THE CORNWALL PUBLIC INQUIRY



L'ENQUÊTE PUBLIQUE SUR CORNWALL

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner

The Honourable Justice /
L'honorable juge
G. Normand Glaude

Commissaire

VOLUME 224

Held at: Tenue à:

Hearings Room 709 Cotton Mill Street Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7 Salle des audiences 709, rue de la Fabrique Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7

Thursday, May 1 2008 Jeudi, le 1 mai 2008

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC. www.irri.net (800) 899-0006

Appearances/Comparutions

Mr. Peter Engelmann Lead Commission Counsel

Ms. Julie Gauthier Registrar

Ms. Mary Simms Commission Counsel

Mr. Ian Stauffer

Mr. Mark Crane Cornwall Community Police
Mr. Peter Manderville Service and Cornwall Police

Service Board

Mr. Neil Kozloff Ontario Provincial Police

Ms. Diane Lahaie

Mr. David Rose Ontario Ministry of Community

and Correctional Services and Adult Community Corrections

Mr. Darrell Kloeze Attorney General for Ontario

Mr. Peter Chisholm The Children's Aid Society of

the United Counties

Mr. Allan Manson Citizens for Community Renewal

Mr. Dallas Lee Victims Group

Mr. Michael Neville The Estate of Ken Seguin and

Doug Seguin and Father Charles

MacDonald

Mr. William Carroll Ontario Provincial Police

Association

Ms. Nadya Tymochenko Upper Canada District School

Board

Mr. Frank T. Horn Coalition for Action

Table of Contents / Table des matières

List of Exhibits :	iv
BRIAN PAYMENT, Resumed/Sous le même serment	1
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Ms. Mary Simms(cont'd/suite)	1
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Allan Manson	121
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Frank Horn	131
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Dallas Lee	146
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Frank Horn(cont'd/suite)	175
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Nadya Tymochenko	186
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Peter Chisholm	190
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Darrell Kloeze	202
Further Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire Supplémentaire par Mr. Frank Horn(cont'd/suite)	210
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Darrell Kloeze	212
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. William Carroll	216
STUART McDONALD, Sworn/Assermenté	224
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Mr. Ian Stauffer	226

iv

LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
P-1556	(740557) Officers' notes of Brian Payment - 25 Jan, 85 to 09 Apr, 85	3
P-1557	(200228) Complainant List	5
P-1558	(727823) Notes of Brian Payment - 23 Jan, 86 to 28 Jan, 86	10
P-1559	(737504) Notes of CAS Bruce Duncan - 24 Jan, 86 to 25 Feb, 86	12
P-1560	(737019) Notes or Brian Payment - 24 Jan, 86	65
P-1561	(728137) Information of Cst. Brian Payment - 27 Jan, 86	83
P-1562	(114263) Crown Brief Regina v. Jean-Luc Leblanc	87
P-1563	(740050) Statement of Brian Payment - 21 Feb, 01	104
P-1564	(117153) General Occurrence Report re: Complaint of P. Dunlop - 13 Dec, 95	117
P-1565	(114261) Letter from Tilton Donihee to D.W. Johnson dated - 13 May, 86	128
P-1566	(200236) News clipping "Charges against city school principal dismissed" - 24 Jan, 86	147
P-1567	(200201) Career Profile of Stuart McDonald	230

1	Upon commencing at 9:46 a.m./
2	L'audience débute à 9h46
3	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
4	veuillez vous lever.
5	This hearing of the Cornwall Public Inquiry
6	is now in session. The Honourable Mr. Justice Normand
7	Glaude, Commissioner, presiding.
8	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
10	MS. SIMMS: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning, all.
12	Mr. Payment, how are you doing today?
13	MR. PAYMENT: Good. Thank you.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Ready to go?
15	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
16	BRIAN PAYMENT, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
17	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MS.
18	SIMMS (cont'd/suite):
19	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So we'll continue where
20	we left off. We were discussing an investigation involving
21	a principal and a number of students.
22	MR. PAYMENT: Yeah.
23	MS. SIMMS: And, Mr. Commissioner, you'll
24	recall there was a discussion about publication bans
25	yesterday?

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
2	MS. SIMMS: And Mr. Lee advised that he was
3	aware that there was a subsequent reporting that the
4	publication ban on the accused's name had been lifted.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
6	MS. SIMMS: And he's now provided those
7	documents, which we've circulated to the parties.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
9	MS. SIMMS: There is an Ottawa Citizen
10	article from September $23^{\rm rd}$, 1988 which indicates that <u>The</u>
11	<u>Citizen</u> appealed the publication ban on the accused's name
12	and was successful, and in that article they do publish his
13	name.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
15	MS. SIMMS: So that's the update on that.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. And so what
17	is his name?
18	MS. SIMMS: His name is Lucien Labelle.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
20	And so for the record, Monsieur Labelle was
21	the accused. He went to trial and he was acquitted, is my
22	understanding.
23	MS. SIMMS: That's right.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. So there we
25	go. Thank you.

1	MS. SIMMS: So, Mr. Payment, if you still
2	have before you a document we entered as an exhibit
3	yesterday, it's Exhibit 1556? It's Document Number 740557
4	and it's your notes from the investigation?
5	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, what exhibit
7	again?
8	MS. SIMMS: Okay?
9	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
10	MS. SIMMS: So I just have a couple further
11	questions on this investigation.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
13	MS. SIMMS: If you can refer to Bates page
14	7181242?
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Now I am lost,
16	1555? What's the exhibit?
17	MS. SIMMS: Oh, I'm sorry, 1556.
18	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1556:
19	(740557)Officers' notes of Brian Payment -
20	25 Jan, 85 to 09 Apr, 85
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Great. Thank you. I'm
22	sorry; and what's the Bates page?
23	MS. SIMMS: The Bates page ends 242.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
25	MS. SIMMS: So we referred to this do you

1	have that page, Mr. Payment?
2	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, I do.
3	MS. SIMMS: We referred to this yesterday,
4	and just to confirm, June 26^{th} , 1985 , was that the date that
5	the principal was charged?
6	MR. PAYMENT: That was the date he notified
7	me. I'm not sure of the exact charge date.
8	MS. SIMMS: Okay. Well, that's the date
9	that you did go and see him, served a copy of the summons,
10	explained the charges?
11	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
12	MS. SIMMS: The correct date? Okay.
13	Following charges being laid, did you
14	continue to have interactions with the Crown Alan Ain?
15	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, I did.
16	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And what were you
17	discussing with him?
18	MR. PAYMENT: Well, there was there were
19	matters with regards to interviewing of the victims and
20	their parents, just more or less exchanging information
21	with the trial upcoming.
22	MS. SIMMS: And following the laying of
23	these charges, did you identify any further alleged
24	victims?
25	MR. PAYMENT: I had submitted a chart that

1	had a list of all it was in my Crown Brief. I believe
2	there were 10 victims where the charges were laid and there
3	were others that were of similar acts.
4	MS. SIMMS: Perhaps I can refer you to your
5	document? It's Document Number 200228.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 1557 I suspect
7	should have a publication ban stamp on it?
8	MS. SIMMS: Yes, it should.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
10	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1557:
11	(200228) Complainant List
12	MS. SIMMS: Is this the chart you're
13	referring to, Mr. Payment?
14	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
15	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So this is a list of
16	alleged victims?
17	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, it is.
18	MS. SIMMS: And at the bottom there are
19	three alleged victims who are of adult age?
20	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
21	MS. SIMMS: And they're complaints of
22	historical acts from those victims?
23	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
24	MS. SIMMS: Okay. When the charges as
25	you recall, when the charges were laid, were they with

1	respect to the children only?
2	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, just the children.
3	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So do you recall when you
4	brought to the attention of the Crown these other three
5	alleged victims?
6	MR. PAYMENT: I don't recall the exact date,
7	but I know it was prior to the trial to advise them that I
8	had these other people that were willing to come forward.
9	MS. SIMMS: And do you recall what happened
10	with those allegations?
11	MR. PAYMENT: The Crown that I spoke to at
12	that time was Mary McFadyen, and she indicated that she
13	wouldn't be using them. She didn't want to use them.
14	MS. SIMMS: When you say "at that time", was
15	that at the trial date itself or previously?
16	MR. PAYMENT: I notified them prior to, and
17	on the first morning I asked if she had changed her mind
18	and if she wanted them subpoenaed or not, and she said no.
19	MS. SIMMS: Do you were you present at
20	the trial dates?
21	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, I was.
22	MS. SIMMS: And it was adjourned a number of
23	times. Is that
24	MR. PAYMENT: It was no, I believe there
25	was only the one actual trial and I believe it was about

25

1	six or seven days long.
2	MS. SIMMS: Okay. Can I just ask you to
3	look at Bates page ending 245?
4	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
5	MS. SIMMS: The same exhibit, 1556. There's
6	a note there under December $16^{\rm th}$, 1985 . Do you see that?
7	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
8	MS. SIMMS: And it mentions the Civic
9	Complex. Do you recall why it would mention the Civic
10	Complex?
11	MR. PAYMENT: They had no other space to
12	hold it.
13	MS. SIMMS: So the trial was going to be
14	held at the Civic Complex?
15	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
16	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And it wasn't held there
17	that day; it was adjourned?
18	MR. PAYMENT: Well, seeing these notes I
19	don't recall an adjournment, but seeing these notes, it
20	seems that there must have been.
21	MS. SIMMS: Sure.
22	Was the trial eventually held at the Civic
23	Complex?
24	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, it was.
~ ~	_

MS. SIMMS: It was? Okay.

1	And you recall we've already established
2	that the outcome of the trial was an acquittal?
3	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
4	MS. SIMMS: That's correct.
5	And do you recall there being any appeals
6	relating to this case?
7	MR. PAYMENT: I was never kept updated on
8	any appeals, but I was advised that there was an appeal.
9	In fact, I believe there may have been even more than one.
10	MS. SIMMS: Okay.
11	MR. PAYMENT: But the outcome of the appeals
12	was never brought to my attention.
13	MS. SIMMS: So other than your attending at
14	the trial, did you have any further involvement with this
15	matter?
16	MR. PAYMENT: I don't believe so.
17	MS. SIMMS: Okay. Did you become aware
18	later that Mr. Labelle had moved out of town?
19	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
20	MS. SIMMS: Okay. Did you have contact
21	following his move out of town with anybody in relation to
22	these allegations?
23	MR. PAYMENT: Based on rumours that I had
24	heard, I was told that he was trying to become a Boy Scout
25	leader.

1	MS. SIMMS: M'hm.
2	MR. PAYMENT: And I notified them to contact
3	the School Board as to why he had been dismissed before
4	they proceeded.
5	MS. SIMMS: Was that something you had
6	consulted with anyone on the Force about before you did
7	that or
8	MR. PAYMENT: No.
9	MS. SIMMS: That was your own initiative?
10	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
11	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And is there anything
12	further about your involvement in this matter? Did you
13	have any further discussions with OPP later on or
14	MR. PAYMENT: I don't believe so, no.
15	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And you've already told
16	us that this case sparked some discussions between yourself
17	and Bill Carriere of the Children's Aid Society?
18	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
19	MS. SIMMS: And I understand from what you
20	said yesterday, those discussions continued and you entered
21	into a project of developing protocols and joint training?
22	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, eventually.
23	MS. SIMMS: Eventually, okay.
24	Okay, Mr. Payment, I'm going to move on to
25	another investigation, and this investigation is about the

1	Jean-Luc Leblanc.
2	So I'm going to ask you to look at your
3	notes from this matter, which are Document Number 737823.
4	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
6	Exhibit Number 1558, are notes of Constable
7	Payment.
8	MR. PAYMENT: Thank you.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: The first date is
10	January 23 rd , '86.
11	MR. MANDERVILLE: Mr. Commissioner, I may be
12	wrong, but I think these were made an exhibit back in
13	October, '06, when the Burgesses were testifying.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Could be.
15	Madam Clerk, can you figure that one out for
16	us?
17	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
18	THE COMMISSIONER: You may be wrong.
19	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Guess who's buying lunch
21	this morning?
22	Exhibit 1558, as I've said, is Constable
23	Payment's notes, dated January 23 rd , '86.
24	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-1558:
25	(727823) Notes of Brian Payment - 23 Jan, 86

10

1	to 28 Jan, 86
2	MS. SIMMS: So, Mr. Payment, I take it, I'm
3	just noticing this date's January 23 rd , 1986; that would
4	have been around the same time as the trial of the last
5	matter we were just talking about?
6	MR. PAYMENT: Roughly, yes.
7	MS. SIMMS: Yeah.
8	So your notes start off with January 23 rd ,
9	'86, and I just want to ask you a clarification about that
10	date and you see it starts January $23^{\rm rd}$ and there's a note
11	from 1457, Central Public School?
12	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
13	MS. SIMMS: And as you go, turning the page
14	to the next page, there's no entry for January $24^{\rm th}$, 1986?
15	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
16	MS. SIMMS: So it starts again on
17	January 25 th ?
18	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
19	MS. SIMMS: And we've heard some evidence
20	that these events occurred on January $24^{\rm th}$, 1986, which is a
21	Friday and I'm going to refer you to another document of
22	notes from January 24^{th} ; is it possible that these events
23	are occurring on January 24 th ?
24	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, it is possible. I wasn't
25	very good at doing notebooks, per se, because of the

1	system.
2	MS. SIMMS: Well, to be fair, Mr. Payment,
3	you've, as notes go on, you track each day, including your
4	days off, so there's just an error, perhaps, on this first
5	page?
6	MR. PAYMENT: It's possible, yes.
7	MS. SIMMS: Okay.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: So what's your suggesting
9	is it wasn't really the $23^{\rm rd}$, it was the $24^{\rm th}$?
10	MS. SIMMS: Yes.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: That's the okay, I'll
12	just make a note of that, just
13	MS. SIMMS: And you accept that that was the
14	case, Mr. Payment?
15	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, that's possible.
16	MS. SIMMS: Okay. I'm going to ask you to
17	look at another document; it's Document Number 737504.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
19	Exhibit Number 1559.
20	MR. PAYMENT: Thank you.
21	MS. SIMMS: Commissioner, these are notes
22	I believe these are notes made by Bruce Duncan of the
23	Children's Aid Society.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: All right, thank you.
25	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-1559:

1	(737504) Notes of CAS Bruce Duncan - 24 Jan
2	86 to 25 Feb 86
3	MS. SIMMS: So, Mr. Payment, just turning to
4	these notes, for a moment, it's a little difficult to read
5	the first date, but as you turn the pages, you can see he's
6	talking about events on January 24 th ?
7	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
8	MS. SIMMS: So that would be consistent with
9	these events occurring on January 24 th ?
10	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
11	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And if you'll turn to the
12	page ending in 908.
13	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
14	MS. SIMMS: There is a note of a call to the
15	CPD, which I take to mean the Cornwall Police Department,
16	at 2:25?
17	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
18	MS. SIMMS: And there's a note that Staff
19	Sergeant Willis took names and, "will have to review
20	records" there's just a letter there, and:
21	"Send Brian Payment over ASAP."
22	MR. PAYMENT: That is correct.
23	MS. SIMMS: So on January 24 th , were you
24	advised by Staff Sergeant Willis that there had been a
25	complaint received through the Children's Aid Society?

1	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
2	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And do you recall what he
3	advised you as to the substance of the complaint?
4	MR. PAYMENT: No, I don't.
5	All I all I recall was that there was a
6	complaint involving a possible sexual assault and that the
7	victim was currently at the local school.
8	MS. SIMMS: And did he give you the victim's
9	name?
10	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, he did.
11	MS. SIMMS: And who was that? Was it Scott
12	Burgess?
13	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, sorry.
14	MS. SIMMS: Okay. Would Staff Sergeant
15	Willis be acting as the Officer in Charge, at that time, do
16	you recall?
17	MR. PAYMENT: I can't recall.
18	There was different staff sergeants at
19	different times and I don't know what the breakdown was on
20	it.
21	At times we had two staff sergeants and then
22	we had a staff sergeant and an inspector, so in my tenure
23	there it was it was hard to determine exactly if he
24	assigned me this case, I would assume that he was in charge
25	at that time.

1	MS. SIMMS: And there's a note now, have
2	you seen these notes before?
3	MR. PAYMENT: Just briefly.
4	MS. SIMMS: And just in preparation for this
5	Inquiry?
6	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
7	MS. SIMMS: Okay. There's a note just below
8	that that says:
9	"Call to Angelo. Call police. Tell
10	them school says they can't allow CPD
11	in without warrant."
12	Do you see that?
13	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
14	MS. SIMMS: Were you advised that the school
15	would not allow you to enter without a warrant?
16	MR. PAYMENT: That was that school's policy.
17	MS. SIMMS: Okay, so you were aware of that
18	policy?
19	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: What's the name of the
21	school?
22	MR. PAYMENT: Central Public School.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
24	MS. SIMMS: So if we can
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Excuse me, excuse me.

15

1	Can you help me out here?
2	They wouldn't let you in; do you mean you
3	couldn't go on the property without a warrant?
4	MR. PAYMENT: Well, they would let me into
5	the office but they wouldn't let me go any further. Their
6	policy was that I couldn't speak to any of the children in
7	the school.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
9	MS. SIMMS: If you would refer back to your
10	own notes then, Mr. Payment; it's Exhibit 1558, which we
11	just entered.
12	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
13	MS. SIMMS: And just further on that point,
14	there's a note from, what we decided was January $24^{\rm th}$, at
15	1457.
16	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
17	MS. SIMMS: Do you see that?
18	Are you in attendance at Central Public
19	School at this point?
20	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
21	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So when you got the
22	assignment, you went immediately to the school to meet with
23	to meet with
24	MR. PAYMENT: I was hoping to meet with the
25	victim.

1	MS. SIMMS: Okay.
2	And you're at the Criminal Investigation
3	Bureau, at this time, right? Or, sorry, you're at the
4	Youth Bureau.
5	MR. PAYMENT: I was in the Youth Bureau.
6	MS. SIMMS: So you would be in plainclothes
7	when you
8	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
9	MS. SIMMS: arrived?
10	Okay. So it wasn't an issue as to having
11	uniform officers at the school or
12	MR. PAYMENT: No, it was just police in
13	general.
14	MS. SIMMS: So you have notes from when
15	you're at the school and they say that you spoke to Bruce
16	Duncan. And Bruce Duncan was from the Children's Aid
17	Society?
18	MR. PAYMENT: Bruce Duncan, yes.
19	MS. SIMMS: And you had have you dealt
20	with him before on child matters?
21	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
22	MS. SIMMS: Okay.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Can we just go back?
24	I don't know if you're going to cover this,
25	but it says:

1	"Victim has apparently confided this to
2	a teacher about two weeks ago."
3	Were you going to cover that or
4	MS. SIMMS: Yes.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, okay, thank you.
6	MS. SIMMS: We'll cover that right now.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
8	MS. SIMMS: Okay.
9	So I take it, these are notes of your
10	session with Bruce Duncan at the school?
11	THE COMMISSIONER: The 1457
12	MS. SIMMS: M'hm.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: insertion, yes.
14	MR. PAYMENT: I spoke to him just briefly.
15	Apparently the school would allow him in to
16	speak to the victim and he indicated that he would and that
17	he would get back to me.
18	MS. SIMMS: Okay. But when you arrived
19	there, the only information you had was the victim's name;
20	right?
21	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
22	MS. SIMMS: So you had a discussion with
23	Bruce Duncan a bit about the substance of the allegation I
24	take it.
25	MR. PAYMENT: Just that he had disclosed to

1	a teacher and that they did in-house as to how they were
2	going to handle it. And apparently at the start, he didn't
3	want his parents to know or he didn't want anyone to know
4	and he wasn't sure if he wanted to go any further.
5	MS. SIMMS: So with respect to the in-house,
6	your note says:
7	"Victim confided this to a teacher
8	about two weeks ago; now, we are called
9	in."
10	Was it a concern to you that this matter had
11	been reported two weeks previously and you're only being
12	called in at this time?
13	MR. PAYMENT: It was a concern but if there
14	was hesitancy on the part of the victim, I could understand
15	maybe why they hesitated.
16	MS. SIMMS: Well, did you have a discussion
17	about why there was a two-week delay? Did you ask Bruce
18	Duncan further questions about that?
19	MR. PAYMENT: No.
20	MS. SIMMS: Okay.
21	MR. PAYMENT: Not that I recall anyway.
22	MS. SIMMS: And the teacher was Dawn
23	Raymond. Is that correct?
24	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
25	MS. SIMMS: And was she there as well?

1	MR. PAYMENT: I'm not sure if she was there
2	when I first went to the school.
3	MS. SIMMS: You don't recall it?
4	MR. PAYMENT: I don't recall.
5	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So you don't recall
6	having a discussion with her about why there was that two-
7	week delay?
8	MR. PAYMENT: No.
9	MS. SIMMS: And your note goes on to mention
10	Mr. Chris Duncan, the principal of the school?
11	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
12	MS. SIMMS: And the principal advised you
13	that he could not let you see the victim. Is that right?
14	MR. PAYMENT: That is correct.
15	MS. SIMMS: Did you have some discussion
16	with the principal on that point?
17	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, we did.
18	MS. SIMMS: What was the substance of that
19	discussion?
20	MR. PAYMENT: I was somewhat insistent on
21	the fact that if there was a sexual assault that the sooner
22	we could get started, the sooner I could talk to him, the
23	better it would be. And he indicated that it was school
24	policy that under no circumstances would he allow me to
25	talk to the child without his parents and/or counsel.

1	MS. SIMMS: And this is even the case when
2	the child is alleged victim as opposed to alleged suspect?
3	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
4	MS. SIMMS: Did you involve any of your
5	superiors at this point or do anything further?
6	MR. PAYMENT: I don't recall notifying
7	anybody, but it was common knowledge on the department that
8	getting to see kids at the schools, some schools, was a
9	problem.
10	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And so you note concludes
11	that, "Mr. Duncan, CAS," to distinguish him from the
12	principal, I guess
13	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
14	MS. SIMMS: that's:
15	"Bruce Duncan was allowed in and agreed
16	to contact me if anything to this."
17	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
18	MS. SIMMS: Do you have do you know why
19	Bruce Duncan would be allowed in but you were not?
20	MR. PAYMENT: You'd have to ask the
21	principal.
22	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So what was your
23	understanding of what Bruce Duncan was going to do at the
24	school?
25	MR. PAYMENT: I had asked Mr. Duncan to not

1	get into any of the details of the assault but more or less
2	to do some talking with the victim to try and see if he
3	would be willing to talk to me and to come forward and give
4	a statement.
5	MS. SIMMS: So you specifically asked Bruce
6	Duncan not to get into details?
7	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Do we know how old the
9	victim was at this time?
10	MS. SIMMS: We do. Can you help us with
11	that, Mr. Payment?
12	MR. PAYMENT: He was born in October of
13	1971.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, so he would have
15	been 15, 14
16	MS. SIMMS: That is 14.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Eighty-six ('86) 15?
18	MS. SIMMS: January
19	MR. PAYMENT: January, so he would be 14.
20	MS. SIMMS: Fourteen (14).
21	And just to be clear, Dawn Raymond, the
22	teacher
23	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
24	MS. SIMMS: we are discussing, she is
25	not a teacher at Central Public School?

1	MR. PAYMENT: I'm not sure what her
2	MS. SIMMS: Okay.
3	MR. PAYMENT: where she was from.
4	MS. SIMMS: Okay. I think we've heard some
5	evidence on that
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, we have.
7	MS. SIMMS: that she was a teacher at
8	the previous school.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
10	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So that's how it was
11	left, that Mr. Duncan was going to go in, speak to this
12	student, ask if he would meet with you. Is that fair?
13	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
14	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And your notes continue
15	at 6:30 that evening when you're called into the CAS?
16	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
17	MS. SIMMS: Do you see that? I'm going to
18	ask you just to refer back to Mr. Duncan's notes, and
19	that's Exhibit 1559.
20	MR. PAYMENT: M'hm.
21	MS. SIMMS: And if you look at the Bates
22	page ending 910?
23	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
24	MS. SIMMS: These appear to be notes of an
25	interview with Scott at 3:08 on January 24th. Do you see

1	that?
2	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
3	MS. SIMMS: So given the timing, that would
4	be the time he was at the school, meaning Scott?
5	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
6	MS. SIMMS: And you didn't see the notes,
7	these notes, during your investigation did you?
8	MR. PAYMENT: No, I did not.
9	MS. SIMMS: Okay. If you could just quickly
10	turn to the Bates page on the same exhibit ending 915.
11	Okay?
12	MR. PAYMENT: Yeah.
13	MS. SIMMS: At the bottom of the page,
14	there's a note from January 24^{th} at $4:32$, "Call to Brian
15	Payment". Do you see that?
16	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, I do.
17	MS. SIMMS: Now, it's not mentioned in your
18	notes, but do you recall a telephone call that afternoon?
19	MR. PAYMENT: I don't recall that at all and
20	according to my notes, I left duty at 4:00 o'clock.
21	MS. SIMMS: Well, the call seems to be
22	let's just look at it and we can review what the substance
23	is.
24	It says:
25	"Go to parents and see if Scott will

1	talk to CPD. He will Leave a message
2	where he can be reached."
3	Do you see that?
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Just so I understand
5	this, are you saying that this note says that he, Mr.
6	Duncan, is going to the parents and see if Scott will talk
7	to the CPD? Is that what that means? Or does it mean Mr.
8	Payment should be going to the parents?
9	MS. SIMMS: Well, it's difficult if Mr.
10	Payment can't recollect it, but
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
12	MS. SIMMS: it appears to be a call with
13	Mr. Payment and it appears that Mr. Payment would have said
14	he'd leave a message where he can be reached.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
16	MR. PAYMENT: I don't recall that.
17	MS. SIMMS: You don't recall that, but that
18	doesn't mean it didn't happen; right?
19	MR. PAYMENT: No, no, it could have.
20	MS. SIMMS: And then it further says:
21	"Scott will tell him what I heard re
22	fellatio."
23	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
24	MS. SIMMS: "He has enough for now and
25	we'll get to Jason later."

1	So none of that refreshes your memory about
2	this call?
3	MR. PAYMENT: I'm sorry, no, it doesn't.
4	MR. LEE: I thing Ms. Simms may have missed
5	a word at the start of that bullet, I believe it reads:
6	"If Scott will tell him."
7	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry?
8	MR. LEE: At the start of the bullet she was
9	just reading, I believe it reads:
10	"If Scott will tell him what I heard re
11	fellatio. He has enough for now and
12	will get to Jason later."
13	She omitted the "if"
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
15	MR. LEE: and it's a bit of an important
16	issue for later on.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank you.
18	MS. SIMMS: Okay. Well, in any case, Mr.
19	Payment, you do meet with Mr. Duncan later that evening.
20	Is that right?
21	MR. PAYMENT: That is correct.
22	MS. SIMMS: And then that's at the CAS
23	office?
24	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
25	MS. SIMMS: Okay. At that time, do you

1	understand that Scott Burgess is willing to speak to you?
2	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
3	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So you're going there to
4	conduct an interview?
5	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
6	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So was there a policy or
7	was it your understanding at the time that you'd be
8	conducting a joint interview with the CAS?
9	MR. PAYMENT: We were into that practice by
10	this time, yes.
11	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And was there what was
12	your understanding of the benefits of conducting a joint
13	interview?
14	MR. PAYMENT: Well, for me the benefit was
15	that if CAS was conducting it, they would sometimes maybe
16	have leading questions which we wanted to eliminate. Plus,
17	if they were there for the disclosure and they were doing
18	follow-ups with counselling and stuff, that they would have
19	more of an idea of what had happened.
20	MS. SIMMS: Okay. Would one of the benefits
21	be that the victim would not have to be interviewed
22	multiple times?
23	MR. PAYMENT: Oh yes, sorry, yes.
24	MS. SIMMS: So, at some point, did Mr.
25	Duncan update you on what had occurred throughout the day

1	in terms of who he had spoken to and the information that
2	he had obtained?
3	MR. PAYMENT: Just briefly that he had spoke
4	to Scott and that he named that his brother may also be a
5	victim.
6	MS. SIMMS: Did he tell you about going to
7	the Burgess' house and speaking to the parents and Jody
8	there?
9	MR. PAYMENT: Yeah, he told me that he had
10	spoken to the group.
11	MS. SIMMS: And Jody was Scott Burgess'
12	brother?
13	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
14	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So I'm just going to take
15	you to his notes and just ask you if you had been advised
16	of some of the things that are there. Okay? As best as
17	you can recollect.
18	So sorry, we're going back and forth but
19	we're at Exhibit 1559, and Bates page ending 910. Okay?
20	So, this is the they're speaking to Scott
21	at the school, apparently, and we have notes here from that
22	discussion. Do you see that?
23	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
24	MS. SIMMS: And it appears to be quite an
25	extensive discussion, doesn't it?

1	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
2	MS. SIMMS: Was that your impression of what
3	was going to take place at the school? Were you concerned
4	
5	MR. PAYMENT: I wasn't sure how involved the
6	discussion would get other than I was more concerned
7	with him trying to get Scott willing to talk to us.
8	MS. SIMMS: But, you asked him not to get
9	into details, is that right?
10	MR. PAYMENT: Why I asked him not to try
11	and get involved in detail but sometimes that just happens.
12	MS. SIMMS: Sure.
13	MR. PAYMENT: And to be careful with his
14	line of questioning.
15	MS. SIMMS: So, just a couple of things in
16	these notes, Mr. Payment. On the third bullet down,
17	there's a note that says:
18	"Sometimes sleep overnight there, not
19	on school days."
20	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
21	MS. SIMMS: Were you advised by Mr. Duncan
22	that at least Scott and maybe the other children were
23	spending nights with Mr. Leblanc?
24	MR. PAYMENT: I don't recall being advised
25	of that, no.

1	MS. SIMMS: You don't recall?
2	And that could have some significance in
3	terms of what kind of role Mr. Leblanc had with the
4	children; whether he was whether they were under his
5	care for any significant period of time?
6	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
7	MS. SIMMS: And there is one further note at
8	Bates page 912. And if you look down to the where
9	there's halfway through the page it starts, "Jean-Luc."
10	MR. PAYMENT: M'hm.
11	MS. SIMMS: You see that?
12	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
13	MS. SIMMS: And it appears that there is an
14	allegation there with respect to a different sexual act, do
15	you see that
16	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
17	MS. SIMMS: with respect to anal sex?
18	Were you advised of that?
19	MR. PAYMENT: No.
20	MS. SIMMS: You weren't advised of that?
21	MR. PAYMENT: No.
22	MS. SIMMS: And we're talking about when
23	you're discussing this with Mr. Duncan at the CAS office
24	and he didn't advise you at that time of that allegation?
25	MR. PAYMENT: Not that I recall, no.

1	MS. SIMMS: Did he advise you at a later
2	time of that allegation?
3	MR. PAYMENT: Actually, this is surprising
4	me, I I don't recall ever hearing that.
5	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So if you'll just
6	continue on the notes, there's a note at Bates page 916.
7	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
8	MS. SIMMS: And it's 5:00 p.m. home visit
9	is the note that I'm looking at. Do you see that?
10	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
11	MS. SIMMS: So that would be before you were
12	called into the CAS office, right?
13	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
14	MS. SIMMS: So, this is a visit, it appears,
15	by Mr. Duncan to the Burgess home?
16	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
17	MS. SIMMS: Okay.
18	And it appears from his note that he
19	interviews Jody Burgess on that visit?
20	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
21	MS. SIMMS: Okay.
22	Were you aware that he had interviewed Jody?
23	Did he advise you of that when he came to the CAS office?
24	MR. PAYMENT: He didn't get into detail. He
25	advised me that he did speak to Jody.

