THE CORNWALL PUBLIC INQUIRY



L'ENQUÊTE PUBLIQUE SUR CORNWALL

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner

The Honourable Justice / L'honorable juge G. Normand Glaude

Commissaire

VOLUME 112

Held at: Tenue à:

Hearings Room 709 Cotton Mill Street Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7 Salle des audiences 709, rue de la Fabrique Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7

Jeudi, May 31, 2007 Jeudi, le 31 mai 2007

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC. www.irri.net (800) 899-0006

Appearances/Comparutions

Mr. Peter E	ngelmann	Lead Commission Counsel
Mr. Pierre Ms. Janie L		Commission Counsel
Ms. Julie G	authier	Registrar
Ms. Reena L	alji	Cornwall Police Service Board
Ms. Suzanne Ms. Diane L		Ontario Provincial Police
Mr. David R	ose	Ontario Ministry of Community and Correctional Services and Adult Community Corrections
Mr. Stephen	Scharbach	Attorney General for Ontario
Mr. Peter C	hisholm	The Children's Aid Society of the United Counties
Mr. Allan M	anson	Citizens for Community Renewal
Mr. Dallas	Lee	Victims Group
Mr. Giusepp	e Cipriano	The Estate of Ken Seguin and Scott Seguin and Father Charles MacDonald
Mr. William	Carroll	Ontario Provincial Police Association
Ms. Jennife	r Birrell	Catholic District School Board
Ms. Lori Ha	rreman	Counsel for C-6

Table of Contents / Table des matières

	Page
List of Exhibits :	iv
Opening remarks/Remarques d'ouverture	1
CATHY SUTHERLAND, Resumed/Sous le même serment	1
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Peter Chisholm(cont'd/suite)	1
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire Mr. Dallas Lee	100
C-6, Affirmed/Sous affirmation solennelle	117
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Mr. Peter Engelmann	117
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Allan Manson	218
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Dallas Lee	223
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Giuseppe Cipriano	226
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Stephen Scharbach	236
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Reena Lalji	260
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. William Carroll	269
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Jennifer Birrell	273
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Lori Harreman	277
Re-Examination by/Ré-interrogatoire par Mr. Peter Engelmann	285

LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	NO
P-482	(738668) of Catherine Donnelly's Child Care Family File		2
P-483	(738670) Letter from Mental Health Clinic (Brockville) to Children's Aid Society (Cornwall) dated 21 Jan 60		16
P-484	(120943) Catherine Sutherland's Cornwall General Hospital History		45
P-485	(738594) Children's Aid Society case notes re: Cathy Sutherland		53
P-486	(739652) Catherine Sutherland's witness statement to the OPP dated 04 Dec 06		74
P-487	(123698) Letter from Catherine Sutherland to Children's Aid Society dated 28 Mar 96		87
P-488	(738617) Interview with Catherine Sutherland at Hamilton-Wentworth Family and Children's Services dated 10 Mar 97		90
P-489	(738614) Letter from Children's Aid Society t Dr. Cornfield dated 27 Nov 98	.0	93
P-490	(738622) Letter from Ministry of Community an Social Services to Children's Aid Society dat 3 Feb 97		96
P-491	(738759) Letter from J.H. Burns to Dr. J. McKeown dated 14 Feb 68	1	.03
C-492A	(120960) Letter from Family Service Worker to Hamilton-Wentworth CAS dated 27 May 88	1	.09
C-492B	(120961) Report on Leclair family	1	.09
P-493	(120963) Letter from Doug Gorringe to Catheri Sutherland dated 11 July 95	ne 1	.11

LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
P-494	(734204) Witness Statement C-6 w\ C.P.S. dated 03 Feb 97	141
P-495	(734233) Witness Statement by Rene Desrosiers dated 30 Apr 97	151
P-496	(117080)C-6 Preliminary Inquiry Vol 2 dated 14 Jan 98	159
P-497	(104148)C-6 Extract from proceedings at trial - Reasons for judgment dated 16 Mar 01	167
P-498	(709963) C-6 Video Taped Interview C-6 w\O.P.P. dated 29 May 97	174
P-499	(111257) C-6 Preliminary Inquiry Vol V dated 24 Mar 99	193
P-500	(733623 7131614-17)Officers notes D/Cst Joe Dupuis dated 20 Oct 99	199
P-501	(701520) Letter from Claudette Wilhelm (MAG) to Pat Hall (OPP) dated 5 Oct 99	227
P-502	(113527) Synopsis re C-6 R. v. MacDonald re: C-6	233
P-503	(200138) Notes from Witness Assistance Program re C-6	252

1	Upon commencing at 9:01 a.m./
2	L'audience débute à 9h01
3	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
4	veuillez vous lever.
5	This hearing of the Cornwall Public Inquiry
6	is now in session. The Honourable Mr. Justice Normand
7	Glaude, Commissioner, presiding.
8	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Good morning,
10	all.
11	Good morning, Ms. Sutherland.
12	CATHY SUTHERLAND, Resumed/Sous le même serment
13	MS. SUTHERLAND: Good morning.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: How are you doing today?
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: I'm fine, thank you.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Good.
17	So, Mr. Chisholm was asking you questions
18	and he will pursue that for a bit now.
19	MS. SUTHERLAND: .
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
21	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
22	PETER CHISHOLM (cont'd/suite):
23	MR. CHISHOLM: Good morning, Ms. Sutherland.
24	MS. SUTHERLAND: Good morning.
25	MR. CHISHOLM: Madam Clerk, if you could

1	pull up Document 738668, please.
2	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
3	THE COMMISSIONER: This is Exhibit 482, which
4	is what, Mr. Chisholm?
5	MR. CHISHOLM: Described as the family file,
6	the Donnelly family file; CAS file, Mr. Commissioner.
7	EXHIBIT NO./PIECE NO P-483:
8	(738668) of Catherine Donnely's Child Care
9	Family File
10	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.
11	MR. CHISHOLM: Ms. Sutherland, you have that
12	document in front of you?
13	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I do.
14	MR. CHISHOLM: If I can get you to turn into
15	six pages, Bates page 7173468.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Again, I find it easier
17	to read it on the screen, but
18	MR. CHISHOLM: Madam Clerk, the October 29,
19	1957 entry is about half way down the page, which is what
20	is of interest to us. If you could scroll down just a
21	little bit more, please?
22	That will do it, I think.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Can we there we go.
24	Now, can you go again?
25	MS. CHISHOLM: Ms. Sutherland,

1	I'm just going to read the passage:
2	"October 29 '57, this was discussed
3	today in supervision. It was decided
4	that we would not at this point take
5	direct action to apprehend Cathy. We
6	will visit weekly in an attempt to
7	assess and help this mother find
8	herself and also to keep an eye on
9	Cathy's treatment and progress. We
10	will go ahead with an appointment at
11	mental health clinic and the charge of
12	non-support."
13	Would you agree with me, Ms. Sutherland,
14	from a review of that passage that your case was discussed
15	and the issue of apprehending you was considered?
16	MS. SUTHERLAND: I would agree, , that they
17	discussed it, yes.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: And you may not agree with
19	the decision, but it was decided to visit weekly in an
20	attempt to assess and help your mother and to monitor your
21	treatment and progress?
22	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I would agree, you
23	know, that that happened.
24	MR. CHISHOLM: And you would agree also that
25	the decision was made to set up an appointment at the

1	mental health clinic for your mother?
2	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes. M'hm.
3	MR. CHISHOLM: And to seek financial support
4	with respect to the charge of non-support. I believe that
5	would be related to your father?
6	MS. SUTHERLAND: I guess my point that I
7	would make is that I you walk into a house, where there
8	is such an extreme level of abuse and
9	MR. CHISHOLM: Sorry, such an extremely
10	MS. SUTHERLAND: an extreme level of
11	abuse, there was you know, the condition I was in at
12	that point was terrible.
13	MR. CHISHOLM: You had you had burns on
14	your feet at that point?
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: No. This was the point,
16	where the worker at another point says that she's never
17	seen such a badly beaten up face.
18	Right, so, I mean to turn around and have a
19	meeting after and decide that the only thing that was
20	really necessary at that point was that Joan got mental
21	health treatment. And that, you know
22	MR. CHISHOLM: So you disagree with the
23	decision that was reached but do you agree there was a
24	process to consider the apprehension of you; right?
25	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, but my point all along

1	is not that there was nothing done. It is questioning the
2	decisions that they did make, you know.
3	MR. CHISHOLM: That's I understand your
4	point.
5	But you agree that once Dr. Lambert made his
6	child protection concerns known, a plan was put in place by
7	the society to intervene; right?
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
9	I I feel like I'm nit picking here. I
10	mean, like how do you define intervene? If you know a
11	child is being abused, like monitoring just seems like
12	voyeurism to me. It's like you go in every time and see
13	there's increasing levels of abuse, but still do nothing,
14	but come back the next time.
15	MR. CHISHOLM: Well, I'm going to suggest to
16	you that the director would indicate there was a plan in
17	place to deal with
18	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I agree with you on
19	that. I'm not disputing that, that they had a plan. I'm
20	just saying this plan was not sufficient.
21	MR. CHISHOLM: And if I could take you,
22	please, to Bates page 7173471.
23	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
24	THE COMMISSIONER: What part?
25	MR. CHISHOLM: The second paragraph on that

6

1	page, Mr. Commissioner.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
3	MR. CHISHOLM: And the I'll read
4	THE COMMISSIONER: So what date are we
5	looking at now?
6	MR. CHISHOLM: The date probably, Mr.
7	Commissioner, referred to, I won't take you there, but the
8	previous page is the margin date is March 7, '58.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
10	MR. CHISHOLM: And I'll just read from page
11	7173471, at the top of the page on the screen"
12	"On this date, worker visited and found
13	Catherine to be in bed with one very
14	badly burned foot and the other
15	slightly burned. One foot was
16	completely covered in a huge watery
17	blister. Mrs. Donnelly explained that
18	she put Catherine in the tub and left
19	her alone for a minute to return to the
20	bedroom and when she came back
21	Catherine had turned the hot water on
22	full force. Consequently, the burn
23	resulted. Worker was somewhat amazed
24	that Mrs. Donnelly refused to call a
25	doctor."

1	Then	down 3 more lines:
2		"Mrs. Shaver was beside herself because
3		her daughter stubbornly refused to get
4		medical aid for the child. Right
5		there, worker insisted that Mrs.
6		Donnelly call any doctor, but that she
7		must call one. Mrs. Donnelly
8		disappeared leaving worker in the
9		bedroom sitting there for one hour.
10		When worker finally went downstairs,
11		Mrs. Donnelly was ironing and looked up
12		at worker when we came downstairs, and
13		she said she could not get a soctor.
14		Worker picked up the telephone and
15		immediately got Dr. Robertson's office
16		at Williamsburg. The doctor promised
17		to visit that evening or first thing
18		next morning."
19	Then	into the following paragraph:
20		"Worked tried to emphasize to Mrs.
21		Donnelly the danger of infection
22		setting in in a severe burn like this
23		and that we could not leave the house
24		without knowing that medical attention
25		would be coming."

1	Would you agree with me, Ms. Sutherland,
2	that it was a CAS worker that initiated the medical
3	intervention that resulted in your hospitalization?
4	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I would agree with
5	that, but I would also add that I think walking into a
6	house where a kid is that badly burned, you know, to me
7	would be taking the kid to the hospital right away.
8	MR. CHISHOLM: That's right, and I'm not
9	going to dispute with you the case management philosophies
10	50 years ago versus today. You would expect today I
11	would certainly expect that what you described would
12	happen.
13	The child would be hospitalized.
14	MS. SUTHERLAND: Mr. Chisholm, I understand
15	that your role is to defend the Children's Aid and the
16	decisions that they made, but whether it was 50 years ago
17	or a 100 years ago, seeing a child looking in that
18	condition, I would expect that even 100 years ago that they
19	would have instantly got medical attention.
20	MS. CHISHOLM: Well, I'm going to suggest to
21	you that the telephone calls to the doctor was the medical
22	attention. Would you agree with me?
23	MS. SUTHERLAND: No, I wouldn't.
24	MS. CHISHOLM: Okay. That's fine.
25	You'd agree with me that as a result of the

1	CAS intervention that you received medical care for your
2	burns?
3	MS. SUTHERLAND: I think really it was the
4	visit of my uncle to the house to her house the night,
5	before insisting that the CAS do something.
6	MS. CHISHOLM: So your uncle was at the
7	house the night before, saw the burns, right? Is that
8	right?
9	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't know if he was at
10	my house. I know he went to the worker's house.
11	MS. CHISHOLM: Well, your uncle was aware of
12	your physical condition. Is that right?
13	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
14	MS. CHISHOLM: And am I correct that it's
15	your understanding that your uncle did nothing with respect
16	to getting medical attention for you?
17	MS. SUTHERLAND: Well, then I guess he did
18	as much as the Children's Aid did.
19	MS. CHISHOLM: That's your position?
20	MS. SUTHERLAND: Well, that's my
21	understanding. If he went to the CAS worker and told her
22	about the condition I was in and he left it at that, and
23	then the worker comes to the house and sees the condition
24	I'm in and insists on medical treatment then, you know, how
25	are they different?

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Wait. Hold on. Hold on
2	then.
3	What I'm going to ask you to do is simply
4	answer his questions. All right? What we have to do is
5	understand my role in all of this is to hear his
6	questions, and you're quite right, he's representing the
7	interest of the Children's Aid Society, but I can draw
8	whatever inferences I can out of the testimony.
9	MS. SUTHERLAND: Okay.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: And, Mr. Chisholm so
11	I've given you the finger and I'm going to give Mr.
12	Chisholm a little bit of the finger. Don't argue. I
13	understand what you're doing and we don't have to get into
14	this kind of situation. All right?
15	MS. CHISHOLM: Yes, sir.
16	MS. SUTHERLAND: Okay.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: So both of you are doing
18	fine, I guess I should say, give a pat on the back and a
19	little kick in the bum, a little bit.
20	MS. CHISHOLM: Could I take it back one
21	page, Ms. Sutherland, to Bates page 7173470.
22	The bottom of the paragraph, Madam Clerk,
23	March 7 th , 1958.
24	It reads:
25	"On the previous evening, Thursday

1	March 6 th , Mr. Donald Shaver
2	approached worker at her home very
3	upset and disturbed again over the
4	treatment Mrs. Donnelly was giving
5	her daughter Catherine. His
6	immediate great concern seemed to
7	stem from the fact that Cathy had
8	been burned that day on the feet by
9	scalding water in the bathtub and Mr.
10	Shaver was convinced the mother had
11	done this on purpose."
12	Reading that portion of the record, Ms.
13	Sutherland, would you agree that Donald Shaver was aware on
14	the evening of March the 6^{th} that you had burns to your
15	feet?
16	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I would agree with
17	that.
18	MS. CHISHOLM: Sorry, Madam Clerk, back to
19	the next page, 7173471, please.
20	Going back to the paragraph that I
21	previously it's the second paragraph, Madam Clerk.
22	There you go.
23	You'd agree with me at that point, Ms.
24	Sutherland, that your mother's explanation was your
25	injuries were the result of an accident?

1	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I would agree she said
2	that.
3	MS. CHISHOLM: Moving on to the time you
4	spent in care the first time, Ms. Sutherland. Would you
5	agree that following the discovery of your injuries, the
6	CAS decided to apprehend you and place you in a foster
7	home?
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, but I would also say
9	it was following letters from Dr. Robertson and Dr.
10	Lambert, that at the point I was hospitalized for a month
11	after that.
12	MS. CHISHOLM: Yes, and upon being
13	discharged from the hospital you were placed in the
14	Williamson foster home in Ingleside. Is that right?
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: That's correct.
16	MS. CHISHOLM: And would you agree that you
17	remained in foster care for two years?
18	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
19	MS. CHISHOLM: And during that time the CAS
20	would have facilitated access visits between you and your
21	mother?
22	MS. SUTHERLAND: M'hm. I wouldn't agree
23	that that was a good thing though.
24	MS. CHISHOLM: I understand your position,
25	but with respect to the philosophy of keeping families

1	united whenever possible, would you agree that that's a
2	good thing?
3	MS. SUTHERLAND: I think it's an individual
4	thing. I think that there are some families that have
5	problems and, you know
6	MS. CHISHOLM: That's when it's impossible
7	to keep a family united, but when it's possible, would you
8	agree with me that it's a goal that should be attained when
9	possible?
10	THE COMMISSIONER: I don't know about that
11	question, because I think then we're looking at the
12	evolution of the role of the Children's Aid Society and the
13	legislation, and without getting into it, it may well be
14	that that was the philosophy at the time that was accepted
15	by all, but I think the legislation now has changed and
16	it's not the family at all costs. I think historically,
17	and if we go through it, we'll see that now the best
18	interests of the child is more of a focus than they're
19	all integral factors but it's not the be all and end all
20	here.
21	MS. CHISHOLM: That's fair.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So if you want to
23	ask the question, would you agree that and I don't know
24	if the witness would be aware of that that at the time
25	in 1958 that the and I don't even know if that's fact,

1	but the prevailing philosophy was that one of the primary
2	goals of the Children's Aid Society was to keep families
3	together when at all possible.
4	MS. SUTHERLAND: I've heard of that, yes.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Pardon me?
6	MS. SUTHERLAND: I've heard that that was
7	the philosophy, yes.
8	MS. CHISHOLM: And I won't delve into that
9	area anymore, Mr. Commissioner. That's probably something
10	best left for me in submissions.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Exactly.
12	MS. CHISHOLM: Okay.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: That and the
14	Institutional Response probably.
15	MS. CHISHOLM: Correct.
16	If I could take you, please, to Exhibit 479
17	and to Bates page 7173639, please.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Three-six-three-nine
19	(3639), Madam Clerk.
20	MS. CHISHOLM: And, Madam Clerk, I'm
21	interested in the February 16 th , 1960 entry, which is at the
22	bottom of the page.
23	I'll just read to you, Ms. Sutherland, the
24	February 16 th , '60 entry:
25	"We have good news from our visit to

1	Cathy and Mrs. Wood at Mountain today.
2	It seems that shortly after our last
3	decision to ease up on Cathy's visits
4	home the last one turned out quite
5	well. Cathy does not make fusses when
6	her mother comes for her anymore and
7	even seems pleased to go home.
8	Consequently, the visits have continued
9	on a weekly basis. Cathy is spending
10	all day Thursday at her home in
11	Morrisburg."
12	Would you agree with me, Ms. Sutherland,
13	that this record would reflect that some of the visits that
14	you had with your mother were positive?
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: Well, I would agree that
16	that's what it says.
17	MS. CHISHOLM: And would you agree with me,
18	based upon your review of the CAS material, that the CAS
19	would adjust the access visits depending on how the visits
20	were going, from your perspective, whether they were good
21	for you or bad for you; how you reacted to them; if you
22	reacted in a positive fashion?
23	MS. SUTHERLAND: I would say that there was
24	some adjustments going on, yes.
25	MS. CHISHOLM: I'm sorry, some adjustments?

1	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
2	MR. CHISHOLM: And it's your understanding,
3	Ms. Sutherland, that after two years in care you were
4	returned to your mother. Is that right?
5	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
6	MR. CHISHOLM: If I could take you please
7	to, Madam Clerk, Document Number 738670.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
9	Exhibit 483 is a letter. It's a mental
10	health clinic
11	MR. CHISHOLM: Yes.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Dr
13	MR. CHISHOLM: January 21, 1960 letter to
14	Mr
15	THE COMMISSIONER: O'Brien?
16	MR. CHISHOLM: O'Brien, Executive
17	Director of the Children's Aid Society, by Dr. N.A.
18	Cardwell, the Director of the Mental Health Clinic in
19	Brockville, Ontario, Mr. Commissioner.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
21	MR. CHISHOLM: And I said "N". It may be an
22	"H", H.A. Cardwell.
23	EXHIBIT NO./PIECE NO P-483:
24	(738670) Letter from Mental Health Clinic
25	(Brockville) to Children's Aid Society

1	(Cornwall) dated 21 Jan 60
2	MR. CHISHOLM: Ms. Sutherland, you have
3	Exhibit 43 in front of you now?
4	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I do.
5	MR. CHISHOLM: I'll direct you to the last
6	paragraph. I'll read it. The last paragraph of the letter
7	reads:
8	"In view of the fact that she at
9	least recognizes her responsibility
10	and stresses her desire to improve, I
11	feel that it is only reasonable to
12	give her another opportunity to care
13	for her child in the near future."
14	You'd agree with me that was the position
15	put forth by Dr. Cardwell to the CAS in January of 1960,
16	Ms. Sutherland?
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, let's finish off.
18	"If this is done I believe she will
19	benefit from a good deal of social
20	case work as well."
21	MR. CHISHOLM: That's okay.
22	MS. SUTHERLAND: Well, I'm sorry, what was
23	your question?
24	MR. CHISHOLM: Would you agree that on the
25	face of this letter Dr. Cardwell, the director of the

1	Mental Health Clinic in Brockville, is telling the
2	Children's Aid Society in Cornwall, and this letter is
3	speaking to your mother, that she deserves an opportunity
4	to reunite with you in the near future?
5	MS. SUTHERLAND: I would agree that that's
6	what he said. I would also you know, he also points out
7	she's going to make many or further unwise decisions and,
8	you know, I don't think he thought it was entirely safe to
9	go back.
10	MR. CHISHOLM: But he's saying your mother
11	deserved the opportunity. Is that right?
12	MS. SUTHERLAND: He says it's reasonable.
13	MR. CHISHOLM: If I could take you, please,
14	to Exhibit 482, and that's document 738668.
15	Madam Clerk, I'm interested in Bates page
16	7173492 and the last paragraph on the page, Madam Clerk,
17	please.
18	I'll read this is an April 17, 1962
19	closing summary. It reads:
20	"Catherine Donnelly was taken into care
21	on April 14, 1958 and remained in care
22	until May 26, 1960. During the two
23	years Cathy was in care extensive case
24	work was carried on with Mrs. Donnelly
25	and for a period of this time she also

1	had a few interviews with local
2	psychiatrists, Doctors Barton and
3	Cardwell. During this period of time,
4	Mrs. Donnelly progressed herself to a
5	great extent in the area of
6	understanding herself, some of the
7	causes for her rejection and actions
8	towards Cathy and the external causes
9	of such. On May 26, '60 Cathy was
10	returned to her mother's home on a
11	permanent basis, as it was felt the
12	situation had matured itself to the
13	point where she could be returned.
14	Although she returned to her maternal
15	home, she remained a permanent ward
16	under supervision. Since her return,
17	many visits have been made to the home
18	During that time, it was seen that the
19	relationship between Mrs. Donnelly and
20	her daughter was a progressively good
21	one. However, wardship was continued
22	because Cathy began to change from an
23	extremely extroverted child into an
24	introverted child."
25	Madam Clerk, if I can get you to turn up th

1	next page.
2	"By October 1961 Cathy's attitude had
3	changed once again and she seemed a
4	little more animated. Therefore, on
5	October 3, '61 permanent guardianship
6	was terminated. Again contact was
7	kept up in order to assist Mrs.
8	Donnelly in her divorce proceedings.
9	After permanent wardship was
10	terminated a great improvement was
11	seen in Cathy and it is felt the
12	responsibility and the trust that the
13	Agency placed in Mrs. Donnelly has
14	been beneficial in this situation."
15	Ms. Sutherland, would you agree that that
16	record would set out that you were in care from April the
17	$14^{\rm th}$, '58 to May the $26^{\rm th}$, 1960?
18	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
19	MR. CHISHOLM: And would you agree that the
20	file record would suggest that during that time you were in
21	care that extensive case work was carried out with respect
22	to your mother?
23	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I would agree that it
24	says that.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. You agree that it

1	says that?
2	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
3	MR. CHISHOLM: You may disagree with you
4	may have a different view, but do you agree that that's
5	what the record states?
6	MS. SUTHERLAND: Well, it's hard to disagree
7	with something that's right there. It says it. I mean
8	THE COMMISSIONER: It says that but it
9	doesn't mean that it did occur.
10	MR. CHISHOLM: Agreed.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: It's what the Children's
12	Aid Society is claiming, and not reading into that, that
13	they're overstating their case, or they're it's just
14	that's what it says.
15	MR. CHISHOLM: And today, Mr. Commissioner,
16	the society is in the same position as everyone else, we're
17	left with the records, but the problem is this case going
18	back so far in time I don't know that we'll have much more
19	than the records to speak to the issue.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
21	MS. SUTHERLAND: I would say that there's
22	another letter also. It wasn't just the one letter from
23	the doctor. There was another letter from a different
24	doctor that said that she was a psychopath and that if they
25	were going to insist on returning me, you know, now was as

1	good a time as any.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, do we have that
3	letter?
4	MR. CHISHOLM: I wasn't planning to put it
5	to the witness, Mr. Commissioner. I would expect Mr. Lee
6	or someone else during the Institutional Response may draw
7	your attention to the document that Ms. Sutherland is
8	referring to.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
10	MR. CHISHOLM: You'd agree, Ms. Sutherland,
11	that this record that we have before us today would suggest
12	you remained a permanent ward under supervision of the CAS
13	after your return to your mother?
14	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I agree that that's
15	what it says.
16	MR. CHISHOLM: And you'd agree that the
17	record would suggest that visits were made by CAS workers
18	after your return to your mother?
19	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, but again, you know,
20	this doesn't say what they saw during those visits really
21	to any great extent.
22	MR. CHISHOLM: I agree.
23	Would you agree that following your return
24	home in May of 1960 the CAS never received another report
25	with respect to abuse committed by your mother?

1	MS. SUTHERLAND: I would not agree with
2	that, no.
3	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. If I could take you
4	please to Exhibit 473. And this is your statement dated
5	November 30, 1996, Ms. Sutherland.
6	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
7	MR. CHISHOLM: Then I can take you to
8	probably about 80 per cent of the way to the bottom of the
9	page. The line I am interested in is, "Days after having
10	had second and third degree burns". Do you see that Madam
11	Clerk, okay, that's fine.
12	Do you see that Ms. Sutherland, "Days after
13	having had second and third degree burns to both feet"?
14	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
15	MR. CHISHOLM: Mr. Commissioner, do you have
16	that?
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: And then the sentence I am
19	interested in is, "It took continued medical attention for
20	the following year to 'unweb' the toes".
21	And I just want to take you to another
22	document. I want to deal with this issue of the treatment
23	you received for unwebbing of the toes, Ms. Sutherland.
24	If I could take you please to Exhibit 467
25	and this is a letter that you sent to Mr. Pierre Lalonde

1	dated May 17, 1999. Pierre Lalonde was the Minister of
2	Community and Social Services. And I would take you to the
3	last paragraph on the page, please.
4	It reads:
5	"At two-and-a-half, less than six
6	months later, I had been hospitalized
7	for third degree burns to my feet and
8	with serious malnutrition. I was in
9	the hospital for a month and it took
10	subsequent surgeries to unweb the
11	toes."
12	Ms. Sutherland, where did you get the
13	information that you required subsequent surgeries in the
14	following year?
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: From Mrs. Wood, the foster
16	mother that I was living with, she told me that there was
17	repeated visits back to the doctor, to the hospital,
18	whatever it was at that time. I had to have grafts on my
19	feet.
20	MR. CHISHOLM: You had to have what on your
21	feet?
22	MS. SUTHERLAND: My one foot was so badly
23	burnt that they had to do skin grafts
24	MR. CHISHOLM: Grafts, okay, I didn't hear
25	what you said. You're not sure where you received this

1	medical attention?
2	MS. SUTHERLAND: I think she told me at the
3	time it was I don't know if it was Dr. Robertson or Dr.
4	Lambert, it was probably not Dr. Lambert, but it would have
5	been a doctor in the area where she lived.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry. When would you
7	have had this conversation with her?
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: I found her well, I
9	tried to get her name from the CAS and they wouldn't give
10	it to me, so I had written to all the churches in the area
11	asking the ministers to ask their diocese if anybody had
12	taken in foster kids at that time. And that's how I found
13	Mrs. Wood. And we had a number of conversations after
14	that.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: When? Period of time,
16	like last year, two years, 30 years ago?
17	MS. SUTHERLAND: Probably around '95, '96.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
19	MR. CHISHOLM: So Mrs. Wood told you that
20	you had skin grafts to your feet?
21	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
22	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. And she told you that
23	you were hospitalized for these surgeries?
24	MS. SUTHERLAND: No.
25	MR. CHISHOLM: Did she tell you that they

1	were carried out in a hospital?
2	MS. SUTHERLAND: They were either carried
3	out like maybe in emergency or I don't remember where it
4	was
5	MR. CHISHOLM: No, I understand. I
6	appreciate you don't remember but I am trying to determine
7	what Ms. Wood, or Mrs. Wood, would have told you with
8	respect to the
9	MS. SUTHERLAND: I can't remember if she
10	told me a doctor's office or back to the hospital. It
11	seems to me it was back at the hospital, you know.
12	MR. CHISHOLM: Because a skin graft would be
13	relatively a skin graft would be carried out in a
14	hospital to your knowledge?
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: Well, the skin graft would
16	have been carried out at the time of the burns when I was
17	in for the month.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. You speak about
19	surgeries for the unwebbing of your toes, how many
20	surgeries are you aware of?
21	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't know how many. I
22	was two years old.
23	MR. CHISHOLM: I understand
24	THE COMMISSIONER: The question should be,
25	did Mrs. Wood tell you, and you remember if she told you

1	how many interventions you would have had with respect to
2	unwebbing your toes?
3	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't know.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: You don't know if she
5	told you?
6	MS. SUTHERLAND: I know that she told me. I
7	don't know how many times it was taken
8	MR. CHISHOLM: You speak of surgeries so we
9	can say more than one?
10	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
11	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. And to your knowledge,
12	these surgeries were carried out while you were in foster
13	care?
14	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
15	MR. CHISHOLM: I will take you back, please,
16	to Exhibit 467.
17	Again, this is your statement of November
18	30, 1996. And if I could take you to Bates page 1131887,
19	the second page of the document. Halfway down the
20	document, Madam Clerk, "On another occasion", it's a
21	paragraph. I don't know if that's the right Bates page
22	Madam Clerk, 1131887. That's the one.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: So about a little past
24	half way, "On another occasion". Right there, yes.
25	MR. CHISHOLM: Bingo. I'll read it, Ms.

1	Sutherland. Do you see the paragraph that starts:
2	"On another occasion, I was standing in
3	the bathroom with Brian and David
4	behind me"
5	MS. SUTHERLAND: M'hm.
6	MR. CHISHOLM: " Joan and I were at
7	the sink and she was holding my left
8	hand under the hot water tap. I don't
9	know how long we were there but my hand
10	became badly blistered and infected.
11	Another time, she was ironing and in a
12	fit of rage, she held the iron on my
13	back."
14	You told us, and I believe it's in some of
15	your original material, that acts of violence committed
16	against you by your mother were carried out in a daily
17	basis. Is that right?
18	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
19	MR. CHISHOLM: That's right.
20	MS. SUTHERLAND: M'hm.
21	MR. CHISHOLM: So you've got this incident
22	that you describe when you hand is held under the hot water
23	tap and your hand became badly blistered and infected;
24	right?
25	MS. SUTHERLAND: M'hm.

1	MR. CHISHOLM: You've got other descriptions
2	in your material where your mother would actually boil
3	water and apply that water to your hands. Do you recall
4	that?
5	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
6	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. And you have the
7	incident that you've described in this exhibit setting up
8	how your mother put an iron on your back. I take it the
9	iron was hot, was on and hot?
10	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
11	MR. CHISHOLM: Dealing with the description
12	of the incident where your hand was held under the hot
13	water tap and blistered and became infected, do you know
14	how old you would have been when
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: I was older, probably 11,
16	12.
17	MR. CHISHOLM: I am sorry?
18	MS. SUTHERLAND: Eleven, 12.
19	MR. CHISHOLM: Eleven or 12.
20	MS. SUTHERLAND: M'hm.
21	MR. CHISHOLM: Certainly, you were in school
22	by then?
23	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
24	MR. CHISHOLM: And the incident with respect
25	to the hot iron being applied to your back, how old would

1	you have been when that happened?
2	MS. SUTHERLAND: Probably around the same
3	age.
4	MR. CHISHOLM: Again, school age?
5	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
6	MR. CHISHOLM: If I could take you please to
7	Exhibit 464.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: It's a letter to
9	MR. CHISHOLM: Sorry, it's the April 8, 1999
10	letter from Catherine Sutherland to The Honourable Janet
11	Ecker, Ministry of Community and Social Services.
12	And the first page, second paragraph, and
13	the the paragraph starts about four years ago. I am
14	interested in the last sentence of that paragraph:
15	"Being burnt, starved and beaten were
16	just everyday occurrences."
17	Yesterday I just want to get some of
18	these incidents of abuse yesterday, you spoke of your
19	mother holding your hand in the stove for biting your
20	nails. Do you recall that?
21	MS. SUTHERLAND: M'hm.
22	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. And I take it this is
23	holding your hand on the stove element. Is that what you
24	are saying?
25	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.

