THE CORNWALL PUBLIC INQUIRY



L'ENQUÊTE PUBLIQUE SUR CORNWALL

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner

The Honourable Justice /
L'honorable juge
G. Normand Glaude

Commissaire

VOLUME 268

Held at: Tenue à:

Hearings Room 709 Cotton Mill Street Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7 Salle des audiences 709, rue de la Fabrique Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7

Monday, August 25, 2008 Lundi, le 25 août 2008

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC. www.irri.net (800) 899-0006

ERRATA Volume 258, July 21, 2008

Transcript

Page 2, line 23

Me HAMOU: Alors, monsieur le Commissaire, avant de débuter ce matin, j'aimerais vous présenter Paul Taylor, qui est un avocat avec BLT qui est avec nous aujourd'hui.

Should have read:

Me HAMOU: Alors, monsieur le Commissaire, avant de débuter ce matin, j'aimerais vous présenter Paul Taylor, qui est un avocat avec <u>BLG</u> qui est avec nous aujourd'hui.

Appearances/Comparutions

Mr.	Peter	Engelmann	Lead	Commi	ission	Counsel	L
-----	-------	-----------	------	-------	--------	---------	---

Ms. Julie Gauthier Registrar

Ms. Brigitte Beaulne

Ms. Suzanne Sinnamon Commission Counsel

Mr. Peter Manderville Cornwall Community Police

Service and Cornwall Police

Service Board

Mr. Neil Kozloff Ontario Provincial Police

M^e Claude Rouleau Ontario Ministry of Community

and Correctional Services and Adult Community Corrections

Ms. Gia Williams Attorney General for Ontario

Mr. Peter Chisholm The Children's Aid Society of

the United Counties

Mr. Peter Wardle Citizens for Community Renewal

Mr. Juda Strawczynski

Mr. Rob Talach Victims' Group

Mr. David Sherriff-Scott Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall

M^e Gisèle Levesque and Bishop Eugene LaRocque

Mr. Michael Neville The Estate of Ken Seguin and

Doug Seguin and Father Charles

MacDonald

Me Danielle Robitaille Mr. Jacques Leduc

Mr. William Carroll Ontario Provincial Police

Association

Ms. Kimberly Ishmael Upper Canada District School

Board

Mr. Ian Paul Coalition for Action

Monseigneur Eugène LaRocque Monseigneur Eugène LaRocque

Table of Contents / Table des matières

List of Exhibits :	Page iv
MONSEIGNEUR EUGÈNE LAROCQUE, Resumed/Sous le même serment	2
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Mr. Peter Engelmann(cont'd/suite)	2

LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
P-2097	(738047) Statement of Msgr. McDougald - 03 Feb, 94	45
P-2098	(711987) Notes of Richard Abell - 15 Oct, 93	79
P-2099	(711984) Notes of Richard Abell - 29 Oct, 93	86
P-2100	(738037) Letter of Resignation by Charles MacDonald - 1993	89
P-2101	(738038) Letter from Eugène LaRocque to Charles MacDonald - 01 Nov, 93	93
P-2102	(104390) Letter from Eugène LaRocque to Charles MacDonald - 29 Jan, 98	98
P-2103	(119925) Note from Charles MacDonald to Eugène LaRocque - 30 Apr, 96	105
P-2104	(118843) Letter from Canice Connors to Eugène LaRocque - 15 Aug, 85	118
C-2105	(110917) Letter from Michael John Sy to Eugène LaRocque - 22 Oct, 93	123
P-2106	(715898) Letter from Donna Markham to Eugène LaRocque - 01 Dec, 93	128
P-2107	(119861) Letter from Donna Markham to Eugène LaRocque - 20 Dec, 93	130
P-2108	(119868) Letter from Eugène LaRocque to Donna Markham - 19 Jan, 94	133
P-2109	(119413) Letter from Ruth Droege to Eugène LaRocque - 21 Mar, 94	140
C-2110	(715900) Letter from Donna Markham to Eugène LaRocque re Final Letter - 25 May, 94	145

LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
P-2111	(120184) Letter from Eugène LaRocque to Donna Markham - 13 Sep, 94	149
P-2112	(120186) Letter from Ruth Droege to Eugène LaRocque - 21 Sep, 94	156
P-2113	(119893) Letter From Eugène LaRocque to Donna Markham - 17 Mar, 95	159

1	Upon commencing at 9:35 a.m./
2	L'audience débute à 9h35
3	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
4	veuillez vous lever.
5	This hearing of the Cornwall Public Inquiry
6	is now in session. The Honourable Mr. Justice Normand
7	Glaude, Commissioner, presiding.
8	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning, Mr.
10	Engelmann.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Good morning, Mr.
12	Commissioner.
13	Monsignor Larocque is just entering now,
14	sir.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
16	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Oui, bonjour,
18	Monseigneur.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Bonjour.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Just while the witness sets
21	up, sir, I wanted to introduce a new face to you.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Gia Williams is here. She
24	is an articling student at the Ministry of the Attorney
25	General. She is directly behind me.

PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning, welcome
2	aboard.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: I think all the other faces
4	are known to you. You have seen them before.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: So
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Are we having problems
8	with the chair, Monsignor?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I'd like to have the
10	chair higher, please.
11	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Vous comprenez que vous
13	êtes toujours sous serment?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Oui.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Merci.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Commissioner.
17	MONSIGNOR EUGENE LAROCQUE, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
18	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/EXAMINATION EN CHEF PAR MR.
19	<pre>ENGELMANN (cont'd/suite):</pre>
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Good morning, Monsignor
21	Larocque.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Good morning.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, when we left off on the
24	1 st of August I was just getting to an area of the evidence
25	dealing with Monsignor Larocque's meetings with the

25

1	Cornwall Police Service, a meeting on October 7 th , 1993.
2	And sir, I believe you've told us that the first time you
3	met or spoke with anybody from the Cornwall Police Service
4	about the David Silmser matter was the meeting you had with
5	Chief Shaver and Staff Sergeant Lucien Brunet on October
6	7 th , 1993.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In my office, yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, and that they hadn't
9	contacted you before then and neither had you contacted
10	them. This was the first time you were dealing with the
11	Silmser matter.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, they went to see the
13	Nuncio, if I remember correctly.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And then from the Nuncio's,
16	at his recommendation they made an appointment to come to
17	see me.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough. And in fact,
19	they saw you the same day they saw him?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	And sir, as with the other meetings that
23	we've talked about today, you have no notes of the meeting
24	you had with Mr. Shaver and Mr. Brunet?
25	MOOD TAROGOTTE N. T. 1 / L

MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I don't.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. And sir, do you have
2	some independent recollection of that meeting?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I certainly do, yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	You don't often meet with police officers?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, and police officers
7	that are rather angry. It was a very the beginning of
8	the meeting was very unpleasant and once I tried to explain
9	that what I had agreed to was a civil settlement of the
10	then things and they left I thought we left on kind
11	of friendly terms at the end of that.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	So just to describe then the tone of the
14	meeting it was rather tense or perhaps when you started
15	Chief Shaver was somewhat angry or upset?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And by the end of the
18	meeting you thought things had been smoothed over a bit
19	with respect to your relationship?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That was my impression.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	So what I would like to do is I'd like to go
23	through a statement that he wrote out the following spring
24	in 1994, the statement he wrote out for his lawyer. And I
25	just want to go through that with you and ask you if you

1	agree or disagree with certain comments that are made in
2	there about what was said at the meeting.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Am I going to have it on
4	the screen?
5	MR. ENGELMANN: I will, and I'll have a hard
6	copy for you, sir.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Thank you.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: It's Exhibit 1789.
9	Counsel, the document number I have is
10	715814.
11	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
12	THE COMMISSIONER: So you want to refer to
13	714?
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, Bates page 714, the top
15	of the page.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay Monseigneur
17	So you recall, Monsignor, that the numbers
18	on the top left-hand corner are the ones we are looking at?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	So at the top of the page, and this is a
22	statement written by Chief Shaver in or around March of
23	'94, he says:
24	"In the afternoon of the 7^{th} of October
25	1993 Staff Sergeant Brunet and I met

1	with Bishop Larocque and he was most
2	cooperative. He explained that he had
3	authorized the payment of \$32,000 and
4	this matter had been negotiated with
5	lawyers Malcolm MacDonald and Jacques
6	Leduc."
7	Sir, do you have any disagreement with what
8	he has written there?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not at all, no.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
11	"I explained to him that the actions of
12	the Church had tied the hands of the
13	police and in my view the Church should
14	have cooperated with the police to get
15	to the truth."
16	Do you recall, sir, if he had said something
17	like this? This would be perhaps early on in the course of
18	your discussion. Was that something that he might have
19	alleged, that the Church had tied the hands of the police?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember that
21	particular assertion being made. He may have made it when
22	he was rather angry and I was upset.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	You don't have any reason to believe it
25	wasn't said though, do you?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If he did say it I would
2	have denied it but it's
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	And sir, you've told us of course that the
7	settlement was not supposed to hinder the criminal process.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
10	And would it have been fair if Mr. Shaver
11	had said something like that, that you had tied the hands
12	of the police, that you would have attempted to convince
13	him otherwise.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Excuse me, Mr. Engelmann.
17	Is that on the screen, Madam Clerk? I don't
18	have it on mine yet.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's on mine.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Is it on yours, sir?
21	It's probably not on.
22	There we go. Video but no sound and this is
23	when I start pushing all the buttons. It's okay. I've got
24	the hard copy. As long as the public and you have it,
25	that's fine.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: It's on my screen.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, good.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, do you recall if in
4	trying to convince him that the Church had not tied the
5	hands of the police that do you recall if you suggested
6	to him that perhaps we should simply look at the settlement
7	documents to see what they say?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I did not say that.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	And do you know if he would have suggested
11	that, sir?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, he did not.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And you know that neither
14	you nor Chief Shaver would have reviewed the settlement
15	documents at that time?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, he goes on, sir. He
18	says:
19	"He advised the Church was
20	satisfied"
21	And "he" here is you, sir.
22	"He advised the Church was satisfied as
23	they had conducted their own
24	investigation and had been told by the
25	priest that the allegations were

1	totally false, which they believed.
2	The Bishop explained that he had gone
3	against his better judgment to pay the
4	money but the lawyers had convinced him
5	that this was in the best interests of
6	all concerned."
7	Sir, do you have any disagreement with what
8	I have just read?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I don't. No.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	So you would have told the officers that you
12	believed Father MacDonald and that he had denied the
13	allegations?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And as of that time, October
16	of 1993, would it be fair to say that your information
17	about Father MacDonald's denial would have come through
18	Monsignor McDougald?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's true.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Because you hadn't met with
21	Father MacDonald to ask him yourself?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember whether I
23	had or not.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	And would it be fair to say, sir, that if

1	that was your position you would have formed the opinion at
2	that time that the complainant, Mr. Silmser's allegations
3	were not credible?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's true.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And you would
6	not have spoken to him about that?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And you do you recall, sir,
9	do you not that Monsignor Schonenbach perhaps had a
10	different view than that when he wrote to you?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, by that point,
13	October 7^{th} of 1993, you had not seen the statement that Mr.
14	Silmser had given to the police back in February; is that
15	fair, the 8-page statement?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But I had heard from
19	Monsignor McDougald that he was changing his allegations in
20	his mind continually, practically and that's what led me to
21	believe that there could be some reason to doubt its
22	veracity.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And that information would
24	have come exclusively from Monsignor McDougald?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right, as I recall.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you ever tell Chief
2	Shaver what your investigation involved because it says
3	here:
4	"He advised the church, it was
5	satisfied as they had conducted their
6	own investigation."
7	Do you recall if you would have explained to
8	him what your investigation involved?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, it would have been I
10	mentioned about Monsignor McDougald so it would have been
11	just whatever Monsignor McDougald's investigation was.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And do you
13	recall if Chief Shaver asked for any details about the
14	investigation that you would have conducted?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to my recollection, no.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And sir, we've
17	heard that Monsignor McDougald met with Father MacDonald,
18	perhaps on two occasions, back in December of '92 and then
19	that the committee met with Mr. Silmser in February of '93?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know if there was
22	anything more to the investigation than that?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to my knowledge, no.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Now, just back to the
25	statement for a minute; he says "I explained I disagreed

1	with the view"
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No. I explained that there
3	were we had two other persons.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: This sorry, "I" is Chief
5	Shaver.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm in the wrong place.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: I apologize. So I'm in the
8	middle of the first full paragraph.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: On Bates page 714.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And the "I" is Shaver.
13	"I explained I disagreed with that view
14	and that the payment by the Church
15	would come back to bite [and he's got
16	'bites' in quotes] both the Church and
17	the police. I also expressed my
18	displeasure that the Church had not
19	contacted the police during their
20	negotiations and that surely the Church
21	was interested in justice and not the
22	possibility of hampering a police
23	investigation. The Bishop agreed and
24	we mutually agreed to discuss and
25	implement a mutual policy to cover

1	allegations made against the clergy."
2	Now, sir, in those three sentences or so is
3	there anything there that you disagree with?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, that's fine.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And so and I
6	think you've told us this, that Chief Shaver's displeasure
7	was visible, at least at the beginning of your meeting.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Quite so.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And he goes on and he says:
10	"The Bishop's position was; as there
11	was only one complaint which was denied
12	by the priest, that the Church's action
13	was proper. I explained that we had
14	two other persons who also had a
15	problem with sexual advances made by
16	the same priest; one who would
17	reluctantly testify and another who was
18	so upset with the Church, he was
19	refusing to testify but gave us a
20	statement describing the assault. This
21	new information visibly shook the
22	Bishop, as he was not aware of other
23	victims and admitted that he had made a
24	large mistake in the payment of the
25	money."

1	Now, sir, is there anything there that you
2	don't
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, it's quite true that I
4	was visibly shaken because that's the first time I had ever
5	heard of more victims.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: So it is true that you were
7	visibly shaken?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's true.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Is there anything
10	there that you disagree with that he said?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Now, did that when
13	you say you were visibly shaken, did that change your
14	your opinion about the seriousness of the situation at that
15	time?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It did, yes, as my
17	telephone call that night will prove.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And did the
19	existence of additional complaints in any way enhance for
20	you the credibility of that original statement from Mr.
21	Silmser?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't get the gist of
23	your question, I'm sorry.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Did it make it more
25	believable, did Mr. Silmser's allegations become maybe a

1	little more credible if there were other people
2	complaining?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was, certainly, yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, did the existence
5	of additional concerns or complaints change your opinion
6	about the settlement in any way?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Change my about the
8	what?
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Did it change your opinion
10	about the settlement? Because in
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Oh, the settlement, excuse
12	me.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Because one of the things
14	I read to you is:
15	"This new information visibly shook the
16	Bishop as he was not aware of other
17	victims and admitted that he had made a
18	large mistake in the payment of the
19	money."
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It could have been one of
21	the reactions; I'm not sure.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you think just
23	thinking back, the existence of additional witnesses, would
24	that have made you less likely to want to enter into this
25	type of a settlement?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, I wasn't in favour of
2	it in the first place.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
4	Now, going back to the statement, it goes on
5	to deal with the breakdown of the settlement and we've
6	already talked about that.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't know where he got
8	this; I have never said that. That is not true.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, we went through that
10	before.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I know.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: On
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And I said it then and I
14	say it again.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And you told us about some
16	money that was going to come, \$12,000 that was going to
17	come. I think you said Malcolm MacDonald said that
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I said he would find it.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: he would find it and
20	then the other 20,000
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Was coming from the
22	Diocese.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: But sir, some of the money
24	was to come from Father MacDonald.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well that was left up to

17

1	that was left up to Mr. MacDonald, the lawyer, his lawyer.
2	I didn't specify that and I don't remember that it was
3	specified in my presence.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Well this is what
5	Chief Shaver has you saying to him.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well I'm saying that I
7	don't know where he got that because I have never said
8	that.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, sir, he not only has
10	it here, he has it in his contemporaneous notes that he
11	took
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And others have 35,000.
13	The amount of money keeps changing in different documents
14	that I've read. So, I know what I said and I stick to the
15	20,000 and 12,000. That is what I have always said.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, sir, Chief Shaver has
17	in his notes that he had a call with you the evening of
18	October 7 th .
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And you acknowledged that
21	you had a call with him after you spoke to Father Charles
22	MacDonald.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And in his contemporaneous
25	notes he has 10,000 from the Diocese, 10,000 from the

1	priest and 12,000 from another unnamed source and he then
2	repeats it here.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah, well
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And he's come to testify
5	that that's what you told him as well.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah, well my recollection
7	is that that is not true; I'm sorry.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, right after he talks
9	to you about the amount and as I've said, we've gone
10	through that before so I don't want to go through there
11	again:
12	"I asked, `Since when do innocent men
13	pay if they are not guilty?' The
14	Bishop said this had troubled him also
15	but it had been explained to him that
16	it was done all the time as the
17	person's reputation would be seriously
18	harmed if the matter became public."
19	Do you see that?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you agree with that part
22	of the statement, sir?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And the person
25	of course whose reputation might be harmed, that would be

1	Father MacDonald?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And then it
4	says:
5	"I advised the Bishop that the CAS now
6	had the information and it was my
7	belief that information of this nature
8	does not stay private and as soon as
9	the agency begins an investigation the
10	word would be out, if it was not out
11	already. I questioned the Bishop as to
12	where the Church would go from there.
13	He advised that he and Father
14	McDougald, the priest appointed to
15	conducting inquires of this nature,
16	would see the priest in question that
17	evening and he would get back to me
18	with the results."
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: True.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Any concerns with that part
21	of the statement, sir?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And in fact, sir, you did
24	meet with Father MacDonald that evening.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you do so on your
2	own or in the presence of Father McDougald?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall but I think
4	it was on my own. I'm not sure.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Then it says:
6	"I further questioned the Bishop on
7	what action he was prepared to take
8	should he ascertain that the priest had
9	committed the alleged assault"
10	Or "assaults"; there's an "s" there.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
12	MR. ENGELMANN:
13	"He advised the priest would be removed
14	from the parish and sent to a special
15	treatment program immediately. I
16	advised that this action was agreeable
17	as the police are concerned for future
18	victims, especially children, although
19	we had not uncovered any complaints
20	other than those which date back to the
21	1970s."
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you take any issue with
24	anything there?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you agree with that then,
2	sir?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's so.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Now, when you
5	spoke with Father MacDonald that evening did you confront
6	him at all with respect to the fact that you were now aware
7	of two other alleged victims?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And we understand that after
10	you met with Father MacDonald you spoke to Claude Shaver by
11	telephone.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I spoke to him that night,
13	yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Right, and he told us, sir,
15	that you told him that you felt you'd been lied to and
16	betrayed by Father MacDonald.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall, but I may
18	have said that.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Well, when you
20	spoke to and do you have some independent recollection
21	of calling Claude Shaver and speaking to him that evening?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Very vague but I know that
23	I did.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, and do you have
25	any sense as to whether you were upset with Father

1	MacDonald when you called Claude Shaver?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think the answer to that
3	question is quite obvious. I would be very upset.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Sir, I just
5	we're on the record here and I just want to make sure we
6	have your answer.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I know. Okay.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Shaver then writes:
9	"The Bishop contacted me later and
10	advised that the priest had admitted
11	the assault and that it was an isolated
12	incident and he was prepared to leave
13	for the treatment/assessment centre
14	immediately. I was subsequently
15	advised the priest left for the
16	treatment centre on the 9 th of October
17	1993 and was replaced in his parish."
18	Sir, is there anything with that paragraph
19	that you disagree with?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, and he never came back.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he in fact leave on the
22	9th of October?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right. He was in
24	Southdown for the assessment at beginning the $9^{\rm th}$ of
25	October.

22

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So did you think
2	that was sufficient, sir, by way of a response, if a priest
3	admitted to some form of assault, that you send him to a
4	treatment centre?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't get the gist of
6	your question, I'm sorry.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you think that that was
8	an appropriate response on your part, if one of your
9	priests admitted to some form of assault, to send him to an
10	assessment centre
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly. To take him out
12	and get him to the help that he might be able to get
13	from an assessment centre and from a treatment centre.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And the police agreed,
16	apparently, as they said.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So what exactly
18	did he admit to then when he spoke to you and then you came
19	up with this course of response?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember what
21	the details of that conversation, I'm sorry.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: The conversation with Father
23	MacDonald?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He did admit that there was
25	more than one, and that's what decided me that something

AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	had to be done.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, and you would
3	have explained that
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: When I decide, I act
5	quickly.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	Well, sir, some time after this in September
8	of 1994 you had an interview with the OPP.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In the presence of David
12	Scott.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: That's correct, and that
14	document is Exhibit 1790.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have it.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: The document number is
17	703764.
18	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Does the witness have a
19	hard copy?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, he does.
22	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Thank you.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have it here, yes. I'm
24	getting used to getting around these books.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Exactly.

24

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, and, sir, at that
2	particular time and this is a statement or interview in
3	the presence of David Scott with Tim Smith and Mike Fagan,
4	two OPP officers.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And this is now about a year
7	later. This is September of 1994.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And at the top of Bates page
10	432, which is page 27 of the interview, Detective Inspector
11	Smith reads to you a paragraph from the Shaver statement
12	that I just read to you.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Four-thirty-two (432) did
14	you say?
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, and it's the paragraph
16	that says:
17	"The Bishop contacted me later, advised
18	that the priest had admitted to
19	assault, that it was an isolated
20	incident. He is prepared to leave for
21	the treatment/assessment centre
22	immediately. I have subsequently
23	advised the priest left for the
24	treatment centre on the 9^{th} of October
25	and was replaced in the parish."

