THE CORNWALL PUBLIC INQUIRY



L'ENQUÊTE PUBLIQUE SUR CORNWALL

Public Hearing

Audience publique

Commissioner

The Honourable Justice /
L'honorable juge
G. Normand Glaude

Commissaire

VOLUME 217

Held at: Tenue à:

Hearings Room 709 Cotton Mill Street Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7 Salle des audiences 709, rue de la Fabrique Cornwall, Ontario K6H 7K7

Tuesday, April 22 2008 Mardi, le 22 avril 2008

INTERNATIONAL REPORTING INC. www.irri.net (800) 899-0006

ERRATA

Appearance List

Volume 216

Mr. Michael Neville The Estate of Ken Seguin and

Scott Seguin and Father Charles

MacDonald

Should have read

Mr. Michael Neville The Estate of Ken Seguin and

Doug Seguin and Father Charles

MacDonald

Volume 1 to 216

Mr. John E. Callaghan Cornwall Police Service Board

Mr. Mark Crane

Mr. Peter Manderville

Should have read

Mr. John E. Callaghan Cornwall Community Service and

Mr. Mark Crane

Mr. Peter Manderville

Cornwall Police Service Board

Appearances/Comparutions

Ms.	Julie	Gauthier	Registrar
-----	-------	----------	-----------

Ms. Karen Jones Commission Counsel

Ms. Janie Larocque Mr. Ian Stauffer

Mr. John E. Callaghan Cornwall Community Service and Mr. Mark Crane Cornwall Police Service Board

Mr. Peter Manderville

Ms. Diane Lahaie Ontario Provincial Police

M^e Claude Rouleau Ontario Ministry of Community

and Correctional Services and Adult Community Corrections

Mr. Stephen Scharbach Attorney General for Ontario

Mr. Peter Chisholm The Children's Aid Society of

the United Counties

Ms. Helen Daley Citizens for Community Renewal

Mr. Dallas Lee Victims Group

Mr. Michael Neville The Estate of Ken Seguin and

Doug Seguin and Father Charles

MacDonald

Mr. William Carroll Ontario Provincial Police

Association

Mr. Frank T. Horn Coalition for Action

Mr. Ian Paul

Mr. Michael Quinn Mr. Michael Quinn

Mr. Kevin Malloy Mr. Kevin Malloy

Table of Contents / Table des matières

List of Exhibits :	iv
MICHAEL QUINN, Resumed/Sous le même serment	2
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Ms. Helen Daley(cont'd/suite)	2
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Ian Paul	61
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Dallas Lee	78
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. Michael Neville	105
Cross-Examination by/Contre-interrogatoire par Mr. John Callaghan	138
Re-Examination by/Ré-interrogatoire par Mr. Ian Stauffer	161
KEVIN MALLOY, Sworn/Assermenté	177
Examination in-Chief by/Interrogatoire en-chef par Ms. Karen Jones	178

LIST OF EXHIBITS/LISTE D'EXHIBITS

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
P-1489	(722048) - Michael Quinn - Statement from Michael Quinn re Citizen's Complaint	107
P-1490	(200196) - Kevin Malloy Career profile	182
P-1491	(734873) - Kevin Malloy Notes of Kevin Malloy dated 10 Jan 89	195
P-1492	(734871) - Kevin Malloy Witness Statement - C-57 to CPS	198
P-1493	(734870) - Kevin Malloy - CPS Project Name Index	214
P-1494	(734886) - Kevin Malloy Statement of C-58 dated 21 Apr 89	217
P-1495	(117304) - Kevin Malloy Supplementary Occurrence Report dated 22 Jun 89	226
P-1496	(728491) - Kevin Malloy The Ottawa Citizen news clipping "Indecent assault charge dated back to 1973" dated 26 Jan 97	239
P-1497	(734900) - Kevin Malloy Supplementary Occurrence Report dated 26 Jun 00	244
P-1498	(739078) - Kevin Malloy Supplementary Occurrence Report dated 15 Feb 94	250
P-1499	(739102) -Kevin Malloy Letter from Donald Johnson to Norman Douglas dated 4 Apr 90	258
P-1500	(739143) - Kevin Malloy Letter from Norman Douglas to Donald Johnson dated 10 Apr 90	260

1	Upon commencing at 9:33 a.m./
2	L'audience débute à 9h33
3	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
4	veuillez vous lever.
5	This hearing of the Cornwall Public Inquiry
6	is now in session. The Honourable Mr. Justice Normand
7	Glaude, Commissioner, presiding.
8	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, and good
10	morning all.
11	Before we begin I'll put this under
12	housekeeping. I've asked Mr. Engelmann to mention it to
13	people before, the use of Blackberrys and other
14	communication devices should be discouraged in the hearings
15	room. And the consumption of anything else other than
16	water I would appreciate that if we are going to give some
17	respect to the process and whatever, that we maintain that
18	at all times.
19	Thank you.
20	Come forward, sir. Good morning, how are
21	you doing today?
22	MR. QUINN: Very good, yourself?
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Very good.
24	You understand you're still under oath, sir?
25	MR. QUINN: Yes, sir.

I	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much.
2	MICHAEL QUINN, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MS. DALEY
4	(Continued/Suite)
5	MS. DALEY: Good morning, Officer Quinn.
6	MR. QUINN: Good morning.
7	MS. DALEY: Officer Quinn, I'm going to
8	shortly take us back to the timeframe we spoke about
9	yesterday and that's the September/October 1993 timeframe
10	and your dealings with your friend and fellow officer,
11	Perry Dunlop.
12	Before I do that I want to make an
13	explanation to you about what I'm going to ask you about
14	and what my interest is, just so that we understand each
15	other. Obviously, the best source of information about
16	Officer Dunlop's conduct and motivations and thought
17	processes would be him himself but, as you are aware, his
18	testimony isn't available to us.
19	You're aware of that?
20	MR. QUINN: Yes.
21	MS. DALEY: All right.
22	So I thought what we might be able to do is
23	first of all to establish some further information about
24	the nature of your friendship with him and then the
25	questions I'm going to put to you in some respects will be

25

1	asking you not to try and get inside Officer Dunlop's head
2	because I know you can't do that but drawing upon your
3	relationship with him, the things you and he discussed over
4	the years just to give us your best evidence in response to
5	some of my questions about Officer Dunlop's actions and
6	motives. Is that all right?
7	MR. QUINN: Yeah.
8	MR. CALLAGHAN: Mr. Commissioner, I mean
9	should we be not clear that I mean he should be
10	testifying as to what Mr. Dunlop told him not what he
11	thinks Mr. Dunlop might be thinking.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: No. No, no, compromise
13	there. He can tell us what he interpreted Mr. Dunlop's
14	reactions and things like that but he cannot tell us what
15	was going on in Mr that's what I'm saying.
16	MR. CALLAGHAN: I'm a little concerned that
17	we be clear about what it is we are doing because, you
18	know, obviously as he indicated there was lots of rumour
19	and innuendo; we'd just be adding to it. But I'll take it
20	bit by bit here.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: And it's obvious that you
22	cannot tell us what's in Mr. Dunlop's mind unless he told
23	you but you are able to tell us how you saw him and how you
24	interpreted his actions, all right?

Thank you.

1	MS. DALEY: I hope that that helps clarify
2	things a little bit for you.
3	First of all, I just want to explore some
4	details about your friendship with him. And I take it that
5	you socialized with him quite often. Is that would that
6	be fair? That's the impression I was left with.
7	MR. QUINN: Fairly often, yes.
8	MS. DALEY: All right.
9	And in terms of your relationship at work
10	would you be one of his closest friends in the workplace
11	and vice versa?
12	MR. QUINN: Yes.
13	MS. DALEY: All right.
14	And I understand that during the period of
15	time we're concerned with you and he are both on D Team,
16	correct?
17	MR. QUINN: There's times switching back and
18	forth; there's different places, yeah, but at some point
19	through that era, yes.
20	MS. DALEY: Do you remember if in the fall
21	of 1993 Perry was with you on D Team?
22	MR. QUINN: I don't recall who was on the
23	team at that time but it would have seemed fair to assume
24	that, yes, but to say for sure I'm not positive.
25	MS. DALEY: And I took it from what you said

1	that there was never any rift in your friendship with him.
2	In other words, you and he never fell out. You maintained
3	the same closeness throughout?
4	MR. QUINN: That's right.
5	MS. DALEY: And there's two windows of time
6	that I'm going to take you to later but I want to
7	understand your relationship with Dunlop during these
8	windows. We understand that from January of '94 through to
9	May of 1997 Officer Dunlop was away from the office on
10	leave.
11	Do you recall that?
12	MR. QUINN: Okay, yes.
13	MS. DALEY: And do I take it, sir, you were
14	still in touch with him as a friend throughout that period?
15	MR. QUINN: Yes.
16	MS. DALEY: And was your friendship and your
17	social activities with him the same throughout that period
18	of time as previously, the same amount of contact?
19	MR. QUINN: Pretty much, yes.
20	MS. DALEY: All right.
21	And I'll ask you specific questions but you
22	and he were exchanging information and views during the
23	time he's off leave? In other words, are you aware of the
24	activities that are occurring in his life?
25	MR. QUINN: He would talk to me at times,

1	more as someone to basically talk to and get stuff off his
2	chest. What he was planning or what he was doing or going
3	I wasn't aware of until probably after they were done.
4	MS. DALEY: All right.
5	So I'll come back to that with some specific
6	questions.
7	And then there's the final window of time.
8	He returns to work on May in May '97
9	MR. QUINN: Yes.
10	MS. DALEY: and then he leaves the Force
11	in June 2000. And during that window of time, again, you
12	and he are close friends?
13	MR. QUINN: Yes.
14	MS. DALEY: All right. Thank you. That's
15	helpful.
16	Now, let me try to direct you back then to
17	the matter we spoke about last time, and that has to do
18	with the October 1993 period of time in which you and
19	Officer Dunlop are talking about potential discipline. And
20	I gather you and Staff Sergeant Derochie are also talking
21	about that matter, okay? So I have some questions for your
22	back in that period of time, all right?
23	MR. QUINN: Sure.
24	MS. DALEY: Did you have an opportunity to
25	review at all Staff Sergeant Derochie's notes about his

1	encounters with yourself and O'Reilly and Dunlop in this
2	timeframe?
3	MR. QUINN: Yes.
4	MS. DALEY: All right.
5	Bear with me one second.
6	Madam Clerk, this is Exhibit 293 that we're
7	interested in and I'll give you a specific Bates page in
8	just one second.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Two hundred and ninety-
10	three (293), the exhibit?
11	MS. DALEY: Exhibit sorry, 1293 is
12	Officer Derochie's notebook.
13	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
14	MS. DALEY: The pages I'm interested in
15	commence with Bates page 7012733 and in Officer Derochie's
16	handwriting he's he calls this page 12 of his notebook,
17	if that helps you. The date is October $12^{\rm th}$.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, because you have a
19	different page number.
20	MS. DALEY: I may be using a different Bates
21	page
22	THE COMMISSIONER: It's page 12; it's page
23	12.
24	MS. DALEY: Page 12 of the notes?
25	THE COMMISSIONER: That's fine.

1	MS. DALEY: That's where we want to be.
2	Just so that the record is clear I am
3	reading from a different iteration of this document so on
4	the exhibit it's Bates page ending in digits 384.
5	But in any event it's page 12 of the
6	officer's notebook, sir, and what I would and you may
7	wish to start reading on the previous page just so that you
8	understand. This is Staff Sergeant Derochie's encounter
9	with you and with Officer O'Reilly and it's his version of
10	a conversation which he has and what he communicates to you
11	about his thoughts at that time concerning Officer Dunlop.
12	I just want you to take as much time as you
13	need to review what Staff Sergeant Derochie says and then
14	I'll have a few questions for you. But at page 12 of the
15	notes, do you see, sir, the passage that's up on the screen
16	here?
17	"After the meeting I asked Constables
18	Quinn and O'Reilly, Association
19	Executive Members, to stay back"
20	(As read)
21	And then he goes on to say to make note
22	of what he informed you. Do you see that passage, sir?
23	MR. QUINN: M'hm, yes.
24	MS. DALEY: If I could ask you just if
25	you're familiar with this already, we needn't take the

1	time, but if you're not, I'm going to suggest you read that
2	passage and read page 13, 14 and to the top of 15 of
3	Derochie's notes.
4	MR. QUINN: I'll have to
5	MS. DALEY: You let me know when you feel
6	you've absorbed that information.
7	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
8	MR. QUINN: You just to the top of 15
9	you're concerned with?
10	MS. DALEY: Yes, the top of 15 because then
11	his notes pick up on October $14^{\rm th}$ so have you made your way
12	up to the
13	MR. QUINN: Yes.
14	MS. DALEY: top of 15? Thank you.
15	Thank you, sir.
16	Are these notes, to the best of your
17	recollection, a fairly a fair depiction of the
18	conversations that occurred at that time?
19	MR. QUINN: Yes.
20	MS. DALEY: And
21	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry?
22	MR. CALLAGHAN: Well, I
23	MS. DALEY: I'm sort of hearing words and
24	_
25	MR. CALLAGHAN: I'm just trying to be clear.

1	I think we should clarify as to which conversations he
2	recalls. He said he recalled one in an office; this is
3	taking place in the Association.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
5	MR. CALLAGHAN: So I mean, I think it
6	we should delineate what he recalls and what he doesn't
7	recall.
8	MS. DALEY: You told us yesterday you
9	remembered a conversation in the office with Derochie and
10	I've I haven't forgotten that.
11	MR. QUINN: I believe this is the one.
12	MS. DALEY: That's what I that's what I
13	thought you might tell me. The content of the conversation
14	that Derochie has recorded, that's the conversation you
15	remember regardless of where it happened?
16	MR. QUINN: That's right.
17	MS. DALEY: Okay. And you're satisfied that
18	he's he's set out your part of that conversation fairly
19	accurately?
20	MR. QUINN: Yes.
21	MS. DALEY: And he'd be right, would he not,
22	sir, that at that point-in-time, you had more information
23	than he did about Officer Dunlop's situation because you'd
24	been speaking with Perry about it?
25	MR. QUINN: I had. It would be what

1	Perry's version. I don't know if I had more information
2	than he did or not; I had Perry's version.
3	MS. DALEY: Okay. You were quite aware of
4	Perry's version of the occurrence?
5	MR. QUINN: Correct.
6	MS. DALEY: And you knew that Perry hadn't
7	given his version of the occurrence to Staff Sergeant
8	Derochie yet had he?
9	MR. QUINN: That again that again, I
10	don't know for sure, but I assume not.
11	MS. DALEY: Did you ever become aware that
12	Perry gave his version of these events to any senior
13	officer at the CPS?
14	MR. QUINN: Not that I'm aware of.
15	MS. DALEY: Did you ever, as his friend or
16	co-worker, suggest that he should do that?
17	MR. QUINN: No.
18	MS. DALEY: Did you, in fact, suggest to the
19	contrary that he should not do that?
20	MR. QUINN: I suggest to him that he speak
21	to no one 'til he got legal advice so we went if they
22	were coming looking for an investigation, checking into
23	wrongdoings at some point with allegations that there are
24	possibly going to be police Act charges or charges at some
25	point, at that point, he not speak to anyone unless he

1	spoke to Association representative and we got him legal
2	advice first so that
3	MS. DALEY: Did that, in fact, happen in
4	this timeframe, sir?
5	MR. QUINN: Excuse me, what happen?
6	MS. DALEY: Well, did he get a lawyer
7	involved?
8	MR. QUINN: Again, at some point, he got
9	lawyers of his own. I don't recall if there was an
10	Association lawyer at any point ever involved. I don't
11	know.
12	MS. DALEY: Just so that we're clear, and I
13	don't know if you'll know the name, but the lawyer he got
14	on his own, was that a fellow named Charles Bourgeois?
15	MR. QUINN: He was one of them at one point
16	in-time, but I don't think he was the first one.
17	MS. DALEY: All right. And was there a Mr.
18	Yegendorf that you heard of?
19	MR. QUINN: Yes, I believe he was involved
20	at some point.
21	MS. DALEY: In any event, those are the
22	names of the lawyers that you know Mr. Dunlop retained?
23	MR. QUINN: Yes.
24	MS. DALEY: To the best of your knowledge,
25	he didn't retain or the Association on his behalf didn't

1	retain a lawyer to deal with the events as they were
2	unfolding in October of '93?
3	MR. QUINN: Not that I recall.
4	MS. DALEY: Now, as we saw at the bottom of
5	page 14 and the top of page 15 of Staff Sergeant Derochie's
6	notes, he represents that your main concern, as he
7	understood it, was that Dunlop would be the scapegoat and
8	that others would get off and he says "I told him that that
9	was an unfair assessment".
10	Do you recall that aspect of the
11	conversation with Staff Sergeant Derochie?
12	MR. QUINN: Not word-for-word, but
13	generally, yes.
14	MS. DALEY: What did he reflect to you as to
15	why your view was an unfair assessment?
16	MR. QUINN: That's going to be a word-for-
17	word part; I don't recall. I know that he disagreed like -
18	- I'm going by kind of just a vague memory of this.
19	My impression at the time was that I didn't
20	my opinion or view of this would be that if they had
21	someone that they could lay this on as being the fault and
22	it would go away, that would be Perry and then it would
23	just disappear. My concern was that other people who may
24	have or may be involved in some place and maybe did
25	something wrong with this or mishandled it in somehow,

1	would basically walk away and nothing would ever be said to
2	them. My understanding from what Garry was saying was
3	like, no, no, that wasn't going to happen. Unfortunately,
4	I figured it might.
5	MS. DALEY: Was that a view that you
6	expressed, that he would be scapegoated and others who were
7	also culpable would not be disciplined> Was that Perry
8	Dunlop's view at this time or belief?
9	MR. QUINN: I believe Perry believed that he
10	would be the guy who was going to be the scapegoat or the
11	person held responsible for anything that went wrong with
12	it or the lion's share of what they believed to be wrong at
13	the time.
14	MS. DALEY: So to the best of your
15	awareness, it was Mr. Dunlop's view at that time that he
16	was being scapegoated?
17	MR. QUINN: That he was being penalized for
18	having made CAS aware of this situation and that, again, if
19	he were to be silenced then this would end.
20	MS. DALEY: I take it that as his friend and
21	colleague, you agreed with that view?
22	MR. QUINN: I would agree with a good
23	portion of it, yes.
24	MS. DALEY: Is there any aspect of it with
25	which you disagreed?

1	MR. QUINN: Not at that time.
2	MS. DALEY: I take it at a later time you
3	began to disagree with a portion of his view. Can you just
4	tell us what you're referring to?
5	MR. QUINN: Well, now that it's gone farther
6	and into a different agencies have investigated and
7	obviously there's been a different outcome than there was
8	at that time, I would have to say that it's changed
9	somewhat since then. There was more investigating done.
10	There was charges laid and stuff, but at that particular
11	time, it was going become a dead issue and I would have to
12	agree with Perry's view at that time. I think he may have
13	been right and I don't think that part of it's changed. I
14	still think that way.
15	MS. DALEY: Did Perry ever tell you who he
16	thought the others in the force were who were responsible
17	for the state of affairs and who would get away with it, so
18	to speak. Did he identify those people to you?
19	MR. QUINN: Not as particular items having
20	been done, sort of thing. We would do a general
21	conversation and but not as this person having done this
22	specific thing or that person having done that specific
23	thing, no, that's never come into
24	MS. DALEY: So I take it that he didn't give
25	you any names of people who he thought had done something

1	wrong here but might get away with it?
2	MR. QUINN: He would have believed that
3	again, this is my opinion, an opinion based on
4	conversations back and forth and there's no real I have
5	no real hard fact to substantiate any of this, okay, but he
6	would have believed at that time, in my opinion, that
7	probably the Staff Sergeant in CIB and maybe possibly the
8	Chief did not want this to proceed any place and I think he
9	believed that they had a vested personal interest in that,
10	that it not proceed anywhere.
11	MS. DALEY: The Staff Sergeant would be Luc
12	Brunet?
13	MR. QUINN: Yeah.
14	MS. DALEY: The Chief, obviously, is Chief
15	Shaver at this time?
16	MR. QUINN: That's correct.
17	MS. DALEY: Did Perry express to you what he
18	thought their vested interest was in seeing that this
19	matter did not progress?
20	MR. QUINN: No, did they just in his mind
21	they did not want it to go someplace.
22	And as to absolutely why, like if you're
23	asking me why that way, I don't know. I don't know what
24	their motivation would have been; it could have been any
25	he never expressed a motivation to me.

1	MS. DALEY: He never gave you any reasons as
2	to why he had named those people?
3	MR. QUINN: No, other than they didn't want
4	it it appeared to him and that that that would
5	have been
6	MS. DALEY: Based on your many discussions
7	with Perry Dunlop, did he express any views about Heidi
8	Sebalj and how she had conducted the investigation?
9	MR. QUINN: I his view is she hadn't done
10	it right and that she didn't have the experience to do it.
11	MS. DALEY: All right. Was he more specific
12	in critiquing her performance or was that the gist of it?
13	MR. QUINN: Not that I recall.
14	MS. DALEY: All right.
15	MR. QUINN: That's the gist of it.
16	MS. DALEY: Thank you.
17	I want to talk to you now about another
18	portion of the Derochie notes and let me just give you a
19	page reference where I want you to start looking.
20	Would you please start looking at his
21	it's his hand-numbered page 29 and following.
22	And, sir, we touched on this a little bit
23	yesterday, as well, just to put the framework in place;
24	this is now October 15 th ; Derochie has done other activities
25	between the conversation with you and Officer O'Reilly and

1	October 15^{th} and I'd like you to look at pages 29, 30 and 31
2	and probably a reasonable place to start, if you look at
3	page 29, about two-thirds of the way down, he says:
4	"On reporting for duty on the
5	nightshift, I was informed"
6	And then if just if you don't mind, read
7	that passage, the following two pages, and let me know when
8	you've had a chance to get through it.
9	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
10	MR. QUINN: Thirty-two (32) you just want to
11	do it to?
12	MS. DALEY: I'm sorry?
13	MR. QUINN: Page 32 you wanted to go to?
14	MS. DALEY: Yes, you don't need to go past
15	32.
16	MR. QUINN: Okay.
17	MS. DALEY: Just have you had a chance to
18	get through all that?
19	MR. QUINN: Pretty much.
20	MS. DALEY: Does reviewing Staff Sergeant
21	Derochie's notes assist your recollection at all about a
22	conversation you had with him at this point in time
23	concerning Dunlop?
24	MR. QUINN: The only thing this brings to me
25	is it would appear that I was the person that brought in

1	the sick note that it's quite possible.
2	MS. DALEY: All right. So let me ask you a
3	few questions, then, about the content of these notes.
4	Firstly, I gather that Officer O'Reilly was,
5	in fact, the President of the Association at this time?
6	MR. QUINN: That would sound right.
7	MS. DALEY: I'm sorry?
8	MR. QUINN: That would sound right.
9	MS. DALEY: Okay. Were you the
10	Vice-President or
11	MR. QUINN: Probably.
12	MS. DALEY: All right. And you and he
13	obviously talked between yourselves about the Dunlop
14	situation, did you?
15	MR. QUINN: Very little.
16	MS. DALEY: Did O'Reilly share your views
17	about the Dunlop situation, that is to say the view that
18	perhaps Perry was going to be scapegoated?
19	MR. QUINN: I I have no, I can't say
20	what O'Reilly's view as to whether that was or not.
21	As I recall, I think his view was that,
22	"Okay, we plead guilty, whatever, and we'll work out an
23	arrangement afterwards of some sort." that then would be
24	the easiest way of handling that at that time.
25	MS. DALEY: And I take it, you had an

1	opposing view to that; you didn't agree with that
2	MR. QUINN: No
3	MS. DALEY: approach?
4	MR. QUINN: I didn't.
5	MS. DALEY: And nor did Perry, obviously?
6	MR. QUINN: No.
7	MS. DALEY: So to the extent that Staff
8	Sergeant Derochie is sensing that the Executive is divided,
9	in other words, O'Reilly and you have differing views, he's
10	correct about that?
11	MR. QUINN: If that's what he means by
12	"divided," yes.
13	MS. DALEY: Now at the top of page 30 of
14	Staff Sergeant Derochie's notes, just to put it in context
15	for you, he's reflecting things that he says O'Reilly said
16	to him but one of those things is that it picks up on
17	the prior page:
18	"O'Reilly says he doesn't know what's
19	going on, that Dunlop was getting
20	advice from a number of sources and
21	that, in effect, he, O'Reilly, was
22	washing his hands of it."
23	Is that a fair reflection of O'Reilly's
24	position and the choice he made at that point?
25	MR. QUINN: Yes.

1	MS. DALEY: And apart from yourself, were
2	you aware of other sources from whom Officer Dunlop was
3	getting advice?
4	MR. QUINN: Only through conversations with
5	Perry, I know he was speaking to other people, like outside
6	sources, possibly lawyers and et cetera, people that he'd
7	contacted through work and stuff.
8	Who they were and just what the advice was,
9	I do not know.
10	MS. DALEY: Are you aware of anybody else
11	within the Service from whom he was seeking advice, apart
12	from you?
13	MR. QUINN: I don't think it was from our
14	Service.
15	MS. DALEY: Your best belief is that it was
16	lawyers in private practice?
17	MR. QUINN: If if I recall correctly,
18	yes, it was like people that he knew, a lawyer; I'm not
19	sure which would it was but
20	MS. DALEY: In any event, this was not a
21	lawyer whom the Association had provided.
22	MR. QUINN: No.
23	MS. DALEY: This was a private lawyer?
24	MR. QUINN: Right.
25	MS. DALEY: And you don't recall Officer

1	Dunlop ever giving you a name of this person?
2	MR. QUINN: No.
3	MS. DALEY: Did he tell you what the advice
4	was?
5	MR. QUINN: Not specifically, no.
6	MS. DALEY: Do you know if it was consistent
7	or inconsistent with the advice you were giving him?
8	MR. QUINN: I think it was more consistent
9	with the advice of like, "You haven't done anything wrong,
10	no reason why you should be being penalized by this."
11	He didn't agree that I know, for sure, he
12	did not agree that he should be penalized with any he
13	didn't he didn't feel he had done anything wrong and he
14	didn't feel that he should be being disciplined for
15	anything and he didn't feel that he should be the person
16	that they're going after.
17	He just he didn't feel he felt that he
18	had done what he was required to do and couldn't understand
19	why they were coming after him as hard as they were for
20	doing that.
21	MS. DALEY: Did you say "as hard as they
22	were"?
23	MR. QUINN: Yeah.
24	MS. DALEY: Well, I had understood that and,
25	indeed, these notes reflect that Derochie's point of view,

1	at this point in time, is not to impose any kind of harsh
2	penalty, but can you just help me with what you meant by
3	that?
4	MR. QUINN: I think by
5	MS. DALEY: Did you still feel that the
6	approach was too harsh?
7	MR. QUINN: As I told you yesterday, I as
8	much respect as I have for what Garry done and said and the
9	investigation as fair as he was trying to be and as fair as
10	his notes show that he was being in the investigation, I
11	don't think he had final say and the atmosphere would be
12	such that I don't believe Perry would have trusted what was
13	happening until such time that there was hard proof of it.
14	I don't think Garry had final, last say of what would
15	happen.
16	MS. DALEY: That would be the Chief?
17	MR. QUINN: That would be I would assume
18	would actually be the Chief.
19	MS. DALEY: You have I'm not suggesting
20	you knew about this at the time but Garry's note suggests
21	that he's in communication with the Chief and that the
22	sanction that is going to be imposed that is to say the
23	very mild sanction comes from the Chief.
24	Did you ever become aware of that?
25	MR. QUINN: No.

l	MS. DALEY: I take it Perry Dunlop didn't
2	either?
3	MR. QUINN: I imagine not.
4	MS. DALEY: But his frame of mind as he
5	would have expressed it to you, I take it was, that it's
6	nice that Officer Derochie thinks this but until I hear it
7	from the Chief I have no confidence in it?
8	MR. QUINN: You would want to hear it from
9	the Chief with probably some kind of departmental lawyer or
10	commission representative, somebody there a number of
11	witnesses saying here it is, the document saying what's
12	taking place.
13	MS. DALEY: Did you ever take it upon
14	yourself and I'm not suggesting that it was your role
15	but did you ever communicate that position back to Officer
16	Derochie? In other words, just to say, listen, Dunlop
17	might be all right with this, but it needs to come from the
18	Chief and there needs to be more assurance?
19	MR. QUINN: Dunlop wasn't all right with
20	this.
21	MS. DALEY: So essentially if I and I
22	don't I feel like I'm chasing you around on this point.
23	I don't mean to but Dunlop was just not prepared to agree
24	to any form of sanction, regardless how light?
25	MR. QUINN: As I said, by the time like -

1	- would talk to him after that, Perry did not believe that
2	he'd done anything wrong. He believed that he had done
3	what he had to do and what was proper. He did not feel
4	that it was appropriate for him to be disciplined for doing
5	nothing wrong and, as I said before, I don't have a problem
6	with that thought.
7	MS. DALEY: I understand that. I guess
8	where that leads us is that no any form of discipline,
9	no matter how light, how innocuous, would not be acceptable
10	to him nor to you?
11	MR. QUINN: Not if you haven't done anything
12	wrong.
13	MS. DALEY: And I think I asked you to look
14	at the top part of page 31 of Staff Sergeant Derochie's
15	notes.
16	MR. QUINN: Yes.
17	MS. DALEY: And this is of course is not
18	this doesn't reflect any conversation. This is Derochie
19	outlining the conclusions that he's coming to at this point
20	and his first one is that:
21	"Constable Dunlop was not prepared to
22	take any criticism for his actions in
23	this incident. He sees himself as a
24	white knight, fighting the good fight."
25	Apart from the some what editorial nature of

1	this second sentence, I take it Derochie had it
2	absolutely right, Dunlop was not prepared to accept
3	criticism for his actions because he thought there was
4	nothing wrong with them?
5	MR. QUINN: Pretty much.
6	MS. DALEY: Right. And that certainly is a
7	view that you supported him with?
8	MR. QUINN: I would support that, yeah.
9	MS. DALEY: And then his second point is
10	that there's a division within the Association executive as
11	to how this issue is to be dealt with and I take it, again,
12	Staff Sergeant Derochie got that right too?
13	MR. QUINN: If you are referring to the fact
14	that Dan wanted to just have him come in and plead to
15	whatever they wanted to put down and work out some kind of
16	penalty afterwards and that way it would be settled and
17	done with very little aggravation to, I guess, anyone and
18	my opinion of I don't see why our members should be
19	pleading guilty to anything, then if that's what you mean
20	by the division, then yes, you're right.
21	MS. DALEY: And when you said "Dan" in your
22	answer, that's Officer O'Reilly?
23	MR. QUINN: That's correct.
24	MS. DALEY: All right.
25	So if that's what Staff Derochie has

1	perceived that O'Reilly thinks plead guilty, let's move on,
2	you say, no way, that's not right. He's right, that those
3	are two different views?
4	MR. QUINN: Yes.
5	MS. DALEY: He says in point 3, and I don't
6	know what your comments might be to this, but he says:
7	"There may be hidden agendas at work.
8	Dunlop may be getting at or is being
9	used to get at other people perceived
10	as being vulnerable, i.e. officers
11	involved in the investigation, or
12	supervisors".
13	And I don't know if you want if you care
14	to comment on that, but can you see any facts or
15	circumstances in play here that might have given Staff
16	Derochie that impression?
17	MR. QUINN: No.
18	MS. DALEY: All right. Thank you.
19	Thank you. I'm going to move to another
20	topic now. All right.
21	Moving on then from the fall of 1994 and
22	sorry, just before I do that, let's just wind up a loose
23	thread.
24	Officer Dunlop goes off on a sort of a
25	short-term sick leave on or about October 15 th and based on

1	Derochie's notes, you believe you may have been the one
2	that handed over the Doctor's letter to support that?
3	MR. QUINN: It was either would have been
4	handled the Doctor's letter or it might have been the
5	person that Perry called to let Dispatch or Staff Sergeant
6	Derochie know that he wasn't coming in for the weekend.
7	One of those things.
8	MS. DALEY: Did Officer Dunlop know that
9	Staff Derochie intended to sit with him on October 15 th ?
10	MR. QUINN: I don't know.
11	MS. DALEY: Did you know that?
12	MR. QUINN: No.
13	MS. DALEY: Did you give Officer Dunlop any
14	advice or counseling around this little this period of
15	sick leave?
16	MR. QUINN: As per
17	MS. DALEY: Well
18	MR. COMMISSIONER: His decision to not to
19	come into work?
20	MS. DALEY: Correct.
21	MR. QUINN: No.
22	MR. COMMISSIONER: Were you involved in that
23	at all?
24	MR. QUINN: No.
25	MS. DALEY: Were you aware though that he

1	had absented himself from that entire shift, October $15^{\rm th}$
2	through the end of that shift?
3	MR. QUINN: I'm sorry, could you take
4	MS. DALEY: Were you aware that he had been
5	absent from that entire shift which coincided with
6	Derochie's shift? The shift that started October 15 th ?
7	MR. QUINN: If I would have brought in the
8	message then I would have been aware of it, yes.
9	MS. DALEY: Did you have any indication from
10	Officer Dunlop that he had just intended to stay out of the
11	office during that window of time to avoid the encounter
12	with Staff Derochie?
13	MR. QUINN: No.
14	MS. DALEY: It may he then comes back to
15	work and he works through to the end of 1993. That's what
16	we're led to believe here. Do you have any different
17	information?
18	MR. QUINN: Not information, no.
19	MS. DALEY: And at some point in the latter
20	months of 1993 or the very beginning of 1994, the Silmser
21	investigation and Dunlop's role become public knowledge.
22	Do you recall that, sir?
23	MR. QUINN: I do you mean that's when it
24	becomes known in the media?
25	MS. DALEY: That's right.