1	MS. SIMMS: And you can see in that
2	interview with Jody there's mention of further allegations
3	about an individual named Bill?
4	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
5	MS. SIMMS: Okay. Did he advise you that
6	allegations were made against a second individual?
7	MR. PAYMENT: I had heard and I don't
8	recall if it was Mr. Duncan or if in talking to one of the
9	boys that he was also a possible abuser.
10	MS. SIMMS: Okay.
11	And there's in his notes there is a
12	mention from his speaking to Jody that a lot of little kids
13	go over and they're talking about Bill's place at this
14	point?
15	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
16	MS. SIMMS: And there's mention that of
17	abuse?
18	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
19	MS. SIMMS: And there's mention that Bill
20	has pictures of many little kids?
21	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
22	MS. SIMMS: Is that all information that you
23	became aware of?
24	MR. PAYMENT: No, the only thing I had
25	and that's why I'm inclined to believe it came from one of

1	the boys
2	MS. SIMMS: M'hm.
3	MR. PAYMENT: was that he was an abuser
4	but when questioned they didn't indicate that they were
5	victims of his.
6	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So, we'll get to your
7	discussions
8	MR. PAYMENT: Okay.
9	MS. SIMMS: with the boys. Just if you
10	could flip the page to 917? This is still on the home
11	visit for Mr. Duncan.
12	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
13	MS. SIMMS: And you see halfway down the
14	page there's a mention of Scott, again? You see that?
15	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
16	MS. SIMMS: And it it appears that
17	they're having a further conversation with Scott about the
18	abuse?
19	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
20	MS. SIMMS: So this would be the second time
21	that day that he'd been asked questions about the abuse?
22	MR. PAYMENT: Yeah.
23	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And again, just on the
24	bottom of the page there's an allegation regarding anal
25	sex?

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Does he agree?
2	Have you agreed? I didn't hear the
3	response. Do you agree that this was the second time Scott
4	was interviewed that day?
5	MR. PAYMENT: By Mr. Duncan? Yes.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
7	MS. SIMMS: And at the bottom of the page,
8	Mr. Payment, there's another mention of allegation of anal
9	sex?
10	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
11	MS. SIMMS: Okay.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Were you made aware of
13	that allegation?
14	MR. PAYMENT: The best I can recall, sir,
15	was that it was more fellatio and I don't recall having
16	heard anything about any anal sex.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
18	Thank you.
19	MS. SIMMS: So if we can go back to your
20	notes Mr. Payment, and that's Exhibit 1558. And you have
21	notes on the bottom of the first page about being called
22	back into the Children's Aid Society office.
23	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
24	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So and you've said you
25	had a brief discussion with Mr. Duncan about what he had

1	done during the day?
2	MR. PAYMENT: It was very brief. I as I
3	say I was more concerned with whether he got through to
4	Scott to see if he was willing to see me.
5	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So you went to the CAS
6	office and Scott Burgess was there, is that right?
7	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
8	MS. SIMMS: And you interviewed him at that
9	time?
10	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
11	MS. SIMMS: Okay. Were you interviewing him
12	alone, or where Bruce Duncan or Dawn Raymond present?
13	MR. PAYMENT: Dawn Raymond wasn't present, I
14	believe Bruce was.
15	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And we don't have in your
16	notes any details of that interview. I understand you took
17	a statement during the course of that interview?
18	MR. PAYMENT: That is correct.
19	MS. SIMMS: I'm going to ask you to look at
20	a document that is already an Exhibit. It's Exhibit Number
21	86.
22	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
23	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
24	MS. SIMMS: And you can see this is a typed
25	Will-State regarding Scott Burgess?

1	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
2	MS. SIMMS: I take it, when you took the
3	statement at the CAS office, it would have been a
4	handwritten statement?
5	MR. PAYMENT: That is correct.
6	MS. SIMMS: Did you write it out yourself
7	while Scott was talking to you?
8	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
9	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And you had him confirm
10	it and sign it?
11	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
12	MS. SIMMS: Okay. Is this statement that's
13	typed here, was this prepared by you as well?
14	MR. PAYMENT: This is just the typewritten
15	from my handwritten and it's used this way so that whenever
16	we draw up the Crown briefs it can be put in already typed
17	up.
18	MS. SIMMS: So is this a verbatim
19	transcription of what, the handwritten statement?
20	MR. PAYMENT: As far as I can recall, yes.
21	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And have you reviewed
22	this statement
23	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
24	MS. SIMMS: recently? So this statement
25	refers to multiple acts of sexual abuse over a number of

1	years. Is that right?
2	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
3	MS. SIMMS: And the specific act that's
4	referenced here is fellatio.
5	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
6	MS. SIMMS: There's no reference to other
7	sexual acts?
8	MR. PAYMENT: No.
9	MS. SIMMS: Okay. While you're talking with
10	Scott at the CAS office, did you ask him whether there was
11	other sexual acts involved?
12	MR. PAYMENT: I can't see not asking him,
13	but I really can't recall whether I did or did not.
14	MS. SIMMS: Do you recall asking him whether
15	there were other children that he was aware of that visited
16	Jean-Luc Leblanc or that were involved in the abuse?
17	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
18	MS. SIMMS: And did he provide you with some
19	names?
20	MR. PAYMENT: He mentioned his brother and I
21	believe he mentioned a few other names.
22	MS. SIMMS: Where would that be recorded,
23	Mr. Payment?
24	MR. PAYMENT: Unfortunately, those are notes
25	that were done on a looseleaf

1	MS. SIMMS: Okay.
2	MR. PAYMENT: and I don't know if we
3	still have them or not.
4	MS. SIMMS: Well, as I understand it, and we
5	can get to this, the information we have is that the file
6	from the Cornwall Police Service was destroyed pursuant to
7	document retention policies.
8	MR. PAYMENT: Apparently.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr
10	MR. MANDERVILLE: Mr. Commissioner, I
11	believe document 737019 is additional notes of Mr. Payment
12	that has some names listed.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
14	Do we have that document? Do we have that
15	document? Do we want to look at it now or later or what do
16	we want to do?
17	MS. SIMMS: We can come back to that, Mr.
18	Commissioner.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
20	So just so we can get back on line here, you
21	take as statement from Scott Burgess?
22	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: You're with Mr. Duncan of
24	the CAS?
25	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
2	He doesn't tell you or do you ask him is
3	that all you see, we have the benefit of his notes. So
4	at some point did you turn to him and say, okay, is that
5	about what this child has told you or does he say wait a
6	minute, there's more to this than that or was there any
7	MR. PAYMENT: The best I can answer is that
8	I was under the impression the only thing involved was
9	fellatio.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
11	MS. SIMMS: Just some further questions
12	about your interview with Scott, and this is the third
13	interview he'd have had that day; right?
14	MR. PAYMENT: For Scott, yes.
15	MS. SIMMS: Yeah. Do you recall asking if
16	there were any other people who abused Scott at the time?
17	We've heard a mention of "Bill" previously.
18	MR. PAYMENT: I'm not sure if it was Scott
19	or Jody. I really can't recall where this Bill character's
20	name came to me, but there was no actual he did this, he
21	did that. It was just, well, I've heard that he's an
22	abuser also.
23	MS. SIMMS: Okay, well why don't we the
24	notes that we looked at from Bruce Duncan referred to some
25	specific sexual acts alleged by Jody?

1	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
2	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So when you say there was
3	no specific allegations, are you saying you didn't have
4	information about those allegations because they were it
5	appears
6	MR. PAYMENT: With regards to Bill?
7	MS. SIMMS: With regards to Bill.
8	MR. PAYMENT: I had nothing other than it
9	was a rumour that he was an abuser.
10	MS. SIMMS: So you're saying when Bruce
11	Duncan's notes mention at the home visit and he talked to
12	Jody maybe we should just look at those it's Exhibit
13	1559.
14	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
15	MS. SIMMS: And it's Bates page ending 916.
15 16	MS. SIMMS: And it's Bates page ending 916. And you can see in the description there's
16	And you can see in the description there's
16 17	And you can see in the description there's specific allegations of abuse at that time. Do you see
16 17 18	And you can see in the description there's specific allegations of abuse at that time. Do you see that?
16 17 18 19	And you can see in the description there's specific allegations of abuse at that time. Do you see that? MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
16 17 18 19 20	And you can see in the description there's specific allegations of abuse at that time. Do you see that? MR. PAYMENT: Yes. MS. SIMMS: You're not present at that
16 17 18 19 20 21	And you can see in the description there's specific allegations of abuse at that time. Do you see that? MR. PAYMENT: Yes. MS. SIMMS: You're not present at that interview?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And you can see in the description there's specific allegations of abuse at that time. Do you see that? MR. PAYMENT: Yes. MS. SIMMS: You're not present at that interview? MR. PAYMENT: No.

I	MS. SIMMS: So let's get back to your
2	meeting with Scott. So you don't recall whether there was
3	a discussion of Bill during this meeting with Scott?
4	MR. PAYMENT: As I said, I can't recall who
5	informed me, whether it was Scott or Jody, that he might
6	also be one, an abuser.
7	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And do you recall any
8	discussion of whether there were overnight stays at Jean-
9	Luc Leblanc's house?
10	MR. PAYMENT: There was mention of that but
11	I'm not sure. Again, I believe it was Scott that indicated
12	that to me.
13	MS. SIMMS: And did that not make it into
14	the statement that you wrote?
15	MR. PAYMENT: I don't believe the overnight
16	issue was in his statement.
17	MS. SIMMS: And what about trips with Jean-
18	Luc Leblanc? Did you ask him if he had gone on any trips
19	with Jean-Luc Leblanc?
20	MR. PAYMENT: He had mentioned something
21	about a trip to Montreal or something to that effect with
22	regards to actually, I believe it was to pick up Ms.
23	Raymond at the airport.
24	MS. SIMMS: Right. What about any trips
25	where he would have stayed in Jean-Luc Leblanc's care?

1	Like camping trips or cottage trips?
2	MR. PAYMENT: There was talk of other trips
3	that were taken, yes.
4	MS. SIMMS: And those aren't reflected in
5	the Will State Statement?
6	MR. PAYMENT: No, they're not.
7	MS. SIMMS: And those, as we discussed,
8	might be important in terms of a full understanding of the
9	relationship between Leblanc and the children?
10	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: And the extent of the
12	abuse?
13	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
14	MS. SIMMS: Do you recall when you were
15	speaking with Scott if, just to cover your bases, you asked
16	him if there was anything he had disclosed already to CAS
17	that he hadn't told you?
18	MR. PAYMENT: No.
19	MS. SIMMS: And do you recall following up
20	with Mr. Duncan after this interview and asking if there's
21	any information he had that you hadn't received during this
22	interview?
23	MR. PAYMENT: I don't recall speaking to Mr.
24	Duncan after.
25	MS. SIMMS: So was there anything further

42

1	that occurred at the Childrens Aid Society office that
2	evening after you finished taking the statement?
3	MR. PAYMENT: I believe Mrs. Raymond did a
4	statement on her own while we were doing the other
5	interview.
6	MS. SIMMS: And so she was you weren't
7	involved in taking that statement with her? She wrote it
8	out herself. Is that right?
9	MR. PAYMENT: She wrote it herself but then
10	I reviewed it with her and went over it with her and then
11	signed it.
12	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So I'm going to and,
13	again, that would have been a handwritten statement; right?
14	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
15	MS. SIMMS: Okay. I'm going to ask you to
16	look at another document that was already made an exhibit.
17	And it's Exhibit 98, Document Number 107069.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: So that would be the
19	statement of Dawn Raymond?
20	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
21	MS. SIMMS: That's right. So this is the
22	statement you're discussing?
23	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
24	MS. SIMMS: And is the typed version of what
25	she had written out by hand that night?

1	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
2	MS. SIMMS: And as best you can recall, it
3	would be a verbatim transcription?
4	MR. PAYMENT: To the best of my knowledge,
5	yes.
6	MS. SIMMS: Okay. There's just a couple of
7	things in this statement I want to draw your attention to.
8	If you look at the second paragraph. It
9	starts with "Last summer".
10	MR. PAYMENT: M'hm.
11	MS. SIMMS: And then a couple lines down it
12	says:
13	"On many occasions he took me to
14	Buckingham."
15	You see that?
16	MR. PAYMENT: No, I'm sorry.
17	MS. SIMMS: Sorry, it's the if you start
18	on the paragraph that says "Last summer"
19	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
20	MS. SIMMS: four lines down
21	MR. PAYMENT: Oh yes, okay.
22	MS. SIMMS: Okay?
23	MR. PAYMENT: Sorry.
24	MS. SIMMS: "On many occasions he took
25	them to Buckingham."

1	Do you see that?
2	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
3	MS. SIMMS: He refers to them sleeping
4	together in a tent?
5	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
6	MS. SIMMS: So there is a mention of trips
7	with Jean-Luc Leblanc in this statement?
8	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
9	MS. SIMMS: And the statement also continues
10	to that same paragraph talks about the frequency of
11	calls Ms. Raymond received from Scott when he was calling
12	from Jean-Luc's house?
13	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
14	MS. SIMMS: That's an indication of the
15	frequency of the abuse, potentially?
16	MR. PAYMENT: Possibly, yes.
17	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And again, if you
18	continue down that paragraph, there's a mention of you
19	were talking about the trip to Ottawa to pick up Ms.
20	Raymond from the airport?
21	MR. PAYMENT: Yes. I believe it was
22	Montreal.
23	MS. SIMMS: Sorry, to Montreal. So that's
24	mentioned.
25	And again, it mentions that they both stayed

1	overnight at Jean-Luc Leblanc's home
2	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
3	MS. SIMMS: and that "both" is Scott and
4	Jody Burgess?
5	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
6	MS. SIMMS: Okay.
7	MR. PAYMENT: I believe it was Scott and
8	Jason that went to the airport, not Jody.
9	MS. SIMMS: Thank you. That's Jason Tyo?
10	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
11	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And in her statement at
12	the very end there is a statement that one of the children
13	had disclosed to her an allegation of anal sex. Do you see
14	that?
15	THE COMMISSIONER: It's at the bottom of
16	that first page.
17	MS. SIMMS: Bottom of the first page.
18	MR. PAYMENT: Oh, okay. Yes.
19	MS. SIMMS: So you would have well,
20	actually, if you'll turn to the next page as well? And in
21	that first paragraph there's another allegation regarding
22	anal sex or potentially involving anal sex?
23	MR. PAYMENT: Possible anal, yes.
24	MS. SIMMS: So you are you reviewed this
25	statement with Ms. Raymond; is that right?

1	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
2	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And it would have been
3	after you had interviewed Scott that you reviewed the
4	statement?
5	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
6	MS. SIMMS: Do you recall going back to
7	Scott to ask him any further details about these other
8	sexual acts?
9	MR. PAYMENT: I'm trying to remember. I
10	believe I discussed some of the stuff she had said with
11	Scott, but I can't recall him ever agreeing to say to me
12	that there had been anything anal.
13	MS. SIMMS: So you're saying you went back
14	to Scott after you had prepared this Will-State Statement
15	- Scott's Will-State Statement?
16	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
17	MS. SIMMS: And did you discuss these other
18	aspects, the trips that are mentioned here, the overnight
19	stays with Scott?
20	MR. PAYMENT: Yeah, I discussed it with him
21	and it was basically, "Well, that's when he touched me and
22	that's when we performed fellatio."
23	MS. SIMMS: Well, why wouldn't you have put
24	that into the Will-State Statement, those facts?
25	MR. PAYMENT: An oversight.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: So are you saying to me
2	that you've put it to Scott, questions directly related to
3	whether or not there was anal intercourse?
4	MR. PAYMENT: I recall doing that now, after
5	having read Ms. Raymond's statement. I recall talking to
6	him, but he would not come forward and say anything to me
7	with regards to that.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Mrs. Raymond?
9	MR. PAYMENT: Or Mrs. Raymond, sorry. I
10	read her statement after I interviewed Scott.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
12	MR. PAYMENT: And whenever she mentioned it,
13	I went back and asked him, and he wouldn't repeat it for
14	me. He wouldn't indicate
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Now, is that
16	reflected anywhere in your notes?
17	MR. PAYMENT: I don't believe so.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Where would this have
19	taken place and when?
20	MR. PAYMENT: This was at the CAS office on
21	what I believe would be the $24^{\rm th}$.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Go ahead, please.
23	MS. SIMMS: Mr. Payment, I think earlier
24	when we were discussing the well, just to be clear, the
25	significance of this is there would be possibly different

1	charges laid depending on which sexual act we're talking
2	about. Is that right?
3	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
4	MS. SIMMS: And this is happening in 1986
5	and there's a number of provisions that may be applicable.
6	Is that correct?
7	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
8	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And we were discussing
9	this when we first started discussing this
10	investigation, you didn't recall there being any allegation
11	of anal sex?
12	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
13	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And now you recall having
14	a discussion with Scott Burgess about it specifically?
15	MR. PAYMENT: Having read further on Mrs.
16	Raymond's statement, it I recall now that she had made
17	those comments.
18	MS. SIMMS: So knowing the significance of
19	this other sexual act, did you have any discussions with
20	Bruce Duncan or seek any advice about how you might
21	encourage additional disclosure from the children?
22	MR. PAYMENT: I don't believe so, no.
23	MS. SIMMS: And so this meeting you have
24	with Scott at the Children's Aid Society, is that the last
25	meeting you have with Scott, the last interview you have

1	with him?
2	MR. PAYMENT: I believe I talked to him
3	again, but nothing really formal, just
4	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So what did following
5	your attendance at the Children's Aid Society office, you
6	then went to the Burgess home. Is that right?
7	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
8	MS. SIMMS: And did you who were you
9	with? Were you with Bruce Duncan and Dawn Raymond?
10	MR. PAYMENT: I'm not sure if Mrs. Raymond
11	came with us, but I believe Mr. Duncan would have attended.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Sir, do you have any
13	independent recollection of this or are you relying on your
14	notes?
15	MR. PAYMENT: I'm just relying on
16	actually, I'm assuming that Mr. Duncan would continue to
17	the next stage with me
18	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
19	MR. PAYMENT: but Mrs. Raymond wouldn't
20	have come with us to the residence to interview the
21	brother.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So just to help
23	me, do you remember going to the residence?
24	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. And you're just

1	not sure whether Mrs. Dawn came or not, or you're telling -
2	
3	MR. PAYMENT: I don't believe Mrs. Raymond
4	was there.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
6	You see, the difficulty is, is it because
7	she wouldn't have come or do you have an independent
8	recollection that she wasn't there?
9	MR. PAYMENT: It's just that she probably
10	wouldn't have come. I don't recall if she came or not.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
12	MS. SIMMS: Maybe I can provide some
13	assistance on that point to you. It might refresh your
14	memory. If you want to refer to Bruce Duncan's notes,
15	Exhibit 1559?
16	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
17	MS. SIMMS: And it's Document Number
18	Bates page ending 919. So there's some entries there.
19	Again, we're still on January 24^{th} and there's an entry of
20	6:45, 6:54:
21	"7:10, Constable Payment arrived and
22	got statements."
23	Do you see that?
24	MR. PAYMENT: M'hm.
25	MS. SIMMS: At 9:15 there's a little word

1	written in, but I think it said:
2	"Arrive Scott's home with Scott and
3	Mrs. R. and Constable P."
4	Do you see that?
5	MR. PAYMENT: Okay.
6	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So these are Bruce
7	Duncan's notes, but you don't have any independent
8	recollection of whether or not Ms. Raymond attended?
9	MR. PAYMENT: No.
10	MS. SIMMS: Okay. But it appears from his
11	notes that she did?
12	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, it does.
13	MS. SIMMS: So and you were referring
14	just before we checked that, you were referring to your
15	notes of arriving at the Burgess home, and that's Exhibit
16	1558. And you have a note saying:
17	"We spoke to Mr. and Mrs. Burgess."
18	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
19	MS. SIMMS: Okay. Do you recall what
20	discussions you had with the parents at that time?
21	MR. PAYMENT: It was more to let them know
22	what Scott had already told us and recommending that they
23	be supportive of him with regards to dealing with all of
24	this, that it was difficult for a victim to come forward
25	sometimes without some family support.

1	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And I take it was
2	would have been your understanding that Bruce Duncan, being
3	with the Children's Aid Society, would be maintaining
4	communication with the Burgess' parents on that point?
5	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
6	MS. SIMMS: And I see that one of your
7	purposes appears to have spoken to to go and speak to
8	Jody Burgess as well?
9	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
10	MS. SIMMS: Scott's brother, yes?
11	And so you do meet with him at that time?
12	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
13	MS. SIMMS: Your note says:
14	"Talked to Jody Burgess alone in his
15	bedroom."
16	So do you recall that were you
17	accompanied by Bruce Duncan or Dawn Raymond at that
18	interview?
19	MR. PAYMENT: I believe I was alone at that
20	time just trying to gain his trust to talk to me.
21	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And I take it he was a
22	little uncomfortable and a little reluctant to speak?
23	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
24	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And I assume you had his
25	parents' permission to speak to him as well?

1	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
2	MS. SIMMS: Was were you concerned about
3	meeting with him alone in his bedroom? Was that a
4	comfortable environment to do that?
5	MR. PAYMENT: It's where he was comfortable.
6	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And can you tell us what
7	you were told at this interview? I don't want to get into
8	any details of abuse, but
9	MR. PAYMENT: The only thing he well, he
10	told me of some abuse and then he told me that he wasn't
11	sure if he wanted to go any further with it and that he
12	would think it over.
13	MS. SIMMS: Okay.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: And just for the record,
15	from what I can see here is because he told you that Jean-
16	Luc Leblanc was his friend?
17	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
19	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And that was the so
20	that was the extent of your discussion with Jody that day?
21	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
22	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So, Mr. Payment, we've
23	talked about Scott and Jody Burgess, brothers. The Burgess
24	family was a large family; is that right?
25	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.

1	MS. SIMMS: And there were do you know
2	how many children there were? I think
3	MR. PAYMENT: Offhand, there may have been
4	six or seven.
5	MS. SIMMS: Yeah, I think there were seven.
6	Do you recall when you were speaking to the
7	Burgess' parents, asking them about their other children
8	and whether their other children visited with Jean-Luc
9	Leblanc?
10	MR. PAYMENT: I asked if any of the other
11	kids had ever visited him, yes.
12	MS. SIMMS: You asked them that
13	specifically?
14	MR. PAYMENT: I asked them I can't
15	remember how it was worded, but I remember asking them if
16	they knew of any others.
17	MS. SIMMS: Okay.
18	MR. PAYMENT: Because it had gone from Scott
19	to Jody, and I was wondering if maybe they knew of other
20	kids that might have hung out there.
21	MS. SIMMS: So we know from a later
22	investigation that Scott and Jody's sister was also abused
23	by Jean-Luc Leblanc.
24	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
25	MS. SIMMS: Cindy. Do you recall asking

1	about Cindy either to the boys or to the parents?
2	MR. PAYMENT: No. I recall that I did not
3	ask.
4	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And she was living at
5	home at the time?
6	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
7	MS. SIMMS: I believe she would have been
8	15? Was she 15?
9	MR. PAYMENT: I believe so.
10	MS. SIMMS: Did you speak to her personally
11	in any way?
12	MR. PAYMENT: No.
13	MS. SIMMS: Okay.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Is there any reason why
15	you wouldn't have asked of her?
16	MR. PAYMENT: I don't know if it's tunnel
17	vision, but it would seem that at that time, that we were -
18	- he was involved with boys.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
20	MR. PAYMENT: She was in the kitchen
21	whenever we were talking to the parents, so she was aware
22	of my visit and why I was there.
23	MS. SIMMS: But you wouldn't expect you
24	had some experience with child victims of sexual abuse,
25	right?

56

1	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
2	MS. SIMMS: And you wouldn't expect that
3	they'd necessarily volunteer that information?
4	MR. PAYMENT: Some will; some won't.
5	MS. SIMMS: Right. Well, you couldn't
6	expect that from a child?
7	MR. PAYMENT: No, I didn't come to expect
8	it. I just became hopeful of it.
9	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So you're talking about
10	tunnel vision. I guess it would be fair to say in
11	retrospect it might have been a good idea to speak to the
12	other children in the Burgess home?
13	MR. PAYMENT: It probably would have been.
14	I vaguely recall the father telling me that he felt that
15	Jody and Scott were more vulnerable to this and that the
16	other children were stronger. And I think as a result of
17	that I didn't speak to the others.
18	MS. SIMMS: Well, it's fair to say that
19	there was abuse of Scott and Jody for years before it came
20	to the attention of the Burgess family, right?
21	MR. PAYMENT: That's fair to say, yes.
22	MS. SIMMS: And that the disclosure was to a
23	teacher, in fact, not to the Burgess' parents?
24	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
25	MS. SIMMS: Right. So whether or not he was

1	of that view, it might still warrant further investigation?
2	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, possibly, yes.
3	MS. SIMMS: So if you go back to your notes,
4	Mr. Payment, the following day you meet with Jody Burgess
5	in the morning?
6	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
7	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So I take it he had, like
8	you'd suggested, taken the night to think about it and then
9	agreed to speak to you?
10	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
11	MS. SIMMS: Okay. Was this it's a little
12	bit unclear from your notes, but was this meeting at the
13	police station, or do you recall?
14	MR. PAYMENT: I don't recall exactly where
15	we did meet.
16	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And again, you don't have
17	any details in your notes, but you took a statement from
18	Jody on that morning?
19	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
20	MS. SIMMS: Okay. I'm just going to ask you
21	to quickly look at Exhibit 107. Do you have that document?
22	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
23	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So again, this is a typed
24	Will-State. And again, was this a transcription from the
25	handwritten statement you prepared that day?

1	MR. PAYMENT: It would be, yes.
2	MS. SIMMS: It would be. Okay.
3	And again, it describes multiple incidents
4	of abuse?
5	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
6	MS. SIMMS: And it describes, actually, a
7	frequency of abuse of about once a week; is that right
8	_
9	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
10	MS. SIMMS: for three to four years?
11	Do you recall any discussion with Jody about
12	whether other types of sexual abuse had occurred?
13	MR. PAYMENT: I don't recall getting into
14	that with him.
15	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And again, do you recall
16	any discussion of whether there were other children that
17	were spending time with Jean-Luc Leblanc?
18	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
19	MS. SIMMS: You did have that discussion?
20	And did he provide you with some names?
21	MR. PAYMENT: Again, I had names scribbled
22	on a loose leaf.
23	MS. SIMMS: Okay.
24	MR. PAYMENT: And I don't recall if it was
25	Scott or Jody that gave me those names.

1	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And did you have any
2	discussions with him about trips with Mr. Leblanc, and
3	overnight stays?
4	MR. PAYMENT: I believe so, yes.
5	MS. SIMMS: And were those reflected in this
6	statement?
7	MR. PAYMENT: I don't believe they're in his
8	actual statement, no.
9	MS. SIMMS: It's your understanding that he
10	did, as well as Scott, go on trips and
11	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
12	MS. SIMMS: stay overnight with Jean-Luc
13	Leblanc? Okay.
14	Now we had some discussion about allegations
15	made by the boys against a second person named Bill.
16	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
17	MS. SIMMS: Do you remember that? And I
18	note in your notes of your meeting with Jody Burgess,
19	there's an indication that there were two statements taken.
20	So if you can just look at your notes.
21	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
22	MS. SIMMS: Statement concluded it's
23	around Bates page 919. And that's Exhibit 1558. And right
24	at the tope of the page it's a note from your meeting with
25	Jody Burgess. You see that?

1	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
2	MS. SIMMS: And it says you met, as a result
3	a statement was taken; statement concluded.
4	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
5	MS. SIMMS: And then start a second
6	statement; statement concluded. Do you recall what that
7	second statement was about?
8	MR. PAYMENT: It was an attempt to get him
9	to give me a statement with regards to Bill.
10	MS. SIMMS: Okay. Well, when you say
11	attempt we don't I don't have a copy of that statement
12	so do you recall what was contained in that statement?
13	MR. PAYMENT: There was nothing written. He
14	refused to say anything and the actual four or five
15	minutes, I believe, that I was talking to him was to see
16	that you know, he had already talked to me about one; we
17	might as well deal with the other one also.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: But your notes say,
19	statement "start of second statement."
20	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: "Statement concluded."
22	So I would read in there that there was a statement.
23	MR. PAYMENT: No, I'm sorry. I can
24	understand that but it was an attempt to get a second
25	statement.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
2	MS. SIMMS: I'm just I'm going to ask you
3	to quickly refer back to Bruce Duncan's notes, Exhibit
4	1559. And I know it's Bates page ending 916 regarding the
5	home visit. Back to the home visit that Bruce Duncan had
6	when he spoke to Jody.
7	MR. PAYMENT: Nine one six (916)?
8	MS. SIMMS: Nine one six (916), yeah.
9	Now I know you weren't there at that
10	interview but the notes appear to indicate that when Jody
11	is spoken to by Mr. Duncan he says that Jean-Luc is his
12	friend. You see that?
13	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
14	MS. SIMMS: And you know that when you spoke
15	to him he was hesitant to say anything about Jean-Luc
16	because he was a friend
17	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
18	MS. SIMMS: or he considered him a
19	friend. But he does seem to speak to them in some detail
20	about Bill. You see that?
21	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
22	MS. SIMMS: So it just seemed surprising to
23	me, Mr. Payment, that he appears to be comfortable
24	disclosing that information, less comfortable disclosing
25	information about Jean-Luc Leblanc.

1	And then when you interview him you say he
2	wouldn't provide you with information about Bill.
3	MR. PAYMENT: He wouldn't.
4	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So following your meeting
5	with Jody
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Ms. Simms, could you pick
7	a good time for a break whenever you find it comfortable?
8	MS. SIMMS: Yeah, I'll just just five
9	minutes and then we'll break.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
11	MS. SIMMS: Following your meeting with Jody
12	you meet with Jason Tyo, is that correct?
13	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
14	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And Jason Tyo is a was
15	a friend of the two Burgess children?
16	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
17	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And you'd received his
18	name through this investigation?
19	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
20	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So you note an interview
21	with Jason. Was that a joint interview with the CAS or was
22	that you on your own?
23	MR. PAYMENT: I believe with Jason that I
24	was on my own.
25	MS. SIMMS: And again there's a statement

1	taken.
2	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
3	MS. SIMMS: And just quickly if you could
4	refer to Exhibit 101, and that's Document Number 101068.
5	You have that document?
6	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
7	MS. SIMMS: And is this, again, a
8	transcription of the handwritten statement you would have
9	taken at the time?
10	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
11	MS. SIMMS: And was there a second statement
12	taken that same day?
13	MR. PAYMENT: Again there was an attempt.
14	MS. SIMMS: So there wasn't a you didn't
15	have a handwritten statement taken out?
16	MR. PAYMENT: A second one, no.
17	MS. SIMMS: Right. All right. Maybe this
18	would be a good time, Mr. Commissioner.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Great, thank you. Let's
20	take the morning break.
21	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
22	veuillez vous lever. This hearing will resume at 11:15.
23	Upon recessing at 11:02 a.m./
24	L'audience est suspendue à 11h02
25	Upon resuming at 11:23 a.m./

25

L'audience est reprise à 11h23
THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
veuillez vous lever.
This hearing is now resumed. Please be
seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
BRIAN PAYMENT, Resumed/Sous le meme serment:
EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MS.
SIMMS (cont'd/suite):
MS. SIMMS: Mr. Commissioner, Mr.
Manderville mentioned further notes this morning.
THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
MS. SIMMS: And we have a copy here that
we've distributed to the parties.
THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
MS. SIMMS: So Mr. Payment, I'm going to ask
you to look at Document 737019, which appear to be notes
taken by you.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. This is
Exhibit 1560.
EXHIBIT NO./PIECE NO. P-1560:
(737019) Notes of Brian Payment - 24 Jan 86
MR. PAYMENT: Thank you.
MS. SIMMS: Are these your notes, Mr.
Payment?

65

MR. PAYMENT: Yes.