1	MR. CHISHOLM: The stove element was on?
2	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: I mean, actually touching
4	the element or putting it over and feeling the heat?
5	MS. SUTHERLAND: No, touching it.
6	MR. CHISHOLM: And the burning incidents
7	that you have described at the stove top, putting a hand on
8	the element of the stove, your mother boiling water to put
9	on your hands, and your mother putting your hands under the
10	hot water tap.
11	Is it fair to say that these incidents
12	occurred several times?
13	MS. SUTHERLAND: I would say yes, yes.
14	MR. CHISHOLM: I take it each and every time
15	you would have been burned you would have received an
16	injury to your hands?
17	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes. Yes.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: Do you know if your mother
19	ever received any injuries in putting the hot water onto
20	your hands? Do you know if she ever suffered any burns?
21	MS. SUTHERLAND: I have no idea.
22	MR. CHISHOLM: Now you have described the
23	medical treatment, the unwebbing that you had to undergo
24	and the hospitalization that you had to undergo with
25	respect to the burns to your feet.

1	Did you at any time ever have to undergo any
2	medical treatment, either as a child or an adult, to deal
3	with the burns that would have been inflicted to your hands
4	through the incidents you described?
5	MS. SUTHERLAND: No. No.
6	MR. CHISHOLM: You never you never
7	received medical treatment for that?
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: No. Not that I recall.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: And, Ms. Sutherland, would
10	there be any reason why you once you received a burn
11	injury to your hands, would there be any reason why the
12	adults that interacted with you following the injury would
13	not have noticed the burns to your hands?
14	MS. SUTHERLAND: I'm sure they did notice.
15	MR. CHISHOLM: You think?
16	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
17	MR. CHISHOLM: Can you tell me about the
18	people, the adults, that you would have been interacting
19	with generally after a burn injury to your hand?
20	What type of adults? Teachers?
21	MS. SUTHERLAND: It could have been
22	teachers. We were members of the Mormon Church. I was
23	taken there every time
24	MR. CHISHOLM: I'm sorry, teachers and then
25	who did

32

1	MS. SUTHERLAND: The church.
2	MR. CHISHOLM: The church?
3	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
4	MR. CHISHOLM: And you attended church on a
5	regular basis?
6	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
7	MR. CHISHOLM: And the Mormon Church?
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. And that's situated
10	where was that located?
11	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't recall right now.
12	It's in Cornwall. I don't think they had their own
13	building, it was a hall.
14	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. So you had teachers
15	that in your view would have noticed the injuries, the burn
16	injuries to your hands?
17	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: And church, adults in the
19	church?
20	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right.
21	MR. CHISHOLM: Did you interact with any
22	other adults?
23	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't recall, I suspect
24	so, yes.
25	MR. CHISHOLM: Adults in your neighbourhood,

1	neighbours?
2	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
3	MR. CHISHOLM: No reason why they would not
4	have seen these injuries?
5	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't recall
6	specifically, but, yes, that would make sense to me.
7	MR. CHISHOLM: And do you have any
8	limitations in your function with your hands after you
9	would have received one of these burn injuries? Either as
10	a result of the hot water from the tap, the stove top
11	element, or the boiling water being applied to your hands?
12	MS. SUTHERLAND: Would I have had a
13	limitation, you said?
14	MR. CHISHOLM: Any limitations with respect
15	to the functioning of your hands?
16	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, well no it was
17	wrapped, yes.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: So your hands were wrapped in
19	bandages?
20	MR. SUTHERLAND: What I recall was it being
21	wrapped in gauze and I remember more than anything like
22	that it just stunk because
23	MR. CHISHOLM: I'm sorry, wrapped in gauze
24	and I'm having a hard time hearing you.
25	MS. SUTHERLAND: I said my hand was wrapped

1	in
2	MR. CHISHOLM: Your hand?
3	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, but it was never
4	like the dressings were never changed or anything. So it
5	just
6	MR. CHISHOLM: So the hands were wrapped in
7	gauze and did any adults ever make enquiries as to what
8	happened to result in your hands being wrapped in gauze?
9	MS. SUTHERLAND: I remember a woman on the
10	bus, you know, but it was because it smelled so bad.
11	MR. CHISHOLM: What smelled so bad?
12	MS. SUTHERLAND: The bandages because they
13	were never changed.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: So you remember a lady on
15	the bus. Did she ask you about it, or what?
16	MS. SUTHERLAND: I think she told me they
17	needed to be changed. It was something like that because
18	it did, it smelled very bad.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
20	MR. CHISHOLM: And this is a city
21	Cornwall City bus, was it?
22	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
23	MR. CHISHOLM: And you were riding the bus.
24	Were you by yourself or did you have your mother?
25	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, and no, I was by

1	myself.
2	MR. CHISHOLM: By yourself. So the lady on
3	the bus that was sitting beside you and noted an odour
4	coming from the wound?
5	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right. Right.
6	MR. CHISHOLM: Did anyone else, any other
7	adults ever speak to you about the gauze wrapped around
8	your hand?
9	MS. SUTHERLAND: Well, I do not recall. I
10	mean it doesn't mean they didn't, I just don't recall it
11	and I have I don't have a consecutive memory.
12	MR. CHISHOLM: Yesterday you described some
13	of the sexual abuse that you allege your mother and your
14	step-father committed on you.
15	You told us, I believe, of your mother and
16	Earl walking around naked in the house. Do you recall
17	that?
18	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I do.
19	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. With respect to the
20	sexual abuse committed by your mother and/or Earl, are you
21	aware of anyone ever reporting that abuse to the CAS?
22	MS. SUTHERLAND: No.
23	MR. CHISHOLM: And there was never any
24	mention in the correspondence that you would have sent to
25	the CAS over a period of time. There was never any mention

1	in the correspondence by you of that sexual abuse by your
2	mother or Earl. Is that right?
3	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't recall at this
4	point.
5	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. Yesterday, Ms.
6	Sutherland, you spoke to Mr. Dumais about being sent to
7	school in outfits that were really bizarre. Like being
8	sent to school in pyjamas or pants with the rear out of
9	them, anything to make you look like you were really
10	disturbed. Do you recall discussing that with Mr. Dumais?
11	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I do. M'hm.
12	MR. CHISHOLM: And if I could take you
13	please to Exhibit 473 and Bates page 1131887, and the last
14	paragraph, please, Madam Clerk.
15	The last four lines:
16	"I was sent to school in pyjamas or
17	with clothes inside out or missing an
18	important part of the wardrobe like
19	shoes."
20	So that ties into what you were talking
21	about yesterday, pyjamas, being sent to school without
22	shoes on or being sent to school wearing pants with the
23	rear out of them; correct?
24	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yeah.
25	MR. CHISHOLM: And if I could take you

1	please to exhibit 480. This is a letter dated November
2	26 th , 1965. It's from Principal Hickey of the East Front
3	Public School to Mr. A.R. Bergeron of the Children's Aid
4	Society. Do you have that letter in front of you, Ms.
5	Sutherland?
6	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I do.
7	MR. CHISHOLM: The first paragraph of that
8	letter, Mr. Hickey is speaking of his interactions with
9	your mother, Mrs. Kelly, and the last sentence of the
10	paragraph indicates:
11	"I asked her to encourage the children
12	to be punctual."
13	Do you see that?
14	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I do.
15	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. And then into the next
16	paragraph, the last three lines:
17	"The children, particularly Catherine,
18	come late frequently and on one
19	occasion were kept home without valid
20	reason."
21	Do you see that?
22	MS. SUTHERLAND: M'hm.
23	MR. CHISHOLM: So would you agree with me
24	that Mr. Hickey in his letter to the CAS is speaking of
25	concerns with respect to your punctuality and attendance at

1	school?
2	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I would.
3	MR. CHISHOLM: He doesn't touch upon any
4	concerns with respect to your attire or physical condition.
5	Would you agree with me?
6	MS. SUTHERLAND: No, this one doesn't.
7	MR. CHISHOLM: I'm sorry?
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: No.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: You don't agree with me?
10	MS. SUTHERLAND: No, I agree with you.
11	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. If I could take you
12	please to Exhibit 482. This is a family file.
13	The Bates page, Madam Clerk, is 7173497.
14	This is September 17 th , halfway down, Madam Clerk.
15	The September $17^{\rm th}$, '62 entry is on the left
16	side of the margin and then you'll see a heading
17	underlined. The word "later" is underlined.
18	"Mr. Droppo, the school principal, was
19	seen. He said Brian was absent all
20	last week. Cathy said he was sick but
21	no note of excuse had come from the
22	mother. Worker explained why they are
23	absent today."
24	Then it states:
25	"Mr. Droppo thinks highly of Mrs.

1	Donnelly on the whole since she dresses
2	the children so immaculately. They are
3	best dressed in the school."
4	Do you agree that what I just read to you is
5	what the record indicates, Ms. Sutherland?
6	MS. SUTHERLAND: I'm sorry, what was your
7	question?
8	MR. CHISHOLM: Do you agree that the portion
9	of that record that I read out to you is what's contained
10	in the CAS record?
11	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, in 1962.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, in fairness, we
13	should read in the other the last sentence.
14	MR. CHISHOLM: The last sentence?
15	THE COMMISSIONER: "Mr. Droppo actually
16	knows but does not want to admit that
17	the children do not dare to get a spot
18	on their clothes for fear of
19	punishment."
20	MR. CHISHOLM: "The clothes are nice and
21	tidy", Mr. Commissioner.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
23	MR. CHISHOLM: If I can take you please to
24	Bates page 7173500.
25	Madam Clerk, I'm interested in the last

1	paragraph on that page.
2	This is a period you can't see this on
3	the screen, but this is a period summarized, April 1, 1963
4	to June 30, 1963, and halfway through that last paragraph
5	on the page it reads:
6	"One visit was made to the school but
7	it was found that both the principal
8	and teachers lacked understanding of
9	what the Agency was attempting. With
10	one accord, they consider Mrs. Donnelly
11	an exceptional mother. Witness the
12	beautiful way children are dressed for
13	school."
14	You'd agree with me, Ms. Sutherland, that
15	what I just read to you is what the record indicates, the
16	CAS record, indicates?
17	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, but I would also say,
18	you know, dressing kids wonderfully doesn't mean doesn't
19	equate with being a good mother.
20	MR. CHISHOLM: I'm not suggesting it does.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: It goes to contradict
22	your statement that your mother dressed you in a bizarre
23	way.
24	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, but then I hope Mr.
25	Chisholm brings out the parts of the document that

1	contradict that.
2	MR. CHISHOLM: Well,
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Which are?
4	MS. SUTHERLAND: That, especially at the
5	time, the school on Second Street, where they do mention
6	the well, actually Joan comes in and says she can't help
7	the way I go to school; that I leave the house in these
8	bizarre outfits. So it does speak to what I've been
9	saying.
10	MR. CHISHOLM: If I could take you please to
11	Exhibit 455. This is a letter, Ms. Sutherland, dated March
12	28, 1996, a letter from you to Mr. Mark Boisvenue of the
13	CAS. Is that right? That's your understanding?
14	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
15	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. I'm interested in the
16	second paragraph of that letter, please, and the first
17	page, Madam Clerk.
18	Five lines into that paragraph it starts
19	"Your letter states" Well, I'll read the whole
20	paragraph.
21	"Your letter states that an initial CAS
22	intervention took place October 25,
23	1957. I suspect that at the time there
24	was a great many rigid constraints that
25	the Agency had to work within, but I am

1	sure you can understand my confusion
2	when less than six months later I had
3	been hospitalized with evidence of
4	severe neglect. The Winchester
5	Hospital records state that besides the
6	burns to my feet they gave a further
7	diagnosis of morbus miseries, which is
8	indicative of ongoing malnourishment.
9	This coupled with bronchitis, pinworms,
10	a protruding stomach and a gross
11	underweight child could not help but to
12	have at least given the appearance of
13	neglect."
14	Sorry, if I might just have a moment, Mr.
15	Commissioner.
16	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
17	MR. CHISHOLM: I'm sorry; I took you to the
18	wrong reference. Page 7173339, the last paragraph on that
19	page:
20	"I remember being hospitalized at some
21	point in 1968. At the time, I was
22	unaware of the reasons, but recently
23	obtained records indicated malnutrition
24	so extreme that the hospital staff
25	felt, without intervention, I would

1	have been dead within weeks."
2	You wrote that in a letter, and I believe
3	you spoke to that yesterday as well do you recall
4	speaking to the issue of malnutrition yesterday, in 1968?
5	Ms. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I do.
6	MR. CHISHOLM: And you spoke you were
7	hospitalized once in 1968, to your knowledge?
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. And that
10	hospitalization took place after you ran away from home?
11	MS. SUTHERLAND: That's correct.
12	MR. CHISHOLM: You told us about that,
13	spending a couple of days in the bush.
14	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
15	MR. CHISHOLM: And you were hospitalized at
16	Cornwall General Hospital, is that right?
17	MS. SUTHERLAND: That's correct.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: And that's on Second Street
19	in Cornwall?
20	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right. M'hm.
21	MR. CHISHOLM: If I could take you please to
22	a new document Madam Clerk? It would be document 120943.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Sorry. This
24	is
25	MR. CHISHOLM: This is would be a record,

1	dated June
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Eighteenth (18 th), '68.
3	MR. CHISHOLM: June 18, 1968 from the
4	Cornwall General Hospital with respect to Catherine Kelly.
5	Mr. Commissioner, do we have an exhibit
6	number for this?
7	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, 484. Sorry.
8	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-484:
9	(120943) Catherine Sutherland's Cornwall
10	General Hospital History
11	MR. CHISHOLM: Four eighty four (484), thank
12	you.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
14	MR. CHISHOLM: Do you have that document in
15	front of you, Ms. Sutherland?
16	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I do.
17	MR. CHISHOLM: You'll see in the first
18	paragraph the this is a recording of Dr. McKeown. Is
19	that your understanding?
20	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
21	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. And the first
22	paragraph, Dr. McKeown reports
23	"This patient has been attending Dr.
24	Burns and on the 10^{th} of June, she
25	disappeared from home for a couple of

1	days. She tells me that she stayed in
2	the bush."
3	It continues on, right. Do you see that?
4	MS. SUTHERLAND: M'hm.
5	MR. CHISHOLM: And, if you look down the
6	left hand side of that record, you'll see "Order of
7	Recording." Number 1) is a Chief Complaint, 2) History of
8	Present Illness, and then it goes on. And you'll see
9	number 6) Systemic Review and then a) is General.
10	If I get you to look in the middle of the
11	page to 6 (a); it reads:
12	"Generally, she states that she feels
13	well"
14	Do you see that, Ms. Sutherland?
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yeah, I do.
16	MR. CHISHOLM: And with respect to paragraph
17	(g), four lines from the bottom of the document, reads:
18	"Appetite good; bowel movements
19	regular."
20	Do you see that Ms. Sutherland?
21	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yeah.
22	MR. CHISHOLM: And then, on to the next
23	page, again this is with respect to a physical exam. It's
24	it reads:
25	"Cornwall General Hospital physical

1	examination, dated June 18 th , 1968."
2	And you see the order of the recording, left
3	hand side, 1 through to 21. With respect to number 1)
4	General the heading is "General". And then, if you look
5	at number 1, the right at the top of the page, the physical
6	examination would indicate "Generally well."
7	Would you agree with that? That the
8	that's what the record indicates.
9	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes; yes.
10	MR. CHISHOLM: And item number 20 is the
11	Diagnosis. You'd see you'll see that the diagnosis is
12	one of adolescent psycho neurosis. Would you agree with
13	that?
14	MS. SUTHERLAND: I agree with that, it's
15	what it said, yes.
16	MR. CHISHOLM: Would you agree there's
17	nothing in this medical record that would suggest that you
18	were malnourished and weeks away from death.
19	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I would agree that it
20	says that or, doesn't say that in there.
21	MR. CHISHOLM: If I could get you to turn
22	over to the next page. This is a progress note dated June
23	20, 1968.
24	"Child seems quite pleasant and happy."
25	Do you see that?

1	MS. SUTHERLAND: M'hm.
2	MR. CHISHOLM: Then on to another page,
3	please.
4	This is Bates page 1131939. It's the
5	consultation record, Cornwall General Hospital with respect
6	to Catherine Kelly dated June 18 1968. Consulting
7	physician is indicated as J.H. Burns, do you see that? On
8	sorry, in the top left portion. It's not on this screen
9	right now. The consulting physician is J.H. Burns, is that
10	right Ms. Sullivan?
11	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
12	MR. CHISHOLM: And is that the same Dr.
13	Burns that you described yesterday?
14	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
15	MR. CHISHOLM: You described Dr. Burns as a
16	"quack" yesterday?
17	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I did.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay.
19	Now, if I could take you down Madam Clerk,
20	about three quarters of the way down. There's a sentence
21	that reads
22	"I have discussed"
23	See Ms. Sutherland, I'll read the
24	sentence.
25	"I have discussed the situation with

1	Mrs. Kelly and with Cathy, and also
2	with CAS. I made it clear that I
3	considered Cathy should continue in the
4	home if at all possible. If not, then
5	she should be taken into care by CAS."
6	You agree that's what the record indicates,
7	Ms. Sutherland?
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: And you'd agree Ms.
10	Sutherland that when the CAS received a report from Dr.
11	Burns indicating that your home situation had collapsed,
12	that you were taken into care again, this would be the
13	second time in your life you were taken into care?
14	MS. SUTHERLAND: What is your question?
15	MR. CHISHOLM: You'd agree that the CAS took
16	you back into care?
17	MS. SUTHERLAND: In yes.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: All right.
19	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yeah.
20	MR. CHISHOLM: You spoke yesterday Ms.
21	Sutherland of your mother allowing men to sexually abuse
22	you. She would drop you off.
23	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right.
24	MR. CHISHOLM: Am I right that you never
25	told anyone at the CAS with about the abuse that you

1	suffered at the hands of these men?
2	MS. SUTHERLAND: I would never have said
3	anything against my mother. I was terrified of her.
4	MR. CHISHOLM: But am I right that you never
5	told the CAS with respect to the sexual abuse that you
6	suffered by these men?
7	MS. SUTHERLAND: That's correct.
8	MR. CHISHOLM: You told you'd agree, I
9	take it your mother was a was skilled at deceiving
10	people?
11	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
12	MR. CHISHOLM: That's the position you take,
13	with respect to your mother?
14	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, actually, I think it
15	is. I think that's very true. And I think, for most
16	psychopaths, I think they can hold it up for a little
17	while. But I also think, given the length of time that the
18	CAS dealt with her, they should have known.
19	MR. CHISHOLM: You described your mother as
20	a marksman liar, in the past. Is that right? And that's -
21	- I could take you to it's Exhibit 464.
22	And that's Bates page 1131960. The
23	paragraph that I want on that page is the towards the
24	third last paragraph of the page, starting "I suppose".
25	Six lines down into that paragraph, it reads:

1	"Joan was a marksman liar and there
2	were several people in the
3	organizations that fell to the
4	duplicity."
5	Do you see that, Ms. Sutherland?
6	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
7	MR. CHISHOLM: Those were your words?
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: M'hm.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: You mentioned several people
10	in organizations had fell for her duplicity. Who were you
11	describing whenever when you wrote those words, Ms.
12	Sutherland?
13	MS. SUTHERLAND: I think the school, the
14	Children's Aid, the police, anybody that she dealt with.
15	MR. CHISHOLM: Anybody and everybody she
16	dealt with; is that right?
17	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, but for a limited
18	period. I think there was a lot of people that caught on.
19	MR. CHISHOLM: I am sorry, a lot of people
20	that what?
21	MS. SUTHERLAND: Did catch on.
22	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. Who caught on?
23	MS. SUTHERLAND: Well, I think everybody
24	with the Children's Aid.
25	MR. CHISHOLM: The police caught on?

1	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I think so.
2	MR. CHISHOLM: What did the police do as a
3	result of catching on?
4	MS. SUTHERLAND: I think it was very clear
5	from the police talking to her lately that they knew she
6	was lying.
7	MR. CHISHOLM: And as a result of the police
8	catching on, were charges laid?
9	MS. SUTHERLAND: No.
10	MR. CHISHOLM: Did the police do anything
11	with respect to your mother as a result of catching on?
12	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't think they did
13	anything, but it wasn't because they believed her. It was
14	because of the lack of evidence that exists now. I think
15	Dr. Robertson and Dr. Lambert clearly didn't fall for her.
16	MR. CHISHOLM: If I could take you please to
17	a new document, Madam Clerk, Document 738594.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: While we are waiting for
19	that document, the hospital records do not report anything
20	about your malnutrition and things like that in '68. And
21	yesterday you told me that someone had told you that if you
22	weren't fed
23	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes
24	THE COMMISSIONER: you'd be dead within
25	a few weeks. Where do you get that?

1	MS. SUTHERLAND: It was a letter from the
2	Children's Aid here in Cornwall to the Children's Aid in
3	Hamilton, that they were told.
4	MR. CHISHOLM: Do you still have that
5	letter?
6	MS. SUTHERLAND: Somewhere, yes.
7	MR. CHISHOLM: These are case notes from the
8	CAS, Mr. Commissioner.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Is this a new exhibit
10	I am sorry
11	MR. CHISHOLM: This is Document 738594.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: There are two of them
13	though. Are these two copies? No, one back.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Exhibit 485 are
15	case notes of what?
16	MR. CHISHOLM: Of the Children's Aid Society
17	with respect to Ms. Sutherland.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: And the worker is Lise
19	Stanley.
20	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-485:
21	(738594) Children's Aid Society case notes
22	re: Cathy Sutherland
23	MR. CHISHOLM: There is one worker. The
24	first note would be from Lise Stanley, but there is also at
25	page 7173205, the worker is Mr. Mark Boisvenue.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
2	MR. CHISHOLM: And that's the page of the
3	address I'm taking.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
5	MR. CHISHOLM: You too, please.
6	Ms. Sutherland, do you recall dealing with
7	Mark Boisvenue at the CAS?
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I do.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: And do you recall having a
10	telephone conversation with Mr. Boisvenue on August 16,
11	1995? It would have been placed by Mr. Boisvenue to you.
12	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't remember it, but I
13	am not denying it or anything.
14	MR. CHISHOLM: That's fair. I don't know
15	that many people would remember a call 12 years ago.
16	Looking at the if I can read to you from the entry from
17	Mr. Boisvenue of August 16, 1995:
18	"Telephone call to Cathy Sutherland.
19	Purpose of the call was to determine
20	exactly what information Ms. Sutherland
21	specifically required. She responded
22	that her memory of childhood was so
23	vague, she had no specific requests."
24	Do you agree that's what you said to Mr.
25	Boisvenue?

1	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
2	MR. CHISHOLM: Then further down there is a
3	hash mark and then it reads:
4	"Ms. Sutherland stated that she was
5	sexually abused by this man"
6	And "this man" is a reference to Mr. Virgin.
7	"this man as a teenager but did not
8	immediately tell anybody."
9	Is that what you said to Mr. Boisvenue?
10	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, probably. I don't
11	think I did immediately tell anyone.
12	MR. CHISHOLM: Yesterday, you indicated in
13	your evidence that you had disclosed the abuse at the hands
14	of Mr. Virgin to a number of people.
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
16	MR. CHISHOLM: You told us about Dr. Burns.
17	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: You told us of Blaine Grundy.
19	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
20	MR. CHISHOLM: You said "I think I told him"
21	I am reading from yesterday's transcript, page 64. Mr.
22	Dumais is asking a question. He asks:
23	"And then you make reference to having
24	told a kid at school, Cathy."
25	And then you state sorry I'll wait for it to get up.

1	Page 64, Madam Clerk, line 15.
2	So Mr. Dumais states:
3	"And then you made reference to having
4	told a kid at school, Cathy."
5	You indicate:
6	"I think I told several people and I
7	had told Dr. Burns. I had told my
8	worker, you know. I told subsequent
9	workers, subsequent foster homes, you
10	know. But the year I was there, okay,
11	I told my worker and they did nothing."
12	So yesterday you said "I think I told
13	several people." How certain are you in saying "I think I
14	told several people"?
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: I told several people.
16	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. There is no doubt in
17	your mind?
18	MS. SUTHERLAND: No.
19	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. And you definitely
20	told Dr. Burns?
21	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
22	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. And your evidence says
23	you told Blaine Grundy?
24	MS. SUTHERLAND: M'hm.
25	MR. CHISHOLM: And Derry Tenger later on.

1	That's a
2	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right.
3	MR. CHISHOLM: subsequent worker.
4	You told us of Doris Ennis yesterday.
5	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
6	MR. CHISHOLM: She was a foster mother
7	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right.
8	MR. CHISHOLM: that you had after
9	leaving the Virgin home; is that right?
10	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
11	MR. CHISHOLM: And you spoke of subsequent
12	foster homes in the plural yesterday.
13	Is there anyone else apart from in terms
14	of foster parents that you would have told of the abuse by
15	Mr. Virgin other than Doris Ennis?
16	MS. SUTHERLAND: I was not sure of the
17	subsequent foster homes. I was certain that I had told
18	Doris Ennis because lately I have asked her if I told her
19	and she told me yes. But, I mean, I had told her that he
20	wouldn't leave me alone.
21	MR. CHISHOLM: So you told Doris Ennis
22	I'm interested in any other foster parents. You speak of
23	foster parents yesterday in the plural. Are there other
24	foster parents apart from Mrs. Ennis that you told?
25	MS. SUTHERLAND: I am less certain of that

1	because I haven't gone back and verified that, but I was
2	telling everybody what was going on or what had happened.
3	So, you know, I would believe that I had told them.
4	MR. CHISHOLM: And you were in a number of -
5	- some six foster homes and receiving homes after the
6	Virgin home; is that right?
7	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
8	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. And your position is
9	that you told each of the foster parents in those homes
10	following?
11	MS. SUTHERLAND: Or the kids.
12	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay.
13	MS. SUTHERLAND: The kids that were my age
14	in most homes.
15	MR. CHISHOLM: I am sorry?
16	MS. SUTHERLAND: The kids that were my age
17	in those homes.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: And those would be the
19	children of the foster parents?
20	MS. SUTHERLAND: Often, yes.
21	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. And you spoke to us of
22	a conversation that you had with Ms. Doris Ennis. You said
23	that was a conversation you had lately?
24	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
25	MR. CHISHOLM: When was that conversation

1	that you had with Mrs
2	MS. SUTHERLAND: Within the last year.
3	MR. CHISHOLM: Mrs. Ennis resides where now?
4	MS. SUTHERLAND: Winchester.
5	MR. CHISHOLM: Winchester.
6	How old would Mrs. Ennis be? Do you have
7	any idea?
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: She is quite elderly.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: Quite elderly?
10	MS. SUTHERLAND: M'hm.
11	MR. CHISHOLM: Still has her wits about her?
12	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes she does, very much so.
13	MR. CHISHOLM: And does she live by herself?
14	Where does
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: No, her husband.
16	MR. CHISHOLM: Her husband. So Mr. Ennis is
17	still alive as well?
18	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
19	MS. SUTHERLAND: And you keep in touch with
20	Mr. and Mrs. Ennis?
21	MS. SUTHERLAND: yes.
22	MR. CHISHOLM: How often would you speak to
23	them in the course of a year?
24	MS. SUTHERLAND: I have no idea, probably
25	more lately because I have been in the area more often.

1	MR. CHISHOLM: And you spoke yesterday of
2	telling a friend of the abuse by Mr. Virgin, a friend of
3	yours at school? Is that right?
4	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
5	MR. CHISHOLM: And you spoke of yesterday
6	you spoke of, I believe, telling people on the bus. Do you
7	recall saying that?
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: Well, it was just an
9	example. I mean, I was telling everybody and, you know, it
10	would not surprise me to tell people I was sitting next to
11	on the bus. It was just looking for relief. I didn't
12	specifically mean I went on a bus and told somebody, you
13	know.
14	MR. CHISHOLM: And would so I take it
15	that your comment about a bus isn't making reference to a
16	school bus back at the time when you were still living at
17	the Virgin
18	MS. SUTHERLAND: I am not talking
19	specifically about a bus.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: This is a rhetoric
21	example.
22	MR. CHISHOLM: All right.
23	MS. SUTHERLAND: Okay.
24	MR. CHISHOLM: Did you tell Mrs. Virgin
25	about the abuse that you suffered at the hands of Mr.

1	Virgin?
2	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't imagine so. I
3	can't imagine saying it when she saw it. So it would be
4	like pointing out the obvious.
5	MR. CHISHOLM: With respect to Blaine
6	Grundy, this the Virgin foster home was in Bainsville,
7	Ontario. Is that right?
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: That is correct.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: That is east of Cornwall,
10	right?
11	MS. SUTHERLAND: I guess so, yes.
12	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. And can you tell us
13	you told Mr. Grundy about this abuse how many times?
14	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't know. I remember
15	the one incident sitting in the car telling him.
16	MR. CHISHOLM: So you only remember telling
17	him one time?
18	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
19	MR. CHISHOLM: And you were sitting in a
20	car?
21	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right.
22	MR. CHISHOLM: And where were you? Were you
23	in Bainsville, in Cornwall, or somewhere else?
24	MS. SUTHERLAND: The car was parked in the
25	driveway of the Virgin home.

1	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. So it was in
2	Bainsville?
3	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right.
4	MR. CHISHOLM: Mr. Grundy came to see you
5	that particular day?
6	MS. SUTHERLAND: I guess. He was there.
7	You know, he was there to see me or to see them.
8	MR. CHISHOLM: And why were you in the car?
9	Were you gone somewhere? Were you going somewhere or you
10	were just having a place to meet?
11	MS. SUTHERLAND: I think that was often a
12	situation with workers. They would show up at a foster
13	home and I guess for privacy or whatever, the interview or
14	the conversation would happen in the car.
15	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. So you believe you
16	you were not going anywhere when you were in the car?
17	MS. SUTHERLAND: No, I don't think so. I
18	don't know. I don't recall.
19	MR. CHISHOLM: And do you recall you
20	don't have a date, I wouldn't expect, in terms of a date
21	when you had this conversation?
22	MS. SUTHERLAND: No.
23	MR. CHISHOLM: Can you give me a season, a
24	time of the year?
25	MS. SUTHERLAND: Well, there was no snow on

1	the ground. I mean, I don't know.
2	MR. CHISHOLM: So no snow on the ground. So
3	likely not winter. Could be spring, summer or fall, is
4	that fair to say?
5	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't know. I mean, I
6	was placed there in June and he started coming into my room
7	within two weeks. So I don't know when I told Mr. Grundy.
8	MR. CHISHOLM: I am interested in the
9	conversation that you said you had with Mr. Grundy. I want
10	to be able to situate the conversation as best I can.
11	You are not able all you can tell us
12	right now is that there was no snow on the ground when you
13	had the conversation?
14	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right.
15	MR. CHISHOLM: And was it daytime, night
16	time; do you know?
17	MS. SUTHERLAND: It was daytime.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay.
19	And during this conversation, can you tell
20	us what you told Mr. Grundy as specifically as you can?
21	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't remember the exact
22	words. I mean, I wouldn't have called it sexual abuse at
23	that time.
24	MR. CHISHOLM: You would not have called it
25	sexual abuse?

63

1	MS. SUTHERLAND: No, I would not have called
2	it sexual abuse.
3	MR. CHISHOLM: So you don't recall what you
4	said to Mr. Grundy?
5	MS. SUTHERLAND: I suspect it was something
6	along the line, like I told Doris, he would not leave me
7	alone. He came into my bedroom. He would, you know
8	MR. CHISHOLM: And Mr. Grundy, was he making
9	notes whenever he had this conversation with you?
10	MS. SUTHERLAND: No. No, it was like I
11	didn't say anything.
12	MR. CHISHOLM: So he wasn't making notes?
13	What was Mr. Grundy's response whenever you
14	had this conversation with him and told him?
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: Nothing. Nothing. He
16	changed the subject.
17	MR. CHISHOLM: He said nothing at all?
18	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, he changed the topic.
19	MR. CHISHOLM: I will take you back to
20	Exhibit 467 please, in the second page, Madam Clerk.
21	This is the letter of May 17, 1999 that you
22	sent to Mr. Pierre Lalonde of the Ministry of Community and
23	Social Services. On the second page of that that
24	letter, about the middle of the page:
25	"My first placement at that age was to

1		a foster home."
2	That's the way th	at paragraph starts.
3	TH	E COMMISSIONER: Top right?
4	MR	. CHISHOLM: M'hm.
5		"My first placement at that age was to
6		a foster home in Bainsville with a
7		family by the name of Virgins. It only
8		took a couple of weeks before Carl
9		Virgin began making visits to my
10		bedroom."
11	An	d then four lines down:
12		"When I finally had the strength to
13		tell my worker what was happening, I
14		was disbelieved. I was left there for
15		a year. When I was removed, it wasn't
16		for my protection but because I had
17		made things difficult for the foster
18		family. I had told a kid at school
19		what was happening. She told her
20		parents. And it was a small town; it
21		made its way back to Virgin."
22	No	w, in that letter you indicate, "I was
23	disbelieved." Wh	y do you come to that conclusion that you
24	were disbelieved?	
25	MS	. SUTHERLAND: Because I was left there.