1	And you say at that time, sir, "Well, he
2	couldn't have admitted to the assault because he never
3	has," and even after his treatment in Southdown, the same
4	thing: He never has admitted to the incident and relations
5	with teenagers. So I just
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm just a little confused
8	and I just want to make sure I've got it right. Mr.
9	Shaver's statement, which you agreed to here, is that there
10	was some kind of admission from the priest.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, the admission by the
12	priest was that there was more than one victim.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But I think that what I
15	said here to the police is true. To my recollection Father
16	MacDonald never admitted that he had assaulted Silmser or
17	anyone else and if he had had relations it was always on a
18	consensual basis.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, because the paragraph
20	that I read to you that you agreed with says:
21	"The Bishop contacted me later and
22	advised that the priest had admitted
23	the assault and that it was an isolated
24	incident and he was prepared to leave
25	for the treatment/assessment centre

1	<pre>immediately."</pre>
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So I'm just sir, again I
6	just want to have your
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I mean, all I can remember
8	
9	MR. ENGELMANN: your best evidence on
10	this.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: You know, all that I can
12	remember is that he admitted to more than one victim and
13	that is what decided me that I had to do something, but I
14	don't knowing Father Charles, I don't remember him ever
15	admitting to me, really, that he had assaulted someone.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Well, you're
17	using the term "victim"
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: So-called victim, yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, because I think you
20	told us this: Father Deslauriers didn't admit that he'd
21	committed an assault to you; Father Stone didn't admit that
22	he'd
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No .
24	MR. ENGELMANN: committed an assault. I
25	mean and given your policy and your protocol, I'd

1	suggest it to you that it would be unlikely a priest would
2	admit
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: to an assault. Do you
5	recall whether Father MacDonald admitted to the assault
6	with Silmser and/or other individuals?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to me he didn't, I
8	don't think.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He may have to Monsignor
11	McDougald but I can't recall Monsignor McDougald ever
12	saying that to me. The assault comes from the police
13	investigation, I think, but
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, maybe to help
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: My recollection and it's
16	over you know, this is
17	MR. ENGELMANN: I know, it's a long time
18	ago.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: quite a long time ago.
20	I cannot recall that at any time he ever said that he had
21	assaulted, you know, by force, anyone.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Sir, I'm just
23	wondering about your response, and this is the response to
24	send someone to Southdown.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay? Would it be different
2	if a priest admits to having sex with children or
3	adolescents, you know, in the circumstances, would you be
4	sending the priest to Southdown?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I would.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	And would there be other reasons you would
8	be sending a priest to Southdown?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, there would.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And what would those be,
11	sir?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, character defaults in
13	the priest, priests that are difficult to get along with;
14	they get in constant quarrels with their parishioners.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Those, you know, there are
17	many reasons why you would send sexual behaviour is not
18	the only reason
19	MR. ENGELMANN: $N \circ$.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: but that's one of the
21	main ones for which we send them to treatment centres.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
23	Sir, I'm just trying to understand then,
24	your evidence today is that you don't believe Father
25	MacDonald admitted to

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: To me, anyway.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: having a sexual
3	relationship of any sort with David Silmser?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't believe he's ever
5	admitted that to me, no.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	And what about with teenagers or others?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That my recollection is
9	that it was always on a consensual basis.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	And that would have been his explanation to
12	you?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what he said
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: when he called, yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And I think we've talked
17	about this earlier. Did you think that a priest could have
18	consensual sex with a teenager who
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I mean, a priest is
20	bound by his vow of celibacy no matter, you know, what his
21	inclinations are. Whether he's homosexual or whether he's
22	heterosexual
23	MR. ENGELMANN: M'hm.
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: he has promised God
25	that he's going to try to show his love towards Him and

1	towards the parishioners by the vow of celibacy.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: But quite aside from
3	breaking a vow of celibacy, would it concern you that a
4	priest might have sex with a parishioner?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Absolutely.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Because of the position of
7	trust and authority.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Absolutely, yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Man or woman.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Right, and perhaps concern
12	you even more is that was a vulnerable person?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And concern you even more is
15	that was a young person?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If it was a child, it would
17	be even, I mean, the words of our Lord, you know, better to
18	have a millstone hung around his neck and pledged into the
19	depths of the sea is the way he handled pedophilia.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	But you'd agree that a priest in your
22	Diocese having any form of sex with a youth, whether that's
23	a child or adolescence, it might be difficult to see that
24	as being consensual in nature from your perspective?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: With a child, it would be

1	extremely difficult. With a late teen, I'm not so sure.
2	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you recall, I mean,
4	do you have any recollection now, sir, about the discussion
5	you had with Father MacDonald before calling Claude Shaver;
6	what was admitted to, what wasn't for the purposes of then
7	how you respond?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I think I've answered that
9	question already, haven't I?
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, that you don't think
11	he admitted to the assault of David Silmser?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, but that he admitted
13	that there were more than one victims and that's what
14	the reason why I acted.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	And did he give you names of parishioners
17	that
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, he did not.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you ask?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	And did you get a sense from him as to when
23	this had happened and how current it was?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was in the distant past
25	because, as far as I know, nothing happened during my

1	reign. It was all before I arrived there.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And that's what
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But I didn't go into
4	MR. ENGELMANN: you've been told you,
5	sir, because you didn't
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I didn't go I didn't go
7	into those details. I'm sorry, I can't remember that.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: That wasn't important to you
9	at the time, sir, to know when
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I didn't think of it.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: he might have
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I didn't think of it.
13	It's very difficult to, you know, to avoid
14	going back with the knowledge that we have at the present
15	time. You know, this 20/20 vision of seeing incidents once
16	they've taken place and, you know, 15, 20 years later, we
17	have a knowledge now that we didn't have at that time.
18	It's very difficult to to be able to to separate
19	those those how should I say, those points of view
20	that
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, but sir, this was the
22	fall of 1993 and there'd been a lot
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah, I know. There
24	MR. ENGELMANN: out there already
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: in Boston and many other
2	places, in Newfoundland. You'd had from Pain to Hope just
3	the year before.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And everyone was looking
5	for ways of dealing with this.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Right, and you're confronted
7	by two police officers earlier that day about
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I cooperated with them.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And I cooperated with them.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough. And a result
12	of that, you tell them you're going to confront the priest
13	that evening.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And I did.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And I would have thought,
16	sir, that whatever he would have said to you and that you
17	would have wanted to inquire and investigate this carefully
18	and fully.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That was not my that's
20	Monsignor McDougald's proper he's the one that does the
21	investigation. I'm the one that has to take the action.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, but sir, you didn't
23	ask Monsignor McDougald to meet with Father MacDonald; you
24	did that yourself. That's what you told us today.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: As far as I can recall. I

1	can't remember exactly whether he was there or whether he
2	wasn't there.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, but once you get
4	involved and you take on that responsibility, do you not
5	agree, sir, that it's then your responsibility to
6	investigate it fully and find out what happened? Were you
7	
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, my investigation is to
9	take the action that's appropriate for that time.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Would you not agree with me,
11	sir, that
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't take over Monsignor
13	McDougald's responsibility by by the action that I take.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have to take an action
16	that was requested by the police, and I took it.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	But if you then go and meet with one of your
19	priests and perhaps he says, no, I didn't have sex with
20	that individual, I didn't assault that individual, but I
21	may have done so with others; there may have been other
22	victims, to use your term, did you not think it important
23	to explore that a little bit and that you might have some
24	institutional response there as the Diocese, as the Bishop
25	to then talk to

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would I would
2	MR. ENGELMANN: the police further?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: my procedure would have
4	been, I believe, that I would share that knowledge with
5	Monsignor McDougald and let him do the exploring. That's
6	his that's his particular job.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	Well, you took did you take Father
9	MacDonald out of active ministry immediately at that time?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I did.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	And it presumably was
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And that is my
14	responsibility, not Monsignor McDougald's or anybody
15	else's.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: What did the protocol say
17	about who was supposed to meet with the priest in question?
18	Does anybody
19	MR. ENGELMANN: The designated person being
20	
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Is that correct, sir?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, but I can I can do
23	so myself, I realize we will see when we come out. Other -
24	- other other priests.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: No, I just want to

1	understand that at the time when you met Father MacDonald,
2	the protocol said it's supposed to be the designated person
3	so you were going outside the protocol in doing this
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The Bishop or the
5	designated person, I believe.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, fair enough.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't abdicate my
8	responsibility by deciding this and getting someone to do
9	the work that I that the Diocese has to do eventually.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: No, but I'm just trying
11	to understand
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No
13	THE COMMISSIONER: if
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: because this is going
15	to come up with Father Dubé as well.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm not concerned about
17	Father Dubé right now.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I I know, but I mean I'm
19	and I did it at the request of the police. That they -
20	- that I
21	THE COMMISSIONER: You did it at the request
22	of the police?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: When they interviewed me
24	that I I agreed with them that I would talk to Father
25	Charlie that night, if you will recall.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: So the protocol in question
2	is Exhibit 58, Tab 25 and the only part that really deals
3	with meeting with the suspected aggressor, as the person is
4	known in the protocol, is under Phase 3:
5	"The designated person meets with the
6	suspected aggressor within 48 hours."
7	And then, of course, there are a number of
8	things under that phase and then at the end of it, the
9	designated person files a report of the meeting and the
10	information is transmitted to the Bishop of the Diocese.
11	And then there's a Phase 4 which is the
12	meeting of the advisory committee.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: There's really nothing else,
15	sir, about
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: further investigation.
18	It appears in this instance and I think
19	you agreed with this, Monsignor Larocque after the
20	meeting with the police, you took it upon yourself to meet
21	and confront Father MacDonald.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: As I had promised the
23	police that I would do.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
25	And this may have been the first time you

1	did so because before then it had been Father McDougald who
2	had met with him?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And you took no notes of
5	your meeting with Father MacDonald?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I did not.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: But you reported back on
8	that meeting immediately to Chief Shaver?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That very night, yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Who's a police officer and
11	presumably someone you would expect to remember something
12	about what you reported?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would imagine, yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Now, just on that
15	note, if we look at Exhibit 1787, which is his agenda at
16	page 185, Bates page 185. The document number is 740556.
17	It's Exhibit 1787.
18	This is his agenda. Sir, he has notes of
19	the actual meeting at three o'clock on the left
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: where he says:
22	"Very friendly, pledged cooperation,
23	believed Charlie; he denied. Father
24	McDougald also believed they"
25	I can't remember. He did decipher this for

1	us:
2	"Told him wrong what Church did.
3	Diocese paid 32,000; 10,000 Diocese;
4	10,000 Charlie, 12,000 unknown.
5	Told"
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Others.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: "others shaken.
8	Something help. See him tonight.
9	Would get back. Very cooperative."
10	So again this was just so you remember.
11	I had told you, sir, he took some contemporaneous notes.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And these were the notes.
14	And the note that you see on the right is the phone call in
15	the evening he's told us. It says "Charlie admits" I
16	think it's:
17	"must go treatment, special place.
18	Study one week."
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Would recommend.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: "Would recommend leaves
21	Saturday which is the 9 th ."
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: "No further contact.
24	Bishop sorry."
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And sir, do you
2	know, have any sense as to whether you would have spoken to
3	Mr. Shaver about Father MacDonald not having further
4	contact and what that would mean, no further contact?
5	Are you able to help us with that?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, he was taken out of
7	the parish ministry
8	MR. ENGELMANN: So there'd be no further
9	contact with parishioners?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: With anyone, yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Do you recall whether
12	you would have expressed some kind of sorrow or apology to
13	Chief Shaver when you got back to him on the phone and told
14	him what Father MacDonald had reported to you?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That I would have expressed
16	some sorrow?
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah, it says "Bishop
18	sorry."
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah, probably I did.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you recall what you
21	would have been sorry about when you got back to Chief
22	Shaver?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, sorry that I was
24	taken by just the one incident and that there were more
25	than one. That, to my mind, is the reason why I acted.

1	And the Chief says "Charlie admits" but he
2	doesn't say he admits to assault.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: It says "Charlie admits."
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And it could be admits that
7	there's more than one, you know, and in that case and
8	that's what prompted me to act immediately.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, doesn't that make it
10	worse for you, sir, if even whether there's an admission
11	or not with respect to David Silmser, there's an admission
12	that there might be other potential sexual abuse?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, remember I wasn't
14	because of the whole background of David Silmser and his
15	way of acting and his changing of his and not giving any
16	details at all with regard to the assault of Charlie.
17	And his bragging later on that he had gotten
18	money out of the Church. All these things
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Where does that come from,
20	sir?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Pardon?
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Bragging about getting money
23	from the Church?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's in one of the
25	documents that I read.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: I know but what about your
2	knowledge at the time, that's what I'm getting at?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, my knowledge at the
4	time didn't have that, no.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: No, okay.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No. My knowledge at the
7	time would have been restricted to what I got from
8	Monsignor McDougald.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. And your knowledge
10	at the time from Monsignor McDougald had been all along
11	that there was only one allegation.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And that it was denied.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And now you're told there
16	may be a couple more by the police.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not that there may be,
18	there were.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. And Father Charlie
20	admits something to you about other victims.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Admits that there are other
22	victims, yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: So-called victims.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: so does that concern you

1	that perhaps he hasn't been truthful or fully open with
2	you?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, exactly; that's why
4	I'm sorry.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And do you
6	believe you would have told Chief Shaver that you felt that
7	you had been lied to or betrayed by Father MacDonald?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't recall that.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, sir, can you give us a
10	sense, did you have some knowledge, sir, about Father
11	MacDonald's sexual orientation before October 7 th of 1993?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I did not.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you not have any
14	suspicions about that, sir?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: None whatsoever.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: I just want to show you a
17	document and just ask if it might refresh your memory about
18	that. It's Document Number 738047.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: It's a new exhibit, sir.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: It's a statement that
21	Monsignor McDougald gives. Sir, I believe this is a
22	statement this is one of the statements if I remember
23	correctly that's prepared for your lawyers, the Diocesan
24	lawyers.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: And so this is from

1	Monsignor McDougald?
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. So that will
4	be Exhibit 2097, statement of Monsignor McDougald and it
5	says "interviewed February 3 rd , 1994."
6	EXHIBIT NO./PIECE NO. P-2097:
7	(738047) Statement of Msgr. McDougald - 03
8	Feb. 94
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Just to put this into
10	context, Monsignor Larocque, my understanding is that the
11	law firm that was then called Scott and Aylen asked
12	Monsignor McDougald, Monsignor Vaillancourt and Maitre
13	Leduc who were part of this ad hoc committee to write out a
14	statement and that these statements were then something you
15	would have reviewed before you and Mr. Scott had the
16	meeting in September with the OPP.
17	Does that refresh your memory at all about
18	the existence of these documents, their purpose?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, it does not.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. In any event, in
21	this statement I'll just be a moment if you look at
22	the 5^{th} page in and it's Bates page 741.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Could I have that again?
24	It's the four last
25	MR. ENGELMANN: It's the one on the screen.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Number 5, if you look in
2	the middle of the top actually it's before last page.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: The bottom question:
5	"The Bishop would have been forewarned
6	about Father Charles homosexual
7	tendencies?"
8	Question.
9	"Yes, we had complaints about this
10	priest."
11	This is Father McDougald answering the
12	questions from the lawyers.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: "These would not have been
15	known by Jacques or the Chancellor. A
16	year previous to this, between sometime
17	in late '91 or early '92 I became aware
18	that he'd gone on holidays with a man,
19	age 28 and made homosexual overtures
20	which had been rebuffed. I think I
21	advised the Bishop of this and at the
22	same time he instructed me to speak to
23	Father Charles about this and another
24	related incident about which I was
25	given almost no details. I saw him

1	about this and while he did not deny
2	the claim he suggested this was not as
3	serious as has been alleged. The
4	Bishop recently met the person in
5	question when these other incidents
6	came up."
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And this statement is
9	given in February early February of 1994.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: So he's saying that there
12	was an incident from back in '91 or '92 and he talks about
13	instructions that he believes he gets from you and that
14	you've recently seen this person.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Does that refresh your
17	memory about something that you might have been aware of?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It does. It does. Yes, it
19	does.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Now this isn't dealing with
21	children but this is dealing with
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No. No.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: a complaint of that a
24	priest might have been involved with someone, and in this
25	sense in, you know, homosexual relationship.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The dates are confused in
2	my mind but I know the young man of whom you are talking
3	about and I went to see him personally.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: That would have been after
5	October of '93
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: when you would have met
8	with him.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: But what I'm asking you,
11	sir, is isn't this something that you were aware of, even
12	before you spoke to Father Charles MacDonald, the evening
13	of October 7 th ?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Maybe that I should have
15	been you know, and that I had forgotten. I'm confused
16	with regard to the time elements here.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But
19	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm assuming that since
20	Father McDougald was your designate for these types of
21	complaints
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: that he was to bring
24	these to your attention
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: if he received them.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And if he says he did it he
3	certainly did.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And I remember that I was
6	advised of this at some time but I can't remember what the
7	time was. And my recollection is that it was after the
8	Silmser complaint and everything else that broke out but
9	I'm not sure.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: So what was the purpose
11	in you going to see the young man?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Because he had stopped
13	going to church and I was worried because I knew the young
14	man. He had been a server of mine at the Cathedral.
15	And I spoke to him for a long time, to ask
16	his forgiveness, because he had been scandalized and
17	rightly so. And a fine a very fine man.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm. So I guess I'm
19	wondering, you had it in your mind that if there was an
20	allegation you went and saw the alleged victim, let's say
21	of this thing, out of concern for him.
22	So when Father MacDonald told you there were
23	others, why wouldn't you have done the same thing, find out
24	who they were? And if they were members of your parish of
25	course, they are your responsibility as much as

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not my parish, my Diocese.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Your Diocese, I'm sorry,
3	yeah. Do you see what I mean?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah. I have no answer.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, whether the advance by
7	the priest, in this case, Father MacDonald, where it was
8	homosexual or heterosexual towards a parishioner and it's
9	reported to Monsignor McDougald, you'd want to know about
10	it?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Certainly, yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	Because you'd want to know about any
16	unwanted sexual advance by a priest towards any parishioner
17	in your Diocese?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. Not only sexual
19	advance but any other problem that would involve
20	parishioners.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: And I guess whether it
23	was unwanted or not you'd want to know if a priest is
24	having some kind of sexual activity with anyone.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And just to pickup on this,
2	as I understand this unwanted sexual advance in the fall of
3	1991, this would have been something
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Or '92.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	This had been something that might have
7	happened in Williamstown; do you recall?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I believe that the two
9	of them had gone on a trip together and it was while they
10	were away on vacation that this happened, if my
11	recollection is correct.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was an invitation which
14	he turned down.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And he was scandalized that
17	the fact that he was even invited and I can see the well-
18	foundment (sic) of that scandal.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: So Mr. Engelmann, I think
21	what we've agreed upon is that we'd take breaks pretty well
22	on the hour or so. So I think we're about at that point
23	now.
24	What I'd like to do is take shorter breaks
25	but there will be more of them so that we can catch our

1	preath and keep going. So why don't we take 10 minutes
2	now?
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Thank you. Merci bien.
5	THE REGISTRAR: Order, all rise. À l'ordre.
6	Veuillez vous lever.
7	The hearing will resume at 10:40 a.m.
8	Upon recessing at 10:29 a.m./
9	L'audience est suspendue à 10h29
10	Upon resuming at 10:45 a.m./
11	L'audience est reprise à 10h45
12	THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now resumed;
13	please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
15	Thank you. Mr. Engelmann?
16	MONSIGNOR EUGÈNE LAROCQUE, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
17	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
18	<pre>ENGELMANN (Cont'd/Suite):</pre>
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, before the break we
20	talked about what you knew of Father MacDonald's past, with
21	respect to past sexual behaviour perhaps, and we talked a
22	little bit about what happened on October 7^{th} . I just want
23	to make sure I understand some of your comments.
24	When you referred to the conversation you
25	had with Father MacDonald on the evening of October $7^{\rm th}$,

1	before you then called Chief Shaver, you used the term "sex
2	with some individuals" as I and if I understood
3	correctly, parishioners.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not necessarily, no.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, some parishioners,
6	some others?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't know but that's not
8	a detail that I remember that was discussed.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. That would be an
10	important detail for you, would it not, sir?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, it would
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Although any person would
14	be just as important, really, as a parishioner.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, with respect to a vow
16	of celibacy, yes, but with respect to other issues
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, with to my
18	responsibility as to parishioners, yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. And you used the term
20	both "sex of a consensual nature" but you also used the
21	term "victims" and
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And so-called victims I
23	suppose I should have said, because I don't know.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, well, I
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If it's consensual they're

1	
1	not really victims.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Would it be fair to say that
3	victims was a term you would have used and not Father
4	MacDonald?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would suppose so, yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	And would that be because, sir, you wouldn't
8	think that a priest could have consensual sex with a
9	parishioner?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Absolutely. Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, did you ever ask him
12	the age of any of these individuals?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not that I can recall, no.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: But you told us he told you
15	that it all happened before you became the bishop in 1974.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: As I recall, yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you ask him whether
18	these individuals were altar boys at the time?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I did not.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you ask him whether any
21	of this happened on church property or questions of that
22	nature?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall all those
24	details. I'm sorry.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's too long ago.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, the issue about going
3	to Southdown and sending Father MacDonald there on the $9^{\rm th}$,
4	you've told us that you sent other priests there or other
5	priests were sent there by other bishops. For example,
6	Father Stone was sent there?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Father Lussier sent there?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so, yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Father Major was sent there?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I sent him there, yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. And in those cases
13	were people being sent those individuals, because of
14	alleged sexual misconduct with youth?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No. Except for Father
16	Major, no, the others were pretty much Stone, yes.
17	There are others.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Stone, Lussier, Major; I'm
19	just talking about the ones for alleged sexual misconduct
20	with youth. There were others you sent but as I understood
21	it those three were sent because of that.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I didn't send Stone.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: No, someone else did.
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: But you knew why he was