1	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And they're relating to
2	the same investigation that we're talking about?
3	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
4	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And the first page is
5	undated.
6	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
7	MS. SIMMS: Okay. But it starts at, "14:52
8	H.Q." You see that?
9	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
10	MS. SIMMS: And then, "14:57 Central
11	Public."
12	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
13	MS. SIMMS: So are these notes relating to
14	your to the same day of January 24 th , 1986?
15	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, they are.
16	MS. SIMMS: So can you help us out at all as
17	to when or why these were prepared?
18	MR. PAYMENT: These are these were done
19	on a looseleaf and may have just been notes that I scribed
20	and then later put them into my bound notebook.
21	MS. SIMMS: Okay, so just looking at this
22	first page does it seem to you these are rough notes that
23	you would have made at the time you were attending at
24	Central Public?
25	MR. PAYMENT: It may some of this may

1	have been at Central Public. Some of it may have been as
2	it went on, as I got names given to me.
3	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So there's some names
4	here and they were did you speak to the individuals that
5	are named here?
6	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
7	MS. SIMMS: Did were there any
8	allegations of abuse made by these individuals?
9	MR. PAYMENT: No, none.
10	MS. SIMMS: So they were names given to you
11	as boys who might know something about this or possibly be
12	witnesses in some way?
13	MR. PAYMENT: Possibly witnesses or victims
14	is what I was told. And then when I interviewed them they
15	indicated nothing about being a victim.
16	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So on this note anyway,
17	on the first page there's Scott Burgess' name, Jean-Luc
18	Leblanc's name, a number of other names of boys. Dawn
19	Raymond's name and underneath her name is a list of other
20	boys' names. Do you see that?
21	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
22	MS. SIMMS: Is it possible you got those
23	names from Dawn Raymond or from the CAS?
24	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
25	MS. SIMMS: It's possible. Do you recall

1	whether that's the route you got those names?
2	MR. PAYMENT: As I said, some of them may
3	have come from Mrs. Raymond, some may have come from Mr.
4	Duncan. And actually, because of the time factor, I would
5	believe it probably came from them and not Scott and Jody.
6	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And on the second page,
7	Mr. Payment, again this is dated January $24^{\rm th}$, '86.
8	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
9	MS. SIMMS: So and the first portion
10	seems to be more or less what was written in the notes
11	we've already looked at about your attendance at Central
12	Public School.
13	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
14	MS. SIMMS: And at the bottom of the page
15	there's a bit more detail regarding your interview with
16	Scott Burgess at the Children's Aid Society.
17	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
18	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So just before the break,
19	we were discussing you had the events of January $25^{\rm th}$,
20	1986.
21	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
22	MS. SIMMS: And you had met with Jody
23	Burgess and then with Jason Tyo?
24	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
25	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And following your

1	meeting with Jason Tyo, it appears that you go and attend
2	to speak with Bill MacKinnon; is that right?
3	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
4	MS. SIMMS: What was your purpose in going
5	to meet with Mr. MacKinnon?
6	MR. PAYMENT: Although I didn't have any
7	actual formal complaint, I felt that if the rumour was out
8	there and that if it was strong enough that I was getting
9	it from different people, that I should at least talk to
10	him to let him know that we were possibly aware of what
11	might be going on.
12	MS. SIMMS: Was this something that was
13	this a decision you made on your own or was it
14	MR. PAYMENT: On my own.
15	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So you go and see Bill.
16	Did you do any background checks that you recall of this
17	individual?
18	MR. PAYMENT: On him?
19	MS. SIMMS: Yeah.
20	MR. PAYMENT: No.
21	MS. SIMMS: Was he known to you in any way
22	before that?
23	MR. PAYMENT: No.
24	MS. SIMMS: So when you go and see him, can
25	you tell us what happened at that meeting?

1	MR. PAYMENT: He was in his apartment. I
2	advised him of rumours that we were being notified of,
3	asked him if anything was going on. And he said "No, no,
4	no, not me, not me." And he was just in complete denial.
5	MS. SIMMS: And you say rumours and your
6	notes say if you look at the bottom of the Bates page
7	019, that's your notes of this meeting; is that right?
8	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
9	MS. SIMMS: And it says "He was confronted
10	with allegations." Do you see that?
11	MR. PAYMENT: What yeah.
12	MS. SIMMS: Okay.
13	MR. PAYMENT: Allegations with regards to
14	rumours. Like, I didn't have a specific act or anything
15	that had happened with him.
16	MS. SIMMS: And you mentioned specifically
17	Jason Tyo and others?
18	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
19	MS. SIMMS: And do you know who the others
20	were that you were referring to?
21	MR. PAYMENT: I believe it was Jody that
22	mentioned him and I know Jason did for sure.
23	MS. SIMMS: All right.
24	So when you say in your notes, you're going
25	to confront him with the allegations, were you intending

1	were you investigating this? Is that what you were doing?
2	MR. PAYMENT: There was no formal
3	investigation started.
4	MS. SIMMS: M'hm.
5	MR. PAYMENT: But as I said, on my own, I
6	decided that if, for no other reason than to make him aware
7	that we have some knowledge of if he is into this
8	activity that we were aware that he might be, I guess,
9	maybe to put the fear of the Lord into him.
10	MS. SIMMS: So is it still in your mind at
11	this time that there may be an investigation with respect
12	to this person?
13	MR. PAYMENT: Again, yes. If somebody would
14	have came forward and indicated to me that they were a
15	victim, yes, I would have started an investigation.
16	MS. SIMMS: So you had spoken to these three
17	boys on January $24^{\rm th}$, in the morning of January $25^{\rm th}$. Did
18	you follow up with them with respect to the information you
19	received with respect to Bill?
20	MR. PAYMENT: I tried. They wouldn't say
21	anything about him.
22	MS. SIMMS: Okay. I understand you tried
23	when you spoke to them on this day. I'm asking whether you
24	continued to investigate this particular individual.
25	MR. PAYMENT: No.

1	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And you mention in the
2	notes that he appears to be a bit senile? Do you see that?
3	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
4	MS. SIMMS: What was what were your
5	observations about that?
6	MR. PAYMENT: Well, he was easily confused
7	as to just everyday things that were happening. He asked
8	me if I wanted a coffee and I said "Okay." And he sat
9	there. He didn't get up. And a few minutes later he says
10	"Would you like a coffee?" And he just more or less kept
11	repeating and seemed a little confused as to the day of the
12	week and stuff like that.
13	MS. SIMMS: So that's something you noted in
14	your notes here. Did that have any significance to you
15	with respect to your concerns about these allegations? Did
16	you draw any conclusions from his state of mind?
17	MR. PAYMENT: I wasn't sure if he was an
18	abuser, but the fact that he was senile didn't sway me one
19	way or the other, other than the fact that I knew I had to
20	get somebody to come forward.
21	MS. SIMMS: Okay. Would it be so you go
22	directly to speak to Bill and you didn't take that approach
23	with Mr. Leblanc; is that right? We'll see you laid
24	charges and picked him up the next day.
25	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.

1	MS. SIMMS: Is there a reason that you had a
2	different approach with respect to Bill?
3	MR. PAYMENT: I had people coming forward to
4	talk to me, to give me statements.
5	MS. SIMMS: Okay.
6	MR. PAYMENT: So I figured I'd collect all
7	that information before I spoke to him.
8	MS. SIMMS: Okay.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Before you spoke to Mr.
10	Leblanc?
11	MR. PAYMENT: Mr. Leblanc, yes.
12	MS. SIMMS: Did you consider I know when
13	you first spoke to Jody, we've discussed, on January $24^{\rm th}$,
14	he was hesitant at first to make a statement. You gave him
15	the night to think about it and he thought about it and
16	came back. Did you consider giving taking the same
17	approach with these allegations?
18	MR. PAYMENT: With regards to Bill?
19	MS. SIMMS: Yeah.
20	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, but they insisted that
21	they had nothing to offer.
22	MS. SIMMS: Well, that's on the day you're
23	talking to them here, January 25 th , right?
24	MR. PAYMENT: I spoke to them again. I
25	don't know if I even made note of it, but I remember

1	speaking to them again, asking them and
2	MS. SIMMS: I don't believe there is any
3	notes of it. And if you were going to follow up the same
4	way you'd been following up with Jean-Luc Leblanc, why
5	would you go and see Bill before you had explored the
6	possibility of getting statements from the kids?
7	MR. PAYMENT: Because I had already spoken
8	to kids about a statement. They had already refused, and I
9	figured while they were thinking about it, I might as well
10	go and talk to him and let him be aware of what's going on
11	so that, if nothing else, maybe it would stop his
12	activities.
13	MS. SIMMS: Okay. We saw from the notes
14	you'll remember we looked at Bruce Duncan's notes regarding
15	Jody
16	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
17	MS. SIMMS: when he first talked to Jody
18	about Bill, and I think you'll recall, and I can take you
19	there if you don't, that he mentioned photographs of
20	children?
21	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
22	MS. SIMMS: And do you recall receiving that
23	information from Bruce Duncan that Jody declared there were
24	photographs.
25	MR. PAYMENT: I don't recall photographs,

1	no.
2	MS. SIMMS: Okay. But you'd agree with me
3	if you had that information, would that be a consideration
4	about going and talking to Bill informally like this?
5	MR. PAYMENT: It would be, yes.
6	MS. SIMMS: Because wouldn't there be a
7	possibility that, you know, he might take some steps to
8	if there were photographs, he might take some steps to get
9	rid of those photographs?
10	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
11	MS. SIMMS: And do you have any further
12	discussion with Bill following this meeting in the
13	afternoon?
14	MR. PAYMENT: No.
15	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So I'm going to ask you
16	to look at the next page of your notes, Mr. Payment. And
17	your note I guess you're on the afternoon shift, right?
18	They start at 1:00 p.m.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: What page, please?
20	MS. SIMMS: Oh, I'm sorry, it's Bates page
21	020 of Exhibit 1558.
22	And you note a meeting with the Crown Don
23	Johnson?
24	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Hold on a second. Okay.

1	I'm sorry, the page number again?
2	MS. SIMMS: Bates page ending 020.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
4	MS. SIMMS: Okay?
5	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
6	MS. SIMMS: So you're meeting with Don
7	Johnson about the Leblanc case; is that right?
8	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
9	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And it notes that Mr.
10	Johnson read the statements. Would those be the four
11	statements we were discussing?
12	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
13	MS. SIMMS: And what was your discussion
14	with Mr. Johnson about this case?
15	MR. PAYMENT: Having shown him the
16	statements, then it was a discussion of what appropriate
17	charges we would lay.
18	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And it appears that you
19	agree you and Mr. Johnson agree to charge of gross
20	indecency; is that right?
21	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
22	MS. SIMMS: Do you have a discussion with
23	Mr. Johnson about the mention of anal sex in Dawn Raymond's
24	statement to those allegations?
25	MR. PAYMENT: Not that I can recall.

1	ms. Simms: Okay. But do you recall
2	specifically whether he would have read Dawn Raymond's
3	statement?
4	MR. PAYMENT: He did read it.
5	MS. SIMMS: Do you recall any other
6	information that you would have provided Don Johnson that
7	was not in the statements, and specifically some of the
8	things that we talked about with trips and overnight stays?
9	MR. PAYMENT: I don't recall those things.
10	I know that he was busy. He read the statements, and then
11	we decided or he indicated to me that charges of gross
12	indecency would be appropriate.
13	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And so it was a
14	relatively short meeting you had?
15	MR. PAYMENT: It wasn't very long.
16	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So following well, so
17	at this meeting as well, was there a discussion of the
18	allegations regarding Bill and what you had your meeting
19	with Bill the previous day?
20	MR. PAYMENT: There was discussion, but it
21	was more of a heads-up, "I have information that there
22	might be another abuser and we may have to do an
23	investigation that way."
24	MS. SIMMS: So you weren't discussing
25	possible charges at that point with Mr. Johnson?

1	MR. PAYMENT: No, no.
2	MS. SIMMS: Did he provide you with any
3	advise about that those other allegations?
4	MR. PAYMENT: Other than he had concerns
5	about Bill's age and senility but, no, other than that.
6	MS. SIMMS: What kind of concerns did he
7	express to you about that?
8	MR. PAYMENT: Well, just the fact that I
9	believe Bill was in his seventies at the time, and he had
10	concerns about maybe whether he was competent enough to
11	even stand trial.
12	MS. SIMMS: I take it the information that
13	he had about Bill would be from his this brief
14	discussion with you; is that right?
15	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
16	MS. SIMMS: So are you saying he did you
17	express to him that you thought there was problems with
18	competency?
19	MR. PAYMENT: I think that was more
20	something he was concerned with whenever I mentioned that
21	he showed signs of senility.
22	MS. SIMMS: Right. But I take it you
23	weren't in a position to a make an assessment of
24	competency?
25	MR. PAYMENT: Oh, no.

1	MS. SIMMS: Okay.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: But the bottom line, sir,
3	is it that was conversation leading to "Well, he's old;
4	he's incompetent or may not be competent" and without being
5	said, but "Maybe it's not a good idea to lay charges" or
6	anything like that?
7	MR. PAYMENT: I don't think he ever
8	indicated to me that it wasn't a good idea to lay charges.
9	I think he just said that he had a concern that if there
10	were charges laid, would the defence come up with some kind
11	of an argument on competency?
12	THE COMMISSIONER: I understand.
13	I guess what I'm talking about is unspoken
14	communication, you know, the non-verbal communication
15	between both of you. Was it at the end of the interview
16	or meeting, was it that were you left with the
17	impression, not that he told you not to do this but that,
18	"Well, we may have bigger fish to fry and we'll go on to
19	other things" or
20	MR. PAYMENT: I was left with somewhat of
21	that opinion, yes.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm. Okay. Thank you.
23	MS. SIMMS: And following that conversation,
24	you didn't take any further investigative steps with
25	respect to Bill?

1	MR. PAYMENT: Not that I recall, no.
2	MS. SIMMS: So if you're unsure about it and
3	you're holding off you mentioned that this was
4	information that you now had in case something developed
5	down the road. Is that fair to say? So if there were
6	further complaints down the road, you would identify that
7	and link it with this previous case. Is that fair?
8	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
9	MS. SIMMS: But you weren't actively
10	investigating?
11	MR. PAYMENT: No.
12	MS. SIMMS: Was there if a complaint came
13	to you, you'd make that link, I would assume, from your
14	memory of what happened. Is that fair?
15	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
16	MS. SIMMS: Would there have been if a
17	complaint had come in later, not to you, to some other
18	member of the Force, would there have been a way for them
19	to find this information?
20	MR. PAYMENT: Probably without an
21	occurrence, no.
22	MS. SIMMS: So if you had heard of it, you
23	would have been able to make the link, but another officer
24	wouldn't?
25	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, it begs the
2	question: Did you file an occurrence report with respect
3	to Bill?
4	MR. PAYMENT: No.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Was it not a practice to
6	open an occurrence report on this kind of thing so that
7	people would have I thought we talked about cards at
8	some point.
9	MS. SIMMS: Contact cards.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
11	And so if somebody came up and you'd look
12	up, wouldn't that have been an appropriate thing to do so
13	that it would at least expose that incident to others?
14	MR. PAYMENT: Yes. In hindsight I probably
15	should have made the occurrence.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank you.
17	And is there a reason why you didn't?
18	MR. PAYMENT: Other than continuing on with
19	the other investigation, I just it's something that I
20	just never thought of at the time.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank you.
22	MR. PAYMENT: There is a file that we could
23	use that I could have used, now that I think about it.
24	It was just under police information
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. Right.

1	MR. PAYMENT: and I could have made an
2	occurrence, but unfortunately I didn't.
3	MS. SIMMS: You're not aware of any further
4	no further complaints came to your attention on this
5	individual?
6	MR. PAYMENT: About him, no. Actually, he
7	died shortly after.
8	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So back to Jean-Luc
9	Leblanc. You had spoken to the Crown. You had discussed
10	the appropriate charges. So you then laid an information
11	that day?
12	MR. PAYMENT: The information was laid, yes.
13	MS. SIMMS: Okay. I'm going to take you to
14	another document which is the information, and it's
15	Document Number 728137.
16	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
18	Exhibit 1561 is an information
19	information is sworn on the $27^{\rm th}$ of January 1986.
20	MS. SIMMS: Mr. Commissioner, I think it
21	should be Exhibit 1562. Is that am I mistaken? Sorry,
22	maybe that was my mistake. Sorry.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah, 560 is the notes
24	that Mr. Manderville offered up.
25	MS. SIMMS: Right.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm. And so 1561 is a
2	copy of the information.
3	MS. SIMMS: All right.
4	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. 1561:
5	(728137) Information of Cst. Brian Payment -
6	27 Jan, 86
7	MS. SIMMS: Do you have that document, Mr.
8	Payment?
9	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
10	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And you'll note that
11	there are a number of notes handwritten notes on this
12	on the second and third page. Do you see that?
13	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
14	MS. SIMMS: These aren't your notes, are
15	they?
16	MR. PAYMENT: No, they're not.
17	MS. SIMMS: Those are from the Crown? Okay.
18	But this is the information that you swore
19	that day?
20	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, it is.
21	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And it reflects three
22	counts of gross indecency, one count with each boy. Is
23	that right?
24	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
25	MS. SIMMS: Okay. Was this were you

1	intending, when you charged Jean-Luc Leblanc, to encompass
2	the multiple acts of sexual abuse that occurred?
3	MR. PAYMENT: You mean by combining them on
4	the one information?
5	MS. SIMMS: Well, for each of the boys
6	you've heard that they were abused frequently and over a
7	number of years, right?
8	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, that was the intention of
9	the Crown.
10	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And so when in the
11	information it refers to an act of gross indecency is that
12	supposed encompass multiple acts?
13	MR. PAYMENT: It will, yes.
14	MS. SIMMS: And the charges are specifically
15	in relation to the act of fellatio. Is that right?
16	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
17	MS. SIMMS: And we've already discussed
18	there might have been other charges if you had statements
19	with respect to anal sex?
20	MR. PAYMENT: There would have been other
21	charges, yes.
22	MS. SIMMS: It would have been a different
23	provision.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Back then, sir, were you
25	aware did you recognize a difference between in

1	gravity of the offence between an act of fellatio and one
2	of anal intercourse?
3	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, for the record, and
5	what was it? Was one more serious than the other?
6	MR. PAYMENT: I would say so, yes.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: And which one?
8	MR. PAYMENT: The anal was probably more
9	serious.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
11	MS. SIMMS: If I can ask you to refer back
12	to your notes again. It's Exhibit 1558 and the Bates
13	page 020.
14	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
15	MS. SIMMS: So you laid the information and
16	then you went and arrested Jean-Luc Leblanc. Is that
17	right?
18	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
19	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And can you tell me what
20	happened following his arrest?
21	MR. PAYMENT: He was transported to police
22	headquarters and asked to contact a lawyer.
23	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And he did that?
24	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, he did.
25	MS. SIMMS: And I see from your notes that

1	you I take it you were trying to get a statement from
2	him?
3	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
4	MS. SIMMS: And he refused to provide a
5	statement at that time?
6	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
7	MS. SIMMS: So having done that, I guess
8	your next decision was to release Mr. Leblanc?
9	MR. PAYMENT: After the attempts at a
10	statement, yes.
11	MS. SIMMS: Yeah. And how was how would
12	arrangements for his release be made or how were they made?
13	MR. PAYMENT: He was released through a
14	Justice of the Peace.
15	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And that was?
16	MR. PAYMENT: Justice of the Peace Jodoin.
17	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So I'm going to refer you
18	to another document, another new document. It's Document
19	Number 114623, and we're just jumping ahead a bit in time
20	because this document is the brief that you prepared for
21	the Crown.
22	It's 114 oh, sorry, maybe I said it wrong
23	114263, Thank you.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
25	Exhibit Number 1562 is what we call a Crown

1	Brief, Regina v. Jean-Luc Lebianc.
2	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1562:
3	(114263) Crown Brief - Regina v. Jean-Luc
4	Leblanc
5	MS. SIMMS: So, Mr. Payment, this is a brief
6	that you would have prepared for the Crown in the course of
7	the prosecution. Is that right?
8	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
9	MS. SIMMS: Okay. Just right now I'm going
10	to ask you to refer you to the Bates page ending 673.
11	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
12	MS. SIMMS: So this is an undertaking given
13	to a justice or a judge?
14	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
15	MS. SIMMS: So this would have been the
16	release document that was prepared for Mr. Leblanc that
17	day?
18	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
19	MS. SIMMS: Okay. Would you, yourself, have
20	prepared this document, filled in the blanks?
21	MR. PAYMENT: No.
22	MS. SIMMS: Who would who did that?
23	MR. PAYMENT: Whoever the station duty
24	officer was at the time.
25	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And do you recall who

1	that was?
2	MR. PAYMENT: No, I don't.
3	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And I take it that was
4	the normal practice, that the would he be called the
5	Officer-in-Charge of the station at the time?
6	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
7	MS. SIMMS: The normal practice would be
8	that would be the individual who would prepare the
9	releases?
10	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, because once he's in the
11	station, he's in custody and the Officer-in-Charge has the
12	release authority.
13	MS. SIMMS: Was there any discussion with
14	the Crown or other officers about the appropriate release
15	for Jean-Luc Leblanc, whether he should be held, whether he
16	should be released on his own undertaking?
17	MR. PAYMENT: No, no.
18	MS. SIMMS: So who
19	MR. PAYMENT: Other than my request to the
20	Officer-in-Charge if he was going to be released or if he
21	was going to be held in custody.
22	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So it would be your call?
23	It would be your request to the Officer-in-Charge?
24	MR. PAYMENT: I would notify the Officer-in-
25	Charge of what the charges are against him and then he

terms for the release?

23

24

25

THE COMMISSIONER: No. No, no. Okay.

Now, normally when you do that, who sets the

1	MR. PAYMENT: Myself and the Officer-in-
2	Charge.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Go ahead then, Ms.
4	Simms.
5	MS. SIMMS: So just in terms of the
6	conditions of the release, when you review the undertaking,
7	there's no conditions regarding "Abstaining from
8	Communication". Do you see that?
9	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, there's a
11	there's one in the undertaking but it's not dealt with.
12	This is a standard form where there are
13	standards clauses and one of the standard clauses is
14	"Abstain from communication with" so-and-so and that's
15	left blank.
16	MS. SIMMS: Right. So, Mr. Payment, there
17	wasn't you didn't include in that or the Officer-in-
18	Charge neither the Officer-in-Charge nor yourself
19	included in that a condition that he abstain from
20	communicating with the victims or with other young people.
21	Is that correct?
22	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
23	MS. SIMMS: Do you recall specifically
24	making a decision on that issue?
25	MR. PAYMENT: No.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Was it in your when
2	you were laying charges against people for sexual abuse or
3	was it your habit not to put in "Not to communicate with
4	victims"?
5	MR. PAYMENT: No, I have made conditions
6	like that and I'm
7	THE COMMISSIONER: So
8	MR. PAYMENT: looking at this now, I'm
9	not sure why I didn't do it this time.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
11	MS. SIMMS: So looking at it, you think now
12	it ought to have included that condition?
13	MR. PAYMENT: Oh, definitely.
14	MS. SIMMS: So this is how Mr. Leblanc was
15	released. What did you do following his release? Sorry,
16	I'll refer you back to your notes.
17	MR. PAYMENT: Once he had signed everything
18	and then I was transporting him back to his residence.
19	MS. SIMMS: And there's reference to this in
20	your notes, which are Exhibit 1558, at Bates page ending
21	021?
22	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
23	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And can you just tell us
24	what happened on that ride. You had a discussion with Mr.
25	Leblanc?

1	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, he asked me why the kids
2	went to the police and I just didn't answer, but I imagine
3	that they felt it was wrong or I felt or they felt
4	uncomfortable.
5	And then he made a statement back as to me
6	saying that they might have felt uncomfortable and he
7	indicated, "If they felt uncomfortable, all they had to do
8	was to tell me not to do it anymore and I would have
9	stopped right away".
10	MS. SIMMS: So I take it, you took that to
11	be a significant comment by Mr. Leblanc?
12	MR. PAYMENT: Very.
13	MS. SIMMS: And what was the usefulness of
14	that comment from your point-of-view?
15	MR. PAYMENT: To me it was an inculpatory
16	statement.
17	MS. SIMMS: So you noted it verbatim
18	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
19	MS. SIMMS: immediately, did you?
20	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
21	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So this is early this
22	investigation is early in your tenure at the Youth Bureau,
23	but you've subsequently have been involved in other cases
24	where there's allegations of child sexual abuse?
25	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.

1	MS. SIMMS: And so you've had a fair bit of
2	experience in interviewing alleged perpetrators?
3	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
4	MS. SIMMS: Did you find it useful to
5	interview a person when these allegations were made either
6	before or after a charge was laid?
7	Let me just rephrase that. You're speaking
8	to Jean-Luc Leblanc.
9	MR. PAYMENT: Okay.
10	MS. SIMMS: And you received this utterance
11	that is inculpatory. Did you have other experiences where
12	you were interviewing alleged perpetrators of childhood
13	sexual abuse where they made comments that were helpful in
14	the prosecution?
15	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
16	MS. SIMMS: And was that so one of your
17	roles as an investigator was to try and obtain these kinds
18	of admissions?
19	MR. PAYMENT: It's a lot of them were
20	just quick utterances that they would give and as the
21	investigator, I just listened for them sometimes. I did
22	that because I was trying to teach them how. That
23	statement, to me, is something that they would blurt out
24	that I wouldn't actually be trying to obtain, but they
25	would blurt it out.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: You said you used the
2	word "teach"?
3	MR. PAYMENT: The suspect would sometimes
4	say would finally admit that, "Well, yes, I did do that,
5	but I was only trying to teach the child what to do".
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Ah okay, I see. I see
7	what you're saying.
8	MR. PAYMENT: Okay?
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
10	MS. SIMMS: So in your experience, was it
11	particularly useful in dealing with these kinds of offences
12	to talk to the suspect or the person who has been charged?
13	Was it common to receive some kind of important information
14	through an admission or otherwise?
15	MR. PAYMENT: Oh, yes.
16	MS. SIMMS: And was that one of the reasons
17	you went to see Bill?
18	MR. PAYMENT: With hopes that maybe he might
19	blurt something out.
20	MS. SIMMS: There's not many further notes,
21	Mr. Payment, on this matter.
22	There's notes where you talk to two further
23	boys and they're boys who are on that list that we already
24	looked at, and there are no allegations made by those boys?
25	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.

1	MS. SIMMS: That's right.
2	And you don't have any notes following that?
3	MR. PAYMENT: No, none.
4	MS. SIMMS: So you've laid charges. Mr.
5	Leblanc has made a comment that you view as inculpatory.
6	Did you have further communication with the victim or the
7	victim's family to let them know that charges had been
8	laid?
9	MR. PAYMENT: I believe I'm not positive
10	about Jason, but I believe I spoke to Mr. Burgess to let
11	him know.
12	MS. SIMMS: Do you recall when that would
13	have been?
14	MR. PAYMENT: No, I'm sorry.
15	MS. SIMMS: Was there a policy at that time
16	at the Police Force that you would immediately contact the
17	victims or the victim's family?
18	MR. PAYMENT: No, we were just in the early
19	stages of setting up the Victim Assistance Programs and
20	unfortunately the police didn't get back to some of the
21	victims or families often.
22	MS. SIMMS: Right. I'll just quickly refer
23	you back to Bruce Duncan's notes. That's Exhibit 1559 and
24	it's Bates page ending 923.
25	You see there's a call are you on that

1	page, sir?
2	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
3	MS. SIMMS: You see there's a call there, a
4	call to CPD, and it appears to reflect a conversation that
5	perhaps you had with Bruce Duncan. Does that appear
6	correct?
7	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
8	MS. SIMMS: So you do advise Bruce Duncan
9	when he calls that Jean-Luc had come in and that he made
10	this utterance, and he has a note at the bottom that says:
11	"Three counts of sexual assault
12	charges."
13	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
14	MS. SIMMS: That wouldn't have been the
15	exact charge?
16	MR. PAYMENT: No.
17	MS. SIMMS: But do you think you had given
18	him the information that he had been charged at this time?
19	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
20	MS. SIMMS: And it also mentions the first
21	appearance date?
22	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
23	MS. SIMMS: Okay. But you weren't aware or
24	you didn't wouldn't have known what Bruce Duncan was
25	doing with this information?

1	MR. PAYMENT: No.
2	MS. SIMMS: What about with respect to Bill,
3	was there any communication with the families or the
4	victims about what was going to happen or not happen with
5	Bill?
6	MR. PAYMENT: I don't believe I talked to
7	the parents with regards to Bill. I know I talked to the
8	boys. I can't recall talking to the parents, but I believe
9	I may have.
10	MS. SIMMS: I think, again, there is a note
11	from Bruce Duncan on so we're on the same document,
12	Bates page 920. This is the page before.
13	So this is another call that he made to you,
14	it appears, and there's a mention there of if you look
15	three-quarters of the way down the page, it's the paragraph
16	that starts:
17	"Billy is so senile"
18	Do you see that?
19	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
20	MS. SIMMS: "Billy is so senile we may not
21	get anywhere with him. He was very
22	scared and was told they would watch
23	his house."
24	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
25	MS. SIMMS: Was that information you would

1	have given to Bruce Duncan?
2	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
3	MS. SIMMS: But you had no further
4	conversation with the boys or the boys' families about
5	that?
6	MR. PAYMENT: After this, no.
7	MS. SIMMS: So you had discussed this case
8	with the Crown Attorney, Don Johnson?
9	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
10	MS. SIMMS: And was it your understanding
11	that he was going to handle the prosecution as well?
12	MR. PAYMENT: No, the way it went then, he
13	was the head Crown and on the day in question, it would
14	depend on who the Crown was in court, the day of first
15	appearance.
16	MS. SIMMS: So following your discussion
17	with Don Johnson about the charges, did you have further
18	discussions with him about this case?
19	MR. PAYMENT: No.
20	MS. SIMMS: Or with another Crown?
21	MR. PAYMENT: No.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Did Mr. Leblanc break
23	down when you arrested him or on the way back at any time?
24	MR. PAYMENT: No. I think he was nervous,
25	but to break down you mean cry and that?

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I'm just looking at
2	the note of January 28^{th} on Bates page 924, and I realize
3	that that is Mr. Duncan's notes, but he says that he called
4	the mother, and what he's jotted down here is:
5	"Jason has told Scott's teacher and
6	other kids at school. Scott has denied
7	at school. Parents out last night for
8	supper and Jody was crying as detective
9	told him Jean-Luc broke down when they
10	took him in."
11	So did you ever have any conversation with
12	any of the kids on that date or about the arrest?
13	MR. PAYMENT: No.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: No?
15	MR. PAYMENT: No.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
17	MS. SIMMS: So maybe we could refer back to
18	Exhibit 1561, which is the information?
19	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
20	MS. SIMMS: So the information includes the
21	notes from the Crown regarding the trial dates for Jean-Luc
22	Leblanc?
23	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
24	MS. SIMMS: Well, the first appearance date
25	being February 12 th . Do you see that?

1	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
2	MS. SIMMS: And then it has a note for May
3	13^{th} , 1986, notes that he pled guilty to all three counts
4	or pled not guilty to all three counts.
5	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
6	MS. SIMMS: And then I guess the trial date
7	would have been November $6^{\rm th}$, 1986. Do you see that?
8	MR. PAYMENT: Right.
9	MS. SIMMS: And he enters a guilty plea on
10	two of the counts.
11	Were you involved at all or did you appear
12	at any of these court dates?
13	MR. PAYMENT: No, I did not.
14	MS. SIMMS: And were you involved in any
15	discussions with the Crown with respect to a plea or
16	MR. PAYMENT: No.
17	MS. SIMMS: negotiation of a plea?
18	The information indicates that on the
19	November 6^{th} date, the second count was withdrawn. Do you
20	see that?
21	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
22	MS. SIMMS: And it also indicates that on
23	the next page it indicates that there is a suspended
24	sentence and three years probation as a sentence.
25	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.