1	MR. QUINN: In that timeframe somewhere. I
2	don't remember just the date or the time or month or
3	whatever.
4	MS. DALEY: I don't want to tax your memory
5	to that degree, but you do remember that it came into the
6	media at that point-in-time
7	MR. QUINN: At some point it comes into the
8	media, yes.
9	MS. DALEY: And do you recollect that David
10	Silmser's statement was in fact published in the media?
11	MR. QUINN: That's what I mean by it comes
12	into the media.
13	MS. DALEY: All right. And
14	MR. QUINN: There was some kind of, I think,
15	media about it prior to it being published. If I remember
16	correctly, there was a bit of the story here or there sort
17	of thing and then somewhere pop-up comes the statement.
18	MS. DALEY: Now, did you and Officer Dunlop
19	talk about that occurrence?
20	MR. QUINN: About it coming to the media?
21	MS. DALEY: Yes.
22	MR. QUINN: He didn't want it in the media.
23	MS. DALEY: What did he say to you about
24	that? As best as you can recall, just fill us in on that
25	discussion.

I	MR. QUINN: The general gist of it was if he
2	could find the person that put that out into the media
3	he was not really pleased with it being out there. It just
4	made is life abject misery.
5	MS. DALEY: It made his life misery the fact
6	that it was published?
7	MR. QUINN: Yes.
8	MS. DALEY: How so?
9	MR. QUINN: Well, obviously the department
10	was really upset with it and again he became focus of
11	attention for that. He'd get calls from like his phone
12	rang steady, people calling him for all kinds of different
13	things to report stuff, to blame him for stuff, all kinds
14	of things. So, like if I think in his mind it had never
15	gone that far it probably would of at some point dissolved
16	and settled but once it it was just like one more log on
17	the fire that fuelled it. It kept things going.
18	MS. DALEY: I take it obviously Officer
19	Dunlop never suggested to you that he had any role
20	whatsoever in providing that statement to the media?
21	MR. QUINN: He had no role well, from
22	what he told me he had no role in it. In fact, he was
23	deadly against it ever being there.
24	MS. DALEY: Did he ever offer you any
25	thoughts as to how it might have come into the media's

1	hands?
2	MR. QUINN: He had no idea.
3	MS. DALEY: All right.
4	In any event, and I'm walking forward in
5	time with you, sir, we are now talking about maybe the year
6	1994, perhaps a bit following.
7	I take it Dunlop himself began giving media
8	interviews or did speak to the press about these
9	circumstances?
10	MR. QUINN: When he started speaking to
11	them, I don't know. I guess he spoke to the press at some
12	point. That was pretty much common knowledge, yeah, but I
13	don't know just when or how it was arranged or any of that
14	kind of stuff.
15	MS. DALEY: And just to give you another
16	plank here, another event that's happening at this time,
17	Dunlop commences a fairly lengthy sick leave at the
18	beginning of the year 1994?
19	MR. QUINN: Somewhere around there, yes.
20	MS. DALEY: You're aware of that?
21	MR. QUINN: Yes.
22	MS. DALEY: And that's the sick leave that
23	takes us into May of 1997?
24	MR. QUINN: I would yes, it's probably in
25	that yes.

1	MS. DALEY: So he's out of the station at
2	this point, but he's still in frequent contact with you
3	about these issues?
4	MR. QUINN: I talk to him frequently, yes.
5	MS. DALEY: All right.
6	Is he still looking to you for advice or
7	your views about what he should be doing?
8	MR. QUINN: During this time period?
9	MS. DALEY: Yes.
10	MR. QUINN: No. More of a someone to
11	Perry was very careful into the point that friends of his
12	or close friends weren't drawn in to the melee or into the
13	conflict.
14	Other than my participant as a
15	representative of the Association, basically looking after
16	his rights as an employee, it was more of a friend, of a
17	sounding board. Somebody he could like, basically unload
18	to and then do whatever he was going to do.
19	He was a person of his own mind. He made up
20	his own mind what he wanted to do, and or from whomever
21	he was in contact with and they did what they did.
22	MS. DALEY: Do you remember any of the
23	issues that he brought to you as his sounding board during
24	the time that he's off on sick leave?
25	MR. QUINN: There was a variety of things.

1	There's stuff about being upset when someone had called the
2	insurance company and had his long-term disability
3	cancelled. They for a while without money for this sort
4	of thing.
5	It's just the general stress of everything
6	that went on, sort of thing. It's just like, he would
7	just he had a friend and I was a friend that he had
8	spoke to that was kind of like independent from there, just
9	somebody to speak to.
10	MS. DALEY: But were you also involved on
11	his behalf in trying to sort out the LTD issues as an
12	Association
13	MR. QUINN: No.
14	MS. DALEY: rep?
15	MR. QUINN: No, I don't I may not even
16	have been on the Association at that time.
17	MS. DALEY: All right.
18	MR. QUINN: Like, that wasn't involved in
19	any part of trying to fit. I think he took care of
20	that, basically, himself.
21	MS. DALEY: But that's an example of an
22	issue that he talked to you about, as a sounding board?
23	MR. QUINN: Basically, yes. Stuff like
24	that.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Quinn, did he talk to

1	you about during when he was off, did he talk to you,
2	"Say, listen, I went down to Maine and I met this
3	fellow"
4	MR. QUINN: Yes.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: "and there was a hit
6	out on me" and all of that?
7	MR. QUINN: Yes.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So is it fair to
9	say that he rolled out during all of that period of time,
10	that you would have been apprised of certain milestones in
11	how this thing was unfolding? Through Perry's eyes, of
12	course.
13	MR. QUINN: Yes.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
15	MS. DALEY: I take it from that that you did
16	become aware of steps that Perry was taking in relation to
17	a lawsuit that he had commenced?
18	MR. QUINN: I was aware that he had
19	commenced a lawsuit. As far as how he come to that
20	conclusion to do it or what advice he got to do it, I don't
21	know.
22	MS. DALEY: All right.
23	I appreciate that, but you knew that there
24	had been a lawsuit
25	MR. QUINN: Yes.

1	MS. DALEY: and the Commissioner had
2	just asked you about a situation where he went to Maine and
3	spoke to a fellow named Ron Leroux. So I take it he made
4	you aware of that activity?
5	MR. QUINN: There was a variety of places
6	that, during the years, different conversations. Like I
7	said before, these things were usually done and then
8	afterwards when they when we would be talking, he would
9	mention the stuff that he'd either been someplace or
10	they've talked to someone or someone had called and wanted
11	to speak to him about an incident in their past.
12	Most of these as far as I know, all of
13	these, he would first refer to a police department or
14	police agency. Some of them come back saying they'd gone
15	to police agencies to him and been turned away and now they
16	wanted to see if he could do anything for them, and that
17	sort of stuff.
18	MS. DALEY: Okay. And if I've understood
19	you correctly, the events would unfold he'd tell you
20	about them after the fact
21	MR. QUINN: Yes.
22	MS. DALEY: And the circumstance that the
23	Commissioner referenced, the visit to Maine to meet with
24	Ron Leroux, did you come to understand that that was an
25	activity that he'd done in connection with his lawsuit?

1	MR. QUINN: I'm sorry, I didn't understand
2	your question.
3	MS. DALEY: Did Perry explain to you why he
4	was interviewing Mr. Leroux; what it was in aid of?
5	MR. QUINN: No.
6	MS. DALEY: Did you have any impression from
7	other discussion with him, what that activity was about?
8	In other words, what his purpose was?
9	MR. QUINN: Why he'd gone to
10	MS. DALEY: Yes.
11	MR. QUINN: It had been something to do with
12	the allegations of the impropriety but I can't recall,
13	right now, just exactly why he'd gone
14	MS. DALEY: All right.
15	MR. QUINN: or what it was about.
16	Like I said, most of the stuff was kind of
17	like just conversation going back and forth, just not
18	something I wrote down word-for-word or put a lot of
19	just like you talk, talk, talk for a while and then it's
20	gone.
21	MS. DALEY: But did you understand in your
22	discussions with Officer Dunlop during this period of time
23	when he's off on leave that he is interviewing people and
24	he's recording the interviews?
25	MR. QUINN: I know that he had quite a few

1	documents and interviews that he'd had there, yeah.
2	MS. DALEY: And I took it from something you
3	said a moment ago that you learned through Officer Dunlop
4	that people who said they were victims of sexual abuse
5	would contact him at home?
6	MR. QUINN: Yes.
7	MS. DALEY: And Officer Dunlop told you that
8	he would speak to these people and that he would refer them
9	to the police departments?
10	MR. QUINN: That was my understanding,
11	talking to him, that he'd refer them to whatever police
12	department's in their jurisdiction.
13	MS. DALEY: Do you know if he ever referred
14	any of these individuals who said they were victims to the
15	Cornwall Police Service?
16	MR. QUINN: My understanding is he did.
17	MS. DALEY: And did you come to understand
18	from speaking to Dunlop that in addition to the referrals,
19	he also conducted interviews and made notes of what these
20	individuals had to say?
21	MR. QUINN: I know that he spoke to them.
22	Whether he made notes of all the people at interviews or
23	different people he spoke to like that, I don't know. Some
24	of them I'm assuming he did. Whether they were all done or
25	not, I don't know.

1	MS. DALEY: And did he ever inform you what
2	his purpose was in interviewing these folks and taking
3	notes of what they said?
4	MR. QUINN: No. I assume it would be that
5	he figured at some point-in-time somebody was going to be
6	coming to him to ask him about this stuff, and him being a
7	policeman, that's kind of what they do. Write stuff down.
8	MS. DALEY: Was it your impression from
9	speaking with Perry during this timeframe that he
10	considered himself acting as a police officer in taking
11	this information from people?
12	MR. QUINN: Yes.
13	MS. DALEY: So based on your discussion with
14	him, he appreciated that he was playing that role, even
15	though he was off on sick leave when he was dealing with
16	victims of crime?
17	MR. QUINN: That he was acting in the
18	capacity of being a police officer? He was acting what he
19	was trained as a policeman to be?
20	MS. DALEY: Yes.
21	MR. QUINN: And he was doing what he thought
22	was should be done and if that answers your question.
23	MS. DALEY: He took this seriously
24	MR. QUINN: Oh, yes.
25	MS. DALEY: he acted as a professional

1	in these interviews or at least to the best of his
2	abilities, that's what he did as you understood it?
3	MR. QUINN: My understanding was, yes.
4	MS. DALEY: And his expectation was some
5	days in the future, someone might come back to him, being a
6	police force or otherwise, and say, "Let's see your
7	interview notes; show me what you did". He expected that
8	that might happen in the future?
9	MR. QUINN: At the start, yes.
10	MS. DALEY: Officer Quinn, was I take it
11	you were aware of these events because of your close
12	relationship with Perry Dunlop?
13	MR. QUINN: Right.
14	MS. DALEY: To your knowledge, were other
15	members of the Cornwall Police Service aware that Officer
16	Dunlop was engaged in this activity when he was off on
17	leave?
18	MR. QUINN: I don't know. I through
19	rumour mill, I would say there was other involved to
20	know for sure if other people knew directly what or wasn't,
21	I don't know. I would assume there was.
22	MS. DALEY: Did you feel at all that it was
23	incumbent on you to inform your fellow officers or your
24	superior that Dunlop is in fact interviewing crime victims?
25	MR. QUINN: I think they were conducting

1	whatever my sense would be at the time that I'm not
2	involved in this investigation and should I start into this
3	I'd be getting the same thing that he would be getting
4	told, to stay out of it.
5	So my capacity at that point is he's
6	aware of where he's what he has and my understanding is
7	pretty much the Service is aware because you kept hearing
8	it steady from everybody that he's doing this, he's doing
9	that, and doing that again. So there was really nothing I
10	could add by informing anybody that they didn't already
11	know.
12	MS. DALEY: Were you afraid that if you
13	stuck your head over the trench a little bit and involved
14	yourself in this circumstance, that you'd be criticized by
15	your senior officers?
16	MR. QUINN: I wasn't afraid of it, but I
17	would be pretty sure that that's what would happen.
18	MS. DALEY: In any event, you felt it was
19	unnecessary because your perception was
20	MR. QUINN: Wasn't anything I was going to
21	add to it.
22	MS. DALEY: there was no secret. People
23	knew that Dunlop was interviewing assault victims?
24	MR. QUINN: That's correct.
25	MS. DALEY: All right.

1	Is there anything else during this window of
2	time while Officer Dunlop is away on sick leave that he
3	discussed with you that stands out in your mind as
4	significant? Significant to the issues we're concerned
5	with.
6	MR. QUINN: I'm not sure what you're asking
7	for here in that particular
8	MS. DALEY: Did is there anything else
9	that sticks out in terms of the investigations or the
10	interviews he was doing that you can remember him talking
11	to you about?
12	MR. QUINN: As a specific interview or
13	whatever?
14	MS. DALEY: Yes.
15	MR. QUINN: There was a number of them.
16	Like I don't know what other than saying there was
17	different people from different places that had called him
18	from all over the place, some just to say they support what
19	you're doing and encourage him to carry on. There was
20	others calling like they just wanted to air what they had
21	gone through in their lives. He would receive calls in
22	different hours.
23	So other than that I don't really know what
24	you're asking about.
25	MS. DALEY: All right.

1	MR. QUINN: If that's what you mean?
2	MS. DALEY: I guess that's the main activity
3	that you know Dunlop and his sorry. I guess you knew
4	that his wife was involved to a certain extent in some of
5	these victim interviews as well?
6	MR. QUINN: I believe she kind of got drawn
7	into it at some point, yeah.
8	MS. DALEY: And did you know his brother-in-
9	law, Carson Chisholm, Helen's brother?
10	MR. QUINN: I know him, yes.
11	MS. DALEY: Did you ever learn through
12	Dunlop that he was also involving Carson in some of these
13	victim interviews?
14	MR. QUINN: Perry didn't go into a lot of
15	detail as to who he went with or who came or where they
16	went with those. So I don't know who went with him to
17	these interviews or who didn't go.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: No, but were you aware
19	that Carson Chisholm was somehow involved in all of this?
20	MR. QUINN: By this time I am, yeah.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: By which time?
22	MR. QUINN: By the time this is by the
23	time we get to the point of it's going through trials and
24	stuff because now it's in the media.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.

1	MS. DALEY: All right.
2	I don't know if you'll agree with this way
3	of looking at it but one of the things that occurs to me
4	when I think about this circumstance I think it's a very
5	unusual circumstance that an off-duty police officer is the
6	focal point of crime victims who want to speak about their
7	problems and it's almost as though Officer Dunlop became an
8	alternate to the Cornwall Police Service. In other words,
9	he became the place to go to talk about abuse allegations.
10	Was that your perception of it also, sir?
11	MR. QUINN: Pretty much.
12	MS. DALEY: And I take it you found that
13	very extraordinary?
14	MR. QUINN: Different, yes.
15	MS. DALEY: Very different?
16	MR. QUINN: Yeah, very different.
17	MS. DALEY: Did you ever sense that your
18	senior officers at the Cornwall Police Service were
19	concerned about that? And let me back up and explain the
20	type of concern I mean.
21	If Officer if the public is flocking to
22	Officer Dunlop in preference to going to the Cornwall
23	Police to talk about a crime, surely that's a matter that
24	would concern the Cornwall Police Service?
25	MR. QUINN: You would think so.

1	MS. DALEY: Did you see any evidence or ever
2	hear people talk about that during this window of time?
3	MR. QUINN: That they were concerned that
4	people
5	MS. DALEY: Yes.
6	MR. QUINN: would go there rather than
7	come to the police department?
8	MS. DALEY: Yes.
9	MR. QUINN: I never heard anybody mention
10	anything to that effect from the police station, no.
11	MS. DALEY: Did you and Perry ever talk
12	about the unusual that unusual aspect of the
13	circumstances?
14	MR. QUINN: As to why they would come there?
15	MS. DALEY: Yes.
16	MR. QUINN: The impression that I would have
17	received I received from talking with Perry and listened
18	to it is that the people that were coming to Perry would
19	appear to have mistrust with the police and they having
20	talked amongst a lot of these people seemed to know one
21	another. Having talked to one another whether they would
22	get a word from one guy, "Who do I see or where would I go
23	for this?" and his name would pop up and somebody would
24	give him a number and they would give him a call.
25	He didn't solicit these calls. He didn't go

1	looking for these calls and a lot of them I think he found
2	annoying and he had enough grief of his own. He didn't
3	need to add to it.
4	MS. DALEY: But
5	MR. QUINN: But he handled it. He handled
6	the best if they came to him he didn't turn them away
7	either, so it's
8	MS. DALEY: As best we can gather from the
9	comments he made to you about this circumstance he thought
10	this was happening primarily because people were
11	mistrustful of Cornwall Police Service?
12	MR. QUINN: It would appear.
13	MS. DALEY: Did you agree with that
14	perception?
15	MR. QUINN: That they were mistrustful of
16	it?
17	MS. DALEY: Yes.
18	MR. QUINN: I would say I'd have to say
19	from what was happening that it would appear that they were
20	they had some mistrust. I don't know why but they would
21	have had mistrust of some sort.
22	MS. DALEY: Did Officer Dunlop ever talk to
23	you about sorry, let's back up.
24	Do you know from your conversations with
25	Officer Dunlop if he ever tried to instil trust in these

1	people, in other words to suggest to them, "Listen, you
2	know, if you're mistrustful of the police force you
3	shouldn't be"? Did that ever come up?
4	MR. QUINN: I don't know what his
5	conversation was with them or in that line. I don't know.
6	MS. DALEY: That's not something he ever
7	discussed with you?
8	MR. QUINN: No.
9	MS. DALEY: All right.
10	So then let's move on to the last timeframe
11	here and this is Officer Dunlop is now back to work. It's
12	May 1997 and we know he remains there until about June 2000
13	when he and his family move to B.C. So that's the
14	timeframe I want to talk to you about now. Okay?
15	MR. QUINN: Yeah.
16	MS. DALEY: Now, when he came back to work
17	was he working with you on the same team as he'd been on
18	before?
19	MR. QUINN: I'm not sure. I think so but
20	I'm not sure.
21	MS. DALEY: All right.
22	When he came back to work to the best of
23	your observation and obviously you've known this fellow a
24	long time as a friend
25	MR. QUINN: M'hm.

1	MS. DALEY: was he able to pick up and
2	get back into police work fairly readily or what was your
3	perception?
4	MR. QUINN: As you're saying that it would
5	appear to me that he was on the same shift and if I
6	remember correctly
7	MS. DALEY: I missed what you said there.
8	He was
9	MR. QUINN: I said as you say that, if I
10	remember correctly we were on the same shift at least for a
11	while.
12	MS. DALEY: Yes.
13	MR. QUINN: And I remember him being
14	assigned to the station for a long period of time. Bear
15	with me. There's a kind of like a bit of parts and
16	pieces but as I recall he was at the station for a fairly
17	lengthy period of time doing stuff like secondary
18	complaints and it was quite a bit of a period of time where
19	he was doing copying of all the stuff that he had or
20	processing all the stuff he had to be turned over to the
21	department and so
22	MS. DALEY: If I can help you with that
23	because we've heard a fair bit of evidence about that
24	occurrence here.
25	MR. QUINN: Yes.

1	MS. DALEY: And I'll put that in a timeframe
2	for you.
3	I think that from Officer Derochie's
4	evidence Perry was asked to provide a comprehensive
5	disclosure package, if you will, and a Will-Say Statement
6	and he worked on that from the beginning of the year 2000
7	to about April of 2000.
8	MR. QUINN: I don't know the time. That's -
9	- I can remember this happening but the time period as to
10	when that happened I'm not sure.
11	MS. DALEY: All right.
12	Just a few questions for you then about
13	MR. QUINN: Yes.
14	MS. DALEY: your discussions with
15	Officer Dunlop once he has returned to work. And let's
16	start with the disclosure topics since it's something that
17	you do remember.
18	Do you remember talking to him about his
19	involvement and disclosure on some criminal prosecutions?
20	MR. QUINN: No. No, I don't. I recall him
21	talking to me about I'm not sure what you're referring
22	to but
23	MS. DALEY: All right.
24	Well, we've spoken previously about the
25	interviews he conducted

1	MR. QUINN: Yes.
2	MS. DALEY: and notes and records that
3	he kept.
4	MR. QUINN: Yes, yes.
5	MS. DALEY: Did you understand that he was
6	obliged to disclose that to the Crown in some criminal
7	prosecutions?
8	MR. QUINN: My understanding was that that's
9	what he was doing when he was photocopying, all this stuff
10	was for this. My understanding also from the time period
11	that that had been done several times to several different
12	agencies prior to that.
13	MS. DALEY: I'm just wondering if you can
14	help us out a little bit because I gather you had some
15	conversation with Officer Dunlop about the disclosure?
16	MR. QUINN: Other than what was he other
17	than what he was doing, like that's what he well, kind
18	of what he was doing all day was photocopying this stuff to
19	disclose it, like that would have been it. Like if you're
20	asking me what type of disclosure he was doing or what was
21	in them or no.
22	MS. DALEY: Let me ask you this question, in
23	your conversations with him about this topic
24	MR. QUINN: Yes.
25	MS. DALEY: did he have any particular

1	attitude about what he was being asked to do or any
2	concerns about what he was being asked to what do you
3	recollect of your conversations?
4	MR. QUINN: Nothing unusual, just that he
5	was told to do this and he was doing it and handing it over
6	to them.
7	MS. DALEY: There's nothing else that he
8	spoke to you about in context of disclosure that sticks out
9	in your mind?
10	MR. QUINN: No.
11	MS. DALEY: After he returned to work did
12	you learn through discussions with him whether or not he
13	was continuing to be approached by victims outside the
14	office?
15	MR. QUINN: I can't say for sure. My
16	recollection is it probably was but I can't say for sure.
17	MS. DALEY: Do you know whether or not he
18	informed other officers, apart from you, about that, in
19	other words, to the extent that people were still
20	approaching him once he's back on the job; did he make
21	other people aware of that at CPS
22	MR. QUINN: I
23	MS. DALEY: apart from yourself?
24	MR. QUINN: do not know.
25	MS. DALEY: Did you ever give him any advice

1	or your own thoughts about whether he sorry, about that
2	issue?
3	MR. QUINN: About the issue of people
4	approaching him?
5	MS. DALEY: Yes.
6	MR. QUINN: In my opinion, that was at
7	send them to a police station.
8	MS. DALEY: And you your advice to him
9	was direct anybody who approaches you to
10	MR. QUINN: Send them to a police station
11	-
12	MS. DALEY: the appropriate police.
13	MR. QUINN: let them do it do it the
14	process do it file a complaint and do what they got
15	to do.
16	MS. DALEY: Did he have a reaction to that?
17	MR. QUINN: He'd say he'd sent them and some
18	of them would come back and had been basically turned away
19	and at that point, he felt obligated to do something for
20	them.
21	MS. DALEY: What did he feel obligated to do
22	in respect of people who had been turned away by the police
23	force?
24	MR. QUINN: Mostly, listen to their
25	complaint. Most of them wanted somebody to listen to their

1	complaint and listen to what they what had happened to
2	them was my understanding.
3	MS. DALEY: Listen and take no further
4	action or listen and do something?
5	MR. QUINN: Well, he wasn't in a capacity, I
6	don't think, to go out and prosecute or lay charges, per
7	se, but what he was basically doing was compiling this
8	stuff and somehow, I believe I believe that he thought
9	somewhere in the future that it would proceed; like it
10	somehow would somehow get off the ground and proceed, that
11	somewhere along the line somebody would pick it up and
12	start with it. Now, I when we go back to, I think, when
13	Project Truth starts, I think a lot of the stuff that went
14	to him, he would just refer it off to Project Truth people.
15	If I recall correctly that's what happened when and
16	probably that's what's happening when he's back to work at
17	that time. Project Truth, I believe, is probably still in
18	gear at that point and if they would call, he would refer
19	them to the OPP guys.
20	MS. DALEY: Did you and Officer Dunlop
21	discuss his feelings about Project Truth or his attitude
22	towards how Project Truth was conducting its investigation?
23	MR. QUINN: I don't recall any specific
24	conversations about it.

MS. DALEY: Based on your knowledge of

1	Dunlop and the conversations you did have, did Officer
2	Dunlop believe that Project Truth was being conducted in an
3	appropriate way? In other words, did
4	MR. CARROLL: I understood the witness I
5	understood the witness to say that he did not have any
6	discussions with Dunlop about his feelings or anything
7	about Project Truth and now there's a suggestion going to
8	be made or a proposition that it may he may well have
9	and I don't know what the content of the suggestion is, but
10	in my respective submission, the answer's been given; he
11	did not discuss with Dunlop and that any aspect of
12	Project Truth.
13	MS. DALEY: You can rule on this question.
14	All I wanted to ask this gentleman is whether, based on
15	discussions with Officer Dunlop, Dunlop communicated that
16	he had confidence in Project Truth or otherwise.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: I'll permit the question.
18	Did he ever talk to you about what he thought about Project
19	Truth?
20	MR. QUINN: He had hopes that it would
21	basically find the same results as he did and I think for a
22	lot of it, they did. Some of it, they didn't; some of it,
23	they did. I think he was disappointed in in some of the
24	things it found and that he was happy with some of the
25	other things they found so

1	MS. DALEY: It was a bit of a mixed bag.
2	MR. QUINN: Yeah.
3	MS. DALEY: That's fine. Two final topics I
4	I want to deal with and one is Officer Dunlop's role as
5	a witness in some of the Project Truth and other
6	prosecutions. Is that something that you and he talked
7	about? Were you aware that he gave evidence at preliminary
8	inquiries, for example?
9	MR. QUINN: Yes. Yes.
10	MS. DALEY: And whether on a friendship
11	basis or otherwise, did did he talk to you about how he
12	felt about that experience and his role
13	MR. QUINN: Yes.
14	MS. DALEY: in it? Can you share with
15	us what he said?
16	MR. QUINN: His feeling was that the
17	during those times that he testified as a witness that it
18	became more of less interest in the investigation of what
19	he'd done and the facts of the case and more of a personal
20	attack on him and his character and there was more of a
21	personal attack with these things and rather than a
22	trial. It was kind of like a public forum for everybody to
23	take a free shot at him.
24	MS. DALEY: Did Officer Dunlop, based on
25	your knowledge of him, have any particular difficulties

1	giving testimony? Obviously I'm assuming he gave	e testimony
2	frequently as police officer.	
3	MR. QUINN: Fairly frequently.	
4	MS. DALEY: Did he suggest to you	that the
5	way he was treated as a witness in the Project Tr	ruth
6	matters was unusual?	
7	MR. QUINN: In his opinion, it was	· .
8	MS. DALEY: All right. We spoke a	little
9	bit about media; the media attention in Cornwall	to this
10	situation. Did you follow the media reports abou	ıt Officer
11	Dunlop, Project Truth, the outcome of	
12	MR. QUINN: Have I read them, is t	hat what
13	you're saying?	
14	MS. DALEY: Yes.	
15	MR. QUINN: Have I looked in the 1	ocal
16	paper? Pretty much, yes. I haven't read all the	e papers,
17	but the local paper, yes.	
18	MS. DALEY: And did you ever become	ne aware in
19	or about the year 2000 of a website that was oper	rating in
20	Cornwall called	
21	MR. QUINN: I'd heard about it.	
22	MS. DALEY: Project Truth?	
23	MR. QUINN: I'd heard about the we	ebsite, but
24	I've never I'm not a computer person like as f	ar as if
25	you give it to me and I'll give it back to him ne	ever work

1	again, but apart from that
2	MS. DALEY: Did you and Officer Dunlop ever
3	discuss that website?
4	MR. QUINN: Oh, we may have, I don't know.
5	To be quite honest, probably, but I it's another one of
6	those like conversations that kind of like you talk about
7	anything as far as I can pay a little attention to him.
8	May have.
9	MS. DALEY: Do do you know does it
10	stick in your mind at all that Officer Dunlop thought of
11	the website as a way to disseminate information about abuse
12	in Cornwall?
13	MR. QUINN: I don't know that he was
14	involved with it. I don't know if he was. I don't think
15	he was. I don't know. I don't think he was involved with
16	it. As as far as like putting stuff on it or something
17	that that I'm aware of anyway, I don't know.
18	MS. DALEY: Did you ever know whether or not
19	he was the source of some of the information that found
20	itself there?
21	MR. QUINN: Like I told you before, I don't
22	thing he was involved with it, as far as I know.
23	He got blamed for a lot of stuff he didn't
24	have any any contact with.
25	MS. DALEY: I'm sorry?

1	MR. QUINN: I said he got blamed for a lot
2	of stuff by a lot of people he had no contact with.
3	MS. DALEY: Not blaming, I'm just wondering
4	what he would have said to you about this, if anything.
5	And I'm taking it from your answers that if you discussed
6	the website, nothing of any significance sticks out, in
7	terms of, his views of it or his involvement in it?
8	MR. QUINN: No.
9	MS. DALEY: All right. Give me one second,
10	I'm almost finished.
11	All right, just a few questions on a
12	different topic and that has to do with Mr. Seguin, Ken
13	Seguin, the probation officer
14	MR. QUINN: Okay.
15	MS. DALEY: who was also identified in
16	Mr. Silmser's statement.
17	MR. QUINN: Yes.
18	MS. DALEY: Did you know via your wife or
19	via your then-wife, Ms. Quinn, or through your own
20	experience as a police officer anything about Ken Seguin's
21	reputation as a probation officer?
22	MR. QUINN: As to what type of probation
23	officer he was?
24	MS. DALEY: Yes, yes.
25	MR. QUINN: My understanding and it's

1	that he was average, nothing spectacular or nothing offbeat
2	about it, just I know he was a probation officer. I hadn't
3	heard any complaints of anything or anywhere. So other
4	than him being a probation officer and no complaints,
5	that's all I can tell you.
6	MS. DALEY: Did you understand that by the
7	year 1993, for example, Mr. Seguin was the most senior
8	probation officer in the office where
9	MR. QUINN: I didn't know
10	MS. DALEY: your wife had worked.
11	MR. QUINN: I didn't know what the ranks
12	were in there.
13	MS. DALEY: Didn't know that? Did you ever
14	learn through your ex-wife or otherwise that, prior to his
15	death, which is in November of 1993
16	MR. QUINN: Yes.
17	MS. DALEY: people in the probation
18	officer probation office seemed to be aware that there
19	was a complaint with the police about Mr. Seguin?
20	MR. QUINN: Are you saying am I aware that
21	there was a complaint about him prior to his death?
22	MS. DALEY: No, let me revise my question,
23	that wasn't very clear obviously. We've heard from other
24	witnesses who were probation employees, not your spouse
25	necessarily but others, that in the months before Mr.

those places.

1	Seguin killed himself, probation office employees, other
2	officers, were hearing through the rumour mill that there
3	was a police complaint of some sort launched against Ken
4	Seguin. Was that ever something that you knew about,
5	whether via your wife
6	MR. QUINN: I would think by that period
7	that rumour mill was probably in the police department as
8	well.
9	MS. DALEY: All right.
10	MR. QUINN: Now, if I've got the timeframe
11	right here, that would be in about the same time you're
12	referring to most of this stuff happening with with
13	Perry Dunlop so obviously, I would know about that at that
14	point. And at that point, as I recall, there's a rumour
15	mill the point and at that point, as I recall, there's a
16	rumour mill at that point going within the Police
17	Department and my guess would be pretty much through being
18	the town that it is, through the entire legal community.
19	Like I would assume there's probably lawyers
20	that knew about it. I would assume the Probation office
21	would know about it. The Police Department, people in
22	there would know about it. Probably people down at the
23	jail would probably know about it being the community
24	being what it is and I would think it's probably around all

1	MS. DALEY: All right.
2	So it wouldn't surprise you at all to the
3	proposition that there was a rumour mill about a possible
4	investigation or a criminal complaint about Ken
5	MR. QUINN: Would it surprise me that that
6	was there, no.
7	MS. DALEY: out there in the community,
8	right. I take it that doesn't surprise you at all?
9	MR. QUINN: No.
10	MS. DALEY: Thank you very much. Those are
11	my questions.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
13	Mr. Paul.
14	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning, Mr. Paul.
16	MR. PAUL: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner.
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. PAUL:
18	MR. PAUL: Mr. Quinn, I appear for the
19	Coalition for Action which is a citizens group.
20	MR. QUINN: Their mandate is what?
21	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry?
22	MR. QUINN: And they are for what? What are
23	you representing now?
24	MR. PAUL: Oh, the Coalition for Action
25	which is a citizens group.

