons were saying about Ken's
3	sexual preferences in the community?
4	Do you remember that?
5	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
6	MR. RUEL: Saying that to the
7	MR. SEGUIN: Okay. Right.
8	MR. RUEL: So you do remember?
9	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, okay, I remember the
10	MR. RUEL: Okay. Then at page 7098232.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Which would be number 8
12	in the middle there.
13	MR. SEGUIN: Okay.
14	MR. RUEL: So that's April 13 th , 1994:
15	"Spoke with Doug Seguin at 16:55.
16	Spoke with Doug Seguin. He actually
17	talked about nothing to do with his
18	brother's sexuality [I believe].
19	Doesn't believe it's true, re: Silmser
20	allegation."
21	Do you remember that?
22	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, yes, okay.
23	MR. RUEL: So, again, you were saying the
24	same thing about Silmser that you
25	MR. SEGUIN: That's right.

1	MR. RUEL: that you talked about before?
2	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
3	MR. RUEL: The next page, in the middle of
4	the page:
5	"Doug Seguin also didn't believe the
6	allegation by Silmser against Father
7	Charlie."
8	You said that?
9	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah, I believe so, right.
10	They were asking me, yeah, what I thought.
11	MR. RUEL: Then you made reference to a
12	complaint about Charlie Greenwell?
13	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, okay.
14	MR. RUEL: Then you mentioned he felt Tim
15	Smith, or T. Smith, may have a slanted view regarding the
16	sexual assault investigation because of comments he made.
17	Do you remember saying that? Tim Smith having a slanted
18	view?
19	MR. SEGUIN: Okay, well, I don't know that I
20	used those terms, but I think I was explaining to them when
21	I was talking, when they came to the house. Tim Smith
22	showed us the or mentioned the statement by Ken to
23	Malcolm and said that we believe that this statement is
24	false or whatever and so I questioned them. I read it
25	myself so and I

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Is that statement in
2	evidence?
3	MR. RUEL: I believe that's the yes, I
4	believe so. That's the statement given by
5	MR. SEGUIN: Silmser, my brother to Malcolm,
6	right?
7	MR. RUEL: Yes, I think, I believe it is
8	yes.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, maybe at the break
10	or sometime you could refresh my memory as to what the
11	exhibit number is. It's not necessary now, I just need to
12	have
13	MR. RUEL: I believe that we have three
14	statements actually. Exhibit 960, 961, 962.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, okay.
16	MR. RUEL: So, Mr. Seguin, those are
17	statements we understand that were given to
18	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. Yes.
19	MR. RUEL: your brother to Malcolm
20	MacDonald. Are those, do you remember have you seen
21	those documents?
22	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, yes, I have seen them.
23	MR. RUEL: All of them?
24	MR. SEGUIN: Well, there were I think
25	there was a brief one and then this one.

l	MR. RUEL: So what was the discussion with
2	Mr. Smith?
3	MR. SEGUIN: Mr. Smith, well he
4	MR. RUEL: statements
5	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah, he just said that he
6	thought that they were not true or what he was saying was -
7	- and so I said, "Well, what would you give that
8	impression?" Because I had read it and I could see nothing
9	that was, you know, out of the ordinary.
10	So he said, well, that he had they had
11	sent it to some psychologists in Toronto or whatever and
12	they that was their what they thought and so I
13	thought I was so I just thought what nonsense. So I
14	asked for their names and I was going to I said I'd like
15	to talk to them to find out exactly why they would say
16	that. I mean they, obviously, if that was true they would
17	have had to have had some really deep knowledge of how
18	people write and all the rest of it and I thought, geez,
19	I'd like to hear what they would say about that.
20	And because when I wrote it, I could, you
21	know, I'm not an expert on that, but I'd like to know about
22	it, understand it, so but he just said oh, oh, no, I
23	don't have the names or whatever and
24	MR. RUEL: In those statements, I gather
25	that your brother was not making any admission of wrong

1	doing towards Silmser?
2	MR. SEGUIN: No. No.
3	MR. RUEL: But would you not agree that the
4	police, and in this case the OPP, I mean, they would
5	presumably speak to many people about the allegations. I
6	mean, would you agree with that?
7	If you were investigating to some point, I
8	mean they were speaking to people.
9	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. That's correct.
10	MR. RUEL: So they were getting information
11	from sources. So did you know all of the information they
12	were getting from people about your brother?
13	MR. SEGUIN: No, and from what I gathered,
14	but at that point I probably knew more than they did, you
15	know, from the people that I was talking to. And, in fact,
16	by that time they I don't think they had any.
17	By '90, at the end of '94, Hamelink
18	mentioned that even though they talked to a lot of people,
19	up to that point the only real complaint was from Albert
20	Roy and they didn't have anything else.
21	MR. RUEL: I'm coming back to the notes of
22	Detective Inspector Hamelink, page 35 circled at the top of
23	the page, which is Bates page 7098286.
24	It's December; it's a meeting that in the

94

notes -- December 29th, 1994 and Detective Inspector

1	Hamelink writes:
2	"Attended Long Sault for a meeting with
3	Doug Seguin, which had been previously
4	arranged. When this meeting was
5	arranged last week, I expressed the
6	Crown's opinion to Seguin regarding the
7	lack of evidence to pursue charges
8	against Silmser for extortion."
9	So he's referring to an earlier discussion, I would assume,
10	and then he met with you, Keith and Hilda. Is that
11	possible?
12	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, that's right.
13	MR. RUEL: Who is Hilda?
14	MR. SEGUIN: My brother Keith's wife.
15	MR. RUEL: Oh, I see. And then they were
16	collectively advised that it was the opinion of the
17	Director of Crown Griffiths that there were no grounds to
18	extortion. I mean, there's words missing, but extortion
19	against Silmser, so I guess they were he was telling you
20	that
21	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah.
22	MR. RUEL: that he had received an
23	opinion and there was no grounds to lay charges against
24	Silmser?
25	MR. SEGUIN: That's right.

