THE CORNWALL PUBLIC INQUIRY



L'ENQUÊTE PUBLIQUE SUR CORNWALL

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner

The Honourable Justice / L'honorable juge G. Normand Glaude

Commissaire

VOLUME 239

Held at: Tenue à:

Hearings Room 709 Cotton Mill Street Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7 Salle des audiences 709, rue de la Fabrique Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7

Friday, June 6 2008 Vendredi, le 6 juin 2008

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC. www.irri.net (800) 899-0006

Appearances/Comparutions

${\tt Ms.}$	Julie	Gauthier	Registrar
-------------	-------	----------	-----------

M^e Pierre R. Dumais Commission Counsel

Ms. Janie Larocque

Mr. Mark Crane Cornwall Community Police
Mr. Peter Manderville Service and Cornwall Police

Service Board

Mr. Neil Kozloff Ontario Provincial Police

Actg.Det.Supt. Colleen McQuade

M^e Claude Rouleau Ontario Ministry of Community

and Correctional Services and Adult Community Corrections

Ms. Kisha Chatterjee Attorney General for Ontario

Mr. Peter Chisholm The Children's Aid Society of

Ms. Michele Allinotte the United Counties

Mr. Michael Neville The Estate of Ken Seguin and

Doug Seguin and Father Charles

MacDonald

Mr. William Carroll Ontario Provincial Police

Association

Mr. Joseph St. Denis Mr. Joseph St. Denis

Table of Contents / Table des matières

List of Exhibits :	iv
JOSEPH ST. DENIS, Resumed/Sous le même serment	2
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Mr. Pierre Dumais(cont'd/suite)	2

LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	NC
P-1768	(740542) - Letter from Joseph St-Denis to Carl Johnston re Human resources management inspection report Jul-Aug 93 dated 24 Jan 94	14	
P-1769	(739095) - Internal Correspondence from Joseph St. Denis to G. Derochie dated 11 Jan 94	54	
P-1770	(739042) - Letter from Joseph St. Denis to Richard Abell dated 12 Jan 94	66	
P-1771	(739127) - News Release from CPS Joseph St. Denis re Allegations of Abuse dated 13 Jan 94	67	

1	Upon commencing at 9:35 a.m. /
2	L'audience débute à 9h35
3	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
4	veuillez vous lever.
5	This hearing on the Cornwall Public Inquiry
6	is now in session. The Honourable Mr. Justice Normand
7	Glaude, Commissioner, presiding.
8	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning.
10	MR. DUMAIS: Good morning, Commissioner.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: New folks?
12	MR. DUMAIS: Yes, Mr. Commissioner. Right
13	behind me, I believe, she may have been introduced before.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Miss Chatterjee?
15	MR. DUMAIS: Kisha Chatterjee; Chatterjee,
16	sorry.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning.
18	MR. DUMAIS: She is here from AG and of
19	course Mr. Chisholm gave me a post-it note with the name of
20	his assistant.
21	MR. CHISHOLM: Michele Allinotte, sir.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Michele Allinotte.
23	MR. DUMAIS: Thank you.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Good morning.
25	Good morning.

1	MR. ST. DENIS: Good morning, sir.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: How are you doing today?
3	MR. ST. DENIS: Very good sir.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Great.
5	JOSEPH ST. DENIS: Resumed/Sous le même serment
6	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
7	DUMAIS (cont'd/suite):
8	MR. DUMAIS: Mr. St. Denis, we were just
9	looking into the Human Resource Management Inspection
10	Report, yesterday, 1993, and we had left off I was right
11	at page 28 and we were just about to look into
12	THE COMMISSIONER: What exhibit?
13	MR. DUMAIS: Sorry, Commissioner, Exhibit
14	1393.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: One-three-nine-three
16	(1393), yes. All right, and we were at 24, I think? Yes,
17	I think we were on page 28?
18	MR. DUMAIS: That's correct, Mr.
19	Commissioner.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
21	MR. DUMAIS: So the heading of the next
22	paragraphs is "Functions of the Police Service Office of
23	the Chief and Deputy Chief".
24	And just before we get into that, I
25	understand you had a further comment that you wanted to

1	make on the financial accountability paragraphs and
2	findings that had been made by the auditors, and that's at
3	page Bates pages 08A. Is that correct?
4	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
5	Just for the record, Your Honour, I didn't
6	realize it yesterday, but when I read a little further on,
7	they just took this is part of my audit.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
9	MR. ST. DENIS: And they just took my
10	findings and incorporated it into their findings, if I can
11	say that, I think, just for the record.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
13	MR. ST. DENIS: It does illustrate there was
14	a little bit of auditing going on in our own Service at
15	that time.
16	MR. DUMAIS: So I think that you had
17	explained yesterday that you had been named as the internal
18	auditor and sometime in 1991 you believe that you had
19	conducted an audit of the senior management's office?
20	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
21	MR. DUMAIS: And some of these findings,
22	with respect to financial inconsistencies had been
23	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
24	MR. DUMAIS: uncovered by you, and they
25	were simply incorporated in this report. Is that fair?

1	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir. And I can add
2	that they were rectified because I do remember the
3	recommendations. I do remember a local a local, not an
4	auditor but a financial manager, coming in and doing an
5	audit on, in particular, what we referred to as the
6	"bicycle account".
7	MR. DUMAIS: And, sir, do you believe that
8	these were rectified after your 1991 audit or after
9	MR. ST. DENIS: Ninety-three ('93), after.
10	MR. DUMAIS: So after the 1993 audit?
11	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
12	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
13	So then if I can just take you to Bates
14	pages 095, which is page 28 of the report. My
15	understanding is that while conducting the audit, the
16	auditors would have interviewed a number of people, not
17	only with your Service but within the community, and they
18	sort of summarize who they spoke to at Bates pages 072, and
19	there's no need for you to go there. I'll just read it in:
20	"Interviews were held with over half
21	the staff, Police Service Board
22	members, representatives from the local
23	bar, school boards, community groups,
24	service users, local merchants and
25	other police agencies."

1	And my understanding is in this section they
2	are somewhat summarizing some of the comments that came
3	back from some of these interviews. Do you agree with me
4	on that?
5	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, that's what it states.
6	MR. DUMAIS: And I guess more specifically,
7	we know this from looking at paragraph 2, the second line -
8	- sorry, the third paragraph, and it reads:
9	"Interviewees stated that there is
10	limited confidence in the capacity of
11	the Chief and the Deputy to lead."
12	MR. ST. DENIS: Could you just take me
13	specifically to that specific paragraph?
14	MR. DUMAIS: So I'm looking at paragraph 3.
15	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, okay, I'm there.
16	Sorry.
17	MR. DUMAIS: The second sentence.
18	So it appears to be not necessarily a
19	finding that they make, but they're attempting to summarize
20	some of the comments that had been made in the interviews?
21	MR. ST. DENIS: That's what they were
22	attempting to do, yes.
23	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So essentially,
24	they were saying that, in these interviews, that there was
25	limited confidence in if we're looking specifically at

1	comments directed to you in your capacity as Deputy
2	Chief to lead. Were you surprised to see this comment when
3	you read the report?
4	MR. ST. DENIS: I was. I was terribly
5	surprised, but I did make comments at a later date.
6	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And we'll
7	eventually look at your response to some of these comments.
8	And then in the following paragraph, about
9	mid-paragraph, and again:
10	"Frequent references were made by
11	interviewees to the Chief being an
12	absentee manager."
13	So, again, the same reference as in 1993 as
14	we've previously seen in other reports, that the Chief is
15	still being seen as an absentee manager. Is that correct?
16	MR. ST. DENIS: I think that's fair.
17	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And do you believe
18	that that was still the case in 1993?
19	MR. ST. DENIS: I think it slowed down a
20	little bit, I mean quite a bit, if I recall. There were a
21	lot of issues as you can appreciate at that time. So I
22	think there was less time off, and I do recall when he did
23	take time off, he did call some member of the Board,
24	usually the Chairman, that he was going here or going
25	there.

1	MR. DUMAIS: And now the next paragraph is
2	found at the or the next comment I want to take you to
3	is at the end of this paragraph. It's the last sentence:
4	"The Deputy is seen as lacking the
5	solid operational competence which
6	would stand him in good stead as second
7	in command in the absence of an
8	effective leader."
9	Once again, were you surprised to see this
10	comment?
11	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, I was.
12	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And again, you
13	provided your response to that in your memo in 1994?
14	MR. ST. DENIS: Somewhat; somewhat, yes.
15	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
16	Now the fourth paragraph essentially deals
17	with communications between you and the Chief, and the
18	third sentence of that paragraph, which starts with "The
19	poor"; I'll just read it out for you.
20	"The poor working relationship between
21	the Chief and the Deputy, who at times
22	communicated by leaving each other
23	notes taped on their doors, reflects a
24	lack of appropriate behaviour among
25	senior police managers."

1	So do you agree with that comment?
2	MR. ST. DENIS: No, I don't. I agree there
3	was possibly an exchange of a little yellow memo on one
4	door to the other door. Actually, it was the same door,
5	I'm sorry. It may have happened on one or two I think
6	this is so trivial, I don't even know what it's doing in ar
7	audit report.
8	We did have differences, obviously. You
9	can't get along 100 percent all of the time and we had some
10	very serious differences. And he would maybe be upset at
11	times and I would be upset at times and but we always
12	maintained a professional relationship. That was one good
13	thing about it.
14	MR. DUMAIS: Because what you can read or
15	infer from this comment is that you were no longer talking
16	to each other
17	MR. ST. DENIS: That's
18	MR. DUMAIS: you had to resort to
19	leaving notes?
20	MR. ST. DENIS: That's not true and that
21	would have been one instance. Like I say, it is so
22	ridiculous to have something like this in an audit report.
23	It's, in my opinion, a waste of time.
24	MR. DUMAIS: Now, the next comment is the
25	next sentence, so:

"Some senior officers and supervisors 1 2 are viewed as lacking in certain 3 management and interpersonal skills. 4 They're perceived as having been 5 positioned to isolate the Chief and 6 Deputy from the realities of day-to-day 7 policing. They do not function as a 8 team or as effective team leaders." 9 MR. ST. DENIS: Just the last part of that 10 was absolutely correct. The strategic plan, part I, if you 11 recall yesterday, that was not being fully complied with obviously and I think that's where this comment is made 12 13 again. It was not a very strong senior management team, no 14 question about it. That's what they're identifying here. They go a little further in 15 MR. DUMAIS: 16 that they appear to be saying that you and the Chief were 17 being isolated from the day-to-day operations of the Police Service? 18 19 MR. ST. DENIS: I think maybe on occasion 20 that could have happened -- could have happened -- but I 21 think generally speaking, I can only speak for my office, I 22 thought the people that worked below me trusted me and I 23 had no problems with them -- with their behavior towards me 24 at least. It's hard to say, but there was uneasiness as we 25 moved up to the next office.

1	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
2	Now, if you just look at the last paragraph
3	on that page.
4	"Command officers in neighbouring
5	police services reports that there are
6	few, if any, formal protocols for
7	inter-jurisdictional cooperation with
8	other local law enforcement agencies."
9	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, that's probably true.
10	But, again, I can remember 1990-91, I could be a little
11	off, we had JFOs and those were Joint Forces
12	Operations, I'm sorry, OPP, RCMP, Cornwall Police, Mohawk,
13	Akwesasne and we would have a protocol, or at least I
14	call it a protocol, project protocol at least, and all the
15	the plan was identified in that so-called protocol, JFO
16	protocol, as to what the responsibilities during these
17	this undercover operation are these raids that we were
18	going to conduct in and around Cornwall.
19	So a lot prior to this was done verbally.
20	Like I remember weekly, monthly, talking to Superintendent
21	Carson Fougere or Superintendent I just forget his name
22	that took over from Fougere. We'd be there were limited
23	written protocols but there was a good liaison between the
24	offices if I can say that, between the forces I should say.
25	MR. DUMAIS: All right.

2 Mr. St. Denis, 096?

3 MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.

4 MR. DUMAIS: And so the paragraph starts

5 with:

1

14

15

18

6 "The breakdown of the strategic

7 planning process has had a profoundly

8 negative impact throughout the Police

9 Service."

10 So, I guess you agree with the first comment

11 that the strategic plan essentially went nowhere?

MR. ST. DENIS: It had to hav stopped 12

13 somewhere. I'm not saying it didn't go anywhere. I think

it helped along the line but I just obviously -- it stopped

here with this writing and it stopped prior to this writing

I would think. 16

MR. DUMAIS: All right. But would it be 17

fair to say that in 1993 it was no longer being

19 implemented. Is that fair?

20 MR. ST. DENIS: I think, yes, I think that's

21 fair.

22 MR. DUMAIS: All right.

23 And then the final comment in their findings

24 is:

25 "That strong and swift intervention is

1	required."
2	And essentially they make some
3	recommendations, some of which are, I guess, similar in my
4	mind.
5	They suggest that a facilitator be retained.
6	They suggest that a resource specialist be contacted. And
7	they suggest that, again, a conflict mediator be retained.
8	Do you know if either of these three people
9	would have been retained after 1993 to deal with some of
10	these issues?
11	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, that's when they hired
12	they brought on Chief Carl as a not a mediator but
13	as, I guess, a facilitator, and then he was brought on as
14	the Acting Chief when Chief Shaver retired.
15	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So you believe that
16	then
17	MR. ST. DENIS: That did occur.
18	MR. DUMAIS: Pardon me?
19	MR. ST. DENIS: That did occur.
20	MR. DUMAIS: You believe that Acting Chief
21	Johnston was brought in for that
22	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir. And to fully
23	implement the recommendations as outlined in this report.
24	MR. DUMAIS: All right. But certainly
25	there's no one external to the Cornwall Police Service that

1	was retained?
2	MR. ST. DENIS: I don't recall anyone else
3	other than Carl.
4	MR. DUMAIS: All right. Now
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, in fairness, the
6	facilitator in paragraph 44
7	MR. ST. DENIS: M'hm.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: on page 29, said they
9	want a facilitator and they say:
10	"The facilitator, a retired chief or
11	senior officer, preferably from a
12	larger metropolitan area would, among
13	other activities,"
14	So what I'm saying is that the report seems
15	to indicate that the facilitator should be someone
16	replacing the Chief and acting to take care of these
17	things. So I don't think they thought that the facilitator
18	and the Chief needed to be two different people.
19	MR. ST. DENIS: No, you're absolutely
20	correct that they meant one person and that's when they
21	brought on Chief Carl. Well, I should say he was a deputy
22	minister before he was or after he was a chief.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
24	MR. DUMAIS: Now, I understand that at one
25	point-in-time, sir, you would have prepared a comprehensive

1	response to the report. Is that correct?
2	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, I did.
3	MR. DUMAIS: And if I can just take you to
4	that document, sir, and I believe it has not been filed as
5	an exhibit. And it's Document Number 740542.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: So this is a memo from
7	the Deputy Chief St. Denis to Acting Chief Carl Johnston
8	dated January $24^{\rm th}$, 1994 and it is Exhibit, Mr. Dumais,
9	1768.
10	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-1768:
11	(740542) - Letter from Joseph St. Denis to
12	Carl Johnston re Human Resources Management
13	Inspection Report July-August, 1993, dated
14	January 24, 1994
15	MR. DUMAIS: Thank you, 1768.
16	Have you recognized that document? Is that
17	the response that you prepared when you first after you
18	reviewed the 1993 report?
19	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
20	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And this report is
21	dated January 19, '94 (sic), but the audit report appears
22	to have been appears to be dated in November of 1993.
23	When was it that you first became aware of the '93 report?
24	MR. ST. DENIS: The first time, I was
25	debriefed in less than 10 minutes at the Best Western

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hotel, but it wasn't as explicit as the report read. I was 1 2 alone. Auditor Kopinak was the person that I could say debriefed me on this audit. 3 He assured me at that time there were no 4 jobs at stake. Hard to believe after I read the report 5 6 but, at that time, he clearly indicated there were no jobs 7 at stake but things had to change. I didn't disagree with 8 that. 9 But I was upset and concerned about 10 receiving the full report at a later date, and I'm not sure 11 if it was late December or early January because usually I 12 don't let things sit, especially something like this, so --13 but I'll have to say that the report was submitted to Carl

would show up in a place like this today.

There are some comments I made in there that

Unfortunately, I never would have thought that that report

and I did it in -- with good intentions and no malice.

I would have preferred using different terminology, but maybe I was in some -- some of these reports describing -- maybe I was in my own hyper-mood and I was no doubt trying to defend my position to Carl who had -- who then had full authority with my job.

My job was at stake then because he could have -- could have said, "Well, maybe the Deputy is not competent enough to be my Deputy", and that never occurred

1	by the way.
2	MR. DUMAIS: Right, because of course by
3	that time, and I mean in January of 1994, Chief Shaver had
4	retired. Is that correct?
5	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, yes.
6	MR. DUMAIS: And I believe the actual
7	retirement date may be in January of 1994, but certainly he
8	was away from the office in the latter part of 1993. Is
9	that correct?
10	MR. ST. DENIS: That's correct.
11	MR. DUMAIS: And do you recall
12	MR. ST. DENIS: Well, he came in on and off,
13	I'm sorry.
14	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
15	And do you recall having any discussions
16	with Chief Shaver regarding the contents of the 1993
17	report?
18	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes. Yes, I did. I
19	remember when I got the full copy and I remember contacting
20	Sol Gen in Toronto with respect to pages pages 28, I
21	believe it is, and 29.
22	MR. DUMAIS: The pages we just went through?
23	MR. ST. DENIS: The pages we just went
24	through because there was a violation of the Freedom of
25	Information Act.