1	MR. QUINN: And there to do?
2	MR. PAUL: They are a citizens group that
3	was originally founded for the purpose of trying to
4	establish this Inquiry.
5	MR. QUINN: Okay.
6	MR. PAUL: I wanted to clarify one point of
7	your evidence, a question that you just answered with Ms.
8	Daley. It was about the Project Truth trials and you
9	indicated that Perry Dunlop had been under the impression
10	that at some point it became it became in the nature of
11	personal attacks on him?
12	MR. QUINN: That's correct.
13	MR. PAUL: And I just wanted to ask you if
14	you discussed with him or if you had any impression of how
15	he felt about the level of support he was receiving from
16	the Cornwall Police Service while he was feeling he was
17	under attack?
18	MR. QUINN: Which members of the service are
19	you referring to?
20	MR. PAUL: The service as a whole,
21	particularly the management.
22	MR. QUINN: I think of the management he
23	felt probably no support whatsoever. From rank-and-file
24	there would be some that would support him strongly, some
25	that wouldn't and there's an awful lot would be

1	noncommittal one way or the other.
2	MR. PAUL: All right. That brought me to
3	another question.
4	Would you agree that perhaps before the
5	Silsmer incident Constable Dunlop was a relatively popular
6	officer?
7	MR. QUINN: Yes, he was.
8	MR. PAUL: And after the situation changed
9	after that?
10	MR. QUINN: Yes.
11	MR. PAUL: Now, apart from you, were there
12	other officers that continued to support him?
13	MR. QUINN: I'm sure there was.
14	MR. PAUL: But you would have been the most
15	vocal or the strongest supporter?
16	MR. QUINN: Well, I don't know who else
17	would have been in contact with him. I don't know who else
18	from the service that would visit. Like I had said
19	earlier, there's Perry and I had a close friendship,
20	still do, and I guess yeah, I would be very vocal.
21	MR. PAUL: Was there ever any open animosity
22	towards Constable Dunlop while he performed
23	MR. QUINN: By members of the service?
24	MR. PAUL: Yes.
25	MR. QUINN: Yes.

1	MR. PAUL: And how did that come out or what
2	kind of examples could you give?
3	MR. QUINN: Most of the stuff is stuff that
4	people would say someplace. Either they felt threatened by
5	him or misinterpret maybe what he said that has some type
6	of personal attack. I don't think you can go anywhere or
7	anybody does anything that you are going to have 100
8	percent agreement to what somebody does and some people
9	felt that he was was definitely wrong. I mean, it was
10	attacking him and they were being defensive in what they
11	were saying.
12	So, yes, some would support and some
13	weren't.
14	MR. PAUL: Did you ever become aware of any
15	some incident after Perry Dunlop comes back to duties
16	where he has a concern that he's not getting what he feels
17	is back-up while he's out on patrol?
18	MR. QUINN: I don't think on the patrol, at
19	calls, that anybody ever suffered from no one going to back
20	him up and not supporting him. I think he felt that if he
21	had to make a decision call someplace as to whether the
22	management would back-up his decision, then that might be
23	in jeopardy. They might question his decision, what he
24	decided to do at any particular call. They might not back
25	that up, but as far as like going to a call and somebody

1	being there to if you were in trouble is there people
2	going to come, people are going to come.
3	MR. PAUL: Now, just moving away from Perry
4	Dunlop for a moment and looking at yourself, did you ever
5	think or feel that you were suffering any reprisals or
6	punishment because of your friendship with Perry Dunlop?
7	MR. QUINN: One, it wouldn't have mattered
8	to me and, two, no.
9	MR. PAUL: No, all right.
10	Was there any animosity towards you for your
11	support within the police force for your support of Perry
12	Dunlop?
13	MR. QUINN: Again, it wouldn't have mattered
14	to me.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: That's okay, but we want
16	to know whether or not you felt any animosity.
17	MR. QUINN: I don't think so, no.
18	MR. PAUL: Now, just a few questions about
19	your role in the Association.
20	I realize you were in Association '93. How
21	far back did that go that you're on the board or some role
22	in the Association?
23	MR. QUINN: Off and on?
24	MR. PAUL: Yes.
25	MR. QUINN: Probably since, I'm guessing,

1	about 1978, thereabouts.
2	MR. PAUL: And you had indicated that there
3	were some difficulties in terms of the relationship with
4	the Chief of Police.
5	I just want to ask you, the source of that -
6	- would some of the source of that be the Chief's different
7	background being from the RCMP and being less familiar with
8	unionized policing?
9	MR. QUINN: I'm sorry, run that by me again.
10	MR. PAUL: Some of the friction with the
11	Chief of Police.
12	MR. QUINN: Yes.
13	MR. PAUL: Would you view some of the
14	friction being from the fact that the Chief of Police had a
15	background in the RCMP where they don't have a union?
16	MR. QUINN: I don't know that that would be
17	the causes of friction or not. It may be a contributing
18	factor.
19	MR. PAUL: Just as an example, your
20	reference to Chief wanting people to do unpaid work, I
21	understand that perhaps that would be more common in an
22	environment where there isn't a union like the RCMP as
23	opposed to
24	MR. QUINN: If I recall correctly, I think
25	that was the reference you made that they did that there.

1	MR. PAUL: Pardon me?
2	MR. QUINN: If I recall correctly, I think
3	at the time that was kind of the reference that we were
4	like well, "Do you know anybody that does that?" and I
5	think his reply was, "Well, yeah, the RCMP would do that".
6	MR. PAUL: Now, are you familiar with a
7	situation around 1990 where the senior management Staff
8	Sergeants wanted the Chief of Police to be removed? Do yo
9	have any recollection of that?
10	MR. QUINN: There was some, as I recall,
11	some friction between mid-management and upper-management
12	at that time. Just exactly what it was, I as a constable
13	wasn't privy to that.
14	MR. PAUL: All right.
15	Were you in the Association as a Vice-
16	President at that time?
17	MR. QUINN: Timeframe, I don't know. Off
18	and on, different spells in and out of there, yes.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Did you know that the
20	Staff Sergeants had written the letter and signed a
21	petition kind of
22	MR. QUINN: I heard that, yes.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
24	MR. PAUL: I take it then from your answer
25	you didn't have any part in either supporting it or being

1	against it. You were not involved?
2	MR. QUINN: No.
3	MR. PAUL: Another aspect to the
4	Association; Constable Sebalj of course would also be a
5	member of the Association?
6	MR. QUINN: Yes.
7	MR. PAUL: And I realize you had a lot of
8	contact with Constable Dunlop but would I be correct to
9	understand that while the Silmser incident is going on, you
10	didn't have any contact with Constable Sebalj over that
11	incident?
12	MR. QUINN: I did not.
13	MR. PAUL: Okay. Was Constable Sebalj
14	someone you were close to?
15	MR. QUINN: I knew her.
16	MR. PAUL: Okay. Would she be in the same
17	team with you at any point?
18	MR. QUINN: At some point. At that
19	particular point, no, but at some point through her career
20	I believe she was.
21	MR. PAUL: So as far as the Association was
22	any concern she had were they left to another officer;
23	she dealt with another officer because you were dealing
24	with Constable Dunlop?
25	MR. QUINN: If she dealt with somebody on

1	the Association she probably would have dealt with someone
2	else.
3	MR. PAUL: And you have no knowledge who she
4	would have dealt with?
5	MR. QUINN: No.
6	MR. PAUL: I just want to ask you, are you
7	aware whether do you have any knowledge whether the
8	management in the Cornwall Police, while the Silmser
9	investigation's going on, had any to your knowledge did
10	management have any bias for any reason in favour of
11	Charlie MacDonald or Ken Seguin?
12	MR. QUINN: Would they have had bias to it?
13	I have no knowledge of what
14	MR. PAUL: To your knowledge, was there any
15	association between the Chief and these individuals such as
16	Father Charlie Macdonald or
17	MR. QUINN: Rumour.
18	MR. PAUL: In terms of you were aware of
19	some rumour? You're talking about rumour. When, at the
20	time or after the thing became public?
21	MR. QUINN: More so after things became
22	public. Prior to that, I don't know who the Chief
23	associated with or not. We don't travel in the same
24	circles.
25	MR. PAUL: Okay. Are you talking about

1	in terms of rumour, are you talking about discussions with
2	Perry Dunlop?
3	MR. QUINN: I'm sorry?
4	MR. PAUL: Are you talking about when you
5	say rumour, are you talking about your discussions with
6	Perry Dunlop?
7	MR. QUINN: No, I'm talking discussions with
8	a variety of people.
9	MR. PAUL: These are other members of the
10	Cornwall Police?
11	MR. QUINN: Yeah.
12	MR. PAUL: And were you under the impression
13	that there was some association between Chief Shaver and
14	any of these people.
15	MR. QUINN: I had no direct knowledge of an
16	association of Chief Shaver with any of these people. As I
17	said before, there was stories; there are stories about
18	everything. I had no direct knowledge.
19	MR. PAUL: M'hm. In terms of your dealing
20	with Constable Dunlop, I think you were under the
21	impression that management, after the release of the
22	Silmser statement to the Children's Aid, you were under the
23	impression that management was upset with Perry Dunlop?
24	MR. QUINN: My impression was that they
25	believed that he did it.

1	MR. PAUL: Believed? Sorry, I didn't hear
2	that.
3	MR. QUINN: My impression was that they
4	believed that it was Perry that was responsible for this
5	going to the press. And from talking to Perry he was as
6	every bit upset that it was there as they were. So it
7	wasn't him.
8	MR. PAUL: So in terms of management being
9	upset was your impression that they were upset by going to
10	the media or were they also upset by going to the
11	Children's Aid originally?
12	MR. QUINN: They were upset with both.
13	MR. PAUL: Okay. And was it your impression
14	that Chief of Police himself was upset with Perry Dunlop?
15	MR. QUINN: Chief of Police really didn't
16	confide in me but my impression would be yes.
17	MR. CALLAGHAN: Perhaps we should have some
18	clarity. We have Chief Shaver until some time in December
19	and Chief Johnston, it's only after the release after
20	the press, the Chief that's fine.
21	MR. PAUL: We're you under the impression
22	that Chief Shaver himself would have been upset with Perry
23	Dunlop?
24	MR. QUINN: That would be my impression.
25	MR. PAUL: And, so I understand what what

1	I understand is that directly from Chief Shaver or is it
2	somebody else that told you that?
3	MR. QUINN: Like I said, the Chief wasn't in
4	the practice of confiding with me
5	MR. PAUL: Yes.
6	MR. QUINN: for anything, so, no, I have
7	no direct he doesn't talk to me like
8	MR. PAUL: He would have heard that from
9	other officers?
10	MR. QUINN: Basically, the atmosphere and
11	other officers, yeah.
12	MR. PAUL: And in terms of the counselling
13	and accepting the counselling, you indicated that, I think,
14	one concern was that it if Perry believed that he was right
15	in terms of his conduct that he shouldn't have to accept
16	counselling. That was one of the things that you brought
17	up.
18	MR. QUINN: Yes.
19	MR. PAUL: But just apart from that was
20	there a concern that if he accepted the counselling and if
21	there was some grudge or anger against him it may not end
22	there, that that may just be the beginning of his troubles?
23	MR. QUINN: I believe he would have thought
24	may have thought that. If the incident was like I
25	said again prior to with all due respect to Staff

1	Sergeant Derochie and his intentions of bringing that
2	forth, I believe he was forthright in doing that and honest
3	in his intent to do that.
4	But until such time as it's down and solid
5	to prevent that very thing happening, then once it was down
6	and saying everything was dealt with up to that point and
7	done, then it isn't going any farther than that.
8	I don't know if that answers your question
9	or not, but yeah, that would be a concern.
10	MR. PAUL: Even if it was all done and
11	signed in paper, was there also some concern that even if
12	there was a minor punishment that it might not end there;
13	if there was a vendetta or anger at Perry Dunlop that his
14	difficulties weren't going to end there?

MR. QUINN: I would think that would be prevalent no matter which way it went. If there was going to be a vendetta whether he went to a trial and was acquitted or whether he accepted minor discipline and it was over -- if there was going to be a vendetta after -- that would have happened either way. So I don't know what relevance that would have.

MR. PAUL: In any event, ultimately -- when the decision of the Board of Inquiry was made at that point did you feel essentially that you had given Perry Dunlop the correct advice or correct assistance when it came down

1	in his favour?
2	MR. QUINN: My opinion would be yes.
3	MR. PAUL: Now and apart from other
4	concerns about accepting accepting the counselling, it
5	is believed that he had acted appropriately. Did you also
6	have a concern that it wasn't fair to him for him to be
7	counselled and there to be no obvious discipline to other
8	people involved in the Silmser investigation?
9	MR. QUINN: I'm sorry; I don't follow your
10	question. I'm not sure what you are asking here.
11	MR. PAUL: Back then, to your knowledge, was
12	it your impression that the Silmser investigation perhaps
13	wasn't handled very well?
14	MR. QUINN: Was it my belief that it wasn't
15	handled very well?
16	MR. PAUL: Yes.
17	MR. QUINN: Is that what you're asking me?
18	MR. PAUL: Yes.
19	MR. QUINN: My belief is that it wasn't
20	handled very well, yes.
21	MR. PAUL: And, was it your belief that it
22	might be unfair for Perry Dunlop to be counselled while
23	there wasn't any obvious discipline or counselling to other
24	people who were actually conducting investigation?
25	MR. QUINN: Yes.

1	MR. PAUL: I wanted to refer you to one part
2	of there's a portion of Helen Dunlop's evidence. It
3	would be at Volume 139, page 63.
4	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
5	MR. COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
6	MR. PAUL: It would be at page 63 line
7	starting at line 17. And it would go onto page 64 the
8	end of the answer at line 3.
9	MR. QUINN: To line what on 64?
10	MR. PAUL: Next page, 64, the end of that
11	answer at line 3.
12	MR. QUINN: Yes.
13	MR. PAUL: And you've had a chance to read
14	that?
15	MR. QUINN: Yes.
16	MR. PAUL: Helen Dunlop is indicating that
17	you perhaps had some concerns because of a previous
18	incident with you about what the reaction might be to Perry
19	Dunlop.
20	MR. QUINN: Well, yes, okay.
21	MR. PAUL: And in terms of, I just want to
22	ask, do you recall that conversation?
23	MR. QUINN: I recall being there and
24	basically the gist of that conversation, yes.
25	MR. PAUL: And would that what specific

1	part of the Silmser case would that be a response to? Is
2	that what part of Perry Dunlop's involvement would you
3	be talking about?
4	MR. QUINN: I think this is, if I recall
5	correctly, this is about the time this first starts before
6	probably in and around the time of Staff Sergeant
7	Derochie's wanting to end this with the
8	MR. COMMISSIONER: Counselling.
9	MR. QUINN: Yes.
10	MR. PAUL: Now would it be fair to say that
11	while you supported Perry Dunlop's actions you had some
12	reservations or concerns about how it could affect him?
13	MR. QUINN: I had, yes.
14	MR. PAUL: So it would be fair to say that
15	while you are supporting him you weren't necessarily really
16	pushing him hard. You were letting him make the decisions?
17	MR. QUINN: Yes.
18	MR. PAUL: Because you had some concerns
19	about how it could affect his career and family?
20	MR. QUINN: Yes.
21	MR. PAUL: Just finally, I think you had
22	also indicated, I believe, yesterday in your evidence that
23	Perry Dunlop had told you his main concern initially was if
24	the allegations against the persons in the Silmser case
25	that were mentioned in the Silmser case were true that

1	there would be a potential risk to the community.
2	MR. QUINN: I'm sorry. Run that by me
3	again.
4	MR. PAUL: I think you had indicated that
5	Perry Dunlop had expressed his main concern at the time as
6	being that if there was truth to the allegations in the
7	Silmser case that there could be an ongoing risk to the
8	community that hadn't been addressed.
9	MR. QUINN: Yeah. As I said before, his
10	main concern at this at the start was that if there was
11	substance to the allegations, okay, if there was substance
12	to the allegation that these people would still be members
13	active members that would have contact with young people
14	within the community and that certain agencies within the
15	community should be made aware of that to in case
16	further improprieties would take place.
17	MR. PAUL: And I want to ask you while you
18	were assisting, giving advice to Perry Dunlop is that
19	that concern he had, is that something you shared and
20	agreed with?
21	MR. QUINN: I agreed with him.
22	MR. PAUL: Thank you. Those are my
23	questions.
24	MR. COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
25	We'll take the morning break.

1	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. A l'ordre;
2	veuillez vous lever.
3	This hearing will resume at 11:15 a.m.
4	Upon recessing at 11:03 a.m./
5	L'audience est suspendue à 11h03
6	Upon resuming at 11:22 a.m./
7	L'audience est reprise à 11h22
8	THE REGISTRAR: This hearing is now resumed.
9	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
10	MR. COMMISSIONER: Mr. Lee.
11	MR. LEE: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning, sir.
13	MICHAEL QUINN, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
15	MR. LEE:
16	MR. LEE: Constable Quinn, my name is Dallas
17	Lee; I'm counsel for the victims' group.
18	I have a few areas I'd like to talk to you
19	about but I won't be terribly long with you.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Don't believe that.
21	MR. LEE: You spoke to us yesterday a little
22	bit about when you came to learn of the entire DS situation
23	and about the statement going
24	MR. CALLAGHAN: Mr. Commissioner, I thought
25	we were going to limit our questions to areas which our

1	clients have an interest. His clients, I don't believe,
2	were involved in the DS situation.
3	We just heard a lot and obviously Ms.
4	Daley has a wider interest as does Mr. Paul, but I don't
5	know if we're going to go over all that old ground again.
6	And, as I said, I don't know if his clients
7	he doesn't act for Mr. Silmser or a complainant or an
8	accused or anything of that nature.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Good. Well, we'll see
10	and we'll remember that.
11	Okay. So what's you're argument then?
12	MR. LEE: A similar objection was raised a
13	couple of weeks ago by Mr. Manderville and I suppose I'll
14	reiterate what I said then.
15	And I think it would be helpful, Mr.
16	Commissioner, if we got came to some kind of
17	understanding on this and got some direction from you on
18	where I'm allowed to go and where I'm not allowed to go.
19	Again, as I stated a couple of weeks ago, I
20	think I do have a fairly broad interest here.
21	Mr. Callaghan is right, that I don't
22	represent Mr. Silmser; I represent an identifiable group of
23	people who have come together as the victims' group,
24	seeking representation, but I am the only person in this
25	room who is counsel to victims and alleged victims of

1 abuse.

My mandate from them includes not only representing their individual interests but representing the interests of victims generally as they relate to institutional responses.

Mr. Silmser came forward with allegations against Ken Seguin, against Father Charles MacDonald. I represent alleged victims of both those men. I represent alleged victims and victims of people who dealt with the Cornwall Police Service. I represent victims and alleged victims of people who dealt with the Children's Aid Society, of which this relates.

My clients are interested in what the Cornwall Police's understanding of the duty to report was. My clients are interested in how the Cornwall Police treated Mr. Dunlop in the sense that whether or not it may have at some point had a chilling effect on the way that other officers dealt with complaints and whether or not, for that matter, it would have had a chilling effect possibly on victims and alleged victims of abuse coming forward.

These are all issues, I would submit, that are directly related to my client and I say that I'm entitled to go here. I don't intend to be repetitive. I don't intend to go into areas that -- just for the fun of

25

1	it. These are areas that are important to this Inquiry and
2	I think I bring a unique perspective and that I should be
3	permitted to ask these questions.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
5	Let me just say that I'm quite interested in
6	the objection that was raised in the sense that I will be
7	asking people what are their interests in pursuing those
8	matters, because I do believe that people should stay
9	closer to their interests than wander about and I will be
10	holding people to that.
11	With respect to you and your argument, I
12	find that there's some merit to it and I will permit you to
13	ask those questions at this time and we'll either get
14	another objection or I'll stop you if I think you're going
15	too far afield.
16	MR. LEE: Thank you.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
18	MR. LEE: Constable Quinn, what I wanted to
19	start with was the area as I understood it, your
20	evidence yesterday in-Chief, you first spoke to Perry
21	Dunlop about the Silmser situation after he had spoken to
22	the CAS. Is that correct?
23	MR. QUINN: That's my understanding, yes. I

81

MR. LEE: You don't have any recollection

believe that it was after that had all taken place.

1	of Mr. Dunlop coming to you before he went to the CAS to
2	ask for advice on that?
3	MR. QUINN: No, I'm sure he didn't.
4	MR. LEE: You seemed to be unsure yesterday
5	though about whether or not you knew that Constable Dunlop
6	had spoken to Richard Abell from the CAS or whether or not
7	he had actually and advised them of generally what was
8	in the DS statement or whether he physically turned over
9	the statement to him. Do you recall that?
10	MR. QUINN: I recall what you're referring
11	to, yes.
12	MR. LEE: And the question I have for you,
13	is that an important distinction in your mind advising of
14	the contents of the statement versus turning over a
15	physical copy of the statement?
16	MR. QUINN: No.
17	MR. LEE: And what you told us yesterday was
18	it was one of those things like once you showed it to him
19	or gave it to him, it's one and the same thing pretty much.
20	Is that correct?
21	MR. QUINN: Well, that's what I mean by
22	there's no real distinction to me. Like if you show it to
23	you or give it to you, it's I don't really perceive much
24	difference in that.
25	MR. LEE: Is that your opinion today?

1	MR. QUINN: Yeah.
2	MR. LEE: And that would have been your
3	opinion back in 1993?
4	MR. QUINN: Yeah.
5	MR. LEE: Back in 1993, am I correct that
6	both you and Mr. Dunlop would have been constables with the
7	Cornwall Police?
8	MR. QUINN: Pretty much constable in my
9	career there, yeah.
10	MR. LEE: Right. And I think you told Ms.
11	Daley today you were probably on the same team during that
12	period?
13	MR. QUINN: Yeah.
14	MR. LEE: And at the very least, at some
15	point you were on the same team as Constable Dunlop?
16	MR. QUINN: Sorry, if you could just back it
17	up from there?
18	Are you saying when when the when this
19	just so I'm clear here, when you're saying when the
20	statement was given to the CAS, were we on the same team?
21	MR. LEE: Right.
22	MR. QUINN: Probably not. If I
23	MR. LEE: Okay.
24	MR. QUINN: if I recall correctly, I
25	think Perry at that time was may have been in the drug

1	branch or something or CIB someplace, so if I'm right in my
2	memory, no, not then.
3	MR. LEE: Okay. At various points in your
4	career prior to 1993, you and Mr. Dunlop had similar roles
5	in the CPS?
6	MR. QUINN: Yes.
7	MR. LEE: Speaking of you, had you had any
8	training by late 1993 relating to communications with the
9	CAS and how those should be done?
10	MR. QUINN: No.
11	MR. LEE: Had you any training relating to
12	inter-agency cooperation with the CAS?
13	MR. QUINN: There was kind of like a
14	standard ruling that I I believe that somewhere like
15	I'm going by memory here, so please don't quote me on this
16	exactly but as I understand it here, there was some
17	changes, as I remember, to the law someplace involving CAS
18	in that and how it came about I just don't remember
19	exactly, but it came to the point of that CAS had to be
20	notified about all child abuse or suspected child abuse or
21	any kind of sexual abuse, that it became law that they had
22	to be notified.
23	And just exactly when that became law or
24	when that became a fact, I'm not absolutely positive, but I
25	think it's probably shortly prior to that.

1	MR. LEE: So at some point, you recall being
2	advised of a change to the duty to report itself?
3	MR. QUINN: Yes.
4	MR. LEE: And
5	MR. QUINN: That's actually the, term of
6	duty to report, I believe.
7	MR. LEE: Sorry?
8	MR. QUINN: I think that's actually the
9	term, it was a "duty to report". And I think it applies to
10	like policing, nursing, school teachers and
11	MR. LEE: Do you recall having received any
12	direction or training on the mechanism or the procedure of
13	going about making a report?
14	MR. QUINN: To the actual procedure of how
15	it was to be done?
16	MR. LEE: Well, one thing is knowledge that
17	you have to make the report.
18	MR. QUINN: Yes.
19	MR. LEE: What I'm asking, were you ever
20	trained or given any direction on how you would go about
21	making that report once you deemed that you needed to do
22	it?
23	MR. QUINN: Now, as I recall, there probably
24	or the policy of it for it somewhere that may have
25	been in one of the policy procedure books someplace. What

1	it says exactly, I don't know.
2	Standard procedure, as I understood it,
3	being at that time, would be if you had the complaint, you
4	would call someone or make contact, depending on what
5	agency was involved with it. If it was an involvement
6	going that was going to be some kind of further follow-up
7	with SACA or CIB, they would usually take care of it.
8	If it was something where you went to a
9	domestic some place or other or it was some type of
10	suspicion someplace, if things weren't right in the family
11	home, you, as the officer, the investigating officer, would
12	contact CAS.
13	MR. LEE: Dependent on the situation
14	MR. QUINN: I don't know if that answers
15	your question or not?
16	MR. LEE: It does; just dependent on the
17	situation.
18	MR. QUINN: A lot.
19	MR. LEE: That was your understanding?
20	MR. QUINN: Yeah.
21	MR. LEE: Shifting focus, Constable, I want
22	to talk to you briefly about some of what you observed and
23	some of the discussions you had with Dunlop about some of
24	the pressures he was facing
25	MR. QUINN: Yes.

1	MR. LEE: after his disclosure of the
2	statement in '93.
3	And I want to start with the discussion that
4	Dunlop had with Luc Brunet, that you discussed with him,
5	okay?
6	MR. QUINN: Yes.
7	MR. LEE: And you had a discussion with
8	Perry Dunlop about that?
9	MR. QUINN: Yes.
10	MR. LEE: And you told us in-Chief that, as
11	I took it, your Mr. Dunlop advised you that Luc Brunet
12	had told him to stay out of it and to mind his own
13	business?
14	MR. QUINN: Essentially, yes.
15	MR. LEE: Paraphrasing, right?
16	MR. QUINN: Yes.
17	MR. LEE: I mean we're not
18	MR. QUINN: Yeah.
19	MR. LEE: And he also advised Mr. Dunlop
20	that Mr. Dunlop had been in trouble before, that he had a
21	job to look out for and that he had a family to look out
22	for. Is that correct?
23	MR. QUINN: Correct.
24	MR. LEE: And you had a specific discussion
25	with Perry Dunlop about that conversation?

1	MR. QUINN: Correct.
2	MR. LEE: Did Mr. Dunlop describe Mr.
3	Brunet's tone to you?
4	MR. QUINN: I don't know that I got into it
5	but the impression I had was that Staff Sergeant Brunet had
6	was upset and maybe angry.
7	MR. LEE: And that impression was something
8	you gleaned from Mr. Dunlop?
9	MR. QUINN: From discussion with Perry, yes.
10	MR. LEE: We know at some point that that
11	conversation was referred to by Mr. Dunlop as a threat.
12	Did he ever say so much to you?
13	MR. QUINN: He believed it was, yes.
14	MR. LEE: And he told you that?
15	MR. QUINN: Yes.
16	MR. LEE: Why did you suggest to Mr. Dunlop
17	that he may file a grievance as a result of that
18	conversation?
19	MR. QUINN: That's pretty much you're not
20	allowed to do that, the supervisor threatens someone's job
21	or that you just can't do that as a supervisor anywhere,
22	tell somebody they're going to do something or threaten
23	their job if they don't.
24	You can counsel them, you could lay charges
25	if you so desire, but you really can't threaten somebody

1	somehow.
2	I don't think at any employment place you
3	can do that.
4	MR. LEE: Was your was it your impression
5	that Mr. Dunlop took the conversation with Mr. Brunet
6	seriously?
7	MR. QUINN: Did he in what form, that Mr.
8	Brunet meant what he was saying?
9	MR. LEE: Well, I mean, you know we've had -
10	- there have been a couple of comments at this Inquiry that
11	somebody may have been beating his chest or somebody was
12	blowing hot air. Was it did Mr. Dunlop give you any
13	impression of whether or not he thought Mr. Brunet was just
14	grandstanding a little bit or whether he was taking it
15	seriously?
16	MR. QUINN: No, I think he took it serious.
17	MR. LEE: That was the impression you had?
18	MR. QUINN: Yes.
19	MR. LEE: Mr. Paul asked you about Mr.
20	Dunlop's treatment by other officers. Is it fair to say
21	that Mr. Dunlop was popular?
22	MR. QUINN: Prior to this?
23	MR. LEE: Prior to yeah.
24	MR. QUINN: Oh, yes.
25	MR. LEE: Prior to and you told Mr. Paul

1	that that changed after the Silmser investigation.
2	MR. QUINN: Yes.
3	MR. LEE: What I want to ask you, did you
4	discuss that change at all with Mr. Dunlop?
5	MR. QUINN: Was there talk with Perry about
6	
7	MR. LEE: Did you talk
8	MR. QUINN: all of a sudden they
9	wouldn't come to his place or wouldn't talk to him or
10	stayed clear of him, yes.
11	MR. LEE: And can you tell us what you
12	discussed with him, what he said to you what his feelings
13	were; what he actually communicated to you?
14	MR. QUINN: Well, no. He Perry was
15	and this is kind of like paraphrasing what happened. It's
16	not word-for-word conversation because this is other
17	conversations that we would have basically talking back-
18	and-forth when he is just kind of airing how his feelings
19	are so I'm not telling you this word-for-word.
20	MR. LEE: I understand that.
21	MR. QUINN: Okay. So the concern was that
22	Perry that people some people within the Service were
23	saying, okay, he portrays himself as a white knight and the
24	rest of us don't do anything, that the rest of us are not
25	doing our job. And, in fact, although some people may have

1	taken that from statements he Perry had often said it's
2	not what he meant. He wasn't criticizing people that he
3	worked with. He was not criticizing your standard road
4	patrol person. He had no problem with those people and the
5	job that they did.
6	His concern was with the people in charge,
7	the upper management of the place. But unfortunately some
8	people who were at ground level, street level, took the
9	statement to heart that he pointed directly at them, that
10	they were all being labelled or tarred with the same brush.
11	The unfortunate part was what he portrayed to me was that's
12	not what he meant. He had no real problem with the people
13	he worked with.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: So he was misunderstood?
15	MR. QUINN: I believe.
16	MR. LEE: Did Mr. Dunlop express to you
17	whether or not he was disappointed or frustrated or had any
18	other feeling about that?
19	MR. QUINN: About what?
20	MR. LEE: About the fact that he was being
21	misunderstood and was being treated differently after the
22	DS affair?
23	MR. QUINN: I think that's why he did he
24	was disappointed that he didn't he didn't know why they
25	were like this. He was he knew at the same time there

1	was nothing he could do about it so it was like it happens,
2	it happens.
3	MR. LEE: Did it seem to bother him?
4	MR. QUINN: Pardon me?
5	MR. LEE: Did it seem to bother him?
6	MR. QUINN: Yes.
7	MR. LEE: Sir, an area you haven't been
8	asked about at all yet is if I can have one moment, Mr.
9	Commissioner?
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
11	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
12	MR. LEE: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
14	MR. LEE: Constable Quinn, I want to talk to
15	you about a woman named Laurie Rupert.
16	MR. QUINN: Yes.
17	MR. LEE: Does that name mean something to
18	you?
19	MR. QUINN: I know who you mean, yes.
20	MR. LEE: And can you tell us who she is in
21	the context of dealing with Mr. Dunlop?
22	MR. QUINN: She had been charged with an
23	offence and just what it was I don't remember exactly but
24	she had conditions not to be within a certain distance of
25	the Dunlop residence. If I recall, the offence was

1	something of threats or something.
2	MR. LEE: Threats against the children.
3	MR. QUINN: Against the children.
4	MR. LEE: Against one of the children.
5	MR. QUINN: I believe she was unstable,
6	mentally unstable I believe at the time or was perceived to
7	be this, and she had conditions not to be within a certain
8	distance. At any rate, there was a call received that she
9	was within the area or within breach of the area of where
10	she was supposed to be. I got that call. I arrested her
11	and charged her.
12	MR. LEE: With breach of probation of
13	MR. QUINN: With breach of conditions, I
14	believe it was.
15	MR. LEE: And did you discuss that situation
16	with Mr. Dunlop at all?
17	MR. QUINN: Well, they would have been the
18	complainant, I believe.
19	MR. LEE: They being Mr. and Mrs. Dunlop, I
20	take it?
21	MR. QUINN: One or the other that I perceive
22	them as being as one and the same.
23	MR. LEE: And do you recall discussing
24	generally the issue with them either as the investigating
25	officer or as a friend?

25

94

MR. LEE: There was a reference made earlier

yeah, a lot. An awful lot.

1	today you had some knowledge of the fact that Mr. Dunlop
2	had been told that there may have been a plan to kill him?
3	MR. QUINN: Yes.
4	MR. LEE: Did you discuss that with Mr.
5	Dunlop as well?
6	MR. QUINN: He discussed it with me at one
7	point, yeah.
8	MR. LEE: Can you what observations did
9	you make during the time period that all this was going on
10	about how Mr. Dunlop was holding up?
11	MR. QUINN: He was, in my impression, was
12	under a lot of pressure. I guess for lack of a better term
13	we'd use the term as a "hurting unit" but
14	THE COMMISSIONER: A what?
15	MR. QUINN: A hurting unit. You would have
16	had a lot of pressure. He has had a lot of
17	THE COMMISSIONER: I just want a hurting
18	unit?
19	MR. QUINN: Yeah, well, kind of like it's
20	kind of a term you would have from, I guess, people in the
21	street. People think of a hurting unit as someone who is
22	sick or hurt, a lot of pressure on, things aren't going
23	good.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, fair enough.
25	MR. LEE: Did you ever become concerned as

1	Mr. Dunlop's friend that all of this was too much for him
2	to handle?
3	MR. QUINN: Yeah.
4	MR. LEE: Did you ever discuss that with Mr.
5	Dunlop? Did you ever make your concerns known?
6	MR. QUINN: Perry, I think, at that time was
7	that was probably part of my role, you know, when I said
8	before a lot of times he used it as a sounding board going
9	in. He needed somebody that really wasn't involved in what
10	he was doing but somebody he could kind of like unload to
11	or air out how he was feeling in different places going in.
12	I know that he had he had been seeing a
13	doctor at some point-in-time so I didn't discuss to say
14	like, you know, not my place and not my expertise to say
15	what you are capable or not capable of doing. But I have
16	my own personal feelings, yeah.
17	MR. LEE: Constable Quinn, you are a unique
18	witness at this Inquiry given that we're not going to hear
19	from very many people who were friends with Perry Dunlop
20	for as long as you were and you remain a friend today. Is
21	that right?
22	MR. QUINN: I would bet that, yeah.
23	MR. LEE: And we're not going to hear from
24	very many people who had the consistent contact you did
25	with him through the years.