1	MR. RUEL: Is that accurate?
2	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah, that's they had
3	mentioned that, but they already also mentioned sort of why
4	that was the case and I think at that time they found
5	or that sort of time they decided maybe to look into or
6	charge Malcolm MacDonald having to do with the \$32,000
7	settlement.
8	MR. RUEL: So did you accept that? How did
9	you react to Mr. Hamelink's comments that they were not
10	going to pursue this?
11	MR. SEGUIN: Well I thought I think I
12	probably mentioned my displeasure at that because I thought
13	it should have been the case, but there was nothing you
14	can't go any further if they decide not to.
15	MR. RUEL: If you can go to page the
16	Bates page, so that's in on the left, top-left corner,
17	7098289.
18	At the bottom of the page as it's written in
19	the notes:
20	"I advise them that my investigation
21	was closed. Until other new evidence
22	surfaces, it would remain closed.
23	Keith Seguin wants the entire matter
24	stopped as he felt it would serve no
25	useful purpose and it may"

1	I can't read the next three words. I gather
2	he was saying that it would put through having to go
3	through this would be difficult for the family according to
4	Keith Seguin, and then it's written:
5	"Doug or Helena did not indicate the
6	same feeling as Keith did over the
7	matter, rumours, media, et cetera."
8	So is that your recollection? Some
9	discrepancy in the family as to how the authorities were to
10	proceed with those
11	MR. SEGUIN: Well
12	MR. RUEL: this investigation?
13	MR. SEGUIN: Well, as an investigation, it
14	was over as far as this was as they told us.
15	But it was becoming tough on the family
16	members and some I think Keith just showed that he was
17	sort of fed up with the thing up to that point and would
18	rather it sort of just at that point, just sort of go
19	away. But I'm sure that was a momentary frustration on his
20	part.
21	MR. RUEL: So did you accept the what
22	Detective Inspector Hamelink told you in terms of not
23	pursuing this matter or did you challenge it?
24	MR. SEGUIN: Only in I think he had at
25	one time said that we could look at anything they had

1	found, you know, statements and so on. We just assumed he
2	meant that we could look at all of the information that he
3	had gathered since there was going to be another
4	investigation into Malcolm MacDonald and so on. Obviously,
5	he would say no, but perhaps I didn't quite grasp that
6	point and I was a little irritated by the fact that he
7	wouldn't allow us to see some of the statements.
8	MR. RUEL: What do you mean? You wanted to
9	see statements from
10	MR. SEGUIN: Well
11	MR. RUEL: Which statements?
12	MR. SEGUIN: Well, I think he had statements
13	from C-8 and Albert Roy and that
14	MR. RUEL: But why would they show that to
15	you? I mean
16	MR. SEGUIN: Well, that's what I'm saying; I
17	probably was somewhat out of line there in it's just
18	that he had promised that.
19	MR. RUEL: Oh, he had promised that?
20	MR. SEGUIN: That's right. He had mentioned
21	to us that he would do that. And when he didn't, then it's
22	
23	MR. RUEL: You are aware that an
24	investigation took place here in Cornwall, the Project
25	Truth investigation, the OPP investigation?

1	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
2	MR. RUEL: You're aware of that?
3	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
4	MR. RUEL: Did you have discussions,
5	meetings, with Project Truth members, including Pat Hall,
6	Don Genier or others?
7	MR. SEGUIN: Except for Tim Smith and Fagan
8	that time, I don't I actually can't remember at this
9	time.
10	MR. RUEL: Not at this time, but through the
11	years, I guess?
12	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah, well, through the years I
13	don't think we really had much contact with them, but I
14	can't jus remember. If you have something, you just let me
15	know, but at the moment I don't remember much of any
16	contact except I did call Patrick Hall up in I think it was
17	'99 probably, yeah. I was working out of Port Hope at that
18	time and there was an article in the newspaper, the <u>Toronto</u>
19	Sun, and I was talking to the managing editor of the
20	Toronto Sun about the article and I was telling him that he
21	really did have incorrect information in there.
22	MR. RUEL: So the article was about
23	MR. SEGUIN: Well
24	MR. RUEL: Did it mention Ken?
25	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, it did.

MR. SEGUIN: And I think it was a quote by Guzzo, I think it was, and it said something like, "He was down here in Family Court, and he was very shocked to hear that he might have been sending kids from the court into the hands of certain" -- you know, into the hands of ---

MR. RUEL: Probation officers?

MR. SEGUIN: Right. M'hm. At that time there was some other things, but I just can't remember. I think there was -- in that article there was something about a murder actually happening at my brother's, and guns, and things like that. You just got things all mixed up. So I -- when I was talking to this -- I'm not sure if it was Snoblen or I forget exactly his name, but he -- I said, "Well, why don't you call Patrick Hall and find out?" And, you know, I gave him the telephone number because I knew the Project Truth phone number.