1	The lady in Toronto, I don't remember her
2	name, she did confirm that there was a violation, that
3	there was an error made, that they had sent the full report
4	and this should have had this should have been excluded
5	and dealt with in a different way, but it was too late, it
6	had already been sent to Cornwall City Council.
7	And I think it was the Mayor in particular
8	at that time who was also on the Board. They decided to
9	release the entire report, again, out in the public domain.
10	And I was very upset with that, so to come
11	back to your question, yes, I did call the Chief. That was
12	my first and last time, I think, I spoke to him and I
13	said, "Here's what happened".
14	And I think we both talked to a lawyer in
15	Ottawa who forwarded a letter to the Board saying there was
16	a violation of FOI here, and we could have pursued it, but
17	I think the Chief had already left and I think enough
18	there was enough problems going on without creating more.
19	I let it drop. I let it drop.
20	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And do you know
21	whether or not the Chief sent his own response on the
22	contents of the report?
23	MR. ST. DENIS: No. The response was sent
24	by the lawyer and it was a two-three-pager, if I recall.
25	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And it was sent on

1	your behalf, the Chief's behalf?
2	MR. ST. DENIS: Both.
3	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
4	MR. ST. DENIS: Both.
5	MR. DUMAIS: So you both had the same lawyer
6	and
7	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
8	MR. DUMAIS: and I guess you both had
9	the same concern
10	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
11	MR. DUMAIS: the enclosure of pages 27
12	and 28. Is that correct?
13	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes. Yes, sir.
14	MR. DUMAIS: And the lawyer who had acted
15	for both of you is Colin McKinnon
16	MR. ST. DENIS: That's correct. That's
17	correct.
18	MR. DUMAIS: Now, if I can then just take
19	you through your response, Mr. St. Denis, and I'm just
20	going to go page-by-page.
21	So the first page, essentially, you're
22	indicating that you had serious concerns about the audit.
23	Is that correct?
24	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
25	MR. DUMAIS: And I guess some of the

1	concerns had to do with the way the audit was conducted.
2	You had concerns with some of the findings but you had
3	concerns with the way it had been conducted. Is that
4	correct?
5	MR. ST. DENIS: The concerns were the
6	methodology towards my office in particular. I think I'm
7	trying to point that out here. This is my personal
8	document.
9	MR. DUMAIS: All right. Well, what were
10	some of the concerns that you had; just the way it was
11	conducted?
12	MR. ST. DENIS: The fact that I was not
13	included in like, if there were findings, you didn't
14	have to wait three months after the fact to tell me there
15	was another issue with promotions or there was another
16	issue with transfers or lateral transfers or whatever the
17	issue.
18	I think some of these things should have
19	been briefed at the time the audit was going on, so they
20	could have been passed to the appropriate manager where the
21	issue was identified.
22	I guess what I'm trying to say, put it all
23	together the methodology I did think could have been
24	different, but then who am I to say that? They did a good
25	job. They followed the scope that they laid out.

1	I think I'm being very critical here
2	because I was very upset and, like I said, I was trying to
3	fight for my job with the new Chief, Carl.
4	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
5	MR. ST. DENIS: I don't mean "fight", I mean
6	I was trying to maintain my job.
7	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
8	So if I can just take you back to your first
9	comment which was, "I was not involved". So it appears
10	that these the auditors and I'm just going by memory
11	would have been there around 18 days in 1993
12	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
13	MR. DUMAIS: conducting this audit;
14	correct?
15	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
16	MR. DUMAIS: And when you're saying "not
17	involved", do you mean that you were not interviewed?
18	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, I was interviewed;
19	once.
20	And I believe that's probably the first
21	thing we touched this morning, that's when I produced some
22	of the audit findings I had and they incorporated it into
23	their report.
24	One interview. There was no continuous
25	liaison with actually, the Chief and I found it very

1	strange they weren't talking to at least, they weren't
2	talking very much to him if at all, and they weren't
3	talking to me other than the interview and that didn't last
4	very long.
5	Then we had a debriefing, and I do remember
6	another time we had a debriefing of this report in the
7	Chief's office. I remember the Chief taking copious notes.
8	And as we already know, the Chief didn't
9	doesn't waste time. He immediately drafted what he
10	believed were appropriate responses on how he was going to
11	handle this again. Put it on daily orders so shortly after
12	they left and that's they came back and said, "That's
13	not what we wanted you to do" and it was it was another
14	mess. It created another issue.
15	It was I guess they were kind of waiting
16	this went to the Board and like it's the three
17	stakeholders
18	MR. DUMAIS: Yes. I'm not sure I understood
19	your last comment.
20	So you believed that you had met with the
21	auditors in the Chief's office; correct?
22	MR. ST. DENIS: Yeah.
23	MR. DUMAIS: And you would have been
24	debriefed on the contents of the report; correct?
25	MR. ST. DENIS: I think so because I

1	remember the Chief taking notes, note after note after each
2	issue, yeah.
3	MR. DUMAIS: And that would have been before
4	the Chief left in
5	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
6	MR. DUMAIS: sometime in November of
7	1993' correct?
8	MR. ST. DENIS: Right after this after we
9	got this report
10	MR. DUMAIS: Right.
11	MR. ST. DENIS: or after we were
12	debriefed.
13	MR. DUMAIS: And you believe that the
14	auditors had a hard copy of the report in hand?
15	MR. ST. DENIS: I believe so.
16	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And do you believe
17	that you were provided with a copy of that report?
18	MR. ST. DENIS: No, I wasn't.
19	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
20	And did you deal with some of these issues
21	that we dealt with this morning or did they debrief you on
22	pages 27 and 28, the comments on your office?
23	MR. ST. DENIS: Not in detail like this. I
24	guess that's why I was taken aback, but there were
25	debriefings and insinuations that I should highly consider

1	moving on.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry? What did you
3	say?
4	MR. ST. DENIS: Highly consider moving on.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: They told you that?
6	MR. ST. DENIS: Indirectly, yes.
7	Indirectly. And I was offended then.
8	And then when I did see the facts, that's
9	what led to this, so you can understand I was also a little
10	hyper, upset, angry.
11	And I'm sorry for some of the things I said
12	in here, by the way.
13	MR. DUMAIS: Well and at that time, Mr.
14	St. Denis, was had it been decided that Chief Shaver was
15	retiring?
16	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes. On he yes, Carl
17	was there Carl was already there on the $4^{ ext{th}}$ of Jan.
18	MR. DUMAIS: Yes.
19	MR. ST. DENIS: And maybe Chief Chief
20	Shaver may have come in for on and off again for a few
21	hours.
22	But, yes, technically, Chief Carl was the
23	Acting Chief of Police, effective date January $4^{\rm th}$, but he
24	had to go back home to make arrangements to move some of
25	his furniture to Cornwall, et cetera, et cetera.

1	MR. DUMAIS: All right. But I thought you
2	were talking about this debriefing session that you had had
3	with the auditors and that would have been sometime before
4	November, 1993?
5	MR. ST. DENIS: I believe it was closer to -
6	- it was in the month of December, but I'm not sure.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, just a second.
8	I was reading through here and you
9	mentioned:
10	"The audit began July 12^{th} and was not
11	until January, 1994 that I was allowed
12	to read the report, although I was
13	verbally briefed by one auditor on
14	December 13 th , 1993."
15	MR. ST. DENIS: That's what I said then.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
17	MR. ST. DENIS: My memory is better then
18	than it is now. I'm sticking to what was said in there.
19	Thank you, Your Honour, for that.
20	MR. DUMAIS: Just as a general comment, Mr.
21	St. Denis, you were as they were conducting the
22	interview, you were concerned that they were not addressing
23	some of the findings and issues that they were uncovering
24	with you as they were conducting the audit?
25	MR. ST. DENIS: That's correct. That would

1	be the best way to get everyone to buy in to the issue that
2	was identified.
3	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
4	MR. ST. DENIS: It's best to leave it with
5	the supervisor, or the area of responsibility where it was
6	found, so then that supervisor can grab the bull by the
7	horns and straighten it out.
8	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
9	I think one of the concerns that you had as
10	well was that the report contained both minor deficiencies
11	and major deficiencies. Is that correct? And I'm looking
12	at Bates pages 052.
13	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
14	MR. DUMAIS: And what do you mean by that or
15	what was your concern?
16	MR. ST. DENIS: Again, the minor
17	deficiencies could have been could have well, did
18	relate to 48 findings in here; 48 findings. I believe half
19	could have been dealt with, my goodness, within the first
20	week that they were there.
21	Bring it up, put it on their put it on
22	the audit scope, the criteria, lay it out and here's let
23	them tell you what their recommendation is and make sure it
24	goes to the appropriate supervisor. And then that
25	supervisor could have commented on it as it was being

25

1	found, if I can say that, as it was found or as it was
2	established that it was going to be an audit finding. It
3	could have been dealt right there and then there. Not all
4	of them. I'm just saying at least 20 at least 20 in
5	my mind.
6	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And when you're
7	making reference to some of these minor deficiencies,
8	you're talking about comments that they would have made
9	regarding accommodations
10	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
11	MR. DUMAIS: reconfiguration of space,
12	condition of uniforms, dress codes?
13	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
14	MR. DUMAIS: So essentially
15	MR. ST. DENIS: So, you know, you come in,
16	if I may, these auditors come in on what was identified as
17	a major a major issue. And you come in and you
18	establish what is identified as an improper Cornwall Police
19	sign on the front of the building or at the front of the
20	Cornwall Police parking. That is such a trivial but it
21	is one of the 48 recommendations.
22	That call the janitor up or call somebody
23	up, change the darn sign, and let's move on here.
24	Like I'm saying, like, for you people
25	reading this, 48 recommendations, what the heck was

1	everybody doing in Cornwall Police Service?
2	Well, that's not exactly what was going on.
3	And whatever they found, as trivial as I may think it is,
4	it could have been dealt with in a heck of a a more
5	timely manner than this.
6	Like this now, this my understanding is
7	that one of the last ones and most important ones, which is
8	major by the way, was the one where the senior officers,
9	they should have had their own separate contract and they
10	should have been with the Chief and the Deputy running the
11	Force as a team.
12	And if you had that, that would have
13	eliminated a lot more problems, but that's not one that you
14	could have solved overnight. I think it was just solved,
15	I'm not sure, but not too long ago, in my opinion and
16	that's major. So you can understand well, I could go
17	on, but I'm sorry, that's I'll leave it at that.
18	MR. DUMAIS: Well, I mean, that's fine but
19	what you're referring to as minor deficiencies are not
20	unlike other findings that other auditors would have made
21	on similar matters?
22	MR. ST. DENIS: True. Very true. And I
23	guess what I am trying to say is the methodology maybe
24	could have been a little different. I'll leave it at that.
25	MR. DUMAIS: Okay.

1	Now at page 5, and I'm just looking at the
2	second paragraph mid-page, and that's where you make your
3	comments on pages 28.
4	So it reads;
5	"Auditors should not report or comment
6	on management style at page 28 because
7	there is no generally accepted method
8	of assessing it. It is very
9	subjective. Management style is
10	difficult to determine with any
11	precision. Management style is
12	situational and subject to change due
13	to circumstances."
14	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
15	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So what did he mean
16	by that, Mr. St. Denis?
17	MR. ST. DENIS: Well
18	MR. DUMAIS: I guess they should not comment
19	on management style?
20	MR. ST. DENIS: And if you do, it shouldn't
21	be included in the overall report because you are dealing
22	with human resources here again.
23	MR. DUMAIS: So I take it that your concern
24	was not so much the comments that they made about your
25	management style, but rather the fact that these comments

1	were included in the audit report?
2	MR. ST. DENIS: I suppose that's fair.
3	MR. DUMAIS: Because one of the suggestions
4	I believe that you make in your response, is that these
5	comments should have been incorporated in some sort of a
6	management letter or
7	MR. ST. DENIS: That's correct.
8	MR. DUMAIS: all right.
9	MR. ST. DENIS: And, again, that's just my
10	opinion.
11	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
12	But certainly, Mr. St. Denis, you recognize
13	that I guess, the difference between this audit and say,
14	for example, the 1990 audit, was that this one was
15	specifically focussing on human resources and was qualified
16	as being a management inspection report?
17	MR. ST. DENIS: I view it as a level 1, 2,
18	3, management, all combined.
19	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And I think you
20	refer to it I found your reference at Bates pages 053.
21	You believe that it should have been by way of a separate
22	management letter. Is that correct?
23	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
24	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And is that a audit
25	term, sir, "management letter" or is

1	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes. Would be more of an
2	audit term than a police term.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: You will help me out
4	then?
5	What's the difference? So if they prepare a
6	management letter, who would see it?
7	MR. ST. DENIS: The management letter
8	since the since the audit was called by the Cornwall
9	Police Services Board
10	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
11	MR. ST. DENIS: the management letter
12	would go to the Board.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
14	MR. ST. DENIS: The employer.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
16	MR. DUMAIS: And I gather that one of your
17	concerns, Mr. St. Denis, is that the report is released to
18	the Cornwall Police Services Board and then a copy is given
19	to the Chief who would give a copy to yourself, but it's
20	released to the entire Police Service? Am I right?
21	MR. ST. DENIS: And when it yes, sir
22	and when it was released I believe to the Service, these
23	areas were blacked out I believe, but I'm not a 100% sure.
24	But I do know the one that went to the City Council it had
25	everything in it.

1	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
2	Would they have presumably, they would
3	have received a copy of the report prior to your lawyer
4	requesting that those sections be removed?
5	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
6	MR. DUMAIS: Okay. All right.
7	At Bates pages 053, you make certain
8	comments about the Police Association?
9	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
10	MR. DUMAIS: And the second comment or the
11	comment that you make at the second sentence, is as
12	follows:
13	"The time at work, 1993, by the
14	President of the Association was a
15	serious concern to all managers, but
16	nowhere is this contentious issue
17	mentioned, as well as many other issues
18	between the Chiefs Office and the
19	President of the Cornwall Police
20	Association."
21	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
22	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So could you
23	explain to us what this paragraph means or what you are
24	referring to?
25	MR. ST. DENIS: Well, number one, I think

1	there was a sick leave issue.
2	There was several people off on sick, not
3	excluding perhaps the President at that time.
4	MR. DUMAIS: Who was the President at that
5	time?
6	MR. ST. DENIS: I believe it was Constable
7	John Parisien.
8	And the part two of it, there were it was
9	an ongoing it wasn't just then. It was an ongoing issue
10	amongst the managers that the President was spending too
11	much time in the President or the Vice-President or a
12	member of the Association but, in particular, the President
13	was always not always spent a lot an enormous
14	amount of time in the Chief's office.
15	And no one, I don't think knew
16	specifically knew what was going on, so a lot of people,
17	perhaps including myself, would be well, I guess our
18	faces were out-of-joint a little bit because and then,
19	of course, rumours flow from that, that's what went on
20	there.
21	MR. DUMAIS: Yes.
22	MR. ST. DENIS: And then you see maybe a day
23	or two later, if something's come out of the blue, and
24	everybody's probably assuming, well, that's what happened
25	in that office between those two.

32

1	And those kinds of things didn't help
2	keeping control of morale.
3	MR. DUMAIS: Right. But is your comment in
4	this paragraph, that the President is spending too much
5	time on Cornwall Police Association matters rather than
6	policing matters, is that what the comment you're
7	making?
8	MR. ST. DENIS: That would be my opinion at
9	that time.
10	MR. DUMAIS: And is that your opinion today?
11	MR. ST. DENIS: For at that time, yeah. I
12	would assume it's changed but at that time today I'm
13	saying that that was reasonably accurate.
14	MR. DUMAIS: Oh, all right. All right.
15	Fair enough.
16	MR. ST. DENIS: But today I can't I don't
17	know what goes on.
18	MR. DUMAIS: Now, in the next section you
19	deal with, I guess, defending the office of the Deputy
20	Chief. Is that correct?
21	MR. ST. DENIS: Well, I try to.
22	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And, essentially,
23	you indicated in the first paragraph that you're accepting
24	your duties and responsibilities but you're trying to
25	explain how difficult your job was at the time. Is that

1	correct?
2	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
3	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
4	And some of the concerns that you have with
5	respect to the auditor's comments was that they had failed
6	to consider the environment and different environmental
7	factors that existed at that time. Is that correct?
8	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
9	MR. DUMAIS: And the so the next
10	paragraph reads as follows:
11	"My decisions and leadership abilities
12	were seriously controlled, curtailed,
13	bypassed, overruled or ignored by both
14	the Chief and some Board members."
15	MR. ST. DENIS: I would prefer to use "some"
16	not "all". That there were some decisions.
17	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So, I mean, what do
18	you mean by that?
19	MR. ST. DENIS: Again, there was less
20	participatory management maybe happening then. And if
21	views were given they were maybe not really listened to or
22	acted upon. I think that's about all I mean there.
23	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
24	So you and the Chief were disagreeing on
25	management issues?