1	Because he didn't reply. He didn't do anything about it.
2	He didn't really say anything about it. So I guess my
3	assumption at the time and still is that I was not
4	believed.
5	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. So that is your
6	assumption?
7	MS. SUTHERLAND: M'hm.
8	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay.
9	Now, you made reference to, "I had told a
10	kid at school what was happening." Who was that person?
11	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't know their name. I
12	don't remember her name. I know she lived across the field
13	from where we lived.
14	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay.
15	So on a neighbouring farm?
16	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right.
17	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay.
18	You don't know that person then? Was that
19	person in a class with you?
20	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, probably, buy my
21	memory of school is lacking, so
22	MR. CHISHOLM: We saw that yesterday in some
23	of the documentation saying you don't recall going to
24	school, but you must have been there.
25	MS. SUTHERLAND: I'm sorry?

1	MR. CHISHOLM: We saw that reference in some
2	of your correspondence yesterday to the effect that you
3	don't remember going to school, but you must have been
4	there.
5	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
6	MR. CHISHOLM: Right?
7	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
8	MR. CHISHOLM: so your memory of school is
9	lacking; is that fair to say?
10	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, you could say that.
11	MR. CHISHOLM: Now, the school you are
12	speaking of when you say "I told a kid at school," what
13	school were you attending at the time?
14	MS. SUTHERLAND: I think it was the
15	Lancaster Public School.
16	MR. CHISHOLM: Lancaster Public School?
17	MS. SUTHERLAND: M'hm.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay.
19	MS. SUTHERLAND: You know, I also remember,
20	in thinking that no one would believe me because of their
21	name. You know, and I remember that it was kind of weird
22	thinking, but I was, you know
23	MR. CHISHOLM: Because of whose name?
24	MS. SUTHERLAND: Virgins, you know.
25	MR. CHISHOLM: Now, you're saying that

1	because of the dictionary definition of their surname as
2	opposed to the status of that family in the community?
3	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right.
4	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay.
5	But going back, you think you were attending
6	Lancaster Public School?
7	MS. SUTHERLAND: I believe so.
8	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay.
9	And this girl, it is a girl that your told?
10	You don't know her name?
11	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
12	MR. CHISHOLM: She was a classmate of yours?
13	MS. SUTHERLAND: I suspect so.
14	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. And she lived on a
15	neighbouring farm; is that right?
16	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right.
17	MR. CHISHOLM: You don't recall the surname
18	of the owners of that property?
19	MS. SUTHERLAND: No, I don't.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: So, Mr. Chisholm, I don't
21	know about a break. Would it be a good time now? How much
22	longer do you think you are going to be?
23	MR. CHISHOLM: I still have to take Ms.
24	Sutherland through the issue of finish up an issue of
25	the reporting and then I have to take her through the

1	her journey with respect to obtaining her file.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Half an hour, 45 minutes?
3	MR. CHISHOLM: Forty-five (45) minutes, Mr.
4	Commissioner. I may be a little longer than the hour and a
5	half I told you last night.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
7	MR. CHISHOLM: I will soldier on as quickly
8	as I can.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, that's fine.
10	All right. I think we should take a break.
11	It has been an hour and a half now, so why don't we take
12	our morning break, and we will come back in 15 minutes.
13	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
14	veuillez vous lever.
15	Upon recessing at 10:31 a.m. /
16	L'audience est suspendue à 10h31
17	Upon resuming at 10:50 a.m. /
18	L'audience est reprise à 10h50
19	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
20	veuillez vous lever.
21	This hearing of the Cornwall Public Inquiry
22	is now in session. Please be seated. Veuillez vous
23	asseoir.
24	CATHY SUTHERLAND, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

1	MR. CHISHOLM: Ms. Sutherland, before the
2	break, we were talking about the people that you would have
3	told with respect to Mr. Virgin's abuse sexual abuse.
4	We were speaking about the classmate of yours, female
5	classmate that resided at the neighbouring farm. Is it
6	fair to say that the story you told us, that Bainsville is
7	a small community. Is that right?
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: Is it fair to say this
10	your story, once you started disclosing, got around and
11	went through the school you were at?
12	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
13	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay.
14	MS. SUTHERLAND: Well, I don't know that it
15	went through the school. I know it went through the
16	community.
17	MR. CHISHOLM: So people found out about it.
18	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right.
19	MR. CHISHOLM: And how do you know it went
20	through the community?
21	MS. SUTHERLAND: Because when I returned
22	home one day, they were sitting around the table. Mrs.
23	Virgin was crying and, you know, there was this big
24	hullabaloo
25	THE COMMISSIONER: And who's "they" sitting

1	around the table? Mr
2	MS. SUTHERLAND: It was Mrs. Virgin well,
3	Carl Virgin and his wife.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Anybody else?
5	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't remember.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
7	MR. CHISHOLM: You spoke of you telling
8	Doris Ennis of the abuse. Do you know whether she ever
9	reported to the Children's Aid Society that what you
10	told her about Mr. Virgin?
11	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't recall. I don't
12	know I didn't ask her that.
13	MR. CHISHOLM: Even in your subsequent
14	your most recent conversations with Ms. Ennis, you've never
15	asked her whether or not she notified the Children's Aid
16	Society?
17	MS. SUTHERLAND: No.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: So I take it you don't know
19	the whereabouts of this young this schoolmate of yours
20	that you told? You don't know her whereabouts today?
21	MS. SUTHERLAND: No, I don't know.
22	MR. CHISHOLM: You don't know who her
23	parents are; is that right?
24	MS. SUTHERLAND: No.
25	MR. CHISHOLM: What was her reaction

1	whenever you told her about the abuse?
2	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't remember the
3	details of the conversation with her.
4	MR. CHISHOLM: Can you recall anyone else
5	that you would have disclosed the abuse at the hands of Mr.
6	Virgin to, shortly after the abuse took place, before you
7	were moved to your next foster home?
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: No, not at this moment. My
9	grandmother.
10	MS. SUTHERLAND: And so, not at this moment?
11	MS. SUTHERLAND: Pardon?
12	MR. CHISHOLM: You said, not at this moment?
13	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, but I said my
14	grandmother. My grandmother knew.
15	MR. CHISHOLM: You told it to your
16	grandmother?
17	MS. SUTHERLAND: M'hm.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: And that's Ms Mrs.
19	Shaver.
20	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right.
21	MR. CHISHOLM: She's now dead.
22	MS. SUTHERLAND: That's correct.
23	MR. CHISHOLM: And you in December of
24	2006 you've had discussions with respect to this with the
25	Ontario Provincial Police. Is that right?

1	MS. SUTHERLAND: I've had, I'm sorry, what?
2	MR. CHISHOLM: You had a discussion with
3	Constable Gerry Holiday of the Ontario Provincial Police in
4	December of 2006 with respect to the abuse that you
5	suffered at the hands of Mr. Virgin. Do you recall that?
6	Do you recall an interview that you had with Constable
7	Holiday?
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I do.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. Constable Holiday
10	traveled to Hamilton to interview you, is that right?
11	MS. SUTHERLAND: Exactly. Yes.
12	MR. CHISHOLM: And do you recall Constable
13	Holiday asking you about to whom it was that you disclosed
14	the abuse to?
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't remember the
16	meeting verbatim, like I
17	MR. CHISHOLM: And but, with respect to
18	being asked, do you recall Constable Holiday asking you who
19	you disclosed the abuse to?
20	MS. SUTHERLAND: It makes sense to me that
21	he would have asked that, yes.
22	MR. CHISHOLM: Do you recall what it was
23	that you told Constable Holiday?
24	MS. SUTHERLAND: No, not offhand.
25	MR. CHISHOLM: I'd like to take you, please

1	to a new document, 739652.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit 486
3	is a witness statement, Ontario Provincial Police. The
4	witness is Catherine Sutherland and the statement was taken
5	on the 2006-12-04.
6	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-486:
7	(739652) Catherine Sutherland's witness
8	statement to the OPP dated 04 Dec 06.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: If I could take you to the
10	second page of the statement Ms. Sutherland. Have you seen
11	this document before?
12	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yeah, I believe I have.
13	MR. CHISHOLM: And the second it's Bates
14	page 7177371. And halfway down the page, you'll see Blaine
15	Grundy's name is in bold letters, and Derry Tenger's name
16	is in bold letters.
17	So this record would suggest that you told
18	Constable Holiday, of that you told Blaine Grundy and
19	Derry Tenger about the abuse. And you said that neither of
20	those those were both CAS workers, that neither of them
21	did anything with respect to your disclosure; right?
22	MS. SUTHERLAND: That's right.
23	MR. CHISHOLM: And then the third last
24	bullet of that page, it reads:
25	"Advises she spoke to kids at school

1	about abuse, but can't remember names.
2	Also spoke of a girl who resided at a
3	neighbouring farm but can't remember
4	her name."
5	Now, the reference to the kid, the girl who
6	resided at the neighbouring farm; is that the girl the
7	classmate you spoke of this morning to me?
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes; I remember her more
9	than I
10	MR. CHISHOLM: And this would indicate
11	this statement would indicate that you told other kids at
12	that school as well. Is that an accurate depiction of what
13	you told the Constable?
14	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I think that that's
15	probably what I told him. I mean, again, I'd see you
16	know, like I don't have any real memories of telling anyone
17	specifically other than this girl.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: I'd like to take you to the
19	next page please; 11 bullets down. Eleven (11) bullets
20	down reads
21	"Advises memory is bad. She has a lot
22	of blank spots."
23	You told Constable Holiday that; is that
24	right?
25	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.

1	MR. CHISHOLM: And then, 10 bullets further
2	down:
3	"Thinks she told a Dr. Burns in
4	Cornwall."
5	MS. SUTHERLAND: M'hm.
6	MR. CHISHOLM: Is that fair to say you're
7	not certain when you use the word "thinks"?
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't think I was certain
9	at that point, no.
10	MR. CHISHOLM: You're not you weren't
11	certain at that point?
12	MS. SUTHERLAND: No.
13	MR. CHISHOLM: And has your position changed
14	between December
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
16	MR. CHISHOLM: between December of '96
17	and today?
18	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
19	MR. CHISHOLM: And you are certain now?
20	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I would say I have a
21	reasonable belief, certain I told Burns.
22	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay, but you weren't in
23	December of 2006; is that right?
24	MS. SUTHERLAND: I hate to say things unless
25	I know them 100 percent, and for that I'm almost 100

1	percent that I told him. Because, I know there was a point
2	where I was so angry that I refused to go back to him, and
3	I suspect it was about disclosing what was going on with
4	Virgins, and he
5	MR. CHISHOLM: And the bullet above that:
6	"Advises she told her next foster
7	family, the Gord and Dorris Ennis"
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: "who reside in
10	Winchester."
11	There's no reference in this document to any
12	other disclosure to any other foster families. Is that
13	right?
14	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't know.
15	MR. CHISHOLM: Do you want to take a second
16	and look at the document and see if you can find any
17	references?
18	MS. SUTHERLAND: No, if you say it's not
19	there, I believe that.
20	MR. CHISHOLM: Well, I don't want you to
21	rely on my perception of the document. I'd rather use
22	yours.
23	MS. SUTHERLAND: No, I don't see anything
24	with that, no.
25	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay.

1	Now, I just want to move into a new area of
2	the record's request, but before I do that, if I can take
3	you back to a couple of things that you've touched upon
4	today.
5	You described a lady on the bus noting the -
6	- an awful a foul odour coming from your band your
7	hands were wrapped in bandages; remember that?
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: Now when you would have been
10	at school, a teacher or anyone else ever comment about the
11	foul odour?
12	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't recall.
13	MR. CHISHOLM: You don't recall. Now the
14	odour, would that be a result of infection setting in?
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes. It was oozing.
16	MR. CHISHOLM: It was oozing.
17	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: So there was an infection
19	there?
20	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
21	MR. CHISHOLM: Painful?
22	MS. SUTHERLAND: Probably, yes.
23	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. And you told us you
24	never received any medical attention, but I take it the
25	wrappings were applied by your mother?

1	MS. SUTHERLAND: That's right.
2	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. The incident when you
3	ran away in 1968, is it fair to say you were a teenager
4	then is it fair to say that you would have dressed
5	yourself before you
6	MS. SUTHERLAND: No.
7	MR. CHISHOLM: No. Even that day
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: No.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: running away, you didn't
10	dress yourself?
11	MS. SUTHERLAND: No, I was not allowed to
12	dress myself. I mean I was not allowed to choose what I
13	wore.
14	MR. CHISHOLM: That letter, if you find that
15	letter that you've described to us from the Cornwall CAS to
16	the Hamilton CAS, could you do your best to get it in the
17	hands of Commission counsel perhaps or Mr. Lee?
18	MS. SUTHERLAND: I think my lawyer has it.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry?
20	MR. DUMAIS: It's in superdex.
21	MR. CHISHOLM: My discussion with Mr. Lee I
22	just had, is it possible that the document came from the
23	Renfrew Child and Family Services and not Cornwall not
24	the United Counties of SD&G CAS?
25	MS. SUTHERLAND: It was my understanding it

1	came from Cornwall, but I could be wrong.
2	MR. CHISHOLM: In any event, Commission
3	counsel has the letter that you're referring to.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: So will we be producing
5	it?
6	MR. DUMAIS: Yes, Commissioner, I was going
7	to wait whether or not Mr. Lee was going to bring it up and
8	if he doesn't, my intention was to do so.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: All right, that's fine.
10	Thank you.
11	MR. LEE: I will sir.
12	MR. CHISHOLM: If I could take you to
13	Exhibit 484 please, back of 484 and to the page 1131939,
14	please. Just to the bottom, the last five lines of the
15	page please.
16	You see and I'll just read in the record,
17	Ms. Sutherland:
18	"and Cathy was seen today, was
19	superficially her usual amiable self.
20	She was, however, quite defensively
21	hostile and rather emasculating in her
22	attitude. She said she ran away from
23	home because she was fed up with her
24	mother. She made it clear that she
25	felt quite fond of her mother, but

1	whenever the mother would not conform
2	adequately to her wishes, she felt the
3	natural thing to do was to leave."
4	Which is you agree, I've set out accurately
5	the contents of the record, Ms. Sutherland?
6	MS. SUTHERLAND: I would agree okay that
7	that's what Dr. Burns said.
8	MR. CHISHOLM: Now, do you see at the bottom
9	of that page there is a mark stating "confidential"?
10	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
11	MR. CHISHOLM: You'd agree this is a third
12	party record that could end up in the hands of the CAS?
13	THE COMMISSIONER: I don't know if she is
14	this is a legal question almost.
15	MR. CHISHOLM: Well, the witness takes a
16	position, Mr. Commissioner, that the CAS should not be
17	protecting third party records and should be releasing them
18	whenever there is an information request submitted to the
19	CAS.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
21	MR. CHISHOLM: I just want to examine with
22	her whether or not she would have any apprehension about
23	having confidential records of third parties released.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: To other people other
25	than the patient?

1	MR. CHISHOLM: Well, potentially; but let's
2	limit it to the information request that comes to the CAS
3	and
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, there is a
5	difference between don't you think, between if I were
6	to phone you and ask you for Ms. Sutherland's hospital
7	records and whether Ms. Sutherland applied.
8	I think the situation here is the client
9	asking. So I don't know if there's any use really in going
10	with a stranger because that's not the case before us.
11	MR. CHISHOLM: I'll limit
12	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
13	MR. CHISHOLM: my discussion to her to
14	the client applying for the requesting the information.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
16	MR. CHISHOLM: You see no concern, Ms.
17	Sutherland, about releasing documents from confidential
18	documents from third parties?
19	MS. SUTHERLAND: To the individual? To me?
20	THE COMMISSIONER: To you.
21	MR. CHISHOLM: Yes.
22	MS. SUTHERLAND: No, I don't see a problem
23	with that.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: And I'm sorry.
25	Yesterday, was it not your when you spoke to the person

1	at the hospital, were you talking about this report or all
2	reports? Remember, you said you wanted the Children's Aid
3	Society to give you your medical report and you phoned the
4	hospital and the hospital told you that they had phoned the
5	Children's Aid Society and told them to give it to you.
6	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Now, was this this
8	report?
9	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, this is part of it.
10	MR. CHISHOLM: I'm going to bring you to the
11	issue of the telephone call and what the CAS has in terms
12	of permission, if you will, from the Cornwall General
13	Hospital.
14	Would you agree with me, Ms. Sutherland,
15	that the file that the CAS has maintained with respect to
16	your care is a CAS file that contains confidential
17	information about you and other people?
18	MS. SUTHERLAND: I would agree with that,
19	yes.
20	MR. CHISHOLM: You would agree with that.
21	Now, you pointed out a number of letters back and forth
22	between you and the CAS with respect to your request to
23	obtain documents. You did that yesterday; right?
24	MS. SUTHERLAND: M'hm.
25	MR. CHISHOLM: And would you agree with me

1	that the correspondence that was sent to you from the CAS
2	was courteous.
3	MS. SUTHERLAND: Oh, I would agree with
4	that, yes.
5	MR. CHISHOLM: And would you agree that the
6	correspondence contained a number of instances where the
7	Society apologized to you for the delay in getting a
8	response to you?
9	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I would agree okay
10	that they apologized.
11	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. And would you agree
12	that in some instances, you were directed to particular,
13	specific third parties to follow-up with your request for
14	information?
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: Are you asking me, okay,
16	within the the Children's Aid suggested okay that I
17	report any criminal activities to the police?
18	MR. CHISHOLM: No. No, I am saying the CAS
19	would take the position we can't disclose a third party
20	record, but we suggest you contact that third party
21	directly.
22	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, and I did.
23	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. And would you agree
24	that the correspondence that was sent to you by the CAS
25	would have contained expressions of hope that the

1	information would be helpful to you?
2	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
3	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay.
4	MS. SUTHERLAND: But that's why I was
5	requesting it. Because I knew it would be helpful.
6	MR. CHISHOLM: If I could take you back to
7	Exhibit 485 please. These are the case notes of I'll
8	take you to page 7173205, these are the case notes of Mark
9	Boisvenue of August the $16^{\rm th}$, 1995. And the last two lines
10	on that page, Mr. Boisvenue writes:
11	"I advised I would attempt to get it to
12	her by next week."
13	And he is speaking of the information
14	request. I'm going to suggest to you that he indicated to
15	you that he would try to get the material to you by the
16	next week; is that fair to say?
17	MS. SUTHERLAND: That's what it says, yes.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: And you don't disagree with
19	that?
20	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't remember it.
21	MR. CHISHOLM: If I could take you please to
22	Exhibit 454 this is a letter of August 22^{nd} , '95 signed by
23	Mark Boisvenue and Richard Abell for William Carriere
24	addressed to you. This is a covering letter that contained
25	the chronological wardship and placement history. Is that

1	right, Ms. Sutherland?
2	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, it is.
3	MR. CHISHOLM: Would you agree that Mr.
4	Boisvenue kept his promise that was set out in his case
5	notes of getting the information to you in the week
6	following August 16 th ?
7	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, yes.
8	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. And you'll see in that
9	second middle paragraph, the last sentence:
10	"If, however, you believe that a crime
11	has been committed, this agency would
12	encourage you to file a complaint with
13	the police."
14	You don't disagree that you were told that;
15	right?
16	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right.
17	MR. CHISHOLM: If I could take you, please,
18	to a new document, 123698.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit 487
20	is a letter
21	MR. CHISHOLM: Letter from Cathy Sutherland
22	dated March 28, 1996
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
24	MR. CHISHOLM: to the CAS to the
25	attention of Mark Boisvenue.

1	EXHIBIT NO./PIECE NO. P-487:
2	(123698) Letter from Catherine Sutherland to
3	Children's Aid Society dated 28 March 1996.
4	MR. CHISHOLM: The first paragraph of that
5	letter, Ms. Sutherland, is it fair to say you told him the
6	results of his efforts were met with a great deal of
7	gratitude on your part?
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: And you acknowledge how
10	cumbersome a request for information is; is that right?
11	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yup.
12	MR. CHISHOLM: And would you agree that
13	seven months have gone by after you receive the letter of
14	August 22 nd , '95 before you contact the CAS?
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: According to the
16	correspondence, yes. I don't know if I made phone calls.
17	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. In terms of the
18	correspondence?
19	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
20	MR. CHISHOLM: This correspondence is seven
21	months after you get the material from Mr. Boisvenue; is
22	that right?
23	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right.
24	MR. CHISHOLM: Can I suggest to you that not
25	having heard from you for a period of seven months, it was

1	reasonable for the CAS to conclude that you were satisfied
2	with the information that was provided to you and that it
3	had fulfilled its request to provide the information to you
4	to fulfill your request. Would you agree with me?
5	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't know what the
6	Children's Aid thought at that point. It would be hard for
7	me to know whether or not they thought
8	MR. CHISHOLM: It's not unreasonable if
9	seven months go by and
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, let's leave at this
11	in the sense that they replied they responded to her
12	request, and so until they got another request, they could
13	assume that all was well.
14	MR. CHISHOLM: That's fair.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Is that a fair
16	comment, Ms. Sutherland?
17	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: Exhibit 459, please. This
19	letter was entered, I believe, yesterday. This is a letter
20	of February 11 th , 1997 addressed to you from the Children's
21	Aid Society and signed by the Executive Director, Richard
22	Abell. Is that right, Ms. Sutherland?
23	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I believe so.
24	MR. CHISHOLM: You received that letter; is
25	that right?

1	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
2	MR. CHISHOLM: And the first paragraph of
3	that letter
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, what's the date of
5	that letter?
6	MR. CHISHOLM: February 11, 1997, Mr.
7	Commissioner.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So about 11 months
9	later from her letter of March 28 th , 1996 10 months
10	no, 11 months.
11	MR. CHISHOLM: I won't dispute your math,
12	sir.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
14	MR. CHISHOLM: The first paragraph of that
15	letter, Mr. Abell tells you that he's had discussions with
16	the Hamilton Society regarding arrangements for you to
17	review the relevant information; right? Ms. Sutherland?
18	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes. Sorry.
19	MR. CHISHOLM: You would agree Mr. Abell
20	told you that arrangements he's attempted to make
21	arrangements for you to
22	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
23	MR. CHISHOLM: have the information
24	reviewed in Hamilton; right?
25	MS. SUTHERLAND: M'hm.

1	MR. CHISHOLM: And in that letter, you would
2	agree that Mr. Abell attempts to respond to the questions
3	that you put back to Mr. Boisvenue?
4	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
5	MR. CHISHOLM: And then we know that on
6	March 10, 1997, Patricia Garrahan went from the CAS in
7	Cornwall, went to Hamilton to meet with you; is that right?
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: If I could take you to a new
10	document, Madam Clerk, please, Document 738617.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit 488
12	is a it's a document
13	MR. CHISHOLM: It's a document signed by
14	Patricia Garrahan that sets out a notation concerning a
15	March 10, 1997 meeting between Ms. Garrahan and Catherine
16	Sutherland.
17	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-488:
18	(738617) Interview with Catherine Sutherland
19	at Hamilton-Wentworth Family and Children's
20	Services dated 10 March 1997.
21	MR. CHISHOLM: Just reading the first
22	paragraph of the document, Ms. Sutherland:
23	"As agreed upon, that portion of
24	Catherine's child's file, which was not
25	identifying, was shared with Catherine.

1	She read the file also. As agreed
2	upon, requested the opportunity to
3	dictate and record the contents of the
4	file. I obtained a dictation machine
5	from Hamilton-Wentworth Family and
6	Children's Services as Catherine's
7	machine was not working properly."
8	Do you recall that you brought your own
9	dictation machine with you?
10	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't remember the
11	details like that, no.
12	MR. CHISHOLM: I take it you wouldn't
13	dispute what's set out in this memo by Ms. Garrahan?
14	MS. SUTHERLAND: I wouldn't disagree with
15	that, no.
16	MR. CHISHOLM: If I could take you to
17	Exhibit 461, please? This is a letter from you to Richard
18	Abell dated November 7, 1997.
19	And would you agree with me that after Ms.
20	Garrahan's trip to Hamilton that the CAS here in Cornwall
21	did not hear from you for another eight months until you
22	corresponded with Mr. Abell on November the $7^{\rm th}$, 1997?
23	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes. Well, according to
24	the letters. I don't know if there was phone calls.
25	MR. CHISHOLM: You reviewed the CAS files as

1	a result of reviewing the disclosure that has been provided
2	in this Inquiry. Is that right?
3	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I have.
4	MR. CHISHOLM: And would you agree that
5	there's nothing in the CAS file with respect to with
6	respect to a communication from the Cornwall General
7	Hospital allowing the release of its material? And I'm
8	speaking of your reference to Pat Lalonde, I believe
9	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I don't think they
10	necessarily I mean, just because you don't have a
11	notation saying that they spoke to Pat Lalonde doesn't mean
12	that it didn't happen.
13	MR. CHISHOLM: On I won't argue that.
14	You haven't seen any documentation from the
15	Cornwall General Hospital, directed to the CAS, with
16	respect to the release of
17	MS. SUTHERLAND: No, they called. I was told
18	that they called, and
19	MR. CHISHOLM: And you told us that
20	yesterday. But, with respect to the documentation, you had
21	agreed with me there was no documentation from the Cornwall
22	General Hospital to the CAS.
23	MS. SUTHERLAND: No. No, I haven't seen
24	anything.
25	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay.

1	I take it you wouldn't disagree with me that
2	it would be reasonable for the Cornwall General Hospital to
3	communicate in writing to the CAS with respect to giving
4	its permission for the release of the documents?
5	MS. SUTHERLAND: I suppose so. But I mean
6	that's you know, how would I know?
7	MR. CHISHOLM: The audio tape that you made
8	during the dictation in Hamilton, you kept that audio tape,
9	did you?
10	MS. SUTHERLAND: Did I keep the tape?
11	MR. CHISHOLM: Yes.
12	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I did.
13	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. And you were able to
14	transcribe the notes that you made, whenever you dictated
15	the files, is that right?
16	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yeah, somewhat.
17	MR. CHISHOLM: I'd like to take you to a new
18	document, please; Document 738614.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit number 489, a
20	letter dated November $27^{\rm th}$, 1998 to Dr. Cornfield from
21	William Carriere, Coordinator of Services at Children's Aid
22	Society.
23	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-489:
24	(738614) Letter from Children's Aid Society
25	to Dr. Cornfield dated 27 Nov 98.

1	MR. CHISHOLM: You've seen this letter
2	before Ms. Sutherland; is that right?
3	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I have.
4	MR. CHISHOLM: And I take it, from reviewing
5	some of your written material, you do not approve of the
6	suggestion made to Dr. Cornfield to obtain the information
7	from you?
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: No; I the file was
9	heavily redacted when I read it, it was a poor copy of it,
10	the first eight pages, or seven pages of it were missing.
11	MR. CHISHOLM: Now, those first pages are
12	front sheets. Is that right?
13	MS. SUTHERLAND: No, not entirely.
14	MR. CHISHOLM: Let's just go back to the
15	your position is, you thought it unprofessional. Is that -
16	- I believe
17	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I did. Very
18	unprofessional.
19	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. And in terms of the
20	at the time that Dr. Cornfield made the requests for the
21	information, you would have had a summary of theprovided
22	by Mark Boisvenue, is that right?
23	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yeah what, the three
24	page summary?
25	MR. CHISHOLM: I'm not sure

1	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yeah.
2	MR. CHISHOLM: however many pages it
3	was. You would have had Mr. Abell's letter to you, where
4	he responds to the requests the questions you put to Mr.
5	Boisvenue; is that right?
6	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
7	MR. CHISHOLM: And you would have had the
8	transcript of the dictation that you made as a result of
9	your March 10, '97 meeting with Patricia Garrahan; is that
10	right?
11	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right.
12	MR. CHISHOLM: Do you recall in June of
13	1995, seeking medical information as a result of seizures -
14	
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
16	MR. CHISHOLM: that you were suffering?
17	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: And you called Lise Stanley;
19	is that right?
20	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: You called who? Lise
22	Stanley?
23	MR. CHISHOLM: Lise Stanley. She's set out
24	in the she's set out her case notes are set out in
25	Exhibit 485.

1	You agreed you received a prompt response to
2	your request?
3	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't remember how long
4	it took Lise to provide that information.
5	MR. CHISHOLM: If I put it to you as the
6	following day, would you disagree with me?
7	MS. SUTHERLAND: No well I mean, if you
8	have it there, no, I'm not going to disagree.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: If I could take you to a new
10	document; Document 738622.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit 490
12	is a letter dated February 3 rd 1997 from Wenda Hodsdon,
13	Program Supervisor of Ministry of Community and Social
14	Services to Richard Abell.
15	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-490:
16	(738622) Letter from Ministry of Community
17	and Social Services to Children's Aid
18	Society dated 3 Feb 97.
19	MR. CHISHOLM: You were c.c.'d in this
20	letter Ms. Sutherland; is that right?
21	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
22	MR. CHISHOLM: And you've seen the letter
23	before?
24	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I have.
25	MR. CHISHOLM: And would you agree with the

1	fourth paragraph of Ms. Hodsdon's letter:
2	"I have indicated to Miss Sutherland
3	that the gathering of this information
4	comes under the jurisdiction of the CAS
5	and as such, the Ministry does not
6	manage when it will be made available."
7	Did she tell you that?
8	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't recall, but I have
9	no reason to doubt what she said.
10	MR. CHISHOLM: And she in the last
11	paragraph, Ms. Hodsdon indicated:
12	"I believe Ms. Sutherland may find it
13	helpful to know the status of her
14	information request and the time she
15	can expect to receive it."
16	You don't doubt she said that to Mr. Abell
17	in the letter; right?
18	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: It's in the letter, Mr
20	
21	MR. CHISHOLM: Would you agree that it would
22	be more helpful to you, Ms. Sutherland rather than being
23	given a timeframe which may be several months down the road
24	as to when you can expect, to receive the information that
25	it was more helpful to you to actually get the answers that

1	you posed to Mr. Boisvenue?
2	And the answers were provided to you by Mr.
3	Abell? That was more helpful to you than being simply
4	being told that your request is in the line and it'll take
5	several months?
6	Would you agree that it's more helpful to
7	get the information than to be told when you will get the
8	information?
9	MS. SUTHERLAND: Well, I think it would be -
10	- both things would have been helpful.
11	MR. CHISHOLM: I'll concede that to you.
12	But it would be more helpful to you to get the actual
13	information as opposed to being told when you might receive
14	it; is that right?
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: I don't know how to answer
16	that. Yes, I would say having the information is was
17	valuable.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: And would you agree with me
19	that you wouldn't be surprised that the CAS receives a
20	number of requests for information from a number of
21	individuals and organizations? That doesn't surprise you
22	that there would be others seeking information as opposed
23	to just yourself; right?
24	MS. SUTHERLAND: No, that doesn't surprise
25	me. But we're not talking where, you know, this was

1	resolved in a year or two. You know? This was a very,
2	very long time.
3	MR. CHISHOLM: And that will be the subject
4	of discussion further on; you have your perspective on it,
5	and there may be others out there. But
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Good, so let's go on to
7	something else.
8	MR. CHISHOLM: Well, this is my last area
9	Mr. Commissioner. I'm going to conclude this and I'm going
10	to sit down.
11	I take it it wouldn't come to you as a
12	surprise that individuals and organizations that make
13	requests, given the finite resources that are available to
14	a society, there has to be some delay
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: Mr. Chisholm, I think all
16	of my letters were done respectfully and with consideration
17	to the effort that they had put in. To wait four years for
18	a response, a decent response that still wasn't even
19	adequate.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: So, whether I don't
21	know that it's a fair question to ask this witness whether
22	a public agency has finite or infinite funding and whether
23	or not the delay is acceptable. I think that's a question
24	I'm going to have to answer after I hear from the
25	institutional response.