A little later that day, I think I called

Patrick Hall and I said -- I was talking about this

particular -- I was wondering, well, where would he get

information like that. And he said, "Well, he didn't get

it from us because it's wrong." But he mentioned something

about -- he said, you know, something about 22 tapes or

that type of thing, and I thought to myself, "Now, I didn't

hear that." That's the first time I ever heard that. And

1	I thought I had known about the two tapes from Millar and
2	Chris McDonell, but I never heard these tapes.
3	So I asked you know, I asked him about
4	this, "Are you really sure of that?" Because in the back
5	of my mind, I already knew that well, no one had
6	mentioned that and certainly the police had never mentioned
7	extra. So I didn't actually say any more about it. I just
8	left it at that, and he must have gotten in contact with
9	his own you know, maybe with Millar and Chris McDonell
10	to find out the truth.
11	But at any rate, this Toronto manager of the
12	Sun, he called back and he said, "Yeah, you're right; this
13	isn't true." And then he added that the truth is the
14	facts are moot to the story, which I thought was pretty
15	wild thing to say. And they never they didn't change
16	anything.
17	MR. RUEL: So essentially what you're saying
18	is that when you correct me if I'm wrong, when you were
19	hearing or reading about information you thought was not
20	accurate concerning your brother, you would go to the
21	authorities to make sure this
22	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah, I
23	MR. RUEL: the information be verified
24	and
25	MR. SEGUIN: Right.

1	MR. RUEL: that the journalist in this
2	case would report information that was accurate?
3	MR. SEGUIN: Right.
4	MR. RUEL: So that was your purpose?
5	MR. SEGUIN: That's my, yeah, purpose.
6	That's right.
7	MR. RUEL: Okay. Thank you.
8	MR. RUEL: And you is it accurate to say
9	that you were interviewed at your workplace by the
10	Detective Constable Seguin at some point
11	MR. SEGUIN: That's right.
12	MR. RUEL: concerning one of your former
10	
13	coworkers
13	coworkers MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
14	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
14 15	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. MR. RUEL: of an allegation?
14 15 16	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. MR. RUEL: of an allegation? MR. SEGUIN: There was in between I
14 15 16 17	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. MR. RUEL: of an allegation? MR. SEGUIN: There was in between I think let's see I think I had well, let's see, I
14 15 16 17 18	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. MR. RUEL: of an allegation? MR. SEGUIN: There was in between I think let's see I think I had well, let's see, I had gone to Europe, I guess, a young person, and I'd come
14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. MR. RUEL: of an allegation? MR. SEGUIN: There was in between I think let's see I think I had well, let's see, I had gone to Europe, I guess, a young person, and I'd come back, and I think that was and I needed a job just
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. MR. RUEL: of an allegation? MR. SEGUIN: There was in between I think let's see I think I had well, let's see, I had gone to Europe, I guess, a young person, and I'd come back, and I think that was and I needed a job just January through August or whatever before I went back to
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. MR. RUEL: of an allegation? MR. SEGUIN: There was in between I think let's see I think I had well, let's see, I had gone to Europe, I guess, a young person, and I'd come back, and I think that was and I needed a job just January through August or whatever before I went back to school, and I got a job with the Youth Centre in Cornwall.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. MR. RUEL: of an allegation? MR. SEGUIN: There was in between I think let's see I think I had well, let's see, I had gone to Europe, I guess, a young person, and I'd come back, and I think that was and I needed a job just January through August or whatever before I went back to school, and I got a job with the Youth Centre in Cornwall. I think back then you didn't need to have any training or

1	THE COMMISSIONER: You have the monikers'
2	list, do you?
3	MR. SEGUIN: No, I haven't.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: No, you wouldn't. That's
5	right. Sorry.
6	He shouldn't have it?
7	MR. RUEL: I don't there is. He's not
8	THE COMMISSIONER: No, he shouldn't really
9	because then he'll see all the other names.
10	So why don't you write down the name and
11	then show it to Mr. Ruel.
12	MR. RUEL: I know the name. I don't think
13	there's a moniker, but the person is being investigated as
14	a possible
15	MR. SEGUIN: Well, Brian yeah, Brian
16	Dufour, but that's not the one. I'm thinking of the person
17	who's making the accusations.
18	MR. RUEL: No, no, I'm talking about the
19	person who was your co-worker.
20	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah.
21	MR. RUEL: So essentially they were
22	investigating one of your co-workers
23	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. Correct.
24	MR. RUEL: and they came to see you?
25	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.

1	MR. RUEL: And you were interviewed?
2	MR. SEGUIN: M'hm.
3	MR. RUEL: And essentially you didn't have
4	anything of interest to them to report about this person in
5	terms of
6	MR. SEGUIN: No, I could
7	MR. RUEL: allegations of misconduct
8	that this person may have been involved in?
9	MR. SEGUIN: No, I didn't have anything to -
10	- there was nothing I could see.
11	MR. RUEL: So I want to talk to you about
12	now some of the complaints you've made concerning the
13	media.
14	Do you remember a broadcast made on January
15	5, 1994
16	MR. SEGUIN: That's correct.
17	MR. RUEL: and it was Charlie Greenwell
18	on CJOH?
19	MR. SEGUIN: That's correct.
20	MR. RUEL: So that's television in Ottawa?
21	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
22	MR. RUEL: It's a television station.
23	MR. SEGUIN: M'hm.
24	MR. RUEL: And did you remember well,
25	first of all, Mr. Greenwell, you had discussions with him