1	MS. SIMMS: Do you see that?
2	When did did you become aware that that
3	was the conclusion of this matter?
4	MR. PAYMENT: I became aware of the dropping
5	of one charge and the eventual sentencing.
6	MS. SIMMS: And you became aware of that
7	after the fact?
8	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
9	MS. SIMMS: Okay. Do you recall what was
10	your opinion of the end result of this prosecution?
11	MR. PAYMENT: Well, in my opinion, as a
12	police officer, I don't like drop one, plead to two. I
13	would prefer to see them proceed with all three. I also
14	had feelings about which charge was dropped.
15	MS. SIMMS: Was there it was Scott
16	Burgess's charge that was dropped. Is that right?
17	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
18	MS. SIMMS: Did you have a particular
19	concern about that charge?
20	MR. PAYMENT: Explaining to him why his was
21	dropped and not the others.
22	MS. SIMMS: Yeah.
23	MR. PAYMENT: And how he would handle it.
24	MS. SIMMS: Okay.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: So did you speak to Scott

PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	about it?
2	MR. PAYMENT: I spoke to I vaguely recall
3	speaking to Mr. Duncan to see if he could talk to Scott
4	because they were having good rapport with each other, but
5	my concern was Scott being upset that his was not proceeded
6	with.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: So you didn't speak to
8	Scott at any time?
9	MR. PAYMENT: No, I don't believe so.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
11	MS. SIMMS: I'm going to refer you to one
12	further document on this and it's an exhibit already. It's
13	Exhibit 97.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 97?
15	MS. SIMMS: Yes, 97.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Great. Thank you.
17	MS. SIMMS: Document Number 108915.
18	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
19	MS. SIMMS: So this is a probation order
20	with respect to Jean-Luc Leblanc.
21	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
22	MS. SIMMS: Would you when was the first
23	time you saw this document? In preparation for the
24	Inquiry?
25	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.

1	MS. SIMMS: Okay, you didn't see it at the
2	time?
3	MR. PAYMENT: No.
4	MS. SIMMS: Okay. Well, if you'll just look
5	at the second page. It's a little bit hard to read but we
6	were just talking about the release conditions and that
7	there wasn't any condition when we when Jean-Luc was
8	released about abstaining to communicate
9	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
10	MS. SIMMS: in that? And you can here
11	on his probation order it appears that there is no
12	condition with respect to abstaining to communicate in the
13	probation order?
14	MR. PAYMENT: That's right.
15	MS. SIMMS: Were you aware of that at the
16	time?
17	MR. PAYMENT: No, I was not.
18	MS. SIMMS: Okay. Does it cause any concern
19	in retrospect?
20	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: And why is that?
22	MR. PAYMENT: Well, in retrospect now that
23	I've found out that he's re-offended that maybe something
24	should have as in my release papers, I should have had
25	the "no contact".

LOPPIC HI	EAKING
AUDIENCE	PUBLIQUE

1	MS. SIMMS: I just have a I'm going to
2	move on to a very brief question about Earl Landry, Jr.,
3	and I'm going to ask you to look at a new document. It's
4	Document Number 740050.
5	And this is a statement made by you to
6	well, it doesn't say to whom but it's a statement made
7	by you on February 21 st , 2001.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
9	Exhibit P-1583 is a statement from Brian
10	Payment dated February 21 st , '01.
11	EXHIBIT NO./PIECE NO. P-1563
12	(740050) Statement of Brian Payment -
13	February 21, 2001
14	MS. SIMMS: Do you recall who you prepared
15	this statement for, Mr. Payment?
16	MR. PAYMENT: I was approached by a couple
17	of different officers with the OPP and also Sergeant Snyder
18	of our Force had also spoke to me.
19	MS. SIMMS: Were the OPP speaking to you
20	about the Jean-Luc Leblanc investigation or
21	MR. PAYMENT: They spoke to me about Jean-
22	Luc Leblanc and wanted access to my notes and I advised
23	them that subsequently being retired that I had turned them
24	all in.
25	MS. SIMMS: Okay, so you did have an

1	interaction with the OPP
2	MR. PAYMENT: Briefly, yes.
3	MS. SIMMS: regarding Leblanc. Okay.
4	So what we're looking at now is a document
5	and it's regarding Earl Landry, Jr.
6	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
7	MS. SIMMS: So was this the you said that
8	Sergeant Snyder approached you. Is this the subject
9	matter?
10	MR. PAYMENT: I believe it was Sergeant
11	Snyder. Yes, according to my last paragraph.
12	MS. SIMMS: Sorry, Mr. Commissioner, there's
13	a confidentiality issue in this document. It should be
14	marked subject to a publication ban.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Certainly.
16	MS. SIMMS: There's a name of a monikered
17	individual.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
19	MS. SIMMS: C-54.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you.
21	MS. SIMMS: So, just to alert you, sorry,
22	Mr. Payment, that this is a discussion of an investigation
23	you conducted back in the `80s?
24	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
25	MS. SIMMS: Is that right? And the victim

1	has been granted confidentiality measures here. He's
2	referred to as C-54.
3	MR. PAYMENT: Okay.
4	MS. SIMMS: Okay? So let's back up. So
5	this is February 21 st , 2001?
6	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
7	MS. SIMMS: And this is prepared because
8	Sergeant Snyder approached you?
9	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
10	MS. SIMMS: And what did Sergeant Snyder ask
11	you about?
12	MR. PAYMENT: Well, I believed that there
13	was either a complaint or an investigation ongoing with
14	regards to Earl, Jr., and he knew that I had had contact
15	with him with regards to another matter and he wanted to
16	know what had transpired between him and I as a result of
17	that previous investigation.
18	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So you had done a
19	previous investigation with respect to C-54?
20	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
21	MS. SIMMS: That the perpetrator identified
22	in your investigation was not Earl Landry, Jr.?
23	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
24	MS. SIMMS: It was a different individual?
25	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.

1	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And do you recall when
2	you would have conducted that investigation? There isn't a
3	date in particular in this statement.
4	MR. PAYMENT: No, I don't recall exactly
5	when the complaint
6	MS. SIMMS: But it would have been during
7	your time at the Youth Bureau?
8	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
9	MS. SIMMS: So sometime between '86 1986
10	and 1989?
11	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
12	MS. SIMMS: And I see from your statement
13	during that investigation you interviewed Earl Landry, Jr.?
14	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
15	MS. SIMMS: And that was because he knew the
16	individuals and you were just looking for further
17	information?
18	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
19	MS. SIMMS: Okay. Were you aware at the
20	time you conducted that interview that there had been a
21	previous complaint against Earl Landry, Jr.?
22	MR. PAYMENT: I was aware that there was a
23	complaint. The outcome and everything, I wasn't. Because
24	of my involvement with the family, I just basically
25	disassociated myself with the whole thing.

1	MS. SIMMS: So you sorry, so you were
2	aware of a previous investigation at the Cornwall Police
3	Service?
4	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
5	MS. SIMMS: Okay.
6	MR. PAYMENT: I believe it was in the '80s
7	sometime.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: The '80s. And you were
9	aware of it at the time that it was going on?
10	MR. PAYMENT: I knew that there was a
11	complaint and I knew there was an investigation, yes.
12	MS. SIMMS: And you made a point of not
13	becoming involved because you had an association with the
14	family?
15	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
16	MS. SIMMS: Is that fair? Okay.
17	And at this time, were you aware that no
18	charges had been laid with respect to that previous
19	investigation?
20	MR. PAYMENT: At the time that I was
21	interviewing him?
22	MS. SIMMS: Yes. M'hm.
23	MR. PAYMENT: I was aware that they had not
24	proceeded, yes.
25	MS. SIMMS: Okay.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Let me get this straight
2	though.
3	Did you go back and look at any information
4	with respect to that investigation before going to
5	interview the family?
6	MR. PAYMENT: No.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Right, because as far as
8	you're concerned, Earl Landy, Jr. wasn't a suspect in that
9	
10	MR. PAYMENT: In this, not at all.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
12	And just out of I'm not sure but is C-
13	54 was he ever a victim of Earl Landry, Jr.?
14	MS. SIMMS: He was.
15	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
17	MS. SIMMS: In fact, I think he was the
18	victim that in the end was well, I apologize. I'm
19	getting off track.
20	So those are my questions on that document,
21	Mr. Payment. And I just have a few further questions so we
22	can finish up before lunch, hopefully; see how it goes.
23	So I just wanted to ask you a further
24	general question about working at the Youth Bureau. So you
25	were there for a little over three years?

1	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
2	MS. SIMMS: And you handled many cases
3	involving child abuse and child sexual abuse at that time?
4	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
5	MS. SIMMS: Was it your experience that that
6	type of work in particular had an emotional toll on the
7	officers in the Youth Bureau?
8	MR. PAYMENT: I know it had one on me.
9	MS. SIMMS: And during your time there and
10	afterwards, were there services at the Cornwall Police
11	Service that you could access to deal with that emotional
12	toll.
13	MR. PAYMENT: They were always there but
14	members were reluctant to ask for it.
15	MS. SIMMS: And why was that?
16	MR. PAYMENT: Because we were the big
17	policemen with the macho mask and we didn't want to show
18	weakness.
19	MS. SIMMS: So that was part of the
20	environment, generally
21	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
22	MS. SIMMS: of the Force?
23	And I understand when you left the Youth
24	Bureau, Constable Malloy replaced you; is that right?
25	MR. PAYMENT: I believe it was Constable

1	Malloy.
2	MS. SIMMS: Okay. Was there in the
3	turnover of Youth Bureau officers, was there a training
4	period or overlap where you
5	MR. PAYMENT: Here's your desk.
6	MS. SIMMS: Pardon me?
7	MR. PAYMENT: Here's your desk and the other
8	officer like there were two in the branch so the officer
9	would be there and it was basically understood that the new
10	guy, if he had any questions, he would ask the other
11	fellow.
12	MS. SIMMS: Right.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: What about your ongoing
14	files? Were they left with the desk too?
15	MR. PAYMENT: They would be returned back to
16	the officer in charge of CIB and then he would reassign.
17	MS. SIMMS: And so, in following, we've
18	heard Constable Malloy, Constable Sebalj was the next
19	officer assigned to the Youth Bureau.
20	MR. PAYMENT: I don't know the exact
21	sequence but I know Constable Sebalj was eventually put in
22	that branch, yes.
23	MS. SIMMS: And do you recall Constable
24	Malloy or Constable Sebalj ever coming to you for advice as
25	a previous Youth Bureau officer?

1	MR. PAYMENT: No.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Did you ask to be taken
3	out of that CIB or was it how did it come about?
4	MR. PAYMENT: I didn't want to go at the
5	time.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
7	MR. PAYMENT: But in looking back, it was
8	probably better that I was removed.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: And do you know why you
10	were removed?
11	MR. PAYMENT: I was advised that there was a
12	time period and that they were going to start rotating
13	people around and that my time was up.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
15	MS. SIMMS: And did you were you of the
16	view there was any other reason or
17	MR. PAYMENT: I had my own personal opinion,
18	yes.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
20	MR. PAYMENT: You want that?
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
22	MR. PAYMENT: I was an Executive member of
23	the Association at the time and the only two that were
24	moved out was the President and myself.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: And the President was?

1	MR. PAYMENT: John Parisien.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
3	MS. SIMMS: It was him moved out, you mean
4	moved out of CIB, I guess.
5	MR. PAYMENT: We were both transferred out
6	at the same time. And there was other officers that went
7	in with us at the same time that were left in there. So we
8	formed that opinion.
9	MS. SIMMS: Just a quick question regarding
10	Perry Dunlop. You know Perry Dunlop?
11	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
12	MS. SIMMS: And did you work on a team with
13	him together during your career?
14	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, but not very long.
15	MS. SIMMS: Not very long. Okay.
16	You were back in uniform in 1993; right?
17	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
18	MS. SIMMS: So would have heard around the
19	station the some of the talk about the events of the
20	fall of 1993?
21	MR. PAYMENT: Again for the same reasons as
22	with Earl, Jr., I've known Perry since he was a young boy.
23	Like, he grew up across the street from me. And I knew his
24	parents. I knew his mother. And again that was another
25	one that I just I didn't want to get involved in, I

1	didn't want to be associated with.
2	And in '93, '94, I was going through some
3	personal problems where I really wanted to disassociate
4	myself with the police department and anything that was
5	going on.
6	MS. SIMMS: Okay. So you knew Mr. Dunlop
7	personally and you knew him through the Force. Did you
8	have a continuing relationship? Did you meet with him
9	every once in a while? Did you have coffee with him?
10	MR. PAYMENT: We would get together. And he
11	was also an entertainer and whenever I was working managing
12	the our Cornwall Police Club, he would supply
13	entertainment and we'd have to discuss that.
14	MS. SIMMS: Did you note, following the
15	events of 1993, did you notice any difference between
16	with the way other officers were interacting with Constable
17	Dunlop?
18	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
19	MS. SIMMS: And what kind of differences
20	might those be?
21	MR. PAYMENT: Well, there was an issue and
22	people formed their own opinions and some liked him; some
23	hated him. And I guess it's easier to hate louder than
24	like.
25	MS. SIMMS: So there was some tension

1	MR. PAYMENT: Oh yes.
2	MS. SIMMS: at the Force.
3	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
4	MS. SIMMS: Were there some officers that no
5	longer spoke with him?
6	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
7	MS. SIMMS: But you continued to speak with
8	him throughout?
9	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, I did.
10	MS. SIMMS: And did you say that you were
11	you speaking to him on a personal level or were your
12	discussing the matters that he was involved in at the
13	Force?
14	MR. PAYMENT: I never asked him anything
15	about this and he never volunteered. And it just became a
16	non-verbal agreement between us that we weren't going to do
17	it.
18	MS. SIMMS: Okay.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: So you commented about
20	how other officers may have changed because of the issue
21	that arose in 1993, did you see any change in Perry Dunlop
22	from 1993 until he left?
23	MR. PAYMENT: I don't know what term to use,
24	Mr. Commissioner. It was almost like he was walking on
25	glass. He was afraid to say anything to anybody or to do

1	things.
2	And yeah, there was a definite change in
3	him. And to pinpoint exactly what it was, it's hard to say
4	but there was a major change in his disposition even; he
5	wasn't as outgoing as he was.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
7	And just to comment, from 1993 to when he
8	left, was there did it increase in change, did he I
9	know he was off for a while, but did you see any was it
10	an increase in change, diminishing change or any change
11	over time?
12	MR. PAYMENT: I think there was a diminish
13	because it got to the point where he didn't even want to
14	communicate personally.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
16	MR. PAYMENT: Like he just he came in, he
17	did his thing, he went home. And didn't want to talk even
18	to people that he knew were supporting him.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
20	MS. SIMMS: I just have one further
21	document, Mr. Payment. It's Document Number 1117153 and
22	it's a General Occurrence Report regarding a complaint that
23	was made by Perry Dunlop.
24	MR. PAYMENT: Oh, yes.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit Number 1564 is an

1	Occurrence Report; I always have trouble with the date, is
2	it 20 th of March 1997?
3	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-1564:
4	(117153) General Occurrence Report re:
5	Complaint of P. Dunlop - 13 Dec 95
6	MS. SIMMS: I think that was the date, it
7	was printed, Mr. Commissioner. Maybe the better date would
8	be December 13 th , 1995.
9	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, it's right at the top.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
11	MS. SIMMS: So Mr. Payment, you have that
12	document?
13	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
14	MS. SIMMS: And this is can you tell us
15	what this is?
16	MR. PAYMENT: It's a complaint from Perry
17	Dunlop with regards to threats over the telephone.
18	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And so this was an
19	occurrence that was assigned to you?
20	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
21	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And so what can you
22	tell us what you did with respect to this complaint?
23	MR. PAYMENT: As a result of the complaint
24	and speaking to Perry and his wife, I proceeded to identify
25	the person and we went and spoke with her and her mother.

1	MS. SIMMS: And that was Mr. Dunlop had
2	requested that you just go and speak to her; is that right?
3	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
4	MS. SIMMS: So he didn't want further action
5	taken at that time?
6	MR. PAYMENT: At that time, he didn't say
7	anything about further action. He said "Go and see her and
8	her family, talk to them, find out what's going on and get
9	back to me."
10	MS. SIMMS: And you got back to him on that?
11	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, I did.
12	MS. SIMMS: Okay. And what did you advise
13	him?
14	MR. PAYMENT: I advised him that I had
15	spoken to her and her mother. She was under heavy
16	medication because she was a sexual assault victim and that
17	she had stopped taking some meds. And she the mother
18	had agreed that she would keep a better eye on her with
19	regards to her meds and that, hopefully, it wouldn't happen
20	again.
21	MS. SIMMS: And was Perry Dunlop satisfied
22	that no further would be taken on this complaint on that?
23	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
24	MS. SIMMS: So you discussed with him and he
25	was fine with that?

1	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Where did you have that
3	discussion with him?
4	MR. PAYMENT: With Perry?
5	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
6	MR. PAYMENT: At his residence.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: And was there anyone else
8	there when you had that discussion?
9	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, his wife.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm. Thank you.
11	MS. SIMMS: I understand there was,
12	subsequent to this, a further complaint regarding further
13	calls from the same person?
14	MR. PAYMENT: Apparently, I didn't find out
15	about that until I was preparing for the Inquiry.
16	MS. SIMMS: Oh, so you had nothing to do
17	with that
18	MR. PAYMENT: No.
19	MS. SIMMS: investigation?
20	Mr. Payment, I think those are my questions
21	for you.
22	MR. PAYMENT: Thank you.
23	MS. SIMMS: We have asked witnesses if they
24	would like to, they're welcome to make any comments or
25	recommendations for the benefit of the Inquiry.

1	MR. PAYMENT: I think my main comment is
2	that I understand that we're dealing with how it was done
3	in the past; how it's being done now and then
4	recommendations as to how it's going to improve.
5	And if, at any time, if I've any errors or
6	omissions during my period, my only hope is that it will
7	help to make things better in the future.
8	MS. SIMMS: Thank you very much.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much.
10	And we'll take lunch now. All right. Come
11	back at 2:00, sir.
12	MR. PAYMENT: Yeah.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
14	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
15	veuillez vous lever.
16	This hearing will resume at 2:00 p.m.
17	Upon recessing at 12:28 p.m./
18	L'audience est suspendue à 12h28
19	Upon resuming at 2:06 p.m./
20	L'audience est reprise à 14h26.
21	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
22	veuillez vous lever.
23	This hearing is now resumed. Please be
24	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Manson, welcome back.

1	MR. MANSON: Thank you.
2	BRIAN PAYMENT, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
4	MANSON:
5	MR. MANSON: I am one of the counsel for the
6	Citizens for Community Renewal which is an organization of
7	Cornwall citizens concerned about institutional reform;
8	especially the protection of children, and I just a few
9	questions for you.
10	But I read the transcript from yesterday and
11	I understand that you said prior to going back into uniform
12	when you were with the CIB that you were probably involved
13	in about 50 historical sexual assault investigations.
14	MR. PAYMENT: Yeah, that was a guesstimate
15	on
16	MR. MANSON: That's right. Ball park
17	number. And the Commissioner asked you what you meant by
18	historical and you used the cut-off line of five years.
19	MR. PAYMENT: It was just something that
20	came to me. It's just a period of time, like it wasn't
21	recent.
22	MR. MANSON: Yes. Now my question for you
23	is during that period when you were with the CIB,
24	especially with your work with the Youth Bureau you must
25	have been aware that there's a duty on professionals,

1	including police officers, to report to the CAS whenever
2	there was belief on reasonable grounds that a child was at
3	risk. Correct?
4	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
5	MR. MANSON: And was it your understanding
6	that that duty could apply to historical sexual assaults?
7	MR. PAYMENT: It was my opinion that it
8	applied to any sexual assault.
9	MR. MANSON: So in other words, if even
10	if it's a 10, 15 year old occurrence so long as the accused
11	was still in a position of authority or a position of
12	access to children your view was the duty to report was
13	triggered?
14	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
15	MR. MANSON: And so you would be obliged to
16	report that to the CAS?
17	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
18	MR. MANSON: Can you recall ever doing that
19	in historical and I'm not asking you for names but can
20	you recall ever doing that in historical sexual assault
21	case?
22	MR. PAYMENT: Often.
23	MR. MANSON: Often. Thank you.
24	I want to ask you a question about Earl
25	Landry, Jr. My friend Ms. Simms took you to a statement

1	that you gave in the year 2001, and in it you indicated
2	that you stayed once you realized that there was an
3	investigation involving Earl Landry, Jr. you stayed away
4	from that because of your closeness to the family, is that
5	correct?
6	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
7	MR. MANSON: Was this your understanding of
8	an unwritten protocol for police officers?
9	MR. PAYMENT: No, that was my preference.
10	MR. MANSON: That was your preference?
11	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
12	MR. MANSON: I take it there were other
13	officers on the Force who also had relationships with the
14	Landry family, correct?
15	MR. PAYMENT: Well, yes, his father was the
16	Chief.
17	MR. MANSON: But aside from that with his
18	brother, for example?
19	MR. PAYMENT: I believe there might have
20	been others, yes.
21	MR. MANSON: But not everyone shared your
22	view of this, what I called an unwritten protocol?
23	MR. PAYMENT: No.
24	MR. MANSON: Can we move for a minute to the
25	Labelle prosecution? And I want to take you to your chart

1	which is Exhibit 1557 and I don't want to get into any
2	names, Mr. Payment.
3	Most of these names were witnesses during
4	the prosecution, correct?
5	MR. PAYMENT: Most of them are victims, yes
6	MR. MANSON: Yes. And but some of them
7	were not witnesses during the prosecution, correct?
8	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct, they weren't
9	called.
10	MR. MANSON: Now if we start at the bottom,
11	the bottom three are three women over 20, correct?
12	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
13	MR. MANSON: Were any of those three women
14	called as witnesses?
15	MR. PAYMENT: No.
16	MR. MANSON: Was this the topic of your
17	conversation with Crown Attorney McFadden, whether these
18	three or any of them should be called?
19	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
20	MR. MANSON: And it was her view that they
21	should not be called?
22	MR. PAYMENT: That is correct?
23	MR. MANSON: Even though they were mature?
24	MR. PAYMENT: I felt because they were
25	mature it showed similar facts or similar acts, I'm sorry,

1	that it would have been better for us to call them, but no.
2	MR. MANSON: The Crown disagreed?
3	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
4	MR. MANSON: And if we look at the second
5	from the bottom I notice your notation about the offence
6	was:
7	"Untied blouse, also upper chest rubbed
8	under sweater."
9	Correct?
10	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
11	MR. MANSON: Which would be similar to some
12	of the other occurrences with the much younger witnesses?
13	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
14	MR. MANSON: Correct? And is it fair to say
15	that the Crown's view was enough is enough, three more
16	witnesses would be I've seen the phrase "piling on."
17	MR. PAYMENT: That was the term that I was
18	given.
19	MR. MANSON: By the Crown?
20	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
21	MR. MANSON: Can we just move to the Leblanc
22	prosecution in
23	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, Mr. Manson,
24	before can I just follow up?
25	MR. MANSON: Go right ahead, Mr.

1	Commissioner.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
3	Was this to be used as similar fact evidence
4	as opposed to having complainants?
5	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Did you sense a
7	reluctance to deal with similar fact evidence by the Crown?
8	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, definitely.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: And did you get a sense
10	why?
11	MR. PAYMENT: No. As I said she just kept
12	insisting no and she did use the term that all that's going
13	to do is pile on, we have enough victims and they should
14	corroborate each other.
15	MR. MANSON: If I can just follow that up.
16	I take it she didn't say, "I don't think that evidence
17	would be admissible"?
18	MR. PAYMENT: No, she never said that.
19	MR. MANSON: Thank you, Mr. Payment.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, I don't know how
21	I think somebody you might want to consider exploring
22	whether or not the doctrine of similar fact evidence is
23	something that people want to broach or attempt to use in
24	court.
25	MR. MANSON: I thought about that, Mr.

1	Commissioner. Looking at the year here, 1986, it's my view
2	that until the Supreme Court in the case of Handy in 2001 -
3	
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah.
5	MR. MANSON: brought a lot of clarity to
6	it.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
8	MR. MANSON: This was a contentious matter;
9	I accept that, but I'm merely asking the former officer
10	whether it was explained to him in those terms.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Exactly.
12	MR. MANSON: And his evidence is she did not
13	say it was inadmissible. Her view was it was an example of
14	piling on.
15	MR. PAYMENT: That's right.
16	MR. MANSON: That's correct.
17	Thank you.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
19	MR. MANSON: Can we move now to briefly to
20	the Leblanc prosecution in 1986 involving the two Burgess
21	brothers and Jason Tyo?
22	You were the lead investigator in this
23	prosecution, correct?
24	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
25	MR. MANSON: And you prepared the brief for

1	the Crown, correct?
2	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
3	MR. MANSON: I take it from your evidence
4	this morning that you were not involved in any discussions
5	about guilty pleas and withdrawing one of the charges,
6	correct?
7	MR. PAYMENT: No.
8	MR. MANSON: I want to show you a letter.
9	It's Document 114261 from Mr. Donihee to Crown Attorney Don
10	Johnson, dated May 13 th , 1986.
11	And I'm in your hands, Mr. Commissioner.
12	The witness may never have seen this but I do want to ask
13	him a question about something in the content.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
15	MR. MANSON: So maybe we should mark it an
16	exhibit.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, absolutely. Thank
18	you.
19	MR. MANSON: Thank you.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: This is a letter from Mr.
21	Donihee
22	MR. MANSON: Yes.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Don Johnson, Crown
24	Attorney, dated May 13 th , 1986.
25	EXHIBIT NO./PIECE NO. P-1565:

1	(114261) Letter from Tilton Donihee to D.W.
2	Johnson dated 13 May 86
3	MR. MANSON: And you recall, Mr. Payment,
4	that Mr. Donihee represented Mr. Leblanc at this time?
5	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
6	MR. MANSON: Could you have a look at this
7	letter and tell me whether it was ever shown to you either
8	by Crown Attorney Johnson or by another police officer.
9	MR. PAYMENT: I believe the first time I saw
10	this was in prepping for the Inquiry.
11	MR. MANSON: And the date of this is May
12	13 th , 1986. And it you can tell from the first paragraph
13	that Mr. Donihee has likely just come back from court and
14	they've set November 6^{th} as the trial date, correct?
15	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
16	MR. MANSON: Can you read the third
17	paragraph to yourself, please?
18	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
19	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
20	MR. MANSON: Now, prior to the November 6 th ,
21	1986 court date, did you receive any communications that
22	there was going to be guilty pleas?
23	MR. PAYMENT: Not that I can recall, no.
24	MR. MANSON: Well, you'd be responsible for
25	subpoenaing the witnesses, wouldn't you?

129

1	MR. PAYMENT: That is usually that
2	usually is done by our Court Bureau.
3	MR. MANSON: But I
4	MR. PAYMENT: Once the Crown Brief is made
5	and handed in, there is a copy of the Brief that's
6	available and if subpoenas are issued, it's quite often
7	done by the Court Bureau itself.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: And who is the Court
9	Bureau?
10	MR. PAYMENT: It's a separate branch of our
11	department where the court officers like, at that time
12	there was a staff sergeant who ran it.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
14	MR. PAYMENT: And he was the one that sat in
15	Criminal Court, and there was somebody assigned to Traffic
16	Court.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
18	MR. MANSON: So it wouldn't have been your
19	job to call off the witnesses?
20	MR. PAYMENT: No.
21	MR. MANSON: Did you know before November 6 th
22	that there was likely going to be a guilty plea?
23	MR. PAYMENT: No, I didn't find out 'til
24	after.
25	MR. MANSON: Did you know before November 6 th

1	that there was likely going to be some material, or at
2	least a submission, based on the views of Dr. Bradford?
3	MR. PAYMENT: No, I did not.
4	MR. MANSON: And I take it you weren't in
5	court for the sentencing?
6	MR. PAYMENT: No, I wasn't.
7	MR. MANSON: Well, then I can't ask you any
8	questions about it.
9	I will at that point those are all my
10	questions, Mr. Commissioner.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Manson.
12	Mr. Manderville.
13	MR. MANDERVILLE: Did you make that document
14	an exhibit?
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, I did.
16	MR. MANDERVILLE: Fifteen-sixty-five (1565)?
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Fifteen-sixty-five
18	(1565), yes.
19	Mr. Horn. Good afternoon, sir.
20	MR. HORN: Good afternoon.
21	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
22	HORN:
23	MR. HORN: Now, in the
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Horn acts for
25	MR. HORN: Oh, I'm Frank Horn. We're the

25

1	Coalition for Action. We're the citizens group that's been
2	involved in agitating for this Inquiry.
3	MR. PAYMENT: Okay.
4	MR. HORN: Now, the maybe it will be
5	better if you can clarify a few things. There's two
6	Duncans: Chris Duncan and Bruce Duncan?
7	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
8	MR. HORN: Okay. One was the principal of
9	the school?
10	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
11	MR. HORN: And one was the worker at the
12	Children's Aid Society?
13	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
14	MR. HORN: Was there any association between
15	the two, do you know?
16	MR. PAYMENT: I have no idea if they were
17	related.
18	MR. HORN: No? Okay.
19	And so there's no confusion, the name Duncan
20	was used over and over again and I just want to make sure
21	that everybody knows that the principal of the school was
22	Duncan and also the worker at the Children's Aid Society
23	was named Duncan.

matters over at the Central Public School?

Now, when you went and dealt with the

1	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
2	MR. HORN: The school teacher that found out
3	about this was at Gladstone Public School?
4	MR. PAYMENT: I believe that's where she
5	was.
6	MR. HORN: Yeah, which is more in the east
7	end of Cornwall? You know where Gladstone is?
8	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, it's across from the
9	Hôtel Dieu Hospital.
10	MR. HORN: Yes. And do you know how the
11	teacher at the Gladstone High School Public School
12	became the teacher of these children? Was it a
13	MR. PAYMENT: Well, I stand corrected on
14	this, but I believe at the time Central Public was a senior
15	elementary school and that she had taught them at Gladstone
16	before they moved over to Central Public.
17	MR. HORN: Okay. So she knew them when they
18	were younger?
19	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
20	MR. HORN: Okay. And the we're talking
21	about Mrs. Dawn
22	MR. PAYMENT: Dawn Raymond.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Dawn Raymond.
24	MR. HORN: Dawn, yes.
25	So she knew them from the past. And did you

1	find out if there was any continuing communication between
2	the family members and the teacher because it was quite a
3	large family. Did they all kind of like go through her
4	class? Does she know the whole family?
5	MR. PAYMENT: I'm really not sure if she
6	knew the family or not. You'd have to ask her.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, she testified at
8	the Inquiry, yeah.
9	MR. HORN: And I wasn't here then. I didn't
10	know if there would have been
11	THE COMMISSIONER: The transcript's
12	available, but I can help you out if you need some help.
13	MR. HORN: Well, was there a continuing
14	contact between Mrs. Downy (sic)
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Mrs. Raymond.
16	MR. HORN: and the rest of Ms. Dawn -
17	
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Mrs. Raymond.
19	MR. HORN: Pardon?
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Mrs. Raymond, I believe
21	is her name.
22	MR. HORN: Oh Raymond, I'm sorry. Raymond.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: She ended up, I think,
24	adopting
25	MR. HORN: Adopting one of the children,

1	yes.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: That's right. So
3	MR. HORN: That would be afterwards.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh yeah.
5	MR. HORN: But I was just wondering if the
6	entire family kind of like went through her class, you
7	know, one year after another and she would get to know the
8	entire family?
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Don't know.
10	MR. HORN: Okay. Now, one of the things
11	that was interesting was when you went to the house, there
12	was some talk of some abuse that you noted in your notes.
13	Do you remember that?
14	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
15	MR. HORN: Okay. How did that come out?
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. You mean at the
17	_
18	MR. HORN: When he went and was interviewing
19	the family.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: The Burgess family?
21	MR. HORN: That's the Burgess family.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: The Burgess family?
23	Yeah.
24	MR. HORN: Yes.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So he walks in

1	with the social worker.
2	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Is that what you want to
4	know is how that rolled out?
5	MR. HORN: Yes, and how the information
6	regarding the abuse came out.
7	MR. PAYMENT: I told them I updated them
8	on what was going on, the allegations that they're
9	MR. HORN: Well, no, I'm talking about the
10	abuse by the mother.
11	MR. PAYMENT: I don't know anything about a
12	mother's abuse.
13	MR. HORN: I thought there was a mention in
14	your notes
15	MR. PAYMENT: Not my notes.
16	MR. HORN: that there was a pardon?
17	MR. PAYMENT: Not in my notes, maybe in the
18	CAS notes.
19	MR. MANDERVILLE: That would be in the CAS
20	notes.
21	MR. HORN: CAS notes, yes.
22	MR. MANDERVILLE: And I don't know that
23	that's relevant.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: I don't know what
25	MR. HORN: Was he in you weren't there

1	then?
2	MR. PAYMENT: Oh no.
3	MR. HORN: You weren't at all. Okay. You
4	weren't involved in that situation.
5	Were you aware that there were some problems
6	in that area?
7	MR. PAYMENT: No, I was not.
8	MR. HORN: Okay. All right.
9	Was there any discussions of apprehension of
10	the children? Do you know if that was discussed at all?
11	MR. PAYMENT: It was discussed with the
12	parents because I know that Jody was reluctant to talk to
13	us, but other than that, I had already spoken to Scott.
14	MR. HORN: Okay. I understand, but I mean,
15	let's look at it like the situation then and the way it is
16	today. I mean, a situation like this, CAS would be a lot
17	more vigilant in removing children today. I know that
18	would be the situation.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Whoa. Just a second.
20	Just a second.
21	First of all, the standard of apprehension,
22	to apprehend children is different today than it was then,
23	in law.
24	MR. HORN: I understand that.