1	sent?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I had the report.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. And Lussier and Major
4	you sent?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I did, yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And you sent others
7	but not for alleged sexual misconduct?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And Father MacDonald was
10	being sent to Southdown by you?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And why? That initial
13	assessment, why were you sending him?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Because that was the modus
15	that's the way of proceeding with Southdown. You send
16	them with the knowledge that you have and so that they will
17	assess to see whether he needs treatment or not.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Well, you
19	weren't sending him for alcoholism?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, no.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Or substance abuse.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Southdown knew that I was
23	sending him because of alleged sexual whatever you want
24	to call it.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Alleged sexual misconduct?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Misconduct, yeah.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm just wondering, sir,
3	because some time ago and this was in the context of a
4	civil proceeding, an examination for discovery, you said
5	that you sent him for an assessment for any inclinations to
6	what he was being accused of, and you used the term
7	pedophilia.
8	Do you recall whether or not that's why you
9	sent him there?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That was one of the reasons
11	I suppose. Since yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	Now, sir, you aside from having contact
14	with the Cornwall Police in October of 1993 you also had
15	contact with the Children's Aid Society?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And I understand, sir, that
18	on October 12^{th} , 1993 you met with three individuals from
19	the Children's Aid Society, Richard Abell who was the
20	executive director and then two other directors from the
21	agency, a fellow by the name of Angelo Towndale and Bill
22	Carriere.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall meeting with
25	the three of them, sir?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In my office, yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And Mr. Abell has
3	some notes of that meeting and that's why I have the date
4	October 12 th , '93.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: You don't take issue with
7	the date, do you?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't think so, no.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And I'm just going to
10	show you a document. It's Exhibit 1299 and I'm not sure if
11	it's in the binder you have or not.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: It should be.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Those would be Mr. Abell's
14	notes.
15	Counsel, if you don't have it by exhibit the
16	Document Number is 711991.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, what Exhibit
18	1799?
19	MR. ENGELMANN: No, 1299, sir.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm looking at Bates page
22	920.
23	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, just to orient you, the
25	date unfortunately is not on this copy but it's on another

1	copy so I know it's October 12 th but to orient you,
2	you've had a meeting the week before with Chief Shaver.
3	He's telling you the CAS know about this and they're going
4	to start an investigation.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And presumably someone from
7	the CAS made an appointment to see you.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: So the note says:
10	"2:30 p.m. Met Bishop in his office
11	with Angelo and Bill Carriere."
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And it starts with the
14	comment:
15	"Bishop, 'I want to work with you."
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	So Mr. Abell is writing that you open it up
19	by telling them you want to work with them. Do you take
20	any disagreement with that, sir?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not at all.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
23	And he says:
24	"I tell him our issue is present/recent
25	abuse of children. He says he

1	understands our role and then, 'What
2	can I do to help?"
3	Again, any issue there, sir?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	So you understood then the concern of the
7	CAS was with respect to present or recent abuse of
8	children?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's the reason why there
10	was a doubt whether we should report to them in the first
11	place.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And that the Silmser
13	allegation was some 20 years earlier, or the incidents that
14	gave rise to it?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. And sir, at least
17	you're expressing some willingness to assist them,
18	according to these notes?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: As much as I can, yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	And then Mr. Abell writes:
22	"I give him picture. We will
23	investigate"
24	I'm not sure what the word says.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: "Why?"

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
2	"Silmser's statement is so clear as
3	to possible recent abuse."
4	And then he's got in brackets (red flags):
5	"He's taken aback that we will
6	investigate. He wants to monitor."
7	Now, were you surprised, sir, that they
8	wanted to investigate about recent abuse in light of the
9	Silmser complaint?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I was, yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	And why is that, sir?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Because I had no reason to
14	suspect that such things were going on, but they asked to
15	have the parish clear so that they could interrogate the
16	altar boys and the parishioners of that parish.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	Well, did you when you found out that
19	they were aware of the Silmser complaint and his allegation
20	and Chief Shaver indicated to you that they were looking
21	into this did you not expect, sir, that they would be
22	doing some kind of an investigation?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Absolutely, yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	And they have an obligation, you would

1	agree, to be concerned about present or recent abuse of
2	children?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	And you indicated that you wanted to
6	monitor, presumably, their investigation. Do you know what
7	you meant by that?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Just to know what was going
9	on because I was trying to
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: protect the
12	parishioners, you know, and to see that things are not
13	disproportionate.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: It appears you might be
15	expressing some concern. I just want to read something to
16	you. He says:
17	"Everyone will know once we start
18	talking to altar boys and then
19	families. We tell them this is our
20	plan. It's a small community. It will
21	make him an accused [et cetera]. We
22	acknowledge some of this is inevitable.
23	We'll be as discrete as possible [et
23 24	We'll be as discrete as possible [et cetera]. Also, best way to handle

1	investigation to get at the facts."
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, is that do you take
4	any disagreement, any
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, that's fine, yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's fine.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. You had some concern
9	about the publicity that would be associated with this?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, the harm that would
11	be done to the faith of the people, that's all.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	And were you concerned about the reputation
14	of your priest?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, he's already left for
16	Southdown and all the parishioners know that.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	But they don't know why, sir.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, that's right.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Now I'll just be a
21	moment.
22	Now, this is taking place October $12^{\rm th}$ so
23	this is five days or so after your meeting with the Chief
24	and Staff Sergeant Brunet and you're aware, sir, that there
25	are three people alleging some form of abuse by Father

1	MacDonald.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Three days after he left
3	for Southdown as well.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And okay.
5	Now, sir, did you understand that the CAS,
6	in order to conduct some form of investigation, would have
7	to speak to some altar boys?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Sure.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	And would you agree, sir, that the police
11	conducting some form of investigation would also make a
12	matter like this somewhat public?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly, yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	And that they might need to interview altar
16	boys, although perhaps altar boys from the past.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In fact they asked for
20	lists of the altar boys.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And we were able to obtain
23	that for them.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	And would you agree, sir, that the best way

1	to handle accusations of this nature is to do some form of
2	open investigation?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I do now.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. You did not at the
5	time?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: We have to situate things
7	back at the time. And so now, yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: At that time it was still a
10	very confusing type of situation.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	But that was consistent, sir, with the
13	policies and the principles of openness that
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: were set out in From
16	Pain to Hope from the year before was it not?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	Now, just a little further down in the notes
20	he says, near the bottom:
21	"He's to hear treatment results on
22	Friday."
23	This is you.
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: "We say we want him"

1	And presumably that's Father MacDonald.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: "out of the parish to
4	allow us to investigate. Bishop very
5	reluctant, finally agrees to two
6	weeks."
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall, sir, being
9	reluctant to keep Father MacDonald out of the parish while
10	the CAS was to investigate this?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall but I
12	remember telling them that they would have a clear field
13	for investigation.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They would have a clear
15 16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They would have a clear field for investigation. He would not be in their way.
16	field for investigation. He would not be in their way.
16 17	field for investigation. He would not be in their way. MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16 17 18	field for investigation. He would not be in their way. MR. ENGELMANN: All right. But it seems at this point at least your
16 17 18 19	field for investigation. He would not be in their way. MR. ENGELMANN: All right. But it seems at this point at least your plan was that after Father MacDonald came back from the
16 17 18 19 20	field for investigation. He would not be in their way. MR. ENGELMANN: All right. But it seems at this point at least your plan was that after Father MacDonald came back from the one-week assessment that he would be back in his parish and
16 17 18 19 20 21	field for investigation. He would not be in their way. MR. ENGELMANN: All right. But it seems at this point at least your plan was that after Father MacDonald came back from the one-week assessment that he would be back in his parish and the CAS is saying, "No, we don't want that. We want to be
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	field for investigation. He would not be in their way. MR. ENGELMANN: All right. But it seems at this point at least your plan was that after Father MacDonald came back from the one-week assessment that he would be back in his parish and the CAS is saying, "No, we don't want that. We want to be able to do our investigation without him there."

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In other words, I'm not
3	prejudging the assessment.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
5	So whatever you would do with Father
6	MacDonald was going to be dependent upon the results you
7	received from Southdown?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Greatly, yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: But did you know about three
10	people alleging some form of abuse. That wasn't enough,
11	sir? You needed that further report from Southdown before
12	agreeing to keep him out for more than a week?
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Two weeks.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Or for two weeks.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't know what you're
16	driving at but
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm not
19	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm just wondering if having
20	knowledge that there were three people alleging some form
21	of abuse wouldn't have been sufficient for you just to say,
22	"Let's just stay out of the parish for a while."
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: De facto he never went back
24	to the parish.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Let's not belabour the
2	point.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	But do you recall they appear to be
5	suggesting that you were reluctant to remove him from the
6	parish. Would you agree with that, sir, at the time?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It could be at the time but
8	it certainly wouldn't be at the present time.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough. Now, there's a
10	discussion here at the bottom of page. It says:
11	"Silmser spoke to someone in Ottawa
12	originally. Matter was referred on to
13	this Bishop. I asked for the report."
14	"I" being Abell.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
16	MR. ENGELMANN:
17	"Bill and I read the letter. It is
18	essentially same statement as given the
19	Cornwall Police."
20	The letter we're referring to here is the
21	letter from Monsignor Schonenbach.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Schonenbach, yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: The writer ends by saying he
24	found Silmser "creditable":
25	"I ask for a copy. Bishop says it's

1	confidential."
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, do you remember why you
4	would have said that to them and why you would have been
5	concerned about giving them a copy of that letter?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I can't.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I was readily give it to
9	them today but at that time I cannot
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And I can't recall saying
12	that, to tell you the truth.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	Again in the spirit of openness that's
15	something you would give today?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's exactly, yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Because it really wasn't
19	confidential. It was I mean, police had a copy of it
20	and so
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Right, and, sir, you'd
22	indicated that you wanted to you wanted to ensure that
23	you were not hindering an investigation, either by the
24	police or by the CAS presumably?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: So I'm just you know,
2	when we look at what happened on that day you're and
3	this is how it's being reported by Mr. Abell, that you're
4	somewhat surprised that they're going to be interviewing
5	altar boys and families. You say you want to monitor;
6	there's some reluctance to remove Father MacDonald from the
7	parish; there's a reluctance or a refusal to provide a copy
8	of the letter from Monsignor McDougald. Those are all
9	things that
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Monsignor Schonenbach.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Schonenbach, yes. Those are
12	all things that would have assisted the CAS that perhaps
13	you weren't being as forthcoming or as forthright about at
14	that time but presumably you would act differently today?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right, and de facto we
16	didn't put any interference in their investigation. They
17	had complete freedom to investigate whomever or howsoever
18	they wanted.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: I know but it's always
20	helpful when agencies like the CAS or the police are
21	investigating, that you and other agencies cooperate.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And I did.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And sir, you then
24	describe, or you're quoted as describing the settlement
25	issue.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And it says:
3	"Bishop says he didn't want to pay off
4	Silmser. He was advised to do so by
5	Jacques Leduc"
6	Et cetera. Do you see that?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Civil effects, yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall saying
9	something like that?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I suppose, yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you remember why you
12	might have viewed the settlement as a payoff at that time,
13	October 12 th 1993?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't get the gist of
15	your question.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm just wondering why you
17	would have used that term at that time, the term "payoff"?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It could have come from my
19	meeting with the bishops of Canada where I think that term
20	was used, that it could be seen as this. I really don't
21	recall using the term but
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: if I did use it it's
24	probably from that context.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, at the bottom of the

1	page it says:
2	"Bishop saying Charlie strongly denying
3	Silmser allegation. Admitting to being
4	a homosexual, not while he was
5	teaching."
6	Father MacDonald was a teacher before he was
7	a priest?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Then it says:
10	"Not for last four years. Only with
11	teens/adults."
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: "Comments to Bishop" and
14	this is from Charlie:
15	"that the partners sometimes initiate."
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
17	MR. ENGELMANN:
18	"We jump on that and deal with
19	responsibilities."
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	So is there any part of those notes, sir,
23	that you disagree with?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Because now it's not

1	something that happens before 1974. This story that's
2	being related, at least by you, to the CAS officials is
3	that it hasn't happened in the last four years.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So that would take us to
6	1989.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Probably, yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	So again and I realise your memory was
10	presumably better then than it is today is it possible
11	that Father MacDonald
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would go with this.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	And when it talks about teens and adults,
17	again you don't have any sense as to the age of the teens,
18	sir?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: $N \circ$.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I think we've agreed at
23	least today you recognise that sexual activity by a priest
24	with teenagers is not just a breach of celibacy. It may
25	well be a breach of a position of trust and authority?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would have believed that
2	back there then, at the same time.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: You agreed with it then too?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Sure.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
6	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, they then appear to
8	talk with you about a plan for this investigation, and I'm
9	looking at Bates page 992 and it says:
10	"We agree he will get names of altar
11	boys to us."
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Where is that now?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Middle of the page.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah, middle.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Bishop
16	Larocque.
17	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
18	MR. ENGELMANN:
19	"We speculate on how far back to go,
20	years past, parishes. We agree to
21	start in St. Andrew's."
22	And that's where he was the priest at the
23	time, correct?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right. That's
25	right.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And he'd been the priest in
2	St. Andrew's for several years? This is 1993 at this
3	point. Before then he'd been at Williamstown, I believe,
4	or
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah, and Apple Hill.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He left St. Columban's and
8	I moved him to Apple Hill.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And he built up the church
11	in Apple Hill, which was a basement church and he built the
12	top of it, and from there he went to Williamstown, and from
13	Williamstown he came to St. Andrew's.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Right, and that was sometime
15	in the 1980s?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Probably in the late 1980s,
17	I would imagine.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	So you've sort of got an agreement that the
20	CAS is going to simply be asking questions of people from
21	the last parish, St. Andrew's?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: From the
23	MR. ENGELMANN: The current parish.
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, but not denying that
25	they could go to other parishes, because I think they also

1	wanted to go to St. Columban's
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: sometime in the
4	investigation.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: I don't think so, sir, but
6	we'll get there.
7	But in any event, at this point they're
8	talking about just interviewing altar boys who are current
9	altar boys or recently been altar boys?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: At St. Andrew's.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah. And it says at the
14	bottom that they tell you that they're beginning the
15	investigation, they'll do what they can within the next two
16	weeks, that they'll be talking to the OPP; they'll decide
17	whether or not to do a criminal investigation. And
18	apparently Mr. Abell asks you to allow Jacques Leduc to
19	speak openly to him about his role.
20	Do you recall it says, "I tell him he's
21	representing us"? Do you recall Mr. Abell bringing up the
22	fact that from time to time Mr. Leduc also worked for the
23	CAS?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't believe, no, that
25	that

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't recollect that at
3	least.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	It says:
6	"End on positive note. Bishop looked
7	worried."
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall, sir? Did you
10	believe it ended on a positive note with the CAS at that
11	point?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I agreed to cooperate with
15	them and
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Were you concerned or
17	worried about where this might lead and
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah, where the scandal and
19	what the effect on the parish is and on the whole Diocese
20	in fact.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And sir, do you
22	recall asking Jacques Leduc to speak openly with the CAS
23	during the course of their investigation, to cooperate with
24	them?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't recall but I would

1	have had no objection to him doing that.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Well, do you
3	recall whether or not he was still representing you at that
4	time, and this is October/November 1993 for this type of
5	thing?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't that was after
7	the
8	MR. ENGELMANN: This is October of '93, a
9	press release issue comes up in January of '94.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: So it was before the press
11	releases?
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: So he would have still been
14	representing us then.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. Okay. And would you
16	have instructed others, if you can recall, to be open with
17	the CAS like Monsignor McDougald and others? Or do you
18	recall?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I certainly didn't put any
20	blocks in their way.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	Sir, just a couple of other areas here, with
23	the CAS in the fall. If I could show you a note, the
24	Exhibit sorry, the Document Number is 711987.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: That's a new one,

1	Monsignor.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And these are again Richard
3	Abell's notes and this is notes of a phone call he has with
4	Jacques Leduc on October 15 th , 1993, approximately three
5	days after the meeting with you.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: So Exhibit 2098 is a
7	document Mr. Abell you say, Mr. Englemann?
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Abell's notes dated -
10	- the first date is October 15 th , 1993.
11	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. 2098:
12	(711987) Notes of Richard Abell - 15 Oct,
13	93
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, sir, just to put
15	this in context then, the notes starts:
16	"Phone call from Jacques Leduc, wants
17	to talk. I don't have time, asks if
18	there has been an admission."
19	And again, Jacques Leduc would have been
20	counsel for the Diocese at this time; correct?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And he was dealing with this
23	matter on your behalf?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so, yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And he's calling and

1	asking Richard Abell if there's been an admission. I'm not
2	sure who that admission might be from, I'm assuming Father
3	MacDonald because of the context.
4	It says:
5	"I say yes, [I being Abell] to being
6	homosexual relations with adolescents
7	and adults. Not as a teacher, not the
8	last four years."
9	Puts in brackets (this from the Bishop). So
10	he's relating that he's heard this from you.
11	"I tell him Chief spoke to the Bishop
12	and told me MacDonald had admitted to
13	Silmser. Needs to be clarified."
14	So from what I take from this is that
15	Jacque Leduc's asking about whether there's been an
16	admission and Richard Abell talks about the two types of
17	admissions; one being to having relations with adults
18	sorry, adolescents and adults.
19	And that he got that from you and then he's
20	saying what he's heard back from the Chief; the Chief spoke
21	to the Bishop and told me MacDonald had admitted to
22	Silmser, needs to be clarified.
23	So there appears to be some confusion about
24	what has been admitted to.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And I'm wondering, sir, if
2	Mr. Leduc would have gotten back to you if you can
3	remember him getting back to you to try and clarify this
4	issue?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No. I can't recall.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Do you recall him
7	reporting to you about this at all, sir?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to my recollection, no.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The only thing is here,
11	what the Chief says that MacDonald had admitted to Silmser.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In my recollection he's
14	never admitted to Silsmer.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, at that time, sir, if
16	we look at the notes and his statement at that time, what
17	the Chief's notes say is that he spoke to you and that you
18	told him that MacDonald admitted to Silmser.
19	When he was here later, he said that there
20	was an omission from that and that you said he admitted the
21	assault but then corrected it.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Because my recollection is
23	that he's never admitted to me at least, that he had any
24	relations with Silmser.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So I'm just

1	looking at what was written at the time.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And I'm asking you whether
4	or not that clarification of the two different versions was
5	reported back to you by Jacques Leduc?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	Would you have expected your counsel to
9	report back to you about events during the course of the
10	CAS investigation?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: To me or to Monsignor
12	McDougald, yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
14	Now, again, on the same page and I'm not
15	sure if you're going to be able to help us. It says "Says
16	he will talk to Greg." And Greg is the fellow that he has
17	looking into this; a fellow by the name of Greg Bell.
18	That name may be familiar to you, sir. That
19	he's an employee of Mr. Abell's at the Childrens' Aid
20	Society. It says:
21	"McDougald is the Vicar General, can't
22	betray priestly confidences?"
23	And there's a question mark.
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: "I say okay, we'll start

1	there."
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: It appears, sir, that Mr.
4	Leduc is telling the Childrens' Aid Society that Monsignor
5	McDougald could not talk to them because of some concern
6	about priestly confidences.
7	I'm just wondering did you ever give any
8	instructions to Mr. Leduc or Monsignor McDougald not to
9	talk the CAS because of priestly confidences?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, not to my recollection.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you know what that means,
12	sir, priestly confidences?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That'll be confidences like
14	anybody telling somebody in confidence something that went
15	on. It's a the only thing that I can remember is the
16	confidentiality that we had in our committee that I had set
17	up for something of that nature, that's
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: you know and if he
20	had spoken to Father Charles and Father Charles said I want
21	you to keep this in confidence then he would feel himself
22	bound to do so I would imagine.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Even if that
24	occurred outside the confessional, sir?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. But if it was
2	inside the confessional you wouldn't expect the term
3	"priestly confidence"?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I doubt that Father Charlie
5	would be going to confession to Father McDougald but you
6	know, it in that case, it's what we call the penitential
7	seal of confession.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: So it would have been a
9	different term than priestly confidence?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Absolutely it would not be
11	that.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: The difference being I
14	take it, sir, that if it's a confidence if put under oath
15	in a proper civil proceeding he would have to say whereas
16	if it was in the confessional he would not have to say.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In the confessional he
18	cannot say.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Cannot say. Cannot say,
20	right.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what we call the
22	seal.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: The seal. All right,
24	sir, do you agree with me that but if he was brought
25	into court or and asked about the confidences that as

1	far as you're concerned he would have, he would not be
2	bound by the seal and
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, it's only those things
4	that are in the confessional.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, would it be fair to
7	say at that time your instructions to Monsignor McDougald
8	would have been to cooperate with the CAS and provide them
9	the information they needed to do the investigation?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That would have been my
11	mind, yes, I think, yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. You just can't
13	recall what exactly you said to him?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: This is not me speaking.
17	This is Leduc speaking to
18	MR. ENGELMANN: No, no I realize that. I
19	realize that.
20	And again, I'm going to show you another
21	document. Again it's not you speaking but I'm just going
22	to ask a couple of questions from it if I may, it's
23	Document Number 711984.
24	If that could be the next exhibit, sir.
25	These are again notes of Mr. Abell from Project Blue dated