1	MR. CHISHOLM: Thank you Ms. Sutherland for
2	coming here in the last two days to give your evidence. I
3	wish you the best of luck in the future. Thank you.
4	MS. SUTHERLAND: Thank you.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, sir.
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. LEE:
7	Mr. LEE: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm. Good morning.
9	MR. LEE: Good morning, Cathy.
10	MS. SUTHERLAND: Hi.
11	MR. LEE: I just have a few questions for
12	you. You've made over the last couple of days several
13	references to a specific note in the CAS file and, given
14	how many times it's been referenced, I just want to take
15	you there and see if I can identify that note for the
16	record.
17	If we could pull up Exhibit 479.
18	I'm sorry, Madam Clerk, I think I have the
19	wrong file. It's 482. It's the family file that was
20	entered today. I'm looking at the third page of the notes,
21	which is Bates page 7173465. If you see, Ms. Sutherland,
22	at the very top of the page, the sentence beginning "Joan".
23	It reads:
24	"Joan brought Cathy downstairs and
25	Cathy appeared very much a mite, with

1	the most severely bruised face worker
2	had ever seen on a person."
3	Is this the note that you've referenced a
4	few times during your testimony?
5	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, it is.
6	MR. LEE: I just wanted to point that out
7	for the record.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: And what's this document?
9	MR. LEE: This document is the CAS family
10	file.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
12	MR. LEE: So not the file open in relation
13	to Ms. Sutherland but, rather, in relation to her family as
14	a whole. So when you get into this, Mr. Commissioner, the
15	family file contains the record of the very earliest
16	beginnings of the CAS involvement, and once they find
17	sorry, once they take action with Ms. Sutherland, then a
18	file is open on her behalf as well. So there's information
19	in both of these that relate to Ms. Sutherland. It's just
20	she's made at least a few references to that note, so I
21	wanted to point out to you where it was
22	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
23	MR. LEE: and identify it.
24	The next area that I wanted to in case I
25	haven't, for the record, the Bates page is 7173465.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
2	MR. LEE: Mr. Chisholm, during his cross-
3	examination, spoke to you a little bit about your
4	appearance at school and the clothing you were wearing. Do
5	you recall that?
6	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I do.
7	MR. LEE: And he brought you to some
8	documents relating to that. You made a reference to the
9	fact that the notes contained some kind of reference that
10	would contradict what Mr. Chisholm had to say.
11	MS. SUTHERLAND: That's right.
12	MR. LEE: I'd like to show you a letter, if
13	I could. It is Document Number 738759.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit 491
15	is a letter can you help me out here from Dr. Burns.
16	MR. LEE: From Dr. Burns to I'm not
17	exactly sure how to pronounce it McKeown perhaps.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: What?
19	MS. SUTHERLAND: Dr. McKeown.
20	MR. LEE: McKeown?
21	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: You'll see it better on
23	the can you bring it up, Madam Clerk?
24	Okay. So this is a letter, February 14 th , 19
25	

1	MR. LEE: Sixty-eight (68).
2	THE COMMISSIONER: I think you're going to
3	have to bring it down a little bit. Maybe just go
4	paragraph by paragraph, Madam Clerk, so I can read it.
5	There you go. Okay.
6	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-491:
7	(738759) Letter from J.H. Burns to Dr. J.
8	McKeown dated 14 Feb 68
9	MR. LEE: So as the Commissioner noted, this
10	is a February $14^{\rm th}$, 1968 letter from Dr. Burns, to Dr.
11	McKeown, did you say?
12	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
13	MR. LEE: Dr. McKeown.
14	If I can get you to look at the fifth
15	paragraph?
16	And I will tie this back to the record, sir.
17	It reads:
18	"At interview, Cathy was a small
19	dysplactic, rather wretched looking
20	child whose attire indicates that her
21	family have shown no ambition to
22	improve her appearance. Cathy's lack
23	of interest in her appearance is also
24	indicative of some narcissistic
25	injuries."

1	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
2	MR. LEE: Do you see that?
3	MS. SUTHERLAND: M'hm.
4	MR. LEE: Now, hold on to that thought, and
5	I would now like to take you to the CAS file, which is the
6	document that you had access to, your own CAS file, which
7	is Exhibit 479.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, and what date
9	is this document?
10	MR. LEE: This document I've just shown you
11	is February 14 th , 1968.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Eight, right.
13	MR. LEE: Nineteen sixty-eight (1968), yes.
14	So we're now going to Cathy's child file
15	with the CAS, to Bates page 7173647. That's the one I'm
16	interested in. In the middle of the page there's a heading
17	called "Physical appearance" and this is a note made the
18	following year, in March of 1969 when the file is reopened.
19	In "Physical appearance" it reads:
20	"Cathy is a small 13-year old girl with
21	dark brown hair and blue eyes. She is
22	a rather wretched looking child whose
23	attire indicates that her family have
24	shown no ambition to improve her
25	appearance."

1	Have you seen that in the note, Ms.
2	Sutherland?
3	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I have.
4	MR. LEE: Is that what you were referring to
5	earlier during cross-examination by Mr. Chisholm?
6	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Isn't that word for word
8	what the doctor said?
9	MR. LEE: It's very close to it, sir.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
11	MR. LEE: Obviously, my submission would be
12	it was taken from there, but Ms. Sutherland has no
13	knowledge of that.
14	MS. SUTHERLAND: I would also say at this
15	point too that the weight is given there at 73 pounds, and
16	this is after being admitted into care. This is after time
17	in care. So to be 13 and 60 pounds is
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, 73. It says you're
19	73 pounds.
20	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, but this was after
21	being in care.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. Okay.
23	MR. LEE: And we'll see we'll review the
24	entire file during the institutional response phase, I
25	would imagine. So there will be things that haven't been

1	pointed out here that will be pointed out.
2	While we're at this document, if we can go
3	to the first page of this exhibit? Not that one. There we
4	go. So if we look at the top of the page, you can see, Ms.
5	Sutherland, that it reads "Name of Child: Catherine
6	Donnelly" and it gives your some details of your life.
7	And down below, it begins with "Social History".
8	If we turn to the next page at the top of
9	the page, you can see the number 2. The pages here are
10	numbered, so it would appear that that's the first page of
11	this narrative; would you agree, the one that I showed you
12	prior to this?
13	MS. SUTHERLAND: I'm sorry, what?
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, it is.
14 15	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, it is. MR. LEE: Okay. The reason I'm asking is
15	MR. LEE: Okay. The reason I'm asking is
15 16	MR. LEE: Okay. The reason I'm asking is that you mentioned to Mr. Chisholm that the first seven
15 16 17	MR. LEE: Okay. The reason I'm asking is that you mentioned to Mr. Chisholm that the first seven pages of your file were missing, and he asked you whether
15 16 17 18	MR. LEE: Okay. The reason I'm asking is that you mentioned to Mr. Chisholm that the first seven pages of your file were missing, and he asked you whether or not those were cover pages. So what I want to know is
15 16 17 18 19	MR. LEE: Okay. The reason I'm asking is that you mentioned to Mr. Chisholm that the first seven pages of your file were missing, and he asked you whether or not those were cover pages. So what I want to know is are the first seven pages you're referring to the pages 1
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. LEE: Okay. The reason I'm asking is that you mentioned to Mr. Chisholm that the first seven pages of your file were missing, and he asked you whether or not those were cover pages. So what I want to know is are the first seven pages you're referring to the pages 1 through 7 of this, or are they something else?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. LEE: Okay. The reason I'm asking is that you mentioned to Mr. Chisholm that the first seven pages of your file were missing, and he asked you whether or not those were cover pages. So what I want to know is are the first seven pages you're referring to the pages 1 through 7 of this, or are they something else? MS. SUTHERLAND: No, of this.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. LEE: Okay. The reason I'm asking is that you mentioned to Mr. Chisholm that the first seven pages of your file were missing, and he asked you whether or not those were cover pages. So what I want to know is are the first seven pages you're referring to the pages 1 through 7 of this, or are they something else? MS. SUTHERLAND: No, of this. MR. LEE: And how do you know that?

1	first seven pages that were missing?
2	MS. SUTHERLAND: Because the pages were
3	numbered.
4	MR. LEE: And so what was the first page you
5	saw?
6	MS. SUTHERLAND: Eight (8), page 8.
7	MR. LEE: So from that you can deduce the
8	first seven pages of this were missing?
9	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right.
10	MR. LEE: And this is the file you were
11	given access to, your own
12	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
13	MR. LEE: file as a child, not the
14	family file?
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: Exactly.
16	MR. LEE: The last area I want to bring you
17	to is the letter that the Commissioner had asked a question
18	about. If we can pull up Document 120960?
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Is this something we have
20	in our
21	MR. LEE: Yes. If it's not under that,
22	please try 120961. I have a couple of numbers on my list
23	here. I'm not sure which one it is. I think I may be
24	wrong, sir. I'm not sure notice was provided of that
25	document. I

1	MR. DUMAIS: It was not, Commissioner.
2	
2	MR. LEE: It was not. This is the issue
3	that arose when Ms. Sutherland was talking about a letter
4	that she's seen
5	THE COMMISSIONER: I understand that.
6	MR. LEE: No, so Madam Clerk will not have
7	that document.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you have it?
9	MR. LEE: I have one copy of it, yes. Is
10	that fine?
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Let's go. We'll bring it
12	in as an exhibit and we'll give you copies later. Let's
13	get on with it.
14	MR. LEE: Okay. Would you like to see it
15	first, sir?
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. So Exhibit 492, or
17	whatever number we're at. Do you have that document on the
18	screen, Madam Clerk?
19	MR. LEE: This is the document on the
20	screen.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So we'll take this
22	as the exhibit.
23	You can have it back.
24	So it's Exhibit 492 is a letter dated May

 $27^{\rm th}$ 1988 addressed to Mr. Craig Taylor of the Hamilton-

1	Wentworth Catholic Children's Ald Society, signed by Peter
2	Emond, $E-m-o-n-d$.
3	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO C-492A:
4	(120960) Letter from Family Service Worker
5	to Hamilton-Wentworth CAS dated 27 May 88.
6	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO C-492B:
7	(120961) Report on Leclair family.
8	Okay, so we've got the document there. So,
9	if you wouldn't mind would you mind looking at it on the
10	screen? Would that be okay?
11	MS. SUTHERLAND: No, that's fine.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: And here, you can have
13	_
14	MR. LEE: Commission counsel's handed me a
15	copy, so
16	THE COMMISSIONER: We've got copies. Okay.
17	Good enough.
18	MR. LEE: So as the Commissioner said, Ms.
19	Sutherland, this is a May 27, 1988 letter. In the top
20	left-hand corner, you can see it's sent from Renfrew,
21	Ontario by Peter Emond, a family service worker.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Wait a minute, wait a
23	minute. This is has to do with another family.
24	MR. LEE: The confusion you have at this
25	point is the contact at this point was made in relation

1	to Ms. Sutherland as a mother, and as part of the
2	information requested and released to a child family. So
3	when you see
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
5	MR. LEE: the first where you see
6	"Report on the Leclair family" that's referring to Ms.
7	Sutherland's family as an adult; is that correct, Ms.
8	Sutherland?
9	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Who's the Leclair family?
11	MS. SUTHERLAND: That's when I was married;
12	that was my married name.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. All right.
14	MR. LEE: And this deals with your son
15	the first if we can turn over the page, Madam Clerk?
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Is there any
17	question about confidentiality, with respect to being a
18	public
19	MR. LEE: I mean certainly I haven't
20	canvassed Ms. Sutherland nor, obviously, have I canvassed
21	it with her son, at all.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: So, for the time being,
23	let's make it a temporary "C" and then, once you've
24	reviewed it, you can give me some submissions on it.
25	MR. LEE: Thank you.

1	So Madam Clerk, if we can go to the second
2	page? And I'm going for a very specific purpose here, sir
3	
4	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
5	MR. LEE: that I'll try to get to
6	quickly.
7	You may have okay, Madam Clerk, I see
8	I think what's happened is that the letter itself is
9	120960. The enclosure with the letter is 120961. And here
10	we have this report and would you Ms. Sutherland,
11	does this report that first page that you are looking at
12	now, deal with your family as an adult?
13	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, it does.
14	MR. LEE: It deals with your son?
15	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right.
16	MR. LEE: Okay. And then, if we turn over
17	the page, we have a social history for Catherine Leclair,
18	née Donnelly. And that's you is that correct?
19	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
20	MR. LEE: And the reason I'm bringing this
21	up if we can go to the second paragraph under that, it
22	reads:
23	"Catherine was taken into care because
24	of malnourishment, inadequate care and
25	neglect. At one point, as a youngster,

1	Cornwall medical staff were concerned
2	if the situation continued for a period
3	of six more weeks, Catherine might have
4	died."
5	You see that there, Ms. Sutherland?
6	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
7	MR. LEE: And is this the reference you were
8	pointing to earlier, in your cross-examination by Mr.
9	Chisholm?
10	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, it was. Yes.
11	MR. LEE: And to close this off, sir
12	since this is a letter that wasn't sent to Ms. Sutherland
13	at any point if Madam Clerk can pull up on the screen
14	and I'll hand her a hard copy. Document 120963.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
16	This is Exhibit 493, a letter from Doug
17	Gorringe I guess, Intake Supervisor to Ms. Sutherland,
18	dated July 11 th , 1995.
19	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-493:
20	P-493: (120963) Letter from Doug Gorringe to
21	Catherine Sutherland dated 11 July 95.
22	MR. LEE: Madam Clerk, if we can just have
23	the letter on the screen so I can see it?
24	So Ms. Sutherland, this is a letter that is
25	addressed to you from just a little more Madam Clerk,

1	please? It's just I'd like to see the from Doug
2	Gorringe, the Intake Supervisor in Pembroke.
3	Do you recall receiving this letter?
4	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I do.
5	MR. LEE: And it states that in April this
6	year, you requested information from the Catholic
7	Children's Aid Society in Hamilton.
8	"They forwarded the information to our
9	agency believing you wanted information
10	from us, although you mentioned the
11	Cornwall CAS. I have enclosed a copy
12	of yours and the Hamilton CCAS letters.
13	Regardless, I have enclosed the
14	correspondence we sent to Hamilton in
15	1988. As you can see, our information
16	is from Cornwall. I have had to delete
17	the information that applied to"
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Somebody.
19	MR. LEE: "somebody, as we only have your
20	consent. I apologize for the long
21	delay of this. Although background
22	searches take a longer priority than
23	abuse-type investigations, I am not
24	clear why this took two months."
25	And so is it my understanding that, along

1	with this letter, you would have received the letter we
2	just looked at a moment ago?
3	MS. SUTHERLAND: That's correct.
4	MR. LEE: And so, you would have seen it
5	that time?
6	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
7	MR. LEE: In fact, the comment about you
8	being dead in six more weeks?
9	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right.
10	MR. LEE: Is that correct?
11	MS. SUTHERLAND: Right.
12	MR. LEE: Ms. Sutherland, I have no more
13	questions for you.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
15	Maître Dumais?
16	MR. DUMAIS: I don't have anything,
17	Commissioner. Thanks for attending, Cathy.
18	There is just one correction I want to make
19	and it's not a question for you, Cathy. Just with respect
20	to the three last documents that were referenced I think
21	we entered them as 492 and 493, but the letter and the case
22	summary have two separate doc numbers.
23	So just for the purposes of clarity, perhaps
24	they should be 492 and 493 and the last document that Mr.
25	Lee entered

PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Actually, I don't
2	MR. DUMAIS: four ninety four (494).
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Do I have a 492? What is
4	492? You had that, right? Okay; and what do you want
5	done?
6	MR. DUMAIS: Perhaps just the covering
7	letter should be 492.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Four ninety-two A (492A).
9	And the report will be 492B. How is that?
10	MR. DUMAIS: That's perfect. Thank you.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
12	Ms. Sutherland, I want to thank you for your
13	travels here and I guess that's the least of your for
14	your courage that you have, for coming in. The persistence
15	you have shown in getting your file and assisting yourself
16	through your journey through life. Again, I thank you very
17	much and I certainly will consider your evidence in making
18	my report.
19	Thank you.
20	MS. SUTHERLAND: Thank you. I want to thank
21	you for the opportunity. This has been a really great
22	opportunity for me. Stressful, but a good opportunity.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Great. Thank you very
24	much.
25	All right. We're going to go on to the next

1	witness now. So maybe we should take a five minute break,
2	just to permit the witnesses to come in and pardon? Why
3	15 minutes? Why? Sorry? Oh, to get the documents. All
4	right. So we'll take 10 minutes, then.
5	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
6	veuillez vous lever.
7	Upon recessing at 11:47 a.m. /
8	L'audience est suspendue à 11h47
9	Upon resuming at 12:03 p.m. /
10	L'audience est reprise à 12h03
11	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
12	veuillez vous lever.
13	The hearing is now resumed. Please be
14	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning, Mr.
16	Engelmann.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: I was going to say "Good
18	morning" sir, but I'm going to say good afternoon.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: I am just glad to be here
21	and want to thank our next witness for showing up. I
22	cannot refer to him by name right now. I thought I could,
23	sir.
24	I'm going to be referring to you by way of a
25	moniker. And by that I mean a letter and a number

1	C-6: Okay.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: that will identify you
3	as a witness C-6.
4	I can inform you Mr. Commissioner, that
5	witness C-6 has consented to the lifting of publication
6	bans and that two of the lawyers from the Commission team
7	and lawyers from the Ministry of the Attorney General have
8	been working hard to have this done. We had anticipated it
9	would be done on Monday of this week; we're still waiting
10	for a Superior Court judge to sign a consent order. So
11	that may happen today, and as soon as it does I'll let you
12	know.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: So, in the meantime, if C-6
15	could be affirmed?
16	C-6, SWORN/ASSERMENTÉ:
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Let me begin, sir, by
18	apologizing for that glitch. I don't think it's something
19	that is usual and I apologize again for any inconvenience
20	that might cause you. As soon as the order is obtained, we
21	will certainly lift that and make your name public, as much
22	as we can.
23	C-6: All right.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.
25	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.

1	PETER ENGELMANN :
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, just a couple of
3	points. You'll have some water to your right if you need
4	it. There is a speaker just below the computer screen; if
5	you're not hearing and you want to turn it up, you can do
6	that.
7	C-6: Okay.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay? And at some point
9	during my questions of you, I will have some documents to
10	show you have you identify. And you'll get a hard copy
11	of them and you'll also see them on the computer screen.
12	So you have a choice of where you want to read them. All
13	right?
14	C-6: Thanks.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
16	Sir, I understand that you are represented
17	by counsel today; is that correct?
18	C-6: That's correct.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And that's Ms. Harreman
20	who's here, in the front row?
21	C-6: Correct.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And you've met her before,
23	Mr. Commissioner?
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes we have, thank you.
25	Mr. ENGELMANN: Yes, there you go.

1	Always have to introduce new people but, in
2	this case, I don't need to introduce.
3	Sir, you understand what we're doing here,
4	by way of the Inquiry?
5	C-6: I do.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And that we're examining the
7	institutional response to allegations of historical abuse
8	against young persons here in the Cornwall area?
9	C-6: yes, I understand.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And sir, I understand
11	your perspective before the Inquiry is one of an alleged
12	victim of child sexual abuse?
13	C-6: That's correct, yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, I understand that
15	you alleged that you were abused by more than one person?
16	C-6: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Two individuals?
18	C-6: Yes, yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And were these individuals,
20	in your view, persons in authority?
21	C-6: They both were, yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And who were they and
23	what were their titles?
24	C-6: One was a priest at my local church,
25	Father Charles, and the other one was my grade 8 teacher,

1	Marcel Lalonde.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I'd like to just ask
3	you a few background questions, if I may. I don't think
4	date of birth will identify you. I understand that you
5	were born here in Cornwall 50 years ago yesterday or,
6	sorry, 50 years ago Tuesday.
7	C-6: Correct.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: May 29 th , 1957.
9	C-6: Correct.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, I understand that
11	you have a number of siblings?
12	C-6: I do.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: There were four of you from
14	the first family, and another three from a second family?
15	C-6: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, you were the fourth
17	in the first family?
18	C-6: I am.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
20	C-6: And one of your siblings, an older
21	brother, has also or was also involved in a prosecution?
22	C-6: He was, yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And that's your brother,
24	Gary?
25	C-6: Yes, Gary.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. For example, he was
2	also involved in the prosecution dealing with Marcel
3	Lalonde?
4	C-6: Marcel Lalonde, yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I understand that you
6	lived in a couple of different areas, here in the city of
7	Cornwall as you were growing up?
8	C-6: As I was growing up, yes. I lived in
9	the east end when I was very young; moved to Fifth Street,
10	near Fifth and Fifth and Adolphus.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And can you tell us
12	how long you continued to live in Cornwall? Until about
13	what age?
14	C-6: I lived in Cornwall until about 18.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you go away to
16	college at that time?
17	C-6: I left to move to Kingston and I went
18	to college there.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, can you just tell
20	us, then, where you went to school here in the City of
21	Cornwall?
22	C-6: I went to St. Columban's elementary
23	school on Fourth Street.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, did you go there
25	from Kindergarten through grade 6?

1	C-6: I did.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	C-6: All grades. And then I went next door
4	to Bishop MacDonell for grade 7 and grade 8.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
6	C-6: And then I went from 9 until 12 to St.
7	Lawrence High School.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that also in the City of
9	Cornwall.
10	C-6: It is - it was split into two schools
11	though. It was there was one on the corner, maybe
12	McConnell, and then they built a second school in the east
13	end of Cornwall. I went to that school after.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And your schooling was in
15	English, sir?
16	C-6: English school.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And then after your
18	schooling in Cornwall, you moved to Kingston and you
19	completed a college certificate there, at St. Lawrence?
20	C-6: I did, yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And what was it in, sir?
22	C-6: In electronics; computer electronics,
23	specifically.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, I understand that
25	you've worked at several jobs since then?

1	C-6: I have.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Longest one being at Digital
3	Equipment in Kanata?
4	C-6: That's correct; 18 years.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And what did you do for
6	them?
7	C-6: I was a special computer technician.
8	I made custom computers for the military and the air force
9	and the Prime Minister's Office; for trucks and planes, so
10	customized computers.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Did you also
12	work for a company named DigiBus, after that?
13	C-6: Laidlaw. It's a bus company.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
15	C-6: I worked for them, for a short time.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And the Ontario Lottery
17	Corporation?
18	C-6: And then the Ontario Lottery
19	Corporation.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir
21	C-6: And now back to Laidlaw.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
23	C-6: I'm back to the original company, with
24	Laidlaw.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And sir, are you

1	currently unemployed?
2	C-6: No, I'm employed now.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, I'm sorry. And who
4	are you with now?
5	C-6: Laidlaw, again.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, I understand that -
7	
8	C-6: Just so you know, I started this week,
9	so I haven't been there long.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Good for you.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Congratulations.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: So, can you help us with
14	the Lottery Corporation?
15	C-6: I could, yes.
16	I was a slot technician, so I took care of
17	the slot machines and computers.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, I thought maybe
19	with the jackpots the way they're having these days, maybe
20	we could
21	MR. ENGELMANN: We had some interesting
22	discussions about statistics in the slot machines.
23	C-6: Okay.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And I'm glad I've never done
25	it, so we'll leave it there.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Terrific.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I understand that you
3	were married for some time?
4	C-6: I was; 18 years.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And you were separated in or
6	around the mid '90s?
7	C-6: That's correct.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And divorced after that?
9	C-6: Well, we didn't file for divorce, but
10	it was legal separation.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Yes. And from that
12	marriage you had three children?
13	C-6: We had three children, yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And what happened after the
15	separation, with the children?
16	C-6: The three children stayed with me, and
17	I full-time, so I raised three children on my own.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I understand one of
19	your children now has a child of his or her own?
20	C-6: Correct; I'm a grandfather.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I want to start with
22	the if I can, now, with the allegations with respect to
23	Marcel Lalonde.
24	C-6: Okay.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Now, you've told us

1	you attended Bishop MacDonell School for Grades 7 and 8?
2	C-6: That's correct.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that what you'd call a
4	middle school?
5	C-6: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And was Mr. Lalonde one of
7	your teachers while you were at Bishop MacDonell School?
8	C-6: Yes, he was.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you recall whether
10	that was in grade 7 or grade 8, or both?
11	C-6: Grade 8.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall for what
13	subjects?
14	C-6: Home room, at least.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you know him prior to
16	attending Bishop MacDonell School?
17	C-6: I did.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Can you explain to us how
19	you knew him?
20	C-6: My older brother, Gary, was also in
21	his class before me, since he was a year-and-a-half older.
22	And he also Marcel also came to the house to help tutor
23	Gary.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Was Gary a year ahead of you
25	at school or two years ahead of you at school? Do you

1	remember?
2	C-6: Just a year I think ahead of me.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. All right.
4	Because you're born at the end of May '57?
5	C-6: Correct.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, and he's born
7	C-6: It'd be how many times you bailed
8	MR. ENGELMANN: So, he might have started
9	two years ahead of you, but finished one year ahead of you?
10	C-6: Right.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And so you knew
12	Mr. Lalonde because he had taught Gary he tutored Gary
13	at your home, the family home?
14	C-6: Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you know him from
16	any other through any other means?
17	C-6: Yes. I knew him from other students
18	and they went there he went to their house also, to
19	tutor.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you know him at all from
21	church?
22	C-6: I knew him at church; I'd see him at
23	church. I was the understanding he was a deacon at St.
24	Columban's?
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And you and your

1	family attended that church, St. Columbans?
2	C-6: Most of us?
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. You did?
4	C-6: I did, and my mother.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Can you tell us, sir, if you
6	ever attended at Marcel Lalonde's home?
7	C-6: I did, yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And can you tell us
9	whether that was while you were at Bishop MacDonell school
10	or later?
11	C-6: I think it was later than Bishop
12	MacDonell.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: So you were no longer his
14	student at that time?
15	C-6: No.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And do you
17	recall whether you would have started did you attend
18	there on more than one occasion?
19	C-6: Yes, I did.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall whether that
21	would have started in grade 9 or in grade 10?
22	C-6: That would be grade 9.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And to your knowledge, were
24	there other students at about that ag, attending at his
25	home?

1	C-6: Yes, there were lots of students that
2	went there.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
4	C-6: There were lots of other students that
5	went there.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And do have an
7	idea of the ages of the other students who used to go to
8	his home, in relation to yourself?
9	C-6: Yes. Same age as myself or a bit
10	younger.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Would your brother ever go
12	there? Or do you know if he went there with you, ever?
13	C-6: Well, we went there once together. At
14	the end.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: That was later?
16	C-6: At the end, right.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: So the kids were about your
18	age or a bit younger.
19	C-6: Right.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And what would you do at his
21	house? Why were people going there?
22	C-6: To play cards, hang around, have
23	something to drink, find something to do. He'd take us to
24	the drive-in, pack his car and trunk and under the seats
25	and go to the drive-in.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: So he'd hide you in the
2	trunk or under seats and take you to the drive-in?
3	C-6: Correct.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And when you said you'd have
5	something to drink, what kind of things were you drinking?
6	C-6: We'd be drinking wine.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Other alcohol as
8	well?
9	C-6: Other alcohol? No, wine specifically,
10	I remember.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	Did he always have wine at his place?
13	C-6: That I recall, yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	Now, you told us that you were sexually
16	abused. You've alleged that you were sexually abused by
17	Marcel Lalonde.
18	C-6: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Can you tell us on how many
20	occasions?
21	C-6: I believe twice.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Can you tell us where this
23	might have occurred?
24	C-6: My first time was at his apartment, a
25	small apartment upstairs.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And the second time?
2	C-6: Sorry, I don't know the address. And
3	the second time was the same thing; it was a semi-detached
4	house where he rented an apartment there.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So between the first and the
6	second time, he moved?
7	C-6: Yes, he moved.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. But both occasions,
9	it was at his residence?
10	C-6: Yes, both at his house.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And did kids who were your
12	age attend both of those residences?
13	C-6: Only the first, that I know of, the
14	first residence. That's where we would all hang out, the
15	students that I knew.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: What about at the second
17	residence? Were you aware of others going there?
18	C-6: Not that I can recall.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Can you very briefly tell us
20	what occurred there the first time that you say you were
21	sexually abused at the apartment upstairs?
22	C-6: Yes, the first time I went there just
23	to the first time it happened, I had been there before
24	with other people, and this time I went to see who was
25	there and what was going on, and there was no one there. I

1	was there alone.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you expect other kids to
3	come?
4	C-6: I did.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And what did you do
6	when you were there?
7	C-6: Mostly talked and we drank wine. So
8	we drank a bunch of wine. Then I didn't feel well, so I
9	went to bed and laid down. I woke up being assaulted, and
10	I was so drunk I had to vomit. So I vomited, and that's
11	about all I can pretty well recall.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	And the assault that you say was taking
14	place when you woke up, was that of a sexual nature?
15	C-6: Yes, it was in
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	C-6: the bedroom.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you recall so you
19	felt sick after that and you threw up?
20	C-6: I felt sick after drinking the wine.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
22	C-6: It made me lay down, and while I was
23	laying down I was being assaulted.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And you say you woke
25	up while this was happening?

1	C-6: Yes, I was laying down. When I woke
2	up, I was really feeling sick and I was undressed by then.
3	I just remember trying to open up the window to be sick
4	outside, and I was unable to open the window.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know how you got
6	undressed, sir, or who did that?
7	C-6: Yes, Marcel was undressing me, taking
8	off my belt, things like that to try and comfort me in my
9	sickness.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: You say you fell asleep on
11	the couch and then you woke up and he was doing something
12	to you?
13	C-6: On the bed, yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you have occasion ever
15	to attend his apartment or his house after that?
16	C-6: I did go to his other house when he
17	had moved.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: And how much time would
19	there be between the first and the second assault,
20	ballpark?
21	C-6: Maybe within the year.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
23	C-6: In a year.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And can you tell us what
25	happened the second time?

1	C-6: I went there to drink, mostly, because
2	I knew there was alcohol there.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he
4	C-6: After getting drunk, I know we were
5	sexually involved. He had taken some pictures with a
6	Polaroid of me while I was naked.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So you remember he
8	did something to you? You say sexually involved, did he do
9	something to you when you were drunk?
10	C-6: Yes. He was performing fellatio.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And he took your
12	picture as well?
13	C-6: He took my picture, yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: When you were naked?
15	C-6: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Was he in the picture as
17	well or was it just a picture of you?
18	C-6: No, just a picture of me. He also
19	showed me a box of other pictures of a bunch of boys and
20	men, all naked. I didn't recognize really any of them. He
21	told me a couple of names that I did know from about, but
22	couldn't put the name and the picture together to say for
23	certain that was him in the picture.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Had you had a fair bit to
25	drink that second time as well?

1	C-6: Yes.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: How old were you at that
3	time?
4	C-6: Fifteen (15) going on 16.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm. Okay.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: You were in high school?
7	C-6: Sixteen (16) or yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you tell anybody about
9	what he did to you, either the first time or the second
10	time at around that time?
11	C-6: I didn't tell anyone the first time
12	what had happened. I had my brother had knowledge of
13	it.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Well, let me just
15	stop you for a minute. You didn't tell anybody about the
16	first incident?
17	C-6: No.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you tell anybody about
19	the second incident?
20	C-6: No.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And I'm talking about near
22	the time it happened.
23	C-6: At the time, no, I didn't.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	And you say your brother knew about one or

1	both of them?
2	C-6: Yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Why do you say that if you
4	didn't tell him?
5	C-6: Well, because my brother approached me
6	and him and I went to Marcel Lalonde's a third time I had
7	been there to confront he wanted to confront Marcel
8	Lalonde to leave me alone and to leave my family members
9	alone.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So this time you're
11	describing, this would have been after the second
12	C-6: The second
13	MR. ENGELMANN: time when there's some
14	sexual act by Marcel Lalonde?
15	C-6: Correct.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And you say your brother
17	confronted him?
18	C-6: He did.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Were you there when he did
20	that?
21	C-6: I was, yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And how did he confront him?
23	What did he
24	C-6: Well, he verbally threatened to you
25	know, physical harm if it didn't stop.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Was there any other
2	sexual abuse or sexual assault after that?
3	C-6: No, there wasn't.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know how it was your
5	brother knew?
6	C-6: Yes, he said he came and got me the
7	first night that I had gotten drunk at his house.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Had you ever talked
9	to your brother about what happened on that night?
10	C-6: Not specifically what happened, but he
11	actually told me that he knew what happened because of his
12	relationships with Marcel Lalonde. You know, he knew.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	C-6: And he was mad.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you ever so he would
16	have indicated to you that Marcel did something to him as
17	well?
18	C-6: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Was that later on or was
20	that at or around that time?
21	C-6: I can't recall if it was right then.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he get into any details?
23	C-6: No.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you get into any
25	details with him?