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So what are you --

1	-
2	MR. HORN: What I wanted to know is did that
3	subject come up about removing the children from the
4	from that home because of not only allegations but also the
5	abuse that was going on there?
6	THE COMMISSIONER: First of all
7	MR. HORN: Would he know about that?
8	THE COMMISSIONER: First of all, he says he
9	doesn't know about the abuse that's going on in the house.
10	I don't know that that's relevant here, nor is why is
11	this relevant?
12	MR. HORN: Okay. The children there was
13	what, about six, eight children there?
14	MR. PAYMENT: Seven children.
15	MR. HORN: And there was there may have
16	been two or maybe even more children in that home that were
17	abused by this by Mr. Leblanc?
18	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm. Yes.
19	MR. HORN: So I mean, something like that,
20	wouldn't that trigger an interest in the CAS to maybe take
21	the children out of that home?
22	MR. PAYMENT: You'd have to ask CAS, sir.
23	MR. HORN: But you weren't involved in any
24	discussions along those lines
25	MR. PAYMENT: No.

1	MR. HORN: about having the Children's
2	Aid maybe
3	MR. PAYMENT: No, because I had
4	MR. HORN: take them out of there?
5	MR. PAYMENT: no information of any
6	other abuse, and the only thing I was dealing with was
7	notifying parents of an outsider who had abused them.
8	MR. HORN: Okay. And really, you were
9	filled in on more information only when the teacher came
10	forward and told you, giving you more information about
11	what had happened?
12	MR. PAYMENT: With regards to the sexuals?
13	MR. HORN: Yes.
14	MR. PAYMENT: Yeah. Well, she's the one
15	that they first went to, and so she did give me
16	information, yes.
17	MR. HORN: Okay. And it was really only
18	then you finally could move and do something? Up until
19	then, you were reluctant to get in there?
20	MR. PAYMENT: Up until then, I didn't have a
21	complaint.
22	MR. HORN: Pardon?
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Ms. Simms?
24	MS. SIMMS: Just to clarify, I think we're
25	confused on the timeline.

1	The first contact was with Dawn Raymond.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
3	MS. SIMMS: It was then that after that
4	he went to the home, just so we're clear.
5	MR. HORN: Okay, so the interest in the home
6	because you were working with the Children's Aid Society,
7	Mr you know, the regarding this situation and you're
8	indicating that there was really no thoughts of like,
9	"let's move the kids move them out of here, take them
10	out and then we'll work from here"?
11	MR. PAYMENT: There was absolutely no
12	thought at all and there was no grounds given to us at that
13	time.
14	MR. LEE: Mr. Commissioner, I represent
15	Scott Burgess, Jody Burgess, Cindy Burgess. You'll recall
16	that all three testified here and none of those three
17	raised issues dealing with apprehension from the home.
18	None of them suggested that they had concerns about the
19	CAS's actions in that regard because that's not what they
20	were here to talk about.
21	And I would think that you know, I don't
22	want to turn this Inquiry into a referendum on the Burgess
23	home when they had an opportunity here to discuss those
24	issues if they wanted.
25	So I think we should you know, I'm

1	hesitate to get up when Mr. Horn's at the podium here, but
2	I think we should confine this to an investigation of the
3	sexual assault allegations and not worry about what else
4	was going on in that home, at this Inquiry anyway.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: So, Mr. Horn, unless you
6	can give me an explanation how this is relevant to your
7	client's interests, I'm not going to let you go on. So
8	give me your
9	MR. HORN: Well, the Cornwall Police are now
10	giving evidence regarding and this particular police
11	officer is giving evidence regarding something that
12	happened prior to '93.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah.
14	MR. HORN: And it was a different situation
15	back then; the law was different.
16	I'm just wanting to know if back then there
17	was the same vigilance on the part of the CAS when a
18	situation like this occurred to remove children out,
19	because I know that today there would be no way those
20	children would stay in that home.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I I Mr.
22	Chisholm, are you going to up here?
23	MR. CHISHOLM: Thank you, sir.
24	You pushed me over my inertia of rising.
25	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)

1	MR. CHISHOLM: I believe I was up here last
2	week, again when Mr. Horn was here, when he was making
3	reference to the apprehension of children by the CAS. His
4	questions today, I would submit, are without any
5	evidentiary foundation. I'm not sure why he's putting
6	these questions to this witness.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Thank you.
8	Mr. Horn, I've dealt with the odd
9	apprehension and unless the Children's Aid Society were
10	content that there was a complete lack of supervision on
11	the children, in other circumstances, I don't think that
12	they would really seriously consider taking children out of
13	a home if they are victims of sexual abuse by a stranger,
14	and so of a non-family member. And I don't know that
15	that would apply and I don't know that whether you are
16	talking about yesterday or today, that that would change
17	very much. So unless you can give me some relevance
18	MR. HORN: Well, I think there was a
19	there was talk of the children staying overnight with Mr.
20	Leblanc
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Yes.
22	MR. HORN: which is quite something
23	you are aware of that?
24	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, no, no. Sir,
25	sir, don't ask him any questions.

1	MR. HORN: Yes.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: You're going to satisfy
3	me that your line of questioning is relevant before you
4	pose another question.
5	MR. HORN: Okay.
6	He was aware that there was
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Absolutely.
8	MR. HORN: children who were staying
9	overnight
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
11	MR. HORN: with Mr. Leblanc.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
13	MR. HORN: It was a main there was a
14	major concern in that regard.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
16	MR. HORN: And I'm just wondering if there
17	would be concern by the Society regarding the ability to
18	for the parents to be able to supervise those children
19	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
20	MR. HORN: so that this sort of thing
21	would not happen when they may have been aware that the
22	children were sleeping overnight, going to Buckingham and
23	going to different places with the with this individual.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, first of all, that
25	question may or may not be relevant when you get to the

I	Children's Aid Society, when they come up to do their
2	institutional response. But to this gentleman, how would
3	he know what this Children's Aid Society has to do? And
4	so, I'm going to ask you to go onto another topic.
5	MR. HORN: Okay.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
7	MR. HORN: All right.
8	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
9	MR. HORN: That kind of got me off-guard.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
11	MR. HORN: I was thinking about that for
12	quite some time.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
14	You see, my point is, you know molesters
15	don't go and tell the parents, "Oh, and I'm going to
16	physically I'm going to sexually abuse your children."
17	They, as you know, if you've read the from the experts
18	they'll groom children, they'll give them gifts and so the
19	children aren't going to tell their parents that this is
20	going on, so I think
21	MR. HORN: Except in this situation the
22	teacher became suspicious when she thought that this
23	individual was a family member, and then she eventually
24	found out that it was a stranger.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.

1	MR. HORN: I mean, the parents would know
2	way more than the teacher regarding this particular
3	individual, I would suspect. I would suspect that they
4	would know more about who this individual is if the teacher
5	knew. And she's not even in that school, she was in
6	another school.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
8	MR. HORN: So, I mean if the teacher was
9	aware of that then I would think that the parents would
10	may have known something and would have suspected. And I
11	think there would be a danger there and that's why I would
12	say that the I know that the Society on many occasions
13	get involved when these sorts of things occur one day.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Until you come and give
15	evidence about and your expertise about the
16	MR. HORN: I'm not here to give evidence.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, okay, well, then
18	_
19	MR. HORN: But I've done a few cases like
20	yourself in regards to Society matters.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah.
22	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Would you like Mr. Lee to
24	go before?
25	MR. HORN: Yeah, maybe it would be better

25

that?

1	that way.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: And then you can
3	MR. HORN: Yeah, I've kind of got I lost
4	track of my questioning, there.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry; I do that
6	sometimes.
7	Mr. Lee.
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LEE/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
9	MR. LEE:
10	MR. LEE: Good afternoon, Mr. Commissioner.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Good afternoon, sir.
12	MR. LEE: Constable Payment, my name is
13	Dallas Lee. I act as Counsel for the victims group here.
14	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
15	MR. LEE: I have a few areas that I'd like
16	to ask you about. I would like to start by just briefly
17	bringing you back to the Lucien Labelle investigation, if I
18	could?
19	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
20	MR. LEE: And Mr. Manson took you to I
21	don't need to turn it up but it's Exhibit 1557, which is
22	the chart of alleged victims with the bottom three being
23	adults by the time that chart was created. Do you recall

MR. PAYMENT: Yes.

1	MR. LEE: And what you told Mr. Manson was
2	that you felt that the adult witnesses may have been able
3	to corroborate the complainant's allegations? Is that
4	right?
5	MR. PAYMENT: yes.
6	MR. LEE: And you told us that you were
7	present for that trial?
8	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
9	MR. LEE: And were you present for the
10	verdict as well
11	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
12	MR. LEE: where the judge read out his
13	reasons for acquittal?
14	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
15	MR. LEE: Do you recall whether or not the
16	judge raised a lack of corroboration as a reason supporting
17	his acquittal?
18	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, he did.
19	MR. LEE: If I could take you for one moment
20	please, sir, to a newspaper article that is Document
21	200236.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Exhibit Number
23	1566 is a oh, yes, I see, a newspaper article dated

January 24th, '86.

24

1	(200236) News clipping "Charges against
2	city school principal dismissed" - 24
3	Jan, 86
4	MR. LEE: I'm just going to wait for the
5	screen, Mr. Commissioner.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
7	MR. LEE: Madam Clerk, I'm going to read the
8	sentence that begins at the bottom of the left-hand column
9	and continues up if you could blow that up, please? The
10	left-most two columns please?
11	It reads:
12	"Judge Hutton, in dismissing nine of
13	the ten charges, cited contradictory
14	evidence given by the female students
15	involved, a lack of corroborating
16	evidence, and excellent character
17	testimony given by the defendant's
18	colleagues and neighbors."
19	Do you see that, sir?
20	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, I do.
21	MR. LEE: And does that correspond with your
22	recollection of what those reasons were?
23	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
24	MR. LEE: And is it fair to say that a lack
25	of corroborating evidence is one of the concerns you raised

25

1	with the Crown in the first place?
2	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
3	MR. LEE: And that's why you wanted some or
4	all of the adult complainant
5	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
6	MR. LEE: or complainants to testify, is
7	that right? Sorry, witnesses.
8	And you told Mr. Manson in cross that one of
9	the phrases that was used by the Crown was that it would be
10	piling on, is that right?
11	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
12	MR. LEE: Was this much of a conversation or
13	debate between you and the Crown or was it more of a
14	MR. PAYMENT: I would say more of a debate.
15	MR. LEE: And obviously in that situation,
16	the Crown wins that debate?
17	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, they did.
18	MR. LEE: The only other matter I wasn't
19	clear on concerned your conversation with the Boy Scouts
20	concerning Mr. Labelle. And was it my understanding that
21	that conversation took place after the acquittal?
22	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, it did.
23	MR. LEE: And so as I understand it, what

you told us in-chief was that you called the Boy Scouts and

told them that perhaps it would be a good idea for them to

1	contact the school board to get some information on this
2	man, is that right?
3	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, because he had already
4	been dismissed from the school system.
5	MR. LEE: Do you recall if you gave them any
6	other information? Did you tell them why he was dismissed
7	or
8	MR. PAYMENT: Oh, no, no, I
9	MR. LEE: did you tell him of the
10	charges
11	MR. PAYMENT: No.
12	MR. LEE: of the trial?
13	MR. PAYMENT: No. I just asked them to
14	contact the school board.
15	MR. LEE: You just gave them a tip and you
16	knew where that would lead, is that correct?
17	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
18	MR. LEE: And you were comfortable making
19	that notification?
20	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
21	MR. LEE: Did you consider it as a
22	responsibility of yours to make that notification?
23	MR. PAYMENT: I don't know about legal
24	responsibility but morally I felt I had to do it.
25	MR. LEE: Okay. I want to ask you some

1	general questions I'm done with Labelle now.
2	MR. PAYMENT: Okay.
3	MR. LEE: I want to ask you some general
4	questions about your experiences with the Cornwall police
5	about essentially about what life at the Cornwall police
6	was like in different areas and how things worked. And
7	then I want to get into the Leblanc investigation with you.
8	Okay?
9	So, let's start in CIB. And I'm interested
10	in the pre-OMPPAC days. Okay? And I'm not concerned with
11	when OMPPAC came in for some purpose other than what it
12	came in for in '89. I'm talking about before officers were
13	using OMPPAC.
14	And I'm wondering whether there was any
15	discussion or supervision of specific files other than at
16	the investigating officer's request?
17	MR. PAYMENT: Well, the officer in charge of
18	that unit would be reviewing any reports handed in. And if
19	he had any questions, he would bring us in and consult with
20	us.
21	MR. LEE: We've had some evidence we've
22	had quite a lot of evidence about the Ministry of
23	Corrections and we heard a lot about random file audits.
24	Was there ever anything similar to that in CIB?
25	MR. PAYMENT: I'm sorry the term?

1	MR. LEE: File audits.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Random file audits.
3	MR. PAYMENT: Okay.
4	MR. LEE: What we've been told Ministry
5	of Corrections, essentially the Probation and Parole
6	Office, the area manager would go pull a certain percentage
7	or a certain number of files every year, just at random,
8	and go through them with a bit of a fine toothcomb and pick
9	them apart a little bit.
10	MR. PAYMENT: Truthfully, I wouldn't have
11	any knowledge of that, it would be whoever was in charge of
12	that branch. They might be able to answer if they did that
13	or
14	MR. LEE: Well, presumably if it had
15	happened with any of your files, you would have been
16	notified to the fact that
17	MR. PAYMENT: Oh yes, yes.
18	MR. LEE: You don't recall anything like
19	that?
20	MR. PAYMENT: No.
21	MR. LEE: It wasn't as a matter of course,
22	you didn't get a report once a year or once every couple of
23	years setting out that "We looked at some files and here's
24	what we think"?
25	MR. PAYMENT: No, there was nothing about

1	the files themselves; like we had our annual evaluations
2	where it was just a recap of your last year. But to go
3	through the individual reports, no.
4	MR. LEE: So do I have it right then if you
5	went and asked a question or went for help, obviously there
6	would be some supervision there?
7	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
8	MR. LEE: And if you submitted a report and
9	the supervising officer had some issue or concern or
10	question with it, there would be some supervision through
11	that process?
12	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
13	MR. LEE: But otherwise
14	MR. PAYMENT: Very seldom after that.
15	MR. LEE: Okay. During your examination in-
16	chief, you touched on workload issues a little bit. And
17	specifically I'm interested, I suppose, in your time in the
18	Youth Branch. And I'm wondering whether you felt pressure
19	during your time in the Youth Branch to get your
20	investigations completed quickly.
21	MR. PAYMENT: It was a self-imposed pressure
22	because your caseload, your files are there; you had other
23	things like in those days, we had to handle youth court,
24	you had to do preps for court. Sometimes we even acted as

Crowns for bail hearings so there was pressure put on me,

1	more by myself than by my supervisors that "I've got to do
2	this. I've got to get this done. I'm falling behind on
3	this one."
4	MR. LEE: I take it the flow of incoming
5	files wasn't going to stop?
6	MR. PAYMENT: Oh no.
7	MR. LEE: So there was a fairly high premium
8	I take it, in your own mind at least, on clearing files?
9	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
10	MR. LEE: You told us yesterday that
11	workload issues affected the thoroughness of investigations
12	and I'm wondering if that is in part due to these pressures
13	of trying to get things done as quickly as possible so that
14	you could
15	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
16	MR. LEE: move on to something else.
17	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
18	MR. LEE: And would you agree with me that
19	it was difficult to find the time to dig just a little bit
20	deeper into an investigation where you might have liked to
21	otherwise because of these workload issues?
22	MR. PAYMENT: Possibly, yes.
23	MR. LEE: At some point, you have to draw a
24	line and you have to move on?
25	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.

MR. LEE: And if a couple of stones are left
unturned, they may have to just remain left unturned; is
that right?
MR. PAYMENT: That's right.
MR. LEE: And you have some personal
experience with feeling that way during your time in the
Youth Bureau?
MR. PAYMENT: Yes. And today.
MR. LEE: Another thing that I found
interesting in your testimony was some of the talk you had
with Ms. Simms about counseling services being available to
officers. And I think you the phrase you used was "the
macho mask and not wanting to show weakness." Is that
right?
MR. PAYMENT: Yes, that's right.
MR. LEE: And you chalk that up as just sort
of being part of the environment?
MR. PAYMENT: It was a police environment,
yes, definitely.
MR. LEE: And in your experience, might that
apply to asking for help on files as well?
MR. PAYMENT: For my own personal point of
view, if I was having a problem, I'd ask a question. Like
I didn't have a problem with that. But I don't know how

others -- they may have perceived it that way and it may

1	have caused a problem for them to come forward.
2	MR. LEE: Have you ever got the sense that
3	it might be seen as a sign of weakness by some in policing
4	circles and specifically at the Cornwall police if
5	MR. PAYMENT: In those days, if you dropped
6	the mask, you were a "wuss."
7	MR. LEE: Did you ever have any issues with
8	that at all? Do you remember ever feeling that, you know,
9	maybe it might be best to just keep your mouth shut?
10	MR. PAYMENT: I had issues, as I explained
11	this morning, I had some personal issues. I ended up
12	taking some time off; I was off for three months. And it
13	caused more problems being off work than it was being at
14	work.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Why is that?
16	MR. PAYMENT: Because of the feedback from
17	some of my fellow workers, "What's the matter with you?
18	Why don't you come back to work?"
19	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
20	MR. LEE: Can you think back to when you
21	were a young officer; do you remember feelings of being
22	hesitant to ask for help at that point in time? Speaking
23	of you.
24	MR. PAYMENT: No.
25	MR. MANDERVILLE: Mr. Commissioner, I'd ask

1	Mr. Lee to clarify a little bit because I'm not certain if
2	he is speaking in terms of emotional or workload
3	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
4	MR. MANDERVILLE: and its emotional toll
5	or investigations and supervisory sort of help?
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. Do you understand
7	what he is saying?
8	MR. LEE: Sometimes.
9	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Well
11	MR. LEE: What I
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Manderville would
13	say that I never understand what he says but no, but
14	let me just
15	MR. LEE: Sure.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: there is two things I
17	want to there is a question when you ask for help on a
18	question on a file and there is a question of asking for
19	help "I'm overwhelmed with too much work."
20	MR. LEE: Yes.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: You see? And I think
22	there's a difference there. I think he answered he doesn't
23	have a problem asking questions about on a file but would
24	the answer be the same about coming forward and saying
25	"Look it, I'm just swamped; I need somebody to help me out

22

23

24

25

1	nere"?
2	MR. LEE: So let's break that down then,
3	Constable. As the Commissioner said, let's talk about when
4	you have a file assigned and you're running into issues on
5	the file.
6	Do you recall early on in your career, again
7	as a young officer, being hesitant at all to come forward
8	and ask for help for fear of outing yourself as maybe not
9	quite being as confident in your work as you might like
10	others to think you are?
11	MR. PAYMENT: No, not really. I found the
12	older officers, when I was young, that they were very
13	cooperative. They were more than willing to help you
14	through anything.
15	MR. LEE: What about when you came into the
16	Youth Bureau? Because you had a fair bit of experience
17	with the Cornwall police by that point but you're coming
18	into a new role. Did you at that point, being a more
19	senior officer, were you hesitant at all to ask for help?
20	MR. PAYMENT: I probably would have been

158

going to them and asking them questions.

under certain circumstances but the supervisors I had, I

had a good rapport with. Like, we are a small Force and

we joined the police force. So I was very at ease with

you get to know them. Some of them I've known even before

1	MR. LEE: What about generally at the
2	Cornwall Police in terms of a general culture. Did you
3	make any observations or ever get the sense?
4	MR. PAYMENT: There was a lot guys that
5	didn't want to come forward; they would try and deal with
6	it themselves. You're talking reports now, eh?
7	MR. LEE: I'm sorry, talking?
8	MR. PAYMENT: You're talking reports now?
9	Like going to for advice on reports?
10	MR. LEE: Going going for advice on
11	reports or when you're having trouble on an investigation,
12	yes.
13	MR. PAYMENT: Yes. Yeah, there were some
14	that were reluctant.
15	MR. LEE: And is that just all tied back to
16	the to the macho facade kind of idea?
17	MR. PAYMENT: Yeah.
18	MR. LEE: I want to turn your attention back
19	to the Leblanc investigation, and as you heard me say a few
20	moments ago when Mr. Horn was up here, I represent Jody
21	Burgess, Scott Burgess, Cindy Burgess and also Jason Tyo.
22	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
23	MR. LEE: Okay? And you're aware all four
24	of those have testified at the Inquiry?
25	MR. PAYMENT: I believe they have; I didn't

1	see them.
2	MR. LEE: Okay, that's fine. And Dawn
3	Raymond testified here as well, just so we
4	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
5	MR. LEE: sort of complete the picture.
6	So we have a fairly good idea of how all
7	this ties in but I'm interested in getting your take on a
8	couple of things.
9	One of the things you told us today was
10	about your initial discussion with Bruce Duncan from the
11	Children's Aid Society once you arrived at Central Public
12	School?
13	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
14	MR. LEE: And you recall that you told us
15	about the debate about whether or not you could go in or
16	not and, as I understand it, it was decided that the school
17	wasn't going to cause much of a fuss about him going in, so
18	
19	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
20	MR. LEE: you agreed that that would be
21	an okay plan. Is that right?
22	And what you told us yesterday was that you
23	asked Mr. Duncan not to get into any of the details of the
24	assaults with Scott Burgess. Is that right?
25	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, I did.

1	MR. LEE: And you told us some of the
2	reasons for that. Was that important to you?
3	MR. PAYMENT: Well, it was only because at
4	that time there there was no protocol between agencies
5	at that time and I had been, I don't know, advised that
6	sometimes if they do the questioning first, they may tend
7	to ask leading questions, some of the agents.
8	MR. LEE: I take it, you would have
9	recognized at that time the very different roles and the
10	very different objectives of a police officer as as
11	compared to a CAS worker?
12	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
13	MR. LEE: In the sense that a CAS worker's
14	ultimate goal isn't worrying about a prosecution down the
15	line, is that right? They're concerned about the kids?
16	MR. PAYMENT: That's right.
17	MR. LEE: And they want to get the
18	information however they can get the information?
19	MR. PAYMENT: That was my concern.
20	MR. LEE: And a police officer's going to
21	get any information in a certain way.
22	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
23	MR. LEE: Is that right?
24	Do you have a specific recollection, as you
25	sit here today, of telling Bruce Duncan not to get into the

1	details of the assault?
2	MR. PAYMENT: I don't remember the exact
3	wording, but I know we did discuss that I would prefer if I
4	could do the questioning on the incident itself.
5	MR. LEE: Was he okay with that?
6	MR. PAYMENT: He seemed to be.
7	MR. LEE: Do you recall him agreeing that he
8	would not get into the details?
9	MR. PAYMENT: Twenty (20) 24 years ago, I
10	don't recall if he was agreeable but he seemed he seemed
11	to be okay with it.
12	MR. LEE: You've now seen his notes through
13	the course of your preparation for the Inquiry in the
14	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
15	MR. LEE: last couple of days, and you
16	now appreciate that he most definitely got into the
17	details. Is that right?
18	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
19	MR. LEE: A lot of details?
20	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
21	MR. LEE: And would you agree with me that,
22	in fact, based on what you've seen, that he seems to have
23	gotten more details out of Scott Burgess at least than you
24	were able to get?
25	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.

1	MR. LEE: And you're not called in until
2	later that evening and, as I recall the evidence we had
3	here from either Scott or Dawn or maybe both, you end up
4	with the CAS and you order pizza and you sit down and you
5	talk to Scott. Is that right?
6	MR. PAYMENT: I don't eat pizza, so I don't
7	know if we ordered it or not.
8	MR. LEE: You may have gone hungry that
9	night then, sir. We'll talk later about why you don't eat
10	pizza.
11	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
12	MR. LEE: You totally threw me off there; I
13	wasn't expecting that answer but
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Would you like
15	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
16	MR. LEE: I do. I need to compose myself
17	here.
18	And you've told us a lot about what Mr.
19	Duncan told you and what he didn't tell you when you
20	arrived at the CAS before getting into Scott Burgess, and
21	you'd agree now looking at having looked at his notes,
22	that you certainly didn't get a full briefing from Mr.
23	Duncan?
24	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
25	MR. LEE: There was information he knew

1	before you even showed up at the CAS that you didn't learn
2	until recently. Is that right?
3	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
4	MR. LEE: And at the end of the day, that, I
5	think you conceded here, some of that information may have
6	affected the direction you went in terms of charging?
7	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
8	MR. LEE: Had you known everything, this may
9	have played out differently. Is that right?
10	Did you I'm sorry, did I get an answer
11	there?
12	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
13	MR. LEE: Thank you.
14	Did you appreciate it at the time that you
15	were dealing with all of these boys, meaning Scott and Jody
16	and Jason, that you may not have been getting the full
17	story?
18	MR. PAYMENT: I had concerns about that,
19	yes.
20	MR. LEE: You didn't know what the full
21	story was but you had an idea or a feeling there may have
22	been more out there?
23	MR. PAYMENT: I had I had concerns, yes,
24	that there might be more going on.

MR. LEE: And I assume, as an experienced

1	police officer by that point, you would have been pretty
2	finely tuned to pick up on subtleties and keys that lead
3	you to believe there might not be the full story there?
4	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
5	MR. LEE: And I guess we can say that you
6	knew you knew that there must have at least let me
7	start that over.
8	You knew that there was at least likely some
9	more details, given that Dawn Raymond had relayed to you
10	her version of what Scott had told her and that wasn't
11	quite what you were getting from Scott. Is that right?
12	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
13	MR. LEE: Would you have appreciated at that
14	time that it made a little bit of sense that Scott would be
15	more willing to speak openly with Dawn Raymond than he
16	would have been with you?
17	MR. PAYMENT: I think all three of them were
18	that way.
19	MR. LEE: With Dawn Raymond?
20	MR. PAYMENT: Yeah, they would rather speak
21	to her than speak to me.
22	MR. LEE: I know you've touched on this a
23	little bit but it's still not clear in my mind.
24	Forget about what Bruce Duncan knew or what
25	you know now about the true nature of what the abuse was

1	and stick to what you thought it was based on those Will
2	States and the information you had, can you explain to me
3	why there was only one count laid in relation to each boy?
4	MR. PAYMENT: I don't want to seem like I'm
5	pointing fingers, but that was after consultation with the
6	Crown and that's what he indicated that we would go with.
7	MR. LEE: How confident are you sitting here
8	that the Crown, Don Johnson, had a full appreciation of the
9	picture at the time you were speaking to him?
10	MR. PAYMENT: What Don Johnson had were the
11	statements of the three victims and Dawn Raymond.
12	MR. LEE: Had he been provided those prior
13	to your meeting with him?
14	MR. PAYMENT: That day.
15	MR. LEE: Did you walk in and hand him the
16	statements and wait while he read them?
17	MR. PAYMENT: Yeah, I would bring them down
18	in a file and I would hand them to him. He would review
19	them and then we would discuss it.
20	MR. LEE: Do you recall any specific
21	discussion with Johnson about whether or not more than one
22	count was advisable for each boy?
23	MR. PAYMENT: Offhand, I don't recall if
24	that was discussed or not.
25	MR. LEE: And you know now, I presume in

1	preparation for this Inquiry, that each of the each of
2	the Burgess's and Jason Tyo spoke with the OPP many years
3	later?
4	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, I've been told.
5	MR. LEE: And disclosed extremely
6	significant abuse?
7	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
8	MR. LEE: And obviously one of the major
9	issues to come out of that was the fact that Cindy Burgess
10	was a victim at all?
11	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
12	MR. LEE: Because that wasn't certainly
13	wasn't known to you at the time?
14	MR. PAYMENT: No, it wasn't.
15	MR. LEE: And early today, during your
16	examination in-chief, you gave a few answers in a row and I
17	want to put them back to you and get your comments, just to
18	see if we can clarify.
19	You first told us that you asked the
20	Burgess's, meaning the parents, if any of the other kids
21	had ever visited Leblanc, okay? That was what you told us.
22	Then you then you conceded that you
23	didn't remember how it was worded and then you tried again
24	and you said you recalled asking if they knew of any
25	others. And there's a subtle distinction there and the

1	question I want to put to you, do you have any specific
2	recollection, sitting here today, of asking the Burgess
3	parents whether or not any of the Burgess of any of the
4	other Burgess children had gone to Leblanc?
5	MR. PAYMENT: I forget how it was worded but
6	I can remember, I believe it was the father saying that,
7	"This one wouldn't be because he's a lot stronger
8	individual and wouldn't get involved in something like that
9	and this one probably not either". But the exact way it
10	was worded or how it came about, I I really don't
11	recall.
12	MR. LEE: You just don't have a recall
13	sitting here today.
14	And what you do know is you didn't talk to
15	the other kids, and specifically Cindy I'm concerned about?
16	MR. PAYMENT: No.
17	MR. LEE: You never had a conversation with
18	Cindy?
19	MR. PAYMENT: No.
20	MR. LEE: You never met with Cindy in her
21	bedroom like you met with Jody in his?
22	MR. PAYMENT: No, no. Cindy was in the
23	kitchen while we were talking with the parents.
24	MR. LEE: And what you told us earlier today
25	was that it probably would have been a good idea to talk to

23

24

25

it wasn't there.

	AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE Cr-Ex(Lee
1	the other Burgess kids in the home?
2	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
3	MR. LEE: Can I get you to drop that
4	"probably", sir? Can you just flatly concede that it would
5	have been a good idea to speak to the Burgess kids?
6	MR. PAYMENT: Sure.
7	MR. LEE: Thank you.
8	Are you aware that Jody Burgess testified
9	here? Well, you are, because I told you a moment ago;
10	scratch that.
11	Jody Burgess did testify here and one of the
12	things he told us is he conceded the fact that he wasn't
13	disclosing a whole lot to you and that he was hesitant.
14	One of the things he told us was that if there had been
15	more follow-up contact he may have been more willing to
16	disclose further information; okay?
17	And what I'm wondering is, whether you
18	appreciated it in 1986 that it was important to build a
19	rapport with victims of abuse?
20	MR. PAYMENT: It was very important to
21	develop a rapport. Sometimes they wouldn't talk to you.