1	October 29 th , 1993.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
3	Exhibit 2099.
4	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-2099
5	(711984) Notes of Richard Abell - 29 Oct,
6	93
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, the great thing about
8	these notes, sir is they're fairly legible for handwritten
9	notes so I think I captured the gist of it and you'll see -
10	- there's a reference to something I think we've already
11	covered about three paragraphs down.
12	It says:
13	"Fall, '91, call to church, re sexual
14	<pre>impropriety [it's a bit funny] sexual</pre>
15	impropriety of a sexual nature and then
16	it says "Williamstown"
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Anonymous, I guess.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: " anonymous,
19	Williamstown, Father MacDonald denied,
20	matter dropped."
21	And I think that's the matter we talked
22	about earlier, where you ended up speaking to a
23	parishioner.
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
25	MR. ENGELMANN:

1	"Commitment from Bisnop through Jacques
2	Leduc that we have all the time we need
3	to investigate; Father MacDonald will
4	not be going back into the Parish."
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: So this is the 29 th of
7	October. By that point in time you had decided, sir, that
8	he was not going back to active ministry?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I had the reports from
10	Southdown at that time and the commitment that he would go
11	on the six-month treatment.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough, and that was to
13	start sometime in November if I'm correct?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And then it says
16	down at the bottom:
17	"Greg talked to David Silmser to get an
18	appointment, concerned he will lose his
19	money if he talks to us. Silmser to be
20	assured Jacques Leduc will assure
21	him or his lawyer, that he can speak to
22	us without penalty."
23	Sir, were you ever advised that there was an
24	issue about because of the nature of the settlement
25	it said that if Mr. Silmser talked about any of the events

1	he would lose the money?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I have no knowledge of
3	that.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. That never got back
5	to you?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	And the event that occurred between October
9	$12^{\rm th}$ and October $29^{\rm th}$, that removed any reluctance on your
10	part to remove Father MacDonald from his parish was the
11	report from Southdown that he should go there for a six-
12	month period?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Do I understand that?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	Now, sir, I want to show you a very brief
18	signed note. It's Document Number 738037.
19	And sir, I'm assuming this was written some
20	time in late October of 1993. It's a document that is
21	signed by Father MacDonald and your signature also appears
22	on it.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Is that his resignation?
24	MR. ENGELMANN: It involves yeah, it
25	speaks of his resignation.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
2	Exhibit 2100.
3	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-2100:
4	(738037) Letter of Resignation by Charles
5	MacDonald - 1993
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, the document reads:
7	"The most Reverend Eugēne P. Larocque,
8	DD Bishop of the Diocese of
9	Alexandria/Cornwall has announced the
10	resignation of Reverend Charles F.
11	MacDonald as Pastor of St. Andrew's
12	Parish, effective immediately. Father
13	MacDonald has asked for time for rest
14	and personal renewal before accepting
15	reassignment."
16	It's signed by Father MacDonald and it says
17	"Received Saturday afternoon, October 30, '93" and I
18	believe it has your signature.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Right? So just is this a
21	letter that you would have prepared or had someone prepare
22	for you to have Father MacDonald sign?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to my knowledge. I had
24	asked for his letter of resignation and this is a rather
25	strange letter of resignation because he doesn't use the

1	"I" at all.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: No. It simply says you're
3	announcing his resignation.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So this is something that
6	you asked him for a letter of resignation and this is what
7	he gave you?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: This is what I got, yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	Because it's not exactly a resignation is
11	it?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No. Well, it is, it's
13	acknowledging the fact that I'm going to announce his
14	and he signs his name.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: More or less in agreement
17	with it. But it's a strange letter of resignation.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. And it says because
19	he's asked for rest and personal renewal.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right. That's his, how
21	should I say, analysis of the situation.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: It's perhaps not your own,
23	sir, is it?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, that's not from me.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: You don't believe that

1	statement is accurate, do you?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, it could be but
3	before accepting reassignment there was no point there
4	was no way I was going to reassign him anyway.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, did you know that even
6	at that time, sir, that you would never reassign him?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's a good question.
8	Yeah, I would suppose that I would have waited for the
9	final analysis, at the end of the treatment, six months
10	later.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: But the real reason that you
12	had asked for his resignation was because of these
13	allegations against him
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: and that you were
16	sending him to Southdown.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right, and to clear
18	the way so that I could name another pastor.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: To clear the way so that I
21	could name another pastor. The person who was there was
22	only an administrator.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Correct.
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And I can't appoint a
25	pastor until the former pastor has resigned.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough. Now, this is
2	some approximately 11 months after Mr. Silmser came
3	forward with allegations against Father MacDonald. We know
4	he came forward with those allegations in December of $^\prime92$.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: But this is the first time
7	that you believe that you need to ask for his resignation;
8	is that fair?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Because of the added
12	knowledge that I have.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, sir, you respond to
14	this with a letter of your own and it is Document 738038
15	and it's a letter dated November $1^{\rm st}$, 1993 from yourself and
16	the Chancellor, Denis Vaillancourt, to the Reverend Charles
17	MacDonald.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: If that could be our next
20	exhibit, sir?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's the day after
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit yes, it is,
23	sir. Well, depending October it depends if October
24	has 31 days which it has.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: The date is November 1 st ,

1	193?
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes it is. Exhibit 2101.
3	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. 2101:
4	(738038) Letter from Eugene LaRocque to
5	Charles MacDonald - 01 Nov, 93
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, this is a letter that
7	you would have written to Father MacDonald; is that
8	correct?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And I note it's care of Gary
11	Ostler. Was he living with Father Ostler at that time?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: At the time, yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In the inter between his
15	first visit to Southdown and his
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough. And the letter
17	reads:
18	"I wish to thank you for the work that
19	you've done at St. Andrew's and for
20	your resignation as pastor of this
21	parish in order to find time for rest
22	and personal renewal because you accept
23	a new assignment.
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: So it appears you have

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm quoting his
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
3	"I hope that your stay at Southdown may
4	be profitable to you personally and to
5	your future ministry."
6	So it appears quite clear at that time that
7	you haven't made a final decision.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's still open, yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	"Be assured of my prayers on your
11	behalf, especially as I visit the tomb
12	of the Apostles, Peter and Paul."
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: I think you told us earlier
15	that you were off to Rome about this time.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I was the President of the
17	Ontario Bishops and it was our five-year visit to the Pope
18	and I had to organize all that and all the meetings in
19	Rome.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	And again, sir, if I can suggest to you, the
22	real reason for his resignation isn't what's set out in
23	your letter; you've just copied or mimicked his letter?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I wanted to make the
25	connection between the two, if I recall correctly, because

1	as I said his letter is not really a letter of resignation.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And this is an official
4	document because it is signed by both myself and the
5	Chancellor. So when both signatures are there it's an
6	official document.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: I would suggest to you, sir,
8	that the letter almost reads as if this is very temporary,
9	in the sense that it sort of implies that he'll be teaching
10	sorry preaching once again, does it not?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It just says "your future
12	ministry". That's all it says.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	And at that point in time had you made any
15	decision as to what if any new assignment he would get
16	after getting out of Southdown?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No. That would be
18	presumptuous on my part if I don't know what Southdown is
19	going to say.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And what did you understand
21	that he was going to be receiving by way of treatment at
22	Southdown?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have no knowledge of how
24	they treat people there. It's just a treatment centre that
25	was set up by the Bishops of Ontario to help priests and

1	religious in difficulty.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Well you knew that they were
3	going to have him as an inpatient, correct?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And you knew that he was
6	going to be there for approximately six months?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And you knew that he would
9	be meeting with psychologists and other healthcare
10	professionals?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	And that you would be getting reports from
14	time to time?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No. I would be brought in
16	before the final assessment at the end of the six months
17	and go there myself personally.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough but were you not
19	advised, sir, that you would be getting some form of
20	interim reports or letters from time to time about his
21	progress?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't recall that. No.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall being advised
24	or knowing of the fact that a priest had the right to
25	refuse certain forms of treatment or tests if they were at

1	a place like Southdown? You couldn't order certain things
2	to be done; they had to agree.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: "They" meaning the
4	patient.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, the patient.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, I I wouldn't order
7	because I don't know what
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: the tests are. I mean,
10	I'm not a psychologist.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, were you aware that
12	any reports or letters coming back to you would have to be
13	vetted or approved by the patient before they were sent to
14	you?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, they were always
16	countersigned by the patient.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
18	I just want to jump ahead a bit if I can.
19	Several years later, sir, in early 1998, you actually write
20	a letter to Father MacDonald asking him to retire
21	officially from active ministry and $I^{\prime}d$ just like to show
22	you that letter if I may. It's Document Number 104390.
23	It's a letter dated, sorry, January 29 th , 1998 from Eugène
24	Larocque, Bishop of Alexandria-Cornwall, to Father Charles
25	MacDonald.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit Number 2102.
2	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-2102:
3	(104390) Letter from Eugène LaRocque to
4	Charles MacDonald - 29 Jan 98
5	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
6	MR. ENGELMANN: It would appear from the
7	letter that this letter's written shortly after Father
8	MacDonald's 65 th birthday.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And in the letter, you are
11	asking him to retire officially from active ministry.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Correct?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: This is not a request to be
16	excardinated, but it's a request to be removed from active
17	ministry
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: within the Diocese.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Going on the retired
21	priests' lists.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, and do you know why it
23	was that you were asking him to retire officially from
24	active ministry at that time?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I can't except that at

1	that time at least, 65 was kind of the year for retirement
2	and and if I the second paragraph jogs my memory a
3	little bit, as you readjust to a new form of income, it
4	seems to me that had something to do with it too. There's
5	a the an officially retired priest goes on the
6	pension plan.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	But, sir, you had priests in active ministry
9	beyond their 65 th birthday.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Oh, for sure they're still,
11	yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: So Father MacDonald could
13	have continued in active ministry?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And he wasn't in active
15	ministry at the time; he never was
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: after he returned from
18	Southdown.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: He could resume that.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He was he was being paid
21	the salary of a priest who was, and so I think that this
22	was one way of getting his salary assumed by the pension
23	plan rather than by the Diocese.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: I wanted to ask you that.
25	Yes, because that's how I took the letter that since his

1	suspension from active ministry in the fall of 1993, he
2	continued to be paid as a full-time priest.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And that would have
5	continued up until the time of his official retirement
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right. That's
7	right.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: when he would then go on
9	a pension plan
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: as any other member of
12	your Diocese.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And you say in the second
15	paragraph:
16	"Since it will be impossible, no matter
17	the outcome of the criminal charges
18	against you, to reassign you to active
19	ministry in this Diocese or in any
20	other, I would ask you to retire
21	officially from active ministry."
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: "A letter from you to this
24	effect would be greatly appreciated."
25	

1	that "Since it will be impossible, no matter the
2	outcome" Why did you say that, sir, at that time?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall exactly, but
4	with the I would suspect it's because I, knowing that he
5	had a homosexual tendency, there's no way I can trust him
6	back in active ministry.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, is it simply a
8	homosexual tendency?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, active it's more
10	than a tendency really.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: From what I've been told
13	and what my knowledge was at that time.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And at this time, sir, you'd
15	be aware of a number of other individuals who would have
16	come forward and there would have been other charges by
17	1998 aside from the three you knew about?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There were I don't know
19	if there were any other charges, but I knew of a few others
20	who really didn't want to press charges.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	And these were individuals that you had
23	spoken to, but they didn't want to go to the authorities?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall if I spoke
25	to them or if it was brought to my attention; one or the

1	other.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But these were all older
4	people.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, they were historical
6	complaints, sir?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, hang on. I guess I've
9	got a few minutes.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, you've got until
11	quarter to, I believe.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
13	Now, in the letter, you also say, "As you
14	readjust to a new form of income" and this is going
15	from an active minister's salary to that of a pensioner?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: "I assure you that we
18	shall continue to support you in
19	prayer, in friendship and financially
20	with your court case."
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And I just want to ask you
23	about that. With respect to prayer, I certainly understand
24	that and friendship, he's been with the Diocese for many
25	years at this point.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: He's been incardinated since
3	1969, I believe, so this is almost 30 years later. I want
4	to ask you about, "financially with your court case."
5	Is this referring to his criminal court case or civil court
6	case or both, to your knowledge?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I really can't remember to
8	tell you the truth.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	But you were aware, sir, presumably, that
11	the Diocese was continuing to fund counsel for him in
12	accordance with the protocol from 1996?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And did the Diocese continue
15	to support Father MacDonald financially with Court cases
16	until such time as you retired as Bishop in 2002?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: My knowledge is that there
18	was only the one court case in my time and that's the one
19	that was dismissed because of undue time. That's the only
20	court case that I can remember.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: The criminal case?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In my time, yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
24	And that was in accordance with your 1996
25	protocol that we looked at earlier?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right. I think so,
2	yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, did you have any
4	discussions with Bishop Durocher about this ongoing
5	commitment to Father MacDonald when you had a transition or
6	turnover with him?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, no, it was all there in
8	the files and as far as I I don't remember any
9	discussion, no.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: So you don't remember any
11	discussion about the need to continue with the ongoing
12	funding etcetera in accordance with protocols?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall, no.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	Sir, just if I could refer you to one other
16	note concerning this. This is Document Number 119925 and
17	it appears to be a note from Father MacDonald to Bishop
18	Larocque dated April 30 th , '96 so this is a couple of years
19	before the official retirement request. And just while the
20	document's coming, did you, in fact, get a letter from
21	Father MacDonald agreeing to officially retire shortly
22	after you wrote to him in 1990?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I I really can't
24	remember. I'm sorry.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Fair enough.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. That will be
2	Exhibit Number 2103.
3	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-2103:
4	(119925) Note from Charles MacDonald to
5	Eugène LaRocque - 30 Apr 96
6	MR. ENGELMANN: It's just a brief note, sir,
7	to you. It says:
8	"I received your note a few weeks ago
9	along with your generous cheque. It is
10	deeply appreciated. I thank you. I
11	will repay you when I can or when I win
12	Lotto 6/49, whichever comes first. I
13	do consider it a loan. Again, thank
14	you so much."
15	This is do you recall receiving this
16	note, sir?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I don't.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Well, do you
19	recall providing Father MacDonald with additional financial
20	assistance from time to time? This refers to a generous
21	cheque.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I really can't recall.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, fair enough.
24	But at this point in time, 1996, he's still
25	incardinated in your Diocese?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He still is at the present
2	time.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Still is at the present
4	time, yes. Did he have faculties in the Diocese at that
5	time in April of '96?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I don't believe so.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, and he certainly
8	was not in active ministry then?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, that's right.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Sir, did you
11	ever consider at any time after you became aware of some of
12	the issues involved here, some of the allegations, some of
13	the statements made by various people concerning Father
14	MacDonald; did you ever consider initiating any kind of
15	canonical proceeding against him?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: To laicise him, you mean?
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I never did.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: So you never even considered
20	it?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to my recollection, no.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, let's go back briefly
23	then to your involvement with the CAS, and I want to take
24	you again these are some notes. It's Exhibit 2087.
25	Document number is 711975, counsel.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, so this is a note
2	from Mr. Abell?
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
4	Just to be clear on the date, Monsignor, I
5	believe the date is the $3^{\rm rd}$ of December 1993 and it says:
6	"Phone call to Bishop Larocque. Just
7	back from Rome. Has a cold."
8	And I think we went here earlier because we
9	were looking at the protocol issue.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay? There's a comment
12	there, it says:
13	"Father Charlie has okayed the release
14	of the Southdown Report. Said I could
15	get it from Malcolm MacDonald."
16	Do you see that?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: So again you're confirming
19	that he is the patient that needs to approve and then also
20	consent to the release of such a report.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right, yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, and just as we go
23	a little further down the notes towards the bottom of the
24	page there's a hyphen. It says, "Wanted to know how long
25	we would be investigating," and then in brackets "(You told

1	me a month). I said the end was in sight but no date," and
2	there's a quote, "By Christmas?" "I said I didn't have a
3	date, said" and I think it's Rob MacDonald?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: "Father Bob MacDonald
5	was"
6	MR. ENGELMANN: "having to deal with
7	upset parishioners."
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah. He was the one who
9	was assigned as pastor after Father Charlie.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So it appears,
11	at least in early December of 1993, you're having some
12	concerns about how long the CAS is taking to investigate.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that fair?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And the concern is because
17	some of the parishioners at this particular parish are
18	upset. Is that right?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, they're expressing
20	their they're expressing the fact that they are upset to
21	the new pastors there.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. There also seems
23	to be a concern near the top. It says:
24	"He's heard we are investigating in
25	Williamstown and Apple Hill."

1	And then there's a brackets quote:
2	("You told me only St. Andrew's.") "I told him we aren't."
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: In other words we aren't
5	investigating
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: In those two parishes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Williamstown and Apple
8	Hill. It's just St. Andrew's.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right. It's an example of
10	how rumours get spread around.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I say it's an example of
13	how rumours get spread when something starts of this
14	nature.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough. But earlier I
16	believe we noted that you had essentially told Mr. Leduc to
17	tell the CAS, well, they could take as long as necessary to
18	complete their investigation, and this was I think in late
19	October, and now we're just over a month later and you seem
20	to be very concerned about the time, and I'm wondering why
21	it is you
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: More than a month there;
23	two months almost.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, late October, and this
25	is December $3^{\rm rd}$, so it would be about five weeks later.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: You seem to have changed
3	your position in the sense that you're now concerned about
4	how long it's taking.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, I'm getting flak from
6	the present pastor who was there and wants the thing to
7	finish.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Could we take a break,
9	Mr. Engelmann?
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: We'll just take a very
12	short break, 10 minutes please.
13	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
14	veuillez vous lever.
15	The hearing will resume at 11:55 a.m.
16	Upon recessing at 11:46 a.m./
17	L'audience est suspendue à 11h46
18	Upon resuming at 12:01 p.m./
19	L'audience est reprise à 12h01
20	THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now resumed.
21	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
22	MONSIGNOR EUGENE LAROCQUE, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
23	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/EXAMINATION EN CHEF PAR MR.
24	ENGELMANN (cont'd/suite):
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I just wanted to have a

1	brief look at a document, again it's one of Mr. Abell's
2	notes referencing a call with Bishop Larocque. It's
3	Document 711943.
4	I apologise; I believe this is already an
5	exhibit. I believe it's Exhibit 2088.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, just by way of
8	explanation, this is a note of a phone call. We believe
9	the date, although it's not that clear, is March the $1^{\rm st}$
10	1994. "Explained I," and this being Richard Abell, I
11	believe:
12	"would need Father Charles' consent
13	to give him our position on the
14	allegations. Said he"
15	And this would be you, sir:
16	"needed (as employer) to know our
17	position. Agreed. Said he'd call
18	Father tomorrow p.m. Bishop ready to
19	meet re protocol."
20	So there appears to be some ongoing
21	discussion. I am assuming at this point he's still at
22	Southdown, correct, in March of '94?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If that's the date, yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, yes, if that's the
25	date. Do you have any recollection of this at all, sir?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not at all, no.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, fair enough.
3	Was it important for you at some point, sir,
4	to get the results of the CAS investigation?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Sure, absolutely.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And would those results
7	affect possibly affect your decision about whether to
8	reassign Father MacDonald?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That along with
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Southdown?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Southdown.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough. Did you
13	eventually receive the CAS position on the allegations
14	against Father MacDonald?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't recall.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: If you could take a look at
17	Exhibit 2090. I don't know if that's in front of you, sir.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, it is.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Counsel, it is Document
20	Number 119888. This is a letter dated January 6^{th} 1995. It
21	says it's received on January 10 th 1994 but I assume that
22	the date stamp hadn't been changed perhaps. Do you see
23	that?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Received in my office on
25	the 10 th of January, yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall, sir,
2	receiving this letter from Mr. Abell?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I don't.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	In the second paragraph Mr. Abell writes:
6	"Based on our investigation of the
7	allegations we have reached the
8	position that there are reasonable and
9	probable grounds to believe that the
10	abuse of a child did occur."
11	Do you see that?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And it says:
14	"Our view is supported by the results
15	of our inquiries into this specific
16	allegation as well as statements of
17	other individuals who claim
18	victimization by Father MacDonald."
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall, sir, what
21	your reaction was at the time of the receipt of this
22	information?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I really can't recall that.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's just, I mean, that's

1	12 years ago, 14 years ago. I'm sorry but
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	Well, sir, in the next paragraph Mr. Abell
4	writes:
5	"Given his position and in the absence
6	of a full sexual behaviours assessment
7	of Father MacDonald, it is our view
8	that he may present a risk to children
9	and young adults under his care and
10	control. We are therefore concerned
11	that any further assignment of Father
12	MacDonald and the Diocese be done with
13	this information in mind."
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He was never reassigned.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah, but what he is
17	asking you to do though is regardless of the letter and the
18	date is to go back in your mind and see if you can recall
19	some point when the information of the Children's Aid
20	Society registered with you that they make this
21	determination and how you would have reacted to that.
22	Do you have any memory at all about that?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't have any memory but
24	with the present my present reaction would be that I
25	would be I would have said that I was wrong in the first

1	place in thinking that he would not be capable of doing
2	such a thing. It would have changed my mind I would
3	imagine, because my mind, as I have expressed it, is that I
4	was under the impression it was just with teenagers and
5	adults.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Now, here they're telling
8	me there is a change here with children.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Which is quite different.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, they're talking about
12	in this particular comment well, yeah, abuse of a child
13	did occur and then they're saying:
14	"Present a risk to children and young
15	adults under his care and control."
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right, yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And I would have thought,
18	sir, that a letter like this would not be you would not
19	receive letters like this frequently.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, that's certainly.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And therefore, receiving a
22	letter like this would register with you.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: After 14 years many other
24	things have happened to register with me, I'm sorry.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	So you can't recall now what your reaction
2	was to this at the time?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I can't recall. I
4	can't even recall getting the letter, to tell you the
5	truth.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	Well, you're not suggesting you didn't,
8	though?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, no, not at all.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	And sir, you didn't call for his official
12	retirement for another three years. Correct?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And you've told us you
15	didn't consider
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But he was not assigned and
17	he was not in contact with children.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: No, I understand that.
19	There was no active ministry but he was still incardinated
20	and you took no steps to do anything about that at any time
21	with respect to canonical proceedings?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: You think that I should
23	have gotten canonical proceedings to take him out of the
24	priesthood?
25	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm asking you and just