1	prior to the broadcast; is that correct?
2	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
3	And on the evening before.
4	MR. RUEL: Okay. He called you or you
5	called him?
6	MR. SEGUIN: No, he called me, around ten
7	o'clock in the evening I think it was.
8	And really what I think he was thinking he
9	was going to be talking to my sister-in-law but they were
10	away and so he got a hold of me and I think he was referred
11	to, I think he said by he was talking to someone in the
12	probation officer, but any rate
13	MR. RUEL: So what did he say?
14	MR. SEGUIN: Well, after introducing himself
15	and said saying this was off the record, he then talked
16	about Ken and that there were allegations and, you know,
17	and, of course, we hadn't didn't know anything specific
18	by that time except the vague notion from Chris McDonell
19	and and Millar.
20	So anyways
21	MR. RUEL: What did you mean the "vague
22	notion"; what are you referring to?
23	MR. SEGUIN: Well, as I mentioned that
24	before that it was and that's what we had complained

about, about that conversation in December ---

1	MR. RUEL: I see. Okay.
2	MR. SEGUIN: Okay, we went through that.
3	The
4	THE COMMISSIONER: You're with Mr.
5	Greenwell. He's talking to you.
6	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, okay. See the
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes? So it's off the
8	record?
9	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, yes. Okay.
10	And he started into talking about that there
11	was a statement out there by someone and that if and did
12	I know that the fellow had recanted the these
13	allegations?
14	MR. RUEL: I'm sorry, "this fellow", you
15	mean is this
16	MR. SEGUIN: David Silmser, sorry.
17	MR. RUEL: So did he say he had a statement
18	he told you he had a statement?
19	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah well, what yes, he -
20	- at the end he did say he was getting a statement.
21	And and I think that was there was
22	something about you see, a newspaper's in they or
23	even any journalist, I think when they're going to come out
24	with something, they always make sure that they have
25	another organization or something to come out with the same

1	thing and it's sort of lends credence to the story, so he
2	had mentioned that he had sent the statement to the Ottawa
3	Sun people but I think it was Jackie Leroux, I think it
4	was, and then he and then he was getting it back.
5	Now I I had three conversations with him
6	and I right at the moment I'm trying to exactly which
7	what was what and what conversation.
8	MR. RUEL: Let me just to help you: Was
9	there an allegation did he mention an allegation against
10	your brother in that statement?
11	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, yes he did; that's why he
12	was calling, right.
13	MR. RUEL: Okay. And he mentioned you
14	talked about "recanting"; did he use those terms? He said
15	
16	MR. SEGUIN: Well, that's
17	MR. RUEL: "Silmser recanted his
18	allegation against
19	MR. SEGUIN: That's what told
20	MR. RUEL: against Ken." Is that what
21	he said to you?
22	MR. SEGUIN: That's what he said.
23	MR. RUEL: Or that's what you understood?
24	MR. SEGUIN: Well, that's what I heard and

107

my wife was upstairs; she was on the -- 'cause we knew that

1	this was coming in, she was listening on the telephone
2	upstairs, so
3	MR. RUEL: And then what happened; how did
4	you react?
5	MR. SEGUIN: Well, I just said, "Well, I
6	suppose that's true," you know, that he if things were
7	being alleged and then they were it was gone, then
8	perhaps that's, you know.
9	But that's so, yeah, what what's the
10	next question do you
11	MR. RUEL: Well, I was just wondering what
12	you replied.
13	MR. SEGUIN: Oh yeah, well, it's just that -
14	- I just said, "Well, I," you know, "I suppose that's
15	possibly true."
16	MR. RUEL: And did he mention the source;
17	where he obtained the statement or who he obtained the
18	statement from?
19	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, it was now, I'm not
20	sure if it was the first conversation or the next but, in
21	any case, I was sort of pressing him for how he could
22	verify this and and what statement is he talking about
23	and that type of thing and, yeah, he did mention that he
24	was receiving a statement and I said, "Well, where are
25	getting it," that type of thing.

23

24

25

had, and funny thing is told me he had called -- after talking to me he had called Shaver and also -- now, I

called that night and then I called Greenwell back after I

And I -- see, I believe that's -- I know I

1	really didn't know what I didn't really ask him, I don't
2	think, did he not know did this recantation, was it
3	something he knew by talking to Shaver before or was he
4	just telling it and then he went and verified it with
5	Shaver afterwards, I don't know.
6	But anyway, he told me that after he had
7	talked to me, then he went to Shaver, found out that was
8	the case and then when I called him
9	MR. RUEL: When you say "the case", it was
10	according to you Shaver had confirmed
11	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, yes.
12	MR. RUEL: Mr. Greenwell that
13	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, yes.
14	MR. RUEL: Silmser had recanted?
15	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, that's right.
16	MR. RUEL: That's your understanding?
17	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
18	MR. RUEL: Okay. And that's the second
19	conversation you had
20	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, that's the second one,
21	right.
22	MR. RUEL: So you talked about the
23	conversation you had with Chief Shaver?
24	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah, I believe
25	MR. RUEL: The same day, you believe?