1	C-6: No.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: When did you first disclose
3	to anybody that you might have been abused by Marcel
4	Lalonde?
5	C-6: Well, I told my wife that
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
7	C-6: there was some involvement, not
8	too much, just you know, I had a relationship with my
9	teacher and a priest.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: You didn't get into the
11	details?
12	C-6: No.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And did you describe
14	it as sexual abuse or do you remember?
15	C-6: I described it as sexual abuse.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, can you tell us when it
17	was you would have first spoken to anybody from a police
18	force about child sexual abuse dealing with Marcel Lalonde?
19	C-6: Yes, that is when I spoke to Constable
20	Rene Desrosiers with the Cornwall Police.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. My understanding,
22	sir, is that you gave a statement to the Cornwall Police on
23	February 3 rd , 1997.
24	C-6: Correct.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: So that would have been many

1	years arter this had happened to you?
2	C-6: Yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Can you tell us why it is
4	you did not report that earlier?
5	C-6: Well, I was embarrassed. I didn't
6	want anyone to know. I felt it would make me a homosexual.
7	And even later on it was you know, I had children and a
8	family and more I felt more important things to take
9	care of at the time, with my children and family, and so
10	_
11	THE COMMISSIONER: So what brought you
12	how did you come around to go in to see the police?
13	C-6: Well, my brother Gary, like I said,
14	was involved and he was going and he was going to bring up
15	my name and he told me, this is the time to, you know open
16	up and go and see. So I knew I was going to be involved
17	anyways so I went down voluntarily before I was called up.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: So Gary told you that he
19	wanted to go to the police about Marcel?
20	C-6: Yes. My children are almost the same
21	age I was when the abuse happened. So I thought that
22	also had a reason why maybe I wanted to expose all these
23	things that happened to me, so.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And had you read an article
25	at all or had you seen articles in the newspaper about

1	Marcer Laronide at or about that time?
2	C-6: Yes, I had saw an article.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So your brother told
4	you he is going to do it?
5	C-6: Correct.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And how did that make you
7	feel about doing it yourself, talking to the police?
8	C-6: Good. I guess I started saying that
9	you know, it needed to be told and so when I started the
10	process it became easier to talk.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you know any kids at
12	that time who were students of Marcel Lalonde's? And I'm
13	thinking back to early February, 1997.
14	C-6: I don't understand what you are asking
15	me, sorry.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you know of any children
17	who were students of Marcel Lalonde's?
18	C-6: Oh, at that time?
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
20	C-6: Yes, we had a cousin in his class.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	Did that have any effect on your willingness
23	to come forward?
24	C-6: Yes, it did also because the fear of
25	the abuse continuing and the shame of it didn't come up

1	earlier and maybe stop it earlier.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. I am just going to
3	show you a statement then. It is document number 734204.
4	Madam Clerk, if that could be brought up on
5	the screen and a hard copy for the witness.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: So Exhibit 494 will be a
7	will-say statement taken February 3 rd , 1997 from this
8	gentleman, C-6.
9	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-494:
10	(734204) Witness Statement C-6 w\ C.P.S.
11	dated 03 Feb 07
12	MR. ENGELMANN: So it is fine that it is on
13	the screen.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Yes, it is.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: There is a publication ban
16	as I have indicated on C-6's name as we speak, but that
17	could change during the course of the day.
18	So, sir, this is a statement is this a
19	statement that you give to Constable Rene Desrosiers of the
20	Cornwall Police Service?
21	C-6: It is.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And you are there with your
23	brother; correct, at the police station?
24	C-6: Yes, we went down together.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	And who arranged for the meeting; was that
2	you or your brother?
3	C-6: My brother Gary.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So he had dealt with
5	the police beforehand to set this up?
6	C-6: To call, yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	And when you were interviewed, were you
9	interviewed separately or together?
10	C-6: Individually.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	And before you were interviewed, did you go
13	into any of these details with your brother?
14	C-6: No, I did not.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And did he go into his
16	details with you?
17	C-6: No.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	I just want to ask you a few questions about
20	this allegation at that time.
21	When you saw the Cornwall Police on February
22	3, 1997 did you have any issue with who the alleged
23	perpetrator was?
24	C-6: No, I did not.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you have any issue

1	about where the alleged abuse took place?
2	C-6: No.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And that was in the City of
4	Cornwall?
5	C-6: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you have any issue
7	about the when it actually took place?
8	C-6: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Did you know
10	approximately when it took place, in your mind?
11	C-6: Yes, a little bit.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: You knew you were in high
13	school.
14	C-6: Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And you knew it was after
16	you had had him as a teacher?
17	C-6: After, yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And you knew you were
19	presumably drinking under aged?
20	C-6: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And did you have any
22	issues or concerns about how many times this had occurred?
23	Do you understand the question?
24	C-6: No.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you have any doubts or

1	any issues with how many times?
2	C-6: Oh, twice you mean. Yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: You knew that?
4	C-6: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And this statement deals
6	with the allegations against Marcel Lalonde?
7	C-6: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And, there was a tape that
9	recorded the statement. Is that correct?
10	C-6: Correct.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you, at that time, talk
12	to Rene Desrosiers about anything else other than Marcel
13	Lalonde?
14	C-6: I did. I mentioned Father Charles,
15	abuse I had with Father Charles.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	Did you do that while the audio tape was on,
18	or before or after, or do you remember?
19	C-6: No, I would do that after. I did that
20	after.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you know Constable
22	Desrosiers?
23	C-6: I did, yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And how did you know him?
25	C-6: I know him from growing up in Cornwall

1	and playing sports.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Was he about your age?
3	C-6: He's a little order. He is more my
4	brother's Gary's age.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	So a couple of years older?
7	C-6: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And did he take a statement
9	from you then about your allegations against Father
10	Charlie?
11	C-6: No, he did not.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Do you remember how
13	those allegations were left; about what would happen with
14	them?
15	C-6: I just assumed they would be passed
16	on. He made note of it and told me that there was another
17	group involved, another group that would take care of this,
18	Father Charles.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he say another police
20	force, or do you remember?
21	C-6: I don't know if he said another police
22	force or a certain person or
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. But someone else was
24	going to take care of it?
25	C-6: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	C-6: And at the time I remember thinking
3	well, because one was in Cornwall, one was in some area of
4	Quebec or another part of Ontario, so they didn't relate.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Hang on. Sir, the and we
6	will come to this. But the alleged abuse by Father Charlie
7	with respect to you
8	C-6: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: did that take place in
10	the City of Cornwall?
11	C-6: It did, yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	C-6: But they other people that were
14	accusing him were in different areas.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: So other people who were
16	accusing Father Charlie?
17	C-6: I thought it was a divisional thing
18	why it was split up.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	Do you
21	C-6: Because this police force was in this
22	area and this police force was in Cornwall.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember what
24	Constable Desrosiers said to you or are you just
25	speculating about what he might have said at this point?

1	C-6: I'm not saying he said that. That was
2	my understanding
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh.
4	C-6: when I left.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	But he told you someone else would be
7	dealing with it?
8	C-6: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	And why did you bring up Father Charlie
11	after you had talked to him about Marcel Lalonde? Had you
12	ever brought him up before?
13	C-6: No. It seemed like the time to
14	address it and get everything out at once. No use talking
15	about one thing and then keeping something else in the
16	closet.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	It had taken you a long time to come out and
19	talk about Marcel Lalonde?
20	C-6: Right. So I wanted to talk about
21	everything else.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, did your brother
23	know about your allegations about Father MacDonald?
24	C-6: No.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, first of all,

1	Father Charlie
2	C-6: Before going down, no.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Father Charlie you're
4	referring to is Father Charles MacDonald?
5	C-6: Yes.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Did your brother
7	know anything about that?
8	C-6: Not before we went to Cornwall.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: So on your way down to
10	the Cornwall Police, he wouldn't have known that you were
11	going to talk about Father MacDonald?
12	C-6: No, I don't
13	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
14	C-6: No.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank you.
16	Go ahead.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he later find out that
18	you had an allegation against Father Charles MacDonald?
19	C-6: He did.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Do you know if that
21	was later that same year when you spoke to the OPP about
22	your allegations against Father MacDonald or some other
23	time?
24	C-6: I'm not sure when Gary found out.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, you on the way
2	out of the station, did you have a chance to talk to him
3	and did you tell him then?
4	C-6: Actually when we left the station
5	after our statement, we went down to the General Hospital
6	at the gas station there and we just pounded the daylights
7	out of each other.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: As in?
9	C-6: We were just so all physical. The guy
10	at the gas station had to call the police, and that's when
11	we both got up and ran away. But we were in a fist to
12	cuffs. We were just so stressed.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm. Okay.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember what caused
15	the fight?
16	C-6: Yeah, I think I was made at him for
17	dragging me into all of this.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: I see. Okay.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry; I didn't hear
20	that.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: He was mad
22	C-6: I was kind of mad at him for dragging
23	me into all of this. So we had a good fight there.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you talk to your brother
25	Gary about what you had told Constable Desrosiers and vice

1	versa?
2	C-6: No.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Were you told anything by
4	Constable Desrosiers about talking to others?
5	C-6: Yes. We weren't allowed to speak to
6	each other about details and aspects of the
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you respect
8	C-6: Our
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you respect
10	C-6: statements.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
12	C-6: We weren't allowed to talk about our
13	aspects of our statements or details of anything else.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you respect that
15	request?
16	C-6: I did, yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: To your knowledge, did your
18	brother?
19	THE COMMISSIONER: He means vis-à-vis you.
20	Yes.
21	C-6: To me, yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
23	Now, was there some let's just deal with
24	the Lalonde allegations. Was there some I understand
25	there was some follow-up by Constable Desrosiers around

1	April 1 st of 1997.
2	C-6: Yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: I'll just be a moment.
4	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Can I help you with
6	anything?
7	C-6: Everyone else go out?
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, they did.
9	C-6: Okay.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: If the witness could be
11	shown 734233 document number, and it's a will-say statement
12	of Constable Desrosiers dated April 30 th , 1997. I know this
13	isn't his document but it refers to a meeting and I thought
14	I would take him there.
15	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-495:
16	(734233) Witness Statement by Rene
17	Desrosiers dated 30 Apr 97.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Perhaps just while we're
20	waiting, do you remember what you would have done that
21	time, April $1^{\rm st}$, the meeting with Constable Desrosiers?
22	C-6: Drove around town, pointed out some of
23	the addresses that Marcel Lalonde lived at.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	So this was a second meeting with him and

1	you remember going around Cornwall showing him where Marcel
2	Lalonde lived?
3	C-6: Yes, my brother and I together.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Wait a minute. Wait a
6	minute. Who is this statement from?
7	MR. ENGELMANN: This is a will-say from the
8	police officer, sir.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Which one?
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Constable Desrosiers.
11	We've got the wrong document on the screen.
12	Sorry. Maybe I gave the wrong number, 734233, not 223.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Can you take these back
14	now please, Madam Clerk.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: My apology. I probably said
16	the number wrong, 734233.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
18	So this is a statement from Rene Desrosiers,
19	Constable, taken April 30 th , 1997.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: I think that's when he
21	prepared this document. That would be my guess.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: If we could turn to the
24	third page, which is Bates number 7134576. You'll see sir
25	about six lines down, it says "On April 1st" I'll just

1	have you take a look at that. It appears to confirm that
2	you and your brother were with Constable Desrosiers on that
3	day.
4	C-6: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And it suggests that you
6	were directed to a residence at 12-A Baldwin.
7	C-6: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And then again you directed
9	him to another address at 23 Duncan, as being the two
10	places where you had been sexually abused or sexually
11	assaulted.
12	C-6: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And it appears as well
14	there's a reference to you being asked to pick him out of a
15	photo line up, a photo line up for Marcel Lalonde. Do you
16	remember doing that?
17	C-6: Yes, I did that, yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	Thank you. That's all I had from that
20	document, sir.
21	Do you recall, sir, whether you were
22	notified about a month later by someone from the Cornwall
23	police that Mr. Lalonde had, in fact, been arrested?
24	C-6: Yes, I was notified.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember how you were

1	notified?
2	C-6: No, maybe via Gary. A lot of the
3	information and contacts we were doing together. So
4	instead of calling us both they would call Gary and Gary
5	would tell me, or if they contacted me first I would tell
6	Gary they arrested or charged Marcel Lalonde.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Were you ever advised that
8	the Cornwall Police Service conducted a search of Mr.
9	Lalonde's homes to find photographs and a Polaroid camera?
10	C-6: Yes, I think so. Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Sir, with respect to
12	this matter you've talked to us about two meetings with
13	Constable Desrosiers
14	C-6: Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: about the Marcel Lalonde
16	allegations. I understand that there was a preliminary
17	inquiry dealing with the Lalonde prosecution on January
18	14^{th} , 1998. And just to refresh your memory about timing
19	that would have been right after the big ice storm here in
20	eastern Ontario.
21	C-6: Yes, correct.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Does that seem about right
23	as far as dates?
24	C-6: Yes. We were here when the power was
25	out.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	And do you remember whether or not you met
3	with a Crown attorney or Crown prosecutor the day before
4	your preliminary inquiry?
5	C-6: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And do you remember
7	whether that was a man or a woman the day before the
8	preliminary inquiry dealing with Marcel Lalonde?
9	THE COMMISSIONER: If you don't know the
10	answer, you can say you don't know.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: It's fine if you don't.
12	C-6: I don't.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: I understand from some notes
14	that the prosecutor's name was Claudette Wilhelm.
15	C-6: Okay.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Does that ring a bell?
17	C-6: Yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: That name seems somewhat
19	familiar?
20	C-6: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And do you know for -
22	- do you have some recollection as to why you were meeting
23	with her the day before the preliminary inquiry?
24	C-6: To go over my statement.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And do you actually

1	remember doing that with her?
2	C-6: Barely. I was just in the room next.
3	It would have been the room one of the little offices
4	there. We had met before and
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And that was here in the
6	City of Cornwall?
7	C-6: It was, yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	Sorry, had you met with her before that as
10	well, or do you remember?
11	C-6: I don't remember meeting her too much
12	at all.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. But you do remember
14	meeting the day before the preliminary?
15	C-6: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	And do you have some recollection as to how
18	long the meeting was?
19	C-6: No.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember anything
21	about what was discussed other than your statement?
22	C-6: No, just what to expect in my
23	statement.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Were you told
25	something about the process and procedure for a preliminary

1	inquiry?
2	C-6: Yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And can you remember, sir,
4	if you would have asked any questions about the
5	proceedings, the proceedings dealing with Marcel Lalonde
6	and proceedings dealing with Father Charlie MacDonald and
7	whether or not they should be dealt with together or
8	separately?
9	C-6: Yes, I was hoping it would be all done
10	at once and together.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that what you wanted?
12	C-6: That's what I wanted.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you ask them why
14	they weren't being done together?
15	C-6: Yes, I did.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you remember what you
17	were told?
18	C-6: They were separate cases and they were
19	being handled by different divisions or, again, different -
20	
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Why did you want them
22	together?
23	C-6: Well, they were both associated with
24	me. I had to I'm a victim for both. They both were at
25	the church, one a deacon, one a priest, the other one

1	you know, they're both family friends. They knew my
2	mother, my brother. They had been over to the house and I
3	assumed they knew each other quite well.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: So Father MacDonald had been
5	to the house as well, your home?
6	C-6: No, Marcel Lalonde had been to the
7	home.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	C-6: Charles, I can't remember if he had
10	ever been there for I don't think so.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: But your mother knew him as
12	well?
13	C-6: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And did they
15	C-6: We also owned a store which we also
16	lived in, so a lot of people, you know, would also come to
17	the store, the family members. And the church was only
18	blocks away, so, you know, to have them come into the store
19	and buy something and chat.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember if anybody
21	explained to you that there was a series of alleged victims
22	for Father MacDonald and another series for Marcel Lalonde
23	and why they had to be done separately?
24	C-6: Not really. I didn't have a good
25	understanding of why.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	Now, you testified at a preliminary inquiry
3	on January 14 th , 1998; is that correct?
4	C-6: Yes.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: We'll have to stop for
6	lunch soon, Mr. Engelmann.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. I won't be much
8	longer.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: If the witness could be
11	shown Document Number 117080?
12	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. This is a
13	transcript of a preliminary inquiry, Volume 2. Where's the
14	date? January 14 th , 1998.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry, sir, I've lost track
16	of the numbers.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Four-nine-six (496).
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Four-nine-six (496)?
19	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-496:
20	(117080) C-6 Preliminary Inquiry Vol 2 dated
21	14 January 1998.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. XXXXXXXX shoot, I'm
23	sorry. If that could just be taken off? I wasn't
24	expecting
25	C-6: It's all right.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: I apologize.
2	Sir, if you could look at Bates page
3	1108953, it's page 88 of the transcript?
4	THE COMMISSIONER: That's good.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: It appears you were called
6	as a witness in the afternoon of the $14^{ m th}$ of January? Do
7	you have that page, sir? It's up on the screen.
8	C-6: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And you were asked a number
10	of questions by a Claudette Wilhelm who was the Crown
11	prosecutor?
12	C-6: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And she had met with you the
14	day before. All right.
15	And, sir, you describe, do you not, some
16	background knowledge of Mr. Lalonde; for example, on page
17	91 of the transcript, Bates page 1108956?
18	C-6: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And you describe a couple of
20	pages later at 1108958 why you and other kids would go over
21	there?
22	C-6: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And again on the following
24	page where his apartment was located for the first
25	allegation?

1	C-6: Correct.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And then again the next
3	page, 1108960, that you were offered some wine and you
4	drank wine together, and it goes on.
5	C-6: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: You told us that you didn't
7	have any issues or concerns about who the alleged
8	perpetrator was; where the alleged abuse took place; how
9	many times it happened. But you had some concerns about
10	the exact dates. Do you recall if there were questions or
11	you were offered any assistance to try and help refresh
12	your memory about those dates, just if you can recall, sir?
13	C-6: Yes. Not through the Crown, no.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: You can't recall.
15	And do you know you talked to us about a
16	meeting with the prosecutor and we've determined that it
17	was Claudette Wilhelm the day before the preliminary
18	inquiry. Do you remember if you met with her again before
19	the trial?
20	C-6: I can't recall if I met with her.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	You did have to testify again though, sir,
23	at the trial of Marcel Lalonde?
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Could you perhaps help
25	him with a date or something?

1	C-6: Yes.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Why don't we stop there
3	for lunch?
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, that would be just
5	fine, sir. Thanks.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Sir, we're going to take
7	the lunch break. We'll come back at two o'clock, and in
8	the meantime, please don't discuss your evidence with
9	anyone, and we'll come back promptly at 2:00. All right?
10	C-6: Okay.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
12	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
13	veuillez vous lever.
14	Upon recessing at 1:55 p.m./
15	L'audience est suspendue à 13h55
16	Upon resuming at 2:05 p.m./
17	L'audience est reprise à 14h05
18	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
19	veuillez vous lever.
20	The hearing is now resumed. Please be
21	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
22	C-6, Resumed/Sous affirmation solennelle:
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Engelmann.
24	Good afternoon, sir. How are you doing?
25	C-6: Good.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Good.
2	If at any time during the afternoon you wish
3	to break, we will be taking one a little later on, but if
4	you want one before, let me know. If there's anything that
5	you don't understand, just let me know. All right?
6	C-6: Okay. Thanks.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Good afternoon, Mr.
9	Commissioner.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Good afternoon, sir.
11	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
12	<pre>ENGELMANN (cont'd/suite):</pre>
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Good afternoon, sir. I'm
14	still going to call you "sir". We still have a publication
15	ban issue as far as I know.
16	C-6: Okay.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: We'll just keep if I'm
18	referring to you, it will be as C-6. I can't use your
19	name.
20	C-6: Okay.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: So I was talking to you
22	about the Marcel Lalonde trial, and you would have
23	testified at a preliminary inquiry for Marcel Lalonde
24	C-6: M'hm.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: back in January of 1998,

1	just after the ice storm?
2	C-6: Yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Then and we'll come to
4	this later you testified at a preliminary inquiry in
5	March of 1999, as did others, and that was dealing with
6	Charles MacDonald?
7	C-6: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And then in the fall of
9	2000, there would have been a trial dealing with Marcel
10	Lalonde; right?
11	C-6: Correct.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And we don't have a
13	transcript of that trial, sir. You had asked a question
14	about when.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: It appears it was starting
17	in September of 2000, but we know that the Reasons for
18	Judgment were issued orally by Justice Métivier on November
19	17 th , 2000.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: So I simply wanted to ask,
22	sir, if you recall, during the fall of the year 2000, in
23	the summer/fall of the year 2000, and this was just before
24	and during the trial dealing with Marcel Lalonde, whether
25	you were offered some form of witness support service?

1	C-6: I can't recall if I was offered it
2	right there, but I have been offered support at different
3	times.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
5	C-6: Which I felt I didn't need and I
6	didn't accept at the time.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	So you were offered some witness support
9	service either that fall with the Marcel Lalonde trial or
10	perhaps even earlier with the Charles MacDonald
11	preliminary?
12	C-6: Correct.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	And would you have been told about the
15	availability of counseling services?
16	C-6: Yes, probably.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
18	Do you recall being advised of some
19	counseling services?
20	C-6: Yes, I've always been aware counseling
21	has been available.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And might you have
23	also been told about a project here in the Cornwall area
24	for male survivors known as the Men's Project?
25	C-6: I knew about it, yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Did you take
2	advantage of any of that counseling at that time?
3	C-6: No, I did not.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	Have you recently decided to have some
6	counseling or take some counseling as a result?
7	C-6: Yes, I have recently, yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And that is with
9	respect to your allegations of child sexual abuse and
10	fallout from that?
11	C-6: That's correct, yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And, sir, that's
13	within the last year or so?
14	C-6: Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, do you recall, sir, if
16	you were present in court when the judge read the decision
17	in the Marcel Lalonde case?
18	C-6: I was not, no.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	If the witness could be shown Document
21	Number 104148?
22	THE COMMISSIONER: No, that's not in there
23	yet, sir. It's a new one coming up.
24	C-6: Yes. I have to wait.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Commissioner, it's an

1	extract from proceedings at trial, Reasons for Judgment
2	-
3	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: of the Honourable Madam
5	Justice Métivier. It was delivered orally on November 17 th
6	2000 at Cornwall, Ontario.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit 497
8	then.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Thank you.
10	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-497:
11	(104148) C-6 Extract from proceedings at
12	trial - Reasons for judgment dated 16 March
13	2001.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, just to explain what
15	you're looking at, I'm not sure if you've seen this
16	document before, but this is a copy of a transcript from
17	Reasons for Judgment of Justice Métivier.
18	C-6: Right. No, I have not read this
19	document.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	Would you have been provided a copy of this
22	document by the Crown Prosecutor's Office, police office at
23	or around this time in the fall of 2000?
24	C-6: No, not this document.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. You were never

1	provided with a copy of this?
2	C-6: No.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	Sir, the reference there were several
5	complainants in the Marcel Lalonde case. Do you remember
6	that?
7	C-6: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Including you and your
9	brother?
10	C-6: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And you were aware that with
12	several of them there were findings of guilt?
13	C-6: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Including your brother?
15	C-6: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Your brother is a victim of
17	Marcel Lalonde?
18	C-6: Right. I was aware of my brother's,
19	but not what the outcome was for all the other people that
20	were accusing.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	And you were aware that with your two
23	counts, he was found not guilty?
24	C-6: Correct.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And how did you

1	become aware of that, sir? Was that through your brother
2	or it was through a police officer?
3	C-6: Yes, it was through my brother. No,
4	it was through my brother Gary.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Sir, starting on
6	page 9 of the decision, it's Bates page 1024469, at the
7	last paragraph. You'll see she starts her Reasons for
8	Judgment dealing with Counts Numbers 5 and 6 that relate to
9	incidents involving yourself. Do you see that?
10	C-6: Sorry, I was reading this.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, the bottom of page 9 of
12	the Reasons.
13	C-6: Okay.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And over the next page and a
15	half, she talks about that. We'll certainly make a copy of
16	this available for you to read, sir, but this sets out why
17	she made the finding she did with respect to the charges
18	that involved you.
19	C-6: Okay.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And if you'll note, sir, at
21	the bottom of page 11, right at the bottom, she starts a
22	line and I'm not going to read it that says sorry,
23	it's Bates page 1024471.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Page 11 of the judgment.
25	Okay.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: If you want to read that
2	onto the next page, sir that will give you some explanation
3	for her finding.
4	C-6: Okay. Fine.
5	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
6	C-6: Okay. I've read it.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I'm just wondering, did
8	anyone ever explain to you what that meant, to have to
9	prove someone guilty beyond a reasonable doubt?
10	C-6: No one explained it to me. I thought
11	I understood what it meant.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. All right. Now, sir,
13	we know that a few months later on April 12^{th} , 2000 oh
14	geez, a few months later. I think it was I may have my
15	dates wrong April $12^{\rm th}$, I think, of the year 2000 no,
16	sorry, 2001, the following year, Mr. Lalonde was sentenced.
17	Were you advised of that date, to your
18	knowledge, sir?
19	C-6: Yes, I knew he was sentenced.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	And were you present for that sentencing?
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Did you go to court?
23	C-6: No, I didn't.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	Do you know if you were asked to fill out

1	no, you wouldn't have been asked, sorry a victim impact
2	statement. It would only be those where there was a
3	finding of guilt.
4	Okay. So you were made aware of court
5	dates?
6	C-6: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And would you have had some
8	contact from police officers or victim witness support
9	advising you of dates for court hearings?
10	C-6: It seems so, that I got called and
11	told when it was happening.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	And your brother Gary was keeping you
14	informed as well?
15	C-6: Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall if, for
17	example, Constable Desrosiers from the Cornwall Police
18	Service may have called you to tell you that on November
19	17^{th} , 2000 that the judge was going to be reading her
20	decision? Do you have any recollection of that?
21	C-6: Not offhand, no.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. I'd like to ask you a
23	few questions then about your allegations of abuse against
24	Father Charles MacDonald.
25	C-6: Okay.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And just before I do, sir, I
2	think you indicated to us that you and your mother
3	regularly attended St. Columban's Church.
4	C-6: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Did any of your other family
6	members attend on a regular basis?
7	C-6: Yes, my brother and sister also on the
8	upper side.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	So of the first four siblings?
11	C-6: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Three of the four of you?
13	C-6: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And were you the only one
15	that was an altar boy
16	C-6: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: or were there others?
18	C-6: I was the only altar boy.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And both before being
20	an altar boy and while an altar boy, did you attend church
21	regularly?
22	C-6: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And you would have seen
24	Father Charles MacDonald at church on a fairly regular
25	basis for some of that time?

1	C-6: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And would you have seen
3	Marcel Lalonde at that church over some period of time as
4	well?
5	C-6: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And the allegation of abuse,
7	and I think you told us there was one sorry, was there
8	one or more times when you allege that Father MacDonald
9	abused you?
10	C-6: Just one.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	And where you an altar boy when that
13	happened, sir?
14	C-6: Yes, I was.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, you made a statement, I
16	believe, to the Ontario Provincial Police
17	C-6: I did, yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: about your allegation?
19	C-6: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And was that statement, in
21	fact, videotaped, sir?
22	C-6: It was, yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	If the witness could be shown Document
25	Number 709963. Mr. Commissioner, it's a videotaped

1	interview report with C-6. It's dated October 3 rd , 1997.
2	If it can be our next exhibit, sir?
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Certainly.
4	So this was done in October of 1997?
5	MR. ENGELMANN: That's correct, sir, October
6	3 rd .
7	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that Exhibit 497 or 98?
9	THE COMMISSIONER: That's 98 I'm sorry;
10	no, what are we talking about now?
11	MR. ENGELMANN: This document.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. I'm sorry. It's
13	Exhibit 498. I thought you were referring to the dates of
14	the interview.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, it's my mistake.
17	EXHIBIT NO./PIECE NO P-498:
18	(709963) C-6 Video Taped Interview C-6 w\
19	O.P.P. dated 29 May 1997
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, sir, just before I ask
21	you about the interview, you told us that you had first
22	informed a member of the Cornwall Police Service about the
23	allegation against Father Charles?
24	C-6: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And that was

1	C-6: Rene Desrosiers, Constable Rene
2	Desrosiers.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And we saw that that took
4	place exactly eight months earlier.
5	C-6: Correct.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: February 3 rd , '97.
7	C-6: Correct.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you hear back from
9	Constable Desrosiers about your allegation against Father
10	MacDonald?
11	C-6: No, not to me.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall, sir, late
13	September, early October, how this particular meeting was
14	set up with the OPP?
15	Let me try and refresh your memory, if I
16	may. Do you recall leaving a message for an OPP officer by
17	the name of Dupuis and also hearing or speaking with either
18	him or another OPP officer by the name of Inspector Hall?
19	C-6: Yes, I spoke with them.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And, sir, if I'm not
21	mistaken, a meeting was set up to meet with them on October
22	2 nd at your brother Gary's home?
23	C-6: Yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And then you go to the OPP
25	station in Kanata

1	C-6: Correct.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: on October 3^{rd} for the
3	videotaped interview?
4	C-6: Correct.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that how you remember it?
6	C-6: That's how I remember it, yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And do you remember
8	why it was you would have met with OPP officers at your
9	brother's home?
10	C-6: My brother and I were also involved
11	with Marcel Lalonde.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
13	C-6: So in conjunction with that
14	information and going back and forth, it was easier just to
15	meet at one of both of our homes and then go from there.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: I think I've got the date
17	wrong, sir. I believe you met at your brother's home the
18	morning of October $3^{\rm rd}$ and then the videotaped interview was
19	the afternoon of October 3 rd .
20	C-6: Yes, the same day.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Does that make sense?
22	C-6: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: You remember that being on
24	the same day?
25	C-6: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	So you and your brother were both alleged
3	victims and your brother was a confirmed victim of Marcel
4	Lalonde, but that was being handled by the Cornwall Police.
5	Do you know why it is that you and your
6	brother are meeting with the OPP?
7	C-6: My brother had other allegations
8	against other he was a victim with other people also.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. He was not though an
10	alleged victim of Father Charles MacDonald?
11	C-6: No, not at all
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	And do you know who he was an alleged victim
14	of other than Marcel Lalonde?
15	C-6: The probation officer, Seguin.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So you'd been
17	informed by him of that?
18	C-6: At the time, yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Again, did he ever get into
20	any details of that with you?
21	C-6: No.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: So I just want to ask you a
23	few questions again. When you gave the statement on
24	October $3^{\rm rd}$, 1997 to the OPP about the alleged abuse by
25	Father MacDonald, did you have any concerns or issues with

1	respect to who the alleged perpetrator was?
2	C-6: No.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you have any concerns or
4	issues about how many times you were allegedly abused?
5	C-6: No.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you have any concerns or
7	issues about where the alleged abuse occurred?
8	C-6: No.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, were there any issues
10	about the date when the alleged abuse took place for you?
11	C-6: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: You've told us earlier you
13	know you were an altar boy at that time.
14	C-6: Correct.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you have any
16	difficulty in remembering what age you were when you were
17	an altar boy?
18	C-6: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if you were
20	asked some questions then to help refresh your memory about
21	some of those dates?
22	C-6: I asked the questions or I was asked
23	questions?
24	MR. ENGELMANN: You were asked questions.
25	C-6: I was asked questions. Yes, trying to

1	get it narrow it down.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you remember some
3	markers for lack of a better word that could help you
4	try and place that date when you spoke to the police?
5	C-6: I did I did. I remember Father
6	Charles telling me about a window that was broken in the
7	basement, and someone tried to break in.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And that was at or about the
9	time of the alleged abuse?
10	C-6: That was in the basement. He was
11	telling me that while we were in the basement.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay when it actually
13	happened.
14	C-6: Yes. He there was a podium there
15	that had been brought downstairs, after renovations, and
16	there was a donation box at the front of the church. The
17	box itself had a long tube that ran from upstairs into the
18	basement, and the money was caught, actually, down in the
19	basement instead of being just sitting up in the box at the
20	front of the church.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So those were all
22	things you remembered when you were in the basement?
23	C-6: Yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Where did the alleged abuse
25	take place?

1	C-6: In the basement.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And that's in the basement
3	of St. Columban's Church?
4	C-6: Church.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And so, do you
6	remember why you were going into the basement and who you
7	were going into the basement with?
8	C-6: Yes; I went in the basement with
9	Father Charles, and it was to show me where to collect
10	the money from the basket at the other end of the church.
11	The one end of the church, you have the basement stairs,
12	and you have to go the whole length of the church to get
13	in the basement to get to the collection.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: So you went down to the
15	basement with Father Charlie MacDonald?
16	C-6: Yes, I did.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And who asked you to go down
18	to the basement?
19	C-6: Father Charles. I can only recall him
20	and I being the only two there.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So there was no one
22	else in the basement with you?
23	C-6: No.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And the podium that you say
25	was down in the basement

1	C-6: Yes?
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know was that like
3	a podium or pulpit, where a priest would speak from?
4	C-6: Right; a pulpit, with maybe half a
5	dozen stairs and then you stand on top of the railing semi-
6	circle and speak from there.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you know why it was
8	down in the basement, sir?
9	C-6: I assumed it was from the renovations.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, so there were some
11	renovations going on at that time?
12	C-6: Just started.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And was there a
14	broken window down in the basement that day?
15	C-6: I don't recall a broken window, no.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. But Father Charles
17	MacDonald told you about it?
18	C-6: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And was there a chute coming
20	down from a collection box?
21	C-6: Yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And sir, you alleged
23	that there was some form of sexual abuse when you were down
24	in the basement with Father Charles MacDonald, on that day?
25	C-6: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And without getting into any
2	detail, can you just tell us whether this was this
3	something you were expecting, in any way.
4	C-6: No, I wasn't.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: How did you react when this
6	happened?
7	C-6: Confused, afraid. Disappointed. I
8	felt a bit honoured being asked to go downstairs, and I
9	would say that would be an area of the church no one ever
10	went to. It was restricted or locked, so I wasn't
11	MR. ENGELMANN: So you felt special, being
12	asked?
13	C-6: Yeah; being asked to go down there.
14	And then disappointed. I was, you know, being afraid and
15	all that stuff instead.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: How did it end? Do you
17	remember?
18	C-6: Just quickly leaving the basement and
19	leaving the church.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Would you remember sir, if
21	there was any church event at or about that time that you
22	could recall? And I'm thinking back then again, as a
23	marker with respect to dates.
24	C-6: There was an outing at the archdiocese
25	in Alexandria.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry, there was a what?
2	C-6: An outing.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: An outing?
4	C-6: An overnight outing at we all
5	stayed at the archdiocese in Alexandria.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Would you
7	
	C-6: I wanted to go to that.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember if that was
9	before or after the alleged abuse?
10	C-6: It would be after.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Shortly after?
12	C-6: I think, just after. And there was
13	also a wedding I wanted to do for my for a teacher
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you remember about the
15	wedding back then or is that something you remembered
16	later?
17	C-6: Something I remembered after.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
19	So in your statement that's now Exhibit
20	498, you describe the allegation of abuse on page 7037391.
21	Sort of the bottom half of that page.
22	C-6: Okay.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. You talk about a
24	podium that used to be upstairs see, that's about 10
25	lines from the top.