1	having you confirm
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It didn't enter my mind at
3	that time. It probably would with the knowledge that I
4	have now and the procedures that are taking place at this
5	present time.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: But it's not something you
7	thought of then?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not 14 years ago, no.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Nor at any time until you
10	retired as Bishop?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right. But I did
12	make sure that he was not in contact; that he was retired
13	and not in contact officially with anybody.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	And sir, just to be clear, he studied for
16	the year 1994-1995, I think you told us this, and then from
17	1995 to 1998 he was essentially suspended with pay?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, if we could just turn
20	briefly to the treatment at Southdown I think you've
21	acknowledged, sir, that he attended Southdown for a week in
22	October and that you received some form of assessment and
23	then that he returned to Southdown in November for
24	approximately six months.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, I just want to,
2	again with respect to your knowledge of Southdown, refer
3	you to a letter that I believe you would have received way
4	back in 1985. And this is Document Number 118843.
5	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 2104 is a letter
7	to Monsignor Eugene Larocque dated October 15 th , 1985 and
8	it's from Southdown oh, Reverend Canice Connors.
9	EXHIBIT NO./PIĒCE NO. P-2104:
10	(118843) Letter from Canice Connors to
11	Eugene LaRocque - 15 Aug 85
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, if you just have a
13	minute to look at this, this letter was sent to you over 20
14	years ago but I'd just like to touch upon a couple of
15	points if I can.
16	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	So at least at that point in time Reverend
20	Canice Connors was the Director of Southdown?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And he is writing to you.
23	It appears the letter was received August 16 th ?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And is he just writing to

1	you, sir, or do you know if he is writing to bishops
2	generally?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, that's it's a
4	circular letter to all the Bishops of Ontario.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	And there is a handwritten note, sir, and I
7	can't read it. Does it say, "I cannot attend"?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: "I cannot attend.
9	Replied September the 18 th , 1985.
10	E.L.R."
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	And he's saying in the first paragraph that
13	Southdown has been asked to treat an increasing number of
14	priests and brothers accused of sexually molesting
15	children. Correct?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And this is already in 1985
18	and he says:
19	"Recent articles in the National
20	Catholic Reporter and Time"
21	Presumably Time magazine:
22	"indicate the intensity of public
23	concern with this difficult problem."
24	He says:
25	"As part of our effort to be of

1	assistance in this matter, Southdown is
2	sponsoring a closed conference on
3	assessment and treatment of sexual
4	offenders against children."
5	And he goes on and talks about who is
6	going to be there, a recognized expert in the area of
7	pedophilia. He says:
8	"Members of the Southdown staff will
9	also be present to add our particular
10	experience of relating to clergy and
11	religious with this problem."
12	And he talks about sponsoring a closed
13	conference. You didn't go, sir, but did you send someone
14	from the Diocese?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They were not invited.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, it was just bishops?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, it was no, hang
19	on.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Major's superiors.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Men and women, but to my
23	knowledge it was the bishops themselves that were to be
24	there.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: And it was limited to:

1	"Bishops of the south and central
2	Ontario region, Major's superiors of
3	men and rectors of seminaries or
4	persons designated by either as having
5	direct involvement in these cases."
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah, I could have sent
7	somebody else.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, it talks about a closed
9	conference and that there'll be no publicity. Do you know
10	why this was happening and why it was happening in that
11	fashion?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have no idea.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: You didn't discuss this with
14	fellow bishops at the time?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, was that made an
17	exhibit?
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, 2104, if I didn't
19	mention it.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	Now, by this point in time, August of 1985,
22	you had been bishop since 1974. We knew a Father Stone who
23	had gone to Southdown for treatment. Had you already sent
24	any priests to Southdown at this point in time, 1985?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I may have but I can't

1	recall.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Maybe I should qualify
4	that. I may have some other difficulties but I'm quite
5	sure that it was not for sexual matters.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. Fathers Lussier and
7	Major and Donald were all after 1985.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, did you, sir, ever
10	attend a session at Southdown, a general session to obtain
11	some knowledge about their experience relating to clergy
12	and religious who might commit abuse against young persons?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, this was the only one
14	that I know of and I couldn't go to that one.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry, you couldn't go -
16	
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: This is the only one that
18	was sponsored by them that I know of, and I couldn't go.
19	So I don't get the gist of your question.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, I'm just wondering,
21	sir, as someone who might have been interested in the
22	subject matter as a bishop, whether you might have ever
23	gone there, just to ask them about what they do and what
24	their experience has been.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, the only times I went

1	to Southdown was in order to be able to sit in with the
2	final report on the people that I had sent there.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	With particular priests?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But you are talking to the
8	psychologist who was in charge of that particular priest
9	and the head of Southdown sits in on that conference as
10	well.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. No, I know
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: So there is a certain
13	education that takes place at that particular time but
14	there was no specific time where I went for a conference or
15	a lecture or whatever.
16	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, sir, if I could have
18	the witness look at Document Number 110917?
19	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And this is a report from
21	Southdown, Michael John Sy, PhD Assessment Program to
22	Monseigneur Eugene P. Larocque, October 22 nd , 1993.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
24	Exhibit 2105.
25	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. C-2105:

1	(110917) Letter from Michael John Sy to
2	Eugēne LaRocque - 22 Oct, 93
3	MR. ENGELMANN: I'll just be one moment.
4	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Perhaps I could just have a
6	moment to speak to Mr. Neville?
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
8	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
9	MR. ENGELMANN: This is, sir, in the
10	assessment there are a number of issues dealing with rather
11	personal intimate medical matters.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And for that reason this
14	should perhaps be marked with a "C" for Confidentiality.
15	I just spoke briefly with Mr. Neville, who
16	is counsel for Father MacDonald and he would ask for that
17	treatment of the exhibit. It goes through some testing
18	that was done and it goes through some diagnosis.
19	So I think for that reason it should be
20	marked as a confidential exhibit, at least a part of it in
21	any event that I don't know if we can separate though.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: So all right. Let's
23	MR. ENGELMANN: I don't know if any counsel
24	objects.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: You might want to canvass

1	that.
2	Does anyone have any comments with respect
3	to whether or not this would be a "C" exhibit or not?
4	Yes, sir, Mr. Talach?
5	MR. TALACH: Clearly from the Victim's Group
6	perspective we're concerned with the facts that may be
7	within that report that the Bishop would have used in
8	assessing his institutional response. So I don't know
9	where my friend is going to go yet with pages of this
10	report.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
12	MR. TALACH: But I think the way we'll
13	respond is on the cross if there's an issue we may ask for
14	a particular page or section to actually be public; if
15	that's acceptable to you, Mr. Commissioner.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: So you're reserving your
17	rights till the cross. That's okay, at this point.
18	MR. TALACH: Yes.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Anybody else have any
20	comments? No?
21	Let me just take a moment here.
22	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: May I ask a question?
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Since this report was

1	supposed to be destroyed after a period of one year
2	THE COMMISSIONER: No, that doesn't that
3	doesn't
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It doesn't no.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Just give me a moment
6	here.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: I have just a couple
8	questions and certainly wasn't going to ask a question
9	about any kind of intimate medical issue.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
11	So for the I will mark it as a "C"
12	exhibit at this point and we'll see during cross-
13	examination if any issues appear.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: What was the number, sir, I
15	apologize?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Two zero one five (2015).
17	No, 2105, sorry. Sorry, 2105.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	I just want to understand the context then,
20	Monsignor LaRocque.
21	This is a report you get from Southdown
22	after Father MacDonald is there for one week. Am I
23	correct?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, it's the assessment
25	program.

1	` MR. ENGELMANN: Right. He's there from the
2	9^{th} to the 16^{th} of October and then as a result of their
3	assessment of him they are recommending that he follow-up
4	with treatment.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what it says, yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And in fact if we if we
7	look at the summary and recommendations on the second-last
8	page of the report it's Bates page 160.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: One six zero (160), yeah.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Without going into great
11	detail it talks about the fact that they recommend his
12	participation in the residential program at Southdown.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm, that's right.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough? And they talk
15	about some of what they hope that will achieve?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And on the very last page
18	they acknowledge that he's agreed to participate in that
19	program.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Correct.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, there's a number of
22	letters back and forth from Southdown. I just want to
23	touch upon a couple, if I may.
24	There is Exhibit sorry Document Number
25	715898. This is a letter dated December $1^{\rm st}$, 1993, from

1	Donna Markham who is the Executive Director to yourself.
2	If that could be the next exhibit, sir, and
3	there's no need for confidentiality.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
5	Exhibit 2106 is a letter from Southdown's
6	oh you've already indicated, Donna Markham, dated December
7	1 st , 1993.
8	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-2106:
9	(715898) Letter from Donna Markham to Eug ene
10	LaRocque - 01 Dec, 93
11	MR. ENGELMANN: So sir, I'm not sure if you
12	have any recollection of receiving this letter but do you
13	at least have some recollection of some correspondence
14	between your office and Southdown?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, that's
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And the protocol that
17	appears to have been discussed was that to prevent any
18	breach of confidentiality all correspondence will be shared
19	with Charles for his specific comment and consent prior to
20	mailing.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: So anything you're getting
23	he's going to have vetted beforehand?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And sorry?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Even her letter, you see
2	how he
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: his name is with his
5	initials under it.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Right, so he's again agreed
7	to that going to you.
8	And it says:
9	"Southdown's commitment is to keep you
10	informed at all times of Charles'
11	progress."
12	Correct?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And so from time to time you
15	would get letters setting out what was happening with him
16	in his treatment program?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, one of those
19	letters is Document Number 119861. I'd like you to take a
20	look at that.
21	That's a letter dated December 20 th , 1993,
22	from William Carroll, who is a primary therapist, to
23	yourself. I'm not sure if there's a concern about this
24	letter; it doesn't have the testing and other issues, so I
25	wasn't seeking to make it a confidential nature. I don't

1	know if any counsel want to speak to that.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
3	Exhibit 2107.
4	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-2107:
5	(119861) Letter from Donna Markham to Eugene
6	LaRocque - 20 Dec 93
7	MR. ENGELMANN: What I wanted to ask you
8	about, sir, is the third paragraph. It says:
9	"Charles did speak about a number of
10	gay sexual relationships, the last of
11	which occurred eight years ago.
12	Charles does not appear to be as
13	confident in accepting his homosexual
14	orientation, as this should be an area
15	for in-depth therapy."
16	And, sir, I just wanted to ask you about
17	that. In the notes Rick Abell has, he's reported you
18	saying that Father MacDonald says there hasn't been
19	anything for four years, you had told us earlier that you
20	thought he might have not acknowledged anything even before
21	or after 1974. Here there's a reference to eight years.
22	Were you at all concerned, sir, that he might be giving
23	different versions to different people about these
24	relationships?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: As you put it, I would be

1	now but at the time I don't recall.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Okay, fair
3	enough.
4	I'll just be a moment.
5	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Almost lunchtime in any
7	event, Mr. Engelmann.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. I just if I
9	can just finish with this?
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: He says:
12	"I attach to this letter a copy of the
13	protocol for the treatment for those
14	who have been implicated in any sexual
15	abuse issue. This protocol is a
16	guideline for treatment here at
17	Southdown. Although Charles denies the
18	charge against him he does admit that
19	there has been homosexual activities
20	with parishioners."
21	Again, I think we've talked about this; that
22	well at least today and I think you've also said then,
23	that you would have had concerns about the relationship of
24	trust between a priest and a parishioner, and whether or
25	not there could be a consensual sexual relationship.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Absolutely.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And that was true even then;
3	correct?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, do you recall
6	there's a reference to a protocol that is not attached
7	here. Do you recall anything about this protocol, sir?
8	All right, perhaps we can leave it there,
9	Mr. Commissioner.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Let's take
11	the lunch break; come back at 2 o'clock.
12	THE REGISTRAR: Order. All rise. À
13	l'ordre. Veuillez vous lever.
14	The hearing will resume at 2 p.m.
15	Upon recessing at 12:29 p.m./
16	L'audience est suspendue à 10h31
17	Upon resuming at 2 p.m./
18	L'audience est reprise à 14h00
19	THE REGISTRAR: Veuillez vous lever.
20	The hearing is now resumed; please be seated.
21	Veuillez vous asseoir.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Good afternoon all,
23	Monsignor.
24	MONSIGNOR EUGÈNE LAROCQUE, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
25	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/EXAMINATION EN-CHEF PAR MR.

1	ENGELMANN (Cont'd/Suite):
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Are we good to go? Yes,
3	all right, go ahead Mr. Engelmann.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Good afternoon, Mr.
5	Commissioner.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, sir.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Good afternoon, Monsignor.
8	When we left off this morning we were
9	discussing some of the correspondence between yourself and
10	officials at the Southdown Treatment Centre in, I believe,
11	late 1993. I just wanted to go to a couple of other
12	letters between you and people from Southdown.
13	And the next one is Document Number 119868
14	and it's a handwritten letter that, sir, you would have
15	written; the date is January 19 th , 1994, and you're writing
16	to Sister Donna Markham.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Merci.
18	Exhibit 2108.
19	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-2108:
20	(119868) Letter from Eugene LaRocque to
21	Donna Markham - 19 Jan 94
22	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Had a chance to look at
24	that, sir?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I have.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So you're
2	writing to Sister Donna and you want you refer to a
3	couple of new incidents that I understand that you want
4	to bring to her attention.
5	The first is you enclose a copy of a letter
6	that you received, you say, on the same date as your letter
7	and you say:
8	"I have the author's permission to
9	share this with you, less his name, so
10	that it may help Father Charles to"
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: "Face up."
12	MR. ENGELMANN: "face up to this part of
13	his life."
14	And sir, I'm just I'm not sure if I have
15	the letter you would have enclosed so I want to just see if
16	it's in fact one and the same. I have a letter, it's
17	Exhibit 416. I'm wondering if that could be shown to the
18	witness?
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 416?
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: The reason I'm not sure,
23	Monsignor, is you'll see from the letter, this letter is
24	dated January 11 th and you're referring you say:
25	"I have read your letter of December

1	$20^{\rm th}$, received in the last few days."
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: "You will find enclosed the
4	copy of a letter received on the same
5	day as your letter."
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: So I'm thinking this is the
8	letter that you're enclosing to her and it's from an
9	individual, sir, who has a moniker here at these
10	proceedings, and this individual is known as C-3. And I'm
11	wondering if that name could be written for the witness.
12	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I got it.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: I don't need you to read
15	Exhibit 416 in detail now; we'll come back to it. All I
16	need to know, sir, is if in fact that is the letter you
17	would have enclosed when you're writing to Sister Donna.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I suspect it was. Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. That's what I thought
20	and I was a big confused about the dates but you say to
21	her:
22	"You will find enclosed the copy of a
23	letter received on the same day as your
24	letter."
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: So Exhibit 416
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The notes on the next page
3	indicate that.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah, it says "Phoned on
5	January 18 th and asked permission to show this to
6	Southdown," so there it is.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough. Fair enough.
8	All right, so you would have sent Exhibit
9	416, the letter that you would have received from C-3, to
10	Donna Markham, in your words:
11	"So that it may help Father Charles to
12	face up to this part of his life."
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: The other thing you did,
15	sir, as I look at Exhibit 2018, is you say:
16	"I have also spoken to an adult who
17	admits that Father Charlie made
18	advances to him while on holidays,
19	which he rejected. When he faced
20	Father Charlie with the morality of
21	this at a later date, he was upset that
22	Father tried to justify his homosexual
23	lifestyle rather than admit his
24	difficulty and it's being counter to
25	the teaching of God."

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Of Christ.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: This young man no longer
3	goes to church.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: We've talked about this
5	young man before.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: M'hm. I just wanted to ask
7	you if that was the same person
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It is, yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: that we had talked
10	about. And so he's the person and this allegedly
11	happened in 1991 or perhaps early '92, and he's the man
12	that you ended up speaking to individually?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	Now, in the next paragraph of your letter
16	you say, "I show this" or sorry:
17	"I share this with you"
18	This is Donna Markham:
19	"in the hope that it may help
20	Father's treatment and lead him to seek
21	pardon from those he has scandalized
22	and offended. Only then can we
23	contemplate the healing that true
24	reconciliation can bring."
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: So you write to her and you
4	provide her with information about two other individuals,
5	one who makes allegations about abuse while an altar boy
6	and then shortly thereafter, and a second who tells you
7	about an advance when he's a man.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: But both suggest to you that
10	they've had unwanted sexual advances from Father Charles?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm wondering, sir, what if
13	any response you got from Sister Donna Markham about this
14	letter and these allegations, because I don't see anything
15	that relates to that in the correspondence.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I really didn't expect
17	Donna I was sharing with her in the hope that it would
18	be towards the treatment that Father Charles was getting.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, so you were
20	hoping that they would bring these up in the treatment
21	sessions they were doing with him?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's why I sent them to
23	her.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, did you follow up
25	once Father MacDonald was released from Southdown did you

1	follow up with him or have someone like Monsignor McDougald
2	follow up with him about these allegations?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall, sorry.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I know I phoned C-3 and
6	talked to him.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But I don't know when that
9	was. I suppose it would have been after receiving his
10	letter some time or other, but I can remember the one phone
11	call.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah, we'll come back to
13	that.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: The date is on the letter
15	in the back.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: But I'm wondering
17	particularly about investigating those allegations against
18	Father MacDonald, either yourself or through your
19	designate, Monsignor McDougald.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That I can't recall.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Then if the witness could be
22	shown Document Number 119413.
23	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit number 2109 is a
25	letter to Monseigneur Eugene Larocque dated March 31st 1994

l	from Southdown from Ruth is it Droege?
2	D-R-O-E-G-E for the record.
3	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2109:
4	(119413) Letter to Msgr. Larocque dated 31
5	March '94
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Again, the letter doesn't
7	get into a diagnosis or specific about medical conditions,
8	so I'm assuming there's no need to mark it as confidential,
9	and I just have a couple questions if I may.
10	The letter, sir, of March 21 st 1994 does not
11	address the issues that you had just raised in your letter
12	in January with respect to C-3 and the other individual,
13	correct?
14	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not specifically, no.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. I mean, what it
17	relates is in the second paragraph, presumably what Father
18	MacDonald is telling the therapist about some of his sexual
19	experiences.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Again, I won't get into
22	whether that's the same or different than what we've heard
23	before, other than I just want you to flip to the second
24	page if you might. At this point in time, and this is in
25	March of '94 and, again, this is signed by Father MacDonald

140

1	so
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There is an important
3	the last that second paragraph, before we leave it, I
4	think that that should be
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry, on the first page?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE:
9	"His activity, homosexual, ceased about
10	eight years ago. He has not been
11	involved with minors and there is no
12	diagnosis of a paraphilia such as
13	paedophilia or ephobophilia."
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. And this is based on
15	what he's telling them?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I suppose so.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Right, because you know
18	later on that
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They didn't give him the
20	test.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: they didn't test certain
22	things.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And you know from what he
25	told you that this isn't exactly how he related things to

1	you either.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Right, there's no reference
4	here to these sexual encounters being with parishioners,
5	for example, in this report. Correct?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No. Some could be with
7	parishioners but some could be with others as well.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: What I'm saying is that's
9	not acknowledged here, nor is it acknowledged that some of
10	them were teenagers.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, but well, from no,
12	that's right, because 18 would be considered adult.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And it says "eight years
14	ago", not four years ago or much longer.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: So what I'm saying, sir, is
17	that this isn't exactly what you were told back when you
18	spoke to him on October 7 th 1993.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly, yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, just to go to the
21	second page where I wanted to go, it says at the top of the
22	page, sir:
23	"Sometime during the upcoming month of
24	April it would be helpful for Charles
25	and myself to discuss with you the

1	prospects for his return to ministry in
2	the Diocese."
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you see that?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And so it appears clear that
7	his therapist, again based on what she's hearing from him
8	and her work with him, she wants to talk to you about
9	returning Father MacDonald to some form of active ministry.
10	Correct?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And, sir, I'm just wondering
13	do you recall receiving this letter and receiving this
14	advice that they wanted to speak to you about reintegrating
15	Father MacDonald back into active ministry?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's all right there. I
17	got the letter.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah, I know, but do you
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Do I recall it? No, I
20	don't.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's too far gone, too far
23	away.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	I'm just wondering if you might recall any

1	reaction to that at the time.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall the reaction
3	but it's
4	MR. ENGELMANN: We know it didn't happen?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, fair enough.
7	Sir, if we could look at one more letter
8	then, and I think it's the final report you received from
9	Southdown and
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The tab?
11	THE COMMISSIONER: No, it's going to be a
12	new letter, I think.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: This is Document Number
14	715900. There is a reference in this letter to a medical
15	diagnosis of Father MacDonald, and I'm I don't think
16	that needs to be public.
17	Sir, I have some questions about the letter
18	that I think I can do without going into that. I don't
19	know if counsel has any concerns about the letter being
20	confidential or not.
21	MR. TALACH: Just the same reservation as
22	before.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: I was actually looking
25	beyond Mr. Talach to Mr. Neville.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Talach, your comments
2	are noted for your purposes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	So perhaps the letter should be marked with
5	a "C" sir because
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Any objections to that
7	being done at this time?
8	No, okay. It'll be a "C".
9	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. C-2110:
10	(715900) Letter from Donna Markham to Eugène
11	LaRocque re Final Letter - 25 May, 94
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, was this 2110?
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah, 2110.
14	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
15	MR. ENGELMANN: I just have a few discrete
16	questions about the letter, sir. It appears to be a
17	summary of the course of treatment that he received at
18	Southdown.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And it appears, at least
21	from the end of the letter, that you would have attended a
22	final meeting.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I did, yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, who would have been
25	in attendance, yourself, Father MacDonald?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And this
2	MR. ENGELMANN: This individual.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Ruth
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Thompson.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Ruth Droege and Donna
6	Markham would have been there as well.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	Sir, at the very beginning of the letter it
9	refers to the fact in the first paragraph that it says:
10	"Charles was referred for assessment in
11	October 1993 because he was currently
12	under police investigation for alleged
13	sexual misconduct."
14	Do you see that?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Would that information have
17	been provided to Southdown by you, sir, or do you know?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Probably by me but I'm not
19	sure.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	Because when you met with Chief Shaver in
22	October of '93 did he not tell you that they weren't
23	actively investigating this matter anymore?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's why the word alleged
25	was not