1	MR. ST. DENIS: Well, ninety-five percent of
2	the time, we didn't, but I suppose three, four, five
3	percent of the time we didn't, and perhaps that's why I
4	wrote this. We had a good, solid professional relationship
5	except maybe for five percent of the time.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, sir, look at page
7	7.
8	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: You know, and maybe Mr.
10	Dumais' going to get there, you keep saying that, but you
11	say:
12	"After a serious blow up at the Chief's
13	residence between myself and the Chief
14	on September 2 nd , 1991, I forwarded a
15	letter to Chief Shaver dated September
16	3 rd , 1991 clearly trying to coach the
17	Chief to change, but to no avail. And
18	then Chief Shaver's internal dated
19	August 26^{th} , 1991, basically put the
20	muzzle on me and I had nowhere to go
21	for help."
22	That doesn't ring as if it's only five
23	percent or, to me, it rings that the five percent is
24	seriously overshadowing the other ninety-five percent?
25	MR. ST. DENIS: Well, I would disagree with

1	that, sir. And I think what I'm trying to say here is that
2	I think the Chief was didn't want me to go to the Board
3	on my own with my I had arrived at my own conclusions
4	-
5	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
6	MR. ST. DENIS: without the audit
7	reports from '90, '92, '93, and I wasn't I was looking
8	for a venue to view or to point out to the Board that
9	the Chief still has to maybe change a little more to make
10	things better and I was looking for the best way of doing
11	that when the Chief found out, and we had personal
12	discussions, one-on-one.
13	I'm not sure why I wrote it up, and he wrote
14	back to me, but the intent was, be very careful on your
15	next step and be careful what you say, and I took that
16	advice to heart.
17	But what I'm trying to say is the remainder
18	of the time everything was good, except the credibility and
19	trust issue kept building up over the years, I guess you'd
20	say, and this is what I was trying to resolve again on my
21	own.
22	MR. DUMAIS: All right. Perhaps, Mr. St.
23	Denism if we can just take it one step at a time?
24	And perhaps we can go back to the year
25	the August 26^{th} , 1991 . I'm not exactly sure what that's

1	referring to.
2	So Chief Shaver drafts an internal which is
3	dated August 26 th , '91
4	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
5	MR. DUMAIS: that basically "puts the
6	muzzle on me".
7	MR. ST. DENIS: That's right.
8	MR. DUMAIS: And so this is an internal
9	correspondence. I take it it's directed to you. Is that
10	correct?
11	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
12	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And what does that
13	say? What's the content of that correspondence?
14	MR. ST. DENIS: It probably began like,
15	"Further to our conversation yesterday" or whatever. "You
16	should take serious make serious considerations as to
17	which way you're going to go or which way you may move and
18	maybe what you may be careful what you say", and
19	MR. DUMAIS: But you're talking about
20	he's talking about what here?
21	MR. ST. DENIS: He's talking about a whole
22	mix of character, trust, credibility, perhaps everything
23	the staff sergeants said in nineteen-ninety whatever it
24	was.
25	It was a rehash of all that again. And

1	trying I guess this was my last I shouldn't I
2	don't know how to word this, last straw to for the two
3	of us, kind of thing. Otherwise we're going under here,
4	you know.
5	MR. DUMAIS: All right. But I'm still not
6	understanding what he's telling you. He's telling you that
7	he doesn't trust you?
8	MR. ST. DENIS: I think so, yeah. I think -
9	- as I didn't trust him, maybe, yeah. I no longer trusted
10	him I think at that point.
11	MR. DUMAIS: Fair to say that from then on,
12	the relationship between you and the Chief changed?
13	MR. ST. DENIS: It changed, but we
14	maintained our professional status, if we can say, and we
15	still dealt with each other in front of the officers in a
16	professional manner.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: But obviously it didn't
18	work because the officers realized that there was something
19	going on?
20	MR. ST. DENIS: That's perhaps very well
21	said, sir.
22	MR. DUMAIS: All right. Then the if we
23	take it to the next chronological step and that's a
24	previous paragraph. And I'll just read it out to you:
25	"After a serious blow-up at the Chief's

1	residence between myself and the Chief
2	on September 2 nd , 1991, I forwarded a
3	letter to Chief Shaver dated September
4	3^{rd} , 1991, clearly trying to coach the
5	Chief to change, but to no avail."
6	So what was the blow-up of September 2^{nd} ,
7	1991 about at his house?
8	MR. ST. DENIS: That again if you could
9	just twig me as to when the staff sergeants submitted their
10	report, if it's just is it before that? It has to be a
11	little bit before this date
12	MR. DUMAIS: Well, the staff sergeants would
13	have submitted the report I believe around April $4^{\rm th}$, 1990.
14	MR. ST. DENIS: Yeah. Yes.
15	MR. DUMAIS: And it would have been
16	submitted to the Board shortly afterwards. You provided
17	your response indicating that you were essentially
18	supporting the Chief and then in that summer there was the
19	strategic planning session.
20	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
21	MR. DUMAIS: So this would be the following
22	year.
23	MR. ST. DENIS: Yeah, I think for lack of a
24	better way of saying it, I was almost trying to do the same
25	thing as the staff sergeants, trying to make change for the

1	betterment of the Force management.
2	MR. DUMAIS: But I guess the staff sergeants
3	were asking for either his resignation or an audit or an
4	inquiry by the Ministry?
5	MR. ST. DENIS: At that time, I wouldn't
6	have gone as far as asking for his job. At this time,
7	unfortunately, the Chief had to go and maybe I wasn't too
8	far behind him, but I know for sure at that time to change
9	the atmosphere in the Service, and I hate saying that, but
10	that's not easy for me to
11	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And so in September
12	of 1991, the discussion that you were having with the Chief
13	was about him perhaps leaving the Service. Is that fair?
14	Am I understanding you correctly?
15	MR. ST. DENIS: No. I never told him to
16	leave. I think there were enough hints there by then for
17	him to realise that maybe he had that was his last
18	straw. I think the management audit basically was the last
19	straw, I think, by the Board, by the Association, and I
20	guess by his own Deputy.
21	MR. DUMAIS: All right, but just take me
22	back in 1991 then. So after this blow-up at his residence
23	
24	MR. ST. DENIS: M'hm.

MR. DUMAIS: --- so you recall that event,

1	sir?
2	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, very personal and very
3	personal and a lot of personal character issues as well as
4	Force issues.
5	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And you had gone
6	there to discuss had you gone there to discuss something
7	specifically with him?
8	MR. ST. DENIS: The purpose again was, you
9	know, we're grasping here, change. I guess the purpose was
10	for change again.
11	MR. DUMAIS: All right. You had gone there
12	to discuss management issues?
13	MR. ST. DENIS: It was all included.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Was it in your usual I
15	mean, did you normally have meetings at his home or was
16	this
17	MR. ST. DENIS: Not, not like this, no.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Not like this. No, I can
19	I would hope not to have that many, but did you
20	regularly go over and talk to him?
21	MR. ST. DENIS: No.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Did you meet at his
23	house, either at his request or your request?
24	MR. ST. DENIS: We would have we would
25	have Board meetings and we'd all get together or Board

1	suppers or I could socialise with Chief Shaver at any
2	time of the day or night. He's he's intelligent. He's
3	funny. He has extremely good social skills and he's
4	maybe as long as you don't work for him. I shouldn't think
5	like that, but he's a good guy.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: That's fine. That's
7	fine.
8	All I am trying to do is get some sense how
9	extraordinary it was that you would go over to his place to
10	talk about that. Was it like that day you said, "Hey,
11	Chief, I want to go over to your place to talk to you" or
12	did he say, "Come on over"?
13	MR. ST. DENIS: Probably a mix of both.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
15	MR. DUMAIS: All right, but certainly you
16	would have left the residence in disagreement?
17	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
18	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And the letter that
19	followed up on the next day, do you recall what that letter
20	said? The September 3 rd letter.
21	MR. ST. DENIS: Are we still on
22	MR. DUMAIS: Yes, I'm still on the same
23	paragraph, so that's
24	THE COMMISSIONER: It's September 2 nd .
25	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, I'm sorry, I've got it.

1	Yes.
2	MR. DUMAIS: "I forwarded a letter to Chief
3	Shaver dated September 3 rd , 1991 clearly
4	trying to coach the Chief to change,
5	but to no avail."
6	So that's the letter that followed the
7	September 2 nd meeting at his residence?
8	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
9	MR. DUMAIS: Do you recall what that letter
10	said?
11	MR. ST. DENIS: Again, it dealt with
12	managing issues.
13	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And essentially you
14	were in your response, you indicate that you are trying
15	to coach the Chief to change but to no avail, and you write
16	this in 1993?
17	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
18	MR. DUMAIS: So certainly between September
19	$3^{\rm rd}$, 1991 to when you wrote this in January, 1994 or when he
20	left at end of '93, you had seen no change?
21	MR. ST. DENIS: On days, yes, you would and
22	then he always seemed to fall back. We'd take one step
23	forward and sometimes two or three back. I don't know how
24	to explain it.
25	MR. DUMAIS: All right.

1	Now, sir, the next paragraph deals with some
2	suggestions that you could have done to the OACP. Is that
3	
4	MR. ST. DENIS: No, that would have been as
5	useless as no, it wouldn't have I may have said that,
6	but OACP couldn't have done anything for me.
7	MR. DUMAIS: All right, well, let's just
8	start with what was the OACP?
9	MR. ST. DENIS: Ontario Association of
10	Chiefs of Police.
11	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So someone
12	suggested that to you?
13	MR. ST. DENIS: No, I that's my own
14	writing.
15	MR. DUMAIS: But certainly that would not
16	have
17	MR. ST. DENIS: That wouldn't have helped me
18	in the least.
19	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
20	MR. ST. DENIS: It would have made things
21	worse.
22	MR. DUMAIS: Then the comment in the second
23	sentence says, in the same paragraph or third:
24	"I report to the Chief and the Chief
25	always had three votes out of five on

1	the Board."
2	MR. ST. DENIS: Well, that's just my own
3	personal opinion.
4	If there was any issue about me, that I
5	wouldn't have much hope because I always knew the Chief had
6	when I say "had", he made sure that before going into
7	any meeting that he had the appropriate support, is a
8	better way of putting it. And of course appropriate
9	support at the Board level, five members, you need three
10	voting for you; voting on the issue, I should say.
11	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So you're not
12	saying that he's got a he can cast a majority of vote
13	himself. You are just saying he had support, more support,
14	than you on the Board?
15	MR. ST. DENIS: Yeah. He would have to sell
16	the issue to them and when he came back he knew that he was
17	going to have support from at least three areas or he
18	wouldn't have brought the issue back.
19	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
20	And did you ever take any of your concerns
21	to the Board yourself?
22	MR. ST. DENIS: Unfortunately, I
23	unfortunately, I did.
24	MR. DUMAIS: You did?
25	MR. ST. DENIS: I did informally, and I

1	think after I did it I told the Chief and, of course, that
2	didn't help. That's why the muzzle was upon me for that
3	reason also.
4	And of course we had one Board member that
5	floated around the Police Service at that time, and he was
6	being fed by many members, not excluding the Association,
7	on all the negativity and that particular Board member did
8	want the Chief to resign.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Did or did not?
10	MR. ST. DENIS: Did.
11	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And the reference
12	in this paragraph is to Mayor Martelle and Alderman Green?
13	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
14	MR. DUMAIS: So it's Alderman Green, I take
15	it, that wanted him to resign?
16	MR. ST. DENIS: I would suggest both.
17	MR. DUMAIS: Both, all right.
18	And so you are saying that you would have
19	gone to the Board and you indicated that you it's
20	unfortunate?
21	MR. ST. DENIS: I don't know. I go to
22	the Board in an official capacity, no. I guess I'd have to
23	say this was a
24	MR. DUMAIS: You spoke to Board members?
25	MR. ST. DENIS: I spoke to Board members off

1	the record, I guess, which doesn't exist anymore, in
2	confidence, which I shouldn't have done anyway.
3	MR. DUMAIS: Why are you saying that, that
4	you shouldn't have done that?
5	MR. ST. DENIS: You just don't do those
6	things. You just don't usurp your boss's authority without
7	at least telling him and I know erred by going over his
8	head.
9	MR. DUMAIS: So essentially you're, at this
10	point, not following the chain of command?
11	MR. ST. DENIS: That's correct.
12	MR. DUMAIS: Am I right?
13	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
14	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
15	Now, on the following page, Mr. St. Denis,
16	I'm just looking at the third paragraph, so I am at page 8
17	of your response.
18	So that paragraph refers to an internal
19	dated October 19^{th} , 1992 that you would have sent to the
20	Chief and then the subsequent response from the Chief dated
21	October 27 th , 1992.
22	MR. ST. DENIS: I'm
23	MR. DUMAIS: Can you
24	MR. ST. DENIS: Where are we here again? I
25	just

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Page 8.
2	MR. ST. DENIS: Okay, page 8.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Paragraph 3.
4	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
5	MR. DUMAIS: I'll just perhaps read out the
6	sentence, it might be easier. So:
7	"I am attaching an internal
8	correspondence dated October 27 th , 1992
9	from Chief Shaver in response to my
10	internal of October 19 th , 1992."
11	So do you recall what those internal
12	correspondence that you've enclosed in your response were
13	about?
14	MR. ST. DENIS: It had to do with the
15	management issues. Perhaps even some pertaining to myself
16	at this point. And I was taken an official move making
17	an official move to the Board with this correspondence I
18	believe.
19	MR. DUMAIS: All right, but the next
20	sentence in the same paragraph deals reads as follows:
21	"It becomes clear to me that the Chief
22	was considering Police Act charges and
22	
23	civil litigation against me based on my
23	civil litigation against me based on my correspondence which should have been

1	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
2	MR. DUMAIS: So what Police Act charges was
3	he considering?
4	MR. ST. DENIS: Breach of Trust.
5	MR. DUMAIS: And had he said that much in
6	his memo of October 27 th ?
7	MR. ST. DENIS: I think he was just trying
8	to make sure that I didn't go out of bounds or
9	MR. DUMAIS: Well, he's threatening you?
10	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes. In a way, yes. Well,
11	in a very serious way I guess.
12	MR. DUMAIS: And not only with Police Act
13	charges but also with civil litigation; correct?
14	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
15	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And you were
16	concerned enough by some of his comments that you wrote to
17	Mr. Leo Courville in June of 1993. Is that correct?
18	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
19	MR. DUMAIS: And you've also attached the
20	letter that you sent to him to this your response?
21	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
22	MR. DUMAIS: And you believe in June of 1993
23	that you needed a lawyer at this point-in-time. Is that
24	right?
25	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.

1	MR. DUMAIS: And did that go anywhere? Did
2	you retain a lawyer? Or did you
3	MR. ST. DENIS: I did, but we didn't go I
4	didn't go any further and shortly well, I guess six
5	months later the Chief had left anyway.
6	MR. DUMAIS: All right. But certainly no
7	Police Act charges were
8	MR. ST. DENIS: No, no. No, no.
9	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And certainly
10	there's never a claim issued against you. Is that correct?
11	MR. ST. DENIS: No. No, sir.
12	MR. DUMAIS: All right. Did you go as far
13	as meeting and speaking to a lawyer and retaining a lawyer?
14	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
15	MR. DUMAIS: Yes, you did. All right.
16	Do you know if any steps had been undertaken
17	by him?
18	MR. ST. DENIS: I believe he sent a letter
19	to the Board advising him that advising them that he was
20	representing me.
21	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And that was the
22	extent of it?
23	MR. ST. DENIS: That's there could have
24	been maybe another letter or two but that's about the
25	extent of it.

1	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
2	And at that period of time, sir, are you
3	still on speaking terms with the Chief?
4	MR. ST. DENIS: Professionally, yes.
5	Socially, I don't think so.
6	MR. DUMAIS: But certainly you would agree
7	with me, Mr. St. Denis, that this must have affected your
8	ability to do your job?
9	MR. ST. DENIS: It did somewhat, yes.
10	MR. DUMAIS: So then you would have given
11	this response to Acting Chief Johnston?
12	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
13	MR. DUMAIS: Did you ever meet with him and
14	discuss its contents?
15	MR. ST. DENIS: No, not really. I think we
16	talked about it and I think at this point-in-time the Chief
17	was extremely busy with the DS file with Staff Sergeant
18	Brunet. This wasn't important, it's after the fact.
19	The D.S. matter was, again, very urgent at
20	that time because I believe, having read the documents
21	again, he was busy getting ready to prepare a press release
22	on a DS file.
23	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So just so that
24	we're clear, when you're saying "the Chief", you're talking
25	about Chief Johnston?

1	MR. ST. DENIS: Chief Carl, yes.
2	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
3	MR. ST. DENIS: And really the document was,
4	I guess, more myself trying to explain things to Carl so he
5	when he evaluated me that he had an understanding of the
6	past to go along with all levels of the audits, and
7	evaluate me from the time he took over.
8	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
9	Perhaps that's an appropriate time for a
10	break, Commissioner.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
12	MR. DUMAIS: Thank you.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
14	MR. DUMAIS: Thank you.
15	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. A l'ordre;
16	veuillez vous lever. This hearing will resume at 10:55.
17	Upon recessing at 10:41 a.m./
18	L'audience est suspendue a 10h41
19	Upon resuming at 11:01 a.m./
20	L'audience est reprise a 11h01
21	THE REGISTRAR: This hearing is now resumed.
22	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
24	JOSEPH ST. DENIS: Resumed/Sous le même serment
25	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.

1	DUMAIS (cont'd/suite):
2	MR. DUMAIS: So perhaps, Mr. St. Denis, just
3	before we move on to the next area, I want to touch on
4	you indicated that in 1992 you had retained a lawyer. Do
5	you recall the name of the lawyer you had retained?
6	MR. ST. DENIS: Donihee. Local.
7	MR. DUMAIS: All right. Thank you.
8	Now I want you I'm going to ask you a
9	number of questions about your involvement with the
10	Jeannette Antoine investigation, sir.
11	And my understanding is that you had some
12	prior involvement with that matter in 1989, but you became
13	aware that there may have been a problem with that
14	investigation in 1994. Is that correct?
15	MR. ST. DENIS: Do we have any
16	documentation?
17	MR. DUMAIS: Yes, we do, sir. Perhaps I can
18	take you to Document Number 739095.
19	MR. ST. DENIS: 739
20	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, that's a document
21	so it's coming to you now.
22	MR. ST. DENIS: Sorry.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no. Like I said, by
24	the time you're finished you'll know how the system works.
25	MR. ST. DENIS: Sir, I'm starting to feel

1	like that guy they identified as Binder Boy in Ottawa. I'm
2	starting to feel like him.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: So for Mr. Dumais'
4	purposes, the next exhibit is an internal correspondence to
5	Sergeant Derochie from Deputy Chief St. Denis dated January
6	$11^{\rm th}$, 1994 and the exhibit number is 1769.
7	EXHIBIT NO./PIECE NO. 1769
8	(739095) - Internal Correspondence from
9	Joseph St. Denis to G. Derochie dated
10	January 11, 1994
11	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
12	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So the this is
13	an internal correspondence that you would have drafted. Is
14	that correct?
15	MR. ST. DENIS: That's my signature, yes.
16	MR. DUMAIS: And your correspondence is
17	addressed to Staff Sergeant Derochie and you're essentially
18	asking him to conduct an investigation into this matter?
19	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
20	MR. DUMAIS: And your correspondence refers
21	to a discussion you would have had with Staff Sergeant
22	Brunet on January $10^{\rm th}$ and the morning of January $11^{\rm th}$, 1995
23	94?
24	MR. ST. DENIS: That would be correct at
25	that time, yes.

1	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So then do you
2	recall that that was when you were first made aware there
3	may be a problem with this file?
4	MR. ST. DENIS: Possibly, yeah, I just
5	MR. DUMAIS: Do you recall
6	MR. ST. DENIS: To be very honest with you,
7	I don't remember very much about this file, so that's why
8	I'm going to have to rely on documentation.
9	MR. DUMAIS: Fair enough. Do you recall the
10	discussion you had with Staff Sergeant Brunet on January
11	10 th ?
12	MR. ST. DENIS: No. No, I don't.
13	MR. DUMAIS: You don't have any specific
14	recollection of that?
15	MR. ST. DENIS: The name, had it not been
16	for me looking at the documents, at the beginning I think I
17	even indicated to my own solicitor here that the name meant
18	nothing to me. But as documents were produced, it sort of
19	helped me a little bit but still today, I do not know this
20	lady, I've never met her.
21	MR. DUMAIS: And certainly, Mr. St. Denis,
22	you were not able to find any of your notes on the matter?
23	MR. ST. DENIS: The way I did notes;
24	remember the old steno pads we used to have with the wires?
25	MR. DUMAIS: Yes.