1	MR. SEGUIN: I think it was the same day.
2	MR. RUEL: Okay. What did you discuss with
3	Chief Shaver?
4	MR. SEGUIN: Right at the moment, you see I
5	can't remember if I called Shaver that night or was it that
6	Greenwell told me that he had called that night, but at
7	right at the moment I'm not quite sure of that.
8	MR. RUEL: But did you speak with Chief
9	Shaver?
10	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, I did; later on I I
11	MR. RUEL: Okay. But you don't recall
12	exactly when?
13	MR. SEGUIN: No,
14	MR. RUEL: But you spoke to Chief Shaver?
15	MR. SEGUIN: Not at that first time; I just
16	can't remember it at the moment.
17	MR. RUEL: Okay. But I just want to confirm
18	this; you did speak to Chief Shaver; that's what you're
19	saying?
20	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, I eventually did well,
21	not see, I can't remember exactly if it was that night,
22	because I was there were two or three conversations
23	there and I sort of I'm not sure whether it was
24	Greenwell told me that he called Shaver or whether you

see, he told me to call Shaver but I'm not sure that I did

1	it that night.
2	MR. RUEL: Okay, but
3	MR. SEGUIN: You would have to ask Shaver.
4	MR. RUEL: That's fine, but I'm just asking
5	you: Did you speak to Mr. Shaver eventually?
6	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
7	MR. RUEL: Okay. So what did you discuss?
8	MR. SEGUIN: Well, I asked him to could I
9	go and see him.
10	And then I had gone to his place and
11	MR. RUEL: To his place; to his home or
12	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, his home
13	MR. RUEL: to the office?
14	MR. SEGUIN: his home.
15	And I think I showed him the the
16	statement. I only Greenwell had sent me a part of the
17	statement, just one page, and I think I took that and I
18	we discussed but he wouldn't have known who I was, so
19	even though I talked to him on the telephone, so I
20	introduced myself and and just said who I was.
21	And he, of course, was sorry, his death, and
22	so on and said he was a good probation officer, that type
23	of thing.
24	And then we went into talking about really
25	what was said in the the media at that time, not only

1 CJOH but CJSS.

2 MR. RUEL: Okay. But what did he tell you?

I mean, did you learn anything from that meeting?

MR. SEGUIN: Well, yes, I did. I found out that CJSS had their broadcast they had written out and, of course, it mentioned the place, the wrong place, and so on of where my brother died, that type of thing, and he had a copy of their broadcast type thing, the original. I guess he had gone down and talked to them about it, maybe told them that they were wrong in their facts and he had gotten that page.

MR. RUEL: But did you discuss the actual statement, the investigation of that complaint, of David Silmser's complaint, the allegation against your brother? Did you discuss that with Chief Shaver, and if so, what was said ---

MR. SEGUIN: Well, he ---

MR. RUEL: --- by you and him?

MR. SEGUIN: Well, I would have discussed it, but I don't think he could -- he really couldn't say a lot about any investigation, whether even -- I think I even by that time sort of -- I think this was -- I waited awhile I think before I actually did go to see him the first time, and he -- I think by that time I knew the name Perry Dunlop. I asked about it but he just said he couldn't.

1	Because I remember he couldn't elaborate, you know, because
2	it had been or it was, I guess, under investigation, that
3	type of thing.
4	MR. RUEL: So you didn't learn much from
5	MR. SEGUIN: No, not
6	MR. RUEL: Chief Shaver?
7	MR. SEGUIN: No, not given
8	MR. RUEL: Okay. So the broadcast was on
9	January 5. So what did you remember from that broadcast?
10	MR. SEGUIN: Well, that it had the statement
11	on it and
12	MR. RUEL: The statement was posted?
13	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
14	MR. RUEL: On television?
15	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, that's right.
16	And then it had a picture of my brother, a
17	picture of Father Charles MacDonald and I think a picture
18	of St. Andrews Church, and then there was a picture of
19	probation officers and it I think I it's something
20	about juveniles or whatever and I questioned him about
21	no, or I thought that because I didn't think Ken dealt
22	with juveniles, so I was wondering about that point.
23	Yeah, there were a number of things that I
24	had to remember having to go out to my mother's because she
25	was alone and she always watched the that CJOH news, so

1	I ran out there and just told her beforehand and then she
2	heard this and
3	THE COMMISSIONER: So what's your complaint
4	with the media? What's your concern about that show?
5	MR. SEGUIN: Well, it was putting the
6	statement out as fact and the way they said it I believe
7	you know, this happened and that.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
9	MR. SEGUIN: And we hadn't heard it before
10	and I just didn't think there was any it wasn't
11	substantiated, anything like this at all.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
13	MR. SEGUIN: And so that's why I phoned them
14	back and found out, you know, more about it. It was just a
15	we thought it was very unbalanced and not fair at all.
16	MR. RUEL: Let me take you Mr.
17	Commissioner, I think that will assist you in understanding
18	this.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
20	MR. RUEL: This is document 124215.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. This is
22	Exhibit 1032 is a faxed message from Doug Seguin Douglas
23	Seguin to the CRTC, all right, and I'm sorry; dated
24	January 26 th , 1994.
2.5	

---EXHIBIT NO./ PIÈCE NO P-1032:

1	(124215) Fax message from Douglas
2	Seguin to CRTC dated January 26 th , 1994
3	All right. So you did file a broadcast
4	complaint?
5	MR. RUEL: So, Mr. Seguin, do you remember
6	those again, the versions we have are not signed, but do
7	you remember
8	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah.
9	MR. RUEL: sending to the CRTC
10	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, I did.
11	MR. RUEL: which is
12	MR. SEGUIN: Canadian Radio and Television -
13	
14	MR. RUEL: Yes.
15	MR. SEGUIN: Commission.
16	MR. RUEL: Which, just for the record,
17	Canadian Radio and Telecommunications Commission, CRTC,
18	which is the federal regulator of radio and television
19	essentially.
20	And you remember sending those complaints to
21	the CRTC?
22	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, that's right.
23	MR. RUEL: Okay. So there is one with
24	respect to CJOH and Charlie Greenwell?
25	