1	MR. QUINN: That's probably right, yeah.
2	MR. LEE: You know, very open-ended kind of
3	way, I'm very interested in anything you can tell us about
4	what you observed in terms of how these events affected him
5	or what he was concerned about. Is there anything else you
6	want to bring to our attention here about that time?
7	MR. QUINN: You know, like I said, he was
8	very paranoid a lot. He didn't know he was very
9	concerned and then a lot of times that one of these days
10	they were going to come and kick the door of his house open
11	and go through his stuff, that he'd be picked off and
12	arrested at some point-in-time for something he didn't know
13	what.
14	He had no trust in anybody anymore from the
15	organization that he had worked with for pretty much most
16	of his adult life. He would again, very little faith
17	and very little trust in the religious organization that he
18	had spent the better part of his life being to.
19	I don't know what else I can tell you. He
20	was under a great deal of pressure, a great deal of
21	pressure.
22	MR. LEE: Well, I believe it was Ms. Daley
23	yesterday suggested to you that you were in a bit of a
24	unique position and you had two hats. You had the hat as a

97

close friend and you had the hat as a member of the CPA

1	Executive?
2	MR. QUINN: Yes.
3	MR. LEE: The Association Executive. And I
4	understand from your evidence today that you were speaking
5	with Mr. Dunlop regularly throughout the time that he was
6	living in Cornwall?
7	MR. QUINN: Yes.
8	MR. LEE: Even when he wasn't actively
9	working with the Cornwall Police?
10	MR. QUINN: Yes.
11	MR. LEE: What I'm not sure I understand is
12	whether or not he ever discussed with you the specific
13	orders that he began receiving from the management of the
14	Cornwall Police.
15	If I can give you an example he
16	MR. QUINN: Yes, do that.
17	MR. LEE: August, 1997, Inspector Trew
18	advised him to no longer speak to the media.
19	MR. QUINN: I hadn't heard that.
20	MR. LEE: Later on there come orders to
21	disclose any relevant information, documents I guess.
22	MR. QUINN: Yeah, he talked about that.
23	MR. LEE: He talked about that to you?
24	MR. QUINN: Well, he told me that he had
25	been told he had to go in and disclose all his stuff I

1	think that had been several times that he'd been told that
2	by different agencies and
3	MR. LEE: Was he seeking your advice in
4	those matters or was he
5	MR. QUINN: No.
6	MR. LEE: again, as you say, a sounding
7	board?
8	MR. QUINN: Just talking.
9	MR. LEE: Did you ever take it upon yourself
10	to offer some advice?
11	MR. QUINN: He was doing it. Every time
12	they'd ask him for it, my understanding was that he was
13	doing what he was asked to do.
14	MR. LEE: That was your understanding?
15	MR. QUINN: That was my understanding.
16	MR. LEE: And is it fair for me to summarize
17	your evidence in that your understanding is that you would
18	have been Mr. Dunlop's closest advisor within the Cornwall
19	Police Service during these periods?
20	MR. QUINN: I don't know about advisor, but
21	I'd be probably his closest friend.
22	MR. LEE: You were the one he was talking
23	to?
24	MR. QUINN: That's correct.
25	MR. LEE: And likely talking to the most; is

1	that right?
2	MR. QUINN: Probably.
3	MR. LEE: Did you, at any point, advise Mr.
4	Dunlop that he was in the wrong about anything?
5	MR. QUINN: No.
6	MR. LEE: Do you remember, at any point,
7	advising Mr. Dunlop to step back and to really take a hard
8	look at what he was doing and to think about things?
9	MR. QUINN: Yeah.
10	MR. LEE: Can you tell us about that?
11	MR. QUINN: That would probably be at the
12	start, when it first started on. He was explaining to me
13	what had happened, what was going on, and if I remember
14	correctly, I told him that that once this started, once
15	this got beyond a certain point that there'd be no turning
16	back and you'd best buckle up then for the ride because it
17	was going to get really, really rough.
18	MR. LEE: What about after that time; do you
19	recall expressing similar concerns to him?
20	MR. QUINN: I don't think so because I think
21	it pretty much aired out for itself after that.
22	MR. LEE: You Mr. Paul, for the Coalition
23	for Action, a little while ago, brought you to a transcript
24	of Helen Dunlop's testimony here
25	MR. QUINN: Yeah.

1	MR. LEE: and some advice her
2	relaying of a conversation where you offered them some
3	advice. I don't want to get into the details of your prior
4	experience, but it sounds from the story that Mrs. Dunlop
5	told us here and that you agreed with the gist of that you
6	had some prior experience with being treated unfairly by a
7	police department?
8	MR. QUINN: I don't know if it was unfairly
9	or not. What she's referring to is an incident that took
10	place in '81-'82 which I'd been charged with offences and -
11	
12	MR. LEE: With the Cornwall Police?
13	MR. QUINN: Well, as a policeman charged by
14	the OPP.
15	MR. LEE: Okay. And can I take it from this
16	story that Mrs. Dunlop told us that you saw at least some
17	similarities between what had happened with you and what
18	was happening with Mr. Dunlop?
19	MR. QUINN: I was familiar with what could
20	happen, yeah.
21	MR. LEE: And you were worried?
22	MR. QUINN: I was concerned, yes.
23	MR. LEE: And you told them that they should
24	be concerned?
25	MR. QUINN: Yes, I did.

1	MR. LEE: And you've told us that you were
2	worried that Mr. Dunlop might be scapegoated, and I believe
3	the phrase you used was "by the higher-ups"; is that right?
4	MR. QUINN: Yes.
5	MR. LEE: Staff Sergeant Derochie testified
6	here. You know that?
7	MR. QUINN: Yeah.
8	MR. LEE: And one of the things he told us
9	was that he was concerned, at one point, that executives of
10	the Association may have been using the Silmser
11	investigation to their advantage in order to discredit
12	people and weaknesses in the organization; okay?
13	So the question I have for you is were you
14	genuinely concerned that Mr. Dunlop was being scapegoated
15	or was this whole situation being used as a way to, for
16	lack of a better term, stick it to management?
17	MR. QUINN: My general my concern was
18	with Perry's well being. Everyone short of the Chief and
19	the Deputy Chief is represented by the same Association.
20	So there's no advantage to shifting blame to a mid-
21	management level because they are the same people you are
22	again going to have to defend and look out for. So my
23	concern is with Perry.
24	MR. LEE: You did draw a distinction there
25	though that your bargaining unit, as I understand it,

1	includes everybody but the Chief and the Deputy Chief?
2	MR. QUINN: That's correct.
3	MR. LEE: So what about the suggestion that
4	perhaps they were the target and that this was being used
5	as
6	MR. QUINN: I don't see how this well, I
7	don't see how, at that stage of game, when this was taking
8	place, how this could be reflected back up to the Chief or
9	Deputy Chief at that point.
10	MR. LEE: So your evidence is that your
11	concerns were genuine?
12	MR. QUINN: Yes.
13	MR. LEE: This was not a game to you?
14	MR. QUINN: Definitely not.
15	MR. LEE: I want to be absolutely clear,
16	Constable. Are you telling us that in late 1993, you
17	honestly thought it was possible that the management of the
18	CPS would try to make Constable Dunlop a scapegoat?
19	MR. QUINN: Do I think so?
20	MR. LEE: Yes.
21	MR. QUINN: I think if they could have got,
22	as I said before, to the point of making him relinquish
23	what he was doing, making him stop and be silent, whatever
24	cost it took to do that, to enforce that to happen, that is
25	my belief that that is what they wanted done and at that

24

25

1	point, this would go away.
2	MR. LEE: Sounds like you didn't have a
3	whole lot of trust in the management at that time.
4	MR. QUINN: You could pretty much say that,
5	yeah.
6	MR. LEE: Were you concerned about working
7	in an environment like that, lack of
8	MR. QUINN: I had worked in that
9	environment, sir, since pretty much I started there until I
10	ended there.
11	MR. LEE: Do you see that as being
12	problematic?
13	MR. QUINN: I'm still here. I guess not.
14	MR. LEE: That's I mean, when you look
15	back on your time having served as a police officer as long
16	as you do, do you not see anything concerning about telling
17	me that for the entirety of your career, there was no trust
18	of management?
19	MR. QUINN: It made me very careful.
20	MR. LEE: Sorry?
21	MR. QUINN: It made me very careful in what
22	I did.
23	MR. LEE: It made you very careful?

MR. LEE: And that's just the way things

MR. QUINN: Oh, yes.

1	were?
2	MR. QUINN: Just the way things were.
3	MR. LEE: Constable Quinn, those are my
4	questions. Thank you very much.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
6	Mr. Neville? Good morning, sir.
7	MR. NEVILLE: Good morning, Commissioner.
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR.
9	NEVILLE:
10	MR. NEVILLE: Good morning, Constable Quinn.
11	My name is Michael Neville
12	MR. QUINN: Good morning, Mike.
13	MR. NEVILLE: and I represent Father
14	Charles MacDonald and the Estate of Ken Seguin and his
15	family.
16	Now, I just want to go over a few points
17	you've given both in-chief and to a couple of my friends
18	perhaps to clarify things.
19	One of the things you told the Commissioner
20	in-chief yesterday was about Mr. Dunlop coming over to your
21	place this would be in the fall of 1993 and indicated
22	there'd been an investigation that was not going anywhere;
23	that he'd taken a statement at the CAS.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, just for
25	completion, it was the other way around. He went over to

1	Dunlop's place.
2	MR. NEVILLE: You're right, Commissioner,
3	absolutely right. Thank you very much.
4	Right? You went to Perry's place; right?
5	MR. QUINN: As I recall, yes.
6	MR. NEVILLE: All right.
7	And then you were asked whether at some
8	whether you saw the statement and you said you thought it
9	was at some later time.
10	MR. QUINN: As I recall, yes. I don't
11	believe I saw it at that time.
12	MR. NEVILLE: All right.
13	Now, do you recall, Constable, that
14	eventually in 1994, Staff Sergeant Wells conducted an
15	internal investigation
16	MR. QUINN: Yes.
17	MR. NEVILLE: and you were required to
18	provide a statement?
19	MR. QUINN: Yes.
20	MR. NEVILLE: Could I refer the witness,
21	Commissioner, to it's our Exhibit 1253? The there
22	are pages, Commissioner, that are numbered in black pen.
23	Do you see pages in the top-right corner, Constable, in
24	black
25	MR. QUINN: Yes.

1	MR. NEVILLE: marker?
2	And, Commissioner, I'm using that number
3	just because it seems to be easier. It would be page 58.
4	It's Constable Quinn's own statement.
5	MR. QUINN: Okay.
6	MR. NEVILLE: There is a companion document,
7	Commissioner. Perhaps I should refer the witness to it as
8	well because it appears to be signed. The Document Number
9	is 722048.
10	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Excuse me, Exhibit 1489
12	is a statement signed by this is that your signature,
13	sir?
1.4	MR. QUINN: Yes.
14	FIX. QUINN. 165.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
15 16	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. And there's no date on it.
15 16 17	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. And there's no date on it. EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1489:
15 16 17 18	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. And there's no date on it. EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1489: (722048) - Michael Quinn - Statement from
15 16 17 18 19	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. And there's no date on it. EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1489: (722048) - Michael Quinn - Statement from Michael Quinn re Citizen's Complaint
15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. And there's no date on it. EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1489: (722048) - Michael Quinn - Statement from Michael Quinn re Citizen's Complaint MR. NEVILLE: The only date I can see,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. And there's no date on it. EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1489: (722048) - Michael Quinn - Statement from Michael Quinn re Citizen's Complaint MR. NEVILLE: The only date I can see, Commissioner, is on the subject matter, there's a CC94-1,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. And there's no date on it. EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1489: (722048) - Michael Quinn - Statement from Michael Quinn re Citizen's Complaint MR. NEVILLE: The only date I can see, Commissioner, is on the subject matter, there's a CC94-1, but that might be a complaint date.

1	there's a header page or cover page that comes with the
2	disclosure package and that cover page has a date on it of
3	February '94, but I suspect it's the same. In any event,
4	Constable, that's your signature?
5	MR. QUINN: Yes.
6	MR. NEVILLE: All right.
7	And if you want to just take a quick moment,
8	or take my word for it to save time
9	MR. QUINN: I'll take your word for it.
10	MR. NEVILLE: All right.
11	It would appear that this document, Exhibit
12	1489 and Exhibit 1253, page 50, are identical.
13	MR. QUINN: They appear to be the same
14	document.
15	MR. NEVILLE: All right.
16	The one in the brief, prepared by the Staff
17	Sergeant, doesn't bear your signature, but this one does?
18	MR. QUINN: That's quite possible. It's
19	maybe just a typed-out form and
20	MR. NEVILLE: Yes.
21	MR. QUINN: this is the actual form.
22	MR. NEVILLE: Now, this particular document
23	and I'll let's look at 1489, the one that you signed.
24	Did you prepare that?
25	MR. QUINN: I would probably have, yes. I

1	would say I most likely inputted that and then printed it
2	out and then signed it, yes.
3	MR. NEVILLE: And signed it, all right.
4	So this the content is generated by you?
5	MR. QUINN: Yeah. Yes.
6	MR. NEVILLE: I'm sorry? Yes?
7	All right. Let's look at it briefly, then.
8	MR. QUINN: Sure.
9	MR. NEVILLE: Second sentence:
10	"About the first week of October 1993,
11	Constable P. Dunlop approached me as an
12	Association representative. He showed
13	me a statement and at this time advised
14	me that he was pretty sure that the
15	Department was about to start an
16	investigation into his alleged
17	discussion of the complainant's
18	statement with Children's Aid Society."
19	MR. QUINN: Yeah.
20	MR. NEVILLE: So this statement, done by
21	you, it would appear, in early '94, much closer in time to
22	the events obviously than today
23	MR. QUINN: Yes.
24	MR. NEVILLE: all right? You write on
25	your own that you were approached in early first week of

1	October '93 and in fact shown the statement?
2	MR. QUINN: If that's what I wrote, then
3	it's probably what happened.
4	MR. NEVILLE: Right.
5	MR. QUINN: And like you said, that was done
6	much sooner, so
7	MR. NEVILLE: Absolutely. So you would
8	stand by this as accurate?
9	MR. QUINN: I would say that's probably more
10	accurate than the other one
11	MR. NEVILLE: Sure.
12	MR. QUINN: going with my memory.
13	MR. NEVILLE: All right.
14	Now, you've been asked various questions by
15	various counsel about where you thought the Derochie
16	investigation was going?
17	MR. QUINN: Yeah.
18	MR. NEVILLE: I'm just paraphrasing, but
19	where you thought it could go, might go, whether Derochie,
20	Officer sorry, Staff Sergeant Derochie could guarantee
21	any outcome and the like, right?
22	MR. QUINN: That's right.
23	MR. NEVILLE: Now, let's look at the second
24	paragraph.
25	MR. QUINN: M'hm.

1	MR. NEVILLE: "I was then contacted by
2	Staff Sergeant Derochie and he
3	confirmed that, in fact, he was
4	conducting an investigation into this
5	matter. A short time later, Constable
6	Dan O'Reilly and myself were advised by
7	Staff Sergeant Derochie that he had
8	completed his investigation and that he
9	had found that Constable Dunlop had not
10	done anything wrong in discussing the
11	statement with Children's Aid Society."
12	MR. QUINN: Okay.
13	MR. NEVILLE: Is that what the Staff
14	Sergeant told you?
15	MR. QUINN: If it's written there, I would
16	assume it must have been. They're I'm again, as in
17	the first part, to this part, this would have been done
18	much closer to the time. I'm assuming that's what you
19	what it says is right.
20	MR. NEVILLE: Right. So you're told by
21	Officer Derochie, having done a full investigation, that as
22	far as he was concerned Officer Dunlop had done nothing
23	wrong?
24	MR. QUINN: Yes.
25	MR. NEVILLE: And did you understand that

1	the Staff Sergeant had been tasked to do this investigation
2	by management? By the Chief or the Deputy Chief?
3	MR. QUINN: I would have assumed he would
4	have been, yes.
5	MR. NEVILLE: So he would have been
6	reporting back to them as the tasked investigator
7	MR. QUINN: Yes.
8	MR. NEVILLE: that, in his opinion,
9	Dunlop had done nothing wrong.
10	MR. QUINN: According to this, yeah.
11	Probably would have been to regards as turning the
12	statement, yes.
13	MR. NEVILLE: So what did you think bad was
14	gong to happen to Perry Dunlop having been told this?
15	MR. QUINN: I don't know, sir, but later
16	after that they charged him.
17	MR. NEVILLE: No, no, no. I'm talking
18	around the fall of 1993 up to '94.
19	MR. QUINN: That was exactly my point, sir.
20	After this, he was charged with
21	MR. NEVILLE: Constable
22	MR. QUINN: under that investigation.
23	MR. NEVILLE: you said to this
24	Commission that when these events were happening in the
25	fall of '93, you were concerned that if it wasn't in

1	writing from the Chief or someone like the Chief, Dunlop
2	couldn't trust this. Isn't that what you said?
3	MR. QUINN: That's what I said.
4	MR. NEVILLE: That's what you said.
5	I suggest to you, sir, that is not
6	consistent with what you have written here?
7	MR. QUINN: I don't think at this point
8	here, in written in this here, that at that time here I was
9	shown anything in writing that up until that point or
10	past that point or on this point, that there was a formal
11	closure saying to us, as the Association, that it was
12	completed and that there would-be nothing wrong and no
13	charges.
14	MR. NEVILLE: So you didn't think there was
15	any form of closure available to Officer Dunlop. Is that
16	right?
17	MR. QUINN: Not at this point.
18	MR. NEVILLE: Not at that point meaning in
19	the fall of '93; correct?
20	MR. QUINN: This yes.
21	MR. NEVILLE: All right.
22	Well, let's
23	THE COMMISSIONER: So, Mr. Neville, I guess
24	we can ask, Mr. Callaghan where's your interest in all
25	of this?

1	MR. NEVILLE: Well, it's an investigation
2	into my client's situation, Commissioner.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, it's
4	MR. NEVILLE: It's the investigation of the
5	Silmser complaint against my client.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. But the
7	repercussions to Dunlop at this point?
8	MR. NEVILLE: Well, I'm not going to spend a
9	whole lot of time on this point, but I just wanted to
10	confirm what the witness is saying to you that he believed
11	and knew.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
13	` MR. NEVILLE: Because it goes to other
14	issues, including his credibility, sir.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
16	MR. NEVILLE: So, let's look briefly if we
17	could, sir, at Exhibit 1293, Commissioner.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Twelve-ninety-three
19	(1293).
20	MR. NEVILLE: And this would be at page
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah?
22	MR. NEVILLE: Yes, page 30, using the Staff
23	Sergeant's own numbers, sir.
24	Now, this is the date, Constable Quinn, of
25	October 15^{th} and just to confirm for us my understanding om

1	something you said early this morning, is you, at some
2	point, perhaps for purposes of the Inquiry, have read these
3	notes?
4	MR. QUINN: Yes.
5	MR. NEVILLE: Okay.
6	MR. QUINN: Yes.
7	MR. NEVILLE: So you're aware that as of
8	this point, Officer Derochie has been told, having
9	consulted with the Chief and Deputy Chief, that the outcome
10	as a consequence for Mr. Dunlop is counselling?
11	MR. QUINN: No.
12	MR. NEVILLE: Okay. Well, let's look at
13	page 30.
14	MR. QUINN: Yes.
15	MR. NEVILLE: This is after he has been in
16	consultation with the two senior management people.
17	Fifth line from the top:
18	"Constable Quinn came into the office
19	and informed me that Dunlop would be
20	off sick for the entire weekend and
21	that he would return to work on the day
22	shift, Wednesday October 20 th . I told
23	Quinn that the issues involving Dunlop
24	could be resolved right away. All he
25	had to do was come to work."

1	MR. QUINN: Yes.
2	MR. NEVILLE: He told you that the matter
3	could be resolved by coming to work and be counselled.
4	Isn't that right?
5	MR. QUINN: In his view of what could be
6	done, yes. I still did not have anything at that point
7	other than someone's word.
8	MR. NEVILLE: This is a Staff Sergeant who
9	tells you that he, having been deputized by the Chief, is
10	going to counsel Dunlop and the matter would be "resolved"?
11	MR. QUINN: There's no
12	MR. NEVILLE: Can you tell me what you took
13	that to mean in any other fashion as negative?
14	MR. QUINN: Like I said, he didn't have
15	final say and I still don't have it. When the document's
16	there, as a representative of the Association, I would do
17	it then. I did it then; I would do it now. That I would
18	still tell the member there that until he had it there in
19	some form of what's happening that he believed in. And, at
20	any rate, Constable Dunlop was not agreeable to it.
21	MR. NEVILLE: Well, that's another point.
22	My question to you was, did you not accept
23	Staff Sergeant Derochie's word?
24	MR. QUINN: I accepted his word for it.
25	MR. NEVILLE: Fine. That if Dunlop would

1	come in and meet with him to be counselled, the matter
2	would be resolved.
3	Now, tell you how you took from that that
4	something else would happen?
5	MR. QUINN: I didn't know something else
6	would happen. I
7	MR. NEVILLE: Tell me how you took
8	MR. QUINN: said something could.
9	MR. NEVILLE: No. Tell me how you took from
10	that that something else could happen?
11	MR. QUINN: I didn't take from that that
12	anything else can happen. As I said before, that's what he
13	said, I take him at his word of doing that.
14	If you're telling me if you're asking me,
15	do I believe that something else somebody could change
16	their mind in the meantime when he comes in from that, yes,
17	they could and that could be rescinded. That offer could
18	be rescinded. That I believe could happen then and I still
19	believe it could have happened. That's just the way things
20	were, sir.
21	MR. NEVILLE: We have your evidence.
22	Now, just curious about this, Constable.
23	Have you been following proceedings before the
24	Commissioner?
25	MR. QUINN: Only what's printed in the local

1	paper.
2	MR. NEVILLE: I'm sorry, I couldn't hear
3	you.
4	MR. QUINN: Only what's printed in the local
5	paper.
6	MR. NEVILLE: Okay. So you haven't watched
7	it on the webcast or
8	MR. QUINN: No.
9	MR. NEVILLE: or television? Have you
10	read any transcripts of other witnesses?
11	MR. QUINN: No.
12	MR. NEVILLE: None?
13	MR. QUINN: Just the portions of the trial I
14	guess, if these what's in these documents or the
15	transcripts or documents there are certain portions that
16	were pointed out to me like these notes.
17	MR. NEVILLE: No, no, what I'm asking you is
18	have you read we have a daily transcript of each days
19	proceedings
20	MR. QUINN: No.
21	MR. NEVILLE: All right. So you haven't read
22	for preparation purposes or interest purposes anybody's
23	evidence.
24	MR. QUINN: No.
25	MR. NEVILLE: Okay.

1	MR. CALLAGHAN: I mean I think he
2	indicated I can advise you he was shown specific transcript
3	references that were advised by either the Commissioner or
4	another party.
5	MR. NEVILLE: Sure.
6	And in relation to appearing for the
7	Commissioner this week but when have you last spoken with
8	Mr. Dunlop? Today is April 22 nd .
9	MR. QUINN: Approximately two weeks ago.
10	MR. NEVILLE: Two weeks ago.
11	MR. QUINN: Thereabouts.
12	MR. NEVILLE: By phone I take it.
13	MR. QUINN: Yes.
14	MR. NEVILLE: Or did you go and visit him?
15	MR. QUINN: No, by phone.
16	MR. NEVILLE: By phone. Okay.
17	Now one of the things you said today in
18	particular was and I believe this was to Ms. Daley, you
19	indicated that Mr. Dunlop had a view that Staff Sergeant
20	Brunet and Chief Shaver wanted the Silmser matter to go away
21	and they had a vested interest in that.
22	MR. QUINN: I believe I said that they wanted
23	it to go away
24	MR. NEVILLE: And you said, and I quote,
25	MR. QUINN: Okay.

1	MR. NEVILLE: and, "they had a vested
2	interest in that."
3	MR. QUINN: Okay.
4	MR. NEVILLE: Now, this is something that
5	Dunlop told you?
6	MR. QUINN: This would be what he would have
7	believed, I would yes.
8	MR. NEVILLE: No, is this something Dunlop
9	said to you?
10	MR. QUINN: In those exact words, sir, I
11	don't know, I haven't got it written down so I do not know.
12	MR. NEVILLE: No, I'm asking you; is that
13	something in essence that Dunlop said to you?
14	MR. QUINN: In essence? Yes.
15	MR. NEVILLE: All right.
16	When did he say that to you?
17	MR. QUINN: I don't know.
18	MR. NEVILLE: Well, do you agree with me sir,
19	that's a very startling statement that a Staff Sergeant and
20	head of CIB and the Chief of Police would have a vested
21	interest in an investigation going away?
22	MR. QUINN: Now, if you ask me now I have
23	to be very careful because you want to know word for word.
24	Are you saying do I agree that that's a starting statement?
25	MR. NEVILLE: Constable, we'll get through

1	this faster if you'd just listen to my question and answer
2	it.
3	MR. QUINN: I'm listening.
4	MR. NEVILLE: You used the words today.
5	MR. QUINN: Yes.
6	MR. NEVILLE: "They had a vested interest."
7	MR. QUINN: Yes.
8	MR. NEVILLE: Brunet and Shaver.
9	MR. QUINN: Okay.
10	MR. NEVILLE: And you attributed those words
11	to Dunlop. Now that's a very startling statement to make
12	about the head of investigation and the Chief of Police.
13	MR. QUINN: Okay.
14	MR. NEVILLE: And you have no recollection
15	when he told you that between 1993 and June 2000 when he
16	resigned?
17	MR. QUINN: During one of the conversations I
18	had with him, what day it was or what month it was I don't
19	know.
20	MR. NEVILLE: Well, did you ask him what he
21	based it on?
22	MR. QUINN: No.
23	MR. NEVILLE: Nothing. Is this just one of
24	the things that drifted by and said, "oh well"?
25	MR. QUINN: Must have been.

1	MR. NEVILLE: Just like that, is that right?
2	MR. QUINN: Well, it would be like I said,
3	it was during one of the conversations I would have had with
4	them. I'm not sitting there taking notes on these things.
5	I'm not recording them. They were conversations I had with
6	a friend and yeah, it would have come and gone there, like
7	most conversations that people have with
8	MR. NEVILLE: This is a friend this is
9	friend who's a police officer still.
10	MR. QUINN: Yes.
11	MR. NEVILLE: You're a police officer on the
12	same police force.
13	MR. QUINN: Yes.
14	MR. NEVILLE: And he's saying to you that the
15	head of detectives and the Chief at least the Chief at
16	that time in '93
17	MR. COMMISSIONER: He's not head of
18	detectives.
19	MR. NEVILLE: Head of CIB.
20	MR. COMMISSIONER: Right.
21	MR. NEVILLE: Had a vested interest in a case
22	going away. And you didn't ask him, "What are you talking
23	about?"
24	MR. QUINN: The conversation if I asked
25	him specifically that question, no.

1	MR. NEVILLE: Okay.
2	Now, you said at one point in your evidence,
3	again I believe to Ms. Daley that you felt a member of your
4	Association should not and used the phrase, "plead
5	guilty," if he or she I presume, "did nothing wrong."
6	MR. QUINN: Correct.
7	MR. NEVILLE: And we've referred to Staff
8	Sergeant Derochie's notes where he was going to simply
9	counsel him on or about the 15^{th} of October.
10	MR. QUINN: M'hm.
11	MR. NEVILLE: Is that what you call,
12	"pleading guilty"?
13	MR. QUINN: If you are being counseled for
14	doing something wrong, in my mind you are being accused of
15	doing something wrong, that would have to be an offense of
16	some kind. It started off that way, so yes.
17	MR. NEVILLE: Okay, well one of the other
18	things and I may have misheard you to Ms. Daley that you
19	said that you didn't know that Officer Derochie was going to
20	sit down with him on the 15^{th} . And I may have misheard you
21	but you would agree with me, I take it, having looked at the
22	notes, you did know that because you were told directly.
23	MR. QUINN: Could we go back to those just
24	what points you are referring to?
25	MR. NEVILLE: Certainly, yes.

1	Exhibit 1293, page 30.
2	MR. QUINN: M'hm.
3	MR. NEVILLE: Have you found it? Yes?
4	MR. COMMISSIONER: He didn't say that it
5	would be on the $15^{\rm th}$.
6	MR. NEVILLE: No, I agree. sir.
7	"Well, sir, let me just put it to you
8	this way. I told Quinn that the issues
9	involving Dunlop could be resolved right
10	away. All he had to do was come to
11	work."
12	Now that's in the context that Officer Quinn
13	in the preceding entry had come in to advise that Dunlop
14	wouldn't be in. And that is the evidence of Officer
15	Derochie, sir, that he intended to sit down with Dunlop had
16	he come on his shift that night and do it.
17	MR. QUINN: I don't believe that that part of
18	the conversation which you just referred to there just now -
19	
20	MR. NEVILLE: Well, that's Derochie's
21	evidence.
22	MR. QUINN: Well, it might have been
23	Derochie's evidence, that might have been what he told you
24	but I don't believe that he told that to me.
25	MR. COMMISSIONER: Well, there you go, Mr.

1	Neville.
2	MR. NEVILLE: "All he had to do was come
3	to work. Dunlop did not come to work
4	and the issue was not resolved."
5	MR. QUINN: You said resolved. Didn't say
6	what he was going to do.
7	MR. COMMISSIONER: Mr. Neville, you're being
8	repetitious and I don't know where we are going with this
9	but this is the investigation of Mr. Dunlop's this has
10	nothing really to do with your client.
11	MR. NEVILLE: Well, let me ask this then,
12	Commissioner. You were asked questions about the Nadeau
13	we know the Nadeau web site. Brought Project Truth Web Site
14	which you indicated
15	MR. QUINN: All right. Yes.
16	MR. NEVILLE: Okay. In the context of that
17	body of the questioning, and you said the following:
18	"He, meaning Dunlop, was blamed for a
19	lot of things he had nothing to do
20	with."
21	MR. QUINN: Right.
22	MR. NEVILLE: What were you talking about?
23	MR. QUINN: The fact of the statement being
24	released to the press.
25	MR. NEVILLE: Okay.

1	MR. QUINN: The fact of allegedly making
2	statements that all the police officers were corrupt and
3	that making statements of all the police officers were
4	incompetent. He was just blamed for this by a variety of
5	different people and he hadn't done it.
6	MR. NEVILLE: Okay. You were asked about a
7	lawsuit. It was his lawsuit.
8	MR. QUINN: Okay.
9	MR. NEVILLE: What did you know of it?
10	MR. QUINN: Little to nothing.
11	MR. NEVILLE: You knew nothing?
12	MR. QUINN: Other than there was one. I
13	don't know the meat of it. I don't know who was handling
14	it. I don't know when it was started. I don't know when it
15	was completed.
16	MR. NEVILLE: Did you know who was being
17	sued?
18	MR. QUINN: My understanding the Police
19	Service and after that I don't know who.
20	MR. NEVILLE: Wasn't he suing some of
21	the staff sergeants?
22	MR. QUINN: I don't know.
23	MR. NEVILLE: Your own Association members?
24	MR. QUINN: May have. I don't know.
25	MR. NEVILLE: You don't know that?

126

1	MR. QUINN: I don't know for sure, no.
2	MR. NEVILLE: Can the witness please,
3	Commissioner, look at Exhibit 1483?
4	Do you have the document there, Constable?
5	MR. QUINN: Yes.
6	MR. NEVILLE: Do you recognize it?
7	MR. QUINN: It would appear to be the minutes
8	of a union meeting or an Association meeting.
9	MR. NEVILLE: Yes, on November 27 th , 1997.
10	MR. QUINN: Yes.
11	MR. NEVILLE: You were present?
12	MR. QUINN: Yes.
13	MR. NEVILLE: If you look in the second
14	column, the middle column, sixth name from the bottom,
15	that's yourself?
16	MR. QUINN: Yes.
17	MR. NEVILLE: All right.
18	Would you please turn for me to there's
19	some numbers in the bottom right corner 8112, the time
20	21:32.
21	MR. QUINN: Okay.
22	MR. NEVILLE: It's entitled, "Perry Dunlop
23	addresses the floor." You were present for this, I presume.
24	MR. QUINN: I presume.
25	MR. NEVILLE: Well, if you just look at the

1	final page. At the time 22:30 there is a motion to adjourn,
2	seconded by you.
3	MR. QUINN: Yes.
4	MR. NEVILLE: So, I guess you were present at
5	9:32.
6	MR. QUINN: I guess.
7	MR. NEVILLE: Okay.
8	It says:
9	"Brother Dunlop agrees to withdraw
10	claims against all members of the
11	Association. Members names will be
12	taken off when claim is redrafted."
13	Further down, fifth or sixth line"
14	"Brother Dunlop requests assistance in
15	paying some of his legal expenses; no
16	set amount is asked for.
17	Brother Lortie addresses floor and
18	recommends that we not get into lawsuit
19	and leaves it up to the floor to decide
20	we should lend money to Brother Dunlop
21	for legal expenses."
22	And then there's a motion on the next page
23	to that effect, which is carried.
24	Did you have any understanding of what they
25	were talking about?