1	MR. ST. DENIS: Anything I would do would be
2	jotting down and then I wouldn't waste time, I'd formulate
3	it into an internal which would at least be a record.
4	These actually internals and transmittal
5	slips, those would be my notes official notes. The
6	steno pads are long gone I would think.
7	MR. DUMAIS: So if you would have taken
8	notes on the matter, you would have not incorporated them
9	in the paper file or the hard copy file?
10	MR. ST. DENIS: Not necessarily.
11	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
12	MR. ST. DENIS: I mean, you would expect the
13	investigator to have his file with the appropriate notes in
14	it.
15	MR. DUMAIS: But, certainly, you no longer
16	have
17	MR. ST. DENIS: No, I don't.
18	MR. DUMAIS: notes on any investigations
19	actually; correct?
20	MR. ST. DENIS: We'll have to rely on these.
21	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
22	So then if you can have on hand Exhibit 1286
23	and perhaps that will assist you.
24	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
25	MR. ST. DENIS: Did you say 1286?

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah, right at the end of
2	it
3	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
4	MR. DUMAIS: of that booklet, there's a
5	
6	MR. ST. DENIS: I keep going yes, sir,
7	I'm there now. Sorry.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. So that's a
9	report to Acting Chief Carl Johnston on the media
10	allegations of misconduct and that's a report that's been
11	prepared by Staff Sergeant Derochie?
12	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: All right?
14	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
15	MR. DUMAIS: And it appears to be the report
16	that was prepared in response to your assignment of the
17	file to Staff Sergeant Derochie in the internal
18	
	correspondence that we've just looked at?
19	correspondence that we've just looked at? MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
19 20	
	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
20	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir. MR. DUMAIS: And the report is dated April
20 21	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir. MR. DUMAIS: And the report is dated April 19 th , '95?
202122	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir. MR. DUMAIS: And the report is dated April 19 th , '95? MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.

1	MR. DUMAIS: Sorry, is there a number? So
2	the last paragraph or the third paragraph in the first
3	section reads:
4	"The writer was tasked by Deputy Chief
5	St. Denis with reviewing all the facts
6	related to Constable Malloy's 1989-90
7	investigation and to determine if Ms.
8	Antoine's allegations have any merit."
9	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir, I'm sorry, I'm
10	there, yes.
11	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So my understanding
12	is that you would have had some involvement
13	MR. ST. DENIS: Absolutely.
14	MR. DUMAIS: in this matter in 1989 as
15	well?
16	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
17	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
18	And if I can just take you then to page 3 of
19	Constable(sic) Derochie's report.
20	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes. Yes, sir.
21	MR. DUMAIS: So the fourth paragraph on that
22	page reads as follows:
23	"The day previous to Malloy's/Bell's
24	interview with Antoine, September 25 th ,
25	1989, Mr. O'Brien had met with Deputy

1	Chief St. Denis, Inspector Trew and
2	Crown Attorney Don Johnson. The
3	meeting had been requested by O'Brien
4	and was held in Johnson's office."
5	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir. I remember
6	attending that meeting.
7	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And can you tell us
8	what was discussed at that meeting? What you remember?
9	MR. ST. DENIS: I no, I couldn't but I
10	could try to but I couldn't. I think it was more of a
11	rehash of whatever evidence that was that existed at
12	that time. And I do remember, I could be wrong, that
13	whatever was decided was I don't think there was
14	anything decided other than there was no reason to go any
15	further. And I believe the remarks came from the Crown,
16	Don.
17	And I when I left that office, I just
18	didn't think there was anything more to do. I could be
19	wrong though.
20	MR. DUMAIS: But do you recall that there
21	were you would have participated in a second meeting on
22	this matter?
23	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes. I can remember Mr.
24	O'Brien calling me and he came into my came to my office
25	about the same subject. And I immediately called Inspector

1	Trew, the OIC CIB, to come in. And Mr. O'Brien we had a
2	meeting.
3	MR. DUMAIS: And do you recall whether or
4	not Staff Sergeant Wells may have been there as well?
5	MR. ST. DENIS: He could have been if they
6	were overlapping, but I'm not 100 per cent sure. Or
7	Inspector Trew, it could have been Inspector Trew that
8	would have called him, maybe to follow-up on whatever was
9	Mr. O'Brien's concern.
10	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
11	MR. ST. DENIS: But I can't say for sure if
12	Staff Wells was there or not.
13	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And the last
14	paragraph on that page reads as follows:
15	"Constable Malloy was assigned to
16	investigate this matter. It is unknown
17	if Malloy ever told his supervisors
18	that he had received this same
19	information shortly before being
20	assigned this investigation. However,
21	it is reasonable to believe that the
22	coincidence was discussed."
23	Do you I mean, do you recall after this
24	meeting that Constable Malloy had been tasked to
25	investigate this matter?

1	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, I do. I think I do
2	remember Constable Malloy being tasked by Inspector Trew.
3	MR. DUMAIS: And following this assignment
4	to Malloy, do you recall any further dealings with this
5	matter?
6	MR. ST. DENIS: If there are there any
7	writings? If not, Inspector Trew would have if there
8	was anything going on, I would have been briefed. I would
9	have it would have come out at morning meetings. We
10	would have been briefed that way as opposed to maybe by
11	letter.
12	MR. DUMAIS: All right, but I guess you
13	don't have any specific recollection of following-up on
14	this investigation or being briefed by anyone, be it
15	Constable Malloy or someone else?
16	MR. ST. DENIS: If would have been at a
17	morning meeting, I think, if there were any briefings.
18	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
19	MR. ST. DENIS: Or anything new to come up.
20	I would say probably the morning meeting.
21	MR. DUMAIS: But I guess, sir, and my
22	question is, you don't have any independent recollection?
23	MR. ST. DENIS: Myself? No.
24	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
25	Now, these two meetings appear it doesn't

1	appear that Chief Shaver participated in any of these
2	meetings. Do you recall
3	MR. ST. DENIS: No, the Chief wasn't there.
4	MR. DUMAIS: Do you recall whether or not he
5	had been briefed subsequent to your two meetings?
6	MR. ST. DENIS: I'm not sure, but I have a
7	feeling, yes. I can't say for sure, but I would think he
8	would have been by myself or by the inspector or by Staff
9	Wells.
10	MR. DUMAIS: All right. But, again, you
11	don't have any
12	MR. ST. DENIS: No.
13	MR. DUMAIS: recollection?
14	MR. ST. DENIS: I can't say yes or no. I
15	don't know.
16	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
17	MR. ST. DENIS: I guess it's better to say
18	that.
19	MR. DUMAIS: Because, certainly, it was not
20	your practice to brief the Chief on all investigations. Is
21	that correct?
22	MR. ST. DENIS: That's true.
23	MR. DUMAIS: And was there certainly you
24	were briefing him on some of the investigations?
25	MR. ST. DENIS: I think I will supplement

1	what I said
2	MR. DUMAIS: Go ahead.
3	MR. ST. DENIS: just before I answer.
4	Official briefings per se, no, but morning
5	meetings, yes. Major cases issues were brought up at
6	morning meetings by the various supervisors or myself, and
7	we would take and clue in everybody as to what was going
8	on.
9	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So, if any one
10	investigator had
11	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
12	MR. DUMAIS: a comment or a difficulty
13	or was requesting advice on a specific file, he would bring
14	it up to the morning meeting. And I take it that it was
15	your practice and the Chief's practice
16	MR. ST. DENIS: Of course.
17	MR. DUMAIS: to be there, if you were
18	there.
19	MR. ST. DENIS: If we were there.
20	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
21	MR. ST. DENIS: If it didn't come by way of
22	internal, you could hear about it at a morning meeting.
23	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
24	Was there any specific direction that had
25	been given to you to brief the Chief on all historical

1	sexual abuse matters?
2	MR. ST. DENIS: Like a policy?
3	MR. DUMAIS: Yes.
4	MR. ST. DENIS: To the best of my
5	recollection, there was no policy in that regard.
6	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And you never see
7	any of those instructions from the Chief either?
8	MR. ST. DENIS: I don't believe so.
9	MR. DUMAIS: Now, you have since then
10	reviewed the report, Mr. St. Denis?
11	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes. Yes, sir.
12	MR. DUMAIS: And -
13	MR. ST. DENIS: Didn't retain it all but I
14	did review it.
15	MR. DUMAIS: Pardon me?
16	MR. ST. DENIS: I scoped through it -
17	MR. DUMAIS: And Staff Sergeant Derochie
18	comes to certain conclusions in the report, namely that the
19	complaint had never been registered on OMPPAC. You don't
20	disagree with that?
21	MR. ST. DENIS: No, I don't.
22	MR. DUMAIS: That Constable Malloy would not
23	have conducted a thorough investigation and would not have
24	kept proper notes?
25	MR. ST. DENIS: That's what they

1	established, I believe that. Yes.
2	MR. DUMAIS: You have no reason to disagree
3	with those conclusions?
4	MR. ST. DENIS: No, I don't.
5	MR. DUMAIS: The third conclusion reads as
6	follows. I'm just reading from page nine, it's paragraph
7	3.
8	"Although all officers in the chain of
9	command to whom Malloy was accountable
10	to, from Staff Sergeant to Chief of
11	Police, had various degrees of
12	knowledge of this matter. None appear
13	to have been monitoring the
14	investigation's progress. No one knows
15	that the report had not been
16	submitted."
17	There is no reason to disagree with that
18	finding either?
19	MR. ST. DENIS: No, I don't.
20	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
21	And you would not, Mr. St. Denis, recall any
22	inquiries by yourself on the progress of this
23	investigation. You don't remember anything?
24	MR. ST. DENIS: Other than maybe being kept
25	abreast at the morning meeting or by way of internal, off

1	the top of my head, no I don't.
2	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So if the matter
3	had not been mentioned at a morning meeting it is likely
4	that you would not have been informed on the progress of
5	this investigation. Is that fair?
6	MR. ST. DENIS: It's possible.
7	MR. DUMAIS: All right. Or at least you
8	don't remember?
9	MR. ST. DENIS: I don't remember.
10	MR. DUMAIS: And do you recall any
11	perhaps I can just put the document to you? Document
12	739042.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Next exhibit is a letter
14	to Richard Abell dated January 12 th , 1994 from Deputy Chief
15	St. Denis which will be Exhibit 1770.
16	EXHIBIT NO./PIECE NO. 1770
17	(739042) - Letter from Joseph St. Denis to
18	Richard Abell dated January 12, 1994
19	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
20	MR. DUMAIS: We're offering this letter
21	MR. ST. DENIS: Obviously, that is my
22	signature and I'm the author of this letter, yes.
23	MR. DUMAIS: So the letter confirms an
24	acknowledgment that allegations had been named in 1989 and
25	that your investigative file is presently in review and

1	some interviews may follow.
2	You don't recall this letter?
3	MR. ST. DENIS: No, but it's mine.
4	MR. DUMAIS: All right. Fair enough,
5	Now, then if I can just if I can take you
6	to the next document which is 739127.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: It's a new one as well,
8	sir.
9	MR. ST. DENIS: Okay. Thank you.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Next Exhibit is a news
11	release from Deputy Chief St. Denis on January 13 th , '94,
12	and that's Exhibit 1771.
13	EXHIBIT NO./PIECE NO. 1771
14	(739127) - News Release from CPS Joseph St.
15	Denis re Allegations of Abuse, dated January
16	13, 1994
17	MR. DUMAIS: So you have that?
18	MR. ST. DENIS: I have that.
19	MR. DUMAIS: And, of course, that's your
20	signature again at the bottom?
21	MR. ST. DENIS: That's my signature. My
22	usual procedure would be to have whoever was requesting
23	that, in this case it looks like Staff Wells, to prepare a
24	document for me for my signature, if he didn't have the
25	authority.

1	But if he was the press officer, I'm
2	surprised why he wouldn't have signed this himself, but I'm
3	not sure if he was the press officer there or if he was in
4	CIB or where he was at that specific time, January $13^{\rm th}$,
5	1994. But, nevertheless, whether this went out or not, I
6	don't know, but that is my signature.
7	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And again, Mr. St.
8	Denis, you don't have any independent recollection of
9	MR. ST. DENIS: The details?
10	MR. DUMAIS: Yes.
11	MR. ST. DENIS: No, sir.
12	MR. DUMAIS: All right. For example, if I'm
13	if we're just looking at the first paragraph, it refers
14	to:
15	"That she and other youth had been
16	physically assaulted on numerous
17	occasions by employees of the
18	Children's Aid Society while under
19	placement at a local group home"
20	And certainly there is no indication in that
21	news release that there would have been sexual abuse, but
22	you don't have any independent recollection?
23	MR. ST. DENIS: No, I don't. I'm sorry.
24	MR. DUMAIS: Fair enough.
25	MR. DUMAIS: And, of course, all of these

1	events are occurring at the beginning of January of 1994
2	and, as we know, that would have been the first week or so
3	at work of Acting Chief Johnston.
4	Do you have any recollection of speaking to
5	him on this matter or briefing him on this matter?
6	MR. ST. DENIS: I believe he would have been
7	briefed on all these outstanding matters.
8	MR. DUMAIS: And, again, Mr. St. Denis, do
9	you have do you recall briefing him or do you assume
10	that
11	MR. ST. DENIS: I assume.
12	MR. DUMAIS: All right. Fair enough.
13	All right, if we could then move on, Mr. St.
14	Denis, to your involvement in another investigation and
15	that would be the David Silmser allegations?
16	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
17	MR. DUMAIS: And if I can take you firstly
18	to Exhibit 293?
19	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
20	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir, I'm there.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: So, before we and I
22	just want to get some sense we talked about your
23	relationship with Chief Shaver. This is in 1992, December
24	of 1992. So the letter that you wrote and the discussion
25	that you had at his home hasn't occurred yet; right?

1	MR. ST. DENIS: You're helping me to think
2	there. I'm not sure.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, are you going to
4	cover that or
5	MR. DUMAIS: Well, not necessarily, but I
6	think it would have occurred. I think the meeting at Chies
7	Shaver's residence would have been on September 2 nd , 1991.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Fair enough.
9	MR. DUMAIS: The letter back, September 3 rd ,
10	1991, and the exchange of internal correspondence between
11	the Deputy Chief and the Chief would have been in October
12	of 1992.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
14	MR. DUMAIS: And
15	THE COMMISSIONER: So this is fresh on the
16	heals of your on-going I don't want to your
17	relationship with the Chief has deteriorated by this time?
18	MR. ST. DENIS: Not when it came to not
19	when it came to working files.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, okay. I
21	understand that. I understand that.
22	I'm just trying to get a feel for the whole
23	ambience and what's going on.
24	MR. ST. DENIS: The general ambience, sir,
25	was one of stress.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Right, but you also
2	indicated to me that it was also an ambience that you no
3	longer trusted the Chief and you felt that he no longer
4	trusted you.
5	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: So I'm just trying to lay
7	the groundwork as to where we are all at, at this point.
8	So that's all there and so now we are coming
9	to
10	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: another stressful
12	incident?
13	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
15	MR. DUMAIS: And I think what is clear, Mr.
16	St. Denis, is that shortly before December $19^{\rm th}$, '92, the
17	Chief would have threatened you with Police Services Act
18	charges and civil litigation. Is that correct?
19	MR. ST. DENIS: A vile threat, not a threat,
20	threat. It was just to be cautious.
21	MR. DUMAIS: His internal correspondence to
22	you would have
23	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
24	MR. DUMAIS: alluded to it?
25	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.

1	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So then on December
2	$9^{ m th}$, 1992, what you have before you is an internal
3	correspondence that appears to have been drafted by
4	Sergeant Nakic, and you'll note that it is dated December
5	9 th , 1992?
6	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
7	MR. DUMAIS: And if you look at the top
8	left-hand corner, it is addressed to Staff Inspector
9	McDonald.
10	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
11	MR. DUMAIS: So if you can just take us back
12	to this day on December $9^{\rm th}$, 1992, and tell us what you can
13	remember of these events.
14	MR. ST. DENIS: I can attempt to give you a
15	brief flow.
16	Obviously, Nakic got the message to probably
17	a telephone call. He would have transmitted it to Staff
18	Inspector McDonald who probably was the OIC field
19	operations. The memo, Inspector McDonald would have sent
20	it to me or at least I got it because I dated it on the
21	same date, 92/12/09.
22	MR. DUMAIS: But if I can ask you, Mr. St.
23	Denis, do you remember receiving this memo?
24	MR. ST. DENIS: Do I remember what?
25	MR. DUMAIS: Receiving this internal

correspondence?
MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, I guess I I think I
do. I think I do.
MR. DUMAIS: And I guess more specifically,
I'm wondering whether or not Staff Sergeant Nakic gave it
to Staff Inspector McDonald, who would have given it to the
Chief?
MR. ST. DENIS: That's possible, but I'm
still wondering why my initials are on, at the bottom here.
MR. DUMAIS: Yes.
MR. ST. DENIS: That's possible because
Sergeant Lortie was assigned to investigate.
MR. DUMAIS: Yes.
MR. ST. DENIS: And maybe at that time,
Sergeant Lortie was reporting directly to the Chief if he
was CISO officer. If those facts are correct, that
probably would have been how it happened.
THE COMMISSIONER: Well, it says:
"Noted. Chief assigned Sergeant Lortie
to investigate."
It wasn't you.
MR. ST. DENIS: Then it went to the Chief.
THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, so and I so
you don't remember this?
MR. ST. DENIS: No.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
2	MR. ST. DENIS: But I do
3	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, I know, but
4	you're reconstructing there.
5	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: And I don't want you to
7	do that.
8	MR. ST. DENIS: Okay.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: All right? I want you to
10	like do you remember now
11	MR. ST. DENIS: What went on then?
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes?
13	MR. ST. DENIS: No. No, sir.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, all right.
15	MR. DUMAIS: But certainly, I guess, what
16	you can tell us is that the signature at the bottom and the
17	note at the bottom was authored by you. That's your
18	handwriting?
19	MR. ST. DENIS: That's my handwriting.
20	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And whether or not
21	you
22	MR. ST. DENIS: If I said the Chief assigned
23	to Sergeant Lortie, that's what would have happened at that
24	time.
25	MR. DUMAIS: And would that, Mr. St. Denis,

1	be unusual for the Chief becoming involved in the
2	assignment of a specific file?
3	MR. ST. DENIS: Well, you're asking me to
4	speak how how I would have handled it? I would have
5	called in my Inspector OIC immediately. "You find someone.
6	This is urgent. Get it done." And that officer OIC CIB
7	would have assigned an investigating officer.
8	Now, coupled with all the issues at hand;
9	shortage of personnel in CIB, shortages here and shortages
10	there, maybe when Staff Inspector McDonald consulted with
11	the Chief, maybe the best person to assign at that time who
12	had maybe a little more time on his hands was Sergeant
13	Lortie.
14	To arrive at and I don't want to do what
15	the Judge has told me, reconstruct. Other than the Chief
16	looking at it as being urgent and he assigned Lortie right
17	away.
18	MR. DUMAIS: But I guess let's assume for
19	a second that Sergeant Nakic receives this, the complaint
20	from Mr. Silmser, and we are looking at this document, he
21	appears to have drafted an internal correspondence.
22	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
23	MR. DUMAIS: I mean, is that the usual
24	course to draft an internal correspondence once a telephone
25	complaint is made?