1	MR. RUEL: And another one with respect to
2	CJSS radio?
3	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, that's right.
4	MR. RUEL: And to understand the nature of
5	your complaint, there is a general letter to Mr. Darling,
6	who is the or was the Secretary General of the CRTC.
7	And then the second, I guess the third page is a formal
8	complaint or the complaint against CJOH, and then you refer
9	in that document the complaint deals with the
10	programming content of the CJOH T.V. news broadcast of
11	January 5, 1994:
12	"One, the CJOH T.V. news broadcast made
13	false and misleading statements and
14	made use of video portions that mislead
15	viewers concerning my recently deceased
16	brother's professional and sexual
17	conduct, and the false and misleading
18	statements were made in full knowledge
19	of the withdrawal of the allegations by
20	the complainant and the devastating
21	affect that the unjustified use of my
22	brother's name would have on my brother
23	and grieving family, as well as a large
24	number of friends and neighbours who
25	regularly watch the CJOH news

1	broadcast."
2	So am I right to understand that the main
3	concern was that you thought that the statements, as they
4	related to your brother, were false, considering your
5	understanding that Silmser had withdrawn
6	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah.
7	MR. RUEL: Withdrawn his allegation. That's
8	essentially the thrust of your complaint, isn't it?
9	MR. SEGUIN: Well, I wouldn't say it's I
10	just that would be part of it, but I think the it's
11	just that the way they went about it as well.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Who's "they"?
13	MR. SEGUIN: Sorry, the well, Greenwell
14	and the CJOH.
15	The way they went about it was quite
16	misleading and it looked like, you know, they had made up
17	their minds and
18	MR. RUEL: So that's
19	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, yes.
20	MR. RUEL: That's your complaint
21	MR. SEGUIN: Right.
22	MR. RUEL: that the broadcast was biased
23	
24	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
25	MR. RUEL: and unfounded

1 MR. SEGUIN: That's right. 2 MR. RUEL: --- since the complainant had 3 recanted, essentially? 4 MR. SEGUIN: Yes, that would be part of it, 5 yeah. 6 MR. RUEL: According to you? 7 MR. SEGUIN: Yes. Well, according to 8 Greenwell too. 9 MR. RUEL: And then the second complaint is 10 with respect to CJSS? 11 MR. SEGUIN: That's right. 12 MR. RUEL: And that's the -- well, the following complaint. Yes, and Madam Clerk, thank you. 13 14 The complaint deals with the programming content of the CJSS radio news broadcast of January 6th and 15 7th. So following the initial broadcast ---16 17 MR. SEGUIN: M'hm. 18 MR. RUEL: --- of CJOH. 19 "One, CJSS radio station broadcast made 20 a false and misleading statement 21 concerning the location of my brother's 22 recent death. The broadcast gave false 23 information that my brother, probation 24 officer, was jailed, was guilty of

sexual assault and died in jail. Two,

1	the CJSS radio also broadcast false,
2	misleading, unfounded and abusive
3	statements that accused my brother of
4	taking part in sexual acts with young
5	boys while boating on the St. Lawrence
6	River."
7	So you essentially the substance of your
8	concerns, I guess, were with respect to those two points
9	which were inaccurate
10	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, that's right.
11	MR. RUEL: in your view?
12	MR. SEGUIN: M'hm.
13	MR. RUEL: So you got a reply from CJOH. Do
14	you remember that?
15	MR. SEGUIN: After CRTC?
16	R. RUEL: After the complaint to the CRTC.
17	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, I believe so. I haven't
18	read it for a while but
19	MR. RUEL: You've had many letters back and
20	forth with the CRTC; I won't file each of them. I'll try
21	and go to the essential ones. So you got a letter which is
22	document 124204.
23	(SHORT PAUSE / PAUSE COURT)
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit
25	Number 1033 is a letter addressed to Mr. Douglas Sequin

1	dated 14 th February 1994 from Mr. A.D. MacKay, Vice
2	President and Station Manager of CJOH, I guess. Yes.
3	EXHIBIT NO./PIECE NO. 1033
4	(124204) Letter from A.D. MacKay to
5	Douglas Seguin dated February 14 th , 1994
6	MR. RUEL: So just do you remember
7	receiving that letter, Mr. Seguin?
8	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, m'hm.
9	MR. RUEL: So just to go through some of the
10	paragraphs to situate the context.
11	At the bottom of the first page and this
12	A.D. MacKay, Vice President and Station Manager of CJOH,
13	and he was writing, at the bottom of the page:
14	"In the early part of that month our
15	reporter, Charles Greenwell, received
16	information from a source which
17	appeared to be a creditable source
18	regarding a police investigation,
19	Cornwall, of allegations of sexual
20	abuse. The information presented to
21	Mr. Greenwell indicated that an
22	allegation of sexual abuse had been
23	made against a priest in Cornwall and
24	against a probation officer, Ken
25	Seguin."