1	MR. QUINN: Only in the document that there
2	was a lawsuit, who was named in it right now obviously
3	there were members, according to this, of our Association.
4	Who they were now, I don't know.
5	If you want to tell me the names of the
6	people you're assuming, maybe they were. Maybe that will
7	job my memory as to
8	MR. NEVILLE: Let's try, then.
9	MR. QUINN: who was in there.
10	MR. NEVILLE: Sure, let's try that.
11	Let's have the witness, Commissioner, see
12	Exhibit 673.
13	MR. STAUFFER: Mr. Commissioner, I have the
14	greatest respect for Mr. Neville, but I'm just not sure
15	what this is about, in terms of his clients.
16	MR. NEVILLE: Well, it's about credibility,
17	among other things.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: About credibility?
19	MR. NEVILLE: This witness's credibility.
20	And I intend to ask him other questions
21	right after this about things he has told this Commission,
22	including about testimony at trials, including my client's
23	trial.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: He didn't testify at your
25	client's

1	MR. NEVILLE: That's exactly right, but he
2	said a lot of things about what Mr. Dunlop said and it was
3	clearly understood that that's just Mr. Dunlop speaking,
4	untested, fine.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Of course it is.
6	MR. NEVILLE: Good.
7	Commissioner, Mr. Quinn has said a lot of
8	things here today, over the last day-and-a-half
9	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
10	MR. NEVILLE: And has sat here and said to
11	you
12	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
13	MR. NEVILLE: that he had no idea about
14	this lawsuit and I suggest to you that is simply not
15	tenable.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: All right, so
17	MR. NEVILLE: And you can assess much of
18	what he said in the light of credibility concerns.
19	And I simply wish to show him the document,
20	but I suggest to you from the previous document, he had
21	fully knowledge of.
22	This man is the closest personal friend of
23	Perry Dunlop
24	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
25	MR. NEVILLE: who is suggesting to us,

1	and to you, under oath, that he had no idea what this
2	lawsuit was about. And this is a lawsuit that you know and
3	the public knows accused many members of the Police Force
4	of corruption.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Many members? Okay.
6	MR. NEVILLE: Of corruption.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Right. But
8	MR. NEVILLE: And the witness claims to know
9	nothing.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. But this is like
11	in a criminal trial is cross-examining on a collateral
12	issue; like we're going far afield here. I mean, I'll be
13	able to assess his credibility and I'm sure you'll be able
14	to give me your submissions as to all of this. So I don't
15	know.
16	MR. NEVILLE: Thank you.
17	Mr. Quinn?
18	MR. QUINN: Yes.
19	MR. NEVILLE: Do you know what the Askov
20	case is about?
21	MR. QUINN: Are you asking me do I know what
22	Askov means?
23	MR. NEVILLE: Do you know what the case
24	stands for, what it's about?
25	MR. QUINN: My understanding is it's a case

1	that took too long to get to a court and it was dismissed
2	on the length of
3	MR. NEVILLE: Yeah, it's about unreasonable
4	delay, right?
5	MR. QUINN: Right.
6	MR. NEVILLE: Do you know what the
7	Stinchcombe case is about?
8	MR. QUINN: No.
9	MR. NEVILLE: You don't know that it's about
10	disclosure, the duty to disclose evidence?
11	MR. QUINN: Okay, now that you mention,
12	yeah, but I don't know what what that came from but
13	okay.
14	MR. NEVILLE: All right.
15	Now, you are suggesting to the Commissioner
16	and to the public that you obviously feel that Mr. Dunlop
17	has somehow been wrong or hard-done-by as a witness. Is
18	that right?
19	MR. QUINN: You ask the the lawyer at the
20	time asked me what I thought Mr. Dunlop's opinion of or
21	what happening, he felt
22	MR. NEVILLE: Yes?
23	MR. QUINN: at that trial and that's
24	what I perceived his feelings to be.
25	MR. NEVILLE: Did you share those feelings?

1	MR. QUINN: That he was hard-done-by?
2	MR. NEVILLE: Yes. You did, didn't you?
3	MR. QUINN: From his description, yes.
4	MR. NEVILLE: Yes, exactly.
5	Now, were you at any of those trials?
6	MR. QUINN: No, I wasn't.
7	MR. NEVILLE: You never saw him testify?
8	MR. QUINN: No, I didn't.
9	MR. NEVILLE: Did you read a single page of
10	a single transcript from those cases?
11	MR. QUINN: No, I did not.
12	MR. NEVILLE: Did you know that as a result
13	of his testimony at one or more of these cases, that senior
14	officers like Derochie and Pat Hall recommended charges of
15	obstruction and perjury against Dunlop?
16	MR. QUINN: I heard that.
17	MR. NEVILLE: You heard that. Did he
18	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, no, no.
19	Suggested to whom? I don't think anybody
20	ever came Officer Hall never wrote up a letter as
21	requested.
22	MR. NEVILLE: Let's leave it with Derochie,
23	shall we? I'll leave it at Derochie, that he recommended
24	an investigation into a perjury and obstruct justice, based
25	directly on the evidence.

1	Did you know, sir, that Perry Dunlop was
2	felt to have lied, including under oath?
3	MR. QUINN: I would assume that if
4	somebody's recommending perjury
5	MR. NEVILLE: Did you know that?
6	MR. QUINN: that would have to be it,
7	yes.
8	MR. NEVILLE: Did you know that?
9	MR. QUINN: Did I know what?
10	MR. NEVILLE: That he had potentially lied
11	under oath?
12	MR. QUINN: Did I know that he had lied?
13	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, no, no.
14	MR. QUINN: I did not know that.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no.
16	MR. NEVILLE: Did you know
17	THE COMMISSIONER: No, there's no did you
18	know that some people thought he had lied?
19	MR. QUINN: Only after I hear that people
20	were going to do an investigation into perjury, some people
21	would have had thought would have had to have thought
22	that he lied, yes.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: And when did you know
24	that they were doing an investigation of perjury? Is that
25	back at the time or recently?

1	MR. QUINN: I think the first time I
2	actually had seen any concrete evidence of that was was
3	going to happen was out of this Inquiry, in the local paper
4	
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
6	MR. QUINN: as I recall.
7	MR. NEVILLE: Did Mr. Dunlop explain to you
8	that the reason he was testifying, at least at certain
9	trials, was to explain his conduct in failing to turn over
10	disclosure? Did he explain that to you?
11	MR. QUINN: No.
12	MR. NEVILLE: Did you know that that's what
13	he had done?
14	THE COMMISSIONER: That that he had what?
15	MR. NEVILLE: He had failed to turn over
16	disclosure in a timely fashion?
17	MR. QUINN: I know he was accused of that.
18	I know that he had told me several times that he had turned
19	it over to various people at various departments.
20	MR. NEVILLE: All right.
21	Did you know that he had to complete the job
22	pursuant to orders?
23	MR. QUINN: I know like earlier testimony
24	that he was a portion of time while he was working at
25	the station, that that was his function basically all day

25

said to you.

1	to do this.
2	MR. NEVILLE: Did you know that that was the
3	final order of several that he had to be given?
4	MR. QUINN: Sir, I was not involved in who
5	was giving orders or what the orders.
6	MR. NEVILLE: Did he tell you? Did you tell
7	you?
8	MR. QUINN: No.
9	MR. NEVILLE: Did he tell you that Inspector
10	Trew had given him orders?
11	MR. QUINN: Yes.
12	MR. NEVILLE: All right.
13	Did he tell you that Staff Sergeant Derochie
14	had given him an order?
15	MR. QUINN: I don't recall him having said
16	that. He may have, but I don't recall it.
17	MR. NEVILLE: All right.
18	Do you all of the things you've told the
19	Commissioner today as coming from Mr. Dunlop, you took him
20	at his word on all of it I take it?
21	MR. QUINN: Sir, the things I've told the
22	Commission today were things that people had asked me what
23	my thought was or if I had agreed that my impression.
24	MR. NEVILLE: They asked you as well what he

1	MR. QUINN: In some portions, yes, and my
2	impressions from it, yeah.
3	MR. NEVILLE: Okay. And what he said to
4	you, you took as correct?
5	MR. QUINN: I I have, yes, face value.
6	MR. NEVILLE: Pardon me?
7	MR. QUINN: I took it on face value.
8	MR. NEVILLE: Those are my questions, thank
9	you.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
11	And who's next? Mr. Chisholm.
12	MR. CHISHOLM: Good afternoon, sir.
13	Constable Quinn, you may know me, I'm Peter
14	Chisholm, counsel for the CAS.
15	I have no questions for you, thank you.
16	MR. QUINN: Thank you.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
18	Maître Rouleau.
19	MR. ROULEAU: Nothing, sir, thank you.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
21	Mr. Scharbach?
22	MR. SCHARBACH: I have no questions.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
24	Ms. Lahaie?
25	MS. LAHAIE: No questions, thank you.

1	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Carroll?
2	MR. CARROLL: No questions, thank you.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Callaghan, how long
4	will you be?
5	MR. CALLAGHAN: I would think I would be
6	'til about 30 minutes to 45 minutes.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Then we'll start you off
8	now, stop at 12:30 and come back at 2:00.
9	MR. CALLAGHAN: Fine.
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY/CONTRE-INTERROGATOIRE PAR
11	MR. CALLAGHAN:
12	MR. CALLAGHAN: Mr. Quinn, I don't need any
13	introduction, as you know. What I say that a lot
14	what I'd like to first start off with is the role of the
15	Association, and I just want to be clear. I take it you
16	saw the Association as a bargaining unit?
17	MR. QUINN: The Association is the
18	bargaining unit of the police, yes.
19	MR. CALLAGHAN: And it's function, I think
20	you articulated, was to, if I could put it in general
21	terms, increase the welfare of the members?
22	MR. QUINN: That's correct.
23	MR. CALLAGHAN: Right.
24	MR. QUINN: The wellbeing and the welfare,
25	yes.

1	MR. CALLAGHAN: Right. And I take it you
2	had indicated that there were a couple of areas where that
3	had been involved in that included request an increase in
4	personnel on the force so that the members could would
5	have a would have more assistance?
6	MR. QUINN: Yes.
7	MR. CALLAGHAN: All right.
8	And you talked about more time off and, of
9	course, more money, right?
10	MR. QUINN: Always.
11	MR. CALLAGHAN: Right. And probably more
12	benefits?
13	MR. QUINN: Always.
14	MR. CALLAGHAN: All right.
15	And I take it it's fair to say that that
16	you spent your career as a road officer but with a very
17	strong affiliation with the Association?
18	MR. QUINN: Yes.
19	MR. CALLAGHAN: And I just want to take us
20	back to the early '90s, which might put some of the things
21	in context.
22	I take it in or about 1990, in about this
23	time, there was new contract for the Association?
24	MR. QUINN: I would assume, in that area
25	somewhere. I don't know the exact year as you're talking

1	right now. If you have a copy of the contract and the
2	time, it would be that time, but I don't know the exact
3	year but all every two to three years, sort of thing,
4	there's a new contract.
5	MR. CALLAGHAN: Right. Well and it could
6	have well have been in about the time the morale report was
7	issued, the
8	MR. QUINN: It could well have been.
9	MR. CALLAGHAN: Right. And in terms of the
10	morale report, you'd you indicated you indicated you
11	weren't involved?
12	MR. QUINN: No.
13	MR. CALLAGHAN: Right. And I take it you
14	were never interviewed for it?
15	MR. QUINN: No.
16	MR. CALLAGHAN: And do you know anyone who
17	was interviewed for it?
18	MR. QUINN: No.
19	MR. CALLAGHAN: And I take it that in that
20	period of time in the early '90s, the Association had a
21	very good contract. Is that fair to say?
22	MR. QUINN: Oh, yes.
23	MR. CALLAGHAN: And I take it soon
24	thereafter and I think this goes without saying if you
25	lived in Ontario, in the early '90s, '91 to '93, that there

1	was a there were budgetary constraints in Ontario. Do
2	you recall that?
3	MR. QUINN: You're talking the Rae Days
4	type-thing, yes.
5	MR. CALLAGHAN: Right. And I take it you as
6	an Association had to try to deal with that?
7	MR. QUINN: Yes.
8	MR. CALLAGHAN: Right. And I take it one of
9	the areas because of the nature of your contract you
10	were dealing with was issues such as overtime were in
11	play during the Rae Days? Do you recall that, sir?
12	MR. QUINN: Yes, there was issues in
13	overtime and how to get how to cut it back and how to
14	otherwise pay it, yes.
15	MR. CALLAGHAN: Okay. And you referred to
16	it earlier as the tug-and-pull, I think was the phrase you
17	used with respect between the Association, management and
18	the board on these issues. Was that a constant theme
19	throughout that period?
20	MR. QUINN: Yes.
21	MR. CALLAGHAN: And I take it, just to be
22	clear, you don't have a problem with management giving
23	orders to proper orders, I should say, to police
24	officers? That's part of their job, right?
25	MR. QUINN: Exactly.

1	MR. CALLAGHAN: Right. And you are talking
2	about the Association's concerns regarding the welfare,
3	regarding particularly the salary and benefits and working
4	conditions; correct?
5	MR. QUINN: That's right.
6	MR. CALLAGHAN: And that was the tug-and-
7	pull that you were referring to?
8	MR. QUINN: That's correct.
9	MR. CALLAGHAN: All right.
10	And the other part of the Association's job,
11	is it fair to say, sir, is to deal with individual
12	grievances?
13	MR. QUINN: Yes.
14	MR. CALLAGHAN: And you talked about and I
15	think you I can't remember the phrase you used. I think
16	you used Joe A and Joe B having a dispute as to who was
17	more qualified for a promotion or a transfer, correct?
18	MR. QUINN: Correct.
19	MR. CALLAGHAN: And I take it that's pretty
20	standard fair for a bargaining unit such as the Association
21	to have to address?
22	MR. QUINN: Yes.
23	MR. CALLAGHAN: All right.
24	And I take it that goes with things such as
25	people having a difficulty with their managers. I think

1	you used the word "tyrannical". That may not have been the
2	word used, I think you used a phrase like that.
3	MR. QUINN: Yes.
4	MR. CALLAGHAN: So you would have but as
5	an Association that's something that you guys dealt with?
6	MR. QUINN: Yes.
7	MR. CALLAGHAN: All right.
8	And you either dealt with it in terms of
9	individual grievances or you dealt with it by discussion
10	with senior managers; correct?
11	MR. QUINN: Correct.
12	MR. CALLAGHAN: All right.
13	So did you find that unusual at all for the
14	bargaining unit? You've been in it from 1997 through to
15	your departure. Was that something you were doing on a
16	constant basis?
17	MR. QUINN: Yes.
18	MR. CALLAGHAN: I just want to touch base
19	then on some of your background just so we're clear.
20	But you'd never been in SACA or the Youth
21	Branch, right?
22	MR. QUINN: No, right.
23	MR. CALLAGHAN: And you never were in CIB?
24	MR. QUINN: No, right.
25	MR. CALLAGHAN: So you never got any

1	training with respect to sexual assault investigations?
2	MR. QUINN: No.
3	MR. CALLAGHAN: All right.
4	And, sir, you were very candid when you said
5	if I can find the note. One second.
6	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
7	MR. CALLAGHAN: That much of what you knew
8	about the DS investigation and subsequently were mostly
9	your perceptions because I think you put it that you didn't
10	have the privilege to the full facts?
11	MR. QUINN: Correct.
12	MR. CALLAGHAN: Right, okay. So I want to
13	just be clear so that people can put into context your
14	evidence.
15	You had no firsthand knowledge of the DS
16	case; correct?
17	MR. QUINN: If you're asking did I have any
18	involvement in the investigation whatsoever, none.
19	MR. CALLAGHAN: Right. And I think, as you
20	indicated to Mr. Neville most of your information, if not
21	the large majority of it, came from Mr. Dunlop; correct?
22	MR. QUINN: Correct.
23	MR. CALLAGHAN: All right.
24	So I just want to be clear, you never for
25	example spoke to Luc Brunet about his involvement?

1	MR. QUINN: No.
2	MR. LEE: Right. You never spoke to Heidi
3	Sebalj about her involvement?
4	MR. QUINN: No.
5	MR. CALLAGHAN: And you never spoke to Garry
6	Derochie about his involvement other than the discussions
7	you have told us about?
8	MR. QUINN: Correct.
9	MR. CALLAGHAN: Right. And you never talked
10	to Chief Shaver about it?
11	MR. QUINN: No.
12	MR. CALLAGHAN: You never talked to Deputy
13	Chief St. Denis about it?
14	MR. QUINN: No.
15	MR. CALLAGHAN: Ron Lefebvre or Brendon
16	Wells?
17	MR. QUINN: No. Part of Brendon Wells is
18	I think as his statement says he was doing some internal
19	investigation and I really don't know the time that took
20	place.
21	MR. CALLAGHAN: Now, we've heard that others
22	had spoken to Murray MacDonald. Did you know who Murray
23	MacDonald was?
24	MR. QUINN: I know who he is, yes.
25	MR. CALLAGHAN: And would you describe him

1	as an honourable man?
2	MR. QUINN: Yes.
3	MR. CALLAGHAN: All right.
4	You had no difficulty with Murray MacDonald?
5	MR. QUINN: None.
6	MR. CALLAGHAN: All right.
7	Did you ever speak to Murray MacDonald about
8	the DS case?
9	MR. QUINN: No.
10	MR. CALLAGHAN: All right.
11	Mr. Commissioner, given the time I know
12	it's 12:30, so 12:28, I was about to embark on a series of
13	questions that are interrelated. It may be that you want
14	to break now.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Time for lunch. Thank
16	you.
17	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
18	veuillez vous lever.
19	The hearing will resume at 2.00 p.m.
20	Upon recessing at 12:28 p.m. /
21	L'audience est suspendue à 12h28
22	Upon resuming at 2:05 p.m. /
23	L'audience est reprise à 14h05
24	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
25	veuillez vous lever.

1	This hearing is now resumed.
2	Please be seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
4	Mr. Callaghan.
5	MR. CALLAGHAN: Mr. Commissioner.
6	MICHAEL QUINN, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
7	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MR.
8	CALLAGHAN (cont'd/suite):
9	MR. CALLAGHAN: Mr. Quinn, I just want to
10	review a little bit about what you might have known and
11	what you knew and what you didn't know. And I want to be
12	clear, a lot of what you're testifying is your recollection
13	of 17 years ago about things you heard but not things you
14	experienced; correct?
15	MR. QUINN: Correct.
16	MR. CALLAGHAN: And I think you've indicated
17	earlier that you that when you spoke to Perry Dunlop
18	that you took at face value what he told you; correct?
19	MR. QUINN: Correct.
20	MR. CALLAGHAN: And I take it that much of
21	the time when you were doing that you were listening as a
22	friend?
23	MR. QUINN: Yes, correct.
24	MR. CALLAGHAN: Right. And you were trying
25	to be supportive and loyal?

1	MR. QUINN: Right.
2	MR. CALLAGHAN: Right. And I take it that
3	that's part of your make-up, that when someone's a friend
4	you try to be supportive and loyal; correct?
5	MR. QUINN: That's right.
6	MR. CALLAGHAN: All right.
7	And so that when we try to deconstruct
8	things here about your impressions, I just want to see what
9	it is you recall knowing and what you don't recall knowing
10	because some things you may not have known.
11	And you had indicated, for example, that you
12	didn't know that Perry Dunlop received the DS statement
13	from another constable, Constable Sebalj. You didn't know
14	that?
15	MR. QUINN: I didn't know where he even
16	gotten it. My understanding was it was picked off of a
17	table but I didn't know it was handed out by someone else
18	to him.
19	MR. CALLAGHAN: But the point is you don't
20	recall Perry Dunlop explaining that?
21	MR. QUINN: No.
22	MR. CALLAGHAN: I'm just trying to
23	understand the nature of the conversation so that we can
24	put your evidence into context, that there was a flow of
25	conversation and Perry Dunlop wasn't purporting to tell you

1	everything. He was just telling you as a person he knows;
2	correct?
3	MR. QUINN: That's correct.
4	MR. CALLAGHAN: For example, I think you
5	said he didn't tell you that he had spoken with Sergeant
6	Dupuis or Sergeant Lortie before speaking to you. You
7	didn't know that?
8	MR. QUINN: I didn't know that, to my
9	knowledge, no.
10	MR. CALLAGHAN: And you didn't know that he
11	had spoken to Murray MacDonald?
12	MR. QUINN: No.
13	MR. CALLAGHAN: And I take it you didn't
14	know that Murray MacDonald had met with Heidi Sebalj 7 to
15	10 times during the course of the investigation?
16	MR. QUINN: I knew nothing about the
17	investigation, how it went.
18	MR. CALLAGHAN: And then you didn't know
19	that Murray MacDonald had advised Luc Brunet that without
20	cooperation of DS there would be no prosecution?
21	MR. QUINN: No.
22	MR. CALLAGHAN: And am I to understand that
23	you said yesterday you weren't aware that Constable Dunlop
24	was asked to return the statement by Staff Sergeant Brunet?
25	MR. QUINN: Not that I am aware of, no.

1	MR. CALLAGHAN: Did you know that Luc Brunet
2	had expressed that he too was disappointed that they
3	couldn't proceed with the investigation?
4	MR. QUINN: No.
5	MR. CALLAGHAN: Did Perry Dunlop tell you
6	that Luc Brunet should consider going to see the Diocese
7	and that in fact Luc Brunet and the Chief went to see the
8	Diocese?
9	MR. QUINN: I know they went. I don't know
10	who instructed them to go.
11	MR. CALLAGHAN: But you don't know you
12	didn't know that that was a suggestion of Perry Dunlop's?
13	MR. QUINN: No.
14	MR. CALLAGHAN: All right.
15	So that when Dunlop tells you things that
16	Luc Brunet and the Chief didn't want this to go anywhere,
17	you weren't aware of those facts?
18	MR. QUINN: No.
19	MR. CALLAGHAN: Right. And that wasn't
20	something you were you weren't there to challenge Mr.
21	Dunlop?
22	MR. QUINN: No.
23	MR. CALLAGHAN: It's our understanding that
24	you became aware that the DS statement and the
25	investigation in early October '93 when Mr. Dunlop comes to

1	you. Is that correct? That was what the
2	MR. QUINN: Yes.
3	MR. CALLAGHAN: I take it then that the
4	rumours that you talked about regarding Ken Seguin that
5	were around the legal community, those are things that you
6	heard after you became aware of the DS case?
7	MR. QUINN: I'm sorry, if you could say that
8	one more time again.
9	MR. CALLAGHAN: If I understood it, your
10	first real involvement with this according to that
11	statement that Mr. Neville took you to was in early
12	October, 1993?
13	MR. QUINN: In about that time, yes.
14	MR. CALLAGHAN: Right. And then you talked
15	about rumours around the legal community regarding Ken
16	Seguin. I'm assuming that those rumours were some time
17	after you first became aware of DS, the DS investigation.
18	Would that be right?
19	MR. QUINN: They would be probably starting
20	or in about that same time, yes.
21	MR. CALLAGHAN: Because I'm just trying to
22	sort out there's been a lot of testimony here some of
23	the dates. Some around we can take it that you might
24	have known things.
25	Now, you're aware DS made a formal, public

1	complaint against Perry Dunlop?
2	MR. QUINN: No.
3	MR. CALLAGHAN: You weren't aware. You're
4	aware that a public complaint through your work in the
5	Association involves a different mechanism than what Garry
6	Derochie was doing which was an internal situation;
7	correct?
8	MR. QUINN: Yes. Public complaint, yes.
9	MR. CALLAGHAN: All right.
10	So there's a more formal element because
11	it's a member of the public?
12	MR. QUINN: Yes.
13	MR. CALLAGHAN: And I take it you are aware
14	or maybe you are not aware are you aware that the
15	charges against Mr. Dunlop that made it to the Board of
16	Inquiry were charges that were signed by Chief Carl
17	Johnston. Were you aware of that?
18	MR. QUINN: I don't know who signed them.
19	MR. CALLAGHAN: All right.
20	So then you wouldn't have been aware that it
21	wasn't Shaver but Johnston?
22	MR. QUINN: No.
23	MR. CALLAGHAN: And I take it you may not
24	recall, but Carl Johnston arrives as Acting Chief in
25	approximately the first week of 1994, and shortly

1	thereafter Mr. Dunlop goes off on sick leave in about the
2	second or third week of 1994. Do you recall that timing at
3	all?
4	MR. QUINN: I recall like the events as
5	you say as to the relation to one another. It's not
6	something that I would have paid a lot of attention to one
7	way or another sort of thing, so I'd take your word for it.
8	It sounds about right.
9	MR. CALLAGHAN: But you don't recall do
10	you recall Mr. Dunlop indicating that he'd ever met Acting
11	Chief Johnston before?
12	MR. QUINN: No, I don't recall remember him
13	ever saying he'd met him.
14	MR. CALLAGHAN: Right. And you never knew
15	him. Did you ever know Perry Dunlop to have met Acting
16	Chief Johnston?
17	MR. QUINN: Not as far as I know.
18	MR. CALLAGHAN: All right.
19	And you talked about learning that Perry
20	Dunlop had gone down to Maine. Do you recall whether that
21	was you said it wasn't at the time, it was some time
22	later. Is that what I'm to understand? It wasn't
23	MR. QUINN: When I learned about it?
24	MR. CALLAGHAN: Yeah.
25	MR. QUINN: It was after the after the

1	iact, yes.
2	MR. CALLAGHAN: You wouldn't be able to say
3	whether it was late '96 or early '97 right now?
4	MR. QUINN: No, I'm sorry. I don't know the
5	dates.
6	MR. CALLAGHAN: And I take it somebody had
7	suggested to you you should have gone to speak to your
8	superiors. I take it you took these as private
9	conversations between you and Mr. Dunlop as a friend?
10	MR. QUINN: Yes. I did.
11	MR. CALLAGHAN: All right.
12	And I take it during that period of time
13	when you were talking about it, you didn't know about his
14	lawsuit? I think that's what you testified today.
15	MR. QUINN: I know there was a lawsuit. I
16	didn't know who was named in the lawsuits or who started
17	them or who was being sued for what. I knew there was
18	lawsuits. I think it was pretty much common knowledge as
19	well.
20	MR. CALLAGHAN: Did you know the name
21	Charles Bourgeois at all?
22	MR. QUINN: Yes.
23	MR. CALLAGHAN: All right.
24	And did you know that the interviews that
25	were done in Maine made it's way into the Amended Statement

1	of Claim? That it was part of the lawsuit. Did you know
2	anything about that?
3	MR. QUINN: I don't know anything was in the
4	lawsuits.
5	MR. CALLAGHAN: And then you talked about
6	the fact that at some point there were rumours around Perry
7	Dunlop was doing interviews. I take it this is sometime
8	later after you learn about the Maine trip. Would be some
9	time in mid-'97 perhaps?
10	MR. QUINN: When you're saying that there
11	was talk that he was doing interviews. I think there was
12	like talk he was doing interviews probably local prior to
13	that time. It was kind of like again, rumour mill stuff
14	that was basically knowledgeable around the station.
15	MR. CALLAGHAN: But you can't put a timing
16	on it. Whether it was '96 or '97?
17	MR. QUINN: No, I don't know the dates.
18	MR. CALLAGHAN: Now, I take it you are aware
19	or maybe you're not.
20	Did you know that Inspector Trew was tasked
21	with liaising with the OPP regarding Project Truth
22	incidences?
23	MR. QUINN: I know he had yeah, when I
24	learned that, I don't know, but, yes, I did know.
25	MR. CALLAGHAN: But did you know at the time

1	or have you subsequently learned that over these many
2	years?
3	MR. QUINN: It wasn't like out nobody
4	like come to me and said, okay, Inspector Trew is being
5	tasked with this, to know this.
6	It would have been learned through
7	conversations with people. I don't know if I learned it
8	right at the time or after. Sometime over the course of
9	time, I knew about it.
10	MR. CALLAGHAN: And that's one of the things
11	about your testimony. It's hard for you to place when you
12	learned things?
13	MR. QUINN: That's correct.
14	MR. CALLAGHAN: But did you know that that
15	Inspector Trew was dealing with Perry Dunlop?
16	MR. QUINN: Eventually I knew that.
17	MR. CALLAGHAN: And did you know that that
18	Inspector True was asking Perry Dunlop to provide
19	disclosure to the OPP and to refer any victims to the OPP?
20	Were you aware of that?
21	MR. QUINN: I know that I know through
22	Perry that Rick had asked for disclosure or asked for all
23	his information.
24	MR. CALLAGHAN: And what about the referral
25	of victims to the OPP. Do you remember him talking to you

1	about that at all?
2	MR. QUINN: Like I said, prior to, I know
3	that people would call me and told me that people had
4	called him to basically find out what to do or where to go,
5	and he would refer them to whatever agency was applicable.
6	MR. CALLAGHAN: But that's what he was
7	telling you?
8	MR. QUINN: That's what he was telling me,
9	yes.
10	MR. CALLAGHAN: He never told you
11	Mr. Jones came, I sent him to the OPP, but he was telling
12	you generally, right?
13	MR. QUINN: Generally, yes.
14	MR. CALLAGHAN: Now, Inspector Trew. I take
15	it you know Inspector Trew as an honest man?
16	MR. QUINN: Yes.
17	MR. CALLAGHAN: And you know him as an
18	honourable and trustworthy man?
19	MR. QUINN: Yes.
20	MR. CALLAGHAN: All right. And
21	MR. STAUFFER: May I just interject for a
22	moment here? It has nothing to do whether Inspector Trew
23	is an honest or trustworthy man.
24	Mr. Commissioner, what I'm concerned about
25	and I would appreciate your direction on this as follows.