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

rapport was not there. Time factors, whatever, it just --

MR. PAYMENT: Unfortunately, the follow-up

MR. LEE: And ---

1	MR. LEE: And would you have recognized that
2	a rapport would be especially important with a young
3	victim?
4	MR. PAYMENT: Oh, definitely.
5	MR. LEE: And do you think there was any
6	do you think that there was maybe a little bit of increased
7	importance as well with a male victim of sexual abuse?
8	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
9	MR. LEE: In your experience, were male
10	victims generally more hesitant to speak to you than female
11	victims?
12	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
13	MR. LEE: And you mentioned the time factor,
14	and that's one of the issues I want to talk to you about
15	because as I understand it, you become involved on the
16	evening of January 24 th ?
17	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
18	MR. LEE: And you lay charges on the 27^{th} ?
19	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
20	MR. LEE: So we've got four days there as
21	the entirety of your involvement in this matter. Is that
22	right?
23	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
24	MR. LEE: In hindsight, do you think it may

have been advisable to slow down a little bit and to see if

I	you could develop a little bit more of a relationship or a
2	rapport with these kids?
3	MR. PAYMENT: Hindsight is a great word.
4	Yeah, I agree I should have, but could have or had the time
5	to, it was very limited.
6	MR. LEE: Let me pick up on you seizing on
7	the word hindsight there. You told us at the end of your
8	examination in-chief that you recognize there's some value
9	in going back and looking at mistakes made in the past with
10	the hope that it helps in the future.
11	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
12	MR. LEE: And I recognize we're sitting here
13	with 20/20 hindsight, and I recognize we're looking at
14	things that happened a long time ago, and my intention here
15	is not to embarrass you. What I'm trying to do is trying
16	to point out that some things could have been done better -
17	
18	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
19	MR. LEE: in the hopes that so please
20	don't take offence to what I'm doing here.
21	MR. PAYMENT: No, I'm not. I'm not. If I
22	gave you the impression that I was offended, I'm sorry.
23	I'm not. I'm just making answers here.
24	MR. LEE: Thank you. I appreciate that.
25	And so if we look at the Jody Burgess

1	situation as an example, we heard here and we've seen in
2	some notes from Jody and we've seen in some other notes
3	that he had a real concern with being a "fink" was the word
4	he used at the time. Do you remember that?
5	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
6	MR. LEE: He didn't want to rat out Leblanc.
7	Is that right?
8	MR. PAYMENT: That's right.
9	MR. LEE: He thought he was his friend?
10	MR. PAYMENT: M'hm.
11	MR. LEE: Which opens up a whole other can
12	of worms about what's wrong with this situation.
13	MR. PAYMENT: Yeah.
14	MR. LEE: But that was how he felt at the
15	time; right?
16	And I take it you would have appreciated at
17	the time that this was very difficult for these kids?
18	MR. PAYMENT: Oh, definitely.
19	MR. LEE: And maybe Jody more than the other
20	two? I mean, he was really having a hard time?
21	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
22	MR. LEE: He was confused, wasn't he?
23	MR. PAYMENT: Very.
24	MR. LEE: And so, again, it's going back
25	I know there's a I mean, from your testimony we get the

1	reeling that there's a real balancing act being played
2	between trying to do it quickly and trying to do it well,
3	but do you think maybe in this situation there should have
4	been some extra time given?
5	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
6	MR. LEE: And, sir, again, I'm not trying to
7	be nitpicky here, but at the end of the day, you're going
8	to be gone and we're going to have transcripts, and that's
9	all there's going to be.
10	You asked in relation to the Bill
11	MacKinnon situation, you were asked whether an occurrence
12	should have been created and you told us about what
13	possibly could have gone on the police information, and you
14	told us and the quote I wrote down, "In hindsight, I
15	probably should have made the occurrence"?
16	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
17	MR. LEE: I take it you'll agree you should
18	have made the occurrence?
19	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
20	MR. LEE: And, finally, sir, you talked in-
21	chief about your concerns with the charge relating to Scott
22	Burgess being dropped. Do you recall that?
23	MR. PAYMENT: M'hm.
24	MR. LEE: And your concern, I think you
25	articulated well, was the fact that you were worried about

1	how Scott might react to that?
2	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
3	MR. LEE: It used to be three, and he's the
4	one that's picked to drop. Is that right?
5	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
6	MR. LEE: And that was your concern?
7	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
8	MR. LEE: Do you have a specific
9	recollection of asking Bruce Duncan to advise Scott Burgess
10	of that?
11	MR. PAYMENT: I don't have notes on it, but
12	I believe I called Bruce and he told me that once he had
13	heard what had happened, like the disposition of the whole
14	thing, that he had spoken to Scott already.
15	MR. LEE: Okay. So it's not that you
16	learned of it, called Bruce Duncan and asked him to inform
17	Scott?
18	MR. PAYMENT: I learned of it. I called
19	him, but he had said he was already aware and that he would
20	or had already talked to Scott.
21	MR. LEE: And the reason I'm asking you
22	about this is that when Scott testified here in October of
23	2006, he told us that he learned for the first time that
24	his charge had been dropped, the charge relating to him had
25	been dropped, in preparation for the Inquiry.

1	So we're talking almost 20 years after the
2	fact and he you know, in the back rooms here is where
3	Scott Burgess learned that his charge didn't result in a
4	conviction.
5	I guess the question I have for you is would
6	you agree with me that it was not right for Mr. Burgess to
7	learn that here?
8	MR. PAYMENT: Oh, yes, definitely.
9	MR. LEE: He should have known at the time?
10	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
11	MR. LEE: Thank you very much. Those are my
12	questions.
13	MR. PAYMENT: Thank you.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
15	Mr. Horn?
16	MR. HORN: Yes.
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
18	HORN (cont'd/suite)
19	MR. HORN: There's only a couple areas that
20	I was interested in.
21	Now, when the MacKinnon matter was being
22	not dealt with but because of the Crown's not wanting to go
23	forward with the charges, and you said
24	MR. PAYMENT: That's not correct, sir.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: No, I don't think that's

1	quite, quite correct.
2	MR. HORN: Well, Mr. Johnson was the one
3	that really gave the advice not to go ahead with it, didn't
4	he?
5	MR. PAYMENT: No, that's not correct either,
6	sir. We didn't have a formal complaint against him. I was
7	just giving the Crown Attorney a heads-up that there might
8	be something more coming.
9	MR. HORN: Okay. You have a person that is
10	a danger in the community. You know it; right?
11	MR. PAYMENT: Through rumours.
12	MR. HORN: Only rumours?
13	MR. PAYMENT: Yeah. I had no actual
14	allegations against him.
15	MR. HORN: Okay. Do you think that an
16	occurrence report is enough to deal with somebody that may
17	be a danger to the in the community?
18	MR. PAYMENT: Without allegations? Yes,
19	there should have been an information report, as I stated
20	this morning.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
22	MR. PAYMENT: I should have made one. I
23	didn't. And the report would have been on file in case
24	there was an allegation. It would be documented to show
25	that we were aware of him possibly being an abuser prior to

danger?

1	the incident.
2	MR. HORN: Okay. So you think that was
3	enough.
4	Now, in terms of the Mr. Labelle situation,
5	you went out of your way to follow-up when he moved out of
6	town. He went to another town
7	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
8	MR. HORN: was living there?
9	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
10	MR. HORN: And you went out of your way to
11	make sure that there wasn't a problem there as he was going
12	to be working with kids in the Boy Scouts; right?
13	MR. PAYMENT: That's because Mr. Labelle had
14	complainants that had come to me with allegations. Mr.
15	MacKinnon had no allegations against him.
16	MR. HORN: Okay. I understand, but he's
17	moved out of the jurisdiction. He's probably being dealt
18	with by the OPP now. He's not here in Cornwall anymore?
19	MR. PAYMENT: I'm with the Province of
20	Ontario as a police officer.
21	MR. HORN: I understand, but you followed
22	that situation. You knew he was in another town?
23	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
24	MR. HORN: Okay. And you knew that he was a
25	danger?

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Whoa, whoa
2	MR. PAYMENT: In my opinion.
3	MR. HORN: In your opinion, even though he
4	was acquitted, as far as you were concerned, there was a
5	danger?
6	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
7	MR. HORN: You wouldn't have gone ahead and
8	made that report about him unless you felt that he was a
9	danger, would you?
10	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
11	MR. HORN: Okay. So you went out of your
12	way in that situation?
13	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
14	MR. HORN: Went and did something? You
15	recognized there was a dangerous situation even though he
16	was acquitted and you did something
17	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
18	MR. HORN: in that situation, didn't
19	you?
20	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
21	MR. HORN: And it was beyond the call of
22	duty because you went out of the jurisdiction and you went
23	into another town?
24	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
25	MR. HORN: Okay. Now, we have a situation

1	in which in town here there's an individual who may pose a
2	serious danger to children?
3	MR. PAYMENT: I don't know how far I'd want
4	to react to rumours, and that's all I had at that time. I
5	had no allegations of anything.
6	MR. HORN: Okay. So you have a situation
7	you have two situations in which one, you went further.
8	Why did you go further in the one situation and not the
9	other?
10	MR. PAYMENT: As I have already explained,
11	there were allegations; there were statements; there was
12	charges. I interviewed the victims in the Mr. Labelle
13	matter. I believed them and I felt there was a concern for
14	the community.
15	With this Bill gentleman, I was dealing with
16	rumours from, he heard that he heard, that he said, and I
17	had no specific allegations made by anybody against him for
18	being an abuser. This Bill gentleman. I was dealing with
19	rumours from, he heard that he heard that he said, and I
20	had no specific allegations made by anybody against him for
21	being an abuser.
22	MR. HORN: Did you have any other options
23	besides just putting a report in? I mean opening up a file
24	on him? Could you have done any more?
25	MR. PAYMENT: At that stage, no.

it Ms. McFadden?

24

25

MR. HORN: And the prosecutor was Mrs. -- is

1	MR. PAYMENT: They were joint. They worked
2	together on it.
3	MR. HORN: Oh, Don was working with her, Don
4	Johnson?
5	MR. PAYMENT: No, Alan Ain.
6	MR. HORN: Pardon?
7	MR. PAYMENT: Alan Ain.
8	MR. HORN: Who did the appeal, do you know?
9	MR. PAYMENT: I have no idea.
10	MR. HORN: According to the report, I think
11	Don Johnson did that. Did you know that?
12	MR. PAYMENT: I have no idea who did it.
13	MR. HORN: The newspaper report, it was Don
14	Johnson's name was mentioned in the
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I should just say
16	that first of all, when we're looking at media reports
17	about reasons for judgment of a court, we have to take that
18	with a grain of salt.
19	Secondly, with respect to again, with the
20	name of who's dealing with the appeal subject to Mr.
21	Carroll who's going to come and help us out here maybe, I
22	don't know that we should be grounding our 100 percent
23	faith in newspaper articles, with the greatest of respect
24	to the media that's here.
25	Mr. Carroll, you're

I	MR. CARROLL: Yes. I might be able to
2	assist. My recollection, sir, is that the appeal was done
3	by Alan Ain and that was at the district court level.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
5	MR. CARROLL: It went to the Court of Appeal
6	on a technical matter.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
8	MR. CARROLL: And that was somebody from the
9	Crown office that did that.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
11	MR. HORN: Okay.
12	So this report then is
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Is it accurate?
14	MR. HORN: It mentions Don Johnson making
15	statements. He argued that something and so I guess we
16	can't take this
17	THE COMMISSIONER: No, I don't think we
18	should go there.
19	MR. HORN: Pardon?
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah, I don't think we
21	can cross-examine on the basis of that document.
22	MR. HORN: Okay.
23	Did you follow up and did you keep
24	interested in the Leblanc situation?
25	MR. PAYMENT: No. Not until sorry, not

1	until I was contacted by Project Truth.
2	MR. HORN: Okay, now was there a I think
3	you mentioned something about there might have been
4	something that happened later on. Was that
5	MR. PAYMENT: What might have happened
6	later?
7	MR. HORN: That he was charged later?
8	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, he was.
9	MR. HORN: You were aware of that?
10	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
11	MR. HORN: And did you know that anything
12	about the fact that he was eventually dealt with there
13	was a consent for him to be designated as a long-term
14	offender? Did you know that?
15	MR. PAYMENT: I just found that out in
16	prepping for this Inquiry.
17	MR. HORN: Okay, so you only found that out
18	now?
19	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
20	MR. MANDERVILLE: My friend used the term
21	"consent". I don't think it was a consensual matter. He
22	was designated as a long-term offender.
23	MR. HORN: Well, I have a letter here from
24	Mr. Donihee. I can read it. I have
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Whoa, whoa. No, no.

1	Mr. Manson?
2	MR. MANSON: There is a judgment, I believe
3	from Mr. Justice Chilcott dealing with the evidence and
4	finding him a long-term offender.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, I understand that,
6	but, yes, thank you.
7	You can't read him a letter unless we look
8	at it as an exhibit or something.
9	MR. HORN: Okay. Well, I don't know if it's
10	been put in as an exhibit. It's a letter from Tilton
11	Donihee's office.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Have you give notice Mr.
13	is this a letter to which notice was given?
14	MR. HORN: I believe so.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh.
16	MR. HORN: March the 28^{th} , 2002 and it's
17	108428.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: So what's the issue here,
19	whether it was on consent or not? He was found to be a
20	long-term offender.
21	MR. HORN: Okay, I understand that.
22	I'm just interested in whether he followed
23	up along with this case from the '80s through to when he
24	was finally designated, which is like about 15 years later.
25	It's quite a long time and during that period of time

1	and he was never convicted was he?
2	THE COMMISSIONER: What do you mean he was
3	never convicted?
4	MR. HORN: Oh, I mean, sorry he was
5	convicted on a guilty plea. It never went to trial but the
6	there was other charges that came later. Were you
7	involved in any way on that one?
8	MR. PAYMENT: No, sir, I retired in 1999.
9	MR. HORN: Oh, so this was after you
10	retired?
11	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
12	MR. HORN: Okay. So it was in after 2002
13	1999?
14	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
15	MR. HORN: Okay. And this is a one that
16	was was it prosecuted here locally or was it from
17	another jurisdiction?
18	MR. PAYMENT: It was an OPP case. I don't
19	know where it was prosecuted.
20	MR. HORN: Okay. That's all the questions I
21	have.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
23	We'll take the afternoon break.
24	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
25	veuillez vous lever.

1	This hearing will resume at 3:30
2	Upon recessing at 3:12 p.m./
3	L'audience est suspendue à 15h12
4	Upon resuming at 3:36 p.m./
5	L'audience est reprise à 15h36
6	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
7	veuillez vous lever.
8	This hearing is now resumed. Please be
9	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
11	Mr. Neville?
12	MR. NEVILLE: No questions.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
14	Mr. Chisholm?
15	MR. CHISHOLM: I've offered Ms. Tymochenko
16	the chance to go in front of me so she can head back to
17	Toronto.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: All right, fine.
19	MR. CHISHOLM: If you don't object?
20	THE COMMISSIONER: No.
21	Good afternoon.
22	MS. TYMOCHENKO: Good afternoon. I
23	appreciate everyone letting me jump the queue.
24	BRIAN PAYMENT, Resumed/Sous le meme serment:
25	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS.

1	TYMOCHENKO
2	MS. TYMOCHENKO: My name is Nadya
3	Tymochenko. I'm counsel for the Upper Canada District
4	School Board which is the amalgamated public board.
5	MR. PAYMENT: M'hm.
6	MS. TYMOCHENKO: I just have a few questions
7	for you about the Leblanc investigation.
8	MR. PAYMENT: Okay.
9	MS. TYMOCHENKO: You had testified that the
10	principal of the school that the students were attending,
11	the students that you wanted to interview, had indicated to
12	you that he wanted parental consent before allowing you to
13	interview them at the school. Is that correct?
14	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
15	MS. TYMOCHENKO: And I just wanted to
16	clarify that in this case, there wouldn't be a concern in
17	your mind about informing the parents about the
18	investigation because there wasn't any interfamilial abuse
19	being alleged?
20	MR. PAYMENT: That's correct.
21	MS. TYMOCHENKO: Thank you.
22	You had also talked a little bit about your
23	interview with Jody and the fact that it had taken place at
24	his home in his bedroom?
25	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.

1	MS. TYMOCHENKO: And that that was a place
2	where he felt more comfortable speaking with you.
3	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
4	MS. TYMOCHENKO: So you would agree with me
5	that it's very important to make sure that the setting that
6	the child is interviewed in is a safe setting for them, one
7	they feel comfortable in?
8	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
9	MS. TYMOCHENKO: You had also testified that
10	you had encouraged Scott and Jody's parents to be
11	supportive of the investigation and the process?
12	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
13	MS. TYMOCHENKO: And you would agree that
14	it's important that parents support the investigation and
15	the process as it moves forward?
16	MR. PAYMENT: Very.
17	MS. TYMOCHENKO: And would you agree with me
18	that one of the ways that you can secure parental support
19	is by involving them and letting them know that an
20	investigation is taking place?
21	MR. PAYMENT: That was my reason for going
22	to the house that night.
23	MS. TYMOCHENKO: And would you agree with me
24	that, in some cases, the parents might have important
25	information to offer about how the child can be put at ease

1	and what would be most comfortable for them in the
2	interview process?
3	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
4	MS. TYMOCHENKO: So you would agree with me
5	that in some cases a parent might identify that having the
6	interview take place at the school might not be the best
7	place?
8	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, I can understand that.
9	MS. TYMOCHENKO: And you would also agree
10	that, in some cases, it would be appropriate for the
11	principal to want to inform them before the investigation
12	starts so that you can have the discussion to make sure
13	that they're comfortable ?
14	MR. PAYMENT: Oh, yeah. I didn't have a
15	problem with it. It was just that some schools did and
16	some schools didn't.
17	MS. TYMOCHENKO: And that was my next
18	question, actually. Thank you.
19	You had indicated that there was that
20	inconsistency. And I'm assuming from that that your
21	intention with the protocol was to make sure that schools
22	treated the investigation process consistently among them.
23	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
24	MS. TYMOCHENKO: And you had indicated, as
25	well, that you were involved in that protocol process; did

1	you continue with the process after you left the Bureau?
2	MR. PAYMENT: The the actual protocol, I
3	wasn't hands-on involved; it was something that we had
4	discussed, my partner and I, and it was my partner who
5	eventually became involved with the other agencies to set
6	up the protocol.
7	MS. TYMOCHENKO: Okay, thank you.
8	Those are my questions.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
10	Safe trip back.
11	So now Mr. Chisholm.
12	MR. CHISHOLM: Good afternoon, sir.
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
14	MR. CHISHOLM:
15	MR. CHISHOLM: Mr. Payment, we met yesterday
16	afternoon. My name is Peter Chisholm, I'm counsel for the
17	local CAS.
18	MR. PAYMENT: Good afternoon.
19	MR. CHISHOLM: If I could take you back to
20	your evidence this morning and this afternoon with Mr. Lee,
21	you spoke of a concern that you had when CAS workers would
22	sometimes interview children and you made reference to
23	using leading questions; you recall that evidence?
24	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
25	MR. CHISHOLM: And you, I take it, in the

1	course of your career with the Cornwall Police Service, you
2	interviewed many children, is that fair to say?
3	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
4	MR. CHISHOLM: And would you agree with me
5	that not all the children that you've interviewed over the
6	course of your career would have the same capacity to
7	comprehend the questions that you put to them?
8	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: And would you agree with me
10	that depending the child that you're interviewing, you
11	would have to use a number of techniques in order obtain
12	the information you were seeking to obtain?
13	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
14	MR. CHISHOLM: Would you agree with me that
15	factors such as the age of the child and the and the
16	child's intellectual ability would impact upon that child's
17	ability to understand the question?
18	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, I agree.
19	MR. CHISHOLM: And would you agree with me
20	that some children need more information presented to them
21	up front before they're able to understand the question?
22	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
23	MR. CHISHOLM: Would you agree with me that
24	that in some cases unless you provide that additional
25	information to the child that's being questioned, you may

1	not be able to obtain the information that you would
2	otherwise be able to get by giving that information?
3	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, there there are times,
4	especially with the younger ones.
5	MR. CHISHOLM: You made reference to the
6	Principal of the Cornwall at the Central Public School,
7	you referred to him as "Chris Duncan," is it possible his
8	name is Carl Duncan?
9	MR. PAYMENT: I I didn't know him other
10	than from my notes and my notes said "Chris" but it it
11	may be "Carl."
12	MR. CHISHOLM: If I could take you, please,
13	to Exhibit 1559?
14	And, Madam Clerk, it would be Bates
15	page 7157910.
16	These are the notes of Bruce Duncan; is that
17	your understanding
18	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
19	MR. CHISHOLM: Mr. Payment?
20	And at the top of the page that I'm taking
21	you to, you'll see a January 24, 1986 entry at 3:08?
22	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
23	MR. CHISHOLM: And then it says "INT Scott,"
24	I take it that to be an interview of Scott; is that your
25	understanding?

1	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
2	MR. CHISHOLM: An interview of Scott Burgess
3	by Bruce Duncan, is that right?
4	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
5	MR. CHISHOLM: And I'll take you over to the
6	next page, Bates page 7157911 and the top half of that page
7	you'll see that you'll see that there are six names and
8	I don't necessarily I don't want you to read the names
9	out, out loud, but do you see that there are six names that
10	are referenced in the top half
11	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, I see them.
12	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. And you'll see also,
13	in addition to those six names, that there are there's a
14	reference to four more boys who are unnamed?
15	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
16	MR. CHISHOLM: Four boys?
17	And would you agree with me that it would
18	appear that Bruce Duncan, in speaking to Scott Burgess, was
19	able to elicit this information out of Scott Burgess?
20	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
21	MR. CHISHOLM: And that these names would
22	include his brother, right?
23	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
24	MR. CHISHOLM: And nowhere in there is there
25	any reference to Cindy Burgess, would you agree with that?

1	MR. PAYMENT: I agree.
2	MR. CHISHOLM: Now, if I could take you,
3	please, to Exhibit 1560.
4	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
5	MR. CHISHOLM: In the first in the first
6	page of that exhibit; these are your notes, is that right,
7	Constable Payment?
8	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, they are.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. And if I could ask you
10	to take a look at, again without reading the names out
11	loud, if you could look at the names on that first sheet.
12	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
13	MR. CHISHOLM: And would you agree with me
14	that all of the names that are referenced here with respect
15	to the children are also referenced in the exhibit we've
16	just looked, Bruce Duncan's notes?
17	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: And the reference to "four
19	more boys, no names," is what how you referenced it?
20	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
21	MR. CHISHOLM: Would you agree with me that
22	you likely received this information from Bruce Duncan?
23	MR. PAYMENT: I don't recall where I
24	received it but in all probability, yes.
25	MR. CHISHOLM: You don't have a date on this

1	particular page of your notes; is that right?
2	MR. PAYMENT: No, these these are
3	actually scribble notes that I make.
4	MR. CHISHOLM: If I could get you to turn
5	the page, please, to Bates page 7142911 and then
6	three-quarters of the way down that page, this is your note
7	of January 24, 1986, and it reads at 1856 hours you attend
8	seven of the CAS, met with victim and his teacher; is that
9	right?
10	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
11	MR. CHISHOLM: So that would be 6:56 in the
12	evening
13	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
14	MR. CHISHOLM: you arrived at the CAS
15	office? And that would have been on York Street, is that
16	right?
17	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: Now, your note makes
19	reference to you meeting with the victim and his teacher,
20	there's no reference there to you meeting with Bruce
21	Duncan; do you have any recollection of meeting with Mr.
22	Duncan upon your arrival at the CAS office?
23	MR. PAYMENT: I don't recall but since it
24	was his office, he he probably would have been there.
25	We just met there.

1	MR. CHISHOLM: And had you been to the
2	you had been to the CAS office prior to that date, is that
3	right, where other cases would have brought you there?
4	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
5	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. And when you were
6	there in the past, did you have any procedure with respect
7	to meeting with any of the CAS workers, to get briefed on a
8	case, how did you have a set procedure?
9	MR. PAYMENT: We would we would go over
10	and I would ask the receptionist to contact, to see if they
11	were available and she would contact them by phone and then
12	they would come and get me.
13	MR. CHISHOLM: You have no recollection of
14	meeting with Mr. Duncan before you met with Scott Burgess
15	and Ms. Raymond that evening; can you if you could give
16	us your and I'm asking you to guess here
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Or you're not asking him
18	to guess, are you?
19	MR. CHISHOLM: Well, he has no recollection,
20	sir, and it's not it's not set out in his notes.
21	Is it more likely than not
22	THE COMMISSIONER: What about putting it
23	this way; does your client say that do you have a series
24	of actions or events that you want to put to him?
25	MR. CHISHOLM: No, my I think I'm going

1	to be stuck with the with the written record of the CAS.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: All right, okay.
3	MR. CHISHOLM: So I don't have I don't
4	know that I'm going to have a witness to be able to say the
5	meeting actually took place, while this witness is on the
6	stand.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm, okay.
8	MR. CHISHOLM: I just want to see what we
9	can get out of him in terms
10	THE COMMISSIONER: So what's your best
11	reconstruction of that evening?
12	MR. PAYMENT: God.
13	Because of the time factor, I think it may
14	have been prearranged that we would get together, because
15	the office would probably have been closed and locked and
16	we would either he would either meet us at the door or
17	we would meet and then attend at CAS.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: And if you were still in the
19	police force, police service today, and you were having to
20	do an investigation and your starting point would be going
21	back to the Children's Aid Society, what would you do?
22	Assuming there was a CAS caseworker involved in the case,
23	what would you do first upon arriving at the office CAS
24	office?
25	MR. PAYMENT: I would talk to the

1	receptionist and ask for whoever is next on line to take a
2	case.
3	MR. CHISHOLM: And you would speak to that
4	person who was online to take the case, is that right,
5	before you spoke to the before you spoke to the victim
6	and the teacher?
7	MR. PAYMENT: Well, if it was a different
8	scenario, yeah, but in this case CAS met me at the school.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: Right.
10	MR. PAYMENT: But if CAS wasn't involved, I
11	may contact them through the switchboard, they assign
12	somebody and then that person may say, "Well, I can't go
13	right now. You go ahead; find out what you've got and get
14	back to me."
15	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. And in a case where
16	you knew that the CAS worker had already been assigned?
17	MR. PAYMENT: Then I'd contact that worker.
18	MR. CHISHOLM: Okay. Now, if I could take
19	you, please, to the last area I want to touch upon? This
20	deals with the I'll take you to Exhibit 1561, please.
21	That's the Information charging Jean-Luc Leblanc with three
22	counts under Section 157 of the Criminal Code, and you'll
23	see the second count on Bates page 7112584 is in relation
24	to Scott Burgess?
25	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.

1	MR. CHISHOLM: And onto Bates page 7112585
2	there's a notation at the bottom left-hand corner of the
3	Information indicating that on November the 6^{th} , that count
4	the second count, was withdrawn at the request of the
5	Crown.
6	This morning you spoke of you indicated
7	you had a vague recollection of speaking with Bruce Duncan
8	and asking him to speak to Scott Burgess about the
9	withdrawal of that charge. Do you recall saying that this
10	morning?
11	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
12	MR. CHISHOLM: And how certain are you with
13	respect to your recollection of the conversation that you
14	had with Bruce Duncan?
15	MR. PAYMENT: It's 22 years ago and the
16	exact content of the conversation and I'm positive he
17	said he was already aware of it and was speaking to Scott.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Are you certain that you
19	had a conversation with him about this subject?
20	MR. PAYMENT: I wouldn't say 100 per cent
21	sure, no.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
23	MR. CHISHOLM: Because the reason you
24	indicated this morning you had a vague recollection, or
25	words to that effect.

1	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
2	MR. CHISHOLM: That's one of the causes of
3	concern that I would have.
4	Can you give us any guidance with respect to
5	where the conversation would have taken place? Was it on
6	the telephone?
7	MR. PAYMENT: Something like that. It would
8	have been by phone.
9	MR. CHISHOLM: By phone?
10	MR. PAYMENT: Yeah.
11	MR. CHISHOLM: And would it be fair to say
12	that if such a conversation had taken place, it would have
13	taken place no earlier than November the 6^{th} of 1996 because
14	it's
15	MR. PAYMENT: On
16	MR. CHISHOLM: either on that date or
17	after
18	MR. PAYMENT: Well after.
19	MR. CHISHOLM: that you discover that
20	the charge had been withdrawn.
21	MR. PAYMENT: That's right. It was well
22	after the trial date.
23	MR. CHISHOLM: And prior to that, you had no
24	indication that the charge was going to be withdrawn
25	MR. PAYMENT: No.

1	MR. CHISHOLM: or a guilty plea
2	MR. PAYMENT: None.
3	MR. CHISHOLM: guilty pleas entered?
4	MR. PAYMENT: Nothing.
5	MR. CHISHOLM: If I were to tell you that
6	the CAS file would indicate that Bruce Duncan had the file
7	reassigned to another case worker and that the case worker
8	had it as of April of 1986, which would be some seven
9	months prior to November of 1986, would that cause you any
10	concern with respect to the recollection of the
11	conversation?
12	MR. PAYMENT: No.
13	MR. CHISHOLM: No?
14	Those are my questions, Mr. Payment. Thank
15	you very much for your time.
16	MR. PAYMENT: Thank you.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
18	Mr. Rose.
19	MR. ROSE: Good afternoon, Mr. Payment. My
20	name is David Rose. I act for the Ministry of Community
21	Safety and Correctional Services and I have no questions.
22	Thank you for coming.
23	MR. PAYMENT: Thank you.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
25	Mr. Kloeze.

1	MR. KLOEZE: Good alternoon, Mr.
2	Commissioner.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Good afternoon.
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
5	KLOEZE:
6	MR. KLOEZE: Good afternoon, Mr. Payment.
7	My name is Darrell Kloeze. I'm counsel for the Ministry of
8	the Attorney General.
9	I just have a few questions which are
10	basically clarification from some of the cross-examinations
11	that have occurred earlier today, so I shouldn't be long.
12	The first one is about is with respect to
13	the Lucien Labelle prosecution. And you had a discussion
14	with Mr. Manson, I believe, earlier today that you spoke
15	with Mary McFadyen, who was one of the Crowns in that
16	prosecution, about the three adult witnesses
17	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
18	MR. KLOEZE: that you had identified.
19	And I think with Mr. Lee you said that this discussion was
20	basically the matter of a debate between yourself and Mary
21	McFadyen?
22	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
23	MR. KLOEZE: And you thought that the Crown
24	should bring these witnesses as corroborating evidence?
25	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.

1	MR. KLOEZE: And you said to Mr. Manson
2	that, to your memory, the Crown didn't tell you that the
3	admissibility of the evidence was one of her concerns?
4	MR. PAYMENT: I don't recall her ever
5	talking about admissibility, no.
6	MR. KLOEZE: And that her concern was piling
7	up witnesses, I guess.
8	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
9	MR. KLOEZE: Now, my only question is do you
10	remember whether or not you even talked about
11	admissibility? Did she say that admissibility was
12	definitely not one of her problems?
13	MR. PAYMENT: No.
14	MR. KLOEZE: Or was that even a topic of
15	conversation with her?
16	MR. PAYMENT: Admissibility never came up.
17	MR. KLOEZE: Okay. Now, Mr. Lee referred
18	you to a newspaper article. And I understand, Mr.
19	Commissioner I recognize the problems of cross-examining
20	on newspaper articles, but this was an article that talked
21	about the judge's decision at the trial. And Mr. Lee
22	pointed you to the statement of the judge where he said
23	the judge had cited the lack of corroboration as one of his
24	reasons for dismissing charges.
25	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.