1	MR. ST. DENIS: I'm not sure if we did we
2	have OMPPAC at this time and, if we did, it should have
3	maybe come on, on OMPPAC.
4	If not, this would be a very good way of
5	doing it. If not, and you wouldn't have a record of it, if
6	Nakic went verbally to McDonald and McDonald went verbally
7	to me, but I can't see how you could do it verbally on such
8	an issue.
9	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: OMPPAC was on.
11	MR. ST. DENIS: OMPPAC was on? Well, it
12	should have come by way of OMPPAC kind of an OMPPAC
13	report.
14	MR. DUMAIS: And I guess what I'm asking is
15	why would this specific complaint go up the chain of
16	command?
17	MR. ST. DENIS: Maybe I don't know.
18	MR. DUMAIS: Let's say rather than
19	MR. ST. DENIS: I think it's I think the
20	details in the first paragraph would have probably struck
21	the supervisors and put a priority on it.
22	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So the fact that
23	the allegation was made against Father MacDonald and the
24	Probation Officer, Ken Seguin. Is that correct?
25	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.

1	MR. DUMAIS: All right. Now the
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, sir?
3	MR. MANDERVILLE: Mr. Commissioner, I'm just
4	a little concerned because you did correctly admonish the
5	witness not to reconstruct, and my friend is essentially
6	asking him to do those very things.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, it depends now. I
8	think it's fair to ask him how would it have happened; how
9	what were the normal streams, and I don't think that's
10	part of reconstruction.
11	MR. MANDERVILLE: I don't think that's
12	incorrect at all.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, well what question
14	are you
15	MR. MANDERVILLE: I would have some concern
16	if, and he is doing so, my friend is asking Mr. St. Denis
17	do you know how it would have come to the Chief and how it
18	would have transferred around to Sergeant Lortie, when he
19	doesn't remember.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Right, okay. Well,
21	Maître Dumais?
22	MR. DUMAIS: I mean I understand what my
23	friend is saying, Mr. Commissioner, and I'm concerned as
24	well, about the witness telling us what he remembers, but
25	certainly I think it's an appropriate question to ask,

1	whether or not this would have been the usual steps to
2	follow.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
4	Okay, well, if it was the usual steps to
5	follow
6	MR. MANDERVILLE: I take no issue with that.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, thank you.
8	See we have to understand, sir, that I'm
9	looking at this in one way because I have to look at it
10	that some people will argue that the Chief took possession
11	of this file because of his allegiances to Father Charles
12	MacDonald and to Ken Seguin, and I'm not making any finding
13	about that but yet and that it would have been his
14	way of taking control of the file, getting it to somebody
15	outside the line of control of command that you know,
16	that the steps that were taken afterwards were all part of
17	a plan for him to cover this whole thing up.
18	So what I want to know from you is and what
19	Me Dumais is asking you is what is normally what was
20	normally the procedure then and if you can help us in any
21	way as to any explanation you may have as to why they went
22	the other way or not.
23	All the while remembering that if you don't
24	remember, you just say you don't remember or that you don't
25	know.

1	Does that help you a little bit?
2	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: All right, thank you. Go
4	ahead.
5	MR. DUMAIS: Let me ask you this, Mr. St.
6	Denis; do you recall that did you sorry, let me
7	rephrase that.
8	Did you ever have any discussion with
9	Sergeant Lortie regarding this file; do you recall that?
10	MR. ST. DENIS: The time I had discussions
11	with Sergeant Lortie was after I heard that he was going
12	off on sick leave and the file hadn't been activated or
13	something and I had him come in my office and I believe he
14	gave me the file and I decided immediately that I would
15	transfer that file to to Staff Brunet, one of the
16	officers in charge of CIB at the time and that's that's
17	that's what I remember.
18	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So you believe that
19	you would have had a conversation with Sergeant Lortie in
20	your office; is that correct?
21	MR. ST. DENIS: A conversation on on
22	getting this file activated, investigated.
23	MR. DUMAIS: All right. Do you recall
24	receiving any instructions from the Chief as to the
25	assignment or reassignment or

1	MR. ST. DENIS: Well, obviously obviously
2	the Lortie had been assigned the file.
3	I'm not sure how I found out about it, but
4	it does say I'm aware of it on the same date so it's
5	possible Inspector McDonald, now, went directly to the
6	Chief, who assigned it; I don't know.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Whoa, whoa, whoa, okay,
8	hold on.
9	Why can't we help him out a little bit?
10	MR. DUMAIS: Yeah.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: We've heard evidence on
12	how that happened.
13	MR. DUMAIS: Yeah. And perhaps my
14	understanding is that you would have drafted a memo,
15	Mr. St. Denis, and that's at I believe that's
16	Exhibit 1298B.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: One two (12) no, that
18	will be another binder, sir.
19	And it's marked pardon?
20	One two (12), yeah.
21	MR. ST. DENIS: Thank you.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Two nine (29).
23	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, I'm there.
24	MR. DUMAIS: All right. Well, perhaps if
25	you can just take a minute and just go through the

1	document.
2	MR. ST. DENIS: Actually actually, the
3	next page is more clear.
4	MR. DUMAIS: Sorry?
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, that's why we did
6	it.
7	MR. ST. DENIS: I say the next page is
8	THE COMMISSIONER: One two nine eight B
9	(1298B)?
10	MR. ST. DENIS: One two nine eight B
11	(1298B).
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah, yeah.
13	MR. ST. DENIS: That is that is mine.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: You can refer to it.
15	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, that's my that's my
16	
17	MR. DUMAIS: So that
18	MR. ST. DENIS: That's my transmittal slip.
19	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And I guess
20	what's a "transmittal slip" or is there any significance to
21	that?
22	MR. ST. DENIS: I I prefer to have a
23	written document of some of the work I did most of the
24	work I did. I could have done this verbally but then I
25	wouldn't have had a record of it.

1	MR. DUMAIS: Okay.
2	MR. ST. DENIS: And I I used the
3	transmittal slip because it was faster than an internal
4	memo; it didn't have to be typed, so again this is the way
5	I did it this time.
6	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So but certainly
7	you this is your handwriting, your
8	MR. ST. DENIS: This is mine.
9	MR. DUMAIS: And do you recall drafting
10	this?
11	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
12	MR. DUMAIS: So it appears to be the
13	transmittal slip to Staff Sergeant Brunet and it's dated
14	the 8 th day of January, 1993?
15	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
16	MR. DUMAIS: And it confirms that this file,
17	the David Silmser file, was initially assigned to Sergeant
18	Lortie
19	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
20	MR. DUMAIS: who now is away on sick
21	leave?
22	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
23	MR. DUMAIS: And then Sergeant Lortie
24	indicated that he was to meet with Silmser early in January
25	of 1993?

1	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
2	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So you would have
3	got this information from your meeting with Sergeant
4	Lortie?
5	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
6	MR. DUMAIS: And and then you indicated
7	that you realized that:
8	" the heavy workload in CIB but this
9	could possibly turn into an 'Alfred-
10	type' situation."
11	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
12	MR. DUMAIS: "Therefore please assign as
13	soon as possible."
14	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
15	MR. DUMAIS: So if I can ask you firstly,
16	this was Staff Sergeant Brunet's first day as OIC of the
17	CIB; do you recall that he had just been assigned there?
18	MR. ST. DENIS: I'm I'm not sure.
19	MR. DUMAIS: You don't remember, all right.
20	MR. ST. DENIS: No.
21	MR. DUMAIS: Now, you make reference in this
22	transmittal slip to this being possibly an "Alfred-type
23	situation"; what did you mean by that?
24	MR. ST. DENIS: The I come from near
25	near Alfred, myself not, not that far away and it was

1	a reform school and there were a lot of a lot of issues
2	at the reform school and I was and priests and brothers
3	involved
4	MR. DUMAIS: M'hm.
5	MR. ST. DENIS: and I was concerned that
6	that maybe we had the same thing in our own back door;
7	that was and then I probably put it in there to let
8	let's get going on this and make sure that we get it
9	resolved as soon as possible.
10	MR. DUMAIS: All right. Is it fair to say
11	that you were concerned about any delays into
12	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
13	MR. DUMAIS: this investigation? All
14	right.
15	MR. ST. DENIS: Absolutely.
16	MR. DUMAIS: Now, do you remember whether or
17	not Sergeant Lortie was back at the office on the 8^{th} day of
18	January, 1993?
19	MR. ST. DENIS: I don't well, if he would
20	have been back on the 8^{th} , I don't think I would have done
21	what I did.
22	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So the last comment
23	on this page is:
24	"The new investigator should see and
25	discuss this with Sergeant Lortie."

So, I mean
MR. ST. DENIS: That by that, I meant
whoever was going to be assigned this file, see Lortie; get
get a briefing of what he may or may not have done on
the file and what was proposed and whatever was on in
the file, at that time,
MR. DUMAIS: All right.
MR. ST. DENIS: so that he wouldn't
start he or she wouldn't start cold.
MR. DUMAIS: And so do you remember whether
or not Sergeant Lortie would have given you the paper file,
the hard copy file or whether or not he had kept it?
MR. ST. DENIS: I I believe he did and if
he didn't, he would have given it to Brunet, himself, but I
I believe he did but I can't say for sure.
MR. DUMAIS: All right. So all right, so
then, at the bottom of your transmittal slip, there's a
Nota bene:
"One month already went by on this."
MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
MR. DUMAIS: So, I mean, is it fair to say
that you you were concerned with the
MR. ST. DENIS: The timing
MR. DUMAIS: nothing had been done over
the last month? All right.

1	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
2	MR. DUMAIS: Now, you've checked off a
3	number of boxes at the bottom, (1) is "Take Appropriate
4	Action;" (2)is "Investigate and Report;" (3) is "Please
5	Handle and Advise." Do you recall any follow-up from
6	either Staff Sergeant Brunet or the officer who was
7	assigned this file following up on this matter with you?
8	MR. ST. DENIS: Well, this this would
9	generate the flow of either additional memorandums or
10	briefings at the morning meetings or separate briefings
11	with the with Staff Brunet, himself, that that way.
12	MR. DUMAIS: But I guess my question is, do
13	you have any independent recollection of anyone coming back
14	after January 8^{th} , 1993, and telling you, "Okay, this person
15	has been assigned with this file and there's been a
16	meeting," or anything of that sort?
17	MR. ST. DENIS: I believe shortly shortly
18	after this okay, I can't I don't know the date I
19	realized that Constable Sebalj had been had been
20	assigned, yes.
21	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So you recall
22	having been informed of that?
23	MR. ST. DENIS: Yeah, probably at the next
24	morning meeting or a morning meeting, yes.
25	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And again, do you

1	remember who whoever had informed you or do you not have
2	an independent
3	MR. ST. DENIS: It would have been Staff
4	Brunet.
5	MR. DUMAIS: Okay. But again, you're
6	you're assuming that or do you have do you remember
7	that?
8	MR. ST. DENIS: In my best guess, it would
9	have been Staff Brunet.
10	MR. DUMAIS: And and that's fine, I'm not
11	asking you to guess, Mr. St. Denis, that's fine. If you
12	don't remember, that's fine, you can just tell me that you
13	don't remember.
14	MR. ST. DENIS: I don't remember, then.
15	MR. DUMAIS: All right. Fair enough.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: So, sir, did you have any
17	so when you learned that it was Constable Sebalj, did
18	you did you know Constable Sebalj?
19	MR. ST. DENIS: No more than any other
20	person.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: So did you know anything
22	about her capabilities or experience or anything like that?
23	MR. ST. DENIS: Well, after the I guess,
24	after the fact Staff Sergeant Brunet came back at a at a
25	meeting, in the Chief's office, and I think he indicated

1	that she was somebody I think the chap complained
2	about the female officer.
3	MR. DUMAIS: Right.
4	MR. ST. DENIS: And then but there was
5	never any question about her abilities or capabilities, to
6	the best of my recollection.
7	MR. DUMAIS: Right, okay. Sorry. All
8	right. Mr. St. Denis you make reference in your
9	transmittal slip that you're aware that the CIB was busy at
10	the time.
11	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
12	MR. DUMAIS: Right. And my understanding is
13	that throughout the year in 1993 you would have received
14	some request from the OIC, so Staff Sergeant Brunet
15	regarding requests for additional resources
16	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
17	MR. DUMAIS: through the CIB.
18	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, he wrote a memo to me.
19	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So well, perhaps
20	I can just take you to Exhibit 1419.
21	MR. ST. DENIS: Oh, that's not Staff
22	Brunet's, 1418 1419, yes, sorry.
23	MR. DUMAIS: And I believe this is your
24	response and if you want to see
2.5	

MR. ST. DENIS: I think, sir -- I just

1	happened to leaf over here. I think Staff Brunet's
2	memorandum to me is 1420.
3	MR. DUMAIS: Perhaps
4	MR. ST. DENIS: Is that possible?
5	MR. DUMAIS: if you can have a look at
6	1418 perhaps.
7	MR. ST. DENIS: Okay. I'm there.
8	MR. DUMAIS: So is that so that memo,
9	that internal correspondence addressed to you is dated
10	February 15 th , 1993 and the re line deals with Constable
11	Mark Zulinski and essentially Staff Sergeant Brunet is
12	requesting for additional resources to be assigned to his
13	unit.
14	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
15	MR. DUMAIS: And then you would have
15 16	MR. DUMAIS: And then you would have responded to him and that's exhibit 1419.
16	responded to him and that's exhibit 1419.
16 17	responded to him and that's exhibit 1419. MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
16 17 18	responded to him and that's exhibit 1419. MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir. MR. DUMAIS: So internal correspondence from
16 17 18 19	responded to him and that's exhibit 1419. MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir. MR. DUMAIS: So internal correspondence from yourself to Staff Sergeant Brunet dated February 19 th , 1993
16 17 18 19 20	responded to him and that's exhibit 1419. MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir. MR. DUMAIS: So internal correspondence from yourself to Staff Sergeant Brunet dated February 19 th , 1993 and refers to internal correspondence dated February 15 th
16 17 18 19 20 21	responded to him and that's exhibit 1419. MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir. MR. DUMAIS: So internal correspondence from yourself to Staff Sergeant Brunet dated February 19 th , 1993 and refers to internal correspondence dated February 15 th that we've just looked at
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	responded to him and that's exhibit 1419. MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir. MR. DUMAIS: So internal correspondence from yourself to Staff Sergeant Brunet dated February 19 th , 1993 and refers to internal correspondence dated February 15 th that we've just looked at MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.

2 "A short time ago I indicated to	
	field
3 operations that there are no add	litional
4 persons being hired in '93, '94,	195
5 and that our overtime will be	
6 controlled even more stringently	<i>r</i> in
7 '93, '94, '95 and that we will k	e asked
8 to do more and more with less ar	nd
9 less."	
10 MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.	
11 MR. DUMAIS: So do you recall that me	emo? Do
12 you recall saying that	
13 MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.	
MR. DUMAIS: or writing that to -	
MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, I do.	
16 MR. DUMAIS: And then he's asking	
specifically, I guess, for the transfer of Constable	2
2 Zulinski and you address that in the last paragraph	of your
memo on that first page.	
20 And essentially, if I could just read	l it out
21 to you:	
22 "Although I cannot guarantee Cor	ıstable
Zulinski's transfer by April 1 st	, '93 as
you suggest, I can assure that y	our
team is a priority and you will	get

1	relief as soon as we can provide it.
2	We have several people off on sick
3	leave, LTD"
4	I believe is long-term disability:
5	"Workers' Compensation and it is
6	only by their return to work that we
7	may be able to get more relief."
8	And then finally:
9	"Again these people are off and our
10	Wellness Committee has yet to be formed
11	in order to facilitate the return of
12	some of these people."
13	So do you recall that at that time, the
14	beginning of 1993 that there were a lot of people off on
15	sick leave
16	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
17	MR. DUMAIS: or
18	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
19	MR. DUMAIS: And you make reference in your
20	memo to the Wellness Committee. Do you recall what the
21	Wellness Committee was?
22	MR. ST. DENIS: I don't know who I whose
23	idea it was but it was a good one. It was trying to set up
24	a committee of people that would encourage the people that
25	were off to come back on modified work and try and fit them

1	in somewhere in the Service to free up a healthy body;
2	that's how we tried to do it.
3	I forget who was on the committee. I but
4	that's your Wellness Committee.
5	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So you seem to
6	indicate in this memo that it had not been formed but do
7	you believe that at one point in time it was put in place?
8	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, and then it
9	somewhere down the line it got translated into Staff
10	Sergeant Dupuis becoming I forget the terminology they
11	used that was used at that time, but looking after
12	modified work programs for these people.
13	MR. DUMAIS: All right. Now, the times I
14	guess the time of this investigation was at the, I guess,
15	latter part of 1992 and throughout 1993 and just with
16	respect to this issue with a lot of people being away from
17	work, do you believe that any of the morale issues that we
18	discussed over the past two days contributed to a lot of
19	people being absent from work?
20	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, but also conversely the
21	number of people that were off were also causing stress to
22	the people that were working. And I think that's important
23	to realize also, though, because the people that were

were off that could have come back maybe on modified work

1	and that in itself created stress.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: So you're saying not only
3	did the added work because you were short people added
4	stress, you're saying that there was some suspicion that
5	these folks thought that people who were on sick leave
6	should come back and help them sooner?
7	MR. ST. DENIS: Absolutely.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
9	MR. DUMAIS: Now all right. Just coming
10	back to the Silmser investigation, do you have any
11	independent recollection of any of the investigation, any
12	steps of the investigation or being informed of any of the
13	steps of the investigation from January 1993 until
14	September of 1993?
15	MR. ST. DENIS: Other than through the
16	briefings as I mentioned or if it was an internal, I do
17	remember being briefed on different issues with this case.
18	But to be able to tell you what that briefing was, no I
19	can't.
20	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And in a similar
21	fashion you can't tell us whether who was briefing you,
22	is that fair?
23	MR. ST. DENIS: It would be the OIC, usually
24	the OIC, Staff Brunet in this case.
25	MR. DUMAIS: But again, Mr. St. Denis, do

1	you remember that or are you assuming that?
2	MR. ST. DENIS: No, I remember Staff Brunet
3	briefing us.
4	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Briefing us, who is us?
6	MR. ST. DENIS: At the morning meeting,
7	whoever was at the morning meeting.
8	MR. DUMAIS: All right. Now, my review of
9	documents appear to indicate that you would have been
10	your next documented involvement at least in this file
11	would have been in September of 1993 and
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, sir, I don't mean
13	to interrupt. He does have some memory about whether or
14	not a female should be assigned. So I don't know if you
15	wanted to cover that.
16	MR. DUMAIS: Yes, perhaps. In January of
17	perhaps
18	THE COMMISSIONER: 1993.
19	MR. DUMAIS: I should take you to
20	Exhibit 1436. Well, that's not going to be helpful. Let
21	me see if I can ask you the question without a document,
22	Mr. St. Denis. In January of 1993 there would have been a
23	request from Mr. Silmser to be assigned a male
24	investigator. Do you have any recollection of that?
25	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, I do remember. I can

1	remember those details.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: So where were you, what
3	were the circumstances? That's what I'd like to hear from
4	you?
5	MR. ST. DENIS: In the Chief's office.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Who was
7	there?
8	MR. ST. DENIS: Staff Brunet, the Chief,
9	myself, and I'm not sure after that.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. What was
11	said? Who said what?
12	MR. ST. DENIS: The complaint came in, to
13	the best of my recollection, the complainant, D.S., did not
14	want a female investigator; did not want that female
15	investigator and there were discussions about that.
16	And I believe that Staff Brunet indicated
17	that she was qualified and that I don't know if he had
18	any other members that he could have picked at that time.
19	I think that was one of the issues because there was no
20	other personnel available. That's about all I remember.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Do you remember if
22	the Chief took a position on that or did you take a
23	position? And if so, what was it?
24	MR. ST. DENIS: After the briefing, I
25	believe, the Chief agreed with Luc to maintain Constable

1	Sebalj.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Was there any
3	questions do you recall if there is any questions about
4	her qualifications?
5	MR. ST. DENIS: Not at that time, no.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, you just said
7	something about her that she was qualified.
8	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes. Was there any
9	questions from me about her?
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, no, no, no. Did
11	anybody you said there was a discussion about whether
12	she was qualified or not?
13	MR. ST. DENIS: No, I wouldn't have meant
14	that, no.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh.
16	Mr. Dumais.
17	MR. DUMAIS: All right. If I can then just
18	take you to Exhibit 1435.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: No, it would be another
20	book.
21	MR. ST. DENIS: This is really binder boy
22	stuff.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Fourteen thirty five
24	(1435).
25	MR. ST. DENIS: Maître?