1	C-6: Correct.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And you describe the
3	collection box and the funnel that ran into the basement;
4	you're describing that in your statement?
5	C-6: Yes, I did. Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: On page 7037393, it's also
7	page 175, if that's helpful you were asked by Detective
8	Constable Seguin:
9	"How long do you figure you were an
10	altar boy, at this point?
11	And you say,
12	"at least 2 years. This happened
13	towards the end, before I quit. I
14	stayed as an altar boy a bit longer
15	than that because we had planned an
16	overnight outing to the Archbishop's
17	house in Alexandria."
18	Is that what you were just describing to us?
19	C-6: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. You also say at the
21	bottom of that page, when you're asked whether you've
22	spoken to anybody else about it, by Detective Constable
23	Seguin, that you:
24	"mentioned it to my wife at the time
25	that I'd been assaulted, but nothing in

1	details."
2	C-6: Correct.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that correct as well?
4	C-6: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And again, at the bottom of
6	page 177, 7037395, you're asked if there is anything else
7	you can provide to assist.
8	Top of the next page, you say:
9	"He did mention, yes, he did mention
10	that there was a small basement window
11	at one end of the church, and said that
12	a few weeks before one month before,
13	someone had broken into the basement
14	window and stole the donations."
15	MR. ENGELMANN: So that's that other marker
16	you were talking about?
17	C-6: Yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Before you told
19	the OPP about this on October $3^{\rm rd}$, you had you'd had a
20	brief discussion about this with Constable Desrosiers
21	earlier that year?
22	C-6: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And you'd had a brief
24	discussion, presumably, with your ex-wife but not gotten
25	into details?

1	C-6: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Had you told anybody else
3	about Father MacDonald and your allegations?
4	C-6: No, I think I told my brother, Gary,
5	after we had gone to Cornwall.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: After.
7	C-6: After.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And you don't know whether
9	that was before or after you told the OPP?
10	C-6: No.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. What about your
12	mother; do you know if you told her before or after you
13	would have spoken to the OPP in the fall of 1997?
14	C-6: I think I would have given her a
15	heads-up before. When we made the decision to go to
16	Cornwall, I think we gave her a heads-up that we were doing
17	this.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Would you have
19	mentioned Father MacDonald as well, or just Marcel Lalonde
20	at that time, or do you know?
21	C-6: Both.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, you would have
23	mentioned both to her?
24	C-6: Mentioned both.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if you would

1	have gotten into any details with her?
2	C-6: No.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, in your statement,
4	again and I'm looking at 7037390, page 172 again,
5	you've told us already you had some trouble remembering
6	when you were an altar boy. You say I'm looking near
7	the bottom:
8	"I was altar boy at St. Columban's
9	Church for a few years, I think four
10	years. I'm trying to remember if I was
11	between seven to twelve years old when
12	I was there."
13	Okay? So it seems you're a bit unclear
14	about the dates.
15	C-6: Correct.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: But you think you were an
17	altar boy for about four years or sorry, a few years, I
18	think four years?
19	C-6: Correct.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: So you were an altar boy
21	for several years?
22	C-6: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall, sir, if the
24	police officers or anyone else would have shown you church
25	bulletins that might have had dates on it when you were

1	serving mass as an altar boy?
2	C-6: No, I don't recall that.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: You don't recall anybody
4	showing you bulletins? No?
5	C-6: Not specifically with my name in it.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember them showing
7	you church bulletins at all? The issue came up at your
8	preliminary inquiry, and I'll take you there, but I just
9	wondered if and that was Father MacDonald's lawyer asked
10	you about church bulletins.
11	C-6: Right.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember if the
13	police or the Crown prosecutors ever showed you church
14	bulletins to try and establish some dates?
15	C-6: I don't remember seeing any of those.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: I'll just be a moment.
17	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, after this do you
19	recall if you would have suggested, or asked the OPP
20	officers to speak to your mother?
21	C-6: For details, yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: You asked them to speak to
23	her?
24	C-6: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Details about what?

1	C-6: When I was an altar boy, when I went
2	to Alexandria.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: You thought that she would
4	be able to help them with that?
5	C-6: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: When you were an altar boy,
7	how did you know when you were to help serve mass? Was
8	there someone who would tell you?
9	C-6: No, my mother would just send me.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Your mother would send you?
11	C-6: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, we know that you had a
13	you were a witness in a preliminary inquiry dealing with
14	charges against Father MacDonald in March of 1999, and
15	that's about a year and a half after you give that first
16	statement to the OPP.
17	C-6: Yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know, sir, between
19	that first statement and the preliminary inquiry, would you
20	have had some contact with OPP officers?
21	C-6: Yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And would you have
23	received calls from time to time from either Officers
24	Dupuis or Seguin?
25	C-6: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if you ever had
2	another meeting where you were interviewed again like you
3	were that first time?
4	C-6: At the OPP station? No.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
6	C-6: I didn't have another one after that.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Do you remember
8	if there was any follow-up on any of the markers we talked
9	about, like about dates for the broken window, the
10	renovation, the retreat?
11	C-6: No, I don't remember any. Anything
12	that would I just don't remember the questions I asked.
13	I asked those questions and I don't remember anyone
14	answering them.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: You don't remember, or it
16	didn't happen?
17	C-6: It didn't happen.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, did you have a meeting
19	with a Crown prosecutor before giving your evidence at the
20	preliminary inquiry against Father MacDonald?
21	C-6: Yes, I had a meeting.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Because there's a reference
23	in one of the officer's notes that you had a meeting with a
24	Crown prosecutor by the name of Pelletier in Ottawa about a
25	month before your preliminary inquiry.

1	C-6: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember going to a
3	meeting with a prosecutor by the name of Robert Pelletier
4	about a month before your preliminary inquiry?
5	C-6: I remember going there. I don't
6	remember too much about meeting him or what we talked
7	about, and I think I only went there shortly a second
8	time when I was informed that he was not going to be my
9	Crown attorney anymore.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So you had a meeting
11	with him before the preliminary inquiry?
12	C-6: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember how long the
14	meeting was or anything about the meeting?
15	C-6: Not too much, no.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember if it was a
17	short meeting, or medium, long, any sense? Not really?
18	You have to say yes or no, sir.
19	C-6: No, I don't recall if it was short or
20	long.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And then you
22	would have had a meeting with the Crown prosecutor after
23	the preliminary inquiry?
24	C-6: I think we met shortly after that for
25	a short period of time.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Are there some notes that
2	suggest that you met with a prosecutor by the name of
3	Shelley Hallett in October of 1999?
4	C-6: Yes, there was a second one.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So that would have been
6	several months after the preliminary inquiry?
7	C-6: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember meeting a
9	woman prosecutor?
10	C-6: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Was it explained to
12	you why there was a different prosecutor that was going to
13	be dealing with your case?
14	C-6: Not fully, just that there was a
15	conflict of interest with the first one.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	So if we could just look at the preliminary
18	inquiry transcript for a few minutes, sir, and that's
19	Document Number 111257.
20	Mr. Commissioner, this is a transcript, a
21	preliminary inquiry dated March 24 th , 1999 before the
22	Honourable Judge G. Renaud here in the City of Cornwall.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit
24	Number 499. Thank you.
25	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-499:

1	(111257) C-6 Preliminary Inquiry Vol V dated
2	24 March 1999
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. XXXXXX, you have a copy
4	of Exhibit 499?
5	C-6: I do. C-6.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry. If that could be
7	C-6? I apologize.
8	Sir, starting on page 2, you were examined
9	by the prosecutor, Mr. Pelletier. Do you see that?
10	C-6: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And at the bottom of this
12	next page, page 3, you were asked about when it was you
13	might have begun as an altar boy?
14	C-6: Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And there doesn't appear to
16	have been any follow-up question about some of those other
17	markers you talked to us about.
18	C-6: Right.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, after about nine pages,
20	you get to your cross-examination by Mr. Neville starting
21	top of page 13.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, on page 15 which is
24	Bates page 1056454, near the top of that page, you see
25	there is a reference to you're mentioning Father MacDonald

1	to Constable Desrosiers.
2	C-6: Yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And he asked you questions
4	about that over the next couple of pages trying to suggest
5	to you that that was unlikely that you would have mentioned
6	it in effect.
7	C-6: Okay.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: In fact, on page 17 at
9	1056456, the question is
10	Q "Well I can tell you that I have
11	looked through his notes at that
12	interview, there is no such utterance
13	attributed to you in those notes."
14	And you say:
15	A "That's fine."
16	Q "Why is that?"
17	A "Because Constable Desrosiers didn't
18	write it down."
19	Q "Okay, that's your explanation. Is
20	that it?"
21	A "Yes."
22	So, do you know if he wrote it down or not
23	when you told him about Father MacDonald?
24	C-6: No, I don't think he wrote anything
25	down.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: You're also asked, and I'm
2	looking at page 21 of the transcript, 1056460 about the
3	fact that there had been publicity about Father MacDonald's
4	case since around 1993. And you're asked why didn't you
5	come forward? Do you see that, it's right at the middle of
6	the page.
7	C-6: Of 21?
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
9	C-6: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And you said you didn't want
11	to get involved. You said because it's embarrassing and I
12	think you've told us some other reasons why as well today.
13	C-6: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, at the bottom of page
15	24 and the top of page 25, Bates pages 1056463 and 64, Mr.
16	Neville's asking you about the church bulletin. And that
17	the days you're to serve mass should be published in the
18	bulletin. Do you see that?
19	C-6: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. It appears you don't
21	really know that I'm looking near the bottom of page 25.
22	C-6: M'hm.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: He says again
24	"I am suggesting to you the way it was
25	done in the Parish was in the church

1	bulletin. It told you in advance the
2	mornings you were expected to be there,
3	you or you and someone else."
4	Is that correct?
5	C-6: If you say so.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: It appears you didn't really
7	know. Then again at the bottom of that page, you're
8	talking about your mother giving you up
9	C-6: It's my mother.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Then again on the following
11	page, page 26, it's Mr. Neville who's talking to you about
12	when the chute was put into the church and the book rack.
13	Do you see that at the bottom of the page?
14	C-6: Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: That it wasn't until early
16	'71 when it was put in. Do you see that?
17	C-6: Yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And then again he is asking
19	you about the broken window on the next page, page 27. So
20	he appears to be asking you about a number of these markers
21	that you've brought up with the police.
22	C-6: Correct.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And again on page 30, near
24	the bottom, at about line 23, he is asking you about the
25	podium as well and the renovations. Do you see that?

1	C-6: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: So after the preliminary
3	inquiry, do you recall if you were asked any further
4	questions or provided any further information about any of
5	these markers that you talked about by either the police or
6	the Crown prosecutors?
7	C-6: No.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: You said that you remembered
9	something after this about a wedding I believe.
10	C-6: Yes. My Grade 6 teacher, Mr. Hodds
11	was getting married and I was the altar boy at his wedding,
12	myself and David Samas.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: David Samas not David
14	Silmser?
15	C-6: Samas. Yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, he was another altar
17	boy?
18	C-6: Or I had mistaken the identity.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, you had said
20	Silmser instead of Samas?
21	C-6: Yes, at the time.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And this being an altar boy
23	at this wedding, do you remember if that was before or
24	after your alleged abuse by Father MacDonald? Whether the
25	wedding

1	C-6: I can't say for sure.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. You remember
3	being an altar boy for your Grade 6 teacher's wedding?
4	C-6: Correct.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Would that have been while
6	you were in Grade 6 with him or would it have had been
7	after you had had him as a teacher?
8	C-6: I am not sure about that, that's why I
9	went to my mother and asked her whem they got married. I
10	tried to contact my Grade 6 teacher but he had since passed
11	away.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So you'd tried
13	to do a little investigation on your own.
14	C-6: Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And met with Shelley Hallett
16	in the fall of 1999? Do you remember if you talked to her
17	at all about the dates issues? Or do you remember much
18	about the meeting at all?
19	C-6: Not too much.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: If the witness could be
21	shown Document Number 733623. Mr. Commissioner, these are
22	notes of Detective Constable Dupuis. It's an excerpt, sir,
23	starting at Bates page 7131564, if that could be the next
24	exhibit?
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit

1	number 500.
2	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-500:
3	(733623 7131614-17) Officers notes D/Cst Joe
4	Dupuis dated 20 Oct 99.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: It's a little harder to
6	read, sir. It might be easier on the screen. But the
7	second page of the document, at the bottom, says "XXXXX
8	arrives at Kanata offices
9	I'm sorry.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Is there any other
11	than that, that name, sir, are there any other names here
12	that should warrant us stamping this?
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, the documents, all of
14	the documents I've referred to the witness to have his name
15	in them.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh no, that's
17	MR. ENGELMANN: They should be subject to a
18	publication ban on a temporary basis because we assume it
19	will be lifted.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: No. We know that and the
21	only reason why we stamp it is as an aide-mémoire to those
22	of the public who wish to look at it.
23	So, given the fact that they are going to
24	that's going to be dealt with shortly, I am not too worried
25	about that part of it. What I am worried about is if there

1	are any other names in here other than this gentleman's
2	because these are police officers' notes that we should be
3	weary of.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: That's one of the advantages
5	of just using the excerpts sir. I believe there were not.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.
7	I'm sorry. So where were you going?
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I was just looking at
9	the bottom of page 7131614, it says:
10	THE COMMISSIONER: C-6.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: "14:07 C-6 arrives at
12	Kanata office
13	THE COMMISSIONER: That's the 54, look in
14	the left-hand, there is a number 54 on that page.
15	C-6: Yes.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: So where are you, Mr.
17	Engelmann?
18	MR. ENGELMANN: I am on page 7131615.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: One five (15) now, okay.
20	All right.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: It says at the top:
22	"Ms Hallett discusses the background
23	"
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Madam Clerk, are we
25	there?

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you see that sir at the
2	top of the page?
3	C-6: I do, yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: So there appears to be a
5	description of a meeting you were having with Shelley
6	Hallett?
7	C-6: M'hm.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Would you agree with that,
9	sir?
10	C-6: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And she's asking you about a
12	number of things. She says:
13	"Talked about being new Crown on case."
14	Says:
15	"Discussed Perry Dunlop being a
16	possible witness. Requested they do
17	not communicate."
18	Sir, have you ever spoken with Perry Dunlop
19	for any reason?
20	C-6: No. No, I have not.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And would you have ever
22	spoken to his wife Helen Dunlop, or his lawyer Charlie
23	Bourgeois?
24	C-6: No, I have not.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Would you have ever spoken

1	to a man by the name of Carson Chisholm?
2	C-6: Slightly.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Can you describe what
4	you mean by "slightly?"
5	C-6: At the trial there was a man, there,
6	with two children. And I had asked the judge if they could
7	excuse the children. I didn't want them in there.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember if that was
9	the Marcel Lalonde trial?
10	C-6: It turned out to be, yes. It turned
11	out to be Chisholm and his children or son, and he just
12	introduced himself Perry Dunlop's brother-in law, I
13	guess, and that was all.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And did he remove his
15	children
16	C-6: He did.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: before you gave your
18	evidence?
19	C-6: He did. So he wasn't present.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you speak to him about
21	any details of any of your own allegations
22	C-6: No.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: or anything else? It
24	was just to remove his children from the court room. Is
25	that a yes?

1	C-6: Yes. Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, there's a number of
3	other comments here about your background where you've
4	worked. So it appears that Ms. Hallett was asking a number
5	of questions about your background.
6	C-6: Correct.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that fair?
8	The date of the note, as I understand it
9	I've been asked for this, is yes, October 20 th 1999.
10	You'll see the date, sir, on Bates page 7131614 about two
11	thirds of the way down the page.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: One six one four (1614).
13	Where do I see it?
14	C-6: M'hm.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay?
16	THE COMMISSIONER: I don't oh yeah,
17	right, now the 20^{th} of October '99. Okay.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Commissioner, I am
19	advised that there is one name in this document of a
20	witness who testified earlier this week; in fact, first
21	this week. So there should be a publication ban on that
22	particular name.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, there is a
24	publication ban outstanding
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: we're just going to
2	stamp it so that anyone looking through these documents
3	will be aware of that.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Now sir, were you provided
7	with a copy of the statement that were you provided with
8	the copy of your statement, and also a copy of the
9	transcript from the preliminary inquiry before you met with
10	Ms. Hallett? Do you remember if an OPP officer would have
11	provided you with that?
12	C-6: Yes. I was provided my written
13	statement.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And was that the
15	statement you'd given back on October 3 rd 1997?
16	C-6: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And you still
18	have it, right, sir?
19	C-6: I do, yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And were you actually
21	provided with a copy of your transcript of the preliminary
22	inquiry?
23	C-6: Yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Was it the actual
25	pages dealing with your evidence, or were they other pages?

1	C-6: There were other pages, also included.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember?
3	C-6: No, that's not my book.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry?
5	C-6: No I did get a book where they was
6	talking about some documents talking about someone else,
7	not me. And I was wondering why I had it.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: I understand, sir, that you
9	were actually provided with pages 33 on, from the March $24^{\rm th}$
10	1999 transcript. And that it was really dealing with the
11	submissions that Mr. Neville was making at the preliminary
12	inquiry.
13	C-6: Right. It's just it mentioned you.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: So it's an oversight. You
15	got the wrong pages.
16	C-6: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, you never testified
18	at a trial with respect to Father Charles MacDonald, did
19	you?
20	C-6: No, I did not.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And how were you
22	aware, sir, that his charges were dismissed on the basis of
23	a charter application?
24	C-6: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And can you tell us how you

1	became aware of that?
2	C-6: No either told by my brother, or
3	Ms. Hallett.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Is it possible that you
5	received a call from an OPP officer by the name of Don
6	Genier, to tell you that the charges against Father
7	MacDonald had been dismissed, because of delay?
8	C-6: It's possible, yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, were you offered
10	some form of counselling and/or support services during
11	this prosecution, to the best of your recollection?
12	C-6: Yes, I think I was.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir I want to ask you, just
14	before closing, three questions. I'd like to ask you if
15	you can describe for us the impact, or effect, the alleged
16	abuse has had on your life. Also, if you want to comment
17	on the institutional response to your allegations of child
18	sexual abuse and as well, if you have some suggestions or
19	recommendations for us, as we move ahead with this inquiry
20	looking at institutional response.
21	Have you had a chance to think about those
22	questions, sir?
23	C-6: I have, yes. Slightly.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Do you want to do
25	you want me to repeat those questions again? Are you

1	prepared to deal with that? You've written up a few notes,
2	have you sir?
3	C-6: I have, yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
5	C-6: Well, the impact on me was that
6	well, very early on I stopped going to church. I didn't
7	have I became a lot more introverted and I was confused
8	about, you know, the authority, and what's right and wrong,
9	and who to go to when something's wrong. A little less
10	open with my parents.
11	I never made my children go to church.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
13	C-6: Well, my children were never to go to
14	church. Also especially if they were going to go alone.
15	So I eliminated the church out of my life, for one thing.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Had it been a big
17	C-6: Until I was an older teenager, I did
18	have a lot of problems with nightmares and reccurring
19	the same dream over and over. And thinking about
20	it a lot. I did a lot of drinking and some drugs during
21	that time, too, to help cope. I had problems with
22	relationships; I was probably over sexually active, so it
23	didn't make for good dating. I have that you know, I
24	was confused about my sexuality. I was not too sure how to
25	handle myself in relationships.

1 I distanced myself from my brother Gary, the 2 most. I didn't understand him -- what he was trying to 3 tell me, and -- his moods and his attitudes. 4 I find myself overreacting when it comes to, 5 you know, abuse, since -- of the abuse. I think a lot of people will know there is abuse, but I always take action, 6 7 especially for others. My brother and I fight a lot, 8 mostly when it involves someone else -- helping someone 9 else. 10 I over-discipline my boys -- only on sexual 11 behaviour, misbehaviour that I deemed was inappropriate. I 12 under-disciplined them for everything else, holding hands or, you know, stuff like that, and once this actually 13 started, I would say between 2002 and 2005, I was really 14 hard on my kids, really negative at home, my work, my job, 15 stuff going on, always yelling at them for almost anything. 16 I overemphasized the importance of work to be regarded as 17 18 number one over other things. I had to get involved in 19 everything my kids did. So I went to camp with them. I 20 was their coach and always there. 21 I didn't restrict them from -- my son didn't 22 want to sing, so he sang in a church choir, Nativity, I 23 think, in Ottawa and they, when he was younger, flew to Europe and sang over there, which put me in a lot of stress 24 25 while he was gone.

1	I don't know; it put a lot of stress on my
2	work. When things weren't going well there, I wouldn't let
3	it slide. I would keep pushing the authority to take
4	corrective actions, even if it put me in the bad books with
5	management. I think that's all I can say for that.
6	It's taken up a lot of my time away from my
7	family, with my kids and my grandson.
8	On my last page I had some of the things I
9	think that might help some of these institutes, or the
10	procedures. It seems that we need singular procedures for
11	all institutes that they have to follow, which will then
12	bring them under the umbrella, well, if you're dealing with
13	adults, handicapped or children, then a clearance is
14	required to work with these groups. Like Cub masters, bus
15	drivers are required for these clearances, but church
16	officials are not.
17	I find that all the institutes need to have
18	a very clear and precise written procedures and to document
19	everything. A paper trail, written proof is needed when
20	there's issues that need to be handed up or handed to
21	someone else. A paper trail needs to be shown so there is
22	no dropping of the ball or, you know, he said/she said.
23	It's all documented.
24	I find I do that myself a lot. I don't take
25	anybody's word. Put it in writing and then I'll believe

1	you.
2	I find, myself, I don't use any of the
3	institutes that are some of the assistance that's
4	available that I could have used when I was a single father
5	and stuff. I didn't use any of them myself.
6	I was wondering if the Inquiry could look
7	into some of the linkages between Project Truth, some of
8	the other people that were accused, some of the people that
9	were victims and the links between them, not just within
10	the single institute, but between the institutes.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, I didn't
12	understand. Help me out a little bit.
13	C-6: I'm just saying like if it wasn't a
14	teacher and a priest aren't in the same institute, they
15	become two different institutes, so they're two different -
16	
17	THE COMMISSIONER: In your case, help me out
18	a little bit. Are you saying in your case Lalonde and
19	Father MacDonald?
20	C-6: No, I've been reading some of the
21	stuff online, and it seems like everybody's looking as
22	long as, you know, it's one guy in my institute, we have a
23	little problem, but the institute doesn't have a problem.
24	Because there's one from each institute, it doesn't make it
25	a big issue.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. I see what you
2	mean, yes.
3	C-6: It seems to have I think it would
4	be helpful for someone like me, when I first came into the
5	justice system, to have like an introduction document with
6	some of the common terms and, you know, what a preliminary
7	is and how it works, what they're looking for.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
9	C-6: How trials work, expected timeframes
10	
11	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
12	C-6: on some of these, on how they're
13	- how long it's going to take.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
15	C-6: How to prepare yourself, not just for
16	going to court, but how to prepare yourself before you can
17	go to your lawyer and trying to relate it all to laymen's
18	terms so everyone could understand.
19	Basic institutional policy and procedures,
20	investigative markers and pointers and risk analysis of
21	a risk analysis should be done before the policies and
22	procedures are made, to make assumptions, what if this
23	would happen.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
25	C-6: While I was going through this, I was

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the process.

1	making notes on what was a common link between either
2	someone being, you know, wrongly accused, or a victim not
3	being or someone being wrongly accused, or getting off
4	even though they were guilty. You have either inadequate
5	representation. You have overzealous diagnostic forensics
6	that tries to lean one way or the other.
7	Delays in justice, you know, really seem to
8	be a big part here.
9	Missing or incorrect information, I've seen
10	it almost from everybody, lawyers, Crowns, myself. We were
11	always making mistakes, but what happens is that we keep
12	sticking we keep sticking to the procedures when the
13	process is inadequate. What I mean by that is, say, for
14	example, a file or information is misplaced by a clerk in
15	the process, then you have a drop-dead date to get this
16	done, and that would be the procedure. The procedure won't
17	be won't compensate because of the disruption caused by

THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.

C-6: And this problem doesn't seem to come
to light until the completed process is looked at and
reviewed. So by then it's too late.

Some of the things we can do to resolve some of these is the education of employees in the institutes themselves. They have to have a good understanding of

1	their job task, which gives them limits, responsibilities
2	and an audit trail. There's no passing of responsibility,
3	like I said, until there's a sign-off that someone has
4	taken responsibility away from you.
5	This responsibility has to be driven from
6	the top down, but the information that they're driving has
7	to be taken from the bottom, from the employees working
8	there and then driven down. It always seems to be from the
9	empoloyees up, and that's when people stop talking and
10	working as a team in an institute.
11	Now I notice when we get into larger
12	institutes that they're like hi-tech, which I'm very
13	familiar with, that it's you know, we have documentation
14	control, like ISO 9000, that no one is allowed to deviate
15	until the document's changed.
16	I think that's all I have for now.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Engelmann, did you
18	have another question?
19	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm having a bad day. I
20	didn't think I'd be in the situation I'm in. So I had
21	something sir, thank you for your comments. I had just
22	a couple of other questions that arose.
23	You talked about delays. There was a delay
24	of about a year and a half between the time you first spoke
25	to the OPP and gave the full statement about the

1	allegations against Father MacDonald and your preliminary
2	inquiry. Do you know do you have any were you
3	provided any explanation for that delay?
4	C-6: No, not at all.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, did you ever file a
6	civil claim of any sort?
7	C-6: No, I have not.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: You told us you just
9	recently started some counselling that you hadn't done
10	earlier.
11	C-6: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you ever file a claim
13	with the Criminal Injuries Compensation Board?
14	C-6: No, I have not.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Thank you very much, sir.
16	Those are my questions. Could you please answer questions
17	my friends may have for you?
18	C-6: Okay.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Thank you.
20	C-6: I have started proceedings for
21	compensation now though.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
23	C-6: I have started proceedings
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, you have just started?
25	C-6: this week. Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
2	C-6: Well, when some of the information
3	came to light I think maybe things have changed in the last
4	couple of weeks.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
6	Why don't we take the afternoon break and
7	we'll continue in 15 minutes.
8	C-6: Okay. Thanks.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
10	THE REGISTRAR: Order. All rise. Veuillez
11	vous lever.
12	Upon recessing at 3:17 p.m./
13	L'audience est suspendue à 15h17
14	Upon resuming at 3:37 p.m./
15	L'audience est reprise à 15h37
16	THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now resumed.
17	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoire.
18	C-6, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Manson, before you
20	begin, I have a few questions of this gentleman so that
21	they could be upfront before you people cross-examine.
22	Sir, I have a few questions of you and let
23	me start with this. You allege that Father MacDonald
24	abused you while you were an alter boy.
25	C-6: Yes.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: And then how much time
2	would have elapsed between that incident and the first
3	incidents with Mr. Lalonde, ballpark?
4	C-6: Three years.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Now, you spoke of
6	linkages at one point and I don't think we were talking
7	about the same kind of linkages. You tell me your
8	evidence is that Monsieur Lalonde was a Deacon and Father
9	MacDonald was a priest at the same church. Did Monsieur
10	Lalonde ever talk to you about Father MacDonald for
11	example?
12	C-6: No.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Did Father MacDonald ever
14	spoke to you about Monsieur Lalonde?
15	C-6: No.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you in some way see
17	any connection between them knowing each other and your
18	abuse by either, by both of them, allegedly?
19	Did you understand what I'm trying to say?
20	C-6: Yes. If you're asking for a
21	connection I'm the connection.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Pardon me?
23	C-6: If you're asking for a connection then
24	I'm the connection.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: I understand that, but

1	_
2	C-6: The only other thing I can think of
3	that connects us. But I also understand Cornwall's a small
4	town so
5	THE COMMISSIONER: No, but other than being
6	a small town and that kind of thing, you don't have any
7	evidence or anything like that
8	C-6: No.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: or anything that
10	could show some connection in some way?
11	C-6: No.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. We've also heard
13	so far from a number of alter boys from St. Columban's, and
14	I'm wondering if while you were an alter boy, if there was
15	any discussion amongst alter boys with respect to Father
16	MacDonald and that kind of thing?
17	C-6: No. That I can recall, no.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
19	So when you were an alter boy you wouldn't
20	have heard of any allegations of impropriety from other
21	alter boys?
22	C-6: No.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Did that ever surface
24	later on?
25	C-6: No.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: So you've never heard
2	from the alter boys when you were there about other
3	incidents or anything like that?
4	C-6: No.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank you.
6	C-6: With alter boys and priests?
7	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry?
8	C-6: With the alter boys and the priests?
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Okay. Thank you.
10	Mr. Manson.
11	MR. MANSON: Well, you asked all my
12	questions, Mr. Commissioner.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, sure.
14	MR. MANSON: Just kidding.
15	(LAUGHTER/RIRE)
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Now the real questions.
17	C-6: M'hm.
18	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
19	MANSON:
20	MR. MANSON: I'm going to refer to Exhibit
21	494 and maybe to 499, but 494 for sure. That's the
22	February 3 rd , statement.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Manson is with the
24	Citizens for Community Renewal.
25	MR. MANSON: Yes. I was just going to

1	introduce myself.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, I'm sorry.
3	MR. MANSON: That was to the benefit of the
4	Registrar.
5	Mr. C-6, I know that it's awkward, but I'm
6	Allan Manson and I represent the Citizens for Community
7	Renewal, which is a group of Cornwall citizens who are
8	committed to promoting institutional reform.
9	I want to ask you about your statement to
10	Constable Desrosiers on February 3 rd , 1997 just because I
11	want to get the account clear for the Commissioner. I'm
12	not challenging you. But if we go back to the preliminary
13	it's fair to say that Mr. Neville challenged you, and one
14	of the ways he challenged you was by suggesting that you
15	didn't say anything about Father MacDonald to Constable
16	Desrosiers.
17	C-6: Correct.
18	MR. MANSON: That's correct.
19	And one of the tools that he used to
20	challenge you was the fact, and I agree with him, that
21	Constable Desrosiers' notes don't mention Father MacDonald;
22	correct?
23	C-6: Correct.
24	MR. MANSON: And if we look at the
25	statement, Exhibit 494, it doesn't mention Father MacDonald

C-6

25

those were the only two incidents I had." And he says "And

1	where did they all happen?"; "One at each apartment." So
2	clearly he's asking you about Marcel Lalonde; correct?
3	C-6: Yes.
4	MR. MANSON: And he's not posing the
5	question of have you been victimized by anyone else?
6	C-6: Right.
7	MR. MANSON: Now, the interview ends at
8	about 2:01, the taped interview; correct?
9	C-6: Yes.
10	MR. MANSON: And we know you waited around
11	for your brother because you told us about the punch up
12	after this attendance at the police station; correct?
13	C-6: Correct.
14	MR. MANSON: Can you explain the
15	circumstances after the tape machine is turned off how it
16	was that you mentioned Father MacDonald? Do you have any
17	recollection?
18	C-6: Probably posed it more as a I feel
19	I posed it as a question to Rene Desrosiers. I also have
20	Father Charles I was a victim of Father Charles. How do
21	I proceed with that?
22	MR. MANSON: And his answer to you was?
23	C-6: It was a different someone else was
24	going to be handling it. It was a different
25	MR. MANSON: At any time did he say I'm

1	sorry?
2	C-6: It was a different process or
3	department or different police force looking into it.
4	MR. MANSON: At any time did he mention the
5	OPP?
6	C-6: Could have then.
7	MR. MANSON: Because if we look through the
8	officer's notes we'll see that they were in close contact
9	with the OPP, certainly about Marcel Lalonde. And I don't
10	want to go to that, but it's in Sergeant Brunet's notes,
11	Mr. Commissioner
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
13	Mr. MANSON: from January of that year.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
15	MR. MANSON: How long did this conversation,
16	after the audio taping, take?
17	C-6: Oh, maybe a few minutes.
18	MR. MANSON: So you were hanging around
19	chatting with Constable Desrosiers?
20	C-6: Yes.
21	MR. MANSON: And Mr. Commissioner, I don't
22	want to put this into evidence at this time, but later on
23	we will be hearing from C-6's brother, and the statement
24	the audio tape statement of C-6, which is document 734201,
25	commences at 2:42.