1	MR. ENGELMANN: No, I'm not concerned about
2	whether the misconduct was admitted or alleged or not. It
3	says because he was currently under police investigation.
4	Do you not recall, or maybe you don't, Chief
5	Shaver essentially saying they weren't investigating
6	anymore because their hands had been tied, et cetera?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm all mixed up with the
8	dates. I'm sorry.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: It's all right.
10	At the bottom of the second page of the
11	letter it's Bates page 958 it says:
12	"He is looking to the Diocese to be
13	open to the possibility of his
14	continuing priestly ministry in some
15	form at an appropriate time. As
16	discussed in our meeting on May 24 th ,
17	1994 he realizes that a return to
18	active parish ministry is very
19	problematic."
20	Do you see that?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: So what was being suggested
23	to you in the previous letter by the therapist some
24	returned to active ministry and clearly had been vetted by
25	Father MacDonald. You must have said something at the

147

1	meeting on May 24 th that
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: There was
3	MR. ENGELMANN: ruled it out. Is that
4	fair?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so, yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	And do you recall what you would have said
8	about why it would have been problematic at that time for
9	him to return to any active ministry? Do you remember the
10	reasons you would have given?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't believe I would
12	have given reasons.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's just a statement that
15	I made. That's all.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	And again, sir, the specific issues you
18	raised in that letter of January '94 about the two
19	individual parishioners were not dealt with in this letter.
20	I'm just wondering if you can recall whether they would
21	have come up during the course of that final meeting.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to my recollection.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	And I want to show you another letter
25	between yourself and Sister Donna Markham and that's

1	Document Number 120184, and it's a handwritten letter you
2	would have written to her on September 13 th , 1994. And to
3	orient yourself, sir, this would have been a day after you
4	met with OPP officers to discuss matters involving Father
5	MacDonald.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what I stated in the
7	letter, yes.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 2111.
9	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-2111:
10	(120184) Letter from Eugène LaRocque to
11	Donna Markham - 13 Sep, 94
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I remember this. Yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	So in the letter, when you write to her, you
15	indicate, to start with, that you've just spent two and a
16	half hours in a police interrogation concerning Father
17	Charles MacDonald. I believe that had been the day before,
18	as I indicated, sir, September 12 th . You said:
19	"They had the reports from Southdown
20	which Father Charles had instructed his
21	lawyer to share with them."
22	Of course these are the reports that he's
23	vetted and they have his perspective on what took place.
24	"I share with you a question"
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: "To me".

1	MR. ENGELMANN: " to me that left me
2	dumbfounded."
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Quote:
5	"'Why didn't the battery of tests
6	includes the test for pedophilia?'
7	Since I'm not trained in these tests I
8	did not check to see what tests had
9	been administered. However, given the
10	circumstances I would have expected
11	that this test would certainly be
12	included. Would you enlighten me,
13	please? Sincerely yours."
14	Okay?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: So in your letter you
17	indicate the police had reports from Southdown and they had
18	indicated that to you the day before when you had met with
19	them. Is that fair?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And you ask about why the
22	test for pedophilia was not done.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was at their they're
24	the ones that asked the question.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	And that had been raised by the OPP when
2	they interviewed you?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so, yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's why I'm passing it
6	on to her.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
8	And you're saying that you didn't know
9	whether such tests had been conducted. And, sir, did you
10	have any realization when he was sent there in November
11	that such a test would be done?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't even know if I knew
13	that there was such a test at that time.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
15	And after the questioning from the OPP you
16	wanted to know why such a test had not been administered?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	And I think you told us that one of your
20	concerns was that Father MacDonald be assessed for
21	indications of pedophilia or things of that matter while at
22	Southdown?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's one of the reasons I
24	sent him there, yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.

1	And this issue obviously did not come up at
2	your meeting on May 24 th , your final meeting?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't believe so, no.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	And sir, were you upset or concerned that
6	such a test had not been administered?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's quite stated in my
8	letter.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I was dumbfounded.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't know how I could
13	put it more
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, in another letter -
15	- and this is 2090 is the exhibit number. I think you -
16	- I know you have many binders there.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Which one?
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Two thousand ninety (2090).
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, okay.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: This is a letter from Mr.
21	Abell to Children's Aid Society to yourself with a copy to
22	Malcolm MacDonald.
23	And we looked at this a bit earlier.
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what I thought, yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, but in the third

1	paragraph, they too refer to they say:
2	"Given this position and in the absence
3	of a full sexual-behaviours assessment
4	of Father MacDonald"
5	Et cetera. So they seem to be concerned
6	about whether certain tests were done or not as well.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, the therapist writes
9	back to you, right, not Ms. Markham? And I just want you
10	to have a look at a letter
11	MR. NEVILLE: Excuse me, Commissioner.
12	The last letter I believe is erroneously
13	dated. If you look at the received date stamp
14	THE COMMISSIONER: We covered that this
15	morning, sir.
16	MR. NEVILLE: Yes, well, except Mr.
17	Engelmann's question was the CAS to Monsignor was they
18	shared the concern that the tests weren't done.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
20	MR. NEVILLE: The final report wasn't out
21	when that
22	THE COMMISSIONER: No.
23	MR. NEVILLE: letter was sent.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: The final report
25	MR. NEVILLE: Was not out

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
2	MR. NEVILLE: from Southdown. It didn't
3	come until May of '94, sir.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: It didn't
5	MR. NEVILLE: Mr. Abell's letter is in
6	January of '94.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: '95.
8	MR. NEVILLE: No, it's wrongly dated. Look
9	at the date stamp; it's stamped "94.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Okay, just a
11	minute. His letter is the letter from the Children's
12	Aid Society
13	MR. NEVILLE: Right.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: is dated January 6,
15	1995.
16	MR. NEVILLE: There should be a receipt of
17	the letter
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
19	MR. NEVILLE: with a stamp on it, sir,
20	that says '94.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Right, but what we talked
22	about was that it would Mr. Engelmann indicated the date
23	stamp probably wasn't changed
24	MR. NEVILLE: Exactly.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: and it should read

1	' 95.
2	MR. NEVILLE: That's right.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: And the witness says,
4	yes.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly.
6	MR. NEVILLE: All right. Thank you.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: And we haven't had the CAS
9	evidence yet, but I think it will become clear that Project
10	Blue carried on through a good part of '94 as well.
11	I'm just not sure where I was. I'll just be
12	a moment. Yes, I was taking the witness to another
13	document.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, the last letter of
15	the response from the
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, Southdown
17	THE COMMISSIONER: to that inquiry about
18	the sexual
19	MR. ENGELMANN: It's Document Number 120186.
20	This is a letter from the therapist, a Ruth Droege, to
21	Bishop Larocque.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The answer to my question.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: It's dated September 21st,
24	1994, sir.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 2112 is the

1	letter to Monsignor Larocque dated September 21 st , 1994.
2	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-2112:
3	(120186) Letter from Ruth Droege to Eugène
4	LaRocque - 21 Sep, 94
5	MR. ENGELMANN: I just want to read a couple
6	of sections quickly if I can, sir. I don't know if you
7	have to look at the whole report. There is a protocol
8	attached as well.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And the second paragraph
11	well, first of all, in the first paragraph she says she's
12	responding to your letter to Donna Markham.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: And in the second paragraph
15	she says:
16	"I can appreciate your feelings of
17	frustration in feeling at a loss to
18	answer questions under the difficult
19	circumstances of a police
20	interrogation. Allow me to try to
21	explain to you why the assessment test
22	battery Southdown employs does not
23	include a specific test for pedophilia
24	when that is the presenting problem."
25	Okay? So there is some acknowledgement that

1	that was one of the issues raised when presented to be
2	looked at.
3	And if you look at the next paragraph, they
4	talk about the fact that the test is not conclusive.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: She says:
7	"However, even with cooperative
8	individuals, only 60 to 75 per cent of
9	men who prefer children to adults can
10	be identified."
11	And it goes on and says:
12	"Some researchers report a higher
13	success rate"
14	Et cetera, but she also says:
15	"Because"
16	And I may be mispronouncing this:
17	"phallometry does not provide a
18	conclusive diagnosis and because it is
19	extremely intrusive, Southdown has
20	elected not to use it."
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: So she's giving you an
23	explanation, I believe, sir, as to why this test was not
24	done.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not administered, yes.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	And sir, were you satisfied with that
3	explanation or is it something you thought should have been
4	done in any event?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember what my
6	reaction was.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	Do you recall having any further discussions
9	or correspondence with Southdown regarding this issue of
10	this test?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to my knowledge, no.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	Now, sir, there's a further letter from
14	yourself to Sister Donna Markham. It's dated March 17 th ,
15	1995 and the letter is Document Number 119893.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Monsieur Commissaire?
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Oui?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Could it be that the
19	question you asked me previously this morning, sexual abuse
20	protocol for Southdown residents is attached to this
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, I think you're right,
23	sir, yes.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, that's probably it,
25	sir.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, it wasn't attached to
2	that previous letter, but I think that is it. Yes
3	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: thank you.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
6	Next exhibit is 2113, a letter dated March
7	17 th , 1995 to Sister Donna Markham from Bishop Eugène
8	Larocque.
9	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-2113:
10	(119893) Letter From Eugène LaRocque to
11	Donna Markham - 17 Mar, 95
12	MR. ENGELMANN: So this appears to be about
13	a follow up, and apparently there is after-care workshops
14	for people who have had in-patient treatment.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, that's right, which
16	had been recommended if you recall.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, and it's talking about
18	this to take place in May of 1995.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And in the second paragraph,
21	you indicate:
22	"You also invite me or my delegate to
23	be present for this same workshop."
24	And you explain that neither of you are able
25	to attend because of other commitments. Is that

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: an accurate summary?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: May is the month for
4	confirmations.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Practically every night
7	there is one.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
9	And in the next paragraph, you say:
10	"I must also in all frankness tell you
11	that I'm not satisfied with your
12	dealings with Father Charles MacDonald.
13	The fact that you did not give him the
14	test for pedophilia, the fact that the
15	Children's Aid after their examination
16	feel that there is reasonable and
17	probable grounds to believe that the
18	abuse did occur, leaves me to say the
19	least perplexed. After the investment
20	of so much time and money, I would hope
21	that if Father Charles is blocking this
22	out of his memory, there should be some
23	way in which he could be helped to face
24	the truth."
25	It appears, sir, you're expressing some

1	frustration at this point.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Quite a bit, yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: What did you expect they
4	would do with those types of comments from you? What did
5	you expect by way of a response?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't know that I really
7	expected a response. It was just to let them know my
8	reaction. That's all.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, sir, do you recall
10	despite your initial unavailability whether or not you did
11	attend?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I did not. I couldn't.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And do you know
14	if anyone from your Diocese would have attended?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to my knowledge, no.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The only one who could have
18	would have been Monsignor McDougald and he had confirmation
19	in his own parish that very same week.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And that had been scheduled
22	for some time.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	Sir, I'd like to switch topics now and ask
25	you a few questions about media releases.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And in particular some media
3	releases from January of 1994.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: And I think we looked at one
6	of them before. And that's Exhibit 58, Tab 28. And that
7	would be in a Cerloxed book, sir, I think between your two
8	binders.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: No, it's Volume 2 he'll
10	need.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: He needs Volume 2.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, he needs Volume 2,
14	sorry.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: That's not the proper
16	volume.
17	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Fifty-two (52)?
19	THE COMMISSIONER: No, that's Exhibit vingt-
20	huit, tab 28.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Vingt-huit.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Oui, c'est ca.
23	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, this is a media release
25	we looked at briefly before with the Vaillancourt

1	guidelines or protocol attached.
2	Do you recall? We just dealt with it very
3	briefly.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: But it was for the purposes
6	of confirming that these were the guidelines that would
7	have been applied in the case of David Silmser.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Right? And we went through
10	a number of questions about this. I asked you a number of
11	questions about various aspects of the protocol and you
12	acknowledged that several things were not actually
13	followed.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: We were beginning to use it
15	at the time.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. But the statement
18	now in hindsight, the statement that in the first
19	paragraph that the Diocese has acted:
20	"Let it be known that the Diocese has
21	acted in accordance with the guidelines
22	accepted and promulgated for the
23	immediate and serious attention
24	demanded by such a complaint."
25	I think you've acknowledged, sir, in

25

1	hindsight that some of these guidelines weren't followed.
2	We went through that.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And there was a question
5	about maybe whose responsibility that was but there were a
6	number of things that weren't done in accordance with the
7	guidelines.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, okay.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	I don't want to go back there. But would it
11	be fair to say if you're making a statement or issuing a
12	statement at the time; that they were followed, that you
13	were either misinformed or uninformed about some of what
14	had taken place with respect to the protocol and the
15	guidelines.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'd say that they were
17	being followed to the fact that our delegate was and we
18	had a committee that had acted so it was they were being
19	followed to some extent but it was not being monitored as
20	you suggest that it should have been.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Well, we went through
22	a number of the steps that weren't followed so would it be
23	fair to say that I mean, you would have approved this
24	press release.

Would it be fair to say that you were then

1	misinformed or uninformed about some of the steps that were
2	not taken?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: At the time I suppose, yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, let's
6	MR. ENGELMANN: You weren't lying, were you?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Oh, no.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Were you knowingly
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's not in my character to
10	lie.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: I know, but Mr. Engelmann
12	took that one out of the equation.
13	And so did you deliberately put out this
14	media release knowing that certain parts of the protocol
15	had not been followed?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, no. I did not.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: That's why my suggestion to
19	you, sir, that either you were misinformed or uninformed.
20	I presumed you wouldn't have intentionally said that.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: You knew it not to be true.
23	All right. Now, in the media release at the
24	bottom, sir, you say:
25	"If there are victims of sexual abuse

PUBLIC HEARING AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

1	by clergy we want to know about it.
2	We are prepared to cooperate with the
3	police and/or other agencies and also
4	in the healing process as we have
5	done in the past."
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And this was sent out for
8	release to local or regional media outlets?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so, yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	And sir, in looking at that was it your goal
12	to encourage victims of clergy abuse to come forward?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: As we had done before, yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: As we had done before. I'm
16	telling them we would pay for their rehabilitation or their
17	psychological help. That had been in existence since
18	Father Deslauriers and this is eight years later.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	So there had been excuse me there had
21	been a previous press release where you'd been asking
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, not press releases.
23	But it was known that we were ready to help victims.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's why it says "as it

166

1	has been done in the past."
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, would you agree with
3	the following, that if you want to encourage victims to
4	cooperate it might be important to tell them who it is they
5	should contact?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They had no difficulty in
7	contacting the Diocese and they put them in contact with
8	the proper person.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	Would you also think that it might be
11	important to tell them the type of support that would be
12	available to them if they came forward?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They would be told that
14	when they contacted the Diocese. This is a press release
15	inviting them to contact the Diocese.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And it's not it doesn't
18	enter into all those details. Whether it should or not is
19	open to question.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: But, sir by this time in
21	January of '94 this is a good year and a half after From
22	Pain to Hope comes out, and there's certainly all sorts of
23	statements in there about how difficult it is for people
24	who have been victims of clergy abuse to actually come
25	forward and talk about it in any kind of public way.

1	So I'm just asking you, sir, that you know
2	perhaps if you want victims to come forward that maybe it
3	would be helpful in your press release to say who it is
4	they could speak to, what it is they might expect by way of
5	support or counselling and lastly what it is the Diocese
6	intends to do with the statements when they get them.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If I were to write the
8	statement now I would include that probably but
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: in those days, I mean
11	once again it's looking back.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Hindsight.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And I think that's a
14	dangerous procedure.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, I'm just okay, if
16	you're able to help us or not but it's not something that
17	came to you at that time?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, because it's not if
19	it had come I would have put it in.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
21	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, if you have it handy,
23	and I don't know if the witness does, it's Exhibit 1911.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: It's always the book
25	that's furthest away, Mr. Engelmann.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, in the sedentary jobs
2	that we have, sir
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Ah, touché, touché.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, this is a document that
5	is on Diocese of Alexandria-Cornwall letterhead. It's
6	dated January 14^{th} , 1994 . It's to members of the
7	Presbyterium.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: From yourself.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And it says:
12	"The enclosed fax includes the
13	statements made by myself and our
14	legal counsel, Mr. Jacques Leduc, at
15	the press conference this morning."
16	So you and your legal counsel, Jacques Leduc
17	would have been in attendance at a press conference on
18	January 14 th , 1994?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, this would have
21	been after you learned that criminal charges had been
22	dropped allegedly as a result of the settlement?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I believe so.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: But before you had actually
25	looked at the settlement documents?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's true.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that your recollection?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And you say at the time,
5	and I'm looking at your statement that:
6	"legal counsel has explained how I
7	reluctantly agreed to the settlement of
8	a civil dispute to which the priest in
9	question and the Diocese both
10	contributed."
11	So you're talking about the fact that the
12	both the priest and the Diocese
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Where are we?
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry I'm at the second
15	page. It's Bates page 574.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay. On the back of that
17	letter.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: It's entitled "Bishop's
19	Statement."
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay, right. Okay.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: And your did you actually
22	read this statement?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	Because you're referring to the fact:

1	"Our legal counsel for the Diocese has
2	explained how I reluctantly agreed."
3	And I'm just trying to picture this. I'm
4	thinking that Mr. Leduc spoke first and then you followed
5	him?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I suspect so, yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	And you say you talk about a civil
9	dispute to which the priest in question and the Diocese
10	both contributed and you're talking about the contribution
11	of some form of money, I assume?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, that's correct.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And you say:
14	"Although it was altogether legal I can
15	now see by the confusion and
16	misinterpretation caused that it was
17	not the prudent way. I should have
18	maintained my original position."
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Correct. Yes.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: And this is something that
23	you would have stated at the press conference on January
24	14 th ?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah, I think I read this

1	actually.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah. Okay.
3	And you then say:
4	"In no way did I or would I wish to
5	impede the police investigation. I
6	renew my willingness to cooperate with
7	them in every way."
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: And again, sir, it's your
10	evidence that you did not know about the wording of the
11	release at this time?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Absolutely not.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Because in fact that release
14	did impede the police investigation.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And so at the time you
17	provided this information it's your evidence that you were
18	misinformed or uninformed?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Uninformed would be better.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
21	Because the information you provided was not
22	correct.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct. In all
24	fairness, the legal counsel had not looked at it either.
25	Mr. Leduc had not looked at it either at this point.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: That's what he said.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's not
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And he in fact tells you
4	that later.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Correct?
7	Was that a surprise to you when you were
8	told that in January of 1994?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, at Bates page 577 and I
11	believe this is part of the statement that Mr. Leduc reads.
12	Because if we look at five sorry at Bates page 575
13	-
13 14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. MR. ENGELMANN: It says:
14	
14 15	MR. ENGELMANN: It says:
14 15 16	MR. ENGELMANN: It says: "The Bishop has called this press
14 15 16 17	MR. ENGELMANN: It says: "The Bishop has called this press conference for the purpose of
14 15 16 17 18	MR. ENGELMANN: It says: "The Bishop has called this press conference for the purpose of clarifying certain statements which
14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. ENGELMANN: It says: "The Bishop has called this press conference for the purpose of clarifying certain statements which were reported by the media."
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ENGELMANN: It says: "The Bishop has called this press conference for the purpose of clarifying certain statements which were reported by the media." MSGR. LAROCQUE: Wait, 575, excuse me.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>MR. ENGELMANN: It says:</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ENGELMANN: It says: "The Bishop has called this press conference for the purpose of clarifying certain statements which were reported by the media." MSGR. LAROCQUE: Wait, 575, excuse me. MR. ENGELMANN: Do you see that? MSGR. LAROCQUE: Thank you, yes, I have it.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Or it could have been the
3	chairman. Let me read it before I answer that.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	And who was that, sir?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Mr. Claude Brunet.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Acted as chairman.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Because when we read this
10	there's sort of a background given about some of the facts
11	which took place, and if we read on to page 577
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It can't be. It can't be
13	Leduc because collaboration with excuse me I'm wrong,
14	I'm sorry.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: It talks about a variety of
16	things, and one of the things it talks about is the meeting
17	in February of 1993. And you'll see that at the top of the
18	page, 577.
19	If we start at the bottom of 576:
20	"A meeting was held February 9 th , 1993,
21	at which time the complainant requested
22	a letter of apology from the priest
23	whom he was accusing of sexual
24	assault."
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.