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Quatorze trente cinq
2	(1435).
3	MR. ST. DENIS: Merci.
4	Yes, I'm there sir.
5	MR. DUMAIS: So this is an internal
6	correspondence that appears to be drafted by you addressed
7	to Staff Sergeant Wells.
8	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
9	MR. DUMAIS: And that was so that if you
10	look at the second page, that's your signature sir?
11	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
12	MR. DUMAIS: And, of course, this is dated
13	February 8 th , 1994 and it's an internal correspondence that
14	you would have drafted within Staff Sergeant Wells'
15	citizen's complaint from Mr. Silmser.
16	MR. ST. DENIS: Staff Wells was doing the
17	investigation.
18	MR. DUMAIS: And in this correspondence,
19	you're referring to an incident at a morning meeting where
20	Sergeant Lortie would have commented on the Silmser
21	investigation. Do you recall that?
22	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
23	MR. DUMAIS: So you recall drafting the memo
24	personally?
25	MR. ST. DENIS: I that's my memo

1	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
2	MR. ST. DENIS: absolutely.
3	MR. DUMAIS: And do you recall these events
4	at the September 1993 meeting?
5	MR. ST. DENIS: I do remember it was one of
6	our hotter meetings because Sergeant Lortie was a little
7	more hyper than usual, if I can say that, when he expressed
8	his concern.
9	And I do remember some of the I don't
10	remember all the things that were said but what he did say
11	did bother Staff Sergeant Brunet so much so that Staff
12	Brunet came into my officer after that morning meeting,
13	pretty down at the lip, bothered by what the other officer
14	had said at the morning meeting with respect to this case.
15	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And do you recall
16	specifically what Sergeant Lortie had said?
17	MR. ST. DENIS: No. I wouldn't take a stab
18	at that. But it was whatever was said, it affected
19	Brunet.
20	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And in your memo,
21	you refer to Sergeant Lortie using the phrase "cover up";
22	does that
23	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
24	MR. DUMAIS: help you remember?
25	MR. ST. DENIS: I believe that's what was

1	said. Those words were said but in what I'm not 100 per
2	cent sure, but I'm I don't think I would have written
3	that if I didn't hear it.
4	MR. DUMAIS: Okay.
5	MR. ST. DENIS: But I think he's talking
6	about the cover up of the church, not cover up of Brunet.
7	So I'm not sure.
8	MR. DUMAIS: And if you don't remember,
9	that's
10	MR. ST. DENIS: I don't remember.
11	MR. DUMAIS: fair enough. All right.
12	So then would you
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Before we go away, are
14	you going to talk about that meeting more or shall I?
15	MR. DUMAIS: I think that was the extent of
16	my questions, Mr. Commissioner.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, no, no, no.
18	Okay. So who do you recall being at the
19	meeting?
20	MR. ST. DENIS: Staff Brunet
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah.
22	MR. ST. DENIS: myself, Chief Shaver,
23	_
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Lortie?
25	MR. ST. DENIS: Lortie and it was a

1	morning meeting sir, so there would have been somebody from
2	Field Ops but I can't remember who.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: That's fair. So when
4	MR. ST. DENIS: Maybe Staff Wells was there,
5	I can't remember for sure.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Do you know at
7	what point in the meeting that this came about?
8	MR. ST. DENIS: The statement?
9	THE COMMISSIONER: That Lortie
10	MR. ST. DENIS: Made this
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah.
12	MR. ST. DENIS: Oh, it was shortly after we
13	got into the meeting, not that long after.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. And did anybody
15	so he was expressing some concerns about the investigation?
16	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Do you recall
18	if anybody responded to him?
19	MR. ST. DENIS: I think there were I
20	don't know who if it was Brunet trying to answer it, answer
21	his concerns and that's about all I remember.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Do you recall
23	if the Chief said anything?
24	MR. ST. DENIS: I can't remember.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Fair enough.

1	Right, that takes care of it then.
2	MR. ST. DENIS: Thank you.
3	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So then shortly
4	afterwards or towards the end of September, I understand
5	that Staff Sergeant Brunet would have come to you and
6	talked to you about a meeting that Constable Sebalj had
7	with Mr. Silmser?
8	So Mr. Silmser would have come in; spoken to
9	Constable Sebalj; Constable Sebalj spoke to Staff Sergeant
10	Brunet; then Brunet Staff Sergeant Brunet would have
11	briefed you on that. Do you remember that and do you
12	recall that?
13	MR. ST. DENIS: To be able to tell you what
14	the briefing was, no. But I would say that I was briefed,
15	yes.
16	MR. DUMAIS: And perhaps if I could assist
17	you a bit, the briefing or the subject of discussion was
18	the fact that Mr. Silmser was concerned that a Helen Dunlop
19	would have contacted him.
20	MR. ST. DENIS: I remember those details
21	now.
22	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So can you tell us
23	what you remember about what Staff Sergeant Brunet would
24	have briefed you about?
25	MR. ST. DENIS: Is there a document to help

1	me?
2	MR. DUMAIS: We have Staff Sergeant Brunet's
3	notes, perhaps they will assist you. It's Exhibit 1436.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry. What exhibit?
5	MR. DUMAIS: One-four-three-six (1436).
6	THE COMMISSIONER: One-four-three-six
7	(1436), yes.
8	MR. ST. DENIS: I'm sorry. I'm there.
9	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So and I'm just
10	looking at the end of the second paragraph and perhaps I
11	can just read it out to assist you:
12	"At this point, I told Constable Sebalj
13	I would take care of it and advised the
14	D.C. of the situation. He asked me to
15	take care of it and document it."
16	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
17	MR. DUMAIS: And then he goes on to say that
18	he met with Constable Dunlop on this issue.
19	MR. ST. DENIS: Okay. I do have a brief
20	memory of that situation.
21	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So, I mean, do you
22	recall giving any specific instructions to Staff Sergeant
23	Brunet?
24	MR. ST. DENIS: No, I would have told him to
25	handle it other than telling him to handle it.

1	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So and then after
2	this briefing, do you recall what, if anything, you did
3	with that? Did you brief the Chief or anyone else?
4	MR. ST. DENIS: Well, as I quickly read
5	through this, again, 7112034 in the left corner:
6	"Chief Shaver requests that I attend
7	his office for a meeting. Present was
8	D.C. St. Denis."
9	So if it was stated that I was there; I
10	was there.
11	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And that's a
12	subsequent meeting that would have occurred but I guess
13	what I'm asking, I mean, do you remember receiving a
14	briefing from Staff Sergeant Brunet and then you turning
15	around and speaking to the Chief about it. Do you have any
16	independent recollection of that?
17	MR. ST. DENIS: No, I don't.
18	MR. DUMAIS: All right. Do you recall being
19	briefed by Staff Sergeant Brunet following his meeting with
20	Constable Dunlop?
21	MR. ST. DENIS: I don't remember but I'm
22	sure I was.
23	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
24	Do you recall shortly after that, the Chief
25	calling a meeting? So on October 1st, 1993 there would have

1	been a meeting and I'm just perhaps I can just take you
2	to Bates pages 034, and we're looking at Staff Sergeant's
3	Brunet's notes.
4	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
5	MR. DUMAIS: So about five or six lines
6	down, approximately 1310 hours on the $1^{\rm st}$ day of October
7	1993:
8	"Chief Shaver requested I attend his
9	office for a meeting. Present was D/C
10	St. Denis, subject was David Silmser,
11	Father Charles MacDonald and Ken
12	Seguin. I was advised that Perry
13	Dunlop had turned over the statement
14	obtained from David Silmser by
15	Constable Sebalj and Sergeant Lefebvre
16	had been turned over to CAS"."
17	MR. ST. DENIS: I remember I remember
18	that now. Now, that I'm reading it. I remember something
19	to that effect.
20	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
21	So you remember this October $1^{\rm st}$ meeting.
22	MR. ST. DENIS: If that's the date that's in
23	it, gave it there, I have to agree with that.
24	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
25	And that was essentially the time that you

1	first would have been advised that this statements had been
2	released to the Children's Aid Society? Is that correct?
3	MR. ST. DENIS: I would say so, yes.
4	MR. DUMAIS: And, then it was at that same
5	meeting that the Chief would have asked the file to be
6	inputted in a project file in the OMPPAC system. Do you
7	recall that?
8	MR. ST. DENIS: I remember the Chief
9	requesting that but I don't know if it was the same date or
10	not. Maybe it was. Maybe it wasn't. But I do remember
11	the Chief ordering ordering the file that we put on a
12	project directly to Staff Brunet.
13	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
14	And am I correct that you were never given
15	access to this project file, Mr. St. Denis?
16	MR. DUMAIS: It's not that I was not given
17	it. I think if you know, if I had wanted the number, I
18	would have gotten it. But I didn't have that access code.
19	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
20	So I guess what you're saying is that it's
21	not necessarily that you were prevented
22	MR. ST. DENIS: No.
23	MR. DUMAIS: but certainly in order for
24	you to access a project file you require some sort of a
25	code?

LOPPIC H	SARING
AUDIENCE	PUBLIQUE

1	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
2	MR. DUMAIS: Am I correct? And you were
3	you never knew what that code was?
4	MR. ST. DENIS: No.
5	MR. DUMAIS: That was not given to you?
6	Correct?
7	MR. ST. DENIS: No. To the best of my
8	knowledge, no.
9	MR. DUMAIS: And actually you never you
10	never reviewed the
11	MR. ST. DENIS: Pursued that further? No.
12	MR. DUMAIS: And you never reviewed the
13	Silmser file?
14	MR. ST. DENIS: No.
15	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
16	Now, I believe at that same meeting, the
17	October $1^{\rm st}$ meeting, there would have been some discussion
18	with Chief Shaver wanting to set up a meeting with the a
19	representative of the Pope, as Staff Sergeant Brunet put
20	it.
21	MR. ST. DENIS: I remember something like
22	that, yes.
23	MR. DUMAIS: So do you recall that? Do you
24	recall that discussion?
25	MR. ST. DENIS: Briefly. Yes.

I	MR. DUMAIS: And I mean do you recall who
2	was going at the
3	MR. ST. DENIS: Then I don't know if it
4	was that day or the next day I found out it was the Chief
5	and Staff Brunet that went to Ottawa.
6	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
7	And certainly you did not you did not
8	attend that meeting?
9	MR. ST. DENIS: No, sir.
10	MR. DUMAIS: Nor were you asked to attend
11	that meeting?
12	MR. ST. DENIS: No, sir.
13	MR. DUMAIS: And my understanding is after
14	this meeting the Chief and Staff Sergeant Brunet would have
15	met with the Bishop as well?
16	MR. ST. DENIS: My understanding, yes. I
17	don't know if it was the same day or the next day but he
18	met with the Bishop Larocque.
19	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And again, you were
20	not asked to attend at that meeting?
21	MR. ST. DENIS: No, sir.
22	MR. DUMAIS: And clear that the Chief and
23	Staff Sergeant Brunet are essentially dealing with this
24	matter during that week?
25	MR. ST. DENIS: For the most part, yes.

1	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And you're not
2	involved in any of these events, personally?
3	MR. ST. DENIS: Those, no.
4	MR. DUMAIS: All right. But you were
5	involved in other events that
6	MR. ST. DENIS: Well, just what we went
7	over.
8	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So the fact that
9	you were there on the meeting of October $1^{\rm st}$, correct?
10	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
11	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: If we could go back to
13	Exhibit 1435? I think there you voiced what your feelings
14	were on that. And I'd like your comments on it.
15	On the fourth paragraph it says, "at this
16	point", and the previous paragraph says that you ordered
17	you sent a confidential note to Staff Sergeant Derochie
18	requesting an internal investigation on Constable Dunlop.
19	Then you said also:
20	"My diary book has an entry on October
21	$7^{\rm th}$, 1993 indicating that the Chief and
22	Staff Sergeant Brunet were going to
23	Ottawa."
24	Next sentence in that paragraph:
25	"At this point it is obvious to me that

I	Chief Shaver was bypassing chain of
2	command as I had little or no input or
3	was not involved in most discussions
4	between the Chief's office and the CIB
5	Youth Bureau."
6	So can you expound on that feeling?
7	MR. ST. DENIS: Maybe that was a little
8	overreaction on my part again.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, yeah I know but
10	tell us what you were thinking anyway.
11	MR. ST. DENIS: What I was thinking here is
12	that the chain of command had been bypassed for sure.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
14	MR. ST. DENIS: And I think it was in
15	relation to this this particular day.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm. So, okay, what
17	should have been done as far as you are concerned? How
18	should it have gone?
19	MR. ST. DENIS: If I was the Chief of
20	Police?
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah, and
22	MR. ST. DENIS: The Chief of Police
23	that's why you have senior officers.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
25	MR. ST. DENIS: A Chief of Police should not

1	be going out and investigate should not be going out on
2	an investigation. That's not his job.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
4	MR. ST. DENIS: The Deputies from time to
5	time may go out on if it's a serious internal issue, but
6	that's an inspector's job.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
8	MR. ST. DENIS: Or a senior staff sergeant's
9	job.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. And what about
11	your lack of input in there? Do you think you should have
12	been consulted as Deputy
13	MR. ST. DENIS: Well, I guess my nose was
14	out of joint, sir.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, I was going to use
16	that expression but so but and why? Because you
17	were left out of the loop or
18	MR. ST. DENIS: I felt that I was being left
19	out of the loop. But as time went on I was being briefed.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
21	MR. ST. DENIS: I was yes.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
23	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
24	Now I believe your next involvement in this
25	matter would have been on October 7^{th} , 1990, so if I can

1	take you to Exhibit 1292?
2	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
3	MR. DUMAIS: So do you recognize this
4	document?
5	MR. ST. DENIS: That's my writing.
6	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
7	And perhaps you could take just a minute to
8	go through it.
9	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
10	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
11	So, perhaps you can just explain to us the
12	context of you drafting this memo and what are you doing
13	here?
14	MR. ST. DENIS: What am I doing here? I was
15	briefed there was a possible breach of trust by the
16	constable in question, having released a document to CAS
17	and I was asking Staff Sergeant Derochie to conduct an
18	investigation - complete an investigation.
19	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
20	And do you remember receiving these
21	instructions for the Chief or whether or not this came from
22	you?
23	MR. ST. DENIS: It's possible the Chief
24	talked to me about it and I formulated this. It's
25	possible, yes.

1	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
2	So you've indicated that, well, perhaps, if
3	I could just you to the second page first of that memo
4	MR. ST. DENIS: M'hm.
5	MR. DUMAIS: So it says:
6	"When confronted by Staff Brunet he did
7	not admit to photocopying part of the
8	file which he later handed over to
9	Richard Abell, CAS. This is a very
10	serious violation trust here which must
11	be dealt with in a timely manner."
12	All right. So what was the violation of
13	trust here?
14	MR. ST. DENIS: Taking a document outside
15	the Cornwall Police Service without proper authorization.
16	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So that's what
17	you're referring to here?
18	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
19	MR. DUMAIS: And then the last comment you
20	make in this paragraph is that you think that this matter
21	should be dealt with in a timely fashion. Is that correct?
22	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
23	MR. DUMAIS: If I can then just take you to
24	Exhibit 1305?
25	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.