1	We want to get through this Inquiry I know
2	in as expeditious fashion as possible. What my concern is
3	as your counsel is that we hear from the witness,
4	especially from his own counsel, in a manner that is not as
5	leading as is taking place here.
6	So this is no disrespect to Mr. Callaghan.
7	I appreciate he's trying to elicit information from Mr.
8	Quinn. My concern is that the way the questions are being
9	put right now, they are almost in a "yes" or "no" type
10	fashion, to whit, a leading fashion.
11	Commission counsel are allowed to do this,
12	although personally I try not to do this on any kind of
13	contentious matter or where we are asking for a narrative
14	from the witness.
15	But I'm just concerned and it's no
16	disrespect to Mr. Callaghan but I'm concerned that
17	really Mr. Quinn here is being asked questions in such a
18	leading fashion that the evidence is not perhaps as strong
19	as it could be if he was asked in a more open fashion.
20	That's my concern as your counsel. I would
21	appreciate any direction that you might be able to give to
22	us on that point.
23	MR. COMMISSIONER: The other comment
24	Mr. Callaghan is, where are we going with all of this?
25	MR. CALLAGHAN: Well, I think that I'm

1	trying to I'm trying to elicit there's testimony the
2	witness gave about people who Mr. Dunlop was interacting
3	with senior management who he didn't trust.
4	I think it's important that you hear from
5	this witness some of the people he was dealing with and
6	whether these were trustworthy people. That's all I was
7	trying to elicit because you've heard his testimony. Not
8	his testimony, you're hearing what Mr. Dunlop is telling
9	him that he didn't trust the people that he was dealing
10	with.
11	He's already spoken about Staff Sergeant
12	Derochie. He's spoken about that's where Trew gets
13	introduced because he's the first person I mean, I can
14	move on but
15	MR. COMMISSIONER: No, no
16	MR. CALLAGHAN: It's an important issue. To
17	assess what many people put to the witness what I hope it
18	isn't coming out as fact, that's what I'm trying to elicit,
19	what he knows and what he doesn't know. So we're clear
20	about, you know, what he's been told by Mr. Dunlop.
21	MR. COMMISSIONER: Well, you'll be happy to
22	know that not only do I question all of the answers that
23	the witnesses give, but I also question some of the
24	validity of the questions and what the content of those
25	questions are

1	MR. CALLAGHAN: I know.
2	MR. COMMISSIONER: And I'm getting I've
3	come to the point now where I won't intervene as much but I
4	can tell you that the weight to be given to that evidence
5	is going to be very, very slight.
6	MR. CALLAGHAN: And I understand that and I
7	understand that, and part of what we are trying to do is
8	I mean, with great respect to my friend, I've been up all
9	of 22 minutes and this is the response to the institution -
10	- I'm not trying and I've we've been good on our side
11	and I know you're going to take what everybody says and
12	you're going to weigh it, and if I thought that it needed
13	to be done in a different fashion for your own benefit, I
14	would have done it, but
15	
16	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
17	MR. CALLAGHAN: I'm cognizant of the
18	issues my friends raise and we're trying to get through
19	this as quickly
20	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
21	MR. CALLAGHAN: as possible.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Let's put it this way.
23	This gentleman is a career officer with the Cornwall
24	Police. He was a constable, had very little or nothing to
25	do with the internal workings of the police force. So by

1	you asking him questions, I mean, it almost sounds like
2	you're putting your case in, but and I don't think
3	MR. CALLAGHAN: A little bit.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: it's necessary. It's
5	not necessary.
6	MR. CALLAGHAN: All right. I understand. I
7	understand. Let me consult and I'll be back to you in one
8	second, Mr. Commissioner.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Sure. Sure.
10	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
11	MR. CALLAGHAN: And provided I understand
12	you correctly, I'll sit down.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: You know what they say
14	about assumptions.
15	(LAUGHTER/RIRES)
16	MR. STAUFFER: I know how to clear a room.
17	RE-EXAMINATION BY/RÉ-INTERROGATOIRE PAR MR. STAUFFER:
18	MR. STAUFFER: Well, Mr. Commissioner, I do
19	have a few more questions for Mr. Quinn and I'll be as
20	brief as I can, but I'd just like to
21	THE COMMISSIONER: You'll have 14 eyes
22	pair of eyes looking at you in the back saying
23	MR. STAUFFER: I appreciate that.
24	My friend, Mr. Callaghan, had just asked
25	you, Mr. Quinn, a minute ago about whether Mr. Dunlop was

1	conducting interviews. This is with respect to people
2	coming forward and so on, and you used the word "rumours"
3	or Mr. Callaghan may have used the word "rumours". Am I
4	right, sir, you knew that Mr. Dunlop was conducting
5	interviews? Am I right or wrong on that?
6	MR. QUINN: Yes.
7	MR. STAUFFER: He was conducting people
8	coming to his house or over the telephone from time to
9	time?
10	MR. QUINN: People would call, like I had
11	said earlier
12	MR. STAUFFER: Yes.
13	MR. QUINN: People would call, basically,
14	like you say, coming forward, asking what to do, where to
15	go, who could they see and he would direct them to whatever
16	agency was applicable at the time.
17	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. So that's where I'm
18	going on this. What I'm trying to understand from you,
19	having talked with Mr. Dunlop a lot over the years, can you
20	give us any specifics as to whom
21	MR. QUINN: No.
22	MR. STAUFFER: he well, hold on; I
23	haven't finished my question yet to whom he referred
24	people. And let me just suggest some names and tell me if
25	I'm off base or not. Did he say, for example, go to

1	someone in SACA or Youth Bureau, whatever it was called at
2	the appropriate time?
3	MR. CALLAGHAN: I'm sorry
4	MR. QUINN: Yeah.
5	MR. CALLAGHAN: you're saying you're
6	asking him whether Mr. Dunlop told him he told someone to
7	go speak to someone. Is that what I'm understanding the
8	question?
9	MR. STAUFFER: That's very nicely
10	summarized. That's right. Yes, you've got it.
11	MR. CALLAGHAN: Well
12	THE COMMISSIONER: So did he?
13	MR. QUINN: He would have told him to go to
14	the police station. I don't know what particular
15	department he told them to go to. I don't know.
16	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Because what I'm
17	getting at again, Mr. Quinn, is this, and this is a concern
18	at least I have.
19	MR. QUINN: Okay.
20	MR. STAUFFER: You've given the impression
21	throughout some of the questions put to you here that Mr.
22	Dunlop said to someone I'll just say John Smith said
23	to John Smith, "Go to the Cornwall Police Station" and that
24	person was turned away or some they weren't someone
25	wasn't paying attention to him. Can you give us any

1	specifics about that, as you understand it, from your
2	discussions with Mr. Dunlop?
3	MR. QUINN: As my discussion with Mr.
4	Dunlop, it is, as I told you before, he had directed
5	people, and some of those people came back and this is
6	again his discussion with me, not specific on names, not
7	specific as to what happened, not specific as to who they
8	spoke to, but they weren't satisfied with the reception
9	they got or what was being done and they had come back to
10	him saying that they weren't satisfied or weren't happy
11	with what had taken place.
12	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
13	Was it your understanding that this was at
14	the Cornwall Police Service or was it at other police
15	services?
16	MR. QUINN: My understanding would have been
17	it would have been at the Cornwall Police, but then again,
18	I don't recall it being specifically said.
19	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
20	If I put it to you was there any mention
21	of a detachment of the OPP?
22	MR. QUINN: I don't recall it being
23	mentioned.
24	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
25	MR. QUINN: Just further on that, I believe

24

25

1	some of them were referred to Project Truth people as that
2	came into effect.
3	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
4	MR. QUINN: And what became of that, I don't
5	know. I don't know whether they came back, didn't come
6	back or whatever.
7	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
8	The last area that's come up through the
9	questioning here that I'd like to explore just for five
10	minutes, and that's the following. What I don't understand
11	again, as an outsider and as a non-police officer is this,
12	when Mr. Dunlop started these, if I can put it, private
13	investigations or private interviews with people, why
14	from what you know from either speaking with him or
15	speaking with others why did he not refer that person
16	who's coming in to complain to a specific individual in the
17	Cornwall Police that he knew and I'll suggest some names:
18	Kevin Malloy, who was an experienced officer in the child
19	abuse or sexual abuse area at that time?
20	MR. QUINN: Why would he not go to
21	because if you're going in to lodge a complaint at the
22	police department, you would go either call the station and

the paper trail and then it would go to someone like

dispatch would send a patrol officer, which someone like

myself or others would start the initial complaint to start

1	Constable Malloy, if it would be assigned to him because it
2	go from there, forwarded to SACA Branch if that was the
3	case to be taking place and then it would be assigned to a
4	SACA officer to investigate that at that point.
5	I don't think you can't well, I don't
6	know of anyone going in and saying, "Give me so and so out
7	of CIB. That's the person I'm lodging the complaint with"
8	because it would eventually come to a uniform officer.
9	MR. STAUFFER: I appreciate everything
10	you've said.
11	I guess let me put it another way. Again,
12	from your own personal knowledge of the players here, was
13	Mr. Dunlop comfortable enough to be able to speak and
14	I'll just take Kevin Malloy as an example to say to him,
15	"Kevin, I've got somebody here who's spoken to me. Can you
16	talk to him?" I understand the formal route that you've
17	talked about, but Mr. Dunlop isn't taking the formal route,
18	right? He's already working in a unique way. Do you
19	follow what I'm saying? Was Mr. Dunlop able to trust
20	anyone to refer a person to that officer or call the
21	officer and say, "Can you just speak to this person?"
22	MR. CALLAGHAN: Can we get the question?
23	Did Mr. Dunlop express anything to him or are we just
24	talking about you're saying did they have a

relationship? I'm not sure.

1	If you want to ask him what Mr. Dunlop
2	expressed to him as to whether he couldn't refer to
3	specific individuals, I guess that's okay, but beyond that
4	I'm getting a little lost.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: So am I.
6	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
7	Well, let me try again.
8	MR. QUINN: All right.
9	MR. STAUFFER: Mr. Quinn, did Officer Dunlop
10	know Kevin Malloy?
11	MR. QUINN: Yeah.
12	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Did they like each
13	other?
14	MR. QUINN: I assume. I don't know.
15	MR. STAUFFER: Did they have was there
16	bad blood between them?
17	MR. QUINN: Not that I'm aware of.
18	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
19	Did Mr. Dunlop ever express anything to you
20	that he would like to refer one of these complainants to
21	Mr. Malloy?
22	MR. QUINN: No.
23	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Did he ever mention
24	any officers
25	MR. QUINN: No.

1	MR. STAUFFER: at all?
2	THE COMMISSIONER: That would be someone
3	that he would recommend these people go and see.
4	MR. QUINN: No, he he never mentioned to
5	me and my understanding, Mr. Commissioner, of please
6	bear with me is that over a number of years, it's always
7	been done the same way and I guess that made me confined to
8	think within the box that way, but I can't see somebody
9	saying, go to the police station and look up a certain
10	detective someplace and talk to him.
11	One, if Mr. Dunlop was not at work, he
12	wouldn't know whether that person was there or not.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Right.
14	MR. QUINN: He wouldn't know what the
15	circumstances of that person's workload would be. So the
16	common way of I only know of one way of doing that sort
17	of thing. It's like, you go in, you start the paper trail
18	at the bottom and there's a trail all the way up that it
19	gets disseminated to.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: I guess let's look at
21	it this way. Let's assume your neighbour's child or
22	somebody close to you is involved and is going to become a
23	complainant, right, and you know that Sergeant Lefebvre is
24	the best guy on to do that, it'd be like saying, Look, why
25	don't you go and see Sergeant Lefebvre and talk to him

1	about this" so that maybe that it would stick with him and
2	that way, you know, you're the child would be in good
3	hands, so to speak. Would that ever be done like that?
4	MR. QUINN: I suppose it could be done that
5	way, but I don't recall ever seeing it done that way.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Terrific. Thank you.
7	MR. QUINN: Okay.
8	MR. STAUFFER: My final point on this or my
9	final question, I suppose, Mr. Quinn, is this.
10	When Mr. Dunlop apparently referred someone
11	and let's say to the Cornwall Police and that person
12	comes back and says, "I didn't get a hearing" or "they
13	didn't treat me the right way," what follow-up, if any,
14	happened? What did Mr. Dunlop do, knowing his brother
15	officers at the Service what did he do?
16	MR. QUINN: As far as I know, he didn't do
17	anything. I don't know, unless maybe he listened to the
18	person. I don't know what he did.
19	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. The question had been
20	raised earlier about your statement that you gave to at
21	that time Staff Sergeant Wells.
22	MR. QUINN: Yes.
23	MR. STAUFFER: Do you remember? And I just
24	want to be sure we're all talking the same language here.
25	Madam Clerk, if I could just ask you to put

1	Exhibit 1253, or perhaps Constable Quinn already has it,
2	but it's again the public complaint forms. It's document
3	729140.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: One-two-five-three (1253)
5	no, that would be in your binder, sir, I believe.
6	MR. QUINN: I have it. I have it.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, okay, sorry. Good.
8	What page?
9	MR. STAUFFER: So I'm looking, Mr.
10	Commissioner, at the first page, which in my document is
11	called Appendix "A", which is Bates page 7115601.
12	So it's page 2 of 51 is one of the ways of
13	designating it.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: So just flip the page
15	over, that's page 2 is on right there.
16	MR. QUINN: This one?
17	MR. STAUFFER: Yes, that's it. It's on the
18	screen.
19	MR. QUINN: This one?
20	THE COMMISSIONER: It says Appendix "A"?
21	Right. I think that's the one. Okay.
22	MR. STAUFFER: So again, Constable, this is
23	what would have been appended to the correspondence from
24	Staff Sergeant Wells to yourself and, of course, other
25	officers as well, but when he was tasked with investigating

1	this complaint, this is, I gather, what would have been
2	attached to your memo from Staff Sergeant Wells?
3	And again, take your time.
4	MR. CALLAGHAN: Sorry, I don't know where
5	that understanding comes from.
6	This is the this is the Appendix "A" to
7	the public complaint issued by David Silmser. This is not
8	something that would have been sent to this officer, that
9	I'm aware of.
10	MR. STAUFFER: Well, I apologize if I have
11	the wrong
12	MR. QUINN: This is the first time I've seen
13	this document.
14	MR. STAUFFER: The bear with me for one
15	moment.
16	Let me disregard the paperwork for a
17	second, Constable. The memo from Staff Sergeant Wells to
18	yourself, the one that you responded to, the statement that
19	that brief statement that you looked at earlier?
20	MR. QUINN: Yes.
21	MR. STAUFFER: Was anything attached to that
22	memo?
23	MR. QUINN: To the best of my recollection,
24	it would have been merely a request to provide all notes
25	and a statement as to your involvement in this incident

1	would have been involving it may have said something to
2	the effect that in investigating a complaint, public
3	complaint by someone it might have mentioned a name, it
4	might not have, and would have asked for any copies of
5	notes and a statement involving that particular complaint.
6	That would have been it.
7	MR. STAUFFER: Yes. My apologies; I may be
8	mixing this up, but and you may not be able to answer
9	this, but I understand that this memo that you received
10	from Staff Sergeant Wells was the same memo that you would
11	have that would have been received by, at that time,
12	Sergeant Lortie. Do you have any idea about that?
13	MR. QUINN: I don't know what Sergeant
14	Lortie would have received.
15	Probably it was kind of like a generic one
16	sent to everybody but
17	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. Anyway, we won't I
18	will move on, Mr. Commissioner.
19	With respect, sir, I just have one last area
20	here.
21	At the time of the Silmser matter and I'm
22	talking about the fall of 1993 did a fellow called John
23	Parisien hold a position of President of the Association at
24	that time?
25	MR. QUINN: In that time period, yes.

QUINN

1	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. I'm a little confused
2	here and it's, in my view anyway, of some significance.
3	I understood that Mr. O'Reilly was you
4	had said earlier that he was President. What time was Mr.
5	O'Reilly President of the Association?
6	MR. QUINN: Like I said, somewhere in that
7	period there was a change. Whether it was in the end of
8	'93, early '94 or somewhere in that change, there was an
9	election in the fall of that year, so depending on what
10	happened where, at one point it might have been John
11	Parisien and the other point it was Dan O'Reilly.
12	The point I was talking with Staff Sergeant
13	Derochie, at that time I believe Dan O'Reilly at that point
14	was probably the President of the Association, which would
15	have meant, whatever that date was, John no longer was.
16	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. I don't know if you've
17	seen this document or not. It's the report prepared by the
18	officers from the Ottawa Police Service in 1994, in January
19	of 1994, Superintendent Skinner and Staff Sergeant Blake,
20	but it's a report and I I just will refer you to it for
21	a moment. You don't have to look at it.
22	Mr. Dunlop or sorry, Mr. Blake and Mr.
23	Skinner have written about Mr. Parisien as being the
24	President of the Cornwall Police Association, so that's
25	where I'm getting that information from.

1	MR. QUINN: He may well have been when they
2	did their
3	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. There is some question
4	as to who gave the statement; that is, the Silmser
5	statement to the media.
6	MR. QUINN: Yes.
7	MR. STAUFFER: Do you have any information
8	at all as to who gave the statement?
9	MR. QUINN: No.
10	MR. STAUFFER: If I put it to you directly
11	that John Parisien gave the statement, do you have any
12	information in that regard?
13	MR. QUINN: I would say he didn't.
14	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
15	Mr. Callaghan had taken you through the "Rae
16	Days" and so on, to some extent, but am I right, Mr. Quinn,
17	back in the '90s and I'm talking specifically there's a
18	report from 1993 which indicates that the Cornwall Police
19	Service, at that time, was the highest paid force in the
20	province?
21	MR. QUINN: At some point in there. I don't
22	know what year it was, but, yeah, at some point actually
23	was probably the highest paid in the country.
24	MR. STAUFFER: Okay. So in terms of the
25	morale and the problems that are mentioned in these

1	different reports that we've looked at, it's not money is
2	the issue in
3	MR. QUINN: No
4	MR. STAUFFER: terms of morale?
5	MR. QUINN: it was not money.
6	MR. STAUFFER: All right.
7	Thank you, sir.
8	Those are all of the questions that I have,
9	Mr. Commissioner.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Quinn, we did omit to
11	ask you if you had any recommendations
12	MR. STAUFFER: Yes.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: that you would like
14	to share with me. You don't have to, but if you have any?
15	MR. QUINN: No.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: No.
17	Mr. Quinn, I would like to thank you for
18	taking the time out to testify here and I certainly will
19	consider your evidence.
20	MR. QUINN: Thank you.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.
22	So now I understand we have to take a break.
23	We're going to come back in camera so that we can take care
24	of monikers.
25	And when we come back after that, I'll

1	explain to the public which monikers we've dealt with.
2	All right?
3	Let's take a short break.
4	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
5	veuillez vous lever.
6	This hearing will resume at 2:50, in camera.
7	Upon recessing at 2:37 p.m. to resume in camera/
8	L'audience est suspendue à 14h37 pour reprendre
9	à huis-clos
10	Upon resuming at 3:16 p.m./
11	L'audience est reprise à 15h16
12	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
13	veuillez vous lever.
14	This hearing is now resumed. Please be
15	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
17	Good afternoon, sir.
18	So we're back on the live web, I guess, and
19	with members of the public, as numerous as they are. So
20	while we were in camera we had a discussion with respect to
21	certain names of witnesses or persons that may have been
22	involved in an investigation, and given the fact that we
23	didn't know exactly, for the majority of them, what their
24	role was here and given that there had not been any prior
25	mention of them, and there's one or two that have ongoing

1	criminal publication bans through their process, out of an
2	abundance of caution, what I've decided to do is provide
3	interim C monikers so that we can ask the constable
4	questions and refer to monikers, and that way I'll be able
5	to determine near the end of this witness whether or not
6	the monikers should be made permanent.
7	So, Officer Malloy, how are you today?
8	MR. MALLOY: Fine, sir.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Good.
10	Madam Clerk, will you be swearing in yes,
11	thank you.
12	KEVIN MALLOY, Sworn/Assermenté:
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
14	Good afternoon, sir. A few ground rules.
15	There's water and fresh glasses there.
16	MR. MALLOY: Thank you.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: I would ask you to speak
18	into the microphone. There's a speaker in case you can't
19	hear people. You can either turn the volume up or down,
20	depending on how you want oh, and I see that you're on
21	crutches.
22	MR. MALLOY: Yes, sir.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: So if at any time you
24	need a break or anything like that, just let me know.
25	Please answer the questions as best as you

1	can. If you don't know the answer, that's fine. If you
2	don't understand the question, please stop us right away so
3	that we can make sure that we're all on the same
4	wavelength.
5	MR. MALLOY: Yes, sir.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Again, if there's
7	anything you feel uncomfortable about, just turn around and
8	ask me and we'll deal with it.
9	MR. MALLOY: Thank you, sir.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: All right? Thank you.
11	MR. MALLOY: Thank you.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Mrs. Jones.
13	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MS.
14	JONES:
15	MS. JONES: Good afternoon, Officer Malloy.
16	MR. MALLOY: Good afternoon.
17	MS. JONES: Officer, I'm just going to lead
18	you through a little bit of your background just to assist
19	and keep the time a little bit more brief.
20	MR. MALLOY: Okay.
21	MS. JONES: And I understand that you began
22	your career with the Cornwall Community Police Service on
23	January $10^{\rm th}$, 1980 when you were a uniformed officer. Is
24	that correct?
25	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.

1	MS. JONES: And you remained a uniformed
2	officer, I believe, in Unit D until you transferred to the
3	Youth Bureau on or about January 1st, 1989?
4	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
5	MS. JONES: And when you were in uniform, at
6	some point you were actually on the Police Executive. Is
7	that correct as well?
8	THE COMMISSIONER: The Association?
9	MR. MALLOY: The Association, yes, sir.
10	MS. JONES: Yes?
11	MR. MALLOY: As an executive a director,
12	sorry.
13	MS. JONES: A director?
14	MR. MALLOY: Yeah.
15	MS. JONES: And when you first started at
16	the Youth Bureau, I believe that you started there actually
17	about January $5^{\rm th}$. The technical transfer was January $1^{\rm st}$,
18	but you actually would have started about January 5 th ?
19	MR. MALLOY: January, after New Year's,
20	sure.
21	MS. JONES: After New Year's?
22	MR. MALLOY: Yeah.
23	MS. JONES: And when you had gone into the
24	Youth Bureau, you were there for approximately five weeks
25	and then you were transferred back to uniform because there

1	were manpower shortages?
2	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
3	MS. JONES: And then you were back in
4	uniform for about six weeks and then you were transferred
5	back to the Youth Bureau?
6	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
7	MS. JONES: And you remained there until
8	March 8^{th} , 1993 and you went off on sick leave until May
9	1996?
10	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
11	MS. JONES: And after May 1996 and you'd
12	had, I believe, a surgery or so?
13	MR. MALLOY: Three, yeah.
14	MS. JONES: You were placed as Criminal
15	Court Case Manager in the Crown Attorney's office?
16	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
17	MS. JONES: And you were assigned to Support
18	Services on the $31^{\rm st}$ of December 1996?
19	MR. MALLOY: Correct.
20	MS. JONES: And you were a senior constable
21	in a modified work position?
22	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
23	MS. JONES: And you were assigned to the
24	Court Bureau on the $1^{\rm st}$ of January 1998 as a senior
25	constable?

1	MR. MALLOY: Yeah.
2	MS. JONES: And then you became Court Case
3	Manager on the 5^{th} of July 1998 and you have that same
4	position today. Is that correct?
5	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
6	MS. JONES: So you're still a full service
7	police officer even though you did bring crutches here
8	today?
9	MR. MALLOY: Yes.
10	MS. JONES: Okay. Now, just going back to
11	your time, of course, in Youth Bureau, which is the main
12	reason why you're here today is it fair to say if we're
13	just going to describe the purpose or the work of the Youth
14	Bureau at that time is to look at criminal investigations,
15	sexual or physical abuse on children, and sexual
16	allegations made by adults as well?
17	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
18	MS. JONES: Is that a good summary of that?
19	MR. MALLOY: Well, also all youth crime. We
20	had to go through all the youth court briefs. We also had
21	to serve as court officers for young offender court. We
22	didn't have court officers back then.
23	MS. JONES: Okay. But you were assigned
24	specific files that came in the office?
25	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.

1	MS. JONES: And they could be allegations
2	made by adults or made by youths?
3	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
4	MS. JONES: And I also understand that we
5	have a document here, Document 200196, which is the career
6	profile of yourself. I believe you would have seen this
7	already but ask that that be entered as an exhibit, please.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
9	Exhibit 1490 is the case profile of Kevin
10	Malloy.
11	MS. JONES: I'm sorry, Mr. Commissioner, was
12	that 1490?
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
14	MS. JONES: Thank you.
15	EXHIBIT NO./PIĒCE NO. P-1490:
16	(200196) - Kevin Malloy Career Profile
17	MS. JONES: And I also understand that
18	actually prior to joining the Cornwall Police you had
19	worked for the RCMP?
20	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
21	MS. JONES: All right.
22	And how long have you been with the RCMP
23	approximately?
24	MR. MALLOY: A little over a year.
25	MS. JONES: And what were your duties when

1	you were at the RCMP? Did you have a specialized duty or
2	were you a general police officer?
3	MR. MALLOY: Uniform.
4	MS. JONES: Uniform. I also noticed too
5	that over the course of your career you've had numerous
6	training courses. I was wondering if I could just go
7	through those briefly for the record.
8	Received training in 01/00 probationary
9	court, constable course; 01/01 probationary constable
10	course, cardio-pulmonary resuscitation; breathalyzer
11	technician course; advanced training course; coach
12	officer's seminar; family violence seminar; breathalyzer
13	technician re-qualification; youth officer course; general
14	investigative techniques; breathalyzer technician
15	requalification and the annual prosecutors course which
16	were training courses provided by CPS counsel.
17	Are those pretty well a summary of the kinds
18	of courses that you were on during your
19	MR. MALLOY: Can you repeat the last, the
20	training course?
21	MS. JONES: Annual prosecutors course.
22	MR. MALLOY: Right.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: In Brampton.
24	MS. JONES: Training course provided by
25	MR. MALLOY: Well, it was actually in

1 Muskoka. 2 MS. JONES: Muskoka? 3 MR. MALLOY: Yeah. 4 MS. JONES: And were those day-long seminars 5 or was it a longer period of time? 6 MR. MALLOY: No, that was -- I believe it 7 was a three-day or a four-day seminar, yes. That's 8 provincial prosecutors. 9 MS. JONES: Does that fairly summarize the 10 type of training, though, you would have received certainly 11 up until your time, including your time with the Youth 12 Bureau? 13 MR. MALLOY: That's correct. 14 MS. JONES: Now, it would appear that there 15 aren't any specialized sexual assault courses or historical sexual assault courses or child abuse courses. Is that 16 17 correct? 18 MR. MALLOY: They didn't exist as far as I 19 can remember back then. 20 MS. JONES: So the only assaults course training would be a general assault course. Is that fair 21

184

MR. MALLOY: It would -- assault, like in the general investigative technique course, they would include -- they would touch on all types of criminal

22

23

24

25

to say?

1	offences, not a specific course on assaults.
2	MS. JONES: Okay. So it would encompass any
3	type of assaults such as domestic assaults and other sorts
4	of assaultive behaviour?
5	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
6	MS. JONES: What about a mentorship within
7	the Cornwall Police? Was there any sort of a mentorship
8	when you joined where a more senior officer would be taking
9	you around, you were shadowing?
10	MR. MALLOY: Not that I can recall, not when
11	I first got on, no.
12	MS. JONES: And when you were at the Youth
13	Bureau it's my understanding that you and Officer Trottier
14	were the two officers that were there to take in cases at
15	that time?
16	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
17	MS. JONES: And was it only you and Officer
18	Trottier when you started?
19	MR. MALLOY: In the Youth Bureau?
20	MS. JONES: Yes.
21	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
22	MS. JONES: I believe his rank was sergeant
23	at that time. is that right?
24	MR. MALLOY: That's right.
25	MS. JONES: And your supervisors appear to

1	be Stail Sergeant Wells and Inspector Trew?
2	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
3	MS. JONES: And at that time you are a
4	constable; correct?
5	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
6	MS. JONES: So as far as rank or hierarchy
7	goes it goes constable; next is sergeant; next is staff
8	sergeant and the next is inspector?
9	MR. MALLOY: Yeah.
10	MS. JONES: So you would have been the most
11	junior person then in the Youth Bureau at that time when
12	you started?
13	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
14	MS. JONES: Now, when you started in the
15	Youth Bureau, what sort of caseload did you have there?
16	How many files were you expected to carry on your own? Do
17	you have a recollection of that?
18	MR. MALLOY: Oh, we were juggling anywhere
19	from 20-25 cases at any given time.
20	MS. JONES: I know it's very hard to cast
21	your mind back to specifics, but were the cases balanced
22	between you and Officer Trottier or would one of you have
23	more of a load or were they about equal?
24	MR. MALLOY: No, I think it was equal share.
25	MS. JONES: And of those say 20 to 25

1	caseloads that you would have, approximately how many of
2	those would have been classified as historical sexual
3	assaults?
4	MR. MANDERVILLE: I think, Mr. Commissioner,
5	the term "historical sexual assault" isn't necessarily a
6	term of ours and perhaps Officer Malloy ought to be asked
7	what he viewed as being one.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
9	MS. JONES: Do you know what I mean when I
10	use the phrase "historical sexual assault"?
11	MR. MALLOY: Well, that was my what's the
12	definition of historic? I mean, I know I realize 10-15
13	years ago was historic but to me six months ago could be
14	historic also.
15	MS. JONES: Well, how many people then came
16	to you saying that now they were adults and they were
17	saying that as a child these things happened to me?
18	MR. MALLOY: Oh, it's hard to say. I'm not
19	I mean the caseload fluctuated all the time and when
20	you'd get rid of one you'd get two more.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: I know, but did you have
22	any of those?
23	MR. MALLOY: Oh, yes, sir. Yeah, I would
24	probably I'll say two out of would have been
25	historical adults coming, saying that something happened to

1	them when they were younger.
2	MS. JONES: So most of your caseload would
3	have been more current sexual assaults, for want of a
4	better term, rather than what we are terming here at the
5	Inquiry the "historical sexual assault" situation?
6	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
7	MS. JONES: And just to be clear too, if
8	something happens to someone as a youth i.e. they're an
9	adult now saying, "This happened to me when I was younger"
10	this is why it comes to the Youth Bureau; correct?
11	MR. MALLOY: As far as sexual assaults?
12	MS. JONES: Yes.
13	MR. MALLOY: We got them all.
14	MS. JONES: You got all the sexual assaults?
15	MR. MALLOY: All of them.
16	MS. JONES: Whether it would happen when
17	they were youths
18	MR. MALLOY: It doesn't matter, yeah.
19	MS. JONES: or not?
20	MR. MALLOY: Yeah, yeah.
21	MS. JONES: Now, when you first started at
22	the Youth Bureau were there any policies in place? Was
23	there a binder on the shelf outlining guidelines or any
24	sort of office procedures manual of any sort for you to
25	refer to?

1	MR. MALLOY: Well, there would be policies
2	and procedures. It would be standard with every police
3	service and when you had a but specific to sexual
4	assaults I don't recall reading any protocols.
5	MS. JONES: I am talking about something
6	specific to sexual assaults.
7	MR. MALLOY: Yeah.
8	MS. JONES: And specifically historical
9	sexual assaults even.
10	MR. MALLOY: Yeah.
11	MS. JONES: So there was no there's
12	nowhere you could go as I say a binder on the shelf that
13	you would pull down to say this is the process I'm supposed
14	to go through?
15	MR. MALLOY: Not that I can recall, no.
16	MS. JONES: And because you were new to the
17	Youth Bureau, am I correct in assuming that if you had a
18	question that the first place you would go to would be your
19	colleagues, and I'm specifically meaning Officer Trottier,
20	Staff Sergeant Wells, Inspector Trew?
21	MR. MALLOY: Not necessarily. I mean, if I
22	had a legal question I would be going to the Crown Attorney
23	for the legal advice.
24	MS. JONES: Fine, but what if you had a
25	procedural question. What would be the next step in your

1	investigation, more police operational questions?
2	MR. MALLOY: M'hm.
3	MS. JONES: I'm assuming that the first
4	place you would go to would be your colleagues.
5	MR. MALLOY: Oh, true, sure, the sergeant or
6	the staff sergeant, sure.
7	MS. JONES: When you use the word "true" do
8	you mean that's correct or Inspector Trew?
9	MR. MALLOY: Oh, I'm sorry, yeah, that's
10	correct. No, no, not Inspector Trew.
11	MS. JONES: But when you would be working
12	operationally there would be times if there are only two of
13	you there that Trottier would not be on duty and you would
14	be on duty?
15	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
16	MS. JONES: Would that be correct? So if
17	that was the case, you would go either to Staff Sergeant
18	Wells or Inspector Trew?
19	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
20	MS. JONES: And they certainly made
21	themselves known to you that they were available to you for
22	questions?
23	MR. MALLOY: Oh, yes. Yeah.
24	MS. JONES: And the same with Officer
25	Trottier?

1	MR. MALLOY: Yes and they certainly made
2	themselves known to you that they were available to you for
3	questions?
4	MR. MALLOY: Oh, yes. Yeah.
5	MS. JONES: And same with Officer Trottier?
6	MR. MALLOY: Yes.
7	MS. JONES: And was it a practise I mean
8	you were there for a few years, was it a practise for the
9	sort of the new kid on the block to get some sort of
10	initiation into this is the procedure, this is where you
11	put the files, this is where the office way that we handle
12	things.
13	Was there any of that sort of a dialogue?
14	MR. MALLOY: I would say yes. I quickly
15	learned that with the case load that we had you made a file
16	folder for each file and kept it out to keep working on it.
17	Other than that, I mean there wasn't much
18	_
19	THE COMMISSIONER: What about OMPPAC?
20	OMPPAC was
21	MR. MALLOY: In July of '89 I believe OMPPAC
22	came online.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
24	So were you given any directives on what to
25	do with OMPPAC?