1	MR. ENGELMANN:
2	"At that time and again in accordance
3	with policy and the protocol, offers of
4	help and assistance were made to the
5	complainant at the time of the meeting
6	in February of '93."
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
8	MR. ENGELMANN:
9	"The complainant was never asked nor
10	was it ever suggested that he not
11	contact police, but in fact it was
12	repeatedly suggested that he should
13	consult a lawyer and that if he was the
14	victim of a sexual assault he should
15	contact the police."
16	Do you know who prepared this for you, sir?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: This is not I did not
18	read this. I don't know where my statement is there but
19	
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Someone else would have read
21	this at the press conference?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would have to read it all
23	over but it sounds, the way that it's written, that it
24	could have been Jacques Leduc.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	That's what I had surmised but I may be
2	wrong.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, I'm not sure either.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Well, in any event,
5	this wasn't part of it that you read?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And I'm just wondering if
8	you know who prepared this, whether that was Mr. Leduc or
9	someone else, like Monsignor McDougald?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I doubt that it would have
11	been Monsignor McDougald.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
13	And then it says:
14	"Subsequent to this meeting, the priest
15	being the subject of the complaint was
16	immediately confronted with the
17	allegations, which allegations were
18	denied by the priest in question."
19	And sir, you've already told us that that
20	actually happened back in December, well in advance of the
21	February meeting. So I'm just wondering who might have
22	prepared this and who would be responsible for any errors
23	or omissions?
24	If you don't know that's fine.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm quite sure that I

1	didn't prepare it. That's all I can tell you.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	Now, in this statement, this very strong
4	statement towards the end, the bottom of Bates page 578:
5	"The Diocese by this decision settles a
6	civil dispute, does not as has been
7	implied, pay the complainant to
8	withdraw criminal complaints."
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
10	MR. ENGELMANN:
11	"There was no interference with the
12	criminal justice system in that the
13	investigating officers and the Crown
14	Attorney were advised of the proposed
15	settlement and of the settlement and no
16	criminal charges have been laid. As
17	has been stated, a settlement was made
18	but the Diocesan authorities have
19	cooperated fully with city police and
20	with other agencies in their ongoing
21	investigation."
22	Right?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Again, I'm just wondering if
25	there are errors and omissions here.

1	You can't help us with respect to who would
2	have done this?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Were you aware of the
5	contents before going to the press conference?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I may have been shown them
7	but I can't remember, to tell you the truth.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: I mean quite aside from the
9	fact, sir, that you would not have seen the release and
10	that's what you've told us, this suggests that there was
11	cooperation fully with the city police. And I think it's
12	your evidence that the first contact that you really have
13	with the city police is in October of 1993.
14	Correct?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I suppose so. I think so.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah. And you're not
17	familiar with anyone contacting the city police on your
18	behalf; in other words, Monsignor McDougald or anybody
19	else?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: If he did, I
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah.
22	Now, at some point after this press
23	conference on January $14^{\rm th}$ you have a look at the settlement
24	documents?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes. If I'm not mistaken

1	this should be on tape some place.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Because the proceedings
4	were all taped
5	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
6	So we can tell who read what at that time?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: by the different news
8	media and so you should be able to get the answer as to who
9	said what.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	But that doesn't help us as to who would
12	have prepared the document. But presumably you would have
13	looked at it before the press conference?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not prepared it myself
15	MR. ENGELMANN: No.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would have prepared my
17	own statement myself.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. But would you have
19	not reviewed the other statement that was also to be read,
20	sir?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would if it was done
22	by the legal counsel I would suppose that he I would
23	trust that he knows what he's doing.
24	In other words you're implying that I should
25	have checked what he was going to say?

1	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm just wondering if you
2	did, sir?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't believe I did. No.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
5	Now, sir, I was just asking you about the
6	release or the settlement documents, if I can call them
7	that. At some point after the conference on the $14^{\rm th}$ of
8	January is it brought to your attention that you and/or
9	your counsel may have been inaccurate in the information
10	you provided to the public on January 14 th ?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Unfortunately, yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And was that by
13	way of correspondence or communication from Mr. Silmser's
14	lawyer?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't believe I don't
16	know which lawyer but there was a lawyer who contacted
17	Jacques Leduc.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And it was because of that
20	that Jacques Leduc asked to see the document and verify it.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And this is the
22	document that had been sent to him by Malcolm MacDonald?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Apparently, yes.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, and do you recall
25	that you then looked at that document sometime between

1	January 14 and a subsequent press statement that you would
2	have issued on January 23 rd ?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, it was left on my
4	desk. I was away at the time, and left on my desk, and as
5	soon as I got back I looked it and it's I mean, I'm not
6	a lawyer but as soon as I saw it that second paragraph
7	jumped out in my eyes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: You didn't have to be a
9	lawyer to be concerned about that paragraph. Is that fair?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't know, but when I
11	saw it; dropped all criminal proceedings, I mean it just
12	I couldn't believe my eyes because it was exactly what I
13	had warned them that I didn't want in the document at all.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. So it was the
15	opposite of what you'd asked for?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you have some kind
18	of a discussion with Mr. Leduc when you read that?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would imagine that I did.
20	I mean, I was sort of disconcerted, but I can't recall.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	Sir, if we could look briefly at Exhibit
23	1913, and maybe we'll take a break after that.
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Thank you.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Nineteen thirteen (1913)?

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Yep.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: This is the second
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have that, sir? It's
5	a press statement; it's dated January 23 rd 1994.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: In the first paragraph
8	you're explaining what you thought was the situation as at
9	January 14 th and you say:
10	"I made this statement in accord with
11	the instructions received from our
12	Diocesan counsel."
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: You then say:
15	"However, I have since learned that the
16	signed release does in fact rule out
17	both civil and criminal action."
18	And you say:
19	"This is morally unjust and does not
20	reflect the basis on which the Diocese
21	cooperated with this action."
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Correct.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, were you advised at or
24	about that time that such a provision in a settlement might
25	be construed as being illegal, not just immoral?

I	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm talking from a Bishop's
2	point of view.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, fair enough. I'm just
4	asking if you were advised that in fact it was not just
5	immoral but also illegal.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would have surmised that
7	myself really.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, fair enough.
9	And you reference, sir, in the next
10	paragraph:
11	"I've instructed our newly-engaged
12	Diocesan legal counsel to advise the
13	alleged victim that the Diocese does
14	not wish to interfere with his right to
15	proceed criminally."
16	Sir, at this point in time did you end your
17	retainer relationship with Mr. Leduc and hire another
18	lawyer?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, David Scott of Scott &
20	Aylen. I also, before this press conference, went to see
21	the Acting Chief, Mr. Johnston. I had asked for an
22	appointment to see if I could get him to reopen the
23	criminal action and he said that I couldn't do that; that
24	it had to be done by the one who had launched the criminal
25	action in the first place.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: The complainant himself?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And I believe that Chief
5	Johnston's appointment book will show that I was there.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: So you engaged Mr. Scott and
7	then you took a number of actions to try and correct what
8	had happened?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, under his directions.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: And that would have included
11	issuing yet another press statement?
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right, and
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Was there also a press
14	conference?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And inviting them to a
16	press conference the next day.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Right, and speaking to the
18	new acting chief, and anything else, sir, that you can
19	recall at that time?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Just that it was a very
21	for me, at least very confusing and very perplexing time
22	to be made a public fool of really.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I said I was made a public
25	fool by this whole thing.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
2	Maybe we'll take the break there.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: All right, we'll take the
4	afternoon break and come back at 3.15.
5	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
6	veuillez vous lever.
7	The hearing will resume at 3:15.
8	Upon recessing at 3:01 p.m./
9	L'audience est suspendue à 15h01
10	Upon resuming at 3:19 p.m./
11	L'audience est reprise à 15h19
12	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
13	veuillez vous lever.
14	The hearing is now resumed. Please be
15	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
17	Go ahead, sir.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Mr. Commissioner, just a
19	couple housekeeping matters if I might.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: I neglected to introduce
22	someone else this morning. Kimberley Ishmael is here.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Hi there.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: She's with the firm of Keel
25	Cottrelle, representing Upper Canada School Board.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And I understand her
3	colleague, Nadya Tymochenko, will be here tomorrow.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Right, thank you.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So I should have done that
6	earlier.
7	As well, sir, I didn't give you and the
8	public a line-up for the week. I had indicated to counsel
9	in a letter last week that I thought I would be finished my
10	chief of Monsignor LaRocque tomorrow morning, or at least
11	by the noon break.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And I'm not sure at this
14	time how long the cross is slated. I will be talking to
15	counsel.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: One of the things that's
18	been expressed to me through witness support and from the
19	witness is that it's better for him in the morning, and I'm
20	wondering, in that respect if we could start at 9:00 in the
21	morning as opposed to 9:30 tomorrow morning.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: I have no problem, so
23	long as the support staff and
24	MR. ENGELMANN: I don't know if that causes
25	difficulty for any counsel here.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, you worry about the
2	counsel; I'm going to worry about the support staff. The
3	interpreters, any problems with starting at 9:00? All
4	right, that's good. Monseigneur is okay so why don't we
5	start at and we'll try it at 9:00. I'm better in the
6	morning as well.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And, sir, just I'm
8	not commenting.
9	Just to let you know about other things for
10	this week
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: the next witness will be
13	Bishop Paul-André Durocher.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Current Bishop.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: We had hoped that that
18	turnover might take place on Thursday.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: I hope so.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: We have two motions
21	scheduled as well.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: They're currently scheduled
24	first thing Thursday morning, and that is a motion by an
25	OPP officer, Randy Miller, who is seeking limited standing

1	before the Inquiry, and he has private counsel and they
2	have submitted a motion to that effect which has been
3	passed on to the parties.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: I would ask all counsel who
6	might be wanting to speak on that motion, either in favour
7	or opposed, to let us know as Commission counsel, what
8	their positions are.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Another motion that's been
11	outstanding for a while I think it was my colleague,
12	Gisèle Levesque, who filed this, or David Sherriff-Scott
13	from the Diocese, this is a motion for supplementary
14	funding. This was filed some time ago. We were trying to
15	get this in the last week of July, I believe, but it didn't
16	happen so we've also scheduled that for Thursday morning.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Fine.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right?
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
20	MONSEIGNOR EUGÈNE LAROCQUE, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
21	EXAMININATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR
22	MR. ENGELMANN : (Cont'd/Suite)
23	MR. ENGELMANN: So, Monsignor LaRocque, we
24	had talked earlier about the fact that you gave a statement
25	to the OPP in September of 1994. You had an interview with

1	the OPP and a day later you wrote this letter to Donna
2	Markham.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: And my understanding, sir,
5	is that you were to be interviewed in the summer by a
6	fellow named Tim Smith. Your counsel was not available.
7	That was someone from the firm of then Scott & Aylen, and
8	so the matter was put off and the first time that you were
9	able to get back together with them was September $12^{\rm th}$.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall something like
12	that happening?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	And, sir, that document is Exhibit 1790 and
16	we've looked at it previously; I just want to look at a
17	couple points if I may. It is the Interview Report of
18	Bishop Eugène LaRocque on 12 th September 1994.
19	Do you have that, sir?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I don't. I think it's
21	the wrong tab.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: One-seven
23	MR. ENGELMANN: One-seven-nine-zero (1790).
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: One-seven-nine-zero (1790).
25	I have 1719. Le premiere fois.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: All right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, this is the
4	interview report you mentioned that your counsel, David
5	Scott was present and there were two police officers, Tim
6	Smith and Mike Fagan?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you recall that?
9	Sir, I would have I asked you earlier
10	today if you recall in preparation for your meeting
11	reviewing statements that were given to your counsel by the
12	members of this committee; that being Monsignor
13	Vaillancourt, Monsignor McDougald and Jacques Leduc, and in
14	fact I showed you the one from Monsignor McDougald.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I just perhaps can show
17	one other, just, again, to see if you have any
18	recollection.
19	If you could and hopefully it's in the same
20	book, it's Exhibit 18 no, it's probably not, 1888.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: No such luck.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Are these OPP interviews of
23	these people?
24	MR. ENGELMANN: No. These are statements
25	that were given by these three members of the committee to

1	the law firm that was representing the Diocese.
2	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Do you have the document
4	that says "Statement of Jacques Leduc"?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah. So my understanding,
7	sir, was that you would have had an opportunity to review
8	this, to review the statement from Monsignor Vaillancourt
9	and the statement from Monsignor McDougald before you were
10	interviewed by the OPP.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And what was the date of
12	the interview of the OPP?
13	MR. ENGELMANN: It was September 12 th , 1994.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And this is dated September
15	7 th .
16	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: This document here is dated
18	the 7 th of September 1994.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: The final version, yes.
20	Yes. These statements were first I think
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: February 2 nd .
22	MR. ENGELMANN: I think there were
23	interviews by a fellow by the name of Peter Annis, of these
24	three individuals.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And that was in February and
2	then, I believe in Mr. Leduc's evidence, he indicated that
3	it was typed up for him and he made some changes, then it
4	was typed up again; he made some other changes and it was
5	signed off shortly before September 12 th .
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah, the 7 th of September.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah. You have no
8	recollection of this, sir?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	Sir, just a couple of questions then on this
12	statement, if I may?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The one of Jacques Leduc?
14	MR. ENGELMANN: No, your own. At it's
15	Exhibit 1790.
16	And we've covered many of the issues that
17	were discussed, so I just want to touch upon a couple of
18	things. At Bates page 451, and it's page 46 of the
19	statement Madam Clerk, we won't need that binder, if you
20	could take that away.
21	I'm sorry, do you have page Bates page
22	451, sir? It's page 46.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Page 46 of the document
24	that I have before me?
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. Sir, in fact if you

1	want to start on the page before; it says Bates page 450,
2	it's page 45.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Forty-five (45), yes.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah. This is after
5	there's a discussion with you about communicating with a
6	woman named Nancy Seguin.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Who was, I think, the
9	sister-in-law of the late Ken Seguin.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's correct, yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: There was some discussion
12	about a conversation you had with her and the questions
13	wrap-up and at the end Officer Fagan says to Officer Smith:
14	"You've covered everything."
15	And then on the following page Officer Smith
16	asks you:
17	"Do you have any questions as of us, as
18	far as Charles' investigation goes
19	Father Charles investigation, either
20	yourself or Mr. Scott?"
21	And you say:
22	"I just need to know whether or not
23	not whether, when this whole thing will
24	finally end. How long will you
25	continue with it; it's a long time."

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I could make the same
2	statement now, I guess.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, this is in September
4	of '94.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. Now, this is the
7	first time that they're interviewing you, correct? And you
8	seem to be concerned about how long it's taking.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, it's not a criticism
10	of them at all; it's a criticism of the whole procedure.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's been going on since
13	1992.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, a couple pages later,
17	it's page 48 of the statement, Bates page 453, and you
18	continue to talk about some of your concerns or issues.
19	You say well, actually let's start on the page before,
20	at the bottom. You say:
21	"Well, it will be two years in the
22	beginning of December so"
23	And Smith:
24	"I might add that again being the third
25	police department in it I don't want

1	another police department to come in
2	behind our investigation."
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Where are you? I'm sorry.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry. I'm at the bottom of
5	page 47. It's Bates page 452.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: You're still talking about
8	how long this has been taking and you say:
9	"It will be two years in the beginning
10	of December."
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And Smith:
13	"I might add that, again, being the
14	third police department in it I don't
15	want another police department to come
16	in behind our investigation."
17	Of course, we know they come back later on.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Then on the following page -
20	- and it has to be and then you complete the thought for
21	him. You say:
22	"It's got to be"
23	Well, you say as thorough it may be
24	thorough.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Thorough, yeah.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: All right?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Or as thorough as possible,
3	I suppose.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Right. And then you say:
5	"As thorough as possible."
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: So it appears you're saying
8	to them that you want to make sure they do a thorough and
9	complete investigation, despite any concerns you might have
10	about how long it's taking.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And then he says to you:
13	"Well, and the investigation has taken
14	us out of province and throughout the
15	province"
16	So perhaps trying to explain to you how
17	thorough and why it's taking so long.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: And you say:
20	"Do you have the two letters of the
21	young people who backed up Father
22	Charles?"
23	And they say:
24	"We've interviewed several that speak
25	very highly of"

1	Right?
2	Now, are you referring to two letters that,
3	I believe were received by Malcolm MacDonald, individuals
4	who wrote letters of support for Father Charles MacDonald?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: All I can remember at the
6	present time is that I had in my file either the letter or
7	a copy of the letter from Paul Sauve who is a mounted
8	police and he wrote from the west.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And Paul had stayed with
11	Father MacDonald had been for some years when he was in
12	Apple Hill.
13	And he stated how much if it hadn't been
14	for Father MacDonald that he doesn't know where he would be
15	at the present time.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was a very and with
18	no illusion to any difficulties with Father MacDonald at
19	all.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I recall that there
21	were two letters supplied, I think, my Malcolm MacDonald to
22	the Cornwall Police Service and I'm wondering if those are
23	the two letters that you're referring to.
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: All I can remember is the
25	letter that I had in my files. Now, there may be others as

1	well, but that's all I can remember.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	And you were wanting to make sure that the
4	OPP had those letters?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	And then you make the comment because he was
8	he was in what we call
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, that's wrong. We put
10	the COR movement, C-O-R, rather than quorum.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. I'm glad because I've
12	heard of that one.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The COR is a short for
14	SEAL, for young people.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: And is that a program for
16	Catholic youth that are
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: of high school age.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe the Anglicans
20	have the COR movement, had it at sometime. It's a
21	spiritual renewal type of usually on a weekend, Friday,
22	Saturday, Sunday.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: I think we talked about it
24	earlier. This involved sometimes retreats by high school
25	students.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was a sort of a retreat,
2	yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: It the English equivalent
5	of R3.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactement. Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And I think you had told us
8	who the priests were that were responsible at one time and
9	in fact, one of them was Father Gilles Deslauriers on the
10	French side.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: For the R3 along with
12	Father Denis Vaillancourt and Father Luc Bouchard were all
13	involved and on the English side, Father Kevin Maloney and
14	Father Charlie.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And Deacon Ernie
17	Bellefeuille and his wife.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And the
19	reference to the core movement here, was that because one
20	of those two individuals, one of those letters, one of them
21	had been involved in the core movement?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It could be but I'm not
23	sure.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And he would refer to

1	Father Charlie, I would imagine. That's my statement.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you recall whether
3	there was any further discussion it doesn't appear to be
4	here but later about this core movement and why it might
5	be important for them to interview people from that
6	program?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I my recollection, I
8	just wanted them to know that he had this association and
9	this would be with many young people.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And so what they did with
12	that information, that was up to them.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	Do you know if you or anyone on behalf of
15	yourself ever looked back and investigated or spoke to
16	people who were involved in that program to determine if
17	there were issues with Father MacDonald, either in a
18	positive or a negative light with respect to these issues?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I didn't do so. Whether
20	Monsignor McDougald did or not I'm not sure.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	Now, you've told us, sir, that you learned
23	in October of '93, during your meeting with Chief Shaver
24	that there were two other people who had come forward, at
25	least to the Cornwall Police

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: with some concerns about
3	Father MacDonald, some allegations.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, allegations. Yeah.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. And in fact, we looked
6	briefly at a letter that you had received in January of '94
7	from C-3 and that was one of those two indications.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Is that fair?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: If we could turn to that for
12	a minute. And that was Exhibit 416.
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Are we finished with the
14	OPP report?
15	MR. ENGELMANN: I think so. Yes.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: So you're referring to
17	the letter from C-3?
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And this is a
22	letter from an individual who claims and who I believe
23	you knew was a former altar boy at
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: at St. Columban's

1	Parish.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's what he states, yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes. And did you know him
4	or his family, sir?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I didn't.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And he claims he
7	was sexually abused by Father MacDonald; correct?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Does he give a date?
9	MR. ENGELMANN: No, he talks about
10	THE COMMISSIONER: When he was an altar boy.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: He talks about as an altar
12	boy "I found
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Five years.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: " Father Charles was
15	always trying to grab at my groin when
16	no one was around."
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Sixty-eight ('68) to '73 I
18	wasn't even there.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: No, this was before
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: You asked me if I knew him
22	so
23	MR. ENGELMANN: If you knew him or his
24	family.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I don't.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
2	All right. Okay. And he talks about
3	experiences as an altar boy and it goes on he says when
4	he's in the basement of the rectory,
5	"Father Charles would often come down
6	to see you how I was doing. He
7	always had to touch me, hand on
8	shoulder, on arm. Little didn't
9	realize, [et cetera]."
10	Okay?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And then he talks about an
13	incident that happens later.
14	Just one moment.
15	He talks about some of what Father Charles
16	told him about his life, at the top of the
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Bates page 655. He
19	talks a bit about his being approached by Constable Heidi
20	Sebalj of the Cornwall Police Force and telling her about
21	sexual abuse.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And he talks about the fact
24	that his parents are members of the Church of St.
25	Columban's, and of course he talks about losing faith.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: And he expresses a concern
3	to you at the end:
4	"I don't know how man other people were
5	subject to what David and I went
6	through but I can only hope there were
7	not any others."
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	So there is some handwriting at the bottom
11	of the letter.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's my handwriting, yes.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: And can you just tell us,
14	sir, what you wrote?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, just January 18 th
16	around 7:30 p.m. I phoned, after I received this letter,
17	to thank him; to ask permission to share the letter with
18	Southdown with no signature and with Monsignor McDougald.
19	On January the $19^{\rm th}$ I sent an unsigned copy
20	to Sister Donna at Southdown. January $21^{\rm st}$ I sent a copy to
21	Monsignor McDougald and January 23 rd I sent to our Diocese
22	solicitor, Peter Annis.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And that again,
24	we've seen your practice before where you write right on
25	the document and presumably that's what you would have done

1	with this document?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: If you had given it to
4	someone else you might have written that on a copy of the
5	document as well.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Transfer to somebody, yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, okay.
8	And in fact, sir, did you speak to this
9	individual on January 18 th as indicated?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I did, on the telephone.
11	MR. ENGELMANN: And he gave you permission
12	to share it without his name?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	And you've already told us how you sent the
16	letter to Southdown; correct?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And why did you send the
19	letter to the Diocese solicitor at that time?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I suppose because I thought
21	he should be aware of it.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Well, did you
23	you didn't provide the letter to the CAS at that time;
24	correct?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No because he said that he