1	And then he page 2, he mentioned the
2	efforts, I guess, that were made by Mr. Greenwell to
3	interview, well, to speak to the chief of former Chief
4	of the Cornwall Police, the Mayor, the Children's Aid
5	Society and then at page 3 he mentioned that he being
6	Mr. MacKay I'm saying mister but it could be a Ms
7	MacKay, but Vice President, so well, we don't know it's
8	Mr. or Ms. MacKay.
9	And at the top of page 3:
10	"CJOH was also at that time in
11	possession of a copy of the complaint
12	filed by the individual and that
13	complaint made clear allegations of
14	sexual abuse against Mr. Ken Seguin.
15	Mr. Greenwell spoke at length with the
16	complainant and it was clear that the
17	complainant had not retracted the
18	allegations against Mr. Seguin."
19	So I'm just pausing here. You say that
20	Mr. Greenwell told you that Mr. Silmser had recanted and
21	what the CJOH is replying to you here is that their
22	position is that Mr. Greenwell did well, spoke to some
23	people spoke to the complainant, and that the
24	complainant made it clear that he was not retracting, or he
25	had not retracted, the allegations against your brother.

1	So could it be that there was a
2	misunderstanding between you and Mr. Greenwell as to what
3	he told you with respect to the recantation issue?
4	MR. SEGUIN: Not with my understanding of
5	what he said. It's what you got probably understand
6	Mr. Greenwell's how he's going about getting his story.
7	Now, it looks here that he didn't actually
8	talk to Silmser until after he was talking to me and even
9	probably after putting that on the news. So he was getting
10	information from Shaver and whoever he was talking to, but
11	before talking to Silmser.
12	Now, if he talked to him later, and I'm
13	quite sure that that's exactly what Silmser would tell him,
14	that oh no, I didn't retract it, but if it's in the files
15	that he did, the police files, well then that's what
16	happened. But that's obviously what happened here. He
17	hadn't talked to him until after and so this was months
18	later when they made this up.
19	MR. RUEL: Yes, but they are saying here and
20	I mean, this is not your letter, I mean I'm just trying to
21	see what you understand from it because they seem to say
22	that this my reading of this is that those verifications
23	were made before the broadcast by Mr. Greenwell because
24	he's talking about verifications that were made on January

4 and 5 and then at page 3, CJOH was also at that time in

1	possession of a copy of the complaint and spoke at length
2	with the complainant.
3	So, anyways, that seems to suggest that
4	those conversations happened before the broadcast.
5	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah, well, if that's what you
6	understand then you'd have to explain why Greenwell told me
7	what he did and then what he's saying here. That's
8	MR. RUEL: No, that's I'm just verifying
9	if
10	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah.
11	MR. RUEL: So you understood that
12	Mr. Silmser had recanted his allegation. That's what
13	MR. SEGUIN: Yes. That's what he told us
14	and maybe that was his way of Greenwell's way of going
15	about getting a reaction or whatever, I don't know, because
16	that's what he asked after. Well, you know, would your
17	brother still be alive?
18	MR. RUEL: Okay. Now if you can go to
19	Document Number 124198.
20	(SHORT PAUSE / PAUSE COURTE)
21	MR. RUEL: Which is a letter from Keith
22	Clingen, Vice President and General Manager of CJSS.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 1034 is the
24	letter dated April 28 th , 1994 addressed to Mr. Allan J.
25	Darling from Keith Clingen. Yes, Tri-Co Broadcasting.

1	EXHIBIT NO./PIECE NO. 1034
2	(124198) Letter from Keith Clingen to
3	Allan J. Darling c.c. Douglas Seguin
4	dated April 28 th , 1994
5	MR. RUEL: So this letter was sent by the
6	Vice President of the CJSS to Mr. Darling following a
7	request made by Mr. Darling to calling CJSS to respond to
8	your complaint. Is that your understanding?
9	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, m'hm.
10	
11	MR. RUEL: And you were copied on that
12	letter.
13	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
14	MR. RUEL: So you remember that?
15	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, m'hm.
16	MR. RUEL: And in that letter he was, Mr.
17	Clingen was responding to the concerns brought by you, and
18	in the middle paragraph he writes:
19	"Since receiving your letter, I have
20	had a lengthy telephone conversation
21	with Mr. Seguin."
22	Do you remember speaking with Mr. Clingen?
23	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, we my brother, Keith,
24	and I and my wife, Nancy, I believe went in to talk to him.
25	MR. RUEL: So then he goes on he went on

1	to write:
2	"I informed Mr. Seguin that after we
3	completed our investigation we
4	concluded that the station did
5	broadcast incorrect information
6	concerning the location of his
7	brother's death. The reporter
8	responsible for this error was
9	suspended for one week without pay. I
10	believe the station has demonstrated
11	concern about the situation and that we
12	have cooperated in every way possible,
13	including offering a retraction in our
14	letter to the Commission, February $17^{\rm th}$,
15	1994."
16	So I guess you were well, they
17	acknowledged the merits of your complaint
18	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, yes.
19	MR. RUEL: with respect to CJSS. How
20	did you react to that?
21	MR. SEGUIN: Well, no, that was commendable
22	of them and that sort of ended the affair there.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Was there a retraction
24	that was published, sir.
25	MR. SEGUIN: I don't know that there ever

1	like that's on the radio
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
3	MR. SEGUIN: I don't listen to it that much
4	so I'd have to I don't remember that there was.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: But nonetheless you were
6	satisfied
7	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: that they had
9	admitted they did wrong and suspended the guy in
10	MR. RUEL: So the last document I want to
11	show you on this issue is document 124201.
12	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
14	Exhibit Number 1035 is a letter from the
15	Canadian Radio, Television and Telecommunications
16	Commission to Mr. Seguin et al, dated August $8^{\rm th}$, 1994.
17	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1035:
18	(124201) Letter from Allan J. Darling
19	to Douglas Seguin dated August 8 th , 1994
20	MR. RUEL: So, Mr. Seguin, this is a letter
21	from Mr. Darling, Secretary General of the CRTC, to you.
22	Do you remember receiving that
23	correspondence?
24	MR. SEGUIN: Yes.
25	MR. RUEL: So I won't read it all, but this,