1	MR. MALLOY: Training, yes, sir. Yeah.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: So was there a directive
3	that you had to update OMPPAC every 30 days or anything
4	like that?
5	MR. MALLOY: Well, when we were given a
6	follow up there was a 30-day diary date.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
8	MR. MALLOY: And if you went over the 30
9	days, if memory serves me, it would highlight on your
10	screen to show that it was overdue.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
12	MR. MALLOY: And that would require a
13	supplement to the staff sergeant to request an extension.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm. So was it your
15	practise to type in or have someone type in your reports
16	into OMPPAC or were you just keeping them in files at that
17	time, in 1990?
18	MR. MALLOY: Well, we'll soon learn that I -
19	- there was a few of them I forgot to put in but my
20	practise was to do supplements and
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. I'm getting it.
22	MR. MALLOY: keep them online.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
24	Sorry, Ms. Jones, go ahead.
25	MS. JONES: Okay. The OMPPAC was

1	implemented July 1989. Now you started in January, 1989 so
2	it was before OMPPAC had actually started. Are you able to
3	describe just briefly what would have been the procedure
4	before OMPPAC for you when you were opening up a new file?
5	MR. MALLOY: As far as paperwork?
6	MS. JONES: Yes.
7	MR. MALLOY: There were carbon copies. I
8	think there were four or five hard copies in the general
9	occurrence report or the supplement report. Actually every
10	report was carbon copied.
11	MS. JONES: And what about the 30-day check,
12	how was that implemented? Did you have a 30-day check?
13	MR. MALLOY: I'm trying I mean, I'm
14	trying to remember how it worked now. I remember if you'd
15	put a supplement report in you'd give it you'd hand it
16	in; it would get approved and you'd get a copy back from
17	the staff sergeant with a date on it with a diary date
18	written on the bottom of it.
19	MS. JONES: And then you'd have to put that
20	date in your diary for the 30-day checkup?
21	MR. MALLOY: Well, you'd try to, sure.
22	MS. JONES: And well, put it this way,
23	was that supposed to be the procedure
24	MR. MALLOY: That was supposed to be the
25	MS. JONES: that you put that 30-day

I	mark in your diary?
2	MR. MALLOY: It was supposed to be the
3	procedure.
4	MS. JONES: And when that 30 days came up
5	then that was your reminder that you had to see what was
6	happening on that file?
7	MR. MALLOY: M'hm. That's correct, yeah.
8	MS. JONES: If you didn't happen to put it
9	in your diary, for example, or you missed the 30 days for
10	whatever reason, is it correct that the staff sergeant then
11	would have to remind you, you know, "hey, this 30 days is
12	past with the update"?
13	MR. MALLOY: That would be the procedure,
14	yes.
15	MS. JONES: And was it I use the example
16	staff sergeants but was it the staff sergeant's
17	responsibility or was it also the inspectors or who was
18	responsible for the follow up?
19	MR. MALLOY: No, I would hand my reports in
20	to the staff sergeant.
21	MS. JONES: Had there ever been a time where
22	say the staff sergeant was on holidays or perhaps ill or
23	working somewhere else that the inspector would come by and
24	say "I notice this 30 days has gone up"?
25	MR. MALLOY: It's possible. I don't I

1	don't recall incidents of that but it's possible, sure.
2	MS. JONES: Now, I'm going to focus my next
3	set of questions on the Lalonde investigation. And the
4	first area that I'm going to canvass has to do with the
5	person that's now been assigned the moniker C-57.
6	And I'll refer you to document 734873.
7	MR. MALLOY: I'm sorry; could you repeat
8	that number for me?
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Just a second.
10	MR. MALLOY: Oh, sorry.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: We're going to give you
12	the document.
13	MR. MALLOY: Sorry.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
15	So would these be your notes, sir? Is this
16	a copy of your notes?
17	MR. MALLOY: Yes, sir, they are.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
19	So Exhibit 1491 are Officer Malloy's notes,
20	or a portion of them, and the top date the date at the
21	top of the page is the $10^{\rm th}$ of January, 1989.
22	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1491:
23	(734873) - Kevin Malloy Notes of Kevin
24	Malloy dated 10 Jan 89
25	MS. JONES: Yes, I can say that the BP page

1	that shows that date is 7136759.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
3	MS. JONES: These particular notes, Officer
4	Malloy, are in your handwriting?
5	MR. MALLOY: They are.
6	MS. JONES: And I take it this is the
7	summary of a meeting that you had with C-57. And I
8	understand he would have come in the office to meet with
9	you. Is that
10	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
11	MS. JONES: what you glean from these
12	notes?
13	MR. MALLOY: That's correct, yeah.
14	MS. JONES: Do you recall if this was the
15	first time that you'd ever met with C-57?
16	MR. MALLOY: I believe it was.
17	MS. JONES: Now, you started work at the
18	Youth Bureau approximately the $5^{\rm th}$ of January. This is the
19	10^{th} of January of the same year. This is likely your first
20	historical sexual assault in what we classify as a
21	historical sexual assault?
22	MR. MALLOY: I'd be safe to say it was my
23	first sexual assault.
24	MS. JONES: First sexual assault that just
25	happens to be a historical one?

1	MR. MALLOY: Investigating, sure.
2	MS. JONES: And in this particular document,
3	it's pretty clear that C-57 is making an allegation of
4	sexual assault against a person by the name of Marcel
5	Lalonde?
6	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
7	MS. JONES: And it appeared that Mr. Lalonde
8	was a person that he met through a theatre or a production
9	company but Mr. Lalonde was also a school teacher at a
10	neighbourhood school.
11	Is that your understanding?
12	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
13	MS. JONES: Now, this is clearly again in
14	your handwriting. And there doesn't seem to be any
15	discussion about a statement prepared by C-57 say that he
16	brought in with him at that particular point.
17	Is that right?
18	MR. MALLOY: It's not mentioned in the
19	notes, no.
20	MS. JONES: No.
21	Now, if I just refer you to document number
22	734871.
23	Have you got that in front of you?
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Just a second.
25	This is a new document?

1	MS. JONES: Yes.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
3	And this is Exhibit 1492 is a statement of
4	
5	MS. JONES: C-57.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: C-57. Right. And
7	it's dated there's no date.
8	MS. JONES: No, there's no date on it.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
10	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1492:
11	(734871) - Kevin Malloy Witness
12	Statement - C-57 to CPS
13	MS. JONES: The first page that we're
14	referring to, by the way, has a BP number 7136753.
15	Is this statement of the witness, C-57, the
16	same person that you met with on the 10 th of January 1989?
17	Are they one in the same person?
18	MR. MALLOY: They are, yes.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Now, this statement
20	and I'm referring to document Exhibit 1492 is
21	undated.
22	Now, do you have any sort of recollection
23	when approximately this statement would have been prepared
24	Officer Malloy?
25	MR. MALLOY: No. It wouldn't have been

1	prepared in my presence because it would have been dated
2	and timed and each page would be signed.
3	I can't recollect if he was given blank
4	statements and told to write them out and bring them back
5	in again and whether that was by me or the uniformed
6	officer that took the initial complaint.
7	MS. JONES: Now, how are you able to say
8	that there was a uniformed officer involved?
9	MR. MALLOY: I'm sorry?
10	MS. JONES: How are you able to say there
11	was a uniformed officer involved? Is that the process that
12	would happen?
13	MR. MALLOY: That's correct, yeah.
14	MS. JONES: Now, is it best practise for
15	police to just hand witness statement blank sheets of
16	paper to a witness and have them go off and write it and
17	come back later?
18	MR. MALLOY: Not normally, but sometimes
19	when it's when they're historical, like you'd kind of
20	interview them and get them to jog their memory and tell
21	them what you need, things in chronological order and
22	sometimes they'd be told to go home and relax and try to
23	put everything that they can remember in the order that
24	they remember it happening.

MS. JONES: I'm looking at your best

1	practice though. Remember; this is your first historical,
2	so it's hard for you to say this is what happens
3	MR. MALLOY: M'hm.
4	MS. JONES: when this is your very first
5	one.
6	MR. MALLOY: My best practice would be to do
7	the statement with the witness.
8	MS. JONES: And it was clear in your meeting
9	with C-57 on the $10^{\rm th}$ of January, '89, anyway, that he
10	wanted to proceed with this allegation against Mr. Lalonde;
11	correct?
12	MR. MALLOY: That he wanted to proceed with
13	it?
14	MS. JONES: Yes.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, how did it come
16	about that you met this person?
17	MR. MALLOY: He filed a complaint with the
18	Uniform Division. so when I spoke to him, he came in and
19	met with me and he was of the opinion that he wanted it
20	investigated.
21	MS. JONES: Okay. So he did want to proceed
22	with the complaint then?
23	MR. MALLOY: Yes. Yeah.
24	MS. JONES: And when the initial disclosure
25	to you was made on the 10 th of January, '89, it's fair to

1	say again, there's no reference to the notes. It would
2	appear, if you're looking for a chronological event here,
3	that the statement, which is Exhibit 1492, was made
4	following your meeting with him on January 10^{th} .
5	MR. MALLOY: I can't say that for sure. I'm
6	not sure when I got the copy of the statement.
7	MS. JONES: When you do get copies of
8	statements, is it not your practice to look them over and
9	make sure they're complete, dated, signed and that sort of
10	thing?
11	MR. MALLOY: Yeah no, I would go over it
12	with the witness or the victim.
13	MS. JONES: And fill in any blanks perhaps?
14	MR. MALLOY: Or ask clarification questions
15	at the end; that's correct, yeah.
16	MS. JONES: So wouldn't it be natural that
17	one of those questions would be the date of the statement?
18	MR. MALLOY: Yes.
19	MS. JONES: Now, when C-57 first met with
20	you then on the 10^{th} of January, you'll agree with me there
21	are a few details that he gave surrounding circumstances of
22	the assault, not just necessarily the assault itself, but
23	the circumstances surrounding it; correct?
24	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
25	MS. JONES: And one of them, for example,

1	was this Glenn Productions. It's a theatre group at a
2	school or something like that, and that's actually where he
3	met Mr. Lalonde. Do you recall that?
4	MR. MALLOY: It's a community playhouse, not
5	a school-based
6	MS. JONES: All right.
7	Or I mean perhaps the rehearsals were at the
8	school or in any event, it was a theatre a community
9	theatre group.
10	MR. MALLOY: It is, yes.
11	MS. JONES: And it's also true that C-57 had
12	named other people within that statement to you on the 10^{th}
13	of January; correct?
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, can you
15	MR. MALLOY: That's correct, yeah.
16	MS. JONES: For instance, I'm looking at the
17	first page and by the way, I don't know if your counsel
18	has advised you or not, but instead of referring to things
19	like page 1, page 2, there's a small number up at the left-
20	hand side that's unique to each page.
21	MR. MALLOY: Okay.
22	MS. JONES: So instead of me saying page 1
23	and page 2, I'm actually going to be using that small
24	MR. MALLOY: Okay.
25	MS. JONES: page number there and it's

1	called a BP number
2	MR. MALLOY: Okay.
3	MS. JONES: Okay? So on this first page or
4	BP number 7136759, for instance, in the second paragraph
5	there's a name there that we have actually attached a
6	moniker of C-60. Do you see that?
7	MR. MALLOY: I do.
8	MS. JONES: "C-60 had been visiting him"
9	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
10	MS. JONES: Correct?
11	MR. MALLOY: Yes, yeah.
12	MS. JONES: And there's also another name,
13	for instance, on the second-last page which is BP number
14	7136762, and again the second paragraph:
15	"Culprit, at the time, was tending bar
16	at Jack Lee's
17	Which is another place.
18	"a couple of years later. Victim
19	worked at Jack Lee's with"
20	And we've attached a moniker for that person as C-59. So
21	you see that name is mentioned there as well; correct?
22	MR. MALLOY: I do.
23	MS. JONES: And as I just stated a moment
24	ago, he mentioned a bar at Jack Lee's and on the last page,
25	BP number 7136763, it's stated that Mr. Lalonde is

1	currently teaching at Bishop MacDonnell.
2	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
3	MS. JONES: So there were a few places and a
4	few names that were named in that initial interview.
5	Another one was KAV, K-A-V Productions.
6	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
7	MS. JONES: Another one. And there are also
8	some addresses given there as well. For example, the
9	culprit had moved to Millville Avenue, and that's on the
10	second-last page too
11	MR. MALLOY: M'hm.
12	MS. JONES: 7136762, about halfway down
13	the page. Do you see that?
14	MR. MALLOY: Yes, I do. Yeah.
15	MS. JONES: Now, the notes end, basically,
16	there. I don't see any follow-up on any of those
17	particular individuals at this particular time. Did you do
18	any follow-up on them?
19	MR. MALLOY: Yes, I did.
20	MS. JONES: Yes? Okay. Now, could you
21	describe the actual assault allegation that C-57 was making
22	against Mr. Lalonde? Can you can you categorize what it
23	was that he was saying that Mr. Lalonde did to him?
24	MR. MALLOY: That he performed fellatio.

204

MS. JONES: Can you describe the

1	circumstances leading up to that?
2	MR. MALLOY: It was after well, according
3	to the notes, it was after it was a crew party after the
4	play. Went back to Lalonde's house and there was some
5	alcohol consumed and that's when Lalonde asked the victim
6	to "drop your pants" and he just wanted to look, basically,
7	and the victim finally agreed and took off his trousers and
8	underwear and sat on the couch. Then the Lalonde moved
9	beside him on the couch; began fondling him. The victim
10	removed underwear at his request but with reservations and
11	not without protest.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Do we need to get
13	all
14	MS. JONES: No, but
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Do we want to get all
16	this on the record or
17	MS. JONES: No, no, that's fine.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
19	MS. JONES: When this is all happening
20	I'll call that sort of assault number one, shall we say,
21	right after the right after the party, there are a
22	couple of important facts. First of all, isn't it true
23	that
24	MR. MANDERVILLE: Since no charges were
25	proceeded with, I think it's a bit presumptuous to call it

1	assault number one. Why don't we call it complaint number
2	one?
3	MS. JONES: So with respect to complaint
4	number one, C-57 had actually said "no" several times to
5	Mr. Lalonde, according to C-57?
6	MR. MALLOY: According to him, yeah.
7	MS. JONES: Yeah. And you say that there
8	was alcohol involved, but C-57 made a point several times
9	in the statement that he was actually heavily intoxicated.
10	MR. MALLOY: One night, quite intoxicated
11	-
12	MS. JONES: Yes.
13	MR. MALLOY: according to him, yes.
14	MS. JONES: Okay. And but he made some
15	complaints of a sexual nature actually of Mr. Lalonde
16	effecting on him on that evening.
17	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
18	MS. JONES: And with respect to complaint
19	number two, which he's saying happened about two weeks
20	later, the actions were of a similar nature?
21	MR. MALLOY: That's correct, yes.
22	MS. JONES: Okay. And again, it was not
23	something that was particularly wanted by C-57?
24	MR. MALLOY: No, it wasn't. Well, he did it
25	voluntarily.

1	MS. JONES: Well
2	MR. MALLOY: He may have been under the
3	influence of alcohol, but
4	MS. JONES: Well, heavily intoxicated?
5	MR. MALLOY: Well, that's
6	MS. JONES: What he says.
7	MR. MALLOY: his words, yes.
8	MS. JONES: Okay.
9	MR. MANDERVILLE: Mr. Commissioner, I'm not
10	sure that the purpose of this is to reinvestigate or carry
11	out a reinvestigation, and I appreciate this is my
12	friend is coming in fairly early on and has recently
13	joined. No, we don't get into this and I would suggest we
14	not.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Is there a reason to
16	-
17	MS. JONES: There is actually, Mr.
18	Commissioner.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
20	MS. JONES: Yes.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Which is?
22	MS. JONES: Just to see the pattern of
23	behaviour of the complaints and the complainants and to see
24	that there's common denominators.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Well, lead him a

1	little bit, you know, "On the first complaint there was
2	alcohol."
3	MS. JONES: Okay.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: "This is what they did."
5	Let's speed it up a little.
6	MS. JONES: That's fine.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
8	MS. JONES: So would it also be true that
9	with these particular complaints that there was what I
10	would categorize "a bit of intimidation", in that Mr.
11	Lalonde did not wish this is all according to C-57's
12	version that Mr. Lalonde did not want him to tell
13	anybody about it.
14	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
15	MS. JONES: And there is also a time where
16	Mr. Lalonde according to C-57 wanted to take pictures
17	of C-57?
18	MR. MALLOY: Yes. Yes, I believe so.
19	MS. JONES: I'm referring specifically to
20	_
21	MR. MALLOY: Seven sixty-one (761)?
22	MS. JONES: Exhibit 1492. I'm on the
23	second last page, which is BP 7136756.
24	I'm right at the top of that page.
25	MR. MALLOY: Yes.

1	MS. JONES: And that he talked about a photo
2	album.
3	MR. MALLOY: Yes.
4	MS. JONES: Okay. And in the photo album
5	there were nude males; correct?
6	MR. MALLOY: According to him, yes.
7	MS. JONES: According to C-57?
8	MR. MALLOY: Yes.
9	MS. JONES: Then the description of other
10	possible people involved in what happened is discussed now
11	at that particular point in the statement.
12	And you recall in the handwritten notes,
13	which is Exhibit 1491, at a certain point in the statement,
14	C-57 said he remembers a couple of other young fellows that
15	he'd be able to recognize them in a yearbook picture.
16	Do you recall that?
17	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
18	MS. JONES: And he said they were now
19	attending a certain school.
20	Now, in the handwritten statement of C-57,
21	Exhibit 1492, on the very last page, which is BP 7136757, -
22	- the very last paragraph of that states:
23	"While viewing a yearbook at the police
24	station I identified the two boys I had
25	seen at Marcel's as previously

1	mentioned."
2	Do you see
3	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
4	MS. JONES: that paragraph?
5	MR. MALLOY: Yes.
6	MS. JONES: So just the way that that's
7	described, it appears, to me anyway, that this statement,
8	which is Exhibit 1492, was clearly written after you'd met
9	with him on the 10^{th} of January '89.
10	MR. MALLOY: I can't I'm sorry; but I
11	can't confirm that. I don't know the sequence of events.
12	MS. JONES: You do have yearbooks though at
13	the police station for people to look at?
14	MR. MALLOY: We do, yes.
15	MS. JONES: And, as I say, there seems to be
16	a difference between him saying, "I could identify those in
17	the yearbook" and then it seems by the time he writes this
18	statement, whatever date that is, he has actually
19	identified two in the yearbook.
20	MR. MALLOY: And in the handwritten notes is
21	it says he could identify them?
22	MS. JONES: Yes.
23	I'll refer you to the fourth page, which is
24	BP 7136762.
25	MR. MALLOY: Oh, yes.

1	MS. JONES: It's halfway down:
2	"Can identify"
3	MR. MALLOY: Yeah. I'm sorry; I just found
4	it, yeah.
5	MS. JONES: Okay.
6	So it says there:
7	"Can identify them through yearbook
8	pictures."
9	And then it says, on Exhibit 1492:
10	"I have identified them now looking at
11	yearbook pictures at the police
12	station."
13	MR. MALLOY: M'hm.
14	MS. JONES: So it would seem that he had
15	done that in between the handwritten notes and this
16	handwritten statement.
17	Would you agree with me on that?
18	MR. MALLOY: Well, it would make I can't
19	confirm that, but it makes sense that he's telling me
20	here that he could identify them and then here he's saying
21	that he can identify them.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: No, that he did identify
23	them.
24	MR. MALLOY: That he did identify them.
25	MS. JONES: Yes, he says,

1	"I identified the two boys"
2	MR. MALLOY: Yes, right.
3	MS. JONES: "I had seen."
4	MR. MALLOY: Sorry, yeah.
5	MS. JONES: So presuming that this statement
6	was written after you had met with him on the $10^{\rm th}$ of
7	January '89, in any event, you would have seen this
8	statement at some point; correct?
9	MR. MALLOY: That's correct, yes.
10	MS. JONES: And you'll agree with me that
11	the date's missing but a lot of other information is really
12	missing too, such as, who were those two people he
13	identified?
14	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
15	MS. JONES: Now, do you know who those two
16	people are? Do you have any independent recollection of
17	that?
18	MR. MALLOY: I believe their names are on
19	the
20	MS. JONES: Can you identify them with
21	using the monikers?
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you have the does
23	he have a monikers list, Madam Clerk?
24	Well, just give him the last one, there.
25	Where did we start? What were the numbers

1	we gave to the first
2	MS. JONES: Fifty-seven (57) was the first.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: Here, Madam Clerk, here.
4	Just go like this, here.
5	Here, show him these.
6	MS. JONES: I have another list that I could
7	perhaps
8	THE COMMISSIONER: It's okay. It's okay.
9	MS. JONES:provide.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Let's just keep going.
11	There you go; he has it now.
12	MS. JONES: Okay.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: So you've been given a
14	list of certain monikers, numbers beside C-something
15	beside the names of the people that are relevant to your
16	testimony.
17	MR. MALLOY: Yes, sir.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Out of that list, can you
19	recognize the two names and not giving me the names, of
20	course; give me the monikers of the two boys that would
21	have been identified in the yearbook?
22	MR. MALLOY: I believe one was IC-65, and
23	I'm pretty sure the other one was IC-63.
24	MS. JONES: I also have another document I
25	could use to maybe assist you as well. Monikers have all

1	been attached to these names as well Mr. Malloy.
2	And I'm referring to document 734870 and
3	that is in the cross-examination materials.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
5	Exhibit number 1493 is called a project name
6	index and the occurrence number is 9618/88.
7	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE No. P-1493:
8	(734870) - Kevin Malloy - CPS Project
9	Name Index
10	MS. JONES: I don't know if Exhibit 1493
11	helps you at all?
12	MR. MALLOY: Yes, it does. Yeah.
13	MS. JONES: Okay.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: So
15	MS. JONES: So this document, I believe, is
16	written by yourself?
17	MR. MALLOY: It is, yes.
18	MS. JONES: And it lists people that are
19	identified with the Lalonde investigation at this
20	particular stage. Is that right?
21	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
22	MS. JONES: Okay.
23	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. So are we waiting
25	for a question or

1	MS. JONES: I'm just waiting to clarify
2	something.
3	MR. MALLOY: No, I'm trying to
4	MS. JONES: If it's of no assistance then
5	that's fine. I just wondered if that was any help to you.
6	MR. MALLOY: Well, I'm just if could I
7	take two seconds, Your Honour, and just read this?
8	I don't know the one name may be one of
9	the ones that was identified in the yearbook but I can't
10	remember if he
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Take your time.
12	MR. MALLOY: If this person told me or
13	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
14	THE COMMISSIONER: So the answer is, you
15	don't know?
16	MR. MALLOY: I don't know, sir, no.
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
18	MS. JONES: Okay. Now, when you were doing
19	further investigation then on this we have this brief
20	document 1493. We're missing, obviously, a lot of notes, I
21	would presume, from some of your other contact you've had
22	with people. But does 1493 pretty well summarize the notes
23	that you have to correspond to any follow-up that you did?
24	MR. MALLOY: No, I took statements off these
25	people.

1	MS. JONES: Correct. But I'm just saying,
2	with respect to follow-up, to keep yourself up to date
3	because these are little notations.
4	MR. MALLOY: Yes.
5	MS. JONES: Is that the only that's the
6	only stuff that we have right now, is it not?
7	MR. MALLOY: I believe so, and I haven't
8	seen anything further.
9	MS. JONES: All right.
10	Now, you said that you also did some
11	statements?
12	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
13	MS. JONES: All right.
14	And I believe that you interviewed C-58?
15	MR. MALLOY: IC-58? Yes, that's correct.
16	Yeah.
17	MS. JONES: Correct? And what was your
18	involvement with C-58? Did you go to him and get a
19	statement from him for example?
20	MR. MALLOY: No, this fellow came to the
21	office.
22	MS. JONES: All right. And
23	MR. MALLOY: And
24	MS. JONES: I'm sorry?
25	AD ANTTON M. A.

216

MR. MALLOY: No, go ahead, sorry.

1	MS. JONES: I'm looking at a document here,
2	734886.
3	MR. MALLOY: Yes.
4	MS. JONES: You have it there in front of
5	you?
6	MR. MALLOY: Yes, I do.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Wait a minute; wait a
8	minute.
9	Just close the book that you have and just
10	refer to the exhibits as they come in, okay, sir?
11	MR. MALLOY: Okay, sir.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: So that way we'll know
13	that we're looking at the same thing.
14	MR. MALLOY: Okay, sir.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
16	Exhibit Number 1494 is a document dated
17	April 21^{st} , 1989 starting with "Your Honour". Okay.
18	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1494:
19	(734886) - Kevin Malloy Statement of C-
20	58 dated April 21, 1989
21	MS. JONES: And is that the statement that
22	was provided to you from C-58?
23	MR. MALLOY: This was the statement. I
24	spoke to this fellow. He wanted nothing. He was not
25	emotionally ready to proceed with anything.

1	MS. JONES: Is that your recollection of it?
2	MR. MALLOY: That's fact. It's not
3	recollection. I remember him saying he didn't want to
4	proceed with this, but he would write me a letter because
5	it would make him feel better. And why he titled it "Your
6	Honour", I have no idea but
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Out of respect for you,
8	sir.
9	MS. JONES: Would you agree with me that
10	there is quite a similarity between the assault the
11	sexual assault complained of effected on him by Mr.
12	Lalonde, similar to that of C-57?
13	MR. MALLOY: Similarities. One thing that I
14	do note is that this person never mentions Marcel Lalonde's
15	name in statement.
16	MS. JONES: Okay.
17	MR. MALLOY: But I knew that's what we were
18	talking about.
19	MS. JONES: And also too it would appear
20	that C-58 was also intoxicated at the time?
21	MR. MALLOY: Yes.
22	MS. JONES: And it would also be fair to say
23	that there was also, according to C-58, a request by Mr.
24	Lalonde not to talk about this with people? Did you get
25	that impression from C-58 when you were talking to him?

1	MR. MALLOY: No.
2	MS. JONES: No. Okay.
3	Now, I understand you also talked to someone
4	else as well about the allegations?
5	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
6	MS. JONES: Specifically C-60 and, again, I
7	refer to Exhibit 1493. And what was your understanding
8	from speaking with him? What was your understanding
9	speaking with him would be?
10	MR. MALLOY: He was quite verbal. That he
11	knew what Mr. Lalonde was but didn't want to be involved in
12	the process at all.
13	MS. JONES: Did he describe something that
14	would have warranted being classified as am historical
15	sexual assault?
16	MR. MALLOY: I did get a statement from him.
17	MS. JONES: Pardon me?
18	MR. MALLOY: I said I did get a statement
19	from him but he didn't want to be involved in the process
20	whatsoever, and he was quite verbal about that.
21	MS. JONES: But did he describe to you or
22	provide you with information that would classify what he
23	was describing as an historical sexual assault?
24	MR. MALLOY: I would have to read the
25	statement over again.

1	MS. JONES: I just would like to refer you
2	again to 1493 to look at the phrase that you wrote down
3	beside C-60's name. It stated there that no statement was
4	given.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: So what it says is on
6	January 9 th , '89, you spoke with the gentleman in question:
7	"Lured to culprit's house by alcohol.
8	Woke up. Culprit performing copulation
9	on him. No statement given. Reluctant
10	to provide a lot of details. Refuses
11	to testify."
12	MR. MALLOY: That's correct, sir.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
14	So do you know of a statement that you took
15	other than here?
16	MR. MALLOY: I thought for sure I took a
17	statement from him, eventually.
18	MS. JONES: Okay.
19	MR. MALLOY: I stand to be corrected but I'm
20	sure I did.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Do we have a copy of the
22	statement? No. Okay.
23	MS. JONES: With respect to C-61, which is
24	the next person here, it says basically to call him back.
25	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.

1	MS. JONES: No specific date.
2	MR. MALLOY: I called his mother and he was
3	away. He was at university.
4	MS. JONES: M'hm.
5	MR. MALLOY: And I asked her to have him
6	call me when he got back. My memory is that he was in
7	exams and it was not prudent to bother him at that time.
8	MS. JONES: Now, who was this person? Was
9	this a possible victim as well or was he a witness or what
10	was your understanding?
11	MR. MALLOY: I didn't know. It was just a
12	name.
13	MS. JONES: And you don't have any further
14	information on that?
15	MR. MALLOY: No, I never my best I
16	never interviewed this person.
17	MS. JONES: And with respect to the next
18	entry, which is C-58, that we just talked about briefly,
19	you stated here you spoke with C-58. He was passed out at
20	the accused's house, fully clothed. Woke up. Pants had
21	been removed. Accused told him he had performed fellatio;
22	correct?
23	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
24	MS. JONES: Okay. Then we have another name

221

here that we've monikered, C-62. Is this a witness or is

1	this also an alleged victim?
2	MR. MALLOY: I didn't know. These people, I
3	couldn't find them. The following three names, I couldn't
4	locate them.
5	MS. JONES: So, we've got C-62, that's
6	followed by C-63 and followed by C-64. The area is blank
7	beside their names so that means you didn't contact them?
8	MR. MALLOY: I couldn't find them.
9	MS. JONES: The very last person on your
10	list is C-65. And here you stated that you interviewed him
11	on January 23 rd , 1989. No assaults or attempts took place
12	with this person; was aware of his sexual preferences.
13	Presumably you mean Lalonde's sexual preferences?
14	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
15	MS. JONES: Okay. And there was no other
16	dealings that you had at that point with that person?
17	MR. MALLOY: No, he almost passed out on me.
18	He didn't want to speak to me at all.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Passed out out of
20	nervousness as opposed to being intoxicated or
21	MS. JONES: Oh, just nerves, Your Honour.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah.
23	MR. MALLOY: He didn't want to talk to me at
24	all.
25	MS. JONES: So when you had interviewed all

21

22

23

24

25

223

MS. JONES: Well, at this particular point, I suggest to you that perhaps at some point you must have consulted with either a colleague or one of your supervisors at that time rather than going directly to the Crown Attorney, to know where the next step would be in your investigation?

1	Crown I wouldn't need them to tell me to go to the Crown
2	Attorney at that point. I didn't think I had anything with
3	any evidence to form reasonable grounds to lay a charge.
4	MS. JONES: M'hm.
5	MR. MALLOY: And I wanted to get some legal
6	advice.
7	MS. JONES: So you didn't think what C-57
8	was telling you was sufficient for reasonable and probable
9	grounds?
10	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
11	MS. JONES: And what was your opinion as to
12	why you came to that? Did you think there were consent
13	issues?
14	MR. MALLOY: Oh, in my mind, it was a
15	consent issue. It was a seduction with alcohol and a
16	consent to act.
17	MS. JONES: Even though C-57 did not say
18	that he had actually consented to it?
19	MR. MALLOY: Well, he did he voluntarily
20	did the things that Lalonde asked him to do. And I don't -
21	- it was my personal opinion that he wasn't that
22	intoxicated to allow that to happen.
23	MS. JONES: So you just came to that
24	opinion. It wasn't what C-57 said to you? He said he was
25	quite intoxicated but you came to that opinion of your own?

1	MR. MALLOY: I did, yes.
2	MS. JONES: And had you done any sort of
3	checks on C-57? Did he have a police record? Did he have
4	had he made other allegations of similar nature perhaps
5	that didn't go anywhere or
6	MR. MALLOY: I don't recall I don't
7	recall having remembering having dealings with him in
8	the past.
9	MS. JONES: But in your you're stating
10	that you went directly to the Crown attorney, though,
11	because you want some guidance on that?
12	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
13	MS. JONES: And I understand you went to Don
14	Johnson; is that right?
15	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
16	MS. JONES: And the reason you went to Don
17	Johnson is because you understood that was the person to go
18	to?
19	MR. MALLOY: He was the Crown. He was the
20	head Crown attorney.
21	MS. JONES: Had you gone to him before for
22	advice?
23	MR. MALLOY: Sure. In my years in uniform
24	and with trials, sure.
25	Now now, mind you back then, we only had

1	there were only three Crown attorneys back then; there
2	was a Crown attorney and two assistant Crown attorneys.
3	MS. JONES: I understand you may go to the
4	Crown attorney when you're obviously involved in a trial
5	but I'm talking about a situation like this where it's
6	pre-charge; was it your practice, pre-charge, to consult
7	the Crown attorney to see whether or not you had R&PG?
8	MR. MALLOY: I I can't remember specific
9	incidents but that happens all the time. Officer
10	officers will seek legal advice from the Crown.
11	MS. JONES: But I'm just talking about you
12	here, I'm not talking about other officers. Did you, by
13	custom, consult the Crown attorney before laying charges on
14	a regular basis?
15	MR. MALLOY: Not on a regular basis, no.
16	MS. JONES: Now, we have one document as
17	well, that is Document Number 117304.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
19	Exhibit Number 1495 is a document called
20	"Supplementary Report" with the date of the 22^{nd} of June
21	1989.
22	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-1495:
23	(117304) - Kevin Malloy Supplementary
24	Occurrence Report dated 22 Jun 89
25	MR. MALLOY: Yes.

1	MS. JONES: Do you have that?
2	MR. MALLOY: Yes.
3	MS. JONES: Now, we have the statements that
4	we got from C-58; it's dated April $21^{\rm st}$, 1989.
5	This is dated June 1989 June 22 nd ,
6	actually.
7	We don't have anything that shows any sort
8	of investigation between those two dates; do you recall
9	anything going on in between that time?
10	MR. MALLOY: No.
11	MS. JONES: Now, this supplementary report
12	is the last bit of documentation that we have on this
13	particular file and it states here that you were going to
14	put the file in abeyance so that you could interview Mr.
15	Lalonde.
16	MR. MALLOY: Right.
17	MS. JONES: But I understand you never
18	actually did interview Mr. Lalonde.
19	MR. MALLOY: I think that was hopeful
20	thinking on my part.
21	MS. JONES: But in June of 1989, it would
22	appear from this that you're still of the mindset that you
23	wished to continue with this investigation, one of the
24	things being to interview Mr. Lalonde.
25	MR. MALLOY: If I could get some people to

1	come forward and and give me some give me some
2	statements, give me something I can form reasonable
3	grounds, to lay a charge with.
4	MS. JONES: M'hm.
5	MR. MALLOY: But this supplementary report
6	would have been put in because of the diary date issue.
7	And "abeyance" doesn't mean closed, it's just waiting.
8	MS. JONES: It means "on hold".
9	MR. MALLOY: Wait, on hold, yes.
10	MS. JONES: Now, Staff Sergeant Wells signed
11	the bottom there?
12	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
13	MS. JONES: And according to what you had
14	said earlier, one of the purpose of putting in the
15	supplementary reports was to perhaps diarize things so
16	there would be follow-up. There doesn't appear, though, to
17	be any follow-up by Staff Sergeant Wells; is that fair to
18	say?
19	MR. MALLOY: If if they agree that a file
20	can be put into abeyance, there's no diary date.
21	MS. JONES: But there certainly wasn't any
22	follow-up by Staff Sergeant Wells or Inspector Trew or
23	Trottier, for that matter?
24	MR. MALLOY: On this case?
25	MS. JONES: On this case.

1	MR. MALLOY: No.
2	MS. JONES: Now, when you did go to the
3	Crown attorney's office, you didn't take any notes of that
4	conversation?
5	MR. MALLOY: We weren't allowed to take
6	notes.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry?
8	MR. MALLOY: It was solicitor-client
9	privilege, as far as I can recall.
10	You can note that you saw the Crown attorney
11	and as a result of legal advice or legal opinion.
12	MS. JONES: Okay. I'm not actually looking
13	for the legal opinion or even what you talked about
14	necessarily
15	MR. MALLOY: M'hm.
16	MS. JONES: but based on that
17	conversation, you made a decision and that decision was not
18	to proceed with the charge?
19	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
20	MS. JONES: But there's no notation that you
21	met with Mr. Johnson on a certain day to discuss the
22	Lalonde matter and "he agreed to my decision", notes to the
23	effect
24	MR. MALLOY: M'hm.
25	MS. JONES: not necessarily the

1	substance of what you said?
2	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
3	MS. JONES: Okay. And do you think that
4	perhaps somewhere along the line it would have been useful
5	to consult either your supervisor or a colleague about how
6	you're conducting this first historical sexual assault, if
7	what you were doing was enough?
8	MR. MALLOY: I would knowing Staff
9	Sergeant Wells, he would be on on top of this.
10	I don't recall going to see him to see if
11	I'm doing this right or but I would have told him that,
12	"I don't think I have anything, I'm going to go see the
13	Crown attorney."
14	But specifically who I spoke to, it would
15	have been Staff Sergeant Wells or Inspector Trew.
16	MS. JONES: Were you ever asked, "Can I see
17	what you're doing? What are you up to?"
18	MR. MALLOY: Not that I can recall.
19	MS. JONES: And it would be fair to say that
20	you could classify Lalonde as a person that's fairly
21	prominent in the community, he's a teacher; he's a
22	professional person?
23	MR. MALLOY: That's well, yes.
24	MS. JONES: So you would be taking extra
25	care to make sure you do things right because this is a

1	person of perhaps more prominence than other people, more
2	newsworthy, shall we say?
3	MR. MALLOY: Well, I I feel I did
4	everything I could.
5	It's it's frustrating for police
6	officers, when people don't want to cooperate not
7	"cooperate", I shouldn't say that, but when they're not
8	emotionally ready or not willing to provide statements.
9	It's it's frustrating.
10	I had researched the charges that would
11	that would have been applicable back in the years that
12	these events would have taken place. I was would have
13	charged this guy in a second. I had no hesitation, at all,
14	on my part but I had nobody that would that gave me a
15	statement that could wanted to proceed.
16	MS. JONES: But you agree, you could have
17	gone to other avenues; for example, Glen Productions or the
18	KAV Productions or done something with the school? I mean
19	there were other avenues that you didn't actually
20	investigate?
21	MR. MALLOY: That's well, no, I didn't go
22	any further than I didn't have a charge to lay and these
23	people were told that my door was open, "Whenever you're
24	ready, come on in."
25	MS. JONES: Well, C-57, are you saying then

1	you're you're saying it was a consent issue but he
2	clearly was saying it was not a consent issue, that he had
3	not consented to this at all; that, in your mind, though,
4	was not sufficient?
5	MR. MALLOY: No.
6	MS. JONES: Is it true that at that
7	particular period of time that perhaps in the Youth Bureau,
8	it was felt that just as a matter of a policy, you should
9	have more than one complainant if you're going to proceed
10	on a historical sexual assault?
11	MR. MALLOY: No.
12	MS. JONES: Didn't have that message sent
13	from anywhere?
14	MR. MALLOY: You mean if we had a victim
15	that provided the evidence just to support reasonable
16	grounds, you waited for somebody else. Is that what you're
17	suggesting?
18	MS. JONES: Well, that you were encouraged
19	to get corroboration, shall we say, from other victims when
20	it came to historical sexual assault?
21	MR. MALLOY: No, I'd have to disagree with
22	that.
23	MS. JONES: No?
24	MR. MALLOY: You you look you look for
25	I'm sorry not necessarily other victims, but if you

1	can any corroboration you can come up with is going to
2	help the case.
3	MS. JONES: Now, even if this particular
4	stage, you decided that you were not actually going to
5	proceed with any charges, did you do any investigation into
6	Mr. Lalonde's access to children?
7	The complaints, even though some of them
8	didn't want to proceed with it, the allegations were
9	stemming around young men of a certain age group, still
10	under the age of 18, and was there any exploration on your
11	part to find out if Mr. Lalonde had children of his own at
12	home or step-children or access to nieces, nephews?
13	MR. MALLOY: No.
14	In the investigation, I determined that he
15	was divorced and was living with a male roommate or male
16	adult roommate
17	MS. JONES: M'hm.
18	MR. MALLOY: and I don't know I just
19	knew he didn't have kids of his own; he didn't have
20	children of his own.
21	MS. JONES: Okay. But he was still a
22	teacher of children
23	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
24	MS. JONES: the age group that was being
25	complained of, the teenage years?

1	MR. MALLOY: No, he wasn't a high school
2	he wasn't in high school a high school teacher.
3	MS. JONES: No?
4	What grades did he teach?
5	MR. MALLOY: It was elementary school.
6	MS. JONES: And what about the age of the
7	people he was in contact with at the theatre group; do you
8	know that?
9	MR. MALLOY: No.
10	MS. JONES: Do you know what your
11	obligations were at that time to inform other agencies or
12	other people such as employers about a possible allegation?
13	MR. MALLOY: No.
14	MS. JONES: Could you have asked somebody to
15	find out what your obligation was?
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Did you know you had any
17	obligations? Children's Aid Society?
18	MR. MALLOY: My understanding was people
19	over the at 16 years of age or over, we didn't file
20	intake reports with CAS.
21	MS. JONES: That was your understanding at
22	the time?
23	MR. MALLOY: M'hm.
24	MS. JONES: And how did you come to that
25	understanding? Remember this is your first historical

1	sexual assault. Where did you get that from?
2	MR. MALLOY: I can't recall.
3	MS. JONES: When you held this case in
4	abeyance here, did you have hopes that by doing that that
5	word would get out that there were people making these sort
6	of allegations about Mr. Lalonde?
7	MR. MALLOY: Absolutely.
8	MS. JONES: And do you know how word would
9	have gotten out, to use that phrase?
10	MR. MALLOY: It's Cornwall, a small city,
11	word travels fairly quickly.
12	MS. JONES: Did you feel uncomfortable at
13	all about the fact that Mr. Lalonde was, in fact, a
14	prominent member in the community? That he was a teacher;
15	he was obviously well-known in the community. Did that make
16	you feel uncomfortable to progress any further?
17	MR. MALLOY: Did it make me uncomfortable to
18	progress any further?
19	MS. JONES: Well, to progress any further
20	for example, contacting his employer.
21	MR. MALLOY: Yeah, I didn't think I had the
22	grounds to do that.
23	MS. JONES: Okay. Did you ask anybody to
24	see if you did?
25	MR. MALLOY: I don't think I did, no.

1	MS. JONES: Now, the notes that I put before
2	you that have been entered as exhibits, those are about all
3	the notes or documents that we have in respect to the
4	Lalonde file that you prepared.
5	And the file number on this is 9610/88 which
6	means it was actually opened up in 1988 but you took it on
7	in 1989. Was there a reason why it was assigned to you or
8	was it just first in the door just goes to the next person?
9	MR. MALLOY: It would have gone to Staff
10	Sergeant Wells and then he would assign it to the Youth
11	Bureau. Why I got it, I have no idea.
12	MS. JONES: And after you have opened up an
13	incident or after you've got a file and the incident is
14	ongoing, it's fair to say that you put all the notes that
15	you collect or all the statements you collect in that one
16	file.
17	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
18	MS. JONES: And has the file number on it.
19	MR. MALLOY: The name, yeah.
20	MS. JONES: And the name.
21	MR. MALLOY: And the name and the incident
22	number, yes. Yeah.
23	MS. JONES: Yeah. And you don't put
24	statements in one file and notes in another and computer
25	printouts or whatever on another?

1	MR. MALLOY: No.
2	MS. JONES: You keep it all together in the
3	one file?
4	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
5	MS. JONES: So it would appear this was your
6	complete Lalonde file for that time?
7	MR. MALLOY: That I can't say for a fact.
8	When I was injured on the $8^{\rm th}$ of March '93
9	MS. JONES: M'hm.
10	MR. MALLOY: I lost control of all my
11	I haven't I didn't set a foot back in the building for
12	five, six years.
13	MS. JONES: But when you basically would
14	have finished with this file which I'm assuming was in some
15	time in 1989 I'm just making that assumption, is that
16	correct? It's held in abeyance. It just sort of sits
17	there.
18	MR. MALLOY: Yes. Yeah.
19	MS. JONES: You didn't go back at any time
20	and look at it again or have any cause to for the next four
21	years?
22	MR. MALLOY: Oh, I didn't have any time.
23	MS. JONES: Okay.
24	MR. MALLOY: Didn't have the workload
25	just didn't I mean you went as far as you could and then

1	on to the next one and hope that somebody's going to
2	when it's held in abeyance that some new evidence is going
3	to come up and you can go back to it.
4	MS. JONES: So you would have put this file
5	in a box presumably or put it where it was supposed to be
6	kept and there it sat for that time? You didn't go back to
7	it?
8	MR. MALLOY: In my office, sure.
9	MS. JONES: Now, with respect to Lalonde,
10	you understand or know that Mr. Lalonde was actually
11	charged 10 years later
12	MR. MALLOY: Yes.
13	MS. JONES: and convicted of the
14	offences. Now at least one of the names, that C-58 was one
15	of the victims of the later charges. Are you aware of
16	that?
17	MR. MALLOY: Yes.
18	MS. JONES: And at the time he was charged
19	or standing trial, it was approximately 1997 and you had
20	been working at the Crown's office at that time?
21	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
22	MS. JONES: And were you aware that there
23	was an article in the newspaper written about Mr. Lalonde
24	being charged?
25	MR. MALLOY: Is that the small write-up from

1	the
2	MS. JONES: I'll refer you. It's Document
3	728491.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit Number 1496 is a
5	newspaper clipping of the Ottawa Citizen, Sunday, January
6	26 th , 1997.
7	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO P-1496:
8	(728491) - Kevin Malloy The Ottawa
9	Citizen news clipping "Indecent assault
10	charge dated back to 1973" dated 26 Jan
11	97
12	MS. JONES: And you see in that particular
13	newspaper clipping, it states:
14	"Marcel Lalonde of Lasalle Avenue in
15	Cornwall was charged with one count of
16	indecent assault on a male."
17	That's one and the same person that we're
18	talking about here?
19	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
20	MS. JONES: Okay. The next contact you
21	basically have with the Lalonde matter was when Staff
22	Sergeant Desrosiers phoned you, I presume, to make
23	inquiries about the Lalonde situation; is that correct?
24	MR. MALLOY: Staff Sergeant Derochie or
25	Constable Desrosiers?

1	MS. JONES: Desrosiers.
2	MR. MALLOY: Desrosiers, yes.
3	MS. JONES: And that's approximately two
4	years after this newspaper article comes to life?
5	MR. MALLOY: I'm not sure of the year.
6	MS. JONES: Well, if we have Desrosiers
7	contacting you in 1989 I'm sorry, 1999, this article is
8	1997
9	MR. MALLOY: Oh, okay.
10	MS. JONES: so Mr. Lalonde was going
11	through the system here in Cornwall for two years. And are
12	you saying you never heard of it or never heard of him
13	being charged?
14	MR. MALLOY: No. This these charges laid
15	by the OPP
16	MS. JONES: M'hm.
17	MR. MALLOY: They handle their criminal
18	briefs and I handle Cornwall's. I mean it's not
19	MS. JONES: I understand that but we still
20	have a person who's a fairly prominent member of society,
21	he's a teacher, this would be quite newsworthy. You said
22	yourself Cornwall's a small town. You never heard of it
23	and put two and two together?
24	MR. MALLOY: No.
25	MS. JONES: So you weren't aware until

1	Officer Desrosiers called you or contacted you in 1999
2	saying I found this file with Lalonde attached to it?
3	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
4	MS. JONES: Okay. Were you ever sanctioned
5	in any way by Cornwall police for the way that you
6	investigated the Lalonde matter?
7	MR. MALLOY: No.
8	MS. JONES: Just to clarify just one small
9	point too because I'm not sure if I made it very clear.
10	Mr. Lalonde, if what you're saying that he was an
11	elementary school teacher at the time, presumably the
12	children would have been under the age of 16.
13	I just want to be clear about this. Were
14	you aware of any obligations to involve CAS knowing that
15	Mr. Lalonde was teaching children under the age of 16?
16	MR. MALLOY: No.
17	MS. JONES: You were not aware of any
18	obligation on your part?
19	MR. MALLOY: No. It was my understanding
20	that 16 and older, CAS weren't we didn't file an intake
21	report with CAS.
22	MS. JONES: But if Mr. Lalonde is teaching
23	children under the age of 16, were you aware if there was
24	any obligation to report these possible allegations?
25	MR. MALLOY: I don't know.

1	MS. JONES: Don't know?
2	MR. MALLOY: I don't know.
3	MS. JONES: Again was this something that
4	you could have asked one of your supervisors at the time?
5	Is that something that your supervisors would have known
6	about and assisted you with?
7	MR. MALLOY: They could have and I may have
8	asked. I'm not sure.
9	MS. JONES: Now moving on to the next
10	investigation just a moment please.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Lee? Mr.
12	Manderville?
13	MR. MANDERVILLE: Well, I guess I'm first in
14	line, sir.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: There you go.
16	MR. MANDERVILLE: As you know sorry to be
17	so loud awakening everyone at this point in the day.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
19	MR. MANDERVILLE: Your mandate is, among
20	other things, to look at the interaction of institutional
21	actors and if my friend is going to move on without finding
22	out about what Don Johnson told Mr. Malloy and what Don
23	Johnson was told, I'm a little surprised that that's being
24	left to me to raise.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, we'll get to that.

1	Mr. Lee?
2	MR. LEE: I was hoping to have one moment
3	with Commission counsel there. Just a couple of documents
4	that I think are relevant to this investigation.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Then go right ahead.
6	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Actually, why don't we
8	take a five-minute health break? We will come back, sit
9	for another 45 minutes and then we will call it a day.
10	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
11	veuillez vous lever.
12	The hearing will resume at 4:40 p.m.
13	Upon recessing at 4:32 p.m. /
14	L'audience est suspendue à 16h32
15	Upon resuming at 4:41 p.m. /
16	L'audience est reprise à 16h41
17	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre;
18	veuillez vous lever.
19	This hearing is now resumed. Please be
20	seated. Veuillez vous asseoir.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
22	KEVIN MALLOY, Resumed/Sous le même serment:
23	EXAMINATION IN-CHIEF BY/INTERROGATOIRE EN-CHEF PAR MS.
24	JONES (cont'd/suite):
25	MS. JONES: Mr. Malloy, I'm just going to

1	clarify one point before I leave the Lalonde matter.
2	I'm going to be referring to Document
3	734900.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. This is a
5	Supplementary Occurrence Report, so Exhibit 1497 and the
6	author is Officer Desrosiers and the report time is the 26^{tl}
7	of June 2000.
8	EXHIBIT NO./PIĒCE NO. P-1497:
9	(734900) - Kevin Malloy Supplementary
10	Occurrence Report dated 26 Jun 00
11	MS. JONES: I'm just looking at the front
12	page which is numbered 7136840 and I just want to refer
13	you, Officer Malloy, to the very bottom paragraph.
14	This is what I was referring to earlier when
15	Officer Desrosiers contacted you and said he had found this
16	Lalonde file. And there are items listed there, a sheet of
17	lined paper, with C-58's name on it, yellow coloured
18	project name, index form, internal correspondence sheet,
19	two other lined papers of rough notes, one three-ringed
20	note binder sheet, rough notes, two copies of 1982 Criminal
21	Code sections, statement of a witness from C-57, five pages
22	undated, envelope containing three-page printed statement
23	dated April 2^{nd} , '89 signed with the name C-58.
24	And when I said earlier those items found by
25	Officer Desrosiers which I believe you're aware of, those

1	were all the notes or items that you had with reference
2	your Lalonde investigation?
3	MR. MALLOY: In the file folder.
4	MS. JONES: In the file folder.
5	To your knowledge there weren't notes
6	elsewhere is what I'm saying? You didn't have any at home
7	or you didn't have any personal possession?
8	MR. MALLOY: I wouldn't have any at home but
9	I would keep copies and I would have the originals of
10	documents and rough notes in a file folder and my notebook
11	would have notes.
12	MS. JONES: Okay. Now, moving onto the
13	Antoine investigation
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, just a minute.
15	MS. JONES: Okay.
16	THE COMMISSIONER: Before we go there, we
17	should cover Mr. Manderville's questions with respect to
18	your visit to Carl Johnson Don Johnson.
19	All right. So you had seen Don Johnson?
20	MR. MALLOY: Yes, sir.
21	THE COMMISSIONER: Do you require where you
22	went; to his office?
23	MR. MALLOY: To his office, yes, sir.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Sat there. Did you have
25	any documents with you?

1	MR. MALLOY: I would have had all the
2	statements that I have present.
3	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. What did you
4	ask him?
5	MR. MALLOY: To peruse them and if he
6	thought as I did that this was a consensual issue.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
8	MR. MALLOY: And if I was incorrect tell me,
9	if I was correct then I didn't I couldn't form
10	reasonable and probable grounds to lay a charge.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: What did he tell you?
12	MR. MALLOY: He was of the same opinion,
13	that this was a consensual act and there were no grounds to
14	well, mind you they don't form our reasonable grounds
15	but there was what's called now no profit, no prospect to
16	conviction, but there was no
17	THE COMMISSIONER: Woah, woah, woah, yeah,
18	that's now.
19	MR. MALLOY: Now, yeah.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: In 1989, I don't think he
21	would have talked to you that way.
22	MR. MALLOY: No.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: No. So what did he tell
24	you?
25	MR. MALLOY: I can't remember the exact

1	words but there was nothing here.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
3	And you didn't make any note of that?
4	MR. MALLOY: No, sir.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
6	MS. JONES: Now, I'll move on to the Antoine
7	investigation.
8	It appears that at this particular point in
9	time as well there is something else that is noteworthy and
10	that is at some point you joined the board of the CAS. Do
11	you recall that?
12	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
13	MS. JONES: And do you recall the dates that
14	you joined? I'll just get my calendar out here myself.
15	MR. MALLOY: I believe it was around 1992.
16	MS. JONES: In 1989, it would appear that a
17	person, a Ms. Antoine, had contacted the CAS and made some
18	allegations
19	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
20	MS. JONES: of things that had happened
21	to her. And you, at some point, were assigned to the
22	investigation.
23	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
24	MS. JONES: Do you recall that?
25	When did you first become aware of the

1	complaint?
2	MR. MALLOY: When I was I had
3	investigated another matter with her daughter with Greg
4	Bell from CAS and it was at the end of that investigation
5	that Mr. Bell told Mrs. Antoine to tell me what she had
6	been telling him about her history of foster homes.
7	MS. JONES: It would appear that she made
8	the initial or went initially to the CAS approximately July
9	of '89. Is that correct?
10	MR. MALLOY: I'm not sure. I wasn't aware
11	of her any meetings she had with CAS.
12	MS. JONES: You weren't aware; no?
13	MR. MALLOY: Not that I can not that I
14	can remember, no.
15	MS. JONES: And when you first were aware of
16	this particular complaint, again, did you contact anyone
17	that you were being supervised by to find out what sort of
18	special process that you should go through or what sort of
19	investigation?
20	MR. MALLOY: No.
21	MS. JONES: You didn't feel the need to do
22	that?
23	MR. MALLOY: No, I had a hard enough time
24	getting her to come in.
25	MS. JONES: I understand too you first found

1	out about this through Gregory Bell who was a social worker
2	with the CAS but later on you were actually assigned this
3	file, were you not, by your supervisors?
4	MR. MALLOY: That's correct; that's correct.
5	MS. JONES: Now, it would make common sense
6	that you may have said to your supervisors, "Oh, I already
7	know about this one actually" or some words to that effect?
8	MR. MALLOY: Probably.
9	MS. JONES: Now, I understood that you were
10	actually on the CAS board at this time period.
11	MR. MALLOY: No.
12	MS. JONES: In 1989.
13	MR. MALLOY: No.
14	MS. JONES: You were not?
15	MR. MALLOY: No.
16	MS. JONES: Would you agree with me that if
17	you were on the board at that time that that would be
18	perceived as a conflict of interest?
19	MR. MALLOY: Yes.
20	MS. JONES: Now, when you first met with Ms.
21	Antoine, you actually already alluded to this, is it fair
22	to say you found her less than cooperative?
23	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
24	MS. JONES: And did you ask her then to make
25	out a statement and bring it back to you?

1	MR. MALLOY: I'm trying to remember the
2	sequence. It took I don't know how many times I called
3	her just to try to get her to come into the office. And if
4	memory serves, she just showed up one day with a
5	handwritten statement.
6	MS. JONES: Well, perhaps I can assist you
7	to some degree. I have notes here taken by Mr. Derochie
8	about the Antoine investigation that you had done. I'm
9	looking at Document 739078.
10	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit 1498 is a
12	Supplementary Occurrence Report. The author is Staff
13	Sergeant Derochie. The report time is February 15 th , 1994.
14	EXHIBIT NO./PIĒCE NO. P-1498:
15	(739078) - Kevin Malloy Supplementary
16	Occurrence Report dated 15 Feb 94
17	MS. JONES: I apologize if I said
18	Desrosiers. It's
19	THE COMMISSIONER: There's two. There is
20	Desrosiers and there is Derochie.
21	MS. JONES: Yes, I get them mixed up
22	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
23	MS. JONES: fairly regularly, actually.
24	MS. JONES: Anyway, this is a report made by
25	Derochie and he interviewed you, I understand, in these

1	notes. And I'm specifically referring to the body of these
2	notes where you're basically giving him a statement.
3	I don't know if this I'm just providing
4	this to you in case you want to refresh your memory. This
5	seems to be what you told Officer Derochie at the time.
6	So the way I understand is that you first
7	had contact with Ms. Antoine and then you asked her to go
8	and come back with a statement at some point. Is that
9	accurate?
10	MR. MALLOY: Well, when I first learned of
11	it, I told her she wasn't interested in proceeding at
12	that time. And I told her when she wanted to proceed to
13	come in and see me and I'm not sure when that was though.
14	MS. JONES: It would appear from these
15	notes, and I'm referring to the BP number 7175523, just the
16	fifth page in, and it refers to a second meeting between
17	the police officers involved. It mentions Officer Wells
18	and Officer Trew
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Where? Where?
20	MS. JONES: About five paragraphs down. It
21	starts with "The second meeting was on".
22	THE COMMISSIONER: M'hm.
23	MS. JONES: Do you see that paragraph?
24	MR. MALLOY: Yes.
25	MS. JONES: "The second meeting was on

1	September 29 th , 1989."
2	It seems at that time you were officially
3	assigned the investigation by Officers Wells and Trew. Do
4	you see that?
5	MR. MALLOY: Yes. I wasn't
6	MS. JONES: The last paragraph.
7	MR. MALLOY: I wasn't present at the
8	meeting
9	MS. JONES: No.
10	MR. MALLOY: but I would say that as a
11	result of that meeting, that it was given to me; it was
12	assigned to me.
13	MS. JONES: Okay. It appears around that
14	timeframe that you were assigned this particular case
15	though; correct?
16	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
17	MS. JONES: And it also states as well that
18	Mr. O'Brien who was also with CAS was seemed to be
19	putting a bit a pressure on to have this matter
20	investigated. Is that also fair to say?
21	MR. MALLOY: I wouldn't no, I wouldn't
22	say pressure, he was anxious to my recollection was
23	retiring and wanted to, I guess, leave a clean slate for
24	his replacement. I certainly didn't perceive it to be
25	pressure.

1	MS. JONES: But you go on to say to Officer
2	Derochie anyway in page 7175524, which is the next page,
3	looking at the first paragraph that starts, "Constable
4	Malloy was finding Antoine less than cooperative". Do you
5	see where I am there?
6	MR. MALLOY: Yes.
7	MS. JONES: It would seem that you were
8	trying to get a statement. She wasn't bringing one in and
9	you made a comment like there are lots of other people that
10	are cooperative and I don't need to go running around after
11	you. Do you recall that sort of a feeling at the time?
12	MR. MALLOY: Yeah. There is only so many
13	phone calls you can make to get somebody to come in.
14	There's other investigations that have to take place also,
15	so I just left it with her in the hopes she was going to
16	call me or show up.
17	MS. JONES: And it appears that the case was
18	inactive until February $5^{\rm th}$, 1990? Again, that's referred
19	to in the middle portion of that page, 7175524.
20	MR. MALLOY: Yes.
21	MS. JONES: It says:
22	"The case apparently remained inactive
23	until February 5 th , 1990 when Antoine
24	comes into the police station with a
25	handwritten statement. Malloy has not

1	heard from Antoine in the interim, is
2	surprised by the visit."
3	Do you see where that is?
4	MR. MALLOY: That's correct. Yes.
5	MS. JONES: Now, again we have a situation
6	here where you had given the witness statement
7	responsibility, shall we say, to the person to do it home
8	or outside of the police station rather than taking the
9	statement at that time?
10	MR. MANDERVILLE: I don't think that's an
11	accurate reading of the note at all, Mr. Commissioner.
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Let's try again.
13	MS. JONES: Were you waiting for her to come
14	and arrive with a written statement that she prepared
15	elsewhere?
16	MR. MALLOY: I was waiting for her just to
17	come in to the office so I could speak with her.
18	MS. JONES: So you had not spoken to her at
19	all up until that point?
20	MR. MALLOY: Oh, I had called her a few
21	times to say, you know, you want to proceed with this, come
22	in, and she just never showed up.
23	And then on the $5^{\rm th}$ of February '90, she just
24	showed up at the police station and she had written out a
25	handwritten note on her own and just showed up at the

1	office and I came in and dealt with her.
2	MS. JONES: So that was not actually at you
3	behest then, you had not requested her to make a statement?
4	MR. MALLOY: Not to my recollection, no.
5	MS. JONES: Now, one of the conclusions that
6	you reach fairly quickly into looking at Ms. Antoine's
7	concerns, was that she really was mostly concerned about
8	the physical abuse that she alleged had been suffered by
9	the hands of Bryan Keough. Do you recall that?
10	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
11	MS. JONES: And that even though she'd made
12	allegations of a sexual nature, she wasn't really wanting
13	to pursue those allegations, she wanted the physical
14	allegations pursued?
15	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
16	MS. JONES: And that was your understanding?
17	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
18	MS. JONES: Now, at this particular point,
19	is this when you went when you had this statement now
20	from Ms. Antoine, is this when you decided to go again to
21	the Crown Attorney's office and get some guidance?
22	MR. MALLOY: After I had I had
23	interviewed her after that also or was it on the same date?
24	I can't remember. I don't have my
25	THE COMMISSIONER: When she came in, you had

1	a lengthy interview with her. On February 5 th , it says:
2	"She came into the interview. Malloy
3	has not heard from Antoine in the
4	interim. Antoine is interviewed at
5	length in regards to her statement."
6	MR. MALLOY: Oh, yes. That's correct. And
7	I can't remember the date I met with Mr. Johnson but that's
8	the date that he would have corresponded with the Regional
9	Director.
10	MS. JONES: But you recall going to Don
11	Johnson, the Crown Attorney?
12	MR. MALLOY: Oh, absolutely.
13	MS. JONES: And, again, there doesn't seem
14	to be any contact, for instance, with supervisors to find
15	out what should the next step be. You went directly to Mr.
16	Johnson?
17	MR. MALLOY: Oh, I remember discussing this
18	with Inspector Trew.
19	MS. JONES: And what was the discussion
20	about?
21	MR. MALLOY: I had some real credibility
22	issues with Mrs. Antoine's statement.
23	MS. JONES: M'hm.
24	MR. MALLOY: And I know I spoke to her and -
25	- changing stories because I remember going into

1	Inspector Trew's office with a statement saying she changed
2	her story again, you know, like what am I supposed to do.
3	And that's when I sought legal advice from Mr. Johnson.
4	MS. JONES: So you went to Don Johnson, you
5	had a conversation and what was the conversation about and
6	what did you go armed with at that time? Did you go with
7	the file?
8	MR. MALLOY: Oh, everything, everything I
9	had, yeah, oh yes. Yeah.
10	MS. JONES: Okay. And you would have shown
11	him all the statements and as such?
12	MR. MALLOY: Absolutely, yes.
13	MS. JONES: Now, the statements of Ms.
14	Antoine did actually outline sexual allegations and
15	physical allegations, even though she had said I only want
16	the physical ones pursued. Did you also show the sexual
17	allegations that had been made to Mr. Johnson?
18	MR. MALLOY: Oh, they were on the same
19	statement.
20	MS. JONES: So he would have read the
21	statement in its entirety?
22	MR. MALLOY: Oh yes, yeah.
23	MS. JONES: And what was the and maybe
24	perhaps I'll show you the letter actually.
25	First, the results of that was actually that

1	Mr. Johnson ended up writing to Mr. Douglas who was his
2	supervisor at the Crown Attorney's Office. Are you aware
3	of that?
4	MR. MALLOY: The Regional Director, yes.
5	MS. JONES: Yes. I'm referring to Document
6	739102.
7	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
8	Exhibit Number 1499 is a letter to Mr.
9	Norman S. Douglas from D.W. Johnson dated April 4 th , 1990.
10	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1499:
11	(739102) Kevin Malloy - Letter from
12	Donald Johnson to Norman Douglas dated
13	April 4, 1990
14	MS. JONES: So, Madam Clerk, was that 1499?
15	Thank you.
16	You've seen this letter before, Mr. Malloy?
17	MR. MALLOY: Yes.
18	MS. JONES: Okay. And this appears to be a
19	letter written after your meeting with him. In fact,
20	you're cc'd at the bottom there "Constable Kevin Malloy".
21	MR. MALLOY: That's correct.
22	MS. JONES: And it's essentially a letter
23	saying I'll just read the second paragraph:
24	"Although there appears to be some
25	factual basis for further

1	investigation, I cannot find anY
2	indication of specific dates when the
3	alleged incident occurred or any names
4	and addressed"
5	Which is an incorrect spelling.
6	" of any witness whom may
7	substantiate the allegations."
8	Do you see that?
9	MR. MALLOY: Oh, yes, sorry, yeah.
10	MS. JONES: Further down, two paragraphs
11	later:
12	"I'm forwarding this information to you
13	because of the climate with respect to
14	alleged child abuse cases from the past
15	which seem to be on the upswing.
16	Should anything come to your attention
17	with regard to this incident, the
18	Ministry will have knowledge of the
19	incident."
20	So you see that that letter obviously went
21	to Mr. Douglas?
22	MS. JONES: Yes.
23	MR. MALLOY: Okay. And that's dated April
24	4 th , 1990.
25	MS. JONES: Right.

1	MR. MALLOY: So, again, that's after your
2	meeting with her and after your interview with her.
3	And I refer you to Document 739143.
4	(SHORT PAUSE/COURTE PAUSE)
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Exhibit number 1500 is a
6	letter from Norman Douglas to Mr. Donald Johnson, April
7	10 th , 1990.
8	EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE NO. P-1500:
9	(739143) - Kevin Malloy Letter from
10	Norman Douglas to Donald Johnson dated
11	10 Apr '90
12	MS. JONES: And you've seen this letter
13	before, Officer?
14	MR. MALLOY: The first time I saw this
15	letter was when Staff Sergeant Derochie showed it to me as
16	a result of the internal investigation.
17	MS. JONES: He's referencing the April $4^{\rm th}$
18	letter that he received, and in the paragraph that follows:
19	"You are quite correct that we ought to
20	be very careful on these matters and
21	have the police investigate every
22	allegation of abuse. I would like you
23	to make sure that police begin an
24	investigation if they have already not
25	done so. Perhaps Constable Malloy can

1	dig a little deeper to secure
2	specifics."
3	Now, you had guessed my next question in the
4	sense of if you had seen this letter before, i.e. in more
5	of a timely fashion than during the investigation. So
6	you're saying you didn't have nay knowledge about this
7	direction from Mr. Douglas?
8	MR. MALLOY: Absolutely none.
9	MS. JONES: And did Mr. Johnson say anything
10	to you about possibly digging a little deeper?
11	MR. MALLOY: No.
12	MS. JONES: Do you think that you could have
13	dug a little deeper to these allegations? Do you agree
14	with Mr. Douglas at this point?
15	MR. MALLOY: Well, if I would have gotten
16	this letter saying, I mean, it's recommended by the
17	Regional Director of Crowns that you keep investigating it,
18	I would have showed it to my superiors and kept
19	investigating it.
20	MS. JONES: Okay.
21	Mr. Commissioner, I'm just mindful of the
22	time. I still have many more questions.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: No, no, time to go home.
24	Well, not to go home, because I know that
25	most of you will be attending at the Ramada Inn for the

1	Ombudsman's Conference tonight.
2	And so we'll see you all there, and members
3	of the public are welcome to attend.
4	MR. MANDERVILLE: I thought it was sold out.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: There are some specific
6	places for Cornwall Police Services and the tickets there
7	are at a discount for them of \$20 towards the Cornwall
8	Police Pension Plan.
9	Thank you. We'll see you tomorrow morning
10	at 9:30.
11	THE REGISTRAR: Order; all rise. À l'ordre
12	veuillez vous lever.
13	This hearing is adjourned until tomorrow
14	morning at 9:30 a.m.
15	Upon adjourning at 5:03 p.m. /
16	L'audience est ajournée à 17h03
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

MALLOY

In-Ch (Jones)

CERTIFICATION I, Dale Waterman a certified court reporter in the Province of Ontario, hereby certify the foregoing pages to be an accurate transcription of my notes/records to the best of my skill and ability, and I so swear. Je, Dale Waterman, un sténographe officiel dans la province de l'Ontario, certifie que les pages ci-hautes sont une transcription conforme de mes notes/enregistrements au meilleur de mes capacités, et je le jure. and a wil Dale Waterman, CVR-CM