1	MR. KLOEZE: And you remembered that?
2	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
3	MR. KLOEZE: Now, do you remember the other
4	two
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, just a sec.
6	Mr. Horn, are you up for exercise or
7	MR. HORN: I was
8	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no
9	MR. HORN: I was I didn't refer to the
10	article.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Rules. Rules. Rules.
12	MR. HORN: Yes. I was told that I could not
13	cross-examine based on that article in the paper because
14	it's not reliable, and I
15	THE COMMISSIONER: No, that's not the
16	document that I don't think that was the document. You
17	had come up with
18	MR. HORN: Mr. Lee's document.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Well
20	MR. HORN: Yes, it was Mr. Lee
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
22	MR. HORN: It was Mr. Lee who was the one
23	that was had the document and that's what I was
24	referring to.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: I thought it was another

1	document.
2	MR. HORN: No, it wasn't. It was a
3	newspaper article by
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Horn Mr. Horn
5	_
6	MR. HORN: Yes.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Horn, I know your
8	position. I'm just trying no, no, don't go away. Don't
9	go away; we'll talk about that. I thought it was another
10	newspaper article.
11	MS. SIMMS: Maybe perhaps I can clarify.
12	I think what Mr. Horn had with him was an article that had
13	been produced by Mr. Lee last night.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
15	MS. SIMMS: And that was the article where I
16	was clarifying with you the publication ban issue. That
17	was the article that Mr. Horn was attempting to refer to
18	earlier. This is an article that was produced to us
19	through the CAS. That is what
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
21	MS. SIMMS: They're two different articles.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: So there are two
23	different articles, Mr. Horn.
24	MR. HORN: That's right. There's two
25	different articles, but you indicated to me that an article

1	you could not rely on a newspaper article because it's
2	not substantiated.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: No
4	MR. HORN: He's relying on a newspaper
5	article.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Don't walk away, Mr.
7	Horn. You know, I know I'm not entitled to very much
8	respect around here, but
9	MR. HORN: I'm sorry.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: You know, come on.
11	MR. HORN: I'm sorry.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So in fairness to
13	me, it was a different article.
14	And what was your point that you wanted to
15	raise with the article? I think that's more important.
16	MR. HORN: There was an article it was an
17	article
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Let's pull it up.
19	MR. HORN: and it involved
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Let's pull it up.
21	MR. HORN: the question of whether it
22	was Don Johnson that did the appeal, and I was going to
23	quote something that Mr. Johnson said in the article, and
24	you said that it wasn't reliable, so I didn't
25	THE COMMISSIONER: No

1	MR. HORN: I just stopped.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Just a second, sir. Just
3	a second, sir. Mr. Carroll had come up and said it was
4	a question of the appeal, and the appeal was taken by Mr.
5	Ain, and Mr. Manson had the
6	MR. HORN: I have it right here
7	THE COMMISSIONER: has the report.
8	MR. HORN: with Mr. Ain's name on it.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: So no, no, no, you're
10	not you know, I take a lot of abuse for a lot of things.
11	MR. HORN: Oh, I'm sorry.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: But on this point, the
13	fact was it wasn't Mr. Johnson who took the appeal, it was
14	Mr. Ain and then somebody else from Crown Law Office. And
15	I may have misspoken. I thought you were going to put to
16	this gentleman something that Don Johnson had said as
17	appeal counsel and we knew that was in error. That's
18	number one.
19	Number two. I said that when we're talking
20	about newspaper articles talking about judges' decisions
21	and the rationale and the reasons for their decision, that
22	we have to be careful when we are citing newspaper
23	articles. I think that's the way I put it. So
24	MR. HORN: I was going to quote Mr. Johnson.
25	He made a comment about the decision that was made and he

1	disagreed with the decision and that was Mr. Don Johnson
2	was the one that made that
3	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
4	MR. HORN: made that comment and I was
5	going to ask him if he was aware of it because he had been
6	dealing with Mr. Johnson on a number of matters. That's
7	why I wanted to ask him that question.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, all right. Well,
9	then Mr. Horn, you keep going away. You can't do that.
10	MR. HORN: I thought I was through.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm not.
12	MR. HORN: Okay. All right.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: So, first of all, I'll
14	apologize to you because I thought you were talking about
15	Court of Appeal.
16	Can you sit down Mr. Kloeze for a sec?
17	MR. KLOEZE: Yes.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: So now having heard what
19	you wanted to do with that, sir, I'm going to permit you to
20	ask this witness the question.
21	MR. HORN: Oh. Okay, let me get the
22	article.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: So now, let's get just
24	get it straight.
25	We're talking about there was an appeal from

1	the from the trial judges and is this a comment about
2	the District Court or the Court of Appeal decision?
3	MR. HORN: It was, let's see, it was against
4	Robert Hutton's ban on publication and Don Johnson argued -
5	- also argued:
6	"Hutton had erred in law by hanging his
7	hat on the honesty and good reputation
8	of the accused, Mr. Labelle".
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, but just a second -
10	
11	MR. HORN: And I was just asking if the
12	he was aware of Mr. Johnson's involvement in that case
13	because it seems that he was involved in that case.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no. No, no.
15	MR. MANDERVILLE: With respect, Mr.
16	Commissioner, that's simply at best an accurate recording
17	of a submission.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
19	MR. MANDERVILLE: I fail to see the
20	relevance of the question.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Not only that, Mr. Horn,
22	from what I can gather from them, and I don't have the
23	copy, aren't we talking about the decision of whether or
24	not the accused's name would be published?
25	And what Mr. Johnson is saying is that he

1	disagrees with the trial judge's decision to make a
2	impose a ban on the publication of the accused's name.
3	MR. HORN: The issue was I wanted to have
4	him
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Whose him?
6	MR. HORN: I'm talking about the police
7	officer
8	THE COMMISSIONER: The witness.
9	MR. HORN: that I was questioning. Is
10	he if he was aware of the position of Mr. Johnson in
11	regards to this issue to this case?
12	THE COMMISSIONER: To what issue?
13	MR. HORN: Well, not just this issue this
14	case but the fact that of how did he feel about the fact
15	that there was an acquittal? If I was wondering if this
16	was showing the position of Mr. Johnson regarding the case
17	itself
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, but from what you -
19	- I'm asking you does that article not deal with the
20	issue of whether or not the ban the publication. So if Mr.
21	Johnson in that article is saying, "I disagree with the
22	trial judge on the issue of the ban of publication", that's
23	all he's talking about there.
24	FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE
25	SUPPLÉMENTAIRE PAR MR. HORN:

1	MR. HORN: It's a narrow issue.
2	But I was wanting to know if this was the
3	sentiment of Mr. Johnson is regards to the acquittal. I
4	was hoping to get to that, just because of his position and
5	in making comment like this on the case itself and the fact
6	that he was interested in it. Because it seems like he
7	wasn't the Crown on it. It was another Crown and yet he
8	was the one making comments about this.
9	MR. PAYMENT: He's the Head Crown.
10	MR. HORN: That's why I was interested in
11	to know what the local Head Crown was he interested in
12	this case and the fact that there was an acquittal? And it
13	was done by an outside Crown.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, so the question had
15	nothing to do with the article?
16	MR. HORN: It I just wanted to know if
17	what Mr. Johnson's feelings were on the acquittal.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Sir?
19	MR. PAYMENT: I have no idea.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: If you walk away
21	MR. HORN: I'm sorry.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, no. Enough is
23	enough.
24	MR. HORN: Okay.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Were you did you speak

1	to Mr. Johnson after the acquittal on this case?
2	MR. PAYMENT: No.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: He didn't.
4	MR. HORN: That's all I wanted to know.
5	Thank you.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
7	MR. HORN: Is it am I free to go now?
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, you're free to go.
9	MR. HORN: Thank you.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: So, Mr. Kloeze could you
11	please, knowing that the judge's reasons in there are to
12	be taken with some caution.
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
14	KLOEZE: (cont'd./suite)
15	MR. KLOEZE: I totally understand that, Mr.
16	Commissioner.
17	All that I wanted to ask Mr. Payment was
18	Mr. Lee, I think you said to Mr. Lee that you remember the
19	judge saying that the fact that there wasn't corroborating
20	evidence is one of the reasons that he dismissed the
21	charges?
22	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
23	MR. KLOEZE: Do you remember the judge
24	saying that he also sited two other factors for dismissing
25	the charges. One of them was that there was contradictory

1	evidence, in his opinion, that was given by the remaie
2	victims. Do you recall that?
3	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
4	MR. KLOEZE: And do you recall the judge
5	saying that the third reason was that there was excellent
6	character testimony by colleagues and neighbours of the
7	accused?
8	MR. PAYMENT: I vaguely remember that last
9	one.
10	MR. KLOEZE: Okay. Now, you're aware,
11	certainly after some of the exchange we've had this
12	afternoon, that the Crown did appeal that finding of the
13	judge?
14	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
15	MR. KLOEZE: And I understand that you
16	didn't attend any of the appeal hearings?
17	MR. PAYMENT: No, I did not.
18	MR. KLOEZE: Okay. Thank you.
19	One other question. I'm going to the
20	Leblanc prosecution now. And I understand that when you
21	met with the Crown Attorney, Don Johnson, you had shown him
22	some statements. He agreed that charges could be laid.
23	And you had discussed with him the matter of this person
24	named Bill?
25	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.

1	MR. KLOEZE: And but you didn't have a
2	formal complaint
3	MR. PAYMENT: No, I did not.
4	MR. KLOEZE: at that time?
5	Now, I understand that if you had received a
6	complaint or more particulars about allegations, you would
7	have continued an investigation against that individual?
8	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
9	MR. KLOEZE: And the only other point I
10	wanted to cover was the matter of the plea in the Leblanc
11	prosecution.
12	You testified that you didn't like the
13	practice of pleading to two charges and dropping one?
14	MR. PAYMENT: No, sir. I know that happens
15	on a regular basis but as a police officer, I don't like
16	it.
17	MR. KLOEZE: I take that as more of a
18	philosophical problem. You have three charges, the three
19	charges you believe are well-founded otherwise you wouldn't
20	have laid them?
21	MR. PAYMENT: That's right.
22	MR. KLOEZE: And you have a philosophical
23	problem with dropping one of them in exchange for two?
24	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
25	MR. KLOEZE: Now and I understand that

1	philosophical problem is it your opinion that if the
2	Crown is unable to secure a guilty plea on all three
3	charges, that the Crown should go ahead with the full trial
4	and try to secure a conviction?
5	MR. PAYMENT: It would depend on the case
6	because you don't really want to have to put the victims
7	through something like this again. You know they've
8	been interviewed by us and they've had to give statements
9	and now do they have to go to a pre-trial and trial and
10	have to testify all over again?
11	Taking two out of three is all right and I
12	understand it has to be done. I personally just don't like
13	it.
14	MR. KLOEZE: No. Was it at the time in
15	1986, was it normally the practice that the Crown would
16	involve you in those kind of plea negotiations with defence
17	counsel?
18	MR. PAYMENT: At times. If I was there.
19	MR. KLOEZE: If you were there?
20	MR. PAYMENT: Yeah.
21	MR. KLOEZE: But in Leblanc, in this
22	prosecution, I understand that you didn't have much
23	involvement after the charges were laid?
24	MR. PAYMENT: No.
25	MR. KLOEZE: Okay.

25

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC.

Association. And as such we represent the rank and file

I'm the Counsel for the Ontario Provincial Police

1	members as you would know of the OPP.
2	And I wanted to follow-up, sir, because I
3	think it's a matter of concern universally to police
4	policing, your comment about the macho mask. And I wanted
5	to divide it up, if you will, into three timeframes. When
6	you started with the police in Cornwall your entire
7	police service was in Cornwall was it?
8	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, it was.
9	MR. CARROLL: And when you started with the
10	police, when you finished with them, and any suggestions
11	that you might have in that regard; okay?
12	And dealing with the concept, just maybe you
13	could elaborate a bit on what you meant by the "macho
14	mask"?
15	MR. PAYMENT: Well
16	MR. CARROLL: When you first encountered it
17	when you joined the Service.
18	MR. PAYMENT: It was a case of the big burly
19	policeman is not supposed to cry or not supposed to show
20	emotion and compassion was a question mark, like and
21	even into the '80s it was still a factor, like you were
22	supposed to be the big tough policeman.
23	MR. CARROLL: And did you you were on the
24	Executive of the Association, I believe. Is that right?
25	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.

1	MR. CARROLL: And as such, aid you have
2	occasion to interact with Association members from other
3	police services?
4	MR. PAYMENT: Oh yes.
5	MR. CARROLL: And did you find that this
6	concept you're talking about spread beyond the Cornwall
7	Police? In fact, it was
8	MR. PAYMENT: Oh yes.
9	MR. CARROLL: throughout the profession?
10	MR. PAYMENT: Yes, province-wide.
11	MR. CARROLL: And while you were on the job,
12	either early on or towards the end of your years of
13	service, was your particular police service providing any
14	kind of counselling services for that issue that was facing
15	officers?
16	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
17	MR. CARROLL: And how was that provided?
18	Was it in the Employee Assistance Plan?
19	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
20	MR. CARROLL: And, sir, there may be some
21	services not available yet. How was it structured in your
22	service?
23	MR. PAYMENT: We had contact people that
24	were members of our department and they worked on this
25	committee for the counselling, and if I had an issue or I

1	had a problem, I would I could go to any one of those
2	contacts that I felt comfortable with, and they would take
3	it to the next step for us and make referrals.
4	MR. CARROLL: Those contacts, were they
5	police officers?
6	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
7	MR. CARROLL: I see.
8	And then what was the next step?
9	MR. PAYMENT: They would make referrals and
10	we would go to interviews with whatever we needed.
11	MR. CARROLL: All right.
12	And so the psychologist
13	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
14	MR. CARROLL: and services were made
15	available?
16	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
17	MR. CARROLL: And, sir, I'm assuming that
18	that service was provided with a degree of anonymity? In
19	other words, there were no reports flowing back to your
20	service or
21	MR. PAYMENT: That is correct.
22	MR. CARROLL: Were the members confident
23	that that was the case in all instances, that word wasn't
24	getting back?
25	MR. PAYMENT: No.

1	MR. CARROLL: And was that perceived as
2	perhaps a sign of weakness to seek out the counselling?
3	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
4	MR. CARROLL: And did that
5	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry.
6	MR. CARROLL: Yes.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: When you said was
8	there any concern? You weren't concerned about news going
9	back from the professional to your police service, right?
10	MR. CARROLL: I think he was talking about
11	the content of
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, the content.
13	MR. CARROLL: of the counselling as
14	opposed to the fact of.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: You did not have any
16	concerns; is that what you said?
17	MR. CARROLL: I had concerns about it
18	getting back.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Sorry. I just
20	wanted to
21	MR. CARROLL: You had concerns about both
22	content getting back as well as the fact of availing
23	yourself of those services?
24	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
25	MR. CARROLL: All right.

1	And I take it then you had some concern that
2	the very doctors that were offered to assist you or
3	counsellors that were offered to assist may have some
4	communication with the administration?
5	MR. PAYMENT: I don't know what was done
6	with them after, if they did communicate. I don't know if
7	it was maybe the officer that was your liaison that made
8	the referral, but I know of an incident well, it
9	happened to me, where I was spoken to about it.
10	MR. CARROLL: Where you were spoken to about
11	having sought out counselling services?
12	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
13	MR. CARROLL: All right.
14	Would it be of assistance, do you think,
15	sir, then to policing personnel in general if this
16	Commission were to make a recommendation that all police
17	services should provide counselling services and with a
18	degree of anonymity sufficient to satisfy the needs of the
19	members?
20	MR. PAYMENT: I think it would be a good
21	recommendation, but if I could, maybe a step further
22	MR. CARROLL: Sure.
23	MR. PAYMENT: Maybe the liaison officer
24	doesn't have to be somebody from the local force. Like, if
25	I know somebody that's on the Ottawa Police Force or

1	whatever, maybe I could go to him with less chance of
2	something getting back because we're a small force.
3	MR. CARROLL: And I take it, sir, you would
4	also agree that educating the members would be of
5	assistance as to the benefits of counselling to eradicate
6	that view that you seem to say is still there as far as you
7	know?
8	MR. PAYMENT: The mask?
9	MR. CARROLL: Yeah.
10	MR. PAYMENT: That's disappearing.
11	MR. CARROLL: It is?
12	MR. PAYMENT: It's going away, yes.
13	MR. CARROLL: Well, that's a good thing to
14	hear then.
15	MR. PAYMENT: Yes.
16	MR. CARROLL: Thank you, sir.
17	Thank you.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much.
19	All right. So Mr. Manderville.
20	MR. MANDERVILLE: Good afternoon, Mr.
21	Commissioner, Officer Payment.
22	I have no questions for you. Thank you.
23	MR. PAYMENT: Thank you.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
25	Ms. Simms, do you have any questions?

1	MS. SIMMS: No, Mr. Commissioner, no further
2	questions. I just wanted to thank the witness for coming
3	today.
4	MR. PAYMENT: Thank you.
5	MS. SIMMS: Thank you.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Payment, I want to
7	echo everyone else's thanks for coming. I found your
8	evidence very interesting and I thank you again.
9	MR. PAYMENT: Thank you for your
10	understanding and I hope you have luck with your task.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: I'll need it.
12	Thank you. So you may step down. I think
13	we're ready for the next witness.
14	MS. SIMMS: If we could have five minutes?
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Why don't we take five
16	minutes.
17	MS. SIMMS: Yeah.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.
19	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
20	veuillez vous lever.
21	This hearing will resume at 4:25.
22	Upon recessing at 4:15 p.m./
23	L'audience est suspendue à 16h15
24	Upon resuming at 4:26 p.m./
25	L'audience est reprise à 16h26

1	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
2	veuillez vous lever.
3	This hearing is now resumed. Please be
4	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you.
6	MR. STAUFFER: Good afternoon, Mr.
7	Commissioner. Mr. Stuart McDonald is now coming to the
8	stand.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
10	Good afternoon, sir.
11	MR. McDONALD: Good afternoon, sir.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Would you wish to be
13	affirmed or sworn in?
14	MR. McDONALD: Sworn.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
16	STUART McDONALD, Sworn/Assermenté:
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
18	Have a seat, sir. So I'd like you to sit
19	up. The microphone picks up what you're going to say.
20	There's water, fresh glasses. There's a little box there
21	which is a speaker, a little knob on the right; if you turn
22	it up, you can hear better.
23	MR. McDONALD: I think it's turned up all
24	the way.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Well, then,

1	there you go.
2	There's a screen. If you're going to be
3	shown any documents, you'll be given a hardcopy and
4	something on the screen. You can use either.
5	Please answer the questions the best you
6	can. If you don't understand, just tell me you don't
7	understand. If you don't remember, that's all right.
8	What I want you to know though is if at any
9	time you feel uncomfortable or hesitant, please look over
10	and tell me and I'll deal with it.
11	MR. McDONALD: Thank you, sir.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Now, I know that it's
13	4:30. What I'd like to do is start for about 45 minutes.
14	I understand that you have to be finished tomorrow because
15	you're off to Portugal sometime.
16	MR. McDONALD: Portugal, Spain and France.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Terrific. So that's why
18	I want to sit a little later tonight and get that out of
19	the way, and tomorrow it's the last day of the week, so we
20	will be sitting until 2:00 and with no lunch, so bring a
21	sandwich or something, and so we'll try to get you through
22	as much as possible.
23	MR. McDONALD: Right on.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: How's that?
25	MR. McDONALD: Thank you.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Have I covered
2	everything?
3	MR. McDONALD: Thank you, sir.
4	MR. STAUFFER: Mr. Commissioner, with your
5	remarks in mind, I intend to lead Staff Inspector McDonald
6	as much as I can. I'll try to be sensitive to those issues
7	which might be in dispute.
8	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
9	STAUFFER:
10	MR. STAUFFER: Staff Inspector, can you tell
11	us a few things to start with? Have you read any of the
12	testimony from prior witnesses at this Inquiry?
13	MR. McDONALD: No, sir.
14	MR. STAUFFER: Have you heard any of the
15	testimony, either through the media or through talking with
16	people?
17	MR. McDONALD: Yes, sir.
18	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. So we'll see if
19	there's anything that comes out of that.
20	And in terms of preparing for today, have
21	you actually spoken to people, either prior witnesses or
22	witnesses you think that may be called to prepare for
23	today?
24	MR. McDONALD: No, sir.
25	MR. STAUFFER: All right.

1	Now, Staff Inspector, you served on the
2	Cornwall Community Police Service from September 2 nd , 1969
3	until I think your official retirement date was May $31^{\rm st}$,
4	1995. Have I got the dates right?
5	MR. McDONALD: No, sir.
6	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Tell us the dates.
8	MR. STAUFFER: I'm not good at this,
9	obviously.
10	MR. McDONALD: I started on the 1^{st} of
11	MR. STAUFFER: But go ahead.
12	$MR.$ McDONALD: I started on the 1^{st} of June
13	1969 and I retired on the $1^{\rm st}$ of June 1995.
14	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. I gather in terms of
15	officially being a police officer and working, if I can put
16	it that way, it was sometime in the fall of 1994 that you
17	would have actually still been carrying out duties as a
18	police officer?
19	MR. McDONALD: That's correct.
20	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
21	MR. McDONALD: Excuse me; I might have
22	gotten the dates wrong. I did start in September of '67
23	with the OPP. I was just thinking of the Cornwall Police
24	Force.
25	MR. STAUFFER: That's fine. All right.

1	So, sir, you were with the OPP for a couple
2	of years and then you came on board with the Cornwall
3	Police Service?
4	MR. McDONALD: That's correct.
5	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
6	You actually rose to the rank at one point
7	of Deputy Chief, is that right? For over a year you were
8	Deputy Chief from approximately April 1984 until July 1985?
9	MR. McDONALD: Approximately.
10	MR. STAUFFER: Does that sound about right?
11	And you retired, though, at the rank of
12	Staff Inspector?
13	MR. McDONALD: That's correct.
14	MR. STAUFFER: And Staff Inspector was
15	actually a rank, if I can put it that way, although it
16	existed, it was created, if you will, for yourself?
17	MR. McDONALD: We negotiated that rank.
18	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
19	And, sir, you were then at one point prior
20	to retirement, the third highest ranking officer then in
21	the Cornwall Police Service; is that correct?
22	MR. McDONALD: That's correct.
23	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Sir before I go into
24	any more specifics, just generally by way of background
25	again, did you receive any specific training with respect

25

1	to sexual assault investigations?
2	MR. McDONALD: No, I didn't.
3	MR. STAUFFER: And did you, in fact, receive
4	any training that related to investigative techniques?
5	MR. McDONALD: Basic I believe, yes.
6	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. There is, Mr.
7	Commissioner, a profile that my friends kindly provided to
8	me. Given what Staff Inspector McDonald has said in terms
9	of his career, it's not quite accurate. I'm not sure if we
10	should enter this document or not. I'm in my friends'
11	hands but in terms of the training aspect of it, probably
12	that would be of some assistance.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Manderville?
14	MR. MANDERVILLE: I have no objections.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
16	MR. STAUFFER: So that's Document 200201,
17	Mr. Commissioner.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 1567 and where is
19	it erroneous?
20	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-1567:
21	(200201) Career Profile of Stuart McDonald
22	MR. STAUFFER: The inaccuracies and Staff
23	Inspector if you follow on the document for a moment,

229

you'll see, sir, where it says "Criminal Investigation

Staff Inspector, January 1st, 1990," that's fine I believe.

1	But then the problem that we're going to
2	encounter I think is the next one up, "Administration
3	Special Services Staff Inspector." Staff Inspector
4	McDonald, am I right that you continued to be the head of
5	CIB through that period and up until the end of 1992?
6	MR. McDONALD: To be honest with you, I
7	don't recall. I moved around quite a bit at the time. And
8	I have a hard time remembering where I was on specific
9	years.
10	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Well, that's
11	essentially to answer your question
12	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
13	MR. STAUFFER: Mr. Commissioner, that
14	was the concern I had.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
16	MR. STAUFFER: If we just turn over the
17	sheet for a moment, Staff Inspector, you'll see, at least
18	in my copy, there are training courses that take up a page
19	and a half or a page and a third roughly, and if you could
20	just look at those quickly. Do those essentially reflect
21	the major courses that you took during your time with the
22	Cornwall Police Service?
23	MR. McDONALD: Yes, I've looked at it and
24	whether there is any missing or not, I couldn't tell you.
25	MR. STAUFFER: All right. Staff Inspector,

1	importantly from my perspective at least, I do want to be
2	sure as to when you were the head of CIB. So am I right
3	that it's from the beginning of 1990 through to the end of
4	1992?
5	MR. McDONALD: I was there at the end of '92
6	and I know that I was there during the year 1992, but I
7	don't recall when I went into the branch.
8	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. So you mean the
9	beginning date, the January 1990
10	MR. McDONALD: No, I don't know when I
11	started with CIB.
12	MR. STAUFFER: Oh, okay. Again, without
13	belabouring this, if you could remember back, and I know
14	it's a number of years ago, but for that three-year period
15	from 1990 through the end of 1992, was there any major task
16	that you had outside of the CIB, if I can put it that way,
17	or some major assignment that was not a CIB-related
18	activity?
19	MR. McDONALD: Not that I recall.
20	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Because the way again
21	I understand it is, would you have taken over from
22	Inspector Trew as the head of CIB?
23	MR. McDONALD: I'm sorry, I don't remember.
24	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. And do you remember,
25	sir, would you have handed off the officer in charge role

1	to Staff Sergeant Brunet?
2	MR. McDONALD: Yes, I believe that's
3	correct.
4	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. When you took over
5	from Inspector Trew, was there any formal briefing or set
6	of briefings from Inspector Trew to yourself as to what was
7	going on in CIB?
8	MR. McDONALD: Not to my recollection.
9	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. When you handed over
10	to Staff Sergeant Brunet at the end of 1992 or thereabouts,
11	did you provide Staff Brunet with a set of briefings or a
12	briefing as to what was going on at CIB?
13	MR. McDONALD: Not to my recollection.
14	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Do you remember, sir,
15	when you did take over CIB, and let's say for arguments
16	sake it's around the beginning of 1990, do you remember
17	there being any particular priorities as to what areas of
18	criminality existed at that point back in the time when you
19	took over in CIB?
20	MR. McDONALD: No, I don't recall. A few
21	cases stand out but the only thing that I remember was that
22	there was an overwhelming amount of cases. And the hardest
23	job I had was trying to figure out who to assign them to
24	because I felt most of them were overburdened and over
25	with their caseloads.

1	MR. STAUFFER: Do you remember roughly now
2	many investigators would have been working under you during
3	that three-year period?
4	MR. McDONALD: Probably six or seven.
5	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. And again I appreciate
6	that would depend on whether someone's on leave, whether
7	someone gets sick and so on. But that would be the
8	approximate number, six or seven on an annual basis?
9	MR. McDONALD: Yeah. There was a there
10	was the investigators in the general bureau and then there
11	was a couple officers in the Youth Bureau and we also
12	supervised the Ident Branch.
13	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. But just so the
14	Commissioner understands as he takes a note here; does the
15	number six or seven, do those numbers include the Youth
16	Bureau and the Identification
17	MR. McDONALD: No, sir.
18	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. So we're talking
19	general investigators, if I can put it that way, for the
20	six or seven.
21	MR. McDONALD: Usually, yes.
22	MR. STAUFFER: Plus two for Youth Bureau and
23	then how many would be in Identification?
24	MR. McDONALD: There were two or three
25	officers plus civilians in Ident but they didn't do general

1	investigations. They worked on Ident.
2	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Now again, I know it's
3	a long time ago, but is it your recollection that during
4	the three years roughly that you were the head of CIB,
5	there were always two officers in Youth Bureau?
6	MR. McDONALD: They might have been assigned
7	there; they might not have been working there. Like there
8	was a period of time, I know, when we had officers working
9	on a murder case and left towards the end of '92, Constable
10	Sebalj or Black, I don't know what name you want to go by -
11	
12	MR. STAUFFER: Sebalj.
13	MR. McDONALD: but she was all alone at
14	the time.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Sebalj.
16	MR. McDONALD: Sebalj?
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah.
18	MR. STAUFFER: Yes sir. So I think I
19	understand where you're going. And let me just expand on
20	that then, Staff Inspector. During the period in 1992,
21	you're talking about Sergeant Lefebvre I think and
22	Constable Malloy, experienced officers in the Youth Bureau,
23	having to go to work on a murder case; is that what you
24	were talking about?
25	MR. McDONALD: That's correct.

1	MR. STAUFFER: And Constable Sebalj to great
2	extent then being the only Youth Bureau officer, if I can
3	put it that way.
4	MR. McDONALD: Correct.
5	MR. STAUFFER: All right. Now, sir, again
6	if you can remember, during those three years, when you're
7	the head of CIB, what is the status of historical sexual
8	abuse cases? And by that I mean, how many are you really
9	seeing going through CIB?
10	MR. McDONALD: I've no idea. I don't recall
11	any at all.
12	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. So if you can just
13	take your time, just for a moment, because for me, anyway,
14	this is quite important. When you say you don't recall, I
15	appreciate that. But
16	THE COMMISSIONER: I think he said he
17	doesn't recall any.
18	MR. STAUFFER: And so that's why I want to
19	be sure of, Mr. Commissioner.
20	Is that what you're saying, Staff Inspector?
21	MR. McDONALD: That's correct.
22	MR. STAUFFER: There's absolutely no and
23	do you know what do you mean by historical sexual
24	assault cases? Because again, I want to be totally fair to
25	you here.

1	MR. McDONALD: I guess it would be
2	accusations of sexual assault that date back a number of
3	years.
4	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
5	MR. McDONALD: And coming to light in that
6	period of time.
7	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. And again, to be fair,
8	we're going to talk about the D.S. case or the David
9	Silmser case to some extent here in the next few minutes.
10	That case does arise during the time you are the head of
11	CIB, is that right?
12	MR. McDONALD: That's correct.
13	MR. STAUFFER: But other than that one case,
14	you can't recall any other historical sexual assault cases
15	involving adults coming forward and saying "I was abused"
16	or allegedly, "abused when I was a minor"?
17	MR. McDONALD: No sir, I don't recall.
18	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. So sir, let's go right
19	to the Silmser case.
20	And Mr. Commissioner, I'd like Staff
21	Inspector McDonald to look at the note prepared by Sergeant
22	Nakic and that would be Exhibit 293. It's Document 714001.
23	So Staff Inspector, have you seen this
24	document fairly recently to refresh your memory?
25	MR. McDONALD: Yes, sir.

1	MR. STAUFFER: Okay, because again, if you
2	need to read something, just tell us. But otherwise, I'm
3	just going to launch into my questions. Okay. So here we
4	go.
5	By way of a little bit of background, I
6	gather on December 9^{th} , 1992, are you the senior officer in
7	the police station, save for Chief Shaver?
8	MR. McDONALD: I believe so.
9	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
10	MR. McDONALD: I wouldn't swear to it, but I
11	believe so.
12	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Fair enough.
13	And, sir, I gather looking at this memo,
14	essentially it's now recording, obviously, something that's
15	happened and Sergeant Nakic has done that. Am I right,
16	sir, that the call comes in, according to this internal
17	correspondence, at 11:55 hours? Do you see that in the
18	first line?
19	MR. McDONALD: Yes, sir.
20	MR. STAUFFER: And am I right that that's
21	11:55 in the morning or at night?
22	MR. McDONALD: If it went directly to
23	Sergeant Nakic, I'm going to surmise that it probably
24	happened at night because a call wouldn't have been put
25	through to him normally directly. He if he was the

1	officer taking calls in CIB, it was probably going to be in
2	the evening. I'm just
3	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
4	MR. McDONALD: surmising this because if
5	it wasn't the he would have come through to the general
6	switchboard and an officer there would have taken it or
7	they probably would have put it through to my office.
8	MR. STAUFFER: Fair enough.
9	Because again, I am thinking, I guess, in
10	police parlance and I would have thought he would have been
11	writing something like 23:55.
12	MR. McDONALD: I understand what you're
13	saying. I just believe that it must have come in to him
14	after hours and he was probably working the afternoon
15	shift.
16	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. So do you have any
17	recollection then because you were consulted to move
18	forward in time here, you were consulted by Sergeant Nakic
19	at some point after he takes the call. Is that correct?
20	MR. McDONALD: Yes.
21	MR. STAUFFER: And so do you remember is
22	it late at night then when the Sergeant is
23	MR. McDONALD: No, I got it. I work days,
24	usually from 8:00 in the morning until 4:00 in the
25	afternoon.