1	as Mr. Darling states in the first paragraph, this is the,
2	I guess, final decision of the CRTC with respect to your
3	complaints with respect to the news broadcasts of CJOH and
4	CJSS; correct?
5	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, yes, sorry.
6	MR. RUEL: And they addressed the complaints
7	we've reviewed in your initial letters, but in further
8	correspondence along the way you have with them, you raise
9	some other issues and they address those in this
10	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, I guess. M'hm.
11	MR. RUEL: letter here.
12	So I guess it would be fair to say, and
13	correct me if I'm wrong, that the CRTC agreed with you in
14	part
15	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah, in part, right.
16	MR. RUEL: with respect to your
17	complaint with respect to CJSS, for example, the issue of
18	the location of your brother's death? That's at page 2:
19	"The Commission found that CJSS did
20	broadcast false and misleading news,
21	contrary to paragraph 3 of the Radio
22	Regulation 1986 when it broadcast that
23	a local police officer died in jail.
24	The licensee admitted this breach in
25	its April 28 th , 1994 letter."

1	So this is the letter we reviewed earlier;
2	correct?
3	MR. SEGUIN: That's right. M'hm.
4	MR. RUEL: The second allegation, and you
5	had mentioned that in your earlier complaint, the second
6	allegation being that's at page 2:
7	"The broadcast contained other false
8	and misleading and abusive statements
9	reporting that the probation officer
10	had taken part in sexual acts with
11	young boys while boating on the St.
12	Lawrence River."
13	So on that point the CRTC concluded at the
14	bottom of the page, page 2:
15	"The conclusion of the Commission is,
16	therefore, that despite the opportunity
17	provided to it, the licensee has not or
18	could not establish that its broadcast
19	was not false or misleading with
20	respect to Mr. Seguin's second
21	allegation."
22	So in other words, what they found is that
23	they could not substantiate
24	MR. SEGUIN: Yeah.
25	MR. RUEL: the whether or not this

1	statement was accurate?
2	MR. SEGUIN: Right. Sort of that's sort
3	of a backwards look at sort of saying that
4	MR. RUEL: Yes.
5	MR. SEGUIN: it
6	MR. RUEL: I mean, they did not provide any
7	information
8	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, it did explain, yeah.
9	MR. RUEL: So with respect to CJOH,
10	essentially I'm just going that's at page 4. The
11	main allegation you had against the CRTC and correct me
12	if I'm wrong is at page 4. There's five points here.
13	"The complainant"
14	That's you.
15	"stated that Mr. Seguin was not
16	subject of a police investigation and
17	that the allegations against him had
18	been recanted and he was never
19	charged."
20	What the Commission found, and that's at the
21	top of page 5, and I'm reading in the middle of the
22	paragraph:
23	"Because this is not information with
24	which a police department is legally
25	entitled to release, and the fact that

23

24

MR. SEGUIN: Well, yeah, there's ---

you'll allow me ---

MR. SEGUIN: Right.

MR. RUEL: I think, Mr. Commissioner, if

1	MR. RUEL: Just a second, Mr. Seguin.
2	MR. SEGUIN: Okay.
3	MR. RUEL: You've made some other complaint
4	with respect to the media and they've been dealt with. So
5	this is not the only exercise you've been involved in
6	MR. SEGUIN: No, no.
7	MR. RUEL: with respect to correcting
8	media statements?
9	MR. SEGUIN: That's correct. Yeah, that's
10	correct.
11	MR. RUEL: So in this one you had success.
12	In some other ones did you were you successful with
13	respect to other complaints you've made with the media?
14	MR. SEGUIN: No, there was a in 2004
15	there was a Mr. Guzzo was on there and he really made
16	some wild statements and accusations. So I made another
17	complaint dealing with him, but the CRTC didn't I
18	suppose by that time they were maybe they were sick of
19	listening to me or something, so they essentially just said
20	that, "We weren't going to pass it on to the people who
21	would make a decision", and just by the way they wrote the
22	it looked like CJOH wrote the reply. And they just said
23	they were going to bury it and that was it.
24	MR. RUEL: So you also filed a complaint
25	against Perry Dunlop. Is that accurate?

1	MR. SEGUIN: Yes, that's right.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Is this under the police
3	
4	MR. RUEL: Yes.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, it's 4:35 4:38.
6	We can do that tomorrow.
7	MR. RUEL: Sure.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: All right?
9	MR. RUEL: Thank you.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: We're back at 9:30.
11	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre
12	veuillez vous lever.
13	Upon adjourning at 4:39 p.m./
14	L'audience est ajournée à 16h39
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	I, Dale Waterman a certified court reporter in the Province
6	of Ontario, hereby certify the foregoing pages to be an
7	accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of
8	my skill and ability, and I so swear.
9	
10	Je, Dale Waterman, un sténographe officiel dans la province
11	de l'Ontario, certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une
12	transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au
13	meilleur de mes capacités, et je le jure.
14	
15	ed a vd
16	
17	Dale Waterman, CVR-CM
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	