1	MR. DUMAIS: And II I can just take you
2	and just to put this document in context this is a
3	statement that Staff Sergeant Gary Derochie would have made
4	in the David Silmser matter?
5	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
6	MR. DUMAIS: And it refers to some of these
7	instances that would have occurred that week. So the week
8	of October 7 to and we're going to get into October 15^{th} ,
9	1993.
10	So my understanding is that you would have
11	asked you would have assigned Staff Sergeant Derochie to
12	investigate this matter. Is that correct?
13	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
14	MR. DUMAIS: And if I can just take you to
15	page 3 of Staff Sergeant Derochie's report, the second last
16	paragraph on that page?
17	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
18	MR. DUMAIS: It says:
19	"So after speaking with Mr. Abell"
20	And this is Staff Sergeant Derochie speaking:
21	"on October 14 th , 1993, I returned to
22	the office and met with Chief Shaver
23	and Deputy Chief St. Denis. We
24	discussed Constable Dunlop's action in
25	context with all of the issues

1	surrounding this matter and determined
2	that Police Act charges would not be
3	necessary."
4	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
5	MR. DUMAIS: So I guess my first question
6	is, do you have any independent recollection of this
7	meeting?
8	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, I do. Especially when
9	you mention that paragraph, yes.
10	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So perhaps you can
11	just explain to us what the conversation was at that
12	meeting or are you debating this or tell us what you
13	remember?
14	MR. ST. DENIS: No, I would be guessing. I
14 15	MR. ST. DENIS: No, I would be guessing. I would be constructing.
15	would be constructing.
15 16	would be constructing. MR. DUMAIS: All right. So
15 16 17	would be constructing. MR. DUMAIS: All right. So MR. ST. DENIS: I you know, there's
15 16 17 18	would be constructing. MR. DUMAIS: All right. So MR. ST. DENIS: I you know, there's things that went on there but I would be constructing it.
15 16 17 18 19	would be constructing. MR. DUMAIS: All right. So MR. ST. DENIS: I you know, there's things that went on there but I would be constructing it. MR. DUMAIS: All right. So you read this
15 16 17 18 19 20	would be constructing. MR. DUMAIS: All right. So MR. ST. DENIS: I you know, there's things that went on there but I would be constructing it. MR. DUMAIS: All right. So you read this and you remember the meeting but certainly you don't
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	would be constructing. MR. DUMAIS: All right. So MR. ST. DENIS: I you know, there's things that went on there but I would be constructing it. MR. DUMAIS: All right. So you read this and you remember the meeting but certainly you don't remember you don't have
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	would be constructing. MR. DUMAIS: All right. So MR. ST. DENIS: I you know, there's things that went on there but I would be constructing it. MR. DUMAIS: All right. So you read this and you remember the meeting but certainly you don't remember you don't have MR. ST. DENIS: Discipline was the issue, I

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Discipline.
2	MR. ST. DENIS: And I think that was the
3	main well, a large part of the meeting.
4	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
5	So then if I can just take you to the next
6	page, I understand you would have had a further meeting on
7	October 15 th , 1993? And I'm looking at the
8	MR. ST. DENIS: Fourteenth (14 th), October
9	14 th ?
10	MR. DUMAIS: Sorry, the 15 th . I'm looking at
11	the fourth
12	MR. ST. DENIS: Oh, yes. Yeah.
13	MR. DUMAIS: paragraph of page 156,
14	Bates page 456. So I'll just read it out to you:
15	"I returned to the office the next day,
16	October 15 th , 1993"
17	And again that's Staff Sergeant Derochie speaking:
18	"the Chief had instructed me to deal
19	with Constable Dunlop as soon as
20	possible. I met with the Chief and
21	Deputy Chief and we had a lengthy
22	discussion on how Constable Dunlop
23	should be disciplined. The Chief
24	wanted the matter handled by way of a
25	counselling session and he had prepared

1	an outline of what tone these sessions
2	should take."
3	MR. ST. DENIS: This paragraph confirms what
4	I just said before. It was about discipline and this is
5	refreshing my memory and these would be some of the facts
6	at that meeting, yes.
7	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So if we continue
8	with the next paragraph it says:
9	"The Deputy and I favoured a conduct
10	report in line with our agreement with
11	the Cornwall Police Association on
12	informal discipline, but we"
13	There's no "but", sorry:
14	"we defer to the Chief's wishes on
15	this matter. So as long as the
16	counselling session was supported by
17	documentation, I could leave with the
18	Chief's decision on this one."
19	So do you recall both you and Staff Sergeant
20	Derochie being at odds with what Chief Shaver wanted to
21	happen here?
22	MR. ST. DENIS: I don't know if the right
23	terminology there was discussion and I know the Staff
24	Sergeant and I were on the same page, I guess, with respect
25	to but then after discussions I think with us we agreed

to accede to the Chief's wishes.

2	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
3	Well, I guess if we can deal firstly with
4	the conduct report that you're referring to, or the Staff
5	Sergeant is referring to here in his statement, do you
6	recall what a conduct report was?
7	THE COMMISSIONER: What was the difference
8	between the two positions? Between I understand what
9	counselling is and what the Chief was suggesting. I don't
10	understand what you were suggesting. What is that?
11	MR. ST. DENIS: If we're talking about the
12	so-called policy that
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah.
14	MR. ST. DENIS: the policy was quite
15	clear.
16	The supervisor, or in this case the
17	investigator, was allowed to deduct up to I believe it was
18	10 days penalty. And it would go on the file, the member's
19	file, for I think a minimum of two years or maybe one year
20	no, two years nd what come off after two years if
21	there was no repeat. However, if there were other
22	discipline issues, the new issues would go on the file and
23	the old would stay.
24	That's my understanding of informal
25	discipline.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: So bottom line was you
2	and
3	MR. ST. DENIS: Staff Derochie?
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Staff Derochie were
5	looking for a slightly more severe
6	MR. ST. DENIS: I think we were looking for
7	something that was going to be on the file, documented.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
9	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
10	So fair to say that after this October 15 th
11	meeting, it had been agreed that the matter would be dealt
12	with by way of a counselling session. Is that correct?
13	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes. And we had no at
14	least after all the discussions, we had no problems with
15	that.
16	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And so fair to say
17	that Staff Sergeant Derochie would have left that meeting
18	with the instructions to get on with this with the
19	counselling session? Is that
20	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
21	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And my
22	understanding is that the counselling session would never
23	have occurred on October 15 th , 1993 because Constable Dunlop
24	would have been off sick. Do you recall that?
25	MR. ST. DENIS: It was quite a coincidence,

1	yes.
2	MR. DUMAIS: Yeah. But I mean do you recall
3	
4	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes. I specifically recall
5	that because it was a coincidence.
6	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
7	MR. ST. DENIS: We were trying to discipline
8	someone and with the easiest discipline possible and all of
9	a sudden it was a coincidence that we booked off.
10	MR. DUMAIS: All right. But I mean but
11	it's clear in your mind that after this October 15 th
12	meeting, a decision had been made and this was to be done
13	in a timely fashion?
14	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
15	MR. DUMAIS: And really
16	MR. ST. DENIS: Just do it.
17	MR. DUMAIS: it would have been done if
18	Constable Dunlop would have been there; right?
19	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
20	MR. DUMAIS: That's your understanding?
21	
22	MR. ST. DENIS: That's exactly it.
	THE COMMISSIONER: But he had to accept the
23	counselling, did he not?
24	MR. ST. DENIS: The verbal counselling?

119

THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah.

1	MR. ST. DENIS: He would have had the
2	Association there and there would have to have been more
3	negotiations and, yes, at the end of the day it would be
4	it probably would have had to have been accepted.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Can we take a short
6	break, Mr. Dumais?
7	MR. DUMAIS: Yes, we can, Mr. Commissioner.
8	MR. DUMAIS: Perhaps, Mr. Commissioner, what
9	time are we sitting?
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, one-thirty,
11	quarter-to-two.
12	MR. DUMAIS: Yeah. Fair enough. Thank you.
13	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. A l'ordre;
14	veuillez vous lever.
15	This hearing will resume at 12:40.
16	Upon recessing at 12:24 p.m./
17	L'audience est suspendue a 12h24
18	Upon resuming at 12:44 p.m.
19	L'audience est reprise a 12h44
20	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. A l'ordre;
21	veuillez vous lever.
22	This hearing is now resumed. Please be
23	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
24	JOSEPH T. DENIS, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
25	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.

1	DUMAIS (cont'd/suite):
2	MR. DUMAIS: All right, Mr. St. Denis, we
3	had just left off at the October 15^{th} , 1993 meeting, and I
4	believe the next time you would have met on this matter
5	would have been November 2 nd .
6	If I can just take you to I'm still at
7	the same exhibit, so it's 1305. It's the last page.
8	MR. ST. DENIS: Sorry. That's three; yes,
9	sorry.
10	Sorry, yes I'm there now.
11	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So Staff Sergeant
12	Derochie would have done a number of things in the week
13	between the two meetings, but then you meet on November $2^{\rm nd}$,
14	and I'll just read out his summary of the meeting:
15	"November 2 nd 1993, I met with Chief
16	Shaver and Deputy Chief St. Denis. At
17	this time, I renewed my concerns about
18	dealing with Constable Dunlop first
19	before I had a complete picture of what
20	had occurred with the investigation. I
21	also gave my opinion to the effect that
22	Constable Dunlop's motives no longer
23	appear to be so clear to me. They
24	agree that I should complete my review
25	of the investigation before dealing

1	with Constable Dunlop."
2	So do you recall this subsequent November $2^{\rm nd}$
3	meeting, Mr. St. Denis?
4	MR. ST. DENIS: I remember something to that
5	effect, and I supported it.
6	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So certainly at
7	this point-in-time, it appears that the Dunlop matter is
8	being delayed; correct?
9	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
10	MR. DUMAIS: And the intent is to await for
11	the investigation of the report to be completed; correct?
12	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
13	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
14	And if I can then just take you back to your
15	internal correspondence, which was Exhibit 1435.
16	MR. ST. DENIS: Thank you. Yes, sir.
17	MR. DUMAIS: So the first page, last
18	paragraph. So it states as follows:
19	"By mid-October 1993 and November 1993,
20	I recall briefing meetings between
21	Chief Shaver, Staff Sergeant Derochie
22	and myself. I remember the Chief being
23	very upset as he told us that a very
24	agitated Ms. P. Dunlop went to his
25	residence to complain about how the

1	Forgo was tweeting how hyshand
1	Force was treating her husband,
2	Constable Dunlop, in the Silmser case."
3	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir, I do remember
4	that.
5	MR. DUMAIS: So you recall the Chief
6	relating that to you at one of those meetings?
7	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
8	MR. DUMAIS: You recall him being upset
9	about it?
10	MR. ST. DENIS: Very.
11	MR. DUMAIS: And if I can then just take you
12	to the next page:
13	"On November 2 nd , 1993, Chief Shaver
14	announced his retirement, but he was
15	still firmly in control of decisions
16	with respect to Constable Dunlop's
17	discipline."
18	MR. ST. DENIS: I would say that's fairly
19	accurate.
20	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So that's as far
21	as I can tell, he would have retired either on that day or
22	shortly thereafter
23	MR. ST. DENIS: Shortly thereafter, I think
24	it was, yeah.
25	MR. DUMAIS: And then you state:

1	"He's still firmly in control of
2	decisions."
3	What does that mean?
4	MR. ST. DENIS: Meaning that the discipline
5	aspect was still he controlled what was going to happen.
6	MR. DUMAIS: And is it your recollection
7	that he is still being briefed on this matter?
8	MR. ST. DENIS: Possibly.
9	MR. DUMAIS: And do you think you are
10	briefing him from
11	MR. ST. DENIS: It'd be more like probably
12	Staff Derochie.
13	MR. DUMAIS: All right. Because if he is
14	away on November 2^{nd} , 1993, do you then become the Acting
15	Chief? Do you
16	MR. ST. DENIS: There was a short period, a
17	few weeks, that I overlapped as the Acting Chief until Carl
18	came in on the $4^{\mathrm{th}};$ two or three weeks I guess.
19	MR. DUMAIS: But certainly is it fair to say
20	that Chief Shaver is no longer on site, and by that I mean
21	he's no longer at the detachment?
22	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, I think I think
23	that's fair, yeah.
24	MR. DUMAIS: Because and if I can just
25	take you to Exhibit 1301.

1	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
2	MR. DUMAIS: And that document, Mr. St.
3	Denis, is a report that is addressed to Chief Acting
4	Chief Carl Johnston. It's Staff Sergeant Derochie's report
5	and it is dated January 8 th , 1994?
6	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
7	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So as far as you
8	can remember, Mr. St. Denis, this report was given to
9	MR. ST. DENIS: Chief Carl.
10	MR. DUMAIS: Acting Chief Johnston. Is
11	that correct?
12	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
13	MR. DUMAIS: And you would have been
14	provided with a copy of this report at one point-in-time.
15	Is that correct?
16	MR. ST. DENIS: I presume I was. If I
17	didn't get a copy, I presume I read it. And but
18	clearly, the document was for the new Chief.
19	MR. DUMAIS: Pardon me?
20	MR. ST. DENIS: I say clearly that this
21	document was for the new Chief.
22	MR. DUMAIS: Yes, and certainly it is dated
23	January 8^{th} , 1994. Is it fair to say that on that date,
24	Chief Shaver is no longer Chief; he's no longer involved?
25	MR. ST. DENIS: I yes. Yes, it is.

1	MR. DUMAIS: And he would have had no input
2	in the decision as to how Constable Dunlop would be
3	disciplined. Is that fair?
4	MR. ST. DENIS: No. It would have fallen
5	then onto Chief Johnston.
6	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
7	Now, if I can just take you to the next
8	document, which is 728381.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: It's a new document, sir.
10	MR. ST. DENIS: Okay.
11	MR. DUMAIS: I apologize, Mr. Commissioner,
12	I believe it's Exhibit 1574.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: One-five-seven-four
14	(1574).
15	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
16	MR. DUMAIS: So do you recall this internal
17	correspondence?
18	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, I do.
19	MR. DUMAIS: And you would have drafted
20	that?
21	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, I did.
22	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So this is a report
23	an internal correspondence which is dated April $28^{\rm th}$,
24	1994. It appears to summarize a meeting where Staff
25	Inspector McDonald, Staff Sergeant Wells and yourself would

1	have been involved to discuss the citizens complaint of
2	David Silmser?
3	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
4	MR. DUMAIS: And you noted there that Staff
5	Inspector McDonald declared a conflict of interest?
6	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
7	MR. DUMAIS: And the last paragraph appears
8	to summarize what your decision what the decision was.
9	So it was agreed that the incident is serious enough to
10	warrant Police Act charges.
11	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, and it was agreed to
12	also by the Chief.
13	MR. DUMAIS: But, I mean, certainly, the
14	Chief is not there during this meeting; correct?
15	MR. ST. DENIS: He's initialled it down
16	below. I'm not I don't maybe he wasn't at the
17	meeting, but I certainly directed the correspondence to
18	him. He may not have been at the meeting.
19	MR. DUMAIS: Yes, and I'm not denying that,
20	but I mean is that your recollection, that the Chief would
21	not have been present during this discussion?
22	MR. ST. DENIS: I would have to say he
23	probably wasn't there.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: And we are talking about
25	Chief Johnston?

1	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
2	MR. DUMAIS: Correct.
3	So then you would have presumably, given
4	this internal correspondence to the Acting Chief Johnston,
5	and then as you indicated, he would have endorsed it at the
6	bottom. So there's a signature at the bottom. Do you
7	recognize this as being
8	MR. ST. DENIS: That's Carl's.
9	MR. DUMAIS: That's Carl's?
10	MR. ST. DENIS: Initials.
11	MR. DUMAIS: And I believe what is written
12	above is "Agreed"?
13	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
14	MR. DUMAIS: Now, do you recall whether or
15	not you had a discussion with him on this issue; whether or
16	not you just gave him the internal correspondence and he
17	endorsed it?
18	MR. ST. DENIS: By this time, he did no
19	he no longer needed any briefings from me. He had all the
20	briefings from Staff Brunet.
21	He worked many, many hours on this file,
22	Chief Carl.
23	MR. DUMAIS: Now, I understand that when
24	Acting Chief Johnston first started first took up the
25	position, one of the first things that he did dealing with

1	this matter was ask the Ottawa Police Service to review
2	this matter. Is that correct?
3	MR. ST. DENIS: I remember that, yes.
4	MR. DUMAIS: And do you recall any
5	conversation with him as to whether or not on this issue
6	whether or not he should do this and who should do this and
7	did you have any input in that?
8	MR. ST. DENIS: No input.
9	MR. DUMAIS: That was his decision
10	exclusively?
11	MR. ST. DENIS: I presume. He probably got
12	clearance from the Board. He probably discussed it with
13	the Board Chair just to make sure.
14	MR. DUMAIS: Right.
15	MR. ST. DENIS: But I don't know.
16	MR. DUMAIS: Did you have an opinion on that
17	as to whether or not he should do that?
18	MR. ST. DENIS: I think it I think it was
19	his prerogative. Remember he's the new Chief and obviously
20	having read the report after the fact, I'm included in that
21	report so he didn't need to counsel or check me or check
22	out permission with me.
23	MR. DUMAIS: Agreed, but I guess did you
24	did you have any opinion at the time as to whether it
25	was a good idea or whether he should do it or

1	MR. ST. DENIS: I totally supported that
2	decision.
3	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So it's his
4	prerogative to make the decision and once he made it you
5	supported it; correct?
6	MR. ST. DENIS: I supported it.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: You agreed with it and
8	you supported it?
9	MR. ST. DENIS: I had no part in the
10	discussion of it.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
12	MR. ST. DENIS: But when we found out the
13	investigation was going to occur, I agreed with it. I had
14	no problems with it. I was part of the investigation you
15	see, sir.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry?
17	MR. ST. DENIS: I was part of the
18	investigation.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
20	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
21	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
22	And my understanding is that an
23	investigation was conducted, a report was submitted, and if
24	I can take you to that report, Mr. St. Denis, it's Exhibit
25	1207.