1	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
2	MR. McDONALD: Usually.
3	MR. STAUFFER: Do you think Sergeant Nakic
4	spoke to you pretty soon after getting the call?
5	MR. McDONALD: Again, he came to me I
6	thought it was in the morning, but it might have been after
7	lunch. I don't recall the exact time he came. He might
8	have gotten the call at five to noon and come to me after
9	lunch. I don't recall. All I know is that I would have
10	been surprised if the call had gone through to Sergeant
11	Nakic directly unless he knew Mr. Silmser.
12	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
13	MR. McDONALD: And was asked for by Mr.
14	Silmser.
15	MR. STAUFFER: I'm sorry?
16	MR. McDONALD: Unless Mr. Silmser asked to
17	speak to him directly.
18	MR. STAUFFER: Right.
19	MR. McDONALD: It usually probably wouldn't
20	have gone to him.
21	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. The in any event,
22	sir, Mr or Sergeant Nakic, I should say, states certain
23	information that he's obviously received from Mr. Silmser
24	over the telephone, and we won't belabour or I'll try
25	not to belabour this, but is it clear, sir, from reading

1	this that Mr. Silmser is alleging that there are two
2	potential perpetrators; that is, Father Charles MacDonald
3	and Ken Seguin?
4	MR. McDONALD: This memo was written after
5	he spoke to me.
6	MR. STAUFFER: Yes.
7	MR. McDONALD: He didn't speak to me until
8	after he he didn't write the memo until after he spoke
9	to me.
10	MR. STAUFFER: Fair enough. Okay.
11	MR. McDONALD: And so
12	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Well, let's try to
13	take it in sequence. I'm sorry if I've cut you off there,
14	but is there something more you'd like to say, because I'll
15	try to take you through this in sequence then?
16	MR. McDONALD: Sergeant Nakic says:
17	"On Staff Inspector McDonald's
18	instruction, I called Silmser back
19	advising him that he will have an
20	officer go see him in Bourget within
21	one week."
22	MR. STAUFFER: Right.
23	MR. McDONALD: So prior to writing this,
24	Sergeant Nakic came to me and spoke to me verbally.
25	MR. STAUFFER: Fair enough.

1	MR. McDONALD: And then he wrote the memo.
2	MR. STAUFFER: I've got it.
3	So if you just bear with me here, Staff
4	Inspector, for a moment?
5	MR. McDONALD: Yes.
6	MR. STAUFFER: We'll just take it step by
7	step.
8	Do you recollect Sergeant Nakic coming to
9	see you?
10	MR. McDONALD: Yes, sir.
11	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Where are you when he
12	comes to see you?
13	MR. McDONALD: In the CIB office.
14	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. And what does he say
15	to you beyond what's in this memo, if anything?
16	MR. McDONALD: I recall him telling me that
17	David Silmser had complained about being sexually assaulted
18	by Father Charles MacDonald while a young boy.
19	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Now, that is, to a
20	great extent, encompassed by this memo. I'm just
21	wondering, Staff Inspector, if there's anything else you
22	could remember Sergeant Nakic saying to you that's not in
23	the memo?
24	MR. McDONALD: I can tell you what I he
25	didn't tell me that is in the memo.

14 MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Is it just the two of

15 you?

20

21

22

23

24

25

16 MR. McDONALD: That's correct.

17 MR. STAUFFER: All right.

18 And what happens after he's given you the 19 information you've told us?

> MR. McDONALD: I went back to my office and I pondered the information for a short period of time. And after thinking about it, I proceeded to the Chief's office, informing him what I had been told by Sergeant Nakic. And because of the manpower situation and the people I had working for me; I requested of him that Sergeant Claude

1	Lortie be assigned the case because I felt that he was an
2	experienced investigator and probably the best person at
3	that time to do the investigation.
4	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Just let me try to
5	take you back again to you being in your office before you
6	go out to see the Chief.
7	MR. McDONALD: Certainly.
8	MR. STAUFFER: What's going through your
9	mind if you can recall over these years what's going
10	through your mind back then? What are you thinking as to
11	your game plan?
12	MR. McDONALD: I thought about the officers
13	working for me and their caseload. I also thought about
14	the normal people that would get this would be the Youth
15	Branch, and the only person in the Youth Branch at that
16	time was Constable Sebalj. She was doing a great job, but
17	she was again, had a big caseload. She was fairly new
18	in the Branch, fairly inexperienced. And I felt that this
19	case had insurmountable or a mountain of possibilities,
20	none of which would be good.
21	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Just before we go
22	there, if I suggest to you that it is my information
23	Constable Sebalj came into the Youth Bureau in October of
24	'92; does that sound right to you or do you have a
25	different date in mind?

1	MR. MCDONALD: If I hadn't read it within
2	the last couple of days, I wouldn't have any idea, but I
3	did read it and I'll not argue with you.
4	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Now, sir, you're
5	talking about a number of potential problems here. Can you
6	expand on those any further for the Commissioner as to what
7	you're anticipating this investigation might be all about?
8	MR. McDONALD: Well, any time that you have
9	prominent people in the community that are charged with any
10	offence, let alone sexual offences involving minors, I
11	think it's going to be a major case. It's going to be a
12	high-profile case, especially when the news comes out.
13	We all heard about cases such as the youth
14	home in Alfred, the Mount Cashel, Newfoundland problems,
15	the residential schools.
16	MR. STAUFFER: Could I sorry to
17	interrupt, but could I suggest to you Father Deslauriers'
18	case was relatively recent. This would have been about six
19	years or so ago. Was that something going through your
20	mind at that time?
21	MR. McDONALD: I can't say it went through
22	my mind at the time, but I I'm aware of the case. I
23	just felt that we needed a very experienced investigator.
24	And that the I had no idea where this thing would lead,
25	let alone this far, but I just felt that we had to put the

1	best resources we had on available on the case because I
2	know that these cases are very, very sensitive in the
3	community and with anybody you talk to.
4	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
5	Sir, am I right in thinking you took no
6	notes of either your discussion with Sergeant Nakic or any
7	subsequent discussions with the Chief or anybody else
8	concerning this matter?
9	MR. McDONALD: No, I didn't.
10	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
11	So, sir, we've got you thinking you've gone
12	off now to the Chief. Is this a relatively quick process;
13	that is, Sergeant Nakic talks to you; you think about the
14	case for a few minutes and then you go see the Chief?
15	MR. McDONALD: That's correct, within an
16	hour.
17	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Chief's office is
18	fairly close to yours; is it, back then?
19	MR. McDONALD: Less than a minute walk.
20	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. So is it just the two
21	of you gentlemen who then discuss the Silmser allegation?
22	MR. McDONALD: That's correct.
23	MR. STAUFFER: And do you remember roughly
24	how long that meeting took place with Chief Shaver?
25	MR. McDONALD: Very short, no more than 10

1	minutes I'm sure.
2	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Then just you two
3	gentlemen during all that 10 minutes and nobody else comes
4	in or is consulted?
5	MR. McDONALD: No, not to my knowledge.
6	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. And can you again help
7	the Commissioner out as much as you can as to what the two
8	of you talked about, as much detail as you can as to what
9	each of you said?
10	MR. McDONALD: I explained to him what
11	Sergeant Nakic had told me about the allegations of sexual
12	abuse. And the fact that both Constable Malloy and
13	Sergeant Lefebvre were working on a murder case that was
14	very difficult on both of them; that Constable Sebalj was
15	in the Youth Branch and I really didn't think that she had
16	enough experience to be able to handle a case of this
17	possible magnitude.
18	And I asked and suggested that it be given
19	to Sergeant Lortie because he was under the direct command
20	of the Chief, and it was only the Chief that could assign
21	cases to Sergeant Lortie.
22	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. So just so I
23	understand you correctly, although you're the CIB and the
24	third ranking officer, you could not have gone to Sergeant

Lortie and said "Sergeant Lortie" or "Claude, can you take

this case on?"

1

2	MR. McDONALD: No, it wasn't I might ask
3	him for a favor now and then or to do something for me but
4	I wouldn't ask him to I wouldn't assign a case to him,
5	especially one of this possible magnitude, without speaking
6	to the Chief first. I probably wouldn't have assigned it
7	to anybody without speaking to the Chief first.
8	MR. STAUFFER: Fair enough. And so just on
9	that point sir, again, at this a hypothetical situation,
10	but if Sergeant Lortie had not been around for whatever
11	reason, now as head of CIB, I assume you would be assigning
12	some investigator. Are you telling the Commissioner, you
13	would have gone to the Chief in any event even if there
14	were just your investigators available?
15	MR. McDONALD: I believe I probably would
16	have.
17	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Now, sir, the meeting
18	with the Chief as you told us was relatively short. Do you
19	recollect if Chief Shaver took any notes? Do you have any
20	recollection of him jotting anything down?
21	MR. McDONALD: No, I don't any recollection
22	of that.
23	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. So is it fair to say,
24	Staff Inspector, you were the one then who suggested
25	Sergeant Lortie; it wasn't Chief Shaver who said this?

1	MR. McDONALD: No, it was I who suggested
2	it. And he was quite amiable and in accord with my
3	suggestions.
4	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Now, did either of you
5	gentlemen know Sergeant Lortie's situation? And by that, I
6	mean, that he booked off some time and he was going to have
7	some day surgery that would take him out of the office for
8	a period of time. Did you know that when you were having
9	that conversation with Chief Shaver? Did he know that?
10	MR. McDONALD: I didn't know it. I don't
11	know whether the Chief knew it or not.
12	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Again, it's a
13	difficult question but I'll put it to you in any event.
14	Had you known that Sergeant Lortie at the time would have
15	been out of commission for a period of time, a week to
16	three weeks, would that have affected your decision about
17	assigning him or sorry, recommending that he be assigned
18	to investigate?
19	MR. McDONALD: I really couldn't tell you
20	that at this time. I don't know. If it was imminent,
21	maybe it would. If it was going to be in a month or two
22	months down the road, it might have, it might not have, I
23	couldn't tell you for sure.
24	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Well, it's my
25	understanding the surgery took place in early January,

1	sometime in the early part of the new year. Had you known
2	that fact, would that have affected your decision?
3	MR. McDONALD: I don't know. I really
4	couldn't say.
5	MR. STAUFFER: The can you tell us at all
6	as to what reaction Chief Shaver had when he heard about
7	the allegation concerning Father Charles?
8	MR. McDONALD: Not specifically. I think he
9	was concerned like I was. I don't even know if he knew
10	Father Charles MacDonald but news of this type would strike
11	any police officer with consternation, I believe.
12	MR. STAUFFER: All right. Can you expand on
13	that beyond what you've already said? What do you mean by
14	"strike any police officer with consternation?"
15	MR. McDONALD: Well, any police officer that
16	I know of is repulsed by anybody who victimizes children,
17	sexually or any other way. And it's just I think it's
18	repulsive; it's not natural.
19	MR. STAUFFER: All right. Sir, did you or
20	the Chief bring up in your conversation the notion of
21	contacting anyone at that point? And what I mean
22	specifically is to start with contacting the local Diocese?
23	MR. McDONALD: No, sir. I think that prior
24	to contacting anybody, we had a complaint and I think some
25	preliminary investigation was called for before we started

1	ringing bells or putting spotlights on anybody.
2	MR. STAUFFER: All right. And just to
3	expand, I think I know the answer, but just to expand to
4	other parties for a moment; did the conversation involve
5	discussions relating to the probation office in Cornwall?
6	That is contacting the probation office.
7	MR. McDONALD: Never came up.
8	MR. STAUFFER: And I assume that's because
9	Ken Seguin's name did not come up in the conversation?
10	MR. McDONALD: I believe I didn't I do
11	not recall at that time knowing about Ken Seguin or any
12	allegations towards Ken Seguin.
13	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Let me just to go on
14	that for a moment, again possibly an unfair question, but
15	if you can help us at all as to how this bit of information
16	didn't make it from Sergeant Nakic to yourself because
17	clearly he has it in his internal memo, his internal
18	correspondence.
19	MR. McDONALD: The memo came to me after I
20	spoke to the Chief.
21	MR. STAUFFER: Right. Okay. Well, we'll
22	get to that then. All right.
23	MR. McDONALD: As far as I know.
24	MR. STAUFFER: Yeah. And sir, in terms of
25	contacting anyone, was there any thought in that 10-minute

1	conversation with Chief Shaver about contacting the OPP?
2	Because the reason I'm saying there is the fact that
3	apparently Father Charles MacDonald is now residing in
4	Williamstown which I understand is under OPP jurisdiction.
5	MR. McDONALD: There was no conversation to
6	that effect.
7	MR. STAUFFER: All right. The was there
8	any discussion at all about having a CPIC check done with
9	respect to any of the parties involved here? That is
10	either the complainant or/or the alleged perpetrator or
11	perpetrators?
12	MR. McDONALD: No, sir, not between the
13	Chief and myself. To my way of thinking, that would have
14	been some of the first things that would have been done by
15	the investigator.
16	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. And let me say this to
17	you; it's my understanding that Sergeant Lortie did indeed
18	do a CPIC check on the complainant, on Mr. Silmser, and
19	found that he had what he describes in his notes as a long
20	C.R. which I think means long criminal record. But there
21	are no notes indicating that Sergeant Lortie ever did a
22	CPIC check on either of the alleged perpetrators.
23	Again, perhaps an unfair question but let me
24	ask you it. Is it normal practice or was it normal
25	practice back then, in late 1992, for the investigator to

1	only check out the alleged victim?
2	MR. McDONALD: No sir, I think that they
3	would try to get as much information on all of the parties
4	involved, I believe.
5	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. So are you saying sir
6	that that would it would have been normal practice to do
7	a CPIC check on the alleged perpetrators as well as the
8	victim
9	MR. McDONALD: I believe so.
10	MR. STAUFFER: or the alleged victim?
11	MR. McDONALD: I believe so. But I will
12	state that I wasn't aware of any queries that were made by
13	Sergeant Lortie.
14	MR. STAUFFER: Yes. No, and I understand.
15	We'll try to get to that in sequence if we can in the next
16	few minutes.
17	So sir, now you've had your meeting with
18	Chief Shaver and he's giving you the green light, if I put
19	it that way, for Sergeant Lortie to become involved; what's
20	the next step that you take?
21	MR. McDONALD: I didn't take any next step.
22	The Chief was the one that was going to assign Sergeant
23	Lortie to the case.
24	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
25	MR. McDONALD: So once that was assigned, it

1	was out of my purview; not in my command.
2	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Do you know if that
3	actually took place that the Chief or somebody else say
4	"Sergeant Lortie's been assigned"?
5	MR. McDONALD: I don't recall. I knew I
6	believe I knew that he was assigned but I don't know how I
7	heard that or how I was told.
8	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
9	MR. McDONALD: I knew that the Chief was
10	going to assign it. Maybe I just assumed that he had but I
11	don't know how it happened to be honest with you.
12	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. But am I right, sir
13	again, in fairness, I don't think you're quite right when
14	you say you did nothing further. You must have done
15	something because Sergeant Nakic records in his internal
16	correspondence that you told him to do certain things and,
17	specifically, if we look at that document again, you'll see
18	in the second paragraph it says:
19	"On Staff Inspector MaDonald's
20	instructions, I called Silmser back"
21	So to stop there for a second, Staff
22	Inspector, did you tell Sergeant Nakic to do that?
23	MR. McDONALD: I believe so.
24	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. And then the Sergeant
25	goes on saying:

1	"advising him that we will have an
2	officer go see him in Bourget within
3	one week."
4	So would you have told Sergeant Nakic to
5	tell Mr. Silmser that?
6	MR. McDONALD: I believe so.
7	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. And then Sergeant
8	Nakic concludes by saying:
9	"He was also advised that the officer
10	will be calling him first before
11	attending his residence in Bourget."
12	Is that something that you would have told
13	Sergeant Nakic to pass on?
14	MR. McDONALD: Probably.
15	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. So, sir, you've given
16	some instructions, if I can put it that way, to Sergeant
17	Nakic to try to give some assurance to Mr. Silmser that
18	something is going to be done. Is that fair?
19	MR. McDONALD: Yes, sir, that's fair.
20	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Is there anything else
21	you can remember telling Sergeant Nakic then to say to Mr.
22	Silmser that's not included in this internal
23	correspondence?
24	MR. McDONALD: No, sir, I can't recall right

now. I obviously did something with this memo too. It

1	came to me and I obviously forwarded it on to the Chief's
2	office.
3	MR. STAUFFER: And how do you know that,
4	sir?
5	MR. McDONALD: I don't. It's sitting here
6	in front of me.
7	MR. STAUFFER: Yes.
8	MR. McDONALD: And I must have done
9	something with it.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Did you read it?
11	MR. McDONALD: I don't recall.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Because Mr. Seguin's name
13	is in there.
14	MR. McDONALD: I realize that.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: So when did you become
16	aware that Mr. Seguin was in part of the complaint?
17	MR. McDONALD: Not for some months later.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. And the directions
19	you give to Sergeant Nakic, that was before you went and
20	seen the Chief?
21	MR. McDONALD: No, sir, after I saw
22	after.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So
24	MR. McDONALD: I went and saw the Chief
~ =	

255

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
2	MR. McDONALD: and told him that
3	THE COMMISSIONER: What the situation was?
4	MR. McDONALD: Yes, and that somebody would
5	be contacting Mr. Silmser, and I told I believe I told
6	Sergeant Nakic to contact Mr. Silmser, saying that somebody
7	would be contacting him within a week, and it was after
8	that had happened that Mr or Sergeant Nakic wrote this
9	memo.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
11	MR. STAUFFER: Right. And just to expand on
12	that, Staff Inspector, do you recollect Chief Shaver having
13	input into these instructions to Sergeant Nakic; that is,
14	you know, get back to the complainant, tell them somebody
15	is going to come out within a week, that kind of thing?
16	MR. McDONALD: It might have been. He might
17	have. I don't know. I don't remember
18	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
19	MR. McDONALD: whether it was he or
20	myself. Sergeant Nakic says it was me. I believe him.
21	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. There is some
22	handwriting on this exhibit which says:
23	"Noted Chief assigned Sgt. Lortie to
24	investigate D.C. 92/12/09."
25	Do you know, is that Deputy Chief St. Denis'

1	inscription?
2	MR. McDONALD: Probably, but I wouldn't I
3	can't swear to it.
4	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Fair enough.
5	And do you would that have been the
6	normal course of events that this internal correspondence
7	would have a copy at least would have gone to the Deputy
8	Chief as well as to the Chief, because it's sent to you
9	originally?
10	MR. McDONALD: That's correct.
11	MR. STAUFFER: But is it would it have
12	been normal in the course of business then for the Chief
13	and the Deputy Chief to have gotten a copy of it as well?
14	MR. McDONALD: Yes, sir.
15	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Now so, sir, in
16	terms of I'm sorry, Mr. Commissioner, do you have a
17	question?
18	THE COMMISSIONER: No, I'm just sitting here
19	quiet.
20	MR. STAUFFER: I must be hearing I'm
21	hearing things here.
22	So, Mr. McDonald, the you said to the
23	Commissioner a few minutes ago you eventually found out
24	that Ken Seguin was named by Mr. Silmser. Do you remember
25	when and how you found that out?

1	MR. McDONALD: No, sir, I can't tell you,
2	but I know it wasn't for a long time after that I knew
3	about Father Charles MacDonald.
4	Now, I know his name is in this memo.
5	MR. STAUFFER: Hold on; you may have
6	misspoken yourself there. You said Father Charles
7	MacDonald. I'm asking I'm sorry; did I mislead you?
8	I'm talking about Ken Seguin.
9	MR. McDONALD: It was a long time after I
10	found out about Father Charles MacDonald. Now, Father
11	or Ken Seguin's name is mentioned in this memo, but to be
12	honest with you, I don't recall Ken Seguin's name being
13	part of this investigation for many months down the road.
14	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
15	MR. McDONALD: Many months.
16	MR. STAUFFER: So we've got a timeframe of
17	many months.
18	Do you remember how it came about, who told
19	you? What meeting? What did you read?
20	MR. McDONALD: No, sir. The next time that
21	this case I was moved from Criminal Investigations
22	Branch back to the Uniform Branch at the end of December
23	and I really never heard anymore about this case until the
24	following September.
25	And if you like, I can relate what my

1	knowledge is about that.
2	MR. STAUFFER: Well, if there's nothing that
3	really has happened between the end of December and
4	September with respect to the Silmser case, why don't we go
5	there?
6	MR. McDONALD: Okay.
7	MR. STAUFFER: So what's happening in
8	September?
9	MR. McDONALD: Well, we were at a morning
10	meeting and somebody brought up the fact about the Silmser
11	case and Father Charles MacDonald, and I think it was the
12	Chief had told the group that he and Staff Sergeant Brunet
13	would be going to Ottawa the following day or later that
14	day to see the Papal Nuncio with regards to Father Charles
15	MacDonald. And that, to my knowledge, was the first
16	information I got.
17	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. So this meeting, what
18	type of meeting is it? Is this the morning meeting that
19	-
20	MR. McDONALD: That's correct.
21	MR. STAUFFER: we hear about?
22	So before that morning meeting, I gather
23	there had been lots of other morning meetings between the
24	end of December and September, and were you not attending
25	those as a staff inspector?

1	MR. McDONALD: I was.
2	MR. STAUFFER: Well, from time to time?
3	MR. McDONALD: Yes. When I would be in the
4	station on the day shift, I would go if there was a
5	meeting.
6	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
7	MR. McDONALD: There wasn't a meeting every
8	day, but most days there were, and if I was there, I went.
9	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Here's a mathematical
10	challenge. Can you help us at all; between the end of
11	December and September, can you give us your best estimate
12	as to how many morning meetings you might have attended?
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Per week, per month, any
14	
15	MR. McDONALD: Probably an average of as
16	I say, there wasn't a meeting every day, most meetings, but
17	three or four times a week for those weeks that I was not
18	on holidays.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
20	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. And so in those nine
21	months, roughly I'll say it's a nine-month period
22	MR. McDONALD: M'hm.
23	MR. STAUFFER: you never heard anything
24	at all about the Silmser or MacDonald case, if I can put it
25	that way, or the Seguin case? None of those three names

1	ever came up in these morning meetings?
2	MR. McDONALD: No, sir.
3	MR. STAUFFER: There's mention and I can
4	take you to it if you need to, but there's mention in an
5	interview that was taken of Staff Sergeant Brunet where he
6	talks about a July 1993 meeting where the Chief had
7	essentially become concerned that Constable Sebalj's
8	workload was getting too much for her, and this particular
9	case, the Silmser case, just wasn't moving along and
10	"You've got to get going on it and so on," and I'm
11	paraphrasing, obviously, here.
12	Do you have any recollection, sir, of a July
13	meeting or a summer meeting where this came up? If I can
14	refresh your memory at all
15	MR. McDONALD: No, sir, I have no recall. I
16	don't recall that at all.
17	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. The so you know
18	about the Papal Nuncio visit that's proposed.
19	MR. McDONALD: I wouldn't have been able to
20	say the name other than I read it in the last few days.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: I was wondering about
22	that.
23	MR. McDONALD: If I hadn't read it, I
24	wouldn't recall it. Latin wasn't one of my better
25	subjects.

1	MR. STAUFFER: Fair enough.
2	But in any event, sir, besides that meeting,
3	are there any other meetings that you attend where the case
4	is discussed?
5	MR. McDONALD: No, sir.
6	MR. STAUFFER: So let me put a few things to
7	you. Were you aware that a civil settlement was eventually
8	reached by Mr. Silmser?
9	MR. McDONALD: I think I read it in the
10	newspaper.
11	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. That did not come up
12	at a meeting at the police station, as far as you can
13	recollect?
14	MR. McDONALD: Not to my
15	MR. STAUFFER: Any meeting that you
16	attended?
17	MR. McDONALD: Not to the best of my
18	recollection.
19	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Do you have any
20	recollection at all of a discussion where Sergeant Lortie
21	became concerned as to the status of the investigation?
22	I'm trying to be careful here as to what I say. Do you
23	have any recollection
24	MR. McDONALD: No, sir, I have no
25	recollection of that.

1	MR. STAUFFER: Or Staff Sergeant Brunet
2	becoming upset over what Sergeant Lortie had said?
3	MR. McDONALD: No, sir, I don't.
4	MR. STAUFFER: Or speaking to the Deputy
5	Chief who apparently had been approached by Staff Brunet as
6	Staff Brunet was upset by what Sergeant Lortie had said?
7	MR. McDONALD: No knowledge at all.
8	MR. STAUFFER: All right. Did you ever have
9	any discussions at all, and I'm being very general here
10	with Deputy Chief St. Denis about the Silmser case?
11	MR. McDONALD: No, sir, I haven't.
12	MR. STAUFFER: At any time
13	MR. McDONALD: No, sir.
14	MR. STAUFFER: until you retired.
15	MR. McDONALD: No, sir. Not to my not to
16	my recollection.
17	MR. STAUFFER: Because, again, I don't want
18	to belabour this, but Deputy St. Denis did come into the
19	picture at one point, have Sergeant Lortie, if you will,
20	removed from the case and have Staff Brunet come into
21	picture as the head of CIB at that point and re-assign the
22	case and that's how it came to Constable Sebalj.
23	When, if ever did you ever become aware of
24	that sequence of events?
25	MR. McDONALD: Not for a long time. I

1	couldn't give you a date, but maybe six months after it. I
2	don't know. I heard that Sebalj was working on the case,
3	but that's all.
4	MR. STAUFFER: Again, a hard one, but when
5	you find out that Constable Sebalj was the investigator,
6	was the case over at that point in your mind or was it
7	still an ongoing investigation?
8	MR. McDONALD: I think it was still ongoing.
9	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
10	MR. McDONALD: I think.
11	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
12	When you found out that she was involved,
13	did you have discussions with anybody, any of the senior
14	officers
15	MR. McDONALD: No, sir.
16	MR. STAUFFER: because again of your
17	concern as to her experience level?
18	MR. McDONALD: No, sir.
19	MR. STAUFFER: Should you have done that? I
20	mean you're the third ranking officer in the building; did
21	you feel that was your place?
22	MR. McDONALD: No, sir.
23	MR. STAUFFER: Whose place would it have
24	been to make those kinds of decisions? And is it basically
25	Staff Brunet as head of CIB?

1	mr. mcDONALD: The Chief or the Deputy
2	Chief, I suppose.
3	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
4	MR. McDONALD: The Chief was in accord with
5	me whenever we gave it to Sergeant Lortie so if
6	circumstances changed, and circumstances do change, I
7	they obviously were the best judges of how to handle the
8	case at that particular point and I wasn't going to second-
9	guess them.
10	MR. STAUFFER: Mr. Commissioner, I have just
11	a few more questions concerning the Silmser matter. I
12	appreciate the 45 minute comment earlier.
13	Staff Inspector, I'm going back in time just
14	a moment here to Sergeant Nakic and your discussions with
15	him and the internal correspondence. I'm right I think in
16	reading this, because we've gone through the whole
17	document, that there's nothing in there that says, "I
18	phoned" I'm now saying I'm Sergeant Nakic, "I phoned the
19	complainant, the complainant said, 'don't come out to see
20	me or don't worry about anything until after the
21	holidays.'"
22	There's nothing in that correspondence about
23	that. I think we're agreed on that. But what I'm going to
24	ask you is, do you have any recollection at all of anyone
25	from the Service coming to you at some point and telling

1	you that?
2	And what I'm saying is that Mr. Silmser had
3	a discussion with Sergeant Lortie and said, "Don't bother
4	coming out. I don't want to spoil anybody's Christmas.
5	We'll put it off until some time in January."
6	MR. McDONALD: Yes, sir, I remember hearing
7	that. I couldn't tell you now whether it's something I
8	read after or something I knew prior to Christmas that
9	December. I I know it but I don't know how I know it.
10	I'll put it that way. I I I saw where Sergeant
11	Lortie contacted him and told, "Come after Christmas, I
12	don't want to spoil anybody's Christmas" but to be honest
13	with you I don't remember whether I learned that that
14	December or it took place as something as a result of
15	something I read later on. And it's quite possible that
16	because of Sergeant Lortie knew that it had come through me
17	initially, he might have mentioned to me that he was going
18	to speak to him after Christmas. But I couldn't swear to
19	it.
20	MR. STAUFFER: Yes, I to be very clear
21	here, sir, you have no specific recollection in speaking to
22	Sergeant Lortie about this case at any time.
23	MR. McDONALD: No, sir.
24	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. You have no specific
25	recollection?

1	MR. McDONALD: No.
2	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
3	And in terms of Staff Sergeant Brunet do you
4	remember ever speaking to him about this case?
5	MR. McDONALD: No, sir.
6	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. The there's a
7	report called "the Skinner Report" for a short form but do
8	you remember, sir, Ottawa Police Service officers coming
9	down to Cornwall in 1994? This would be after you've left
10	CIB.
11	MR. McDONALD: Yes, sir.
12	MR. STAUFFER: But so do you remember
13	those two fellows?
14	MR. McDONALD: Yes, sir.
15	MR. STAUFFER: Skinner and Blake? And did
16	they speak with you?
17	MR. McDONALD: Yes, sir, I believe they did.
18	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
19	And did you ever receive a copy or excerpts
20	of the report that was prepared by those officers?
21	MR. McDONALD: Not I don't recall reading
22	it until the last couple of days.
23	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
24	Again, perhaps you've answered the question
25	already but let me put it to you. When the report was

1	prepared, which was around January 24 th , I'm assuming for
2	the moment that it went to Acting Chief Johnston as he was
3	the one who commissioned it, and that's what Superintendent
4	Skinner thinks happened anyway.
5	Do you remember Chief Johnston sharing
6	anything from the report with you?
7	MR. McDONALD: No, sir, I don't recall.
8	MR. STAUFFER: Okay.
9	And there's you don't recall any morning
10	meetings or any type of meetings where the report was
11	discussed at some point; whether the whole report or just
12	parts of it?
13	MR. McDONALD: No, sir, I can't say.
14	MR. STAUFFER: Mr. Commissioner, I'm going
15	to move into an area concerning Mr. Dunlop and I'm
16	wondering if this if you'd like to break now.
17	For your information, my friends, although I
18	know I've made mistakes in the past in terms of time
19	estimates but I suspect a half an hour or so tomorrow or
20	thereabouts should do it from my end.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
22	MR. STAUFFER: Thank you, sir.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
24	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À
25	l'ordre; veuillez vous lever.

1	This hearing is adjourned until tomorrow
2	morning at 9:30 a.m.
3	Upon adjourning at 5:17 p.m. / L'audience est ajournée
4	à 17h17
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	
4	CERTIFICATION
5	
6	I, Marc Demers a certified court reporter inthe Province of
7	Ontario, hereby certify the foregoing pages to be an
8	accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of
9	my skill and ability, and I so swear.
10	
11	Je, Marc Demers, un sténographe officiel dans la province
12	de l'Ontario, certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une
13	transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au
14	meilleur de mes capacités, et je le jure.
15	
16	
17	Maile
18	
19	Marc Demers, CVR-CM
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	