C-6

1	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
2	MR. MANSON: So, there is about 40 minutes
3	between one event and the other event. And it's during
4	that period that you mentioned Father MacDonald.
5	C-6: It was at the end, yes.
6	MR. MANSON: Yeah.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: No.
8	MR. MANSON: After the audio but, it was
9	during that period 2:01 to 2:40 during the break
10	between when they finished taping you and when they started
11	taping your brother?
12	C-6: Yeah, it's after we were talking.
13	Yes.
14	MR. MANSON: Those are my only questions,
15	Mr. Commissioner.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Monsieur
17	what's the order, Mr. Lee? What is he your client?
18	MR. LEE: He's not.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: There we go.
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. LEE:
21	MR. LEE: Good afternoon, sir. My name is
22	Dallas Lee; I'm counsel for the Victim's Group here at the
23	Inquiry. I just have a very few questions for you.
24	Getting back to what the Commissioner asked
25	you a few moments ago, with the sort of the relationship

1	that you see between Marcel Lalonde and Charles MacDonald
2	from the church. As you've explained today, one was a
3	deacon at the church, one was a priest at the church, and
4	you raised that issue at some point during the
5	investigation in that you've been is it my understanding
6	you raised it because you didn't understand why they were
7	two separate investigations?
8	C-6: No; I raised it just to give
9	information.
10	MR. LEE: Was it your intention of raising
11	that issue, in hopes of having it considered one
12	investigation?
13	C-6: I'd hope for one single investigation
14	on myself, and now the people were involved.
15	MR. LEE: Do you remember who you told about
16	who you gave that information to?
17	THE COMMISSIONER: What information? That
18	you wanted both together?
19	MR. LEE: No, the witness has said that
20	he brought up the issue of Marcel Lalonde being a deacon at
21	the same church as Father MacDonald was a priest
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, okay.
23	MR. LEE: in order to provide the
24	information to the authorities because he thought it was
25	relevant.

1	Do you remember who you provided that
2	information to?
3	C-6: Not right now, no.
4	MR. LEE: Does it make sense if I told you
5	that the notes seem to indicate that you had a meeting with
6	Rene Desrosiers and a Crown Attorney in relation to the
7	Marcel Lalonde investigation and you told them at that
8	time? This was after you'd gone to the OPP. You'd gone to
9	the OPP, that statement was out.
10	C-6: Okay.
11	MR. LEE: Does that make sense to you?
12	C-6: Yes?
13	MR. LEE: Do you know if there was ever an
14	investigation into the link between those two people?
15	C-6: No I don't know if there was one or
16	not.
17	MR. LEE: Were you ever told one way or the
18	other?
19	C-6: No.
20	MR. LEE: At this point, you know today,
21	sitting here in your preparation sir, have you ever been
22	told if there was one or not?
23	C-6: No.
24	MR. LEE: So you just don't know.
25	The you told us that you were an altar

1	boy at St. Columban's for a number of years, I think in
2	one of your police statements, it said four years;
3	somewhere in that neighbourhood. Is that correct?
4	C-6: Correct.
5	MR. LEE: After you disclosed your abuse by
6	Father MacDonald to the police, did anybody from the
7	Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall contact you at any time?
8	C-6: No.
9	MR. LEE: Prior to your disclosure, had you
10	ever been contacted during the course of a Diocese
11	investigation in Charles MacDonald?
12	C-6: No.
13	MR. LEE: Have you to this point had any
14	contact with the Diocese about this?
15	C-6: No.
16	MR. LEE: Sir, those are all my questions
17	for you, thank you very much for coming.
18	C-6: You're welcome.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Mr. Cipriano?
20	I'm sorry?
21	MR. CIPRIANO: I didn't hear it that's why.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, yeah.
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
24	CIPRIANO:
25	Mr. CIPRIANO: Good afternoon sir, I am one

1	of the lawyers for Father MacDonald.
2	I want to start off by saying you already
3	told us that you didn't have any dealings with Perry
4	Dunlop. Is that correct?
5	C-6: That's correct.
6	MR. CIPRIANO: And I'm just going to show
7	you a letter; it's Document Number 701520, Bates page
8	7003877.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. So Exhibit
10	501 is a letter from Claudette Wilhelm to Detachment
11	Inspector Pat Hall of the OPP dated October $5^{\rm th}$, 1999.
12	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-501:
13	(701520) Letter from Claudette Wilhelm (MAG)
14	to Pat Hall (OPP) dated 5 Oct '99.
15	MR. CIPRIANO: Now sir, I don't expect
16	you've ever seen this letter but if you look at the fourth
17	paragraph which reads:
18	"However, it has come to our attention
19	that Constable Dunlop is meeting with
20	complainants on the Marcel Lalonde
21	prosecutions. Defence have reason to
22	believe that he has spoken with"
23	And I won't mention the names but your name
24	is in there.
25	C-6: Correct.

1	MR. CIPRIANO: And you've indicated you
2	never spoke to him, but your brother's name is also there
3	and I'm asking, do you know whether your brother might have
4	spoken to him?
5	C-6: I don't think my brother had spoken to
6	him, no.
7	MR. CIPRIANO: Okay. Would it be possible,
8	or you just don't or do you know for a fact that your
9	brother never spoke to him?
10	C-6: I'm almost sure of the fact that he
11	hasn't spoken to him.
12	MR. CIPRIANO: And correct me if I'm wrong,
13	but I get the sense from what you've told us today that it
14	was your brother who initiated most of what occurred in
15	going to the police?
16	C-6: Yes.
17	MR. CIPRIANO: And this the altercation
18	you had with your brother after leaving the Cornwall police
19	station, that was as a result I think you said, because
20	you had told him about the allegations you raised against
21	Father MacDonald.
22	C-6: No, I said it was because of the
23	stress that we were under.
24	MR. CIPRIANO: Would that have been the
25	first time that you had your brother would have known

1	about the allegations against Father MacDonald?
2	C-6: Yes.
3	MR. CIPRIANO: Okay. When I say that, I
4	mean the very fact that you had alleged anything; is that
5	correct?
6	C-6: I think so, yes.
7	MR. CIPRIANO: Okay.
8	If I can get you to look at, it would be
9	Exhibit 499, which is the transcript of the preliminary
10	inquiry.
11	C-6: Okay.
12	MR. CIPRIANO: And more specifically page
13	22. I don't know if that's easier for Madam Clerk.
14	You were being cross-examined as to why it
15	is you had come forward and one of the reasons you gave was
16	that your brother would have disclosed it to the police
17	anyway. Do you recall that?
18	C-6: Correct, yes.
19	MR. CIPRIANO: So and you said that you
20	had mentioned it to your brother, not the details, but only
21	the fact that you had been assaulted by Father MacDonald.
22	C-6: Yes.
23	MR. CIPRIANO: So is it possible then that
24	you might have mentioned it to him before rather than
25	after?

1	C-6: Yes, it's possible.
2	MR. CIPRIANO: And we know the date of your
3	preliminary inquiry was March 24 th , 1999.
4	Do you recall that in the hallway of the
5	preliminary inquiry you had met two people that you knew;
6	David Silmser and John MacDonald?
7	C-6: I had seen them there, yes.
8	MR. CIPRIANO: And did they speak to you at
9	all that day?
10	C-6: I don't think so, maybe a hello,
11	that's all.
12	MR. CIPRIANO: Did you know if they were
13	testifying at all that day?
14	C-6: I'm not sure.
15	MR. CIPRIANO: Okay. Had you seen them
16	in all the meetings you had with police officers, and
17	victim/witness people, and Crown Attorneys, had you ever
18	been with them regarding this case?
19	C-6: John and David? No.
20	MR. CIPRIANO: Okay.
21	C-6: Actually, the opposite, I was trying
22	to avoid them.
23	MR. CIPRIANO: Okay. And why is it that you
24	were trying to avoid them?
25	C-6: They made me nervous. They seemed

1	unsteady, you know, like they were they looked pretty
2	rough.
3	MR. CIPRIANO: And when was it that you saw
4	them to be nervous or unsteady?
5	C-6: Almost every time I see them now, or
6	John. I don't recall seeing David
7	MR. CIPRIANO: Okay.
8	C-6: Maybe at the trial, but John
9	MacDonald, I've seen.
10	MR. CIPRIANO: But I'm going to bring you
11	back to the preliminary inquiry, or the time when this is
12	ongoing.
13	C-6: M'hm.
14	MR. CIPRIANO: Were you seeing them then,
15	that you saw that they were being unsteady, or nervous?
16	C-6: No, no well I'm also a family
17	friends of the family.
18	MR. CIPRIANO: Okay.
19	C-6: So I know his bothers also, and
20	they're telling me that John's having problems with
21	drinking and
22	MR. CIPRIANO: Okay.
23	C-6: you know, handling himself. So
24	that's the last person that I wanted to see in a court
25	situation.

1	MR. CIPRIANO: Okay. Did it ever did you
2	ever find it strange or odd that they would show up on the
3	date of your preliminary inquiry?
4	C-6: No. I assumed they both still lived in
5	Cornwall.
6	MR. CIPRIANO: Okay. If I could ask you to
7	turn to page 3 of the transcript, and this is when you're
8	being asked questions by the Crown Attorney, Mr. Pelletier.
9	And he asks you, and I'm just going to ask you to confirm
10	some portions I believe it's line 26:
11	"Is it possible for you to recall what
12	age you would have been when you began
13	as an altar boy?"
14	And you say:
15	"About seven."
16	C-6: Correct.
17	MR. CIPRIANO: Okay. So that would bring us
18	to roughly the year 1964 or 1965. Is that correct?
19	C-6: Correct.
20	MR. CIPRIANO: And we know from page 4, if
21	you turn the page, that you were an altar boy for about
22	four or five years?
23	C-6: Correct.
24	MR. CIPRIANO: And when we go to page 5 we
25	know you indicated to Mr. Pelletier that the incident

1	regarding Father MacDonald occurred a couple of years into
2	your tenure as an altar boy.
3	C-6: Correct.
4	MR. CIPRIANO: So that would bring us into
5	1966 or 1967?
6	C-6: At that time, yes.
7	MR. CIPRIANO: Okay. After the preliminary
8	inquiry, you said that you were given a copy of or it
9	might have been a wrong copy of the transcript?
10	C-6: Yes.
11	MR. CIPRIANO: By the Crown Attorney?
12	C-6: Correct.
13	MR. CIPRIANO: Okay. And you had some
14	meetings with the Crown Attorney, I take it? You met the
15	new Crowns?
16	C-6: Yes.
17	MR. CIPRIANO: Okay. If I can just bring up
18	document this is not an exhibit 113527.
19	THE REGISTRAR: One-one-three (113)
20	MR. CIPRIANO: Five-two-seven (527), more
21	specifically Bates page 1068428.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit
23	number 502. What's this?
24	EXHIBIT NO. /PIÈCE NO P-502:
25	(113257) Synopsis re C-6 R. v. MacDonald

1	re : C-6
2	MR. CIPRIANO: Mr. Commissioner, there are
3	some names in here that I believe are subject to
4	confidentiality measures.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, so all right.
6	So we'll stamp it. What is this document?
7	MR. CIPRIANO: It's a synopsis of the
8	transcript of the preliminary inquiry.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Prepared by?
10	MR. CIPRIANO: I would I'm on the last
11	page. I believe it's prepared by the Crown Attorney.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Can someone help out
13	here?
14	MR. ENGELMANN: We've looked at some
15	documents similar. There was a summer student or an
16	articling student working for the Crown who prepared some
17	synopsis.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm not sure why we're going
20	to this, so I may have an objection.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. Why are we going
22	to this?
23	MR. CIPRIANO: Well, I was just on the
24	certain page that I'm interested in, which is the last
25	page, has some of the is a synopsis of the preliminary

1	inquiry for this witness
2	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
3	MR. CIPRIANO: and I was going to ask
4	whether any of the weaknesses or concerns, or
5	inconsistencies in his evidence were ever raised with him?
6	MR. ENGELMANN: By whom, the Crown?
7	MR. CIPRIANO: Yes, Crown or police
8	officers, or
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
10	C-6: No.
11	MR. CIPRIANO: Okay. So have you had a
12	chance to look at that page?
13	C-6: Yes.
14	MR. CIPRIANO: The one with your name at the
15	top? Okay.
16	C-6: Yes, I read it.
17	MR. CIPRIANO: So there's here a number of
18	concerns that are raised, and those things those
19	questions were never asked of you?
20	C-6: Correct.
21	MR. CIPRIANO: Okay. Okay, sir, thank you.
22	C-6: Thank you.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Chisholm?
24	MR. CHISHOLM: Good morning good
25	afternoon, Mr. Commissioner.

1	Good afternoon, sir. My name is Peter
2	Chisholm. I'm counsel for the United Counties of Stormont,
3	Dundas and Glengarry CAS. I have no questions for you. I
4	would like to thank you for your recommendations that you
5	made this afternoon. I found them to be most interesting.
6	Thank you.
7	C-6: You're welcome.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Mr. Rose?
9	MR. ROSE: Good afternoon, sir. My name is
10	David Rose. I act for the Ministry of Community Safety and
11	Correctional Services. I have no questions for you. Thank
12	you for coming today.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
14	Ms. Lalji?
15	MR. SCHARBACH: I think actually
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Did I miss you? I'm
17	sorry. I can't even read lists anymore. I'm sorry, Mr.
18	Scharbach.
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
20	SCHARBACH:
21	MR. SCHARBACH: That's all right. Thank
22	you.
23	Good afternoon, sir. My name is Stephen
24	Scharbach, and I'm counsel for the Ministry of the Attorney
25	General. And I have a few questions for you this afternoon

1	concerning your contacts with the Crown Attorneys in your
2	two cases.
3	C-6: Yes.
4	MR. SCHARBACH: First of all, I want to deal
5	with the first prosecution that you were involved with,
6	that of Mr. Lalonde. And I think you mentioned to us that
7	you had a meeting before the preliminary inquiry with a
8	female Crown Attorney by the name of Claudette Wilhelm.
9	C-6: Yes.
10	MR. SCHARBACH: Do you recall that? And I
11	think you mentioned to us that you met with her on the day
12	before the preliminary started. And we know the
13	preliminary started on January the $14^{\rm th}$, 1998.
14	C-6: Correct.
15	MR. SCHARBACH: And it appears that you met
16	with her on January the $13^{\rm th}$. Is that correct?
17	C-6: Correct.
18	MR. SCHARBACH: And I believe you told us
19	that you were provided with the statement that you had
20	earlier given to Constable Desrosiers.
21	C-6: Yes.
22	MR. SCHARBACH: In preparation for the
23	meeting with the Crown; is that correct?
24	C-6: Correct.
25	MR. SCHARBACH: And I understand the meeting

1	took place at the fourth floor of the Justice Building on
2	Pitt Street in Cornwall?
3	C-6: Yes.
4	MR. SCHARBACH: And was anyone else present
5	at that meeting besides you and Ms. Wilhelm? Was Constable
6	Desrosiers there as well?
7	C-6: I'm not sure. He could have been,
8	yes.
9	MR. SCHARBACH: Okay. Well, do you remember
10	a second meeting with Ms. Wilhelm at which Constable
11	Desrosiers was present?
12	C-6: I don't recall those meetings too
13	much.
14	MR. SCHARBACH: Okay. All right.
15	Well, dealing with this first meeting just
16	before the preliminary, the purpose of that meeting, I take
17	it, was to touch on, go over your evidence in preparation
18	for your testimony the next day?
19	C-6: Yes.
20	MR. SCHARBACH: Is that correct?
21	C-6: Correct.
22	MR. SCHARBACH: So you would have gone over
23	that information?
24	C-6: Yes.
25	MR. SCHARBACH: And I understand she would

1	have explained what the process would be the next day?
2	C-6: Yes.
3	MR. SCHARBACH: And she would have given you
4	a chance to ask her any questions that you needed to?
5	C-6: Yes.
6	MR. SCHARBACH: And the meeting took about
7	45 minutes?
8	C-6: It sounds right.
9	MR. SCHARBACH: Okay. And after the
10	preliminary, the trial took place in September of 2000, and
11	I want to ask you, did you do you recall having another
12	meeting with Ms. Wilhelm
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Actually, I've got it
14	November of 2000, but I'm
15	MR. SCHARBACH: The Reasons for
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, that's right.
17	MR. SCHARBACH: Decision were issued on
18	November the 17 th .
19	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry. Thank you.
20	MR. SCHARBACH: As best as I could tell
21	though, the trial took place in September of 2000. Does
22	that generally accord with your memory?
23	C-6: Correct.
24	MR. SCHARBACH: Okay. And can I ask you,
25	did you have or do you recall having a second meeting with

1	Ms. Wilhelm just before the trial in preparation for your
2	testimony at the trial?
3	C-6: I don't recall that.
4	MR. SCHARBACH: Okay. Do you recall whether
5	or not you were given a transcript of your testimony at the
6	preliminary in preparation for your testimony at the trial?
7	C-6: I think I got lost there for a second.
8	Are we still talking Marcel Lalonde?
9	MR. SCHARBACH: Yes, we're only talking
10	about Marcel Lalonde right now.
11	C-6: Okay. I didn't know if you were.
12	MR. SCHARBACH: So were you given
13	C-6: Yes, I would have been given the
14	transcript before the trial.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. But was that
16	C-6: The preliminary, I mean.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: The copy he's talking
18	about is the copy of the preliminary, what you had said at
19	the preliminary. You told me that at some point they gave
20	you the wrong one? They had stuff in there that wasn't
21	about you? Am I mistaken about that?
22	MR. ENGELMANN: That was the transcript from
23	the preliminary with Father Charlie
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, I'm sorry.
25	C-6: See, I'm going through two things at

1	once here.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
3	C-6: And I get them mixed up a little bit.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, no
5	C-6: And then my brother's involved on top
6	of all this and it's I'm trying to keep them all
7	straight. You can see how hard it is.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Exactly. That's my point
9	exactly.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Perhaps in fairness to the
11	witness
12	THE COMMISSIONER: And to me.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: and to the Commissioner,
14	Mr. Scharbach, in posing the question about whether you
15	were given a transcript, if he could just direct you to
16	that exhibit so that you could look at it.
17	MR. SCHARBACH: Okay.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So we're only
19	talking about the Lalonde trial. We're only talking about
20	you for now.
21	C-6: Okay.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
23	So did you get after the preliminary
24	inquiry, did you get a copy of the evidence you gave at the
25	preliminary inquiry?

1	C-6: Yes.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
3	MR. SCHARBACH: And I asked you that, sir,
4	because I'm really trying to get at whether or not you
5	recall a second meeting with Ms. Wilhelm at which you
6	discussed your evidence at the preliminary.
7	C-6: Yes, I recall a meeting with her and
8	discussing what we talked about at the preliminary and
9	preparing for the trial.
10	MR. SCHARBACH: Okay. And I don't want to
11	put words in your mouth, but am I correct in concluding
12	that you had two meetings with Ms. Wilhelm, once before the
13	preliminary and once before the trial?
14	C-6: I would think we met before. I can't
15	say if we met twice.
16	MR. SCHARBACH: All right. That's fine.
17	Now, I understand that at a meeting with Ms.
18	Wilhelm, you raised the idea of linking the prosecutions
19	against Marcel Lalonde with the prosecution against Father
20	MacDonald. Is that correct?
21	C-6: Correct, yes.
22	MR. SCHARBACH: And you had a conversation
23	with Ms. Wilhelm about that?
24	C-6: A lot of people, yes.
25	MR. SCHARBACH: And I understand that Ms.

1	Wilhelm explained to you that in order for the two men to
2	be tried together, they had to be linked somehow in their
3	crimes against you. Do you recall that? Well, do you
4	recall her sorry.
5	C-6: That would make sense.
6	MR. SCHARBACH: Yes. Do you recall her
7	giving you an explanation as to why they were being
8	prosecuted separately?
9	C-6: No.
10	MR. SCHARBACH: Okay. All right.
11	I want to ask you sorry,
12	C-6: This
13	MR. SCHARBACH: You were about to say
14	something?
15	C-6: This meeting was we had no meetings
16	with the Crown attorney, my brother and myself together.
17	MR. SCHARBACH: Oh no, I'm sorry, if I gave
18	the impression that I was referring to a meeting at which
19	you and your brother were both present, I didn't intend to
20	do that.
21	C-6: We did have meetings like that too
22	though, didn't we, where we met with the Crown together?
23	MR. SCHARBACH: Not that I know of.
24	C-6: Okay.
25	MR. SCHARBACH: All right. So can we leave

1	it at this; you had at least one meeting with Ms. Wilhelm,
2	possibly two?
3	C-6: Yes.
4	MR. SCHARBACH: Is that correct to say?
5	C-6: Correct.
6	MR. SCHARBACH: And you did have a
7	conversation with her in which you raised the concern about
8	linking the prosecutions?
9	C-6: Yes.
10	MR. SCHARBACH: And she provided you with an
11	explanation? It appears that you don't remember what the
12	explanation was at the moment.
13	C-6: Right.
14	MR. SCHARBACH: Is that correct to say?
15	C-6: Either I didn't understand it or I
16	didn't
17	MR. SCHARBACH: Okay. But you had a
18	discussion with her. We can go that far?
19	C-6: Yes.
20	MR. SCHARBACH: Okay. I want to move now to
21	your meetings with the Crown in the MacDonald prosecutions.
22	So we can set aside all the meetings concerning Marcel
23	Lalonde.
24	C-6: Okay.
25	MR. SCHARBACH: And I understand that before

1	the preliminary inquiry, I think you said that Constable
2	Dupuis of the OPP took you to meet with the Crown who, at
3	that point, was Robert Pelletier. Is that correct?
4	C-6: Correct.
5	MR. SCHARBACH: This would be February of
6	1999?
7	C-6: Correct.
8	MR. SCHARBACH: And again, as I understand
9	it, Mr. Pelletier gave you the police statement that you
10	had given with respect to Father MacDonald, or at least you
11	had been given that statement beforehand?
12	C-6: Beforehand, yes.
13	MR. SCHARBACH: And you were asked to read
14	it before your meeting with Mr. Pelletier?
15	C-6: Yes.
16	MR. SCHARBACH: And the purpose of the
17	meeting with sorry, you were about to say something
18	more?
19	C-6: Yeah, well, I didn't I couldn't
20	read it all the way
21	MR. SCHARBACH: Okay.
22	C-6: before I met with anyone. So I
23	hadn't really read the document. I had started to read it,
24	and then I wasn't able to finish it.
25	MR. SCHARBACH: Okay. And is it fair to say

1	that the meeting with Mr. Pelletier was for the same
2	purpose as your earlier meeting with Ms. Wilhelm; that is,
3	to go over your to go over your statement and to explain
4	to you what you could expect to encounter at the
5	preliminary inquiry?
6	C-6: Yes.
7	MR. SCHARBACH: All right.
8	And the meeting took place
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry?
10	C-6: Who came first then what Crown
11	attorney did I have first? Well, Mrs. Wilhelm, right?
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. For the Lalonde
13	prosecution, yes. Then when that thing was finished, now
14	we're talking about the MacDonald prosecution.
15	C-6: Well, it changed after
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
17	MR. SCHARBACH: Okay.
18	C-6: So the Crown attorney changed after
19	that.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
21	C-6: Okay.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: So what this gentleman is
23	saying is the first person you would have met on the
24	MacDonald prosecution was a fellow by the name of Robert
25	Pelletier.

1	C-6: Yes. Correct.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you remember that?
3	C-6: The first Crown attorney I met on that
4	was Robert Pelletier.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
6	And the question was do you remember meeting
7	with him for the purposes of preparing for your preliminary
8	inquiry?
9	C-6: Yes.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
11	MR. SCHARBACH: Okay. Thank you.
12	Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
14	MR. SCHARBACH: And after the preliminary
15	inquiry, you met and before the trial, or before the
16	trial was scheduled, I should say, you met with a female
17	Crown
18	C-6: Correct.
19	MR. SCHARBACH: who had taken over that
20	case.
21	C-6: Correct. Yes.
22	MR. SCHARBACH: And that was Ms. Shelly
23	Hallett, correct?
24	C-6: Correct.
25	MR. SCHARBACH: And you had a meeting with

1	her, I think I think my friend brought out in October of
2	1999?
3	C-6: Correct.
4	MR. SCHARBACH: And again I'm going to ask
5	you, do you recall whether you had more than one meeting
6	with Ms. Hallett?
7	C-6: Yes, I did.
8	MR. SCHARBACH: Do you recall how many?
9	C-6: It felt like I had two or three with
10	Ms. Hallett.
11	MR. SCHARBACH: Right.
12	C-6: I had the most meetings with her.
13	MR. SCHARBACH: Right.
14	And at that first meeting with Ms. Hallett,
15	did she explain to you why she had taken over the
16	prosecution?
17	C-6: A conflict of interest is all I can
18	remember with the first Crown attorney.
19	MR. SCHARBACH: Okay. And that first
20	meeting you had with Ms. Hallett, it was sort of a get-to-
21	know-each-other meeting; am I correct?
22	C-6: Correct.
23	MR. SCHARBACH: She you talked a lot
24	about your background, where you worked, your education,
25	your family and so on. You didn't talk so much about the

1	details of the case at that meeting; is that correct?
2	C-6: Correct, yes.
3	MR. SCHARBACH: Okay. And then and I
4	think you also mention that you wanted a publication ban at
5	that meeting?
6	C-6: I could have asked for that then, yes.
7	MR. SCHARBACH: Okay. Well, you recall
8	asking Ms. Hallett about getting a publication ban?
9	C-6: Yes, I remember asking for that
10	because my children were still young.
11	MR. SCHARBACH: Right. And then over the
12	months you mentioned you had two, possibly three, meetings
13	- one, possibly two, additional meetings. Is that correct?
14	C-6: Correct.
15	MR. SCHARBACH: And in those other meetings
16	you had you talked about the case itself, I take it?
17	C-6: Yes.
18	MR. SCHARBACH: And in any of those meetings
19	or before those meetings were you provided with the
20	transcript of the preliminary inquiry in the MacDonald
21	case? For the purpose of going over the evidence that you
22	already provided?
23	C-6: Yes.
24	MR. SCHARBACH: Okay. So she was
25	essentially preparing you for eventual testimony at the

1	trial
2	C-6: Correct.
3	MR. SCHARBACH: in those two, or three,
4	meetings. Is that right?
5	C-6: Correct, yes.
6	MR. SCHARBACH: Now, I understand you also
7	had contact with a third Crown in the MacDonald
8	prosecution, a fellow by the name of McConnery. Do you
9	recall that?
10	C-6: No.
11	MR. SCHARBACH: Do you recall having
12	telephone conversations with McConnery?
13	C-6: No.
14	MR. SCHARBACH: Okay. All right. I want to
15	turn now to contacts that it appears you had with the
16	Victim Assistance Program, I believe, in Ottawa. You had
17	some contact with the Victim Assistance Program. Is that
18	correct?
19	C-6: You mean through Pat?
20	MR. SCHARBACH: No, no, no.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Before that.
22	MR. SCHARBACH: In connection with the
23	prosecutions, and we can talk about Lalonde first, I
24	understand that they call it the VWAP office, if that
25	rings a bell, Victim and Witness Assistance Program.

1	C-6: Okay. Yes. Yes, they were approached
2	and gave me a card
3	MR. SCHARBACH: Right.
4	C-6: for assistance, yes.
5	MR. SCHARBACH: Okay. We have material that
6	I understand is a photocopy of their file concerning their
7	contacts with you. I know that you haven't seen that file
8	before.
9	But I wonder, Mr. Commissioner, if I may
10	have the witness see the document and enter it as an
11	exhibit and ask him whether the entries on those files
12	accord with his recollection.
13	If I may, I'm talking about document 200138.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Exhibit 503
15	are notes from the well, this is Cornwall Police, Mr.
16	Scharbach. This is on a letterhead from Detective Rene
17	Desrosiers.
18	MR. SCHARBACH: I think what you may be
19	looking at is the second page.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
21	MR. SCHARBACH: And I think that's actually
22	a photocopy of his business card.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, okay. So what you're
24	saying thank you. So these are notes from the Victim
25	Witness Assistance Program?

1	MR. SCHARBACH: Yes, sir.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
3	MR. SCHARBACH: I understand they are, yes.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
5	EXHIBIT NO./PIECE NO P-503:
6	(200138) Notes from Witness Assistance
7	Program re C-6
8	MR. SCHARBACH: And we may eventually have
9	someone testify to these notes but at the moment I'd like
10	to
11	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
12	MR. SCHARBACH: Sir, on the first page
13	sorry, the first two pages deal with what I understand are
14	your contacts with the Victim Assistance Program with
15	respect to the Lalonde prosecution. And it indicates that
16	on September the $12^{\rm th}$, 2000 the Victim Assistance person met
17	with you and it says here:
18	"She explained our services. Referred
19	to counselling and possible court
20	accompaniment for Monday September the
21	18 th . Will advise."
22	Do you recall having a meeting with someone
23	from the Victim Assistance Program
24	C-6: Yes.
25	MR. SCHARBACH: in September before the

1	trial?
2	C-6: Yes.
3	MR. SCHARBACH: And
4	C-6: It wasn't a meeting.
5	MR. SCHARBACH: I'm sorry?
6	C-6: It wasn't a meeting in a room and
7	everything. It was just a face-to-face conversation in
8	hallway or some place, or whatever.
9	MR. SCHARBACH: Okay. And did the person
10	explain to you the services of the Victim Assistance
11	Program?
12	C-6: Yes.
13	MR. SCHARBACH: And she referred you to
14	counselling. You had a conversation about counselling?
15	C-6: She referred me to counselling?
16	MR. SCHARBACH: Well, did she talk to you;
17	did she have a conversation about counselling?
18	C-6: Yes, we had a conversation, talked
19	about counselling. She gave me her card, her business
20	card.
21	MR. SCHARBACH: Right.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: So it wasn't really a
23	referral. It was she told you that counselling was
24	available.
25	C-6: Yeah.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
2	MR. SCHARBACH: Okay. And the second
3	notation is dated September the 18^{th} and it says "court
4	accompaniment". Now, that suggests that this person
5	accompanied you to court on September the 18 th when you
6	testified with respect to Marcel Lalonde. Is that
7	C-6: No, this is suggesting that she had
8	offered her services to accompany me to court and that I
9	think my Crown attorney was to call back and let her know
10	if I needed accompaniment or not, and I didn't.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: That's on the 12 th . But
12	then on the 18^{th} she's got a note saying that there was
13	court accompaniment. So do you recall seeing this lady on
14	the 18 th ?
15	C-6: No.
16	MR. SCHARBACH: All right.
17	Now, on the next page there's a notation for
18	the date November the 17 th .
19	THE COMMISSIONER: It's the one with the
20	business card.
21	MR. SCHARBACH: The next page over.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, that's the one.
23	MR. SCHARBACH: And we know that November
24	the $17^{\rm th}$, 2000 was the date that the reasons for decision
25	were given with respect to Mr. Lalonde. And the note says

1	"Attended sentencing. Spoke with" she mentions names,
2	including yours, and she indicates that she informed you
3	and the others concerning the general way in which
4	decisions are rendered and sentencing and so on.
5	Do you recall having a conversation with
6	someone that day?
7	C-6: No.
8	MR. SCHARBACH: You don't recall that?
9	C-6: No.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Just a minute. And I'm
11	sorry to interrupt.
12	Were you there that day?
13	C-6: No, my brother went.
14	MR. SCHARBACH: All right.
15	C-6: I remember a lot of people assumed
16	that when they told Gary they were telling me.
17	MR. SCHARBACH: Oh, I see. I see.
18	C-6: And the other way around.
19	MR. SCHARBACH: All right.
20	You'll see she mentions both you and your
21	brother's names there.
22	C-6: Correct.
23	MR. SCHARBACH: All right.
24	But you weren't present in court that day?
25	C-6: Not in the sentencing, no.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: No, not the sentencing.
2	C-6: "Attended sentencing".
3	THE COMMISSIONER: You see what it says.
4	MR. SCHARBACH: It's true. She does say
5	sentencing.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: She does sentencing, but
7	that's not what we're talking about. We're talking about
8	on November $17^{\rm th}$ was that the sentencing date?
9	MR. SCHARBACH: That was the date that
10	reasons for decisions were issued. Sentencing came much
11	later.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: You see, now you can
13	understand why some people are confused.
14	MR. SCHARBACH: M'hm.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: So November 17 th was the
16	day that the judge gave her decision as to who was going to
17	be found guilty as to what charges. I just want to make
18	very clear
19	C-6: Not the sentencing?
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
21	C-6: Okay.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Were you there the day
23	that she gave the decision, the judge gave the decision?
24	C-6: No, I don't think I was.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. And that would

1	have been when she would have said that Mr. Lalonde I find
2	you not guilty with respect to your charges, the ones that
3	you're were you there when she
4	C-6: No.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
6	MR. SCHARBACH: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.
7	Now, if we can turn to the last page. This
8	appears to be the notes concerning your contacts with
9	Victims Assistance with respect to the MacDonald
10	prosecution.
11	So we'll just set aside Lalonde altogether
12	right now.
13	C-6: Yes. Okay. Father Charles.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
15	MR. SCHARBACH: And the entry indicates that
16	on July the first entry that is, indicates that on July
17	the 20^{th} , 2000 there's a telephone call from you. I assume
18	"TC from XXXX" means telephone call from XXXX sorry,
19	from you. The notation indicates that you had basic
20	questions and you had your statement and transcript.
21	There was also, apparently, an explanation
22	of the Victim Assistance Services; you'll see that in the
23	fourth line.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Commissioner, I'm just

1	wondering in fairness to the witness, we don't have an
2	author, we don't have a name. Is there some suggestion as
3	to who might have done this?
4	MR SCHARBACH: I'm afraid I don't have the
5	name of the individual, but I would ask the witness whether
6	or not he recalls the contacts.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, just so, you
8	remember the first lady who helped you, the Victim Witness
9	Assistance Program, from Monsieur Lalonde.
10	C-6: Yes.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: With respect to Father
12	Charles MacDonald can you picture who that person was
13	that was helping you in the same function? Was it a male
14	or a female?
15	Do you have any recollection of that?
16	C-6: No, the only person I remember was a
17	female lady offering me assistance the first time I was
18	down there, in Cornwall.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Right. So do you
20	remember someone else coming at the Father MacDonald
21	hearing to be with you?
22	C-6: No.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: So I don't know Mr.
24	Scharbach?
25	MR. SCHARBACH: here.