1	had been visited didn't he say that?
2	MR. ENGELMANN: No, he said he'd been spoken
3	to by the Cornwall Police Service.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: She also stated that the
5	Childrens' Aid Society was looking into the matter.
6	MR. TALACH: I'm not sure if his personal
7	information should be on the big screen.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, very good. Thank you
9	very much.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: I didn't know it was there.
11	Sorry.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: No, that's fair. That's
13	fair.
14	Thank you very much for that.
15	I trust that the folks who may have seen
16	things that were confidential will keep it to themselves.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, you're right, there's a
18	reference to the Childrens' Aid Society. Let me just look
19	at that for a moment.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: It's more with the
21	signature of the
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry?
24	THE COMMISSIONER: It was the signature, the
25	author of the letter that was on the public

1	MR. ENGELMANN: Oh, no, no, no. No, I
2	realize that. Sir, I'm back to
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay we're back to the
4	questions. Okay.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Yeah. We're talking cross-
6	purposes.
7	So just on Bates page 655, he's talking
8	about;
9	"Constable Heidi Sebalj contacted me in
10	the fall of '93 to tell me these
11	there would be no charges laid and that
12	Father Charles was"
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: " removed from his duties
14	"
15	MR. ENGELMANN: "removed from his duties
16	and was somewhere around Toronto at
17	counselling. (The fact that I decided
18	not to give a signed statement to the
19	police had a big outcome on the charges
20	not being laid). She also stated that
21	the Childrens' Aid Society was looking
22	into the matter."
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay, well you knew that.
25	You knew the Childrens' Aid Society was looking into the

1	matter.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah, that's right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	So what I'm wondering is why you didn't
5	share this letter with them if you knew they were looking
6	into it?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well I took it for granted
8	that they that they knew the content of the letter.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm sorry; I'm having
10	trouble hearing, sir.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm sorry, but I took it
12	for granted from that statement that the Children's Aid
13	were aware of him. They're looking into the matter and the
14	matter we're discussing is him.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. So that's how you
16	read that.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's how I interpreted
18	it, yes.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
20	Did you speak to this individual and ask him
21	if you could share the letter with them? Clearly this
22	letter is not written to them. It's written to you.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I know, but as you can
24	see from my notes, I didn't share it with the CAS.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And my recollection is
2	because I thought the CAS was already aware of it
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: from the content of the
5	letter.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, what about the OPP,
7	sir? You had you met with the OPP in September of '94
8	some eight or so months after this letter. Did you think
9	it important to bring it to their attention?
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, isn't that the two
11	letters that we were talking about, to the OPP?
12	MR. ENGELMANN: No, the two letters you were
13	talking about were letters that were supportive of Father
14	MacDonald
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Oh, excuse me.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: that had been provided
17	by Malcolm MacDonald
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: and I'm wondering, sir,
20	you talked about wanting it to be a thorough, complete
21	investigation.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And you were concerned that
24	they had the two letters that were supportive of Father
25	MacDonald. I'm wondering why you wouldn't have wanted them

1	to have this letter as well. Clearly
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Okay
3	MR. ENGELMANN: it's not supportive.
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: yeah, they may have had
5	it. I'm not sure.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
7	Well, you didn't discuss it with them in
8	your statement. It's not there, and I'm wondering why you
9	wouldn't have brought this up.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It was certainly not
11	because I was trying to hide it. I can assure you of that.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, you'd agree with me
13	though that if you wanted them to be thorough, it would
14	have been useful to give them the information.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I agree with you as
16	hindsight, yes.
17	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
18	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, I understand when
19	you spoke to C-3 did you discuss with him counselling
20	options that were available
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm quite sure I
22	MR. ENGELMANN: as a result sorry?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm quite sure that I
24	did, yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	And do you know if at that time if he had
2	expressed an interest in pursuing that and whether the
3	Diocese was willing to fund it?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm not quite sure but I
5	know, if I recall correctly, that he was very anxious that
6	his family not know about it.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
8	Did you tell him anything about
9	confidentiality and things that you could do of that nature
10	or do you remember?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, the fact that I asked
12	permission and we agreed that we would not put his name on
13	the letter
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: indicates that that
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: was discussed.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you remember, sir,
19	whether I mean, aside from sending the letter to
20	Southdown whether I think you told us you couldn't
21	remember whether you or anyone on your behalf from the
22	Diocese would have confronted Father MacDonald about these
23	allegations.
24	Was that your evidence you just weren't
25	sure?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
3	Now, sir, are you aware that Father
4	McDougald or Monsignor McDougald responded to this letter
5	from C-3?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember that.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	If the witness could be shown Exhibit 421?
9	And we should be careful about putting this on the public
10	screen.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's true.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: It could be on the screen
14	for the witness though and if he could be given the binder
15	that has Exhibit 421.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: He does.
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have it already.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: He has it here.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I have it here.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: The Document Number is
21	737935. Again, it's Exhibit 421.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: If it could be placed on
24	counsel's screen?
25	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)

1	THE COMMISSIONER: What are we looking at
2	now?
3	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm just trying to get this
4	on counsel's screen for those who may not have the
5	document1.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 421?
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, without putting it on
8	the public screen.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
10	Yes, that's the letter. Now, not on the
11	public screen though.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm not sure how the
13	mechanics work here.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Oh, I have the letter.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: You've read the letter?
16	Maybe I can just ask you a couple of questions and hope
17	that
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: All right.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, having now read this
20	letter dated February 3 rd , 1994, from Monsignor McDougald to
21	C-3, do you recall, sir, whether you had any input into
22	what it was that Monsignor McDougald said here?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I didn't even know the
24	existence of the letter to my recollection.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.

1	So you had nothing to do you didn't
2	instruct him to respond?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: He would have done this on
5	his own initiative?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: On his own initiative.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: Sorry?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: On his own initiative and
9	he knew the family as the letter indicates
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: because he was pastor
12	at St. Columban's when Father Charles was there as a curate
13	
14	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: an assistant.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: And he's saying among
17	other things he's expressing his disappointment and he's
18	saying:
19	"Certainly, those of us who were
20	involved in this investigation believe
21	that the accusation by the plaintiff
22	could be open to question as it was the
23	only one we were aware of."
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: At that time of yeah.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And he's talking about back

25

1	when he was looking into this in late '92 or early '93?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Now, I understand, sir
4	all right, we'll just move on.
5	So you're seeing this, essentially, for the
6	first time now. Is that what you're saying?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so, yes.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. Then I'll move
9	on.
10	Sir, in the following year, in 1995, were
11	you aware of another former altar boy at St. Columban's by
12	the name of John MacDonald who alleged that he too had been
13	sexually abused by Father MacDonald?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I became aware of that,
15	yes.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	And perhaps the witness could be we can
18	take this down now, Madam Clerk. Perhaps the witness could
19	be referred to Exhibit 202.
20	This is a letter from John MacDonald to
21	Kevin Maloney.
22	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Counsel, the Exhibit Number
24	is 116264.

215

Sir, just by way of context this is a letter

1	that was given to Father Kevin Maloney by John MacDonald
2	_
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: M'hm.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: at or around the date of
5	the letter in August of 1995.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, at or about that
8	time do you recall if Kevin Maloney, Father Kevin Maloney
9	would have indicated to you that he had received a
10	complaint from this individual?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember where the
12	I can't remember where the complaint came from, but I
13	was aware
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: at some time because
16	yes.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Would you ever have seen
18	that letter, sir, around in 1994, at the time?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't believe so, no.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, he's testified here
21	that he provided a copy of this letter to your office.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, probably then I saw
23	it. It's just that I can't remember.
24	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I remember meeting him

1	once, I believe, when he came to my open house in January.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: This is the
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: John MacDonald.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: John MacDonald, all right.
5	Well, I was going to ask
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And I think he was in the
7	company of Terry Dunlop Perry Dunlop and a young woman
8	that was accompanying him. They came to my beginning-of-
9	the-year, open house.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	So he would have met you in the company of
12	Perry Dunlop and another person?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes and 250 other people
14	who were there at
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Fair enough.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: during that afternoon.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
18	So in the summer of 1995 this letter is
19	given to Kevin Maloney and he's talked to us about how he
20	turns it over to the police, but, as I said, he also
21	indicated that he would have provided a copy to your office
22	and what I wanted to know is what, if anything, you would
23	have instructed you would have done or you would have
24	instructed others to do about this particular complaint
25	letter.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I would have made sure that
2	Monsignor McDougald had it, I would imagine.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
4	Do you know if either yourself or anyone
5	else from the Diocese would have questioned or confronted
6	Father MacDonald about these allegations?
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't know. Once I had
8	given it to Monsignor McDougald
9	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
10	MSGR. LAROCQUE: it was
11	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I understand that there
12	may have been some counselling or support arranged for this
13	individual. Were you aware of that from the Diocese?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe so, yes. I
15	believe that Mr. Bryan had Mr. Gordon Bryan had asked me
16	whether we should pay or not.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right, because I think
18	Mr. Abell got involved in this and might have spoken to you
19	about it and I just if you could have a look at Exhibit
20	232 for a minute.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not in this book.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Nothing is easy.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: You don't have that binder?
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Two thirty-two (232),
25	Madam Clerk.

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't have it.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: I believe the exhibit
3	sorry, the Document Number is 703660. It's also found in
4	Document Number 101487, but it's Exhibit 232.
5	Sir, it's my understanding that in this
6	case, Mr. Abell would have been made aware of some of the
7	difficulties this individual was suffering from and would
8	have made a phone call to you about possibly having the
9	Diocese provide some financial support so that he could
10	have counselling.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: And I understand, sir, that
13	that was arranged on a without prejudice basis so that the
14	Diocese could fund some counselling for this individual.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
16	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
17	Now, you didn't have any form of settlement
18	here like we saw in the Silmser matter where he had to give
19	up rights?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: None whatsoever.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: And sir, were you aware that
24	lawsuits were filed by I'm assuming you were by this
25	individual, John MacDonald, by C-3, the fellow we looked at

1	in that letter and by David Silmser concerning alleged
2	abuse by Father MacDonald; that lawsuits were filed against
3	the Diocese?
4	MSGR. LAROCQUE: They were not all filed at
5	the same time though, were they?
6	MR. ENGELMANN: I can't tell you that for
7	sure. What I can tell you is I understand that in two
8	instances, that of Silmser and MacDonald, they were
9	dismissed as a result of delay in moving them along and
10	that in the other matter with $C-3$, it was settled by a
11	payment on the Diocese part of \$20,000 to this individual.
12	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not by me. It would be
13	after my departure, I would imagine.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: No, sir, it was during your
15	tenure.
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Was it during my tenure?
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't recall that at all.
19	MR. ENGELMANN: No?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Sorry but
21	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. These lawsuits were
22	in existence in the mid-nineties, I can tell you that, and
23	I believe yes, in fact, you were examined for discovery
24	
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Right.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: in at least one of them.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: For the one of them, yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, all right.
4	So you don't remember how they were resolved
5	or?
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: It's strange; I can't even
7	remember that settlement at all.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm sorry.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: That's fine.
11	Sir, were you aware that in one of these
12	lawsuits I don't know whether you would have reviewed it
13	Father Charles MacDonald would have been examined for
14	discovery and would have denied all of the allegations of
15	sexual abuse that had been set out by C-3 while an altar
16	boy and simply admitted an allegation of sexual conduct
17	(sic) while at Apple Hill and that this was a sexual act
18	instigated by the young man in question, not by him? Are
19	you aware of that, sir?
20	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That doesn't bring any
21	memories, no.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
23	I'm just and you can't remember now
24	authorising the payment of monies to settle that lawsuit?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can remember for John

1	MacDonald authorizing monies to
2	MR. ENGELMANN: For a counsellor?
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: For counselling.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But I can't remember this
6	lawsuit
7	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: at all. Thank you.
9	I'm sorry.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Were the funds coming
11	from the Diocese or from your insurer? Like maybe that's
12	why he doesn't remember.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: Are you able to help us
14	there, sir?
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember
16	authorizing funds from the Diocese for that, that's all.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Or maybe in that instance,
18	unlike the Silmser matter that we looked at earlier, the
19	funds were, in fact, coming from the Diocese. But you
20	can't help us?
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Well, with the Silmser,
22	yes, funds did come from the Diocese.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, and we know that.
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But with the C-3, I can't
25	remember any funds coming from the Diocese.

1	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: Yes, Sir, 1
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm sorry, but
3	MR. SHERRIFF-SCOTT: can tell you that
4	the best reconstruction I can put on it, from our files, is
5	that Lombard was being copied with correspondence. There
6	was a mid-trial pursuant to which there was a resolution.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Lombard is an insurance
8	company.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: I figured that, sir. I
10	thought I wouldn't ask. I'll just be a moment.
11	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
12	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, I want to ask you about
13	another former altar boy who made an allegation of abuse
14	against Father MacDonald. In this case, he has a moniker
15	and this is not an altar boy at St. Columban's, but later
16	at Williamstown and I'm just wondering, Madam Clerk, if you
17	could write the name for Monsignor Larocque. The name is
18	the name for C-4.
19	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Sir, is that a name that's
21	familiar to you?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Very much so.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	And was this another former altar boy, sir?
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I believe he was an altar

1	boy. I know he was very close the whole family was very
2	close to Father Charles.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
4	And I'd just like you to look at it's
5	Exhibit 449, sir, if you could.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: There is a publication
8	ban on this as well?
9	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, so don't put it on
11	the public screens, Madam Clerk.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm just going to read
13	something, but I'm not going to mention the fellow's name.
14	It's a short note from Father MacDonald:
15	"I realize now I should have said or
16	written these words to you a long time
17	ago."
18	This is dated December 30 th 1997.
19	"I honestly didn't realize you were
20	hurting. What's done is done, but I
21	want to tell you"and then the
22	person's name that I'm very sorry
23	for causing you any hurt or pain. It
24	was never my intention to hurt you. I
25	wish I could change things but"

1	Again:
2	"I'm sorry. I hope you can find it in
3	your heart to forgive me."
4	Have you seen this letter before, sir?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Not to my knowledge, no.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	Would you agree it appears to be some form
8	of apology to the individual in question?
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Very much so, yes.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: I was wondering how did
11	you become aware that C-4 had made an allegation against
12	Father MacDonald?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, his parents came in to
14	see me.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
16	And just looking at this letter was this
17	something that you would have asked Father MacDonald to
18	write?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I may have but I'm not
20	sure. I'm aware that Father MacDonald went to talk to the
21	parents after I had received them.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, just a minute. Are
24	you going to break that down for us?
25	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.

l	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
2	MR. ENGELMANN: So can you help us out, sir?
3	How did you become aware of the matter? The parents came
4	to see you; is that fair?
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I invited either I
6	invited or they came in on their own, but I remember
7	meeting the parents in my office.
8	MR. ENGELMANN: How would you have known to
9	invite them unless they would have instigated? How did you
10	become aware of an allegation here?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember that. All
12	I can remember is that they came in because the young man
13	or young person was having difficulties in his marriage.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
15	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And if I remember
16	correctly, that is how the whole thing came out.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. And he disclosed
18	a historical allegation of sexual abuse?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: To his parents.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. And they then came to
21	see you?
22	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
24	And what did you do when you received that
25	information from them?

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Somewhere along I contacted
2	Father MacDonald.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes, and he would have been
4	suspended with pay at that time.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: And did you advise him of
7	the allegations that had been left with you by the parents?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, that's right, and I
9	had no reason to doubt the truth of the allegations.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But if I remember
12	correctly, and I may be that this was after the young
13	man was an adult that this happened, and that's what I can
14	remember.
15	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay. Do you remember the
16	person's age?
17	MSGR. LAROCQUE: No, I don't; not exactly.
18	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: My recollection is that, as
20	the parlance goes, he may have groomed him and waited for
21	him to be an adult.
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But, I mean, that doesn't
24	excuse that doesn't excuse his situation and it's and
25	the parents the family was an excellent family and they

25

not sure.

were terribly let down.
MR. ENGELMANN: What did you you
confronted Father Charles with this allegation?
MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
MR. ENGELMANN: And what, if anything, did
you ask him to do about it?
MSGR. LAROCQUE: I asked him to try to do
anything he could to help the young person, the fellow and
the marriage and the family, because he was close to the
whole to the family as well as to all the members of
that family.
And if I'm not mistaken, I think Father
Charles did go to visit them and begged their pardon. But
MR. ENGELMANN: So I'm wondering if you
would have instructed him to write this apology letter.
MSGR. LAROCQUE: I might have suggested it
to him.
MR. ENGELMANN: And did this individual have
siblings, sir?
MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm not sure. You mean the
fellow who
MR. ENGELMANN: Yes.
MSGR. LAROCQUE: The person involved? I'm

228

1	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm just wondering if he had
2	siblings who were also altar boys.
3	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Oh, excuse me. Siblings,
4	yes, okay. Brothers who yes, there were three or four.
5	It was a large family.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: Were you concerned, sir,
7	that Father Charles might have
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
9	MR. ENGELMANN: done something with
10	other individuals
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, I was.
12	MR. ENGELMANN: in the family?
13	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And I discreetly tried to
14	find out and from one to whom I was I had thought at one
15	time might have a vocation for the priesthood, and he
16	denied anything.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm having trouble hearing
18	you, sir.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: He denied anything might
20	have gone on.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right. One of the
22	brothers?
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The one brother
24	MR. ENGELMANN: One of the siblings who
25	might

1	MSGR. LAROCQUE: that I knew the best of
2	the family, yes.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: And he told you nothing
4	happened with him.
5	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
6	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
7	And did you having received this
8	information, did you pass this onto your designate or did
9	you contact the police to advise them of what you had
10	learned?
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't believe I did
12	because to me it was a homosexual relationship and it
13	wasn't between between adults, you see.
14	MR. ENGELMANN: Well, sir, did you speak to
15	the individual in question?
16	THE COMMISSIONER: The victim, the alleged
17	victim in this?
18	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I can't remember whether I
19	did or not, to tell you the truth.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: Okay.
21	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I'm sorry but
22	MR. ENGELMANN: Because it might have been
23	important to
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I should have.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: ascertain his age at the

230

1	time.
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: The age at the time.
3	MR. ENGELMANN: It might have also been
4	important to ascertain the grooming aspect that you talked
5	about.
6	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: I assume
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I really can't remember but
9	it doesn't come back to me, I'm sorry.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
11	Do you recall Father MacDonald, well, first
12	of all denying that this was sexual abuse and suggesting to
13	you that it was an adult consensual sexual relationship?
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's the impression that
15	I had had right from the beginning of that, even from the
16	parents.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: But this was an altar boy,
18	former altar boy, and Father MacDonald had been the priest.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: But as an but as an
20	adult.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Woah, one person one
22	person at a time. It's getting late, I know, but
23	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yeah.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: So
25	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And I'm getting groggy too.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: We're going to go on for
2	a few more minutes and then we'll call it a day. How's
3	that?
4	Maybe we could just cover this issue
5	MR. ENGELMANN: Sure.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: and call it a day.
7	MR. ENGELMANN: This was a former altar boy.
8	Father MacDonald had been the priest.
9	MSGR. LAROCQUE: That's right.
10	MR. ENGELMANN: Right.
11	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Along with his brother
12	along with the brothers who were also altar boys.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	And did he at least acknowledge that it was
15	wrong what he did with this individual to you?
16	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, he did. Yes.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: Did he suggest, as in other
18	cases, that this was instigated by the other individual?
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: I don't remember that he
20	did, no.
21	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
22	And this appeared to have had a profound
23	impact on this individual?
24	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes.
25	MR. ENGELMANN: And do you recall if you

1	offered counselling to him or to members of his family?
2	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Yes, we did, which is in
3	keeping with our policy.
4	MR. ENGELMANN: Did you not think it
5	important, sir, to let a civil authority determine whether
6	or not this was a criminal act, and thus contact either the
7	Cornwall Police or the Ontario Provincial Police?
8	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Just that those details I
9	don't I remember meeting the parents. I don't remember
10	talking to the young man in question. I remember talking
11	to Father Charles and that's about all that I can remember,
12	to tell you the truth.
13	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
14	MSGR. LAROCQUE: And what you're suggesting
15	I would agree, yes, it would have been the wise thing to
16	do.
17	MR. ENGELMANN: In hindsight perhaps that's
18	what you should have done.
19	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Exactly, yes.
20	MR. ENGELMANN: All right.
21	Sir, maybe we should leave it there.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
23	MR. ENGELMANN: I'm going to turn to another
24	area.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Terrific.

1	MR. ENGELMANN: And Bishop former Bishop
2	Larocque has acknowledged that he's prepared to start at
3	9:00.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
5	MR. ENGELMANN: So if we could start at 9
6	o'clock tomorrow morning.
7	MSGR. LAROCQUE: Thank you.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: À demain 0900 heures.
9	Merci. Nine o'clock tomorrow morning.
10	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre
11	veuillez vous lever.
12	This hearing is adjourned until tomorrow
13	morning at 9:00 a.m. L'audience est ajournée.
14	Upon adjourning at 4:12 p.m./
15	L'audience est ajournée à 16h12
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	CERTIFICATION
6	
7	I, Dale Waterman a certified court reporter in the Province
8	of Ontario, hereby certify the foregoing pages to be an
9	accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of
10	my skill and ability, and I so swear.
11	
12	Je, Dale Waterman, un sténographe officiel dans la province
13	de l'Ontario, certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une
14	transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au
15	meilleur de mes capacités, et je le jure.
16	
17	ed a wd
18	
19	Dale Waterman, CM
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	