1	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
2	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
3	MR. DUMAIS: Is it fair to say, Mr. St.
4	Denis, that you agree with some of the findings, disagree
5	with others?
6	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
7	MR. DUMAIS: Right. So perhaps I
8	guess firstly this the report is released on January
9	24 th , 1994; it's given to Acting Chief Johnston. Am I
10	correct?
11	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
12	MR. DUMAIS: And at one point-in-time you
13	would have received a copy of this report?
14	MR. ST. DENIS: Quite a while later.
15	MR. DUMAIS: Do you recall how long later?
16	MR. ST. DENIS: Oh, it was definitely weeks.
17	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
18	MR. ST. DENIS: If not months.
19	MR. DUMAIS: And were you asked to comment
20	on the contents of the report?
21	MR. ST. DENIS: No.
22	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
23	So you are simply given a copy?
24	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
25	MR. DUMAIS: Right. And you think the copy

1	was given to you by the Acting Chief?
2	MR. ST. DENIS: I yes.
3	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
4	So then if I can just take you to the report
5	and I think the first page is simply an introduction. If I
6	can just take you then to the second page, which is Bates
7	page 447?
8	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
9	MR. DUMAIS: So the I guess one of the
10	findings was or one of the concern that they had was
11	that Sergeant Lortie appeared to have been assigned with
12	the Silmser investigation and they noted that being the
13	Intelligence Officer, he reported directed to the Chief of
14	Police.
15	And then their finding and I am reading
16	that's about the sixth line in the first paragraph, right
17	under the "Problems"?
18	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
19	MR. DUMAIS: "This decision is
20	questionable since it effectively
21	removed Staff Sergeant Brunet, the
22	Officer-in-Charge of CIB and Deputy
23	Chief St. Denis, the officer having
24	overall responsibility for CIB from the
25	management structure for this

I	particular investigation."
2	So do you agree with that finding?
3	MR. ST. DENIS: Somewhat. Yes.
4	MR. DUMAIS: Well, perhaps you can tell me
5	what you agree with and what you disagree with?
6	MR. ST. DENIS: Well, I'm just looking at
7	the timeframe here and it was obviously reassigned through
8	my office.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: So you're saying that if
10	the Chief of Police did do that, it was rectified by you by
11	reassigning it to Brunet?
12	MR. ST. DENIS: In a sense, yes, but in
13	hindsight, sir, if I'd have known Lortie was going to be
14	back sooner than he came back, I wouldn't have changed
15	that. I would have left that investigation to Lortie.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
17	MR. ST. DENIS: In the first instance.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
19	MR. DUMAIS: So any reason why you would
20	have left it with Sergeant Lortie?
21	MR. ST. DENIS: He's an experienced officer.
22	A good investigator.
23	MR. DUMAIS: And, of course, at the time
24	that you asked Staff Sergeant Brunet to reassign this
25	this matter, you didn't know who he would be assigning it

1	to. Is that correct?
2	MR. ST. DENIS: Not then.
3	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
4	But you do agree, sir, that if Sergeant
5	Lortie would have continued on with this investigation, he
6	would report directly to the Chief and that would
7	essentially cut out Staff Sergeant Brunet and yourself out
8	of, I guess, the chain of command which involves the
9	intelligence officer?
10	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, but I would think that
11	we would have been briefed after the fact.
12	MR. DUMAIS: Fair enough. But I guess
13	MR. ST. DENIS: At that point, yes, with
14	that question, yes or would the Chief have called us in for
15	the final briefing.
16	MR. DUMAIS: I guess a more direct question
17	is the intelligence officer reports directly to the Chief.
18	Is that correct?
19	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, he does.
20	MR. DUMAIS: So if he has any concern with
21	any investigation, forget about this specific
22	investigation, he can go directly to the Chief and does not
23	have to go through you. Correct?
24	MR. ST. DENIS: That was his position at
25	that time. Yes, sir.

1	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
2	Now, if I can then just take you to the end
3	of this long paragraph, so the last three or four lines,
4	and we spoke about this earlier this morning, Mr. St.
5	Denis, and I'll just read in the sentence:
6	"This was discussed on January 27 th by
7	Chief Shaver"
8	And they're talking here about whether or not a female
9	Constable Sebalj should be removed from the file and a male
10	investigator put in her place.
11	So, I'll start over:
12	"This was discussed on January 27 th by
13	Chief Shaver, Sergeant Lefebvre and
14	Constable Sebalj and the decision to
15	leave Constable Sebalj in charge of the
16	investigation was taken by the Chief."
17	So I guess my first question, Mr. St. Denis,
18	you indicated this morning that you thought you may have
19	been part of that meeting. Is it possible that you were
20	not there?
21	MR. ST. DENIS: It's possible.
22	MR. DUMAIS: Okay. So that's a possibility?
23	All right.
24	And so then do you agree with the
25	decision to leave Constable Sebalj in charge of this

1	investigation despite Mr. Slimser's reluctance to dealing
2	with a female investigator?
3	MR. ST. DENIS: If the briefing from the
4	Staff Sergeant indicated that she was fully competent, I
5	realize that after the fact now that maybe it should have
6	been a male officer but then, as it was explained, there
7	was other male officers in that office and I believe as it
8	continues, she interviewed that male victim in the presence
9	of male officers.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, the evidence is
11	that she didn't interview. It was Sergeant Lefebvre that
12	- did the talking.
13	MR. ST. DENIS: Oh, okay.
14	MR. DUMAIS: Yes, And I think the concern
15	that is noted here is Mr. Silmser's request for a male
16	investigator which essentially was denied by the Chief's
17	decision?
18	MR. ST. DENIS: I guess that's fair.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Was there any discussion
20	well, do you recall whether a consideration was ever
21	given to the fact that it might be difficult for a male
22	victim of sexual abuse to speak to a woman about that kind
23	of thing?
24	MR. ST. DENIS: I don't seem to recall that
25	specific type of concern.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
2	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
3	And I think the further concern that Ottawa
4	Police Service investigators noted was that it should have
5	been a more experienced investigator as well. And I think
6	you find that at the last two lines on that page:
7	"Chief Shaver left her in charge of the
8	investigation. He should have assigned
9	a more experienced male investigator."
10	Do you agree with that finding or not?
11	MR. ST. DENIS: Sir, you would have to look
12	at the details at that time; what was the Chief basing his
13	opinion on and he made that decision.
14	Were there any other other investigators
15	able to do it, I'm not sure. I'm not sure of the details.
16	MR. DUMAIS: Is it fair to say, Mr. St.
17	Denis, that you are not agreeing or disagreeing with this
18	finding? What you are saying is you would need more
19	information?
20	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
21	MR. DUMAIS: Now, the on page 450.
22	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
23	MR. DUMAIS: I'm looking at the second
24	paragraph and perhaps I can just read it out to assist you:
25	"Although the lawyer for the priest

1	made mention of his client's
2	willingness to undergo polygraph
3	examination, this was not followed up,
4	despite the fact that the Cornwall
5	Police Service has a polygraph examiner
6	who is quite highly regarded. It may
7	have added nothing to the
8	investigation, but it should have been
9	pursued."
10	I guess my first question is, did you have
11	any knowledge of that? That that was an issue whether or
12	not a polygraph examiner
13	MR. ST. DENIS: If it would have come up, it
14	would have come up at our morning meeting. Anymore than
15	that, I do not recall.
16	MR. DUMAIS: Okay. I mean, do you disagree
17	or agree with the OPS finding on this matter?
18	MR. ST. DENIS: It would have depended at
19	what point our actual investigation had been at. I'm not
20	sure if obviously, it must have been concluded. Was the
21	investigation concluded, our investigation?
22	MR. DUMAIS: Well, I mean
23	MR. ST. DENIS: If it was, then I would
24	suggest a polygraph was not out of order.
25	But if the investigator had not completed

1	the investigation, you usually try to bring in a polygraph
2	when you have 90-95 percent of the facts, if not more, and
3	then have the polygraph operator prepare his own plan as to
4	how he is going to approach his questions and so on and so
5	forth.
6	So Ottawa Police I presume our
7	investigation was completed so they're recommending a
8	polygraph and if it was completed we should have had a
9	polygraph.
10	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
11	MR. ST. DENIS: You don't want a polygraph
12	too soon is what I'm trying to say.
13	MR. DUMAIS: You want to make sure you have
14	all the facts.
15	All right. If I can then take you to the
16	following page and it's the second last paragraph, and it
17	reads as follows:
18	"There are strong indications that
19	communications between Chief Shaver,
20	Deputy Chief St. Denis was very poor.
21	During my interviews with them, each
22	blamed the other for communication
23	
23	breakdowns and lack of direction.
24	breakdowns and lack of direction. Chief Shaver indicated that any

1	Silmser case came from Deputy Chief St.
2	Denis and that he, in fact, directed
3	him to write the January $8^{\rm th}$ memo to
4	Staff Sergeant Brunet pointing out its
5	urgency. The Deputy Chief claimed that
6	the Chief assumed overall control of
7	the investigation and did not keep him
8	informed."
9	MR. ST. DENIS: I agree partially in
10	parts to that.
11	MR. DUMAIS: Perhaps you can just
12	MR. ST. DENIS: Elaborate?
13	MR. DUMAIS: assist us and tell us what
14	you agree with and what you disagree with?
15	MR. ST. DENIS: Where it's mentioned that
16	the Chief may have directed me to issue the memo to Staff
17	Sergeant Brunet, that's a possibility.
18	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
19	MR. ST. DENIS: That's very much of a
20	possibility.
21	That the Chief assumed overall
22	responsibility.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, control.
24	MR. ST. DENIS: Control.
25	On the disciplinary issues, yes, and on

1	the I think he kind of identified it as a higher profile
2	for him to go to visit the Nuncio in Ottawa, but total
3	control, I don't think so.
4	MR. DUMAIS: But I think what they're
5	talking about here is the investigation that was conducted
6	by Constable Sebalj, her actual investigation.
7	MR. ST. DENIS: That the Chief controlled
8	that? I disagree with that. He had a lot of input.
9	Was he pulling the or telling people what
10	to do?
11	MR. DUMAIS: But, I mean, I think the
12	conclusion that they are drawing here is that you would
13	have claimed that the Chief assumed overall control of the
14	investigation and did not keep you informed.
15	MR. ST. DENIS: Poor choice of words.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: What would be your choice
17	of words then?
18	MR. ST. DENIS: That there probably was a
19	breakdown of some sort.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
21	MR. ST. DENIS: But as far as total control,
22	as I was trying to explain earlier, I do not think the
23	Chief had total control. He wasn't pulling the strings and
24	telling everybody what to do. He certainly had a lot of
25	input and at our meetings every one of us had the same

1	opportunity for input, not just the Chief.
2	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And I guess the
3	last conclusion in that paragraph is that:
4	"Chief Shaver indicated that any
5	information he received concerning the
6	Silmser case came from Deputy Chief St
7	Denis."
8	MR. ST. DENIS: He got some from me, but I
9	didn't have all the answers so he would get them from
10	whoever had the most answers. There was Brunet, Trew,
11	myself, and I would say on this particular case, the Chief
12	would have gone to the source who ended up being Staff
13	Sergeant Brunet mostly.
14	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So then you would
15	disagree with that; correct?
16	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, to a point, yeah.
17	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
18	Now, I also understand that in January of
19	1994, there would have been a number of news releases
20	prepared and submitted to the media, and if I can just take
21	you to one of those, and I don't believe it's been filed as
22	an exhibit. It's Document Number 728704.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: This is a news release
24	from Deputy Chief St. Denis dated January 5^{th} , 1994, and it
25	will be Exhibit 1772.

1	MR. DUMAIS: So I'll just give you a minute
2	to look at that.
3	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, Mr. Dumais?
5	(OFF-RECORD DISCUSSION/DISCUSSION HORS ENREGISTREMENT)
6	MR. DUMAIS: Mr. Neville pointed out to me,
7	Mr. Commissioner, that this may have been filed through
8	Sergeant Wells yesterday.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: It could have been. It
10	reads familiar.
11	MR. DUMAIS: One-seven-four-three (1743)
12	perhaps?
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, so we'll withdraw
14	1772.
15	MR. DUMAIS: Thank you.
16	MR. ST. DENIS: So is the other one in this
17	book?
18	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry?
19	MR. ST. DENIS: Will I rely on this one or
20	is it
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, it's the same so
22	you can look at that one too, it's okay.
23	MR. ST. DENIS: Okay. I've read it.
24	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
25	So is this a news release that would have

1	been prepared by you?
2	MR. ST. DENIS: I doubt it. I believe Staff
3	Wells was the was our press officer at that time.
4	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
5	MR. ST. DENIS: So I initialed it, probably
6	to give it the authority to release it. I don't even know
7	if it was released to the press, but I do know that's my
8	initials down there.
9	MR. DUMAIS: So that is your initials?
10	MR. ST. DENIS: And staff probably Staff
11	Wells was probably the liaison officer the press
12	officer, sorry, at that time.
13	MR. DUMAIS: All right. And I guess I'm
14	just looking at the terminology that's used, "Originator"?
15	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
16	MR. DUMAIS: And then the contact person
17	would be Staff Sergeant Wells?
18	MR. ST. DENIS: Correct.
19	MR. DUMAIS: So in any event, whether or not
20	you issued it or at the end of the day, you would have
21	approved it. Is that correct?
22	MR. ST. DENIS: My initials are there
23	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
24	MR. ST. DENIS: it was approved.

144

MR. DUMAIS: And, as far as you know, this

1	news release went out; correct?
2	MR. ST. DENIS: I presume it did.
3	MR. DUMAIS: Now, the first paragraph reads
4	as follows:
5	"In December of 1992, a male reported
6	sexual improprieties which were alleged
7	to have been committed upon himself by
8	a local member of the clergy
9	approximately 20 years ago."
10	Now, you will agree with me, Mr. St. Denis,
11	that there is no mention in there of any of the allegations
12	against the probation officer, Ken Seguin. Is that
13	correct?
14	MR. ST. DENIS: Nothing's mentioned there.
15	MR. DUMAIS: All right. So is that was
16	that done on purpose or by inadvertence?
17	MR. ST. DENIS: I would suggest it wasn't
18	done on purpose.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Whoa, whoa, no
20	MR. ST. DENIS: If you're asking me, it
21	wasn't done on purpose if
22	MR. DUMAIS: Let me rephrase that perhaps.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah.
24	MR. DUMAIS: And perhaps I'll elaborate a
25	little more.

1	So my question to you is, did you leave out
2	Ken Seguin's name out of this press release consciously or
3	did you forget to put his name in? Or was it done
4	purposely or without purpose, or do you know?
5	MR. ST. DENIS: Well, I'll be honest with
6	you, I don't even know that gentleman's name, number one.
7	Number two, if it was omitted, I couldn't explain that.
8	I'm sorry for the lack of better
9	MR. DUMAIS: All right.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no. He said already
11	that he didn't he doesn't recall I mean, other than
12	the fact that the signature is there, he has no memory of
13	this thing.
14	Mr. Manderville, do you want to add?
15	MR. MANDERVILLE: The only point I would
16	make, Mr. Commissioner, is if Mr. Dumais wants to put to
17	Deputy Chief St. Denis issues about Mr. Seguin, I think he
18	ought to put it in context and indicate that there was
19	evidence to suggest that Mr. Silmser did not want to
20	proceed against Mr. Seguin and no investigation was
21	initiated against Mr. Seguin.
22	MR. DUMAIS: Well
23	MR. MANDERVILLE: That may well inform the
24	decision about what was mentioned in the newspaper.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: I don't even want to go

1	there. I don't even want to go there in a sense, sir. Do
2	you have any memory of this thing?
3	MR. ST. DENIS: I remember the Staff
4	Sergeant coming in to my office to have this signed.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
6	Did you have any input in the construction
7	of this thing?
8	MR. ST. DENIS: No.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
10	Did you address your mind I take it
11	you've read it?
12	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you recall reading it?
14	MR. ST. DENIS: Quickly.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
16	So did you address your mind as to whether
17	or not Seguin was involved?
18	MR. ST. DENIS: No, sir.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
20	MR. DUMAIS: And these are all the questions
21	I had.
22	There was a further news release another
23	news release that was signed is authored by Mr. Leo
24	Courville that came out in January of 1994. And I'll

and I believe that is Exhibit 1224.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: One-two-two-four (1224).
2	MR. DUMAIS: It's dated January 11 th , 1994.
3	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Are you there?
5	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
6	MR. DUMAIS: So I'll just give you a minute
7	to go through it, Mr. St. Denis.
8	MR. ST. DENIS: M'hm.
9	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
10	MR. DUMAIS: You're familiar with it?
11	MR. ST. DENIS: I became aware of it after
12	the fact.
13	MR. DUMAIS: Okay. So I guess that answers
14	the question. You did not have any involvement in the
15	MR. ST. DENIS: No, sir.
16	MR. DUMAIS: drafting of this news
17	release?
18	MR. ST. DENIS: No, sir.
19	MR. DUMAIS: And you did not provide any
20	information to Mr. Courville or have any discussions
21	MR. ST. DENIS: No, sir.
22	MR. DUMAIS: No. All right.
23	Well, Mr. Commissioner, the I have
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Is it time to call it a
25	day, Mr. Dumais?

1	MR. DUMAIS: Yeah, I guess I'm looking at my
2	notes and I got essentially two areas left. I'm not going
3	to be able to complete in 10 or 15 minutes the next one.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Deputy Chief St.
5	Denis, you're going to have to come back in any event, so -
6	
7	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: what I'm going to do
9	is Maître Dumais wants to finish off his questions of you
10	the next day and I think that's a fair comment.
11	So I understand that this gentleman is
12	coming back on?
13	MR. DUMAIS: June June 23 rd .
14	THE COMMISSIONER: June 23 rd .
15	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: And I expect to have you
17	finished by then so that you can take June 24^{th} to celebrate
18	la Saint-Jean Baptiste. And we'll be on to other things.
19	MR. ST. DENIS: Okay, sir.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
21	Thank you very much.
22	MR. ST. DENIS: Thank you.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: For the rest of us, we're
24	coming back on Monday at 9:30.
25	MR. DUMAIS: Correct and just on June 2

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Third?
2	MR. DUMAIS: June 23 rd , we're starting
3	THE COMMISSIONER: At 1:00.
4	MR. DUMAIS: at 1:00.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: At 1:00, sir.
6	MR. ST. DENIS: Yes, sir.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: If you want to make note
8	of that as well, otherwise you'll be drinking a lot of
9	coffee on Monday morning.
10	MR. DUMAIS: Mr. Manderville wants to know
11	if he can get the 24 th off as well?
12	THE COMMISSIONER: No, that's reserved for
13	short French Canadians.
14	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
15	MR. DUMAIS: Oh, oh oh.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: So you are French?
17	MR. DUMAIS: See you on Monday, Mr.
18	Commissioner.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
20	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
21	veuillez vous lever.
22	This hearing is adjourned until June 9^{th} , at
23	9:30 a.m.
24	Upon adjourning at 1:22 p.m./
25	L'audience est ajournée à 13h22

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	I, Dale Waterman a certified court reporter in the Province
6	of Ontario, hereby certify the foregoing pages to be an
7	accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of
8	my skill and ability, and I so swear.
9	
10	Je, Dale Waterman, un sténographe officiel dans la province
11	de l'Ontario, certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une
12	transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au
13	meilleur de mes capacités, et je le jure.
14	
15	
16	ed a wd
17	
18	Dale Waterman, CVR-CM
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	