1	All right; well let me sum it up this way.
2	The notes indicate that there were contacts
3	between July of 2000 and it looks like March 2002 between
4	yourself and the Victim Assistance Program office
5	concerning the MacDonald prosecution only. Do you recall
6	being in contact with the Victim Assistance Program
7	occasionally during that period from July 2000 to March
8	2002?
9	C-6: I know there was these services
10	were available.
11	MR. SCHARBACH: M'hm.
12	C-6: And that I was able to apply
13	MR. SCHARBACH: M'hm
14	C-6: for victim's compensation. But
15	again like, the counselling, I wasn't interested in it all.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: No, that's not we're
17	talking about something different, though we're talking
18	about someone phoning you up and following you through the
19	charges with Father MacDonald. And one of the things would
20	have been did somebody phone and leave a message for you
21	once and then you phoned them back and said I don't want
22	you leaving messages with my children and stuff like that?
23	Does that ring a bell?
24	C-6: It rings a bell but I've done it
25	before but to other people, too. It's just

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure, okay.
2	C-6: don't leave a message; I don't get
3	my messages.
4	MR. SCHARBACH: Thank you sir, those are
5	THE COMMISSIONER: That happens in your home
6	as well?
7	C-6: It happens there too; yes.
8	MR. SCHARBACH: Thank you sir; those are all
9	of my questions. Thank you very much for your information
10	today.
11	C-6: You're welcome.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I get my messages
13	from my children about a week later.
14	C-6: I'd like it better than email.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Who's next now?
16	MS. LALJI: Should I do mine next?
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you Ms. Lalji.
18	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS.
19	LALJI:
20	MS. LALJI: Good afternoon sir my name is
21	Reena Lalji and I'm counsel for the Cornwall Police. I just
22	have a few questions for you.
23	Sir, I wanted to talk to you about your
24	first meeting with Constable Desrosiers and how that came
25	about. Before I turn you to the documents, I'll just ask

1	you some questions to see if it jives with your
2	recollection.
3	Now, in January $31^{\rm st}$ on January $31^{\rm st}$ 1997,
4	your brother had called the CPS and spoke to René
5	Desrosiers. Does that recall do you recall that?
6	C-6: Yes.
7	MS. LALJI: And in that conversation, your
8	brother had indicated to constable Desrosiers that he
9	wanted to specifically speak to him about allegations
10	regarding Marcel Lalonde. Do you agree with that?
11	C-6: I do, yes.
12	MS. LALJI: Also during that conversation,
13	your brother had indicated to constable Desrosiers that you
14	also wanted to come in and speak to Constable Desrosiers
15	about your allegations, with respect to Marcel Lalonde. Do
16	you agree with that?
17	C-6: Yes.
18	MS. LALJI: Okay.
19	And then, during that conversation, your
20	brother scheduled an appointment for both of you to attend
21	the Cornwall Police office on February $3^{\rm rd}$, to be
22	interviewed by Constable Desrosiers. Is that right?
23	C-6: Correct.
24	MS. LALJI: So then, on February 3 rd of 1997,
25	you and your brother came to the Cornwall Police right?

1	C-6: Correct.
2	MS. LALJI: And then you had your interview
3	with Constable Desrosiers, one on one. Correct?
4	C-6: Yes, correct.
5	MS. LALJI: And then, on April 1st 1997, you
6	and your brother met with Constable Desrosiers so that each
7	of you could show constable Desrosiers where Marcel Lalonde
8	had lived is that right?
9	C-6: Correct.
10	MS. LALJI: Okay. And then, later on that
11	day, on April 1^{st} , you went back to the police station and
12	identified Marcel Lalonde in a photo lineup. Do you
13	remember that?
14	C-6: I remember that, yes.
15	MS. LALJI: Then, later on that month, on
16	April $29^{\rm th}$ 1997, Constable Tyo from the Cornwall Police left
17	you a message at home and indicated to you that Marcel
18	Lalonde had been arrested. Do you recall that?
19	MS. LALJI: The message? Not really, no.
20	C-6: Okay; would it help if I showed you a
21	note from Constable Tyo's notebook?
22	C-6: No.
23	MS. LALJI: Okay.
24	C-6: I'm just saying this because Tyo is
25	spelled TYO?

1	MS. LALJI: Correct.
2	C-6: And that's a name I wouldn't have
3	forgotten.
4	MS. LALJI: You would have forgotten?
5	C-6: I would not have forgotten. Mr. Tyo -
6	- T-Y-O was also killed with my father in a car
7	accident. So T-Y-O is not a common name
8	MS. LALJI: Okay; but that's a note from
9	this Constable, though
10	C-6: and that would have stuck with me,
11	yeah.
12	MS. LALJI: Okay, but he did
13	C-6: He might have been a relative and I
14	would have asked him, or so, I don't recall that at all.
15	MS. LALJI: Okay; okay. Do you recall that
16	your brother was contacted by Constable Tyo on that day
17	on April 29^{th} and had actually spoken to your brother and
18	told him about the arrest?
19	C-6: Yes.
20	MS. LALJI: Okay, so
21	MR. ENGELMANN: (Off mic) Excuse me
22	C-6: So, I think I heard that from my
23	brother, actually.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: (Off mic) We've had a
25	number of questions where we were talking about

1	conversations that clearly, the witness was not a part of.
2	So perhaps
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, we don't really
4	know if he wasn't part of it.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Well we don't, and that was
6	never developed, right? I'm you know, I've been waiting
7	patiently but, again, I have no idea whether this witness
8	overheard all of these conversations or if he was just told
9	afterwards, by his brother. There are a whole lot of
10	questions about conversations.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I know. You're
12	right, you're absolutely right, and I let them go as well
13	because I thought it was just setting the scenario and
14	MR. ENGELMANN: (Off mic) All right.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: not much would turn
16	on the veracity of it all.
17	MS. LALJI: Mr. Commissioner, I was just
18	putting these questions to the witness to show a timeline;
19	and that was the reason for it.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Okay. So the
21	witness is saying that, yes, he found out that the that
22	Lalonde had been arrested, but probably through his
23	brother.
24	MS. LALJI: Correct.
25	Well, let me ask you this, sir: were you

1	aware even though you may have found out from your
2	brother at a later date were you aware that Mr. Lalonde
3	was arrested on April $29^{\rm th}$, on that day, even if you found
4	out later on?
5	C-6: Yes.
6	MS. LALJI: Okay.
7	So, based on that timeline that I just gave
8	to you that we just went through right now, it was
9	within three months from your first interview, with
10	constable Desrosiers on February $3^{\rm rd}$ 1997, to the day that
11	Marcel Lalonde was arrested in April. Is that right?
12	C-6: It sounds right, yes.
13	MS. LALJI: Now, you told Mr. Engelmann
14	earlier today even though it's not in your summary of
15	evidence that you had told Constable Desrosiers about
16	Father MacDonald in your February 3 rd , 1997 interview. Do
17	you recall that?
18	MR. ENGELMANN: (Off mic) Excuse me, I
19	was just if I interrupt, sorry.
20	We've already explained several times that
21	we're not going to be cross-examining witnesses on their
22	summary of evidence. It's the Commission's work product.
23	If there's an issue about telling anything about Constable
24	Desrosiers, it was clear that this witness indicated at a
25	preliminary inquiry many, many years ago that he told

1	constable Desrosiers. So, if there's a mistake because
2	there's been an omission in the Commission's summary of
3	evidence, I don't think that should be put to the witness.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
5	MS. LALJI: Mr. Commissioner, I am not
6	cross-examining this witness on any summary of evidence.
7	That was just by way of an introduction to all of my
8	questions that are coming up. I am not cross-examining him
9	on that document on the summary of evidence.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, well so what was
11	your question, again?
12	MS. LALJI: That was just by way of an
13	introduction to my question. I actually hadn't gotten into
14	my question, yet.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, okay.
16	MS. LALJI: So, my question to you, sir, is
17	that now, you had not mentioned in your recorded portion of
18	that interview in February $3^{\rm rd}$ on February $3^{\rm rd}$; it's not
19	mentioned in there that you had referred to Father
20	MacDonald. Is that correct?
21	C-6: Correct.
22	MS. LALJI: Also, the transcript of that
23	interview, which it Exhibit 494 it doesn't need to be
24	pulled up which is Exhibit 494 it's also not
25	mentioned in there and we've gone over that.

1	C-6: Correct.
2	MS. LALJI: When you met with the OPP in the
3	fall of 1997, which was in October, and that's entered as
4	Exhibit 498 we don't have to go to it unless we need to
5	the OPP had asked you who you had told about Father
6	MacDonald and those allegations, and at that time, you
7	hadn't mentioned to the OPP that you had mentioned it to
8	Constable Desrosiers. Is that right?
9	If you are not sure
10	C-6: Yes.
11	MS. LALJI: I can take you to the
12	document.
13	C-6: No, I hadn't mentioned it them.
14	MS. LALJI: You had not mentioned it to the
15	OPP?
16	C-6: No. It was being actioned.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: It was being what?
18	C-6: Actioned.
19	MS. LALJI: Pardon me?
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Actioned.
21	C-6: There was someone now looking into it,
22	so why would I ask the question?
23	MS. LALJI: Sorry. Let me rephrase my
24	question. When you had spoken to the OPP in October 1997,
25	when they were asking you about the Father MacDonald

1	allegations
2	C-6: Correct.
3	MS. LALJI: the OPP had asked you if you
4	had told anyone else about those allegations prior to
5	meeting with them, and at that time, you did not tell them
6	that you had told Constable Desrosiers. Is that correct?
7	C-6: Correct.
8	MS. LALJI: But you do recall that you
9	mentioned this to Constable Desrosiers in the non-recorded
10	portion of the February 3 rd interview. Correct?
11	C-6: Correct.
12	MS. LALJI: Now, the statement that you had
13	given to Constable Desrosiers on February $3^{\rm rd}$, 1997, that
14	was specifically on Marcel Lalonde?
15	C-6: Yes.
16	MS. LALJI: You testified earlier today that
17	Constable Desrosiers told you on February 3 rd that another
18	group was going to look into the Father MacDonald
19	allegations. Correct?
20	C-6: Correct.
21	MS. LALJI: And Project Truth did, indeed,
22	deal with you regarding Father MacDonald's allegations.
23	Right?
24	C-6: Correct.
25	MS. LALJI: Thank you. I have no further

1	questions.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Ms. Costom.
3	MS. COSTOM: I am actually going to let Mr.
4	Carroll go first today.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: You are.
6	Mr. Carroll.
7	MR. CARROLL: Good afternoon.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Good afternoon, sir.
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
10	CARROLL:
11	MR. CARROLL: Good afternoon, sir. My name
12	is Bill Carroll. I am the lawyer for the Ontario
13	Provincial Police Association.
14	C-6: Okay.
15	MR. CARROLL: It's an organization, for
16	example, Constable Dupuis is a member of that as well as
17	Constable Seguin. Okay?
18	C-6: M'hm.
19	MR. CARROLL: I just have a couple of
20	questions for you about the early stages of your
21	conversations with the OPP in relation to Father MacDonald.
22	You've already told us that you met with the
23	OPP originally on October the $3^{\rm rd}$, of '97. Was that at your
24	brother's place initially?
25	C-6: We initially met there and then drove

1	to the OPP station.
2	MR. CARROLL: Right. And there was an
3	interview at your yes, a conversation at your brother's
4	place, I guess is a better way of putting it.
5	C-6: Yes.
6	MR. CARROLL: You gave some information
7	about your allegations against Father MacDonald, and you
8	were requested to come to Kanata Station to make a formal
9	video-audio statement. Right?
10	C-6: Correct.
11	MR. CARROLL: And you certainly agreed to do
12	that, to go with them. Right?
13	C-6: Yes. Yes, I did.
14	MR. CARROLL: All right.
15	In fact, you had made the initial contact
16	with them, had you not?
17	C-6: Yes.
18	MR. CARROLL: Yes. And that was because you
19	wanted to speak about this matter involving Father
20	MacDonald. Correct?
21	C-6: Correct.
22	MR. CARROLL: When you get to I'll take
23	you to the documents if necessary, but I'm going to suggest
24	to you, sir, that once you get to Kanata and the equipment
25	is set up, there's some preliminaries about how the

1	interview is going to go, but they ask you if you on
2	video, they ask you if you consent to being taped; don't
3	they?
4	C-6: Correct.
5	MR. CARROLL: And you readily agree to that
6	as well?
7	C-6: Yes.
8	MR. CARROLL: In the interview, sir, it
9	comes to light as to who you told, that there was a
10	conversation, you acknowledged, with your wife; right?
11	C-6: Correct.
12	MR. CARROLL: And sir, is it fair to say
13	that what the officers did, rather than just running out to
14	interview your wife, they asked your permission, if it
15	would be okay with you to go and speak to her.
16	C-6: Correct, yes.
17	MR. CARROLL: Also in that interview, they
18	were asking you and you recall Mr. Engelmann was asking
19	you a couple of questions about this, about how old you
20	were when these incidents took place and when you were an
21	altar boy. Right?
22	C-6: Correct, yes.
23	MR. CARROLL: And you said to the police
24	words to the effect, I was expecting that kind of question
25	and so I think you should talk to my mom, she may be able

1	to assist you on that?
2	C-6: Correct.
3	MR. CARROLL: And you were aware that within
4	days of your interview, the officers went and spoke to your
5	mom?
6	C-6: Yes.
7	MR. CARROLL: And from that information, she
8	was able to provide them with the information about how old
9	you were and what years you were an altar boy. Correct?
10	C-6: Correct.
11	MR. CARROLL: And she was also able to
12	provide them with the name of another altar boy that she
13	knew of that served at the same time as you that they could
14	follow-up with. Right?
15	C-6: Correct.
16	MR. CARROLL: Throughout the course of your
17	dealings with Constable Dupuis was the main the
18	officer you spoke with mainly, I think, eh?
19	C-6: Yes.
20	MR. CARROLL: And am I correct, sir, in
21	stating that at all times he treated you with respect and
22	dignity?
23	C-6: He did, yes.
24	MR. CARROLL: Thank you, sir. Thank you for
25	your evidence today.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
2	Ms. Costom.
3	MS. COSTOM: Good afternoon, sir.
4	C-6: Hi.
5	MS. COSTOM: I am Suzanne Costom. I'm one
6	of the lawyers for the Ontario Provincial Police, the
7	higher-ranking officers of the service.
8	It's been a long afternoon. I think you'll
9	be happy to know I have no questions for you. I want to
10	thank you for coming today.
11	C-6: Thank you.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
13	Ms. Birrell.
14	MS. BIRRELL: Good afternoon, Mr.
15	Commissioner.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Good afternoon.
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS.
18	BIRRELL
19	MS. BIRRELL: Good afternoon, sir.
20	C-6: Good afternoon.
21	MS. BIRRELL: My name is Jennifer Birrell,
22	and I am counsel for the Catholic District School Board of
23	Eastern Ontario. I have just a few questions for you. I
24	won't keep you too much longer.
25	When you began giving your evidence today,

1	my friend, Mr. Engelmann, began by reviewing your school
2	history. Do you recall him going through
3	C-6: Yes.
4	MS. BIRRELL: that you had been to St.
5	Columban's and thereafter, you went to Bishop Macdonell?
6	C-6: Yes.
7	MS. BIRRELL: And those are both schools
8	that are in the Catholic School Board. That's correct?
9	C-6: Correct.
10	MS. BIRRELL: And then you went to high
11	school and that was with another school board? That wasn't
12	within the Catholic system?
13	C-6: St. Columban's?
14	MS. BIRRELL: After Bishop
15	C-6: St. Lawrence High School, yes.
16	MS. BIRRELL: Okay. And that's a Separate
17	School Board? It's not a Catholic school?
18	C-6: It's got a Saint in front of it.
19	(LAUGHTER / RIRES)
20	MS. BIRRELL: Okay.
21	C-6: I always thought it was a Catholic
22	school.
23	MS. BIRRELL: Okay. All right then.
24	And while you were at Bishop Macdonell, I
25	think that's when you said you were Marcel Lalonde was

1	your teacher in Grade 8 or your homeroom teacher?
2	C-6: Yes.
3	MS. BIRRELL: Okay. And I think you said
4	your evidence was that there was no abuse that took place
5	or alleged abuse that took place during the Grade 8 year.
6	C-6: Correct.
7	MS. BIRRELL: Would it be fair to describe
8	your relationship with Mr. Lalonde during the Grade 8
9	school year as a normal student-teacher relationship?
10	C-6: Normal in that environment.
11	MS. BIRRELL: In that environment. And
12	there wasn't any of these visits outside of school in Grade
13	8?
14	C-6: Yes.
15	MS. BIRRELL: There were?
16	C-6: There were, yes.
17	MS. BIRRELL: Okay. Can you tell me about
18	those?
19	C-6: Might be at a bottle drive in a
20	carwash and he organized it because we were doing
21	fundraising to go to Toronto by bus and stay at the
22	university there in Grade 8.
23	MS. BIRRELL: Okay. These were class
24	activities?
25	C-6: These were class activities, yes.

1	MS. BIRRELL: Okay. And visiting his
2	apartment, that didn't take place during the Grade 8 school
3	year?
4	C-6: No.
5	MS. BIRRELL: That took place after you left
6	Bishop Macdonell. Is that correct?
7	C-6: Yes. Correct.
8	MS. BIRRELL: And I think you were clear
9	that during your evidence in-chief that, at that time, you
10	didn't raise your allegations of abuse with the school
11	board or anyone?
12	C-6: No.
13	MS. BIRRELL: And I think you explained why.
14	My question for you would be what if
15	anything could institutions like the school board do to
16	enable students to raise these allegations at the time?
17	C-6: If they can do it anonymously, have a
18	web page where they can write in.
19	MS. BIRRELL: M'hm.
20	C-6: You know, anonymously chat with a
21	counsel over the Internet and then get a feel of whether
22	they want to continue and
23	MS. BIRRELL: I beg your pardon?
24	C-6: And then, after that, they can get a
25	feel if they want to continue with charges in person, after

1	they we spoken to somebody anonymously terring them that
2	your case is strong, your case is weak, are you prepared to
3	go 10 years through court and, you know, just give them a
4	feeling of what it's like to go to court.
5	MS. BIRRELL: Anything else that the school
6	board could do to assist alleged victims to come forward?
7	C-6: Like I say, all I can think of is a
8	special chat room on that for the schools.
9	MS. BIRRELL: Thank you, sir. Those are all
10	my questions for today. I thank you for coming forward
11	today.
12	C-6: You're welcome.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
14	So who is going next? Would you like to ask
15	some questions?
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS.
17	HARREMAN:
18	MS. HARREMAN: Just a few questions, your
19	Honour.
20	Going back to your testimony in regards to
21	Marcel Lalonde. We heard that in total there were three
22	visits to his house; different locations.
23	The first visit, you went there? We heard
24	that you expected to find other people there. There were
25	none. There was alcohol consumed, and you went to lie down

1	and you awoke to find yourself being sexually assaulted?
2	C-6: Correct
3	MS. HARREMAN: At any point during that
4	occasion did you indicate that that kind of sexual contact
5	would be okay with you?
6	C-6: No, I did not.
7	MS. HARREMAN: And on the second occasion,
8	you indicated that you went to his Mr. Lalonde's new
9	place of residence, and that you went there in order to
10	consume alcohol because you expected to be able to do that?
11	C-6: Okay.
12	MS. HARREMAN: Correct? And again you found
13	yourself passed out at some point during the evening. Had
14	you prior to that time indicated to Mr. Lalonde that you
15	were expecting a sexual relationship with him during that
16	evening?
17	C-6: No, I did not.
18	MS. HARREMAN: Did you give any indication
19	to him that such contact would be consensual? Did you ever
20	indicate to him that it was okay for him to have sexual
21	contact with you on that occasion?
22	C-6: Not straight out. There was no
23	MS. HARREMAN: Did you say, "Yes, you can
24	have sex with me"?
25	C-6: After

1	MS. HARREMAN: Did he ask you if he could
2	have sex with you?
3	C-6: Yes.
4	MS. HARREMAN: Did you say, "Yes, you can
5	have sex with me"?
6	C-6: Yes.
7	MS. HARREMAN: And do you know
8	C-6: At first, but not after. After I was
9	drunk, and, you know, inebriated, it carried it on, beyond
10	
11	MS. HARREMAN: At the time
12	C-6: photos and stuff and
13	MS. HARREMAN: Okay. So at the time that he
14	was having sex with you, was that consensual?
15	THE COMMISSIONER: You were under the
16	influence of alcohol, I take it?
17	C-6: Yes.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: And when he asked you
19	whether or not this sexual activity would take place
20	C-6: Yes.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: you were under the
22	influence of alcohol?
23	C-6: Yes.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: And how old were you at
25	the time?

1	C-6: Maybe sixteen at the most.
2	MS. HARREMAN: So you were consuming alcohol
3	at the time these conversations were taking place.
4	C-6: Yes.
5	MS. HARREMAN: Okay. And did you give
6	consent for the photos to be taken?
7	C-6: I think I did, yes.
8	MS. HARREMAN: And had you consumed alcohol
9	at that time?
10	C-6: Yes, yes.
11	MS. HARREMAN: At the time that or after
12	Justice Métivier rendered her judgement in respect of the
13	charges that stemmed from your reports to the police about
14	Marcel Lalonde, did the Crown ever discuss the potential to
15	appeal her decision with you?
16	C-6: Not that I recall.
17	MS. HARREMAN: Did they ever indicate why
18	they would not appeal such a decision with you?
19	C-6: No.
20	MS. HARREMAN: Turning now to Father
21	Charles, and just following up on my friend's question, at
22	the time that you went
23	C-6: But you can't can I answer
24	something before that; may be too much, but it was asked
25	before and I'm sure I stated somewhere that the second time

1	I went to Marcel Lalonde's was to drink.
2	MS. HARREMAN: Right.
3	C-6: Marcel Lalonde, the second time he
4	moved, was now married. So he had a wife, so
5	MS. HARREMAN: Okay.
6	C-6: Thank you.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, let's finish that
8	thought.
9	C-6: Okay.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: So what? So you
11	thought that it would be safe for you to go there? Is that
12	what
13	C-6: Yes.
14	MS. HARREMAN: Okay. And the third visit to
15	Marcel Lalonde's home, you indicated that you went there
16	with your brother; correct?
17	C-6: Correct.
18	MS. HARREMAN: And how long after the second
19	visit did that take place?
20	C-6: I don't think it was very long after
21	the second visit at all.
22	MS. HARREMAN: And do you recall what Gary
23	was saying to Mr. Lalonde?
24	C-6: Threatening him with bodily harm and
25	telling him to leave our family leave me alone, and my

1	family members alone.
2	MS. HARREMAN: Okay. And did you say
3	anything to Mr. Lalonde at that time, or do anything?
4	C-6: I took his bottle of booze. I took a
5	40-ouncer of booze. I took it from his house. That's all
6	I did.
7	MS. HARREMAN: Turning then to Father
8	Charles. When you went to give your statement to OPP, did
9	you think that you would need to tell them that you had
10	mentioned this to Rene Desrosiers when you gave your
11	statement about Marcel Lalonde?
12	C-6: No.
13	MS. HARREMAN: Okay. And why would you not
14	think to mention that to them?
15	C-6: I was being actioned. There was no
16	reason to bring it up. I guess so.
17	MS. HARREMAN: So would be correct in
18	stating that you were under the assumption that there had
19	been a dialogue already between Desrosiers and OPP, or
20	C-6: I had assumed that there was a note,
21	or memo, here's another guy coming. He's at the wrong
22	place.
23	MS. HARREMAN: Okay. When you were being
24	cross-examined by Mr. Neville at the preliminary inquiry
25	for Father Charles' case, did Mr. Neville ever show you the

1	church bulletins that he was referring to in his cross-
2	examination?
3	C-6: No, I don't recall seeing one.
4	MS. HARREMAN: Did the police or Crown show
5	you any church bulletins that would have either
6	corroborated or questioned your testimony?
7	C-6: I don't recall seeing a church
8	bulletin at all.
9	MS. HARREMAN: And you stated that your
10	contact with police officers from the OPP in regards to
11	Father Charles' case were respectful; that they treated you
12	well?
13	C-6: Yes.
14	MS. HARREMAN: You recently have seen the
15	documents that several people today have referred to that
16	indicated Mr. Neville's knowledge of these church
17	bulletins; of knowledge he alleges to have had that
18	questioned the date of the church renovation. How do you
19	feel about the fact that that information was not
20	questioned by police, or Crown, prior to your taking the
21	stand?
22	C-6: It's changed my the way I think
23	about the police and the way they handled the situation 360
24	degrees. Less than a couple three weeks ago when we
25	met, I had put down a list of what I thought of each

1	department, now everybody handled themselves, and I gave
2	Cornwall and the OPP a ten out of ten.
3	But today after seeing some of this, and
4	during the week seeing some of this information that was
5	there since '97, I can't put it that high anymore.
6	It's got to be three or four, because I also
7	see the information was also available in a book on St.
8	Columban's Church. It talks about the renovation in there
9	too.
10	I have tried to go back to the church to
11	look at the box at the front, but I couldn't get myself to
12	go there. I did have opportunity to go there in the last
13	three weeks for a funeral, and I checked and the boxes
14	weren't there. I knew they were there, and I wanted to
15	check. I keep dreaming about the basement and a box, and
16	the chute, and I wanted to affirm myself that it was there
17	and it wasn't. And now, I see documentation showing that
18	it was there in 1971. It's when the renovation took place
19	prior.
20	So it means the information was there and no
21	one noticed it. And if I were there that day right from
22	the beginning, I would have been able to get my timeframe
23	from four years down to one year.
24	MS. HARREMAN: Thank you.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Engelmann.

1	RE-EXAMINATION BY/RÉ-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. ENGELMANN:
2	MR. ENGELMANN: I just want to make sure I
3	understand what you were just talking about when you say
4	information. Are you talking about information about some
5	of those markers you talked about earlier?
6	C-6: Pointers, yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	Like when the renos were done?
9	C-6: If there was a break-in at the church
10	around that time.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	And Ms. Harreman asked you questions and
13	suggested there were three visits to Marcel Lalonde's home
14	C-6: Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: I understood, and maybe I'm
16	mistaken, I thought there were many more than that. There
17	were the two incidents where you alleged
18	C-6: There were three, three incidents out
19	of all the courts here.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
21	C-6: It's not to say I hadn't I didn't
22	stop over with a bunch of other kids where nothing was
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
24	C-6: There was no incidences.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. I just want to

1	C-6: There were a couple other ones before
2	that.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: So there were several times
4	you were there
5	C-6: Yeah.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: drinking with other
7	kids. Is that fair?
8	C-6: Specifically drinking at Marcel's?
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
10	C-6: Just once before that; there was a
11	group of us drinking at that house. And then I went there
12	again, and no one was there, and I was there with him
13	alone.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	Mr. Carroll for the OPPA asked you a
16	question about your mother and how that was followed up.
17	Were you aware back then that the police had gone to see
18	your mother?
19	C-6: Yes, I was.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you have any
21	discussion with your mother about the details of what she
22	talked to the police about?
23	C-6: No, I was told I couldn't talk to my
24	mother about that.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: So were you aware of the

1	dates that your mother had given the police for when you
2	were an altar boy?
3	C-6: No. The conversation I had with my
4	mother when I was looking for two more markers, and one was
5	when I was the altar boy for the wedding, my grade six, and
6	when my oldest brother lived in Alexandria. So I was
7	asking her for two markers where I could put myself in the
8	right date.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, you were asked some
10	questions from Mr. Scharbach from the Crown Attorney's
11	office or from the Ministry of the Attorney General about
12	meetings you had with prosecutors. I just want to ask you
13	about recollection.
14	We have the document that records a meeting
15	on January 13^{th} , 1998 with Claudette Wilhelm, which is the
16	day before your preliminary inquiry.
17	C-6: Correct.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. We don't have a
19	document that records another meeting with her. I'm
20	wondering if you have any recollection of a second meeting
21	with her?
22	C-6: We met a couple of times.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: So more than once?
24	C-6: Yeah, more than once.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.

1	C-6: I think a couple of times we met.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. You actually remember
3	her; you just don't know when the second meeting was?
4	C-6: Right, or what room.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have any idea what
6	was discussed the second time?
7	C-6: I can't recall right now.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	We have a police officer's note about a
10	meeting with Mr. Pelletier on February $23^{\rm rd}$, 1999 , which is
11	about a month before your preliminary inquiry in the
12	MacDonald case. Do you have any knowledge of any other
13	meeting with him?
14	C-6: In Ottawa, no.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Or anywhere
16	before?
17	C-6: No.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: We have one recorded meeting
19	between you and Shelley Hallett on October $20^{\rm th}$, 1999. I'm
20	not aware of any other notes of any other meetings with
21	her, but you seemed you answered to Mr. Scharbach that
22	you thought there was another meeting with her or possibly
23	two other meetings with her?
24	C-6: M'hm.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have any idea when

1	they would have been?
2	C-6: No.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have any idea what
4	would have been discussed at those other two meetings?
5	C-6: Not right now.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Just curious because
7	we don't have a note and I'm just wondering if you had any
8	specific recollection.
9	Thank you very much, sir, for attending and
10	for spending the day with us and answering the questions to
11	the best of your ability. Thank you again.
12	C-6: You're welcome.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Hark! I hear footsteps.
14	MS. COSTOM: I'm not sure, Mr. Commissioner,
15	if you would permit me one or two questions following the
16	re-examination by the witness' counsel.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Any objections?
18	Mr. Engelmann, would that do?
19	MR. ENGELMANN: The normal procedure would
20	be I would be asked to do this, but given the hour, I'm
21	quite happy to have Ms. Costom do it.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, we'll let you ask
23	the questions. We'll see where it goes.
24	MS. COSTOM: Thank you.
25	So as I told you before my name is Suzanne

1	Costom and I am one of the lawyers for the Ontario
2	Provincial Police.
3	I was saddened to hear that your well,
4	first, I'll say that I was glad that initially your
5	experience with the Ontario Provincial Police and with the
6	other police service was so good, so that just as recently
7	as three years ago, you would have given them a 10 out of
8	10. I'm saddened to hear that that opinion has changed so
9	radically over the past few weeks.
10	And I wanted to offer the opportunity, if
11	you so wish, to contact people within the police service
12	and then discuss those things that have bothered you
13	because I know that there is always open ears, certainly in
14	our police service. So I wanted to make that offer to you
15	and if you'd like to avail yourself of it you can come see
16	me after the hearings.
17	C-6: Okay.
18	MS. COSTOM: Thank you.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
20	So that really wasn't a question.
21	All right. So it ends our day, sir. I want
22	to thank you for coming forward and discussing these
23	matters with me. You can rest assured that I will
24	seriously consider your recommendations and the evidence

290

that you gave here today.

1	C-6: Thank you.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, we're
4	THE COMMISSIONER: We need to
5	MR. ENGELMANN: On Monday, we will be back
6	with the evidence of Jeannette Antoine.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Then we have other witnesses
9	lined up as well.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: That will be for Monday,
11	Tuesday and Wednesday.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: That's right.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
14	MR. MANSON: Excuse me, the time for Monday?
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Two o'clock.
16	MR. MANSON: Okay. Thank you.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
18	THE REGISTRAR: Order. All rise. À
19	l'ordre. Veuillez vous lever.
20	This hearing is adjourned.
21	Upon adjourning at 6:03 p.m./
22	L'audience est ajournée à 18h03
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, Marc Demers a certified court reporter in the Province
4	of Ontario, hereby certify the foregoing pages to be an
5	accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of
6	my skill and ability, and I so swear.
7	
8	Je, Marc Demers, un sténographe officiel dans la province
9	de l'Ontario, certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une
10	transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au
11	meilleur de mes capacités, et je le jure.
12	
13	
14	Marten
15	
16	Marc Demers, CVR-